

First principles study on the lattice thermal conductivity of α -phase Ga 2 O 3

Gang Yang, Pedro Rojo Romeo, Aleksandra Apostoluk, Bertrand Vilquin

▶ To cite this version:

Gang Yang, Pedro Rojo Romeo, Aleksandra Apostoluk, Bertrand Vilquin. First principles study on the lattice thermal conductivity of α -phase Ga 2 O 3. Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology A, 2022, 40 (5), pp.052801. 10.1116/6.0001870 . hal-03735982

HAL Id: hal-03735982 https://hal.science/hal-03735982

Submitted on 3 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

First principles study on the lattice thermal conductivity of α -phase Ga₂O₃

Cite as: J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 40, 052801 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1116/6.0001870 Submitted: 18 March 2022 • Accepted: 23 June 2022 • Published Online: 21 July 2022

Gang Yang, Pedro Rojo Romeo, Aleksandra Apostoluk, et al.

COLLECTIONS

Paper published as part of the special topic on Gallium Oxide Materials and Devices





ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

A review of Ga₂O₃ materials, processing, and devices Applied Physics Reviews 5, 011301 (2018); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5006941

β-Gallium oxide power electronics APL Materials 10, 029201 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0060327

A review of band structure and material properties of transparent conducting and semiconducting oxides: Ga₂O₃, Al₂O₃, In₂O₃, ZnO, SnO₂, CdO, NiO, CuO, and Sc₂O₃ Applied Physics Reviews 9, 011315 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0078037

Instruments for Advanced Science Knowledge, Experience, Expertise Click to view our product catalogue Gas Analysis Surface Science Plasma Diagr Vacuum Analy dynamic measurement of reaction gas st • UHV TPD

+ end point detection in ion beam etch

elemental imaging - surface mapping

SIMS

 plasma source characterization • etch and deposition process reaction kinetic studies analysis of neutral and radical species



 partial pressure measurement and control of process gases reactive sputter process control vacuum diagnostics vacuum coating process monitoring

40. 052801

J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 40, 052801 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1116/6.0001870

catalysis and thermal analysis

fermentation, environmental and ecological studies

molecular beam studies

dissolved species probes



© 2022 Author(s).

Contact Hiden Analytical for further details:

Info@hideninc.com

www.HidenAnalytical.com



First principles study on the lattice thermal conductivity of α -phase Ga₂O₃

Cite as: J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A **40**, 052801 (2022); doi: 10.1116/6.0001870 Submitted: 18 March 2022 · Accepted: 23 June 2022 · Published Online: 21 July 2022



Gang Yang, Pedro Rojo Romeo, Aleksandra Apostoluk, and Bertrand Vilquina) 问

AFFILIATIONS

Université de Lyon, Ecole Centrale de Lyon, INSA Lyon, UCBL, CPE Lyon, CNRS, INL UMR5270, 69130 Ecully, France

Note: This paper is part of the Special Topic Collection on Gallium Oxide Materials and Devices. ^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: bertrand.vilquin@ec-lyon.fr

ABSTRACT

In this article, lattice thermal conductivity of α -phase Ga₂O₃ is investigated in a way of combining the first principles calculation and iterative solving the Boltzmann transport equation. Real-space displacement approach is employed in order to obtain both second- and third-order force constants. The effect of the microstructure on lattice thermal conductivity of α -phase Ga₂O₃ has been extensively studied and widely discussed. The results indicate that α -phase Ga₂O₃ exhibit a lower thermal conductivity compared with β -phase Ga₂O₃ in a temperature range from 30 to 800 K. At room temperature, 300 K, the calculated thermal conductivity of α -phase Ga₂O₃ are 11.61, 9.38, and 8.94 Wm⁻¹ K⁻¹ in the directions [100], [010], and [001], respectively. The lower thermal conductivity of α -phase Ga₂O₃ can be attributed to the mass difference and bond strength between Ga and O atoms. As for the phonon transport analysis, it is related to the three phonon scattering mechanism. Compared with β -phase Ga₂O₃, α -phase Ga₂O₃ exhibits a higher anharmonic phonon scattering rate. Our study aims to help to understand the thermal transport mechanism of α -phase Ga₂O₃ material and provide useful guidance for the future device applications and enrich the existing state of the art.

© 2022 Author(s). All article content, except where otherwise noted, is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). https://doi.org/10.1116/6.0001870

I. INTRODUCTION

Wide-bandgap semiconductors, which refer to semiconductor materials with a larger bandgap than conventional semiconductors, represent a stimulating and challenging area of research in materials for optoelectronics.¹ In the last decade, gallium oxide (Ga₂O₃) has attracted a lot of attention as a promising wide-bandgap semiconductor. The material properties of Ga₂O₃ have been widely investigated and fabrication or preparation technologies for Ga₂O₃ materials have been rapidly developed.^{2–4} Similar to other polymorphic sesquioxide systems, such as In₂O₃ and Al₂O₃, Ga₂O₃ can form five different polymorphs known as α , β , γ , δ , and ϵ .⁵ Among them, β -phase is the most stable and widely used in semiconductor industry. However, along with advanced deposited technology emergence, corundum-structured α -Ga₂O₃ crystal thin films were successfully grown or deposited on various substrates.^{6–11} received growing attention in both academic research and industrial applications. $^{\rm 12-14}$

Compared to other wide-bandgap semiconductor materials, such as SiC and GaN, Ga_2O_3 has a relative low thermal conductivity of about 16–21 Wm⁻¹ K⁻¹ at room temperature for β -phase.¹⁵ In contrast, thermal conductivities of SiC and GaN are reported as high as 380 and 220 Wm⁻¹ K⁻¹ at room temperature, respectively.^{16,17} For Ga₂O₃-based high-power electrical devices, a low thermal conductivity will significantly affect the device performance, reliability, as well as the safety.¹⁸ Moreover, thermal properties of Ga₂O₃ materials are not only important for electrical devices, but also critical for a substrate temperature control, as well as uniformity during the epitaxial growth or other physical and chemical vapor deposition process. Currently, various growth techniques (e.g., molecular beam epitaxy, halide vapor phase epitaxy, and chemical vapor deposition) have been developed to directly



synthesize polymorph Ga₂O₃ thin films.² In particular, various phases of gallium oxide films can be obtained at the same time using the RF magnetron sputtering process as the obtained phases depend on the substrate planes and deposition parameters, such as the temperature.¹⁹ Therefore, a detailed characterization of individual physical properties of each Ga₂O₃ phase is of primordial importance, especially, α -phase and β -phase Ga₂O₃ can be distinguished during the deposition process at various temperatures.

Previous studies on the thermal conductivity of Ga₂O₃ mainly focused on the common β -phase, and the experimental measurements were made mostly using the 2 ω , 3 ω methods as well as time domain thermoreflectance technique.^{20–22} Recently, Raman thermometry technology was employed to investigate the thermal conductivity of β -Ga₂O₃ nanomembrane and thin films.^{23,24} These experimental results show a significant anisotropy of the thermal conductivity for β -phase Ga₂O₃ materials. However, thermal conductivity of other phases of Ga₂O₃, such as α and ε phases, still needs to be studied and explored.

In recent years, first principles calculations in condensed matter physics and materials science have attracted a lot of interest and were widely used to predict thermal transport properties of various materials, especially when phonon-based calculations became routine.25 Harmonic force constants (second-order force constants) can be obtained from total energy calculations based on the density functional theory (DFT). In addition, some state-of-the-art modeling has also been developed to derive the anharmonic force constants (thirdorder force constants) from the first principles calculation.² Knowing both second-order and third-order force constants can permit us to directly solve the phonon Boltzmann transport equation and obtain lattice thermal conductivity. Previously, Liu et al. studied lattice dynamic and thermodynamic properties of β-Ga₂O₃ from first principles calculations.²⁷ Santia et al. investigated lattice thermal conductivity of monoclinic β-Ga₂O₃ from first principles calculation.¹⁵ Mu et al. implemented ab initio study on enhanced thermal conductivity in ordered AlGaO3 alloys.²⁸ Yan et al. studied the phonon mode contributions to lattice thermal conductivity of pristine and defective β-Ga₂O₃ using first-principles calculations.²⁹ However, all above investigation mainly focus on the β-phase of Ga₂O₃. There are no studies or reports on the lattice thermal conductivity of corundum-structured α-Ga₂O₃ material.³⁰ Hence, a theoretical study on the lattice thermal conductivity of α-Ga₂O₃ is necessary.

The principal objective of the present research is to examine the phonon thermal transport mechanism for α -Ga₂O₃ materials. The effects of the microstructure on the lattice thermal conductivity have been investigated systematically. Phonon dispersion relation, three phonon scattering, and the maximum mean-free-path are evaluated according to the results, which ultimately provide a theoretical guidance for α -Ga₂O₃ material applications.

II. MODELLING

A. Microstructure and Brillouin zone

Corundum structure α -phase Ga₂O₃ has a $R\overline{3}c$ crystal symmetry.³¹ Distinguished from the monoclinic structure of β -phase Ga₂O₃, the rhombohedral corundum structure α -Ga₂O₃ has an only octahedral coordinated Ga³⁺ ion, and two-thirds of the octahedral sites are hold by the Ga³⁺ ion,³² while each Ga atom shared

one face and three edges with adjacent octahedra.^{31,32} The oxygen atoms display close to the hexagonal arrangement.^{31,32} In this study, conventional and primitive unit cell structures are visualized utilizing VESTA software,³³ as shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)



FIG. 1. Crystal structure and the Brillouin zone of α -Ga₂O₃, (a) conventional unit cell, (b) primitive unit cell, and (c) Brillouin zone and high symmetry k-paths. Large green ball corresponds to Ga atoms, while small red ball corresponds to O atoms.



Brillouin zone (BZ) and subsequent high symmetry directions are determined according to the method provided by Wahyu and Stefano *et al.*³⁴ For corundum structure α -Ga₂O₃, the corresponding rhombohedral (RHL, hR)-type Brillouin zone and high symmetry k-point paths are selected in this study. Brillouin zone and high symmetry paths of α -Ga₂O₃ can also be visualized using XCrySDen software.³⁵ For the *R*3*c* symmetry structure, the coordinates of symmetry points and the high symmetry paths in the first Brillouin zone are Γ -L-B1|B-Z- Γ -X|Q-F-P1-Z|L-P, as illustrated in Fig. 1(c).

B. Computational details

In this article, first-principles density functional calculations (DFT) are carried out using Quantum ESPRESSO software package.^{36,37} Phonopy software package is used to compute harmonic phonon properties based on the finite displacement method.²⁵ The anharmonic force constant is calculated from the thirdorder.py code. Finally, the lattice thermal conductivity of α -Ga₂O₃ is obtained by solving the linearized phonon Boltzmann transport equation using an iterative procedure as implemented in ShengBTE software package.^{38,39} As a comparison, we also calculate the lattice thermal conductivity of β -Ga₂O₃ using the same method, and there is good agreement with experimental results.²²

First of all, for the DFT calculations, the plane-wave-based algorithm and the projector-augmented wave method are employed together with Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof exchange correlation functional. In order to make sure the structure steady, before implementing the plane wave self-consistent field calculation, we carry on a structure optimization procedure using the projector-augmented wave method with a generalized gradient approximation. We adopt the experimental lattice parameters as an initial data for performing the crystal structure optimization.⁶ A plane wave cutoff of 125 Ry with a 16×1 6×1 6 k-point grids are used to relax the structure, and energy convergence criterion is set to be 10^{-12} Ry. The structure is relaxed to force levels less than 10⁻⁶ Ry/Bohr. The optimized structure parameters are applied to following calculations. For plane wave basis, we chose 125 Ry as energy cutoff for the wave functions and 500 Ry as energy cutoff for charge density and potential in the selfconsistent field calculation. For better convergence, the system total energy convergence criterion is setup to be 10^{-12} eV. We selected Ga.pbesol-dn-kjpaw_psl.0.2.UPF and O.pbesol-n-kjpaw_psl.0.1.UPF as implemented pseudopotentials files from Quantum ESPRESSO pseudopotentials library.^{36,37} Phonon dynamics properties are investigated based on the density functional perturbation theory.⁴⁰ Born effective charges and dielectric tensor are obtained from the phonon dynamics calculation. Longitudinal and transverse optical phonon (LO-TO) splitting at the Γ point is also examined in this study.

The phonon dispersion curve and projected density of states of α -Ga₂O₃ are obtained from self-consistent energy calculations using software Phonopy interface with Quantum ESPRESSO package. We adopted a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercell structure, and each supercell structure includes 80 atoms. Five displaced supercell structures are constructed using Phonopy package where the BZ is sampled by $4 \times 4 \times 4$ k-point grids. Second-order force constants (IFCs) are evaluated in combining Phonopy package with the Quantum ESPRESSO phonon dynamic calculation. The presence of third-order anharmonic IFCs leads to the appearance of scattering as a result of three-phonon interactions, and their values were obtained in combining the self-consistent field calculation using thirdorder.py code with Quantum ESPRESSO package. Considering the third nearest-neighbor interaction, 152 supercell structure configurations are created and tested with thirdorder.py code. Self-constant energy calculation is implemented based on the created supercell structure using Quantum ESPRESSO package. For higher calculation efficiency while maintaining the computational precision, we use "K_POINTS gamma" mesh instead of "K_POINTS automatic" mesh in the first Brillouin zone when carrying self-consistent computation.

After we obtained the second-order force constants from Phonopy package and third-order force constant from thirdorder.py code, we can implement the ShengBTE package with a CONTROL input file. Born charges and dielectric tensor in the CONTROL file can be obtained from previous phonon dynamics calculation using Quantum ESPRESSO package. Running the ShengBTE code is very straightforward, and there is no other argument need to input.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Phonon dispersion

The calculated phonon dispersion curves and the projected density of states of $\alpha\text{-}Ga_2O_3$ are shown in Fig. 2.

It can be seen from Fig. 2 that the phonon frequency of α -Ga₂O₃ is in the range of 0–21 THz. The splitting of LO-TO phonons at the Γ point can also be observed, which is a typical feature for an ionic crystal structure. In addition, it also can be seen from projected densities of states in Fig. 2 that phonon modes with a lower frequency are mostly correlated to the larger mass Ga atoms, while phonon modes with a higher frequency are mainly relevant to small mass O atoms. In fact, O vacancies and substitutional impurities at O sites are the most common defects in Ga₂O₃ materials. O vacancies can affect the phonon scattering process, thereby furthermore affect the lattice thermal conductivity of the



FIG. 2. Phonon dispersion curve and projected densities of states for α -Ga₂O₃. Black curve corresponds to Ga atoms, while red curve corresponds to O atoms in the projected densities of states.

 Ga_2O_3 material.²⁹ For optoelectronic or other high-power electrical device applications, we can adjust the quantity of O vacancies or doping other elements in the O site to control the lattice thermal conductivity of α -Ga₂O₃ materials.

B. Thermal conductivity

After we obtain the harmonic and anharmonic interaction force constants, we can solve the linear form phonon Boltzmann transport equation. In this study, we adopted a directly iterative approach. The tensor form of lattice thermal conductivity can be described as²⁸

$$K^{xy} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} v_{\lambda}^{x} v_{\lambda}^{y} \tau_{\lambda}, \qquad (1)$$

where K is the lattice thermal conductivity; V is the unit cell; c_{λ} is specific heat capacity that is closely related to temperature; x and y are the Cartesian tensor coordinates; v_{λ}^{x} and v_{λ}^{y} are the phonon group velocities in x and y directions, respectively; and τ_{λ} is the phonon relaxation time. In this study, we only consider an up to three-phonon scattering process. We implement a full iterative solution on lattice thermal conductivity for α-Ga₂O₃ using ShengBTE package. It should be noted that Born effective charges and the dielectric tensor in the control file are setup according to phonon dynamics calculation results. Meanwhile, in order to obtain a full and steady numerical solution, we also tested different sample meshes; mesh density varies from $10 \times 10 \times 10$ expanded up to $18 \times 18 \times 18$. All test meshes reach a consistent convergence and the maximum error less than 0.5 Wm⁻¹ K⁻¹, as the mesh size increases, the memory and calculated time will tremendously increase. Finally, considering a better convergence and computational time, we choose the mesh density $14 \times 14 \times 14$ for the following lattice thermal conductivity calculation at various temperatures. The obtained lattice thermal conductivities of α-phase Ga₂O₃ with various temperatures are shown in Fig. 3.

It can be seen from Fig. 3 that α -phase Ga₂O₃ displayed a nearly isotropic thermal conductivity along three vector directions of the primitive cell. The maximum value of lattice thermal conductivity is found in the direction of [100], and the calculated value is 11.61 Wm⁻¹ K⁻¹ at room temperature. In contrast, the calculated lattice thermal conductivity of α -Ga₂O₃ in the direction of [010] is $9.38 \text{ Wm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ and in the direction of [001] is $8.94 \text{ Wm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$, respectively. The minimum value of lattice thermal conductivity for α -Ga₂O₃ is found in the direction of [001]. However, compared to β -phase Ga₂O₃, α -Ga₂O₃ shows a lower thermal conductivity in a board temperature region from 30 to 800 K. At the same temperature, the maximum thermal conductivity of α -Ga₂O₃ in the [100] direction is still lower than the minimum thermal conductivity of β -phase Ga₂O₃ in the [100] direction (see Fig. 3). Compared with β -Ga₂O₃, the reduction in thermal conductivity of α -Ga₂O₃ may be correlated to its unique microstructure. It also can be seen from Fig. 3 that the lattice thermal conductivity of α -phase Ga₂O₃ decreased with increasing temperature in a broad temperature region from 30 to 800 K. In the low-temperature region (>30 K), lattice thermal conductivity of α -Ga₂O₃ exhibited an exponential $\kappa = \exp(\Theta/T)$ correlation with temperature.⁴¹ However, in the



FIG. 3. Calculated lattice thermal conductivities of α -phase Ga₂O₃ in function of temperature and thermal conductivities of β -phase Ga₂O₃ from Refs. 15 and 22 shown for comparison.

high-temperature region, similar to β -phase Ga₂O₃, lattice thermal conductivity of α -phase Ga₂O₃ also obeys T⁻¹ law with increasing temperatures, which implied that the Umklapp phonon scattering process occurred in this temperature region. It should be specially noted that the phonon scattering process is correlated to the microstructure. Referring to polymorphic Ga₂O₃ materials, the phonon scattering process of α -phase Ga₂O₃ is distinguished from common β -phase Ga₂O₃.

C. Phonon scattering process

In order to explore the thermal transport mechanism of α -phase Ga₂O₃ materials, we carefully examined the phonon scattering process. Three key parameters, i.e., the anharmonic scattering rate, the dimensionless scattering phase space volume, and weight phase space are studied. For further understanding the phonon thermal transport process, we first obtain the anharmonic scattering rate curve (inverse of scattering time) of α -phase Ga₂O₃ at room temperature using ShengBTE package, and we compare the anharmonic scattering rate curve between α -phase and β -phase Ga₂O₃. The results are shown in Fig. 4.

It can be seen from Fig. 4 that the phonon anharmonic scattering rate of α -phase Ga₂O₃ materials is clearly higher than β -phase Ga₂O₃ in the entire frequency region. By comparing the microstructure of α and β -phase Ga₂O₃, it can be found that a higher phonon scattering rate of α -phase Ga₂O₃ may root in its small primitive cell volume. In fact, corundum structure α -Ga₂O₃ has the smallest unit cell volume of all the five polymorphs Ga₂O₃ materials.⁴² Besides, a higher phonon anharmonic scattering rate means that it has a small phonon relaxation time, which will lead to a significant reduction in lattice thermal conductivity. In fact, the anharmonic scattering phase space.⁴⁰ Scattering phase space describe the three-phonon scattering process that obeys the momentum and



FIG. 4. Anharmonic scattering rate curve of α -Ga₂O₃.

energy conservation law.⁴³ In order to explore the three-phonon scattering mechanism of α -Ga₂O₃, we calculated another two key parameters, dimensionless scattering phase space volume $P_3^i(q)$ and weighted phase space using the ShengBTE package,³⁸ and we compared these parameters with common β -Ga₂O₃. Dimensionless scattering phase space volume $P_3^i(q)$ describes the volume in phase space for each mode and irreducible q point,¹⁵ while weighted phase space describes the amount of three-phonon scattering process that obeyed momentum and energy conservation law.^{28,43} The obtained results are shown in Fig. 5.

It can be observed from Fig. 5(a) that dimensionless scattering phase space volume decreases with increasing frequency for α -phase Ga₂O₃. However, compared with β -phase Ga₂O₃, it can be found that α -Ga₂O₃ exhibits a small dimensionless scattering phase volume in the high-frequency region (>10 THz), while in the lowfrequency region (<10 THz), it exhibits a larger value. These results probably correlate to the microstructure of α -Ga₂O₃. As described previously, α -Ga₂O₃ has the smallest unit cell volume. Phonon scattering has more chance to occur, especially for the high frequency optical phonon. However, on the whole, the difference in dimensionless scattering phase volume between α and β -phase Ga₂O₃ is not evident.

As described in the literature,⁴³ weight phase space implied the amount of three-phonon scattering process that obeyed momentum and energy conservation law.²⁸ It can be seen from Fig. 5(b) that weight phase space of α -phase Ga₂O₃ is larger than β -phase Ga₂O₃ in the high-frequency region (>10 THz). However, comprehensively, there is no significant difference in weight phase space between α and β -phase Ga₂O₃. Therefore, combining with Figs. 4 and 5(b), we can conclude that the reduction in lattice thermal conductivity of α -Ga₂O₃ may be attributed to its strong anharmonic scattering process, it may be correlated to the microstructure, especially, it correlated with the band strength between the Ga and O atoms, as well as the mass differences between the Ga atoms and O atoms.



FIG. 5. Comparison on phonon scattering processes between α - and $\beta\text{-}Ga_2O_3\text{:}$ (a) dimensionless scattering phase space volume and (b) weighted phase space.

D. Phonon free mean path

For a real application of α -Ga₂O₃, the active material is mostly used in a form of thin film where the size effect and interface effects may become significant. In addition, considering Ga₂O₃-based application in power devices, interface thermal resistance issue also needs to be addressed. One of the key parameters that determine the thermal transport for α -Ga₂O₃ thin film is the phonon mean free path. Therefore, a study on phonon mean-free path of α -Ga₂O₃ is important. In this article, we focused on the correlation between the thermal conductivity and the phonon mean-free path. The obtained cumulative thermal conductivities with various maximum free mean paths at different temperatures are shown in Fig. 6.

It can be seen from Fig. 6 that maximum mean free path decreased with increasing temperature. At lower temperatures,



FIG. 6. Maximum mean-free-path at different temperature: (a) 50, (b) 300, and (c) 800 K.

50 K, the maximum mean free path is in a range of $10^2 - 10^5$ nm, and the thermal transport process is mainly decided by longitudinal phonon. At room temperature 300 K, the maximum mean free path is in a range of 10-1000 nm. Considering a low thermal conductivity of Ga₂O₃ materials, one potential method to solve heat dissipation issue is to directly deposit Ga₂O₃ on a high thermal conductivity substrate, such as other wide-bandgap semiconductor material diamond $(>2000 \text{ Wm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})$ or other high thermal conductivity semiconductor materials including boron arsenide (BAs) and boron phosphide Therefore, phonon reflection or transmission behavior at (BP).^{44,45} interface will have a significant impact on the thermal transport process and it is closely correlated with the phonon mean free path. At high temperature, 800 K, the maximum mean free path is in range of 1-100 nm, so it is highly possible that the interface boundary scattering effects will influence the thermal transport process. Hence, considering α -Ga₂O₃ materials for future power device applications, size and interface scattering effects need to be evaluated in detail.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Based on first principles calculation and the iterative solution phonon Boltzmann transport equation, we investigated the lattice thermal conductivity of wide-bandgap α -Ga₂O₃ materials. Our results indicated that α -Ga₂O₃ exhibits a lower thermal conductivity compared with common β -Ga₂O₃. At room temperature, 300 K, the calculated value of lattice thermal conductivity for α -Ga₂O₃ is 11.61 Wm⁻¹ K⁻¹ in the direction [100], 9.38 Wm⁻¹ K⁻¹ in the direction [010], and 8.94 Wm⁻¹ K⁻¹ in the direction of [001], respectively; the maximum value is found in the direction [100] and the minimum value is found in the direction [001]. As the temperature increases, the difference in thermal conductivity along three directions is not evident, exhibiting a nearly isotropic property. Moreover, the results also reveal that low-frequency phonon modes are correlated to larger mass Ga atoms, while the highfrequency phonon modes are relevant to small mass O atoms.

Compared to common β -Ga₂O₃, the reduction in thermal conductivity of α -Ga₂O₃ may be correlated to bond strength in Ga and O atoms. Based on our first principle calculated, we found that α -Ga₂O₃ has a larger phonon anharmonic scattering rate, which results in a reduction in the lattice thermal conductivity. Considering the future application of α -Ga₂O₃ thin film in the power devices, we also investigated the key parameter for the interface thermal transport, which is the phonon maximum mean-free path. At room temperature, the maximum mean free path of α -Ga₂O₃ is in the range of 10–1000 nm, the size and interface effects will have an important influence on the thermal transport in thin film-based electrical devices, and phonon interface scattering effects will play a crucial role in thermal transport for α -phase Ga₂O₃ thin films. The results presented here would help to gain a perspective on the phonon transport mechanism of α -Ga₂O₃ and also provide a help in future application in α -Ga₂O₃ materials.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author gratefully acknowledges financial support from the China Scholarship Council for overseas research work (Grant No. CSC201808310305).



AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

Author Contributions

Gang Yang: Formal analysis (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Software (equal). Pedro Rojo Romeo: Writing - original draft (equal); Writing - review and editing (equal). Aleksandra Apostoluk: Writing - original draft (equal); Writing - review and editing (equal). Bertrand Vilquin: Supervision (equal); Writing - original draft (equal); Writing review and editing (equal).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

¹J. Y. Tsao et al., Adv. Electron. Mater. 4, 1600501 (2018).

²M. Higashiwaki and S. Fujita, Gallium Oxide: Materials Properties, Crystal Growth, and Devices (Springer Nature, Cham., 2020).

³S. J. Pearton, F. Ren, and M. A. Mastro, *Gallium Oxide Technology, Devices and*

Applications, edited by G. Korotcenkov (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2018). ⁴S. J. Pearton, J. Yang, P. H. Cary IV, F. Ren, J. Kim, M. J. Tadjer, and M. A. Mastro, Appl. Phys. Rev. 5, 011301 (2018).

⁵R. Roy, V. G. Hill, and E. F. Osborn, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 74, 719 (1952).

- ⁶J. W. Roberts, J. C. Jarman, D. N. Johnstone, P. A. Midgley, P. R. Chalker,
- R. A. Oliver, and F. C.-P. Massabuau, J. Cryst. Growth 487, 23 (2018).

⁷D. Shinohara and S. Fujita, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 47, 7311 (2008).

⁸Y. Cheng, Y. Xu, Z. Li, and J. Zhang, J. Alloys Compd. 831, 154776 (2020).

⁹K. Uno, M. Ohta, and I. Tanaka, Appl. Phys. Lett. 117, 052106 (2020).

10Y. Yao, S. Okur, L. A. M. Lyle, G. S. Tompa, T. Salagaj, N. Sbrockey,

R. F. Davis, and L. M. Porter, Mater. Res. Lett. 6, 268 (2018).

¹¹J. W. Roberts et al., J. Cryst. Growth 528, 125254 (2019).

¹²A. Barthel *et al.*, Micromachines **11**, 1128 (2020).

13 F. Massabuau, D. Nicol, F. Adams, J. Jarman, J. Roberts, A. Kovács, P. Chalker, and R. Oliver, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 54, 384001 (2021).

14D. Y. Guo, X. L. Zhao, Y. S. Zhi, and W. Cui, Mater. Lett. 164, 364 (2016).

¹⁵M. D. Santia, N. Tandon, and J. D. Albrecht, Appl. Phys. Lett. **107**, 041907 (2015).

- 16 L. L. Snead, T. Nozawa, Y. Katoh, T.-S. Byun, S. Kondo, and D. A. Petti, J. Nucl. Mater. 371, 329 (2007).
- ¹⁷A. Jeżowski, B. A. Danilchenko, M. Boćkowski, and I. Grzegory, Solid State Commun. 128, 69 (2003).
- 18K. Z. B. Chatterjee, C. D. Nordquist, U. Singisetti, and S. Choi, IEEE Trans. Compon. 9, 2352 (2019).

¹⁹H. Akazawa, Vacuum 123, 8 (2016).

20 M. Handwerg, R. Mitdank, Z. Galazka, and S. F. Fischer, Semicond. Sci. Technol. 31, 125006 (2016).

²¹M. Handwerg, R. Mitdank, Z. Galazka, and S. F. Fischer, Semicond. Sci. Technol. 30, 024006 (2015).

22Z. Guo et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 106, 111909 (2015).

23Y. Zheng, E. Swinnich, and J.-H. Seo, ECS J. Solid State Sci. Technol. 9, 055007 (2020).

²⁴Y. Zhang, Q. Su, J. Zhu, S. Koirala, S. J. Koester, and X. Wang, Appl. Phys. Lett. 116, 202101 (2020).

²⁵A. Togo and I. Tanaka, Scr. Mater. **108**, 1 (2015).

²⁶K. Esfarjani and H. T. Stokes, Phys. Rev. B 77, 144112 (2008).

²⁷B. Liu, M. Gu, and X. Liu, Appl. Phys. Lett. **91**, 172102 (2007).

28S. Mu, H. Peelaers, and C. G. Van de Walle, Appl. Phys. Lett. 115, 242103 (2019).

²⁹Z. Yan and S. Kumar, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 20, 29236 (2018).

30 E. Ahmadi and Y. Oshima, J. Appl. Phys. 126, 160901 (2019).

³¹H. He, R. Orlando, M. A. Blanco, R. Pandey, E. Amzallag, I. Baraille, and M. Rérat, Phys. Rev. B 74, 195123 (2006).

³²A. Dulda, Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng. 2016, 1.

³³K. Momma and F. Izumi, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 44, 1272 (2011).

34W. Setyawan and S. Curtarolo, Comput. Mater. Sci. 49, 299 (2010).

³⁵A. Kokalj, J. Mol. Graph. Model. 17, 176 (1999).

³⁶P. Giannozzi *et al.*, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **21**, 395502 (2009).

³⁷P. Giannozzi et al., J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 29, 465901 (2017).

38W. Li, J. Carrete, N. A. Katcho, and N. Mingo, Comput. Phys. Commun. 185, 1747 (2014).

39W. Li, L. Lindsay, D. A. Broido, Derek A. Stewart, and Natalio Mingo, Phys. Rev. B 86, 174307 (2012).

40S. D. G. S. Baroni, A. Dal Corso, and P. Giannozzi, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 515 (2001).

⁴¹T. M. Tritt, *Thermal Conductivity Theory*, *Properties, and Applications*, Physics of Solids and Liquids Series (Springer, Boston, MA, 2004). ⁴²J. E. N. Swallow *et al.*, Chem. Mater. **32**, 8460 (2020).

⁴³W. Li and N. Mingo, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 144304 (2015).

45 J. S. Kang, M. Li, H. Wu, H. Nguyen, T. Aoki, and Y. J. Hu, Nat. Electron. 4, 416 (2021).

⁴⁴Z. Cheng, F. W. Mu, L. Yates, T. Suga, and S. Graham, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 12, 8376 (2020).