



HAL
open science

Thermodynamics of magnetized binary compact objects

Koji Uryu, Éric Gourgoulhon, Charalampos Markakis

► **To cite this version:**

Koji Uryu, Éric Gourgoulhon, Charalampos Markakis. Thermodynamics of magnetized binary compact objects. *Physical Review D*, 2010, 82, pp.104054. 10.1103/PhysRevD.82.104054 . hal-03732668

HAL Id: hal-03732668

<https://hal.science/hal-03732668>

Submitted on 29 Jul 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Thermodynamics of magnetized binary compact objectsKōji Uryū,¹ Eric Gourgoulhon,² and Charalampos Markakis³¹*Department of Physics, University of the Ryukyus, Senbaru, Nishihara, Okinawa 903-0213, Japan*²*Laboratoire Univers et Théories, UMR 8102 du CNRS, Observatoire de Paris, Université Paris Diderot, F-92190 Meudon, France*³*Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Post Office Box 413, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201, USA*

(Received 4 June 2010; published 30 November 2010)

Binary systems of compact objects with electromagnetic field are modeled by helically symmetric Einstein-Maxwell spacetimes with charged and magnetized perfect fluids. Previously derived thermodynamic laws for helically symmetric perfect-fluid spacetimes are extended to include the electromagnetic fields, and electric currents and charges; the first law is written as a relation between the change in the asymptotic Noether charge δQ and the changes in the area and electric charge of black holes, and in the vorticity, baryon rest mass, entropy, charge and magnetic flux of the magnetized fluid. Using the conservation laws of the circulation of magnetized flow found by Bekenstein and Oron for the ideal magnetohydrodynamic fluid, and also for the flow with zero conducting current, we show that, for nearby equilibria that conserve the quantities mentioned above, the relation $\delta Q = 0$ is satisfied. We also discuss a formulation for computing numerical solutions of magnetized binary compact objects in equilibrium with emphasis on a first integral of the ideal magnetohydrodynamic-Euler equation.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.82.104054

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q, 04.30.Db, 04.40.Dg, 04.40.Nr

I. INTRODUCTION

Recent observations of anomalous x-ray pulsars, or soft γ -ray repeaters, suggest the existence of neutron stars associated with magnetic fields strong enough to affect their structures in hydrostationary equilibrium (see, e.g. [1]). Such objects have not been found in binary neutron star systems, but hypothetically strongly magnetized neutron stars or black holes may form binary neutron star or black hole—neutron star systems. In this article, we model such magnetized binary compact objects in close circular orbits, assuming that the spacetime and magnetic fields satisfy a helical symmetry and that the stars are in equilibrium.

The helically symmetric spacetime was introduced by Blackburn and Detweiler [2] to model binary compact objects in close circular orbits in general relativity. In such spacetimes, equal amounts of ingoing and outgoing radiation are propagating, and hence these spacetimes do not admit flat asymptotics, because the steady radiation field carries an infinite amount of energy. Nevertheless, it is expected that such a spacetime has an approximate asymptotic region up to a certain radius, where gravitational waves are propagating in a curved background, and the energy of radiation does not dominate in the gravitational mass of the system. Such a solution, however, has not yet been calculated successfully in the regime of strong gravity. Analogously to Schild's result in electromagnetism for two oppositely charged point particles [3], circular orbits of two point particles have been obtained in post-Minkowskian spacetimes [4]. More studies for the helically symmetric spacetimes have been reported by several authors [5–14].

In [7] (hereafter FUS), thermodynamic laws for helically symmetric perfect-fluid spacetimes have been derived. In the first part of this paper, we extend the results of FUS to the magnetized perfect-fluid Einstein-Maxwell spacetimes with helical symmetry. As in FUS, we use a helical Killing vector k^α to define a conserved Noether current and associated Noether charge Q [15–21]. With an appropriate choice of the current and a constant of the electric potential, the charge Q becomes finite and is independent of the two surface S on which it is evaluated as long as the matter and black holes are enclosed in S . We obtain the first law, which relates the change δQ to the changes in the baryon mass, entropy, circulation and electric current of the fluid, and in the area and electric charge of the black holes. Its expression corresponds to the mass variation formula for stationary axisymmetric spacetimes derived by Carter [22,23] (Eq. (37) below¹). Concrete calculations for the variation, δQ , associated with the classical action for an Einstein-Maxwell theory coupled with a perfect fluid carrying an electric current,

$$\mathcal{Q} = \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} R - \epsilon - \frac{1}{16\pi} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} + A_\alpha j^\alpha \right) \sqrt{-g}, \quad (1)$$

are summarized in Appendices A and B to clarify notation and conventions.

When the late stages of binary inspiral are modeled using a sequence of equilibrium solutions of helically symmetric perfect-fluid spacetimes (without electromagnetic fields), the baryon mass, entropy, and circulation of the flow, and the area of each black hole

¹The first law Eq. (37) is for generic flows that respect the helical symmetry.

are assumed to be held constant (see e.g., [8,24–27]). Then, the expression of the first law becomes $\delta Q = 0$, or for asymptotically flat systems such as the post-Newtonian, or the spatially conformally flat systems, $\delta M = \Omega \delta J$, as a result of the conservations of those quantities (FUS). When electromagnetic fields and electric currents are present in neutron stars, the circulation of magnetized flow is not conserved in general. Hence, it is not possible to find a sequence of solutions along which the first law is simplified as above without further assumptions for the flow. In other words, in order to approximate binary inspiral just before a merger by a sequence of quasiequilibrium solutions, one needs to introduce a model for the evolution of neutron star spins. However, as shown in Sec. III, with an electric current introduced by Bekenstein and Oron for a class of ideal magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) flows ([28–30], see also [31] for nonrelativistic magnetized flow), a generalized circulation of magnetized flow is found to be conserved. Applying this law—the generalized Kelvin theorem for ideal MHD—we show that the relation $\delta Q = 0$ is satisfied along a sequence of helically symmetric equilibria of magnetized binary systems, and that the relation $\delta M = \Omega \delta J$ holds for asymptotically flat systems.

The above first law can be applied to actual sequences of solutions, and hence in the second part of the paper, in Secs. IV and V, formulations for computing such equilibrium solutions of magnetized binary compact objects are discussed. In particular, we discuss the first integral of the MHD-Euler equation, which is a key to compute equilibria of neutron stars numerically. Bekenstein and Oron [29] have found a first integral of the relativistic MHD-Euler equation using the same current for the case with ideal MHD irrotational flow, and also for the case with the purely convection current. As irrotational flow is considered to be more realistic in the final inspiral stage of the binary neutron stars and the black hole—neutron star binaries [32], we introduce the first integral by Bekenstein and Oron for ideal MHD irrotational flow, then derive a somewhat different first integral, which may be valid only on an initial hypersurface Σ_t , and write down a set of equations for the magnetized irrotational flow suitable for numerical computations of binary neutron stars and black hole—neutron star binaries in equilibrium.

We follow the conventions and notation in FUS. For a one-form w_α , the exterior derivative $(dw)_{\alpha\beta}$ (within index notation) is defined by

$$(dw)_{\alpha\beta} := \nabla_\alpha w_\beta - \nabla_\beta w_\alpha, \quad (2)$$

and for a two-form $w_{\alpha\beta} = w_{[\alpha\beta]}$ by

$$(dw)_{\alpha\beta\gamma} := 3\nabla_{[\alpha} w_{\beta\gamma]} = \nabla_\alpha w_{\beta\gamma} + \nabla_\beta w_{\gamma\alpha} + \nabla_\gamma w_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (3)$$

II. THERMODYNAMIC LAWS FOR EINSTEIN-MAXWELL SPACETIME WITH CHARGED AND MAGNETIZED PERFECT FLUID

A. Zeroth law and constancy of the electric potential on the Killing horizon

We consider a globally hyperbolic spacetime $(\mathcal{M}, g_{\alpha\beta})$ and a vector field k^α transverse to each Cauchy surface (but not necessarily everywhere timelike). This vector generates the one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms χ_t . The action of χ_t to a spacelike sphere S on a Cauchy surface generates a timelike surface, $\mathcal{T}(S) = \cup_t \chi_t(S)$, called the *history of S*. Then, as in FUS, k^α is called a *helical vector* if there is a smallest $T > 0$ for which P and $\chi_T(P)$ are timelike separated for every point P outside of the history $\mathcal{T}(S)$. Very often, k^α can be written $k^\alpha = t^\alpha + \Omega \phi^\alpha$, where $\Omega = 2\pi/T$, t^α is a timelike vector and ϕ^α a spacelike vector that has circular orbits with a parameter length 2π (see, FUS).

Each Cauchy surface of the helically symmetric spacetime does not admit flat asymptotics because the energy of the radiation generated by a binary equilibrium eventually dominates and causes a divergence. Therefore, as discussed in FUS, the future (past) horizon \mathcal{H}^\pm in helically symmetric spacetime is defined by the boundary of the future (past) domain of outer communication \mathcal{D}^\pm , where $P \in \mathcal{M}$ is in \mathcal{D}^\pm if the future (past) timelike curve $c(\lambda)$ through P ($:= c(0)$) remains outside of $\mathcal{T}(S)$ of each sphere S for a certain λ_0 , $\lambda > \lambda_0$. It is also shown that, if the history $\mathcal{T}(S)$ of a sphere S is in \mathcal{D}^\pm , the future (past) horizon agrees with the chronological past (future) of the history \mathcal{T} , $\mathcal{H}^\pm = \partial I^\mp(\mathcal{T})$.

The conditions of the theorems by Friedrich, Rácz, and Wald [33] are modified to make them suitable for helically symmetric spacetimes. With the assumption that the *null energy condition* holds: $R_{\alpha\beta} l^\alpha l^\beta \geq 0$ for any null vector l^α , those theorems yield the following properties: \mathcal{H}^\pm are Killing horizons, the shear $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ and the expansion θ of a null congruence vanish on \mathcal{H}^\pm , the Killing vector k^α is parallel to the null generators of the horizons, and the surface gravity κ of each disconnected horizon defined by

$$k^\beta \nabla_\beta k^\alpha = \kappa k^\alpha \quad (4)$$

is constant on each connected component of \mathcal{H}^\pm (FUS).

The Raychaudhuri equation,

$$\frac{d\theta}{d\lambda} = -R_{\alpha\beta} l^\alpha l^\beta - 2\sigma_{\alpha\beta} \sigma^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2, \quad (5)$$

is used to demonstrate the above properties. It implies $R_{\alpha\beta} l^\alpha l^\beta = 0$ on the Killing horizons \mathcal{H}^\pm . Assuming there exists no material flow through the horizon but there exists an electromagnetic field $F_{\alpha\beta} := (dA)_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_\alpha A_\beta - \nabla_\beta A_\alpha$, where A_α is the electromagnetic potential one-form, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha\beta}k^\alpha k^\beta &= 8\pi T_{\alpha\beta}^F k^\alpha k^\beta = 2F_{\alpha\gamma}F_\beta{}^\gamma k^\alpha k^\beta \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(E_\alpha E^\alpha + B_\alpha B^\alpha) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

on \mathcal{H}^\pm , where $T_{\alpha\beta}^F$ is the stress-energy tensor for the electromagnetic field, and E_α and B_α are the electric and magnetic components with respect to the helical vector defined by²

$$E_\alpha := F_{\alpha\beta}k^\beta, \quad B_\alpha := \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}F^{\beta\gamma}k^\delta. \quad (7)$$

Note that, as a consequence of (6), E^α and B^α are both null on \mathcal{H}^\pm . Using the Cartan identity,

$$k^\beta(dA)_{\beta\alpha} = \mathcal{L}_k A_\alpha - \nabla_\alpha(k^\beta A_\beta), \quad (8)$$

and assuming that A_α respects the symmetry $\mathcal{L}_k A_\alpha = 0$, one can introduce an electric potential in the rotating frame $E_\alpha = -\nabla_\alpha \Phi^E$.³ Since $E_\alpha k^\alpha = B_\alpha k^\alpha = 0$ and E_α and B_α are both null on \mathcal{H}^\pm , E_α and B_α are necessarily parallel to the null generator on \mathcal{H}^\pm . Then, for any vector η^α tangent to \mathcal{H}^\pm , $\eta^\alpha E_\alpha = -\eta^\alpha \nabla_\alpha \Phi^E = 0$, which implies that Φ^E is constant on the Killing horizon \mathcal{H}^\pm [22,23].

The potential Φ^E is defined globally if the domain of outer communications is simply connected, and Φ^E is unique up to the constant of integration. The constant may be chosen $\Phi^E \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for asymptotically flat systems. For the helically symmetric system, we set the constant by the condition

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_S k^\gamma A_\gamma F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad (9)$$

on the boundary sphere S which encloses all black holes and neutron stars, and on which a family of Noether charges is defined in the next section.⁴ The total electric charge of the system is defined by the surface integral over the sphere S ,

$$Q^E := \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_S F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (10)$$

and the condition (9) is rewritten for $-k^\alpha A_\alpha = \Phi^E + C$ with

²If k^α would be normalized by $k_\alpha k^\alpha = -1$, E_α and B_α could be interpreted physically as the electric and magnetic fields measured by the observer of four velocity k^α . Note however that in general $k_\alpha k^\alpha \neq -1$; even $k_\alpha k^\alpha = 0$ on \mathcal{H}^\pm .

³One can avoid the assumption that the field A_α respects the helical symmetry. Equation (7) implies $(dE)_{\alpha\beta} = -k^\gamma(dF)_{\gamma\alpha\beta} - \mathcal{L}_k F_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ for $(dF)_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0$ and the symmetry $\mathcal{L}_k F_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. Hence, from the Poincaré lemma, $\exists \Phi^E$ such that $E_\alpha = -\nabla_\alpha \Phi^E$ if the domain is connected and simply connected.

⁴For an asymptotically flat spacetime, the Noether charge defined on S with the choice of Eq. (9), and then the radius of S taken to be $r \rightarrow \infty$, agrees with a choice $\Phi^E \rightarrow 0$ at $r \rightarrow \infty$ (see, Sec. II B).

$$C = -\frac{1}{4\pi Q^E} \oint_S \Phi^E F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (11)$$

B. First law for systems with a single Killing vector

1. Definition of the Noether charge Q

Given a 1-parameter family of magnetized perfect-fluid Einstein-Maxwell spacetimes specified by

$$\mathcal{Q}(\lambda) := [g_{\alpha\beta}(\lambda), u^\alpha(\lambda), \rho(\lambda), s(\lambda), A_\alpha(\lambda), j^\alpha(\lambda)], \quad (12)$$

a family of Noether charges is defined on any sphere S that encloses all black holes and neutron stars associated with the electric charge and current [16–21]:

$$Q = \oint_S Q^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$Q^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \nabla^\alpha k^\beta + k^\alpha \mathfrak{B}^\beta - k^\beta \mathfrak{B}^\alpha, \quad (14)$$

and $\mathfrak{B}^\alpha(\lambda)$ is any family of vector fields that satisfies

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{d}{d\lambda} (\mathfrak{B}^\alpha \sqrt{-g}) = \Theta^\alpha, \quad (15)$$

Θ^α being defined by Eq. (A30) in Appendix A. The vector $\mathfrak{B}^\alpha(\lambda)$ is written,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}^\alpha(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{16\pi} (g^{\alpha\gamma} g^{\beta\delta} - g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\gamma\delta})|_{\lambda=0} \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\beta g_{\gamma\delta}(\lambda) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\pi} F^{\beta\alpha}|_{\lambda=0} [A_\beta(\lambda) - bA_\beta(0)] + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\beta$ is the covariant derivative of the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}(0)$ and b is a fixed parameter.

We choose $\mathfrak{B}^\alpha(\lambda)$ to make $Q(\lambda)$ finite; and, as we will see below, $Q(\lambda)$ is independent of the sphere S , as long as S encloses the fluid and black holes associated with electric charge and current. We first choose the parameter b in definition (16) to have $Q(0)$ satisfy these properties. Regardless of the choice of $\mathfrak{B}^\alpha(0)$, the variation of the Noether charge δQ is finite and independent of the sphere S . The change in the Noether charge δQ results in the first law for the Einstein-Maxwell spacetimes with charged and magnetized perfect fluid and Killing horizons, associated with a single Killing vector to impose the stationarity of the system.

In the calculation of the variation δQ , the Eulerian change of each quantity in Eq. (12) is defined by $\delta \mathcal{Q} := \frac{d}{d\lambda} \mathcal{Q}(\lambda)$, and the Lagrangian change at $\lambda = 0$ is given by

$$\Delta \mathcal{Q} = (\delta + \mathcal{L}_\xi) \mathcal{Q}, \quad (17)$$

where ξ^α is a Lagrangian displacement. The definition of Lagrangian perturbations is given in Appendix A 1.

2. Independence of $Q(0)$ on the location of S

When the electromagnetic field satisfies $F_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta} = 0$ in the region where the sphere S is located, $b = 1$ is chosen in Eq. (16) to make $Q(0)$ finite and independent of S . In this case, we have $\mathfrak{B}^\alpha(0) = 0$. When the steady electromagnetic radiation is propagating everywhere in the space-time, $b = 1/2$ is chosen. Then, $\mathfrak{B}^\alpha(0)$ becomes $\mathfrak{B}^\alpha(0) = F^{\beta\alpha}|_{\lambda=0}A_\beta(0)/8\pi$. For the former case, a contribution from the gravitational radiation field to the charge $Q(0)$ is subtracted, and for the latter case, contributions from the gravitational and electromagnetic radiation fields to the charge $Q(0)$ are subtracted; $Q(0)$ is finite and independent of S as long as it contains the fluid and all black holes in both cases.

To prove that the charge $Q = Q(0)$ is independent of the sphere S , we write $Q = Q_K + Q_L$, where Q_K is the Komar charge and Q_L an additional contribution related to the surface term of the Lagrangian, with

$$Q_K = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \oint_S \nabla^\alpha k^\beta dS_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (18)$$

$$Q_L = \oint_S (k^\alpha \mathfrak{B}^\beta - k^\beta \mathfrak{B}^\alpha) dS_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (19)$$

and rewrite Q in terms of integrals over a spacelike hypersurface Σ transverse to k^α . The boundary of Σ ,

$$\partial\Sigma = S \cup_i \mathcal{B}_i, \quad (20)$$

is the union of the sphere S and black hole boundaries \mathcal{B}_i , which is the i th connected component of $\Sigma \cap \mathcal{H}^+$. Correspondingly, surface integrals over the i th black hole horizon \mathcal{B}_i are written,

$$Q_{Ki} = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \oint_{\mathcal{B}_i} \nabla^\alpha k^\beta dS_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (21)$$

$$Q_{Li} = \oint_{\mathcal{B}_i} (k^\alpha \mathfrak{B}^\beta - k^\beta \mathfrak{B}^\alpha) dS_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (22)$$

Then, from the identity

$$\nabla_\beta \nabla^\alpha k^\beta = R^\alpha{}_\beta k^\beta, \quad (23)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_K - \sum_i Q_{Ki} &= -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\partial\Sigma} \nabla^\alpha k^\beta dS_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_\Sigma R^\alpha{}_\beta k^\beta dS_\alpha \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_\Sigma G^\alpha{}_\beta k^\beta dS_\alpha - \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_\Sigma Rk^\alpha dS_\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where the integral over the boundary $\partial\Sigma$ is related to the surface integrals with the orientations, $\int_{\partial\Sigma} Q^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta} = (\oint_S - \sum_i \oint_{\mathcal{B}_i}) Q^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta}$. If $F_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta} = 0$ is satisfied in the

neighborhood and outside of the sphere S , the vacuum Einstein equation is satisfied in the same region. From Eq. (24) and the choice $\mathfrak{B}^\alpha(0) = 0$, Q is then independent of the location of S . For the case $F_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$, using

$$\begin{aligned} Q_L - \sum_i Q_{Li} &= \int_\Sigma \nabla_\beta (k^\alpha \mathfrak{B}^\beta - k^\beta \mathfrak{B}^\alpha) dS_\alpha \\ &= \int_\Sigma \nabla_\beta \mathfrak{B}^\beta k^\alpha dS_\alpha \\ &= \int_\Sigma \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} \nabla_\beta F^{\alpha\beta} A_\alpha - \frac{1}{16\pi} F^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta} \right) k^\gamma dS_\gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q - \sum_i Q_i &= -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_\Sigma (G^\alpha{}_\beta - 8\pi T_F^\alpha{}_\beta) k^\beta dS_\alpha \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_\Sigma Rk^\alpha dS_\alpha + \int_\Sigma \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} \nabla_\gamma F^{\beta\gamma} A_\beta k^\alpha \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{4\pi} k^\gamma A_\gamma \nabla_\beta F^{\alpha\beta} \right) dS_\alpha \\ &\quad - \sum_i \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\mathcal{B}_i} k^\gamma A_\gamma F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where $T_F^\alpha{}_\beta$, the stress-energy tensor of the electromagnetic field, is defined by Eq. (A6). To derive Eq. (26), we have used the Cartan identity (8), the symmetry relation $\mathcal{L}_k A_\alpha = 0$, and Eq. (9). From Eq. (26), it is obvious that Q does not depend on the sphere S as long as it encloses all black holes and neutron stars; all integrands of the volume integrals over Σ in Eq. (26) are zero in the region where there are no matters and currents, where the sphere S is placed. This argument may be clearer by using an expression of the Komar charge associated with the Lagrangian, Eq. (B8), given in Appendix B.

3. First law for the charge Q

The generalized first law will be obtained by evaluating the variation δQ in the Noether charge in terms of perturbations of the baryon mass, entropy, circulation and electric current of each fluid element, and the surface areas and charges of the black holes. To find the change δQ , we first compute the difference,

$$\delta \left(Q - \sum_i Q_i \right), \quad (27)$$

between the charge on the sphere S and the sum of the charges on the black holes \mathcal{B}_i . The calculation is performed in Appendix B and results in Eq. (B15). In computing the difference (27), we choose two kinds of gauge: the first one is to choose $\delta k^\alpha = 0$ using the diffeomorphism gauge freedom, and the second one $\xi^t = 0$ for the Lagrangian displacement as a result of the trivial displacement (see Appendix B and FUS). For a perfect-fluid

spacetime, it has been shown in FUS that the quantity (27) is invariant under gauge transformations that respect the Killing symmetry. For the case with an electromagnetic field, the same invariance under gauge transformations associated with diffeomorphisms and the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry is shown to hold for the charge Q with a contribution from the electromagnetic fields, as is discussed below.

In the black-hole charges $Q_i = Q_{Ki} + Q_{Li}$, Q_{Ki} is calculated in FUS:

$$Q_{Ki} = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \oint_{\mathcal{B}_i} \nabla^\alpha k^\beta dS_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \kappa_i \mathcal{A}_i, \quad (28)$$

where \mathcal{A}_i is the area of the i th black hole. The Q_{Li} is made of contributions from the geometry, electric charge, and electromagnetic field. The former has been evaluated in FUS following [34]:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Q_{Li} &= \oint_{\mathcal{B}_i} (k^\alpha \Theta^\beta - k^\beta \Theta^\alpha) dS_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi} \delta \kappa_i \mathcal{A}_i + \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\mathcal{B}_i} k_\alpha F^{\beta\alpha} \delta A_\beta d\mathcal{A}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

For the latter contribution, since $k^\alpha F_{\beta\alpha} = E_\beta$ is parallel to the null generator k_β on \mathcal{H}^+ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} k_\alpha F^{\beta\alpha} \delta A_\beta d\mathcal{A} &= k^\alpha F_{\beta\alpha} g^{\beta\gamma} \delta A_\gamma d\mathcal{A} \\ &= k^\alpha F_{\beta\alpha} (-k^\beta \eta^\gamma - \eta^\beta k^\gamma) \delta A_\gamma d\mathcal{A} \\ &= k^\alpha \eta^\beta F_{\alpha\beta} \delta(k^\gamma A_\gamma) d\mathcal{A} \\ &= \delta(k^\gamma A_\gamma) F^{\alpha\beta} \frac{1}{2} (k_\alpha \eta_\beta - k_\beta \eta_\alpha) d\mathcal{A} \\ &= \delta(k^\gamma A_\gamma) F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where η^α is the unique null vector field orthogonal to \mathcal{B}_i satisfying $\eta_\alpha k^\alpha = -1$, and $\delta k^\gamma = 0$ is used. Hence

$$\delta Q_{Li} = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \delta \kappa_i \mathcal{A}_i + \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\mathcal{B}_i} \delta(k^\gamma A_\gamma) F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (31)$$

The contributions from the horizon are Eqs. (28) and (31), and the surface integral in the right-hand side (rhs) of Eq. (B15),

$$-\sum_i \frac{1}{4\pi} \delta \oint_{\mathcal{B}_i} k^\gamma A_\gamma F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (32)$$

Hence the sum of Eqs. (31) and (32) and the perturbed (28) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Q_i - \frac{1}{4\pi} \delta \oint_{\mathcal{B}_i} k^\gamma A_\gamma F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta}, \\ = \frac{1}{8\pi} \kappa_i \delta \mathcal{A}_i - \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\mathcal{B}_i} k^\gamma A_\gamma \delta(F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta}) \\ = \frac{1}{8\pi} \kappa_i \delta \mathcal{A}_i + \Phi_i^E \delta Q_i^E, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where the total electric charge of the system Eq. (10) is rewritten using Stokes's theorem:

$$Q^E = \int_\Sigma j^\alpha dS_\alpha + \sum_i \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\mathcal{B}_i} F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (34)$$

and the electric charge on each black hole is defined by

$$Q_i^E := \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\mathcal{B}_i} F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (35)$$

Note that Φ_i^E is defined on each \mathcal{B}_i by

$$\Phi_i^E = -A^\alpha k_\alpha = \Phi^E + C \quad (36)$$

and is constant.

Finally, when Einstein's equation, Maxwell's equations, their linear perturbations and the equation of motion are all satisfied, the first law, which relates the change of the Noether charge to changes in the thermodynamic and hydrodynamic equilibrium of matter, in the electric current and electromagnetic field, and in the area and electric charge of the horizon, is derived from Eqs. (B15) and (33),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Q &= \int_\Sigma \left\{ \frac{T}{u^t} \Delta(s\rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha) + \frac{h - Ts}{u^t} \Delta(\rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha) \right. \\ &\quad + v^\beta \Delta(hu_\beta \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha) - A_\beta k^\beta \Delta(j^\alpha dS_\alpha) \\ &\quad \left. - (j^\alpha k^\beta - j^\beta k^\alpha) \Delta A_\beta dS_\alpha \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_i \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} \kappa_i \delta \mathcal{A}_i + \Phi_i^E \delta Q_i^E \right). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Here T is the temperature, s the entropy per baryon, h the relativistic enthalpy and v^α is defined by the following decomposition of the fluid four velocity with respect to the helical vector:

$$u^\alpha = u^t(k^\alpha + v^\alpha) \quad \text{with} \quad v^\alpha \nabla_\alpha t = 0. \quad (38)$$

Note that $u^t = u^\alpha \nabla_\alpha t$. In the special case of stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes (for which k^α is a linear combination of the stationary Killing vector and the axisymmetric one), Eq. (37) reduces to the mass variation formula derived by Carter [22,23].⁵

As mentioned earlier, we can verify now that $Q(\lambda)$ is independent of the location of the 2 surface S on which it is evaluated. In Sec. II B 2, the charge $Q(\lambda)$ at $\lambda = 0$ is shown to be independent of S , and the variation formula Eq. (37) imply that $dQ/d\lambda = \delta Q$ is independent of S as long as it encloses the fluid and black holes.

⁵To derive the mass variation formula for stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes from Eq. (37), one can replace the helical vector k^α by the timelike killing vector t^α . All calculations above are valid with this replacement, and now δQ becomes δM as the sphere S goes to infinity (see Sec. II B 5 and FUS). Extra terms relating to the angular momentum of the fluid and black hole (geometry and electromagnetic field) appear in the rhs of Eq. (37) as a result of this replacement.

4. Gauge invariance of δQ

As shown in FUS, for perfect-fluid spacetimes, the difference $\delta(Q - \sum_i Q_i)$ is invariant under any gauge transformation associated with diffeomorphisms that respects the symmetry k^α ; in fact $\delta(Q_K - \sum_i Q_{Ki})$ and $\delta(Q_L - \sum_i Q_{Li})$ are separately invariant [7]. Because of the contribution from the electric potential at the horizon, $\delta(Q - \sum_i Q_i)$ is no longer invariant when an electromagnetic field is present, neither is each contribution. We find, however, that an expression in which the contribution of the electric charge times the potential at the boundary is subtracted,

$$\delta\left(Q - \sum_i Q_i - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial\Sigma} k^\gamma A_\gamma F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta}\right), \quad (39)$$

is invariant under the gauge transformation that respects the symmetry and the $U(1)$ gauge transformation as shown below.

The gauge transformation associated with a vector field η^α is given by

$$\delta_\eta Q = \mathcal{L}_\eta Q, \quad \xi^\alpha(\eta) = -\eta^\alpha, \quad (40)$$

and the corresponding Lagrangian variation is identically zero,

$$\Delta_\eta = \delta_\eta + \mathcal{L}_{-\eta} = 0. \quad (41)$$

We decompose the vector η^α with respect to the symmetry k^α ,

$$\eta^\alpha = \eta^\alpha \nabla_\alpha t k^\alpha + \hat{\eta}^\alpha, \quad (42)$$

with $\hat{\eta}^\alpha \nabla_\alpha t = 0$.

Then, the change in $\delta(Q_L - \sum_i Q_{Li})$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\eta(Q_L - \sum_i Q_{Li}) &= \int_\Sigma \nabla_\beta \Theta^\beta k^\alpha dS_\alpha \\ &= \int_\Sigma \delta_\eta \mathcal{L} d^3x \\ &= \int_\Sigma \nabla_\alpha (\mathcal{L} \hat{\eta}^\alpha) d^3x \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\partial\Sigma} F_{\gamma\delta} F^{\gamma\delta} k^\alpha \hat{\eta}^\beta dS_{\alpha\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where we used the relation $\delta_\eta \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\eta \mathcal{L} = \nabla_\alpha (\mathcal{L} \hat{\eta}^\alpha) = \nabla_\beta (\mathcal{L} k^\alpha \nabla_\alpha t \hat{\eta}^\beta)$, with $k^\alpha \nabla_\alpha t = 1$. The nonzero contribution to the Lagrangian density \mathcal{L} at the boundary $\partial\Sigma$ is that of the electromagnetic field \mathcal{L}_F .

Similarly $\delta(Q_K - \sum_i Q_{Ki})$ is calculated from Eq. (24):

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\eta(Q_K - \sum_i Q_{Ki}) &= -\frac{1}{8\pi} \delta_\eta \int_\Sigma R^\alpha{}_\beta k^\beta dS_\alpha \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\partial\Sigma} 2R^\alpha{}_\gamma k^\gamma \hat{\eta}^\beta dS_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Sigma} [\mathcal{L}_k A_\delta - \nabla_\delta (k^\gamma A_\gamma)] \\ &\quad \times F^{\alpha\delta} \hat{\eta}^\beta dS_{\alpha\beta} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\partial\Sigma} F_{\gamma\delta} F^{\gamma\delta} k^\alpha \hat{\eta}^\beta dS_{\alpha\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where we have substituted $R^\alpha{}_\beta = 8\pi T_F^\alpha{}_\beta$ at $\partial\Sigma$ and Eq. (A6), before using Eq. (8). Finally, the last term in Eq. (39) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{4\pi} \delta_\eta \int_{\partial\Sigma} k^\gamma A_\gamma F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \delta_\eta \int_\Sigma \nabla_\delta (k^\gamma A_\gamma F^{\alpha\delta}) dS_\alpha \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Sigma} \nabla_\delta (k^\gamma A_\gamma F^{\alpha\delta}) \hat{\eta}^\beta dS_{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Adding Eqs. (44), (43), and (45), and imposing $\mathcal{L}_k A_\alpha = 0$ and $\nabla_\beta F^{\alpha\beta} = 0$ at $\partial\Sigma$, all terms cancel out:

$$\delta_\eta\left(Q - \sum_i Q_i - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial\Sigma} k^\gamma A_\gamma F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta}\right) = 0. \quad (46)$$

Hence the difference (39) is invariant under a gauge transformation that respects the symmetry.

For the $U(1)$ gauge transformation, we directly show, instead of Eq. (39), the invariance of the difference evaluated at the surface S ,

$$\delta\left(Q - \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_S k^\gamma A_\gamma F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta}\right), \quad (47)$$

under the transformation with a gauge potential f ,

$$\delta_f A_\alpha = \nabla_\alpha f. \quad (48)$$

The change in charge Q with this transformation is

$$\delta_f Q = \delta_f Q_L = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_S (k^\alpha F^{\gamma\beta} - k^\beta F^{\gamma\alpha}) \delta_f A_\gamma dS_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (49)$$

Then, the difference (47) vanishes

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_f\left(Q - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_S k^\gamma A_\gamma F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta}\right) \\ &= -\frac{3}{4\pi} \oint_S k^{[\alpha} F^{\beta\gamma]} \nabla_\gamma f dS_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

because integration by part of the rhs of the first equality becomes an integration of a divergence over S that vanishes, and an integral of

$$3\nabla_\gamma(k^{[\alpha}F^{\beta\gamma]}) = 2k^{[\alpha}\nabla_\gamma F^{\beta\gamma]} + \nabla_\gamma k^\gamma F^{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{L}_k F^{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad (51)$$

when the Maxwell's equation is satisfied on S where the current is zero, and k^α the Killing vector.

5. Asymptotically flat systems

FUS have derived the first law in a Hamiltonian framework, and shown the relations between Q_K and δQ_L and the asymptotic quantities, the ADM mass M , the Komar mass M_K associated with the timelike asymptotic Killing vector t^α , and the angular momentum J associated with the asymptotic rotational Killing vector ϕ^α . In the presence of an electromagnetic field, the only difference with FUS is the following term in δQ_L

$$\oint_\infty (k^\alpha \Theta_F^\beta - k^\beta \Theta_F^\alpha) dS_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (52)$$

where

$$\oint_\infty := \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \oint_{S_r}, \quad (53)$$

with S_r is a sphere of a radius r , and Θ_F^α is the surface term of the variation of electromagnetic Lagrangian defined by

$$\Theta_F^\alpha = \frac{1}{4\pi} F^{\beta\alpha} \delta A_\beta. \quad (54)$$

However, this does not contribute to δQ_L , because, for asymptotically flat systems, the components of A_α are $O(r^{-1})$ or lower, and, accordingly, those of $F^{\alpha\beta}$ are $O(r^{-2})$ or lower, hence

$$\oint_\infty (k^\alpha \Theta_F^\beta - k^\beta \Theta_F^\alpha) dS_{\alpha\beta} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \oint_{S_r} \Theta_F^\alpha \nabla_\alpha r r^2 d\Omega = 0 \quad (55)$$

where the relations $k^\alpha \nabla_\alpha t = 1$ and $k^\alpha \nabla_\alpha r = 0$ have been used. Therefore, as in FUS,

$$Q_K = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \oint_\infty \nabla^\alpha k^\beta dS_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} M_K - \Omega J \quad (56)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Q_L &= \oint_\infty (k^\alpha \Theta_F^\beta - k^\beta \Theta_F^\alpha) dS_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= \delta M - \frac{1}{2} \delta M_K + \delta \Omega J \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

which results in

$$\delta Q = \delta M - \Omega \delta J. \quad (58)$$

As we will see below, when two nearby equilibria are compared conserving the integral quantities, including the generalized Kelvin circulation for magnetized flow, and the areas and electric charges of the black holes, so that the rhs of Eq. (37) vanishes, the first law is simply written $\delta Q = 0$, or $\delta M = \Omega \delta J$ for asymptotically flat systems.

III. COMPARING STATIONARY SYSTEMS

A. Ideal MHD flow

1. Conservation of rest mass, entropy and electric charge

For an isentropic fluid, conservation of rest mass and entropy can be expressed by means of a Lie derivative along the fluid four velocity u^α :

$$\mathcal{L}_u(\rho\sqrt{-g}) = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_u s = 0 \quad (59)$$

and if these quantities are conserved in the perturbed states, the perturbed conservation laws have first integrals

$$\Delta(\rho\sqrt{-g}) = 0, \quad \Delta s = 0. \quad (60)$$

Since we assume that the electric current is not necessarily colinear to the fluid four velocity, conservation of electric current,

$$\mathcal{L}_j \sqrt{-g} = 0, \quad (61)$$

does not imply another perturbed conservation law analogous to Eq. (60) with respect to the Lagrange perturbation of the congruence of flow lines, that is, $\Delta(j^\alpha dS_\alpha) \neq 0$. However, its volume integral over the neutron star should vanish because of the conservation of electric charge:

$$\delta Q_m^E = \delta \int_\Sigma j^\alpha dS_\alpha = \int_\Sigma \Delta(j^\alpha dS_\alpha) = 0. \quad (62)$$

2. Conservation of magnetic flux for ideal MHD

Assuming perfect conductivity for the magnetized flow of the neutron star matter, the ideal MHD condition

$$F_{\alpha\beta} u^\beta = 0, \quad (63)$$

is satisfied, and the curl of Eq. (63) becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_u F_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad (64)$$

as a result of the Cartan identity and $(dF)_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0$. Equation (64) implies the well-known conservation law of magnetic flux, Alfven's theorem. Let us introduce the map ψ_τ as the family of diffeomorphisms generated by u^α , namely, the curve $\tau \rightarrow \psi_\tau(P)$ has the tangent vector $u^\alpha(P)$ at a point P . For any closed curve c contractable to a point, we consider the closed curve $c_\tau = \psi_\tau \circ c$ obtained by moving each point of c during the proper time τ along the fluid trajectory through that point. Then the conservation of magnetic flux, which is the integral form of the law (64), amounts to the conservation of the integral of the 1-form A_α along the closed curve c_τ in the fluid:

$$\oint_{c_\tau} A_\alpha d\ell^\alpha = C_F = \text{const.} \quad (65)$$

When the perturbed state also satisfies the ideal MHD condition, the perturbed version of the conservation of magnetic flux (12) has a first integral

$$\Delta F_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad (66)$$

and hence $\Delta F_{\alpha\beta} = (d\Delta A)_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ is satisfied for any region in the fluid. For $(d\Delta A)_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ to be satisfied, it suffices that $\Delta A_\alpha = \nabla_\alpha \Psi$ for some scalar field Ψ . Conversely, as long as the fluid support (neutron star) is star convex, the Poincaré lemma guarantees the existence of Ψ . As a result, the last term of the volume integral of Eq. (37) vanishes:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma} -(j^\alpha k^\beta - j^\beta k^\alpha) \Delta A_\beta dS_\alpha \\ &= \int_{\partial\Sigma} -(j^\alpha k^\beta - j^\beta k^\alpha) \Psi dS_{\alpha\beta} \\ & \quad + \int_{\Sigma} \nabla_\beta (j^\alpha k^\beta - j^\beta k^\alpha) \Psi dS_\alpha = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

because there is no electric current on the boundary surface $\partial\Sigma$, and a relation, $\nabla_\beta (j^\alpha k^\beta - j^\beta k^\alpha) = \mathcal{L}_k j^\alpha + j^\alpha \nabla_\beta k^\beta - k^\alpha \nabla_\beta j^\beta = 0$, is satisfied for the conserved current j^α that respects the symmetry.

3. Conservation of circulation for ideal MHD: Generalized Kelvin's Theorem

When two equilibria of some ideal MHD flow are compared with the same rest mass, same entropy and same magnetic flux, the perturbed conservation laws (60) and (66), as well as Eq. (67) are satisfied. Then the change in the Noether charge (37) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Q &= \int_{\Sigma} [v^\beta \Delta(hu_\beta \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha) - A_\beta k^\beta \Delta(j^\alpha dS_\alpha)] \\ & \quad + \sum_i \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} \kappa_i \delta \mathcal{A}_i + \Phi_i^E \delta Q_i^E \right). \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

For some perfect fluid *without* magnetic field, the circulation of the flow is conserved. The curl of the relativistic Euler equation $u^\beta \hat{\omega}_{\beta\alpha} = 0$ is written $\mathcal{L}_u \hat{\omega}_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ where $\hat{\omega}_{\alpha\beta}$ is the relativistic vorticity defined by $\hat{\omega}_{\alpha\beta} = (d(hu))_{\alpha\beta}$ and a corresponding integral law, known as Kelvin's theorem, is the conservation of circulation, the line integral of hu_α along an arbitrary closed curve comoving with the fluid. As shown in FUS, the contribution from the circulation to the change in the Noether charge δQ is included in the term

$$\int_{\Sigma} v^\beta \Delta(hu_\beta \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha), \quad (69)$$

which vanishes when the circulation is conserved in the perturbed flow, for example, when the irrotational flow, or the corotational flow, is maintained. This can be shown in the same way as eliminating a term (67) using the conservation of magnetic flux.

The integral in Eq. (68), however, does not in general vanish for magnetized flows, or even for ideal MHD flows, because of the lack of a conservation of circulation law in

the magnetized case. This can be seen from the relativistic MHD-Euler equation which is not the inner product of the fluid four velocity and an exact two-form, because of the Lorentz force on the right-hand side,

$$u^\beta (d(hu))_{\beta\alpha} = \frac{1}{\rho} F_{\alpha\beta} j^\beta. \quad (70)$$

Nevertheless, Bekenstein and Oron [29] (see also [30]) have found that, if the four current takes the form

$$j^\alpha = \nabla_\beta (\rho u^\alpha q^\beta - \rho u^\beta q^\alpha), \quad (71)$$

where q^α is an auxiliary vector field, one can obtain a generalized conserved circulation for magnetized flow. This four current is derived from the variation of a Lagrangian in which the ideal MHD condition is added as an interaction term $\rho q^\alpha F_{\alpha\beta} u^\beta$ with the Lagrange multiplier ρq^α . The form (71) manifestly satisfies the electric charge conservation: $\nabla_\alpha j^\alpha = 0$. Note that, for a given four current j^α , one has the degree of freedom to change q^α according to

$$q^\alpha \mapsto q^\alpha + \lambda u^\alpha \quad (72)$$

for a scalar λ without affecting the value of j^α .

Using $\nabla_\alpha (\rho u^\alpha) = 0$ [cf. Eq. (59)], the four current (71) can be rewritten

$$j^\alpha = \mathcal{L}_q (\rho u^\alpha) + \rho u^\alpha \nabla_\beta q^\beta. \quad (73)$$

Substituting the form into the Lorentz force, we get

$$\frac{1}{\rho} F_{\alpha\beta} j^\beta = \frac{1}{\rho} F_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{L}_q (\rho u^\beta) = (d\eta)_{\alpha\beta} u^\beta, \quad (74)$$

where 1-form η_α is defined by

$$\eta_\alpha := F_{\alpha\beta} q^\beta, \quad (75)$$

and a relation (C1) from Appendix C, which is implied by the ideal MHD condition (63), is used. Note that, thanks to (63), the 1-form η_α does not depend on the specific choice of q^α within the range allowed by (72). By means of (74), the MHD-Euler Eq. (70) is simply written,

$$u^\beta (dw)_{\beta\alpha} = 0, \quad (76)$$

where w_α is the generalized momentum 1-form defined by

$$w_\alpha := hu_\alpha + \eta_\alpha. \quad (77)$$

From Eq. (76) one can easily deduce a generalized conservation of circulation law for ideal MHD flows. Indeed, defining the vorticity $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$ of a magnetized flow by

$$\omega_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_\alpha w_\beta - \nabla_\beta w_\alpha = (dw)_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (78)$$

the Cartan identity, combined with Eq. (76) and the identity $d\omega = d^2 w = 0$, yields

$$\mathcal{L}_u \omega_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \quad (79)$$

By means of the Stokes theorem, this conservation law can be put in the following integral form [using the same notation as in Eq. (65)]:

$$\oint_{c_\tau} (hu_\alpha + \eta_\alpha) d\ell^\alpha = C_m = \text{const.} \quad (80)$$

This law, which has been first derived by Bekenstein and Oron [29], constitutes a generalization to ideal MHD of the relativistic Kelvin's circulation theorem (which corresponds to $\eta_\alpha = 0$, see e.g. [35])

One can repeat the same argument as for the magnetic flux in the previous section. The perturbation of Eq. (79) for the magnetized vorticity has first integral

$$\Delta \omega_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad (81)$$

which implies $\Delta \omega_{\alpha\beta} = (d\Delta(hu + \eta))_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. The Poincaré lemma guarantees that a function Ψ exists on

$$\begin{aligned} -A_\beta k^\beta \Delta(j^\alpha dS_\alpha) &= (\mathcal{L}_k A_\gamma - k^\beta F_{\beta\gamma}) \Delta[(\rho u^\alpha q^\gamma - \rho u^\gamma q^\alpha) dS_\alpha] - \nabla_\gamma \{A_\beta k^\beta \Delta[(\rho u^\alpha q^\gamma - \rho u^\gamma q^\alpha) dS_\alpha]\} \\ &= v^\beta \Delta(\eta_\beta \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha) - v^\beta q^\gamma \Delta F_{\beta\gamma} \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha - \frac{1}{u^t} u^\beta F_{\beta\gamma} \Delta(\rho u^\alpha q^\gamma dS_\alpha) - k^\beta F_{\beta\gamma} \Delta(\rho u^\gamma q^\alpha dS_\alpha) \\ &\quad - \nabla_\gamma \{A_\beta k^\beta \Delta[(\rho u^\alpha q^\gamma - \rho u^\gamma q^\alpha) dS_\alpha]\}, \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

where the relation $\Delta \nabla_\beta (f^{\alpha\beta} dS_\alpha) = \nabla_\beta \Delta (f^{\alpha\beta} dS_\alpha)$, valid for any antisymmetric tensor $f^{\alpha\beta}$, and the Cartan identity (8) are used, and the symmetry $\mathcal{L}_k A_\gamma = 0$ is imposed.

Since the ideal MHD condition (63) is satisfied, terms including $F_{\alpha\beta} u^\beta$ are discarded. Also a term involving $F_{\alpha\beta} \Delta u^\beta$ is discarded, because Δu^β is colinear to u^β (see Eq. (A10)). Substituting Eq. (83) to Eq. (37), the integral of the last term of Eq. (83) becomes a surface integral on $\partial\Sigma$ which vanishes. Hence, the first law (37) for the Bekenstein-Oron formulation of ideal MHD is written

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Q &= \int_\Sigma \left\{ \frac{T}{u^t} \Delta(s\rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha) + \frac{h - Ts}{u^t} \Delta(\rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha) \right. \\ &\quad + v^\beta \Delta[(hu_\beta + \eta_\beta) \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha] \\ &\quad \left. - v^\beta q^\gamma \Delta F_{\beta\gamma} \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha - (j^\alpha k^\beta - j^\beta k^\alpha) \Delta A_\beta dS_\alpha \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_i \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} \kappa_i \delta \mathcal{A}_i + \Phi_i^E \delta Q_i^E \right). \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

Introducing the following notation

$$\begin{aligned} dM_B &:= \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha, & dS &:= s dM_B, \\ dC_\alpha &:= (hu_\alpha + \eta_\alpha) dM_B, \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

we further rewrite Eq. (84) as

the star-convex fluid support such that $\Delta(hu_\alpha + \eta_\alpha) = \nabla_\alpha \Psi$.

It is also suggested from Eq. (76) that an irrotational magnetohydrodynamic flow, $\omega_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, is described by a velocity potential Φ that satisfies

$$hu_\alpha + \eta_\alpha = \nabla_\alpha \Phi, \quad (82)$$

and, in this case, $\Psi = \Delta\Phi$.

4. First law for the ideal MHD with Bekenstein-Oron current

For ideal MHD flow with the Bekenstein-Oron current (71), the first law of the form Eq. (68) is further simplified when comparing two nearby equilibria that conserve the circulation of a magnetized flow, (80). Substituting Eq. (71) to the second term of the integrand of the volume integral in Eq. (68), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Q &= \int_\Sigma \left\{ \frac{T}{u^t} \Delta dS + \frac{h - Ts}{u^t} \Delta dM_B + v^\alpha \Delta dC_\alpha \right. \\ &\quad \left. - v^\beta q^\gamma \Delta F_{\beta\gamma} dM_B - (j^\alpha k^\beta - j^\beta k^\alpha) \Delta A_\beta dS_\alpha \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_i \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} \kappa_i \delta \mathcal{A}_i + \Phi_i^E \delta Q_i^E \right). \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

When the circulation of magnetized flow is conserved, there exists a potential Ψ such that $\Delta(hu_\alpha + \eta_\alpha) = \nabla_\alpha \Psi$. Applying an argument analogous to that for the magnetic flux in Sec. III A 2, a term for the circulation of magnetized flow in the rhs of Eq. (84) vanishes:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Sigma v^\beta \Delta(hu_\beta + \eta_\beta) \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha \\ = \int_\Sigma (\rho u^\alpha v^\beta - \rho u^\beta v^\alpha) \nabla_\beta \Psi dS_\alpha = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

where $v^\alpha dS_\alpha = 0$ is used in the first equality, and the last equality is proved in the same way as in Eq. (67) because of a relation, $\nabla_\beta (\rho u^\alpha v^\beta - \rho u^\beta v^\alpha) = \nabla_\beta (\rho u^\beta k^\alpha - \rho u^\alpha k^\beta) = -\mathcal{L}_k (\rho u^\alpha) - \rho u^\alpha \nabla_\beta k^\beta + k^\alpha \nabla_\beta (\rho u^\beta) = 0$. Therefore, the rest mass, entropy, circulation of magnetized flow and magnetic flux are all conserved in the perturbation of ideal MHD flow with the Bekenstein-Oron current (71), namely, Eqs. (60), (66), and (81), are satisfied, the change in the Noether charge (84) becomes

$$\delta Q = \sum_i \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} \kappa_i \delta \mathcal{A}_i + \Phi_i^E \delta Q_i^E \right). \quad (88)$$

B. MHD flow without conduction current

It is expected that the inner core of the neutron star may be composed of a mixture of superfluid protons and high-energy particles. Such flows are well described by an ideal fluid without conduction current but only convection current:

$$j^\alpha = \rho e u^\alpha, \quad (89)$$

where e is the electric charge per baryon mass [28]. Conservation of rest mass, $\nabla_\alpha(\rho u^\alpha) = 0$, and current, $\nabla_\alpha j^\alpha = 0$, imply that the specific charge e is conserved along fluid flow lines,

$$\mathcal{L}_u e = 0. \quad (90)$$

Substituting the current (89) into the first law (37), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Q = \int_\Sigma \left\{ \frac{T}{u^t} \Delta(s \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha) + \frac{h - Ts}{u^t} \Delta(\rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha) \right. \\ \left. + v^\beta \Delta[(hu_\beta + eA_\beta) \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha] \right. \\ \left. - \frac{A_\beta u^\beta}{u^t} \Delta(e \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha) \right\} \\ + \sum_i \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} \kappa_i \delta \mathcal{A}_i + \Phi_i^E \delta Q_i^E \right), \quad (91) \end{aligned}$$

and also into the MHD-Euler Eq. (70),

$$u^\beta (d(hu + eA))_{\beta\alpha} + A_\beta u^\beta \nabla_\alpha e = 0. \quad (92)$$

As shown in [28], the circulation of the magnetized flow defined by

$$\Gamma := \oint_{c_\tau} (hu_\alpha + eA_\alpha) d\ell^\alpha \quad (93)$$

is conserved only when the closed curve c_τ is taken along a curve of constant specific charge e . If we further assume that the charge is distributed initially satisfying

$$e = e(A_\alpha u^\alpha) \quad (94)$$

(or merely $e = \text{constant}$ in the simplest case), the curl of Eq. (92) becomes a law of conservation of circulation for magnetized flow,

$$\mathcal{L}_u (d(hu + eA))_{\beta\alpha} = 0, \quad (95)$$

and Γ is constant for any closed curved c_τ comoving with the flow. Then, with the same argument in Sec. III A 4, when nearby equilibrium solutions having the same value of circulation Γ are compared, the perturbed conservation law,

$$\Delta(d(hu + eA))_{\beta\alpha} = 0, \quad (96)$$

is satisfied. Hence, with Eq. (96), a perturbation of Eq. (90),

$$\Delta e = 0, \quad (97)$$

and conservation of rest mass and entropy (60), the first law for a flow without conduction current is also written simply as Eq. (88). It should be noted that the condition

$e = \text{constant}$ may not be too restrictive for an application such as the superfluid proton component in a neutron star interior.

IV. INTEGRABILITY CONDITION FOR THE MHD-EULER EQUATION IN IDEAL MHD

When the stationarity or helical symmetry is imposed explicitly on the (MHD)-Euler equation, it is no longer an evolution equation. In usual methods [24–26], its numerical solution is calculated using its first integral—a sufficient condition for the stationary or helically symmetric (MHD)-Euler equation being satisfied. Therefore, finding the first integral is a key, and also a restriction, for computing equilibrium solutions considered in Sec. III.

As shown in Sec. III A 3, when the Bekenstein-Oron four current (71) is introduced, the relativistic MHD-Euler equation for ideal MHD flows takes the form (76). If we assume that the generalized momentum (77) of the magnetized flow respects the helical symmetry, $\mathcal{L}_k w_\alpha = 0$, then a first integral is immediately derived for corotational and irrotational flows, in a way fully analogous with the nonmagnetized case [36] (see also [35]): the Cartan identity $k^\beta \omega_{\beta\alpha} = \mathcal{L}_k w_\alpha - \nabla_\alpha(w_\beta k^\beta)$ reduces to $k^\beta \omega_{\beta\alpha} = -\nabla_\alpha(w_\beta k^\beta)$ and, for an irrotational flow ($\omega_{\beta\alpha} = 0$), or for a corotational one [u^α colinear to k^α so that (76) implies $k^\beta \omega_{\beta\alpha} = 0$], we get the first integral $w_\alpha k^\alpha = \text{const.}$

However, it turns out that the assumption $\mathcal{L}_k w_\alpha = 0$ is too restrictive when applied to a corotating flow. In view of (77) and (75), it would yield the first integral $w_\alpha k^\alpha = hu_\alpha k^\alpha + F_{\alpha\beta} k^\alpha q^\beta = \text{const.}$ Now, the colinearity of k^α and u^α , along with the ideal MHD condition (63), implies $F_{\alpha\beta} k^\beta = 0$. Hence the first integral would reduce to $hu_\alpha k^\alpha = \text{const.}$, i.e. exactly the same as in the perfect-fluid case, without any Lorentz force term.

In Bekenstein and Oron's theory [29,30], the momentum w_α defined by (77) and (75) contains the Lagrange multiplier q^α . Because q^α is not a physical quantity, it does not necessarily obey the helical symmetry. This has been noticed by Bekenstein and Oron, but has not been taken into account when the first integral was derived. In this section, we briefly review the properties of the four current by Bekenstein and Oron, then derive integrability conditions for the case when q^α does not respect the symmetry.

A first integral for an axisymmetric and rigidly rotating neutron star has been derived by Bonazzola, Gourgoulhon, Salgado, and Marck [37] (hereafter BGSM). In Appendix D, it is shown that the Bekenstein and Oron theory can also accommodate the BGSM formulation if a term involving $\mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha$ is kept in the MHD-Euler equation.

A. Bekenstein-Oron four current

From (73), the Bekenstein-Oron four current can be expressed as

$$j^\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \mathcal{L}_q(\rho u^\alpha \sqrt{-g}) \quad (98)$$

$$= -\rho \mathcal{L}_u q^\alpha + u^\alpha \nabla_\beta(\rho q^\beta). \quad (99)$$

Let us recall that j^α is invariant under a change of q^α of the form (72). Without loss of generality, a condition such as $q^\alpha u_\alpha = 0$, or $q^\alpha \nabla_\alpha t = 0$, may be imposed, although these are not used below.

The four current must obey the helical symmetry, namely, its Lie derivative along k^α must vanish:

$$\mathcal{L}_k j^\alpha = \nabla_\beta(\rho u^\alpha \mathcal{L}_k q^\beta - \rho u^\beta \mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha) = 0, \quad (100)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha \neq 0$. Using (98) and (99), we can write

$$\mathcal{L}_k j^\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \mathcal{L}_{[k,q]}(\rho u^\alpha \sqrt{-g}) \quad (101)$$

$$= -\rho \mathcal{L}_u \mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha + u^\alpha \nabla_\beta(\rho \mathcal{L}_k q^\beta) = 0, \quad (102)$$

where the commutator notation $[k, q]^\alpha = \mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha$ is used. Note the commutation relation $\mathcal{L}_k \mathcal{L}_u - \mathcal{L}_u \mathcal{L}_k = \mathcal{L}_{[k,u]} = 0$, for u^α respects the symmetry. In the above expressions for $\mathcal{L}_k j^\alpha$, it is noticed that we have again the freedom to add to $\mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha$ a vector proportional to u^α , as $\mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha + \lambda u^\alpha$.

B. Helically symmetric MHD-Euler equation

We first rewrite the MHD-Euler equation by isolating the Lie derivative along the helical vector k^α . Using the decomposition (38) of the four velocity, the MHD-Euler Eq. (76) divided by u^t is written

$$(k^\beta + v^\beta)(dw)_{\beta\alpha} = -\nabla_\alpha(w_\beta k^\beta) + \mathcal{L}_k w_\alpha + v^\beta(dw)_{\beta\alpha} = 0. \quad (103)$$

Since $\eta_\alpha u^\alpha = F_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha q^\beta = 0$ for ideal MHD, we have

$$w_\alpha u^\alpha = (hu_\alpha + \eta_\alpha)u^\alpha = -h, \quad (104)$$

hence

$$w_\alpha k^\alpha = w_\alpha \left(\frac{u^\alpha}{u^t} - v^\alpha \right) = -\left(\frac{h}{u^t} + w_\alpha v^\alpha \right). \quad (105)$$

Substituting this relation into (103), we obtain

$$\nabla_\alpha \left(\frac{h}{u^t} + w_\beta v^\beta \right) + \mathcal{L}_k w_\alpha + v^\beta(dw)_{\beta\alpha} = 0. \quad (106)$$

Since both hu_α and $F_{\alpha\beta}$ respect the helical symmetry, we have, given the definition (77) of w_α ,

$$\mathcal{L}_k w_\alpha = \mathcal{L}_k(hu_\alpha + F_{\alpha\beta} q^\beta) = F_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{L}_k q^\beta. \quad (107)$$

Hence Eq. (106) becomes

$$\nabla_\alpha \left(\frac{h}{u^t} + w_\beta v^\beta \right) + F_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{L}_k q^\beta + v^\beta(dw)_{\beta\alpha} = 0. \quad (108)$$

Starting from this form of the MHD-Euler equation, let us discuss two cases: the corotational flow and the irrotational one.

a. Corotational flow: The flow is *corotational* if the fluid four velocity is parallel to the Killing vector: $u^\alpha = u^t k^\alpha$. This amounts to setting $v^\alpha = 0$ in the decomposition (38) of the four velocity. Accordingly, Eq. (108) reduces to

$$\nabla_\alpha \left(\frac{h}{u^t} \right) + F_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{L}_k q^\beta = 0. \quad (109)$$

Note that, thanks to (99) and the ideal MHD condition (63), we have

$$F_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{L}_k q^\beta = -\frac{1}{\rho u^t} F_{\alpha\beta} j^\beta \quad (110)$$

in the corotating case.

b. Irrotational flow: In the Bekenstein and Oron ideal MHD theory, the magnetized flow is called *irrotational* when the vorticity $\omega_{\alpha\beta} = (dw)_{\alpha\beta}$ defined by (78) vanishes identically. The MHD-Euler Eq. (76) is then always satisfied. Via the Poincaré lemma, a flow is irrotational if, and only if, there exists (locally) a potential Φ such that $w_\alpha = \nabla_\alpha \Phi$. Since $w_\alpha v^\alpha = v^\alpha \nabla_\alpha \Phi = \mathcal{L}_v \Phi$, and $v^\beta(dw)_{\beta\alpha} = 0$, Eq. (108) reduces to

$$\nabla_\alpha \left(\frac{h}{u^t} + \mathcal{L}_v \Phi \right) + F_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{L}_k q^\beta = 0. \quad (111)$$

Note that, contrary to the corotating case, the contribution of the Lorentz force is divided into two terms: $F_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{L}_k q^\beta$ and the term involving the potential Φ .

C. Integrability conditions

Under the assumption of helical symmetry without any restriction on the fluid flow, the integrability condition for Eq. (108) is that the last two terms in the left hand side be the gradient of a function f ,

$$F_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{L}_k q^\beta + v^\beta(dw)_{\beta\alpha} = \nabla_\alpha f. \quad (112)$$

It may also be possible that each term is separately integrable, that is, with two functions f and g , each term is a gradient,

$$F_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{L}_k q^\beta = -\mathcal{L}_k q^\beta (dA)_{\beta\alpha} = \nabla_\alpha f, \quad (113)$$

and

$$v^\beta(dw)_{\beta\alpha} = \nabla_\alpha g. \quad (114)$$

Therefore, the problem of finding a current with which the helically reduced MHD-Euler equation has a first integral is replaced by the problem of finding the Lagrange multiplier q^α that satisfies the above integrability conditions. As mentioned in [29], however, the vector q^α is not a freely specifiable quantity, and hence it is not trivial to find such a q^α , even for corotating or irrotational flow where the

$v^\beta(dw)_{\beta\alpha}$ term vanishes and the integrability condition reduces to Eq. (113).

V. FORMULATIONS FOR MAGNETIZED BINARY NEUTRON STARS IN EQUILIBRIUM

A. Bekenstein and Oron's first integral for magnetized irrotational flow

As mentioned earlier, assuming the current is written as in Eq. (71), and the vector q^α respects the symmetry, the MHD-Euler equation is integrable for irrotational flow. Since the canonical momentum w_α defined in Eq. (77) respects the symmetry, $\mathcal{L}_k w_\alpha = 0$, and the velocity potential for the magnetized irrotational flow is defined by Eq. (82), the first integral is written $\mathcal{L}_k \Phi = \text{constant}$ (which is equivalent to $w_\alpha k^\alpha = \text{constant}$), or more explicitly, from Eq. (111),

$$\frac{h}{u^t} + \mathcal{L}_v \Phi = \mathcal{E}, \quad (115)$$

where \mathcal{E} is a constant. Assuming a one-parameter EOS, we have three solvable equations, the normalization condition for the four velocity, the first integral, and the rest mass conservation equation, for the three variables $\{h, u^t, \Phi\}$. The equation for Φ is derived in Sec. VD.

B. A first integral for initial data of irrotational magnetized binaries

Since part of our motivation for calculating numerical solutions of compact binary systems is to prepare quasiequilibrium solutions that can be used as initial data sets for binary inspiral simulations, we assume that the multiplier q^α can be specified freely on an initial spacelike hypersurface Σ_t . Then, when all fields and matter satisfy helical symmetry, and the vector $\mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha$ is, at least instantaneously, proportional to the helical killing vector, the term $F_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{L}_k q^\beta$ becomes integrable

$$\mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha = \mathcal{L}_k q^t k^\alpha, \quad (116)$$

and the coefficient $\mathcal{L}_k q^t$ is a function of $A_\beta k^\beta$. Note that the assumption (116) is valid only for irrotational flow; for corotational flow $F_{\alpha\beta} u^\beta = 0$ implies $F_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{L}_k q^\beta = \mathcal{L}_k q^t F_{\alpha\beta} k^\beta = 0$. From the Cartan identity (8) and $\mathcal{L}_k A_\alpha = 0$, and the assumption (116), the term (113) becomes

$$- \mathcal{L}_k q^\beta (dA)_{\beta\alpha} = \mathcal{L}_k q^t \nabla_\alpha (A_\beta k^\beta). \quad (117)$$

Hence, for irrotational flow, Eq. (111) is rewritten

$$\nabla_\alpha \left(\frac{h}{u^t} + \mathcal{L}_v \Phi \right) + \mathcal{L}_k q^t \nabla_\alpha (A_\beta k^\beta) = 0, \quad (118)$$

and is integrable if there is a function f such that

$$\mathcal{L}_k q^t = f(A_\beta k^\beta), \quad (119)$$

so that

$$\frac{h}{u^t} + \mathcal{L}_v \Phi + \int \mathcal{L}_k q^t d(A_\beta k^\beta) = \mathcal{E}, \quad (120)$$

where \mathcal{E} is a constant.

If a data set on an initial hypersurface respects helical symmetry permanently, the current should necessarily be stationary, $\mathcal{L}_k j^\alpha = 0$. Substituting Eq. (116) into Eq. (102), we have

$$\mathcal{L}_k j^\alpha = -\rho k^\alpha \mathcal{L}_u \mathcal{L}_k q^t + \rho u^\alpha \mathcal{L}_k^2 q^t = 0, \quad (121)$$

where we have used the facts that ρ , or u^α respect the symmetry, and a relation $\nabla_\alpha k^\alpha = 0$. When the integrability condition (119) is satisfied, a coefficient of u^α in Eq. (121) vanishes, $\mathcal{L}_k^2 q^t = \mathcal{L}_k f(A_\alpha k^\alpha) = 0$, and hence a sufficient condition for stationarity of the current $\mathcal{L}_k j^\alpha = 0$ is that the coefficients of k^α in Eq. (121) vanish,

$$\mathcal{L}_u \mathcal{L}_k q^t = \mathcal{L}_u f(A_\alpha k^\alpha) = 0. \quad (122)$$

This condition is equivalent to the component of the ideal MHD condition along k^α ,

$$k^\alpha F_{\alpha\beta} u^\beta = -\mathcal{L}_u (A_\alpha k^\alpha) = 0, \quad (123)$$

and is rewritten, on the fluid support of Σ_t , as

$$\mathcal{L}_v (A_\alpha k^\alpha) = 0, \quad (124)$$

that is, $A_\alpha k^\alpha$ is constant along the spatial velocity v^α defined by Eq. (38). However, as mentioned above, there is no guarantee that solutions calculated from the q^α of Eq. (119) satisfies Eqs. (122) or (124).

As we choose $\mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha$ to be parallel to k^α in (116), we may further restrict q^α so that q^α itself is parallel to k^α ,

$$q^\alpha = q^t k^\alpha. \quad (125)$$

We substitute (125) to the current (73) to derive an explicit form for the current j^α ,

$$\begin{aligned} j^\alpha &= \mathcal{L}_{q^t k} (\rho u^\alpha) + \rho u^\alpha \nabla_\beta (q^t k^\beta) \\ &= -\rho k^\alpha \mathcal{L}_u q^t + \rho u^\alpha \mathcal{L}_k q^t. \end{aligned} \quad (126)$$

For example,

$$\mathcal{L}_k q^t = \text{constant} \quad (127)$$

satisfies the stationarity of the current (122) and

$$q^t = [at + b\phi + f_q(x^A)] k^\alpha \quad (128)$$

satisfies Eq. (127), where f_q is a function of coordinates x^A $A = 1, 2$ orthogonal to k^α , $k^\alpha \nabla_\alpha x^A = 0$, and a, b are parameters that satisfy

$$a + b\Omega = 1. \quad (129)$$

Remember that t parametrizes the foliation and the symmetry vector is normalized as $k^\alpha \nabla_\alpha t = 1$, and ϕ

parametrizes circular orbits with parameter length 2π and $k^\alpha \nabla_\alpha \phi = \Omega$.

C. A model with $q^\alpha = [at + b\phi + f_q(x^A)]\hat{q}^\alpha$

We next consider a more general form of q^α where neither q^α nor $\mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha$ is proportional to k^α . Separating the dependence on the coordinate associated with the k^α , we assume the form of the vector q^α to be

$$q^\alpha = [at + b\phi + f_q(x^A)]\hat{q}^\alpha, \quad (130)$$

where \hat{q}^α respects the symmetry

$$\mathcal{L}_k \hat{q}^\alpha = 0, \quad (131)$$

and hence the relation

$$\mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha = \hat{q}^\alpha \quad (132)$$

holds.

For corotational or irrotational flows, the integrability condition (113) is rewritten with the requirement that there exists a function f such that

$$F_{\alpha\beta} \hat{q}^\beta = -\hat{q}^\beta (dA)_{\beta\alpha} = \nabla_\alpha f, \quad (133)$$

or using the Cartan identity,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hat{q}} A_\alpha = \nabla_\alpha (A_\beta \hat{q}^\beta - f). \quad (134)$$

When stationarity is imposed to the current, substituting Eq. (132) to Eq. (100), we have

$$\mathcal{L}_k j^\alpha = \nabla_\beta (\rho u^\alpha \hat{q}^\beta - \rho u^\beta \hat{q}^\alpha) = 0. \quad (135)$$

Then, from Eq. (130) and (71), the current becomes

$$j^\alpha = (\rho u^\alpha \hat{q}^\beta - \rho u^\beta \hat{q}^\alpha) \nabla_\beta [at + b\phi + f_q(x^A)]. \quad (136)$$

c. Corotating flow: This model can be applied to corotating flow, as long as one can find a particular form of \hat{q}^α that satisfies Eq. (133) as well as the stationarity and ideal MHD conditions consistently. For corotating flow, $u^\alpha = u^t k^\alpha$, Eq. (136) becomes

$$j^\alpha = \rho u^t k^\alpha \hat{q}^\beta \nabla_\beta [at + b\phi + f_q(x^A)] - \rho u^t \hat{q}^\alpha. \quad (137)$$

Assuming $f_q(x^A) = 0$ and using $a + \Omega b = 1$, the combination of t and ϕ components $j^\phi - \Omega j^t$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} j^\phi - \Omega j^t &= \rho u^t (k^\alpha \hat{q}^\beta - k^\beta \hat{q}^\alpha) \nabla_\alpha \phi \nabla_\beta t \\ &= -\rho u^t (\hat{q}^\phi - \Omega \hat{q}^t). \end{aligned} \quad (138)$$

As discussed in Appendix D, when the system is stationary and axisymmetric, and if \hat{q}^α satisfies

$$\hat{q}^\alpha = f(A_\phi) \phi^\alpha, \quad (139)$$

the formulation becomes the same as that of [37] for a magnetized rotating neutron star.

d. A trivial model for the irrotational flow: When \hat{q}^α is taken to be parallel to k^α ,

$$\hat{q}^\alpha = \hat{q}^t k^\alpha, \quad (140)$$

with $\mathcal{L}_k \hat{q}^t = 0$, the first integral is derived as in the previous section, if \hat{q}^t is a function of $A_\alpha k^\alpha$; Eq. (133) becomes

$$-\hat{q}^\beta (dA)_{\beta\alpha} = \hat{q}^t \nabla_\alpha (A_\beta k^\beta) = \nabla_\alpha f. \quad (141)$$

The current (136) in this case is written

$$j^\alpha = \rho u^t \{v^\alpha - k^\alpha v^\beta \nabla_\beta [b\phi + f_q(x^A)]\} \hat{q}^t. \quad (142)$$

A trivial solution to the condition (141) is

$$\hat{q}^t = \text{constant}. \quad (143)$$

D. Equation for the velocity potential Φ

To write down an equation for the velocity potential Φ for magnetized irrotational flow used in an actual numerical code, we introduce a $3 + 1$ decomposition of the space-time. In this section, spatial indices are Latin. The spacetime $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ is foliated by a family of spacelike hypersurfaces $(\Sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ parametrized by t . The future-pointing unit normal to the hypersurface Σ_t is defined by $n_\alpha = -\alpha \nabla_\alpha t$, where α is the lapse function. Then the generator of time translations in an inertial frame t^α , and rotating frame (helical vector) k^α are related to n^α by $t^\alpha = \alpha n^\alpha + \beta^\alpha$ and $k^\alpha = \alpha n^\alpha + \omega^\alpha$ respectively, where β^α and ω^α denote a spatial shift vector in each frame, and are related by $\omega^\alpha = \beta^\alpha + \Omega \phi^\alpha$. The spatial metric $\gamma_{ab}(t)$ induced on Σ_t by the spacetime metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is equal to the projection tensor orthogonal to n^α , $\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} + n_\alpha n_\beta$, restricted to Σ_t . In a chart (t, x^a) , the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ has the form

$$ds^2 = -\alpha^2 dt^2 + \gamma_{ab} (dx^a + \beta^a dt)(dx^b + \beta^b dt). \quad (144)$$

The covariant derivative associated with the spatial metric γ_{ab} is denoted by D_a .

In the formulation for irrotational flow, the number of independent variables becomes three [25,36]. As independent variables, we choose the relativistic enthalpy per baryon mass, the time component of the four velocity, and the velocity potential, $\{h, u^t, \Phi\}$. For the first two variables, the first integral Eq. (118) and the normalization of the four velocity $u_\alpha u^\alpha = -1$ are solved. Using a relation derived from Eqs. (38) and (82),

$$v_a + \omega_a = \frac{1}{hu^t} (D_a \Phi - \eta_a), \quad (145)$$

these equations are rewritten,

$$\frac{h}{u^t} + v^a D_a \Phi + \int \mathcal{L}_k q^t d(A_\alpha k^\alpha) = \mathcal{E}, \quad (146)$$

$$h^2 [(\alpha u^t)^2 - 1] = (D^a \Phi - \eta^a)(D_a \Phi - \eta_a), \quad (147)$$

where η_a is a spatial projection of η_α , $\eta_a = \gamma_a^\alpha \eta_\alpha$.

An equation to calculate the velocity potential Φ is derived from the rest mass conservation law, Eq. (59),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \mathcal{L}_u(\rho\sqrt{-g}) &= \frac{1}{\alpha\sqrt{\gamma}} \mathcal{L}_v(\rho u^t \alpha\sqrt{\gamma}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} D_a(\alpha\rho u^t v^a) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (148)$$

Substituting Eq. (145) in the above relation, we have an elliptic equation for Φ ,

$$\begin{aligned} D^a D_a \Phi &= D_a(\eta^a + hu^t \omega^a) \\ &\quad - (D_a \Phi - \eta_a - hu^t \omega_a) \frac{h}{\alpha\rho} D^a \frac{\alpha\rho}{h}. \end{aligned} \quad (149)$$

This equation is solved with a Neumann boundary condition to impose the fluid four velocity u^α to follow the surface of the star. The surface is defined by the vanishing pressure $p = 0$, where the relativistic enthalpy is chosen to be $h = 1$ which is always possible when a one-parameter equation of state is assumed. Hence, the boundary condition is written

$$u^\alpha \nabla_\alpha h = 0 \quad \text{at } h = 1, \quad (150)$$

and, using $\mathcal{L}_k h = 0$ and Eq. (145), it is rewritten,

$$(D^a \Phi - \eta^a - hu^t \omega^a) D_a h = 0 \quad (151)$$

where $\nabla_\alpha h$ and $D_a h$ are normal to the stellar surface.

VI. DISCUSSION

A. First law associated with the Bekenstein and Oron Lagrangian

The Lagrangian density of the Bekenstein and Oron ideal MHD theory [29] is based on Schutz's Lagrangian density for relativistic fluids [38]. Our Lagrangian density for a relativistic fluid $\mathcal{L}_m = -\epsilon\sqrt{-g}$ (A18), and the Lagrangian variation applied to it, is equivalent for the purpose of deriving the first law. Then, we rewrite the Lagrangian corresponding to that of Bekenstein and Oron as

$$\mathcal{L} = \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} R - \epsilon - \frac{1}{16\pi} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} + F_{\alpha\beta} \rho u^\alpha q^\beta \right) \sqrt{-g}, \quad (152)$$

in which the interaction term is replaced by a term $F_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha$ times the Lagrange multiplier ρq^α which enforces the ideal MHD condition $F_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha = 0$.

Associating this Lagrangian with the charge Q (13), we can derive the first law; a calculation of the variation δQ is shown in Appendix E. Now, the derived first law is for the ideal MHD flow, while our first law (37) is valid for more general MHD flows. Obviously, the argument in Sec. III applies to the case with the Lagrangian (152). Hence, if a sequence of magnetized binary solutions in equilibrium is

constructed assuming conservation of rest mass, entropy, magnetized circulation, magnetic flux, black-hole surface area and charge for a black-hole—neutron star binary, the first law in the form $\delta Q = 0$, or $\delta M = \Omega \delta J$ for asymptotically flat systems, is satisfied as for nonmagnetized ones, and for the latter case, one can apply a turning point theorem to locate a point where the stability of solution changes [39].

B. First integral of MHD-Euler equation

As mentioned in Sec. IV, a first integral of the relativistic MHD-Euler equation is almost crucial for developing a successful method to compute equilibrium binary solutions numerically. When we derive a first integral, we need to specify a form of the vector q^α , which should be consistent with the stationarity as well as the ideal MHD condition. However, since q^α is not a freely specifiable vector, it is not guaranteed that a set of equations admit such a q^α as solution in general. Also a difficulty to have a helically symmetric irrotational binary solution in ideal MHD may be explained physically as follows. Because the magnetic flux is frozen into the fluid for ideal MHD, when the binary system is seen in the rotating frame, a poloidal component of the magnetic field may be wound up, since the neutron star is spinning in this frame. This argument does not rule out the possibility to have a helically symmetric magnetized binary neutron stars, although it is not trivial at all to find a q^α that gives such solutions.

In Sec. V, we discuss a formulation for computing equilibrium solutions of magnetized binary neutron stars and a possible candidate for a first integral of the relativistic MHD-Euler equation in ideal MHD flows. Our proposal is to assume $\mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha$ be proportional to the helical vector k^α . It could be possible that this condition is violated as the solution is evolved in time, that is, a solution calculated from the first integral in Sec. V might not respect the helical symmetry or the ideal MHD condition. It would be applicable, however, for computing initial data for merger simulations of magnetized compact objects, because it may be allowed to freely specify $\mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha$, at least instantaneously on an initial hypersurface.

In Sec. V we also write down a set of equations to be solved for an equilibrium of irrotational neutron star in a binary system. The formulation for solving the Einstein and Maxwell equations are not presented in this paper. In usual ideal MHD simulations, the electric current j^α does not contain dynamical degrees of freedom and, accordingly, the Maxwell equation becomes an evolution equation for the magnetic flux density. This equation is again hard to integrate when the stationarity condition is imposed. Therefore our plan is to choose the electromagnetic potential one-form A_α as a variable and to write the Maxwell equation as a set of elliptic equations. These elliptic equations can be solved with the same numerical method we have developed to solve for the

metric potentials of gravitational fields [14,25]. Our next project is to develop such a numerical code.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Brandon Carter, John L. Friedman, and Ichiro Oda for enlightening discussions and suggestions. This work was supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 20540275, MEXT Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Area No. 20105004, NSF Grants No. PHY0071044 and No. PHY0503366, NASA Grant No. NNG05GB99G, and ANR Grant No. 06-2-134423 *Méthodes mathématiques pour la relativité générale*. C. M. thanks the Greek State Scholarships Foundation for support during the early stages of this work and the Paris Observatory and the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee for travel support. K. U. and E. G. acknowledge support from the JSPS and the Paris Observatory for the invitation program of foreign researchers.

APPENDIX A: VARIATION OF THE LAGRANGIAN

We begin with a classical action for an Einstein-Maxwell theory coupled with a perfect fluid carrying electric current,

$$S = \int \mathfrak{L} d^4x, \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L} &= \mathfrak{L}_G + \mathfrak{L}_m + \mathfrak{L}_F + \mathfrak{L}_I \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} R - \epsilon - \frac{1}{16\pi} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} + A_\alpha j^\alpha \right) \sqrt{-g}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

We first define the Lagrange perturbation for the fluid.

1. Lagrange displacement

We describe a perfect fluid by its four velocity u^α and stress-energy tensor

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon u^\alpha u^\beta + p q^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

where p is the fluid's pressure, ϵ its energy density, and

$$q^{\alpha\beta} = g^{\alpha\beta} + u^\alpha u^\beta \quad (\text{A4})$$

is the projection tensor orthogonal to u^α . We assume that the fluid satisfies an equation of state of the form

$$p = p(\rho, s), \quad \epsilon = \epsilon(\rho, s), \quad (\text{A5})$$

with ρ the baryon-mass density and s the entropy per unit baryon mass. (That is, $\rho := m_B n$, with n the number density of baryons and m_B the mean baryon mass.)

The electromagnetic stress-energy tensor is given by

$$T_F^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(F^{\alpha\gamma} F^\beta{}_\gamma - \frac{1}{4} g^{\alpha\beta} F_{\gamma\delta} F^{\gamma\delta} \right), \quad (\text{A6})$$

where electromagnetic field 2-form $F_{\alpha\beta}$ relates to the potential 1-form by

$$F_{\alpha\beta} = (dA)_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_\alpha A_\beta - \nabla_\beta A_\alpha. \quad (\text{A7})$$

Given a family of magnetized perfect-fluid Einstein-Maxwell spacetimes specified by

$$\mathcal{Q}(\lambda) := [g_{\alpha\beta}(\lambda), u^\alpha(\lambda), \rho(\lambda), s(\lambda), A_\alpha(\lambda), j^\alpha(\lambda)], \quad (\text{A8})$$

one defines the Eulerian change in each quantity by $\delta \mathcal{Q} := \frac{d}{d\lambda} \mathcal{Q}(\lambda)$.

We introduce a Lagrangian displacement ξ^α in the following way: Let $\mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{Q}(\lambda)$, and let Ψ_λ be a diffeomorphism mapping each trajectory (worldline) of the initial fluid to a corresponding trajectory of the configuration $\mathcal{Q}(\lambda)$. Then the tangent $\xi^\alpha(P)$ to the path $\lambda \rightarrow \Psi_\lambda(P)$ can be regarded as a vector joining the fluid element at P in the configuration $\mathcal{Q}(\lambda)$ to a fluid element in a nearby configuration. The Lagrangian change in a quantity at $\lambda = 0$, is then given by

$$\Delta \mathcal{Q} := \frac{d}{d\lambda} \Psi_{-\lambda} \mathcal{Q}(\lambda)|_{\lambda=0} = (\delta + \mathcal{L}_\xi) \mathcal{Q}. \quad (\text{A9})$$

The fact that Ψ_λ maps fluid trajectories to fluid trajectories and the normalization $u^\alpha u_\alpha = -1$ imply [40–42]

$$\Delta u^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} u^\alpha u^\beta u^\gamma \Delta g_{\beta\gamma}. \quad (\text{A10})$$

2. Variation of Lagrangian

Although the variation of the Lagrangian density (A2) is well known, those calculations are summarized below to clarify notation and conventions. A surface term Θ^α is kept for the calculation of the first law in Sec. II B.

The variation of the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian is written as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \delta \mathfrak{L}_G = -\frac{1}{16\pi} G^{\alpha\beta} \delta g_{\alpha\beta} + \nabla_\alpha \Theta_G^\alpha \quad (\text{A11})$$

$$\Theta_G^\alpha = \frac{1}{16\pi} (g^{\alpha\gamma} g^{\beta\delta} - g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\gamma\delta}) \nabla_\beta \delta g_{\gamma\delta}. \quad (\text{A12})$$

The variation of the perfect-fluid Lagrangian is described by the Lagrange perturbations. Considering general perturbations in which the entropy and baryon mass of each fluid element are not conserved along the family $\mathcal{Q}(\lambda)$, one obtains

$$\frac{\Delta \rho}{\rho} = -\frac{1}{\rho \sqrt{-g}} u_\alpha \Delta(\rho u^\alpha \sqrt{-g}) - \frac{1}{2} q^{\alpha\beta} \Delta g_{\alpha\beta}; \quad (\text{A13})$$

and the local first law of thermodynamics for the fluid,

$$\Delta \epsilon = \rho T \Delta s + h \Delta \rho, \quad (\text{A14})$$

with the relativistic enthalpy h defined by

$$h = \frac{\epsilon + p}{\rho}, \quad (\text{A15})$$

yields

$$\frac{\Delta\epsilon}{\epsilon+p} = \frac{\rho T}{\epsilon+p} \Delta s + \frac{\Delta\rho}{\rho}. \quad (\text{A16})$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\epsilon &= \rho T \Delta s - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} h u_\alpha \Delta(\rho u^\alpha \sqrt{-g}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon+p) q^{\alpha\beta} \Delta g_{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A17})$$

From these relations, the variation of the Lagrangian density for a perfect fluid

$$\mathcal{L}_m = -\epsilon\sqrt{-g} \quad (\text{A18})$$

becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \delta\mathcal{L}_m &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \delta(\epsilon\sqrt{-g}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \Delta(\epsilon\sqrt{-g}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \mathcal{L}_\xi(\epsilon\sqrt{-g}) \\ &= -\Delta\epsilon - \epsilon \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \Delta g_{\alpha\beta} + \nabla_\alpha(\epsilon \xi^\alpha) \\ &= -\rho T \Delta s + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} h u_\alpha \Delta(\rho u^\alpha \sqrt{-g}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} T^{\alpha\beta} \delta g_{\alpha\beta} - \xi_\alpha \nabla_\beta T^{\alpha\beta} + \nabla_\alpha \Theta_m^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A19})$$

with the surface term

$$\Theta_m^\alpha = (\epsilon+p) q^{\alpha\beta} \xi_\beta. \quad (\text{A20})$$

The variation of the Lagrangian for the electromagnetic field

$$\mathcal{L}_F = -\frac{1}{16\pi} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{-g}, \quad (\text{A21})$$

is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \delta\mathcal{L}_F &= -\frac{1}{16\pi\sqrt{-g}} \delta(F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{-g}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{16\pi} [2(d\delta A)_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} + 2F_{\alpha\gamma} F_\beta{}^\gamma \delta g^{\alpha\beta} \\ &\quad + 2F_{\gamma\delta} F^{\gamma\delta} \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \delta g_{\alpha\beta}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} T_F^{\alpha\beta} \delta g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla_\beta F^{\alpha\beta} \delta A_\alpha + \nabla_\alpha \Theta_F^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A22})$$

where Θ_F^α is defined by

$$\Theta_F^\alpha = \frac{1}{4\pi} F^{\beta\alpha} \delta A_\beta. \quad (\text{A23})$$

The variation of the interaction term between matter and the electromagnetic field,

$$\mathcal{L}_I = A_\alpha j^\alpha \sqrt{-g}, \quad (\text{A24})$$

becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \delta\mathcal{L}_I &= \delta A_\alpha j^\alpha + A_\alpha \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \Delta(j^\alpha \sqrt{-g}) \\ &\quad - A_\alpha \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \mathcal{L}_\xi(j^\alpha \sqrt{-g}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A25})$$

Using the relation

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \mathcal{L}_\xi(j^\alpha \sqrt{-g}) = \nabla_\beta (j^\alpha \xi^\beta - j^\beta \xi^\alpha) + \xi^\alpha \nabla_\beta j^\beta, \quad (\text{A26})$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \delta\mathcal{L}_I &= j^\alpha \delta A_\alpha + A_\alpha \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \Delta(j^\alpha \sqrt{-g}) + \xi^\alpha [F_{\alpha\beta} j^\beta \\ &\quad - A_\alpha \nabla_\beta j^\beta] + \nabla_\alpha \Theta_I^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A27})$$

where the surface term is defined by

$$\Theta_I^\alpha = A_\beta (j^\alpha \xi^\beta - j^\beta \xi^\alpha). \quad (\text{A28})$$

Variation of the Lagrangian density: Finally, the above terms are collected and the variation of the Lagrangian density (A2) is derived,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \delta\mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} (\delta\mathcal{L}_G + \delta\mathcal{L}_m + \delta\mathcal{L}_F + \delta\mathcal{L}_I) \\ &= -\rho T \Delta s + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} h u_\alpha \Delta(\rho u^\alpha \sqrt{-g}) \\ &\quad + A_\alpha \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \Delta(j^\alpha \sqrt{-g}) - \frac{1}{16\pi} [G^{\alpha\beta} \\ &\quad - 8\pi(T^{\alpha\beta} + T_F^{\alpha\beta})] \delta g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{4\pi} (\nabla_\beta F^{\alpha\beta} \\ &\quad - 4\pi j^\alpha) \delta A_\alpha - \xi^\alpha [\nabla_\beta T_\alpha{}^\beta - F_{\alpha\beta} j^\beta \\ &\quad + A_\alpha \nabla_\beta j^\beta] + \nabla_\alpha \Theta^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A29})$$

where the surface term Θ^α is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^\alpha &= \Theta_G^\alpha + \Theta_m^\alpha + \Theta_F^\alpha + \Theta_I^\alpha \\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi} (g^{\alpha\gamma} g^{\beta\delta} - g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\gamma\delta}) \nabla_\beta \delta g_{\gamma\delta} + \frac{1}{4\pi} F^{\beta\alpha} \delta A_\beta \\ &\quad + (\epsilon+p) q^{\alpha\beta} \xi_\beta + A_\beta (j^\alpha \xi^\beta - j^\beta \xi^\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A30})$$

APPENDIX B: CALCULATION OF $\delta(Q - \sum_i Q_i)$

In calculating a contribution from the volume integral to the charge (27), we restrict the gauge in two ways: We use the diffeomorphism gauge freedom to set $\delta k^\alpha = 0$. The description of fluid perturbations in terms of a Lagrangian displacement ξ^α has a second kind of gauge freedom: a class of trivial displacements, including all displacements

of the form fu^α , yield no Eulerian change in the fluid variables. We use this freedom to set $\Delta t = 0$. Because $\delta t = 0$ (t is not dynamical), this is equivalent to the condition $\xi^t = 0$. The relation (A10) now implies

$$\frac{\Delta u^t}{u^t} = \frac{1}{2} u^\alpha u^\beta \Delta g_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (\text{B1})$$

Then, from Eqs. (A10) and (B1), we have $\Delta u^\alpha = \Delta u^t(k^\alpha + v^\alpha)$, while, by $u^\alpha = u^t(k^\alpha + v^\alpha)$, $\Delta u^\alpha = \Delta[u^t(k^\alpha + v^\alpha)]$; thus

$$\Delta(k^\alpha + v^\alpha) = 0. \quad (\text{B2})$$

Then, in the variation of the Lagrangian density (A29), a term involving a perturbation of the rest mass density is rewritten

$$hu_\alpha \Delta(\rho u^\alpha \sqrt{-g}) = -\frac{h}{u^t} \Delta(\rho u^t \sqrt{-g}). \quad (\text{B3})$$

To find the change δQ in the Noether charge, we first compute the difference,

$$\delta\left(Q - \sum_i Q_i\right), \quad (\text{B4})$$

between the charge on the sphere S and the sum of the charges on the black holes \mathcal{B}_i .

The difference in the Komar charge Eq. (24) is associated with the Lagrangian density as

$$\begin{aligned} Q_K - \sum_i Q_{Ki} &= - \int_\Sigma \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} R - \epsilon - \frac{1}{16\pi} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} + A_\alpha j^\alpha \right) k^\gamma dS_\gamma \\ &\quad - \int_\Sigma (T^\alpha_\beta + T_F^\alpha_\beta) k^\beta dS_\alpha \\ &\quad - \int_\Sigma \left(\epsilon + \frac{1}{16\pi} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} - A_\alpha j^\alpha \right) k^\gamma dS_\gamma \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_\Sigma [G^\alpha_\beta - 8\pi(T^\alpha_\beta + T_F^\alpha_\beta)] k^\beta dS_\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B5})$$

Using the relations

$$\begin{aligned} -T^\alpha_\beta k^\beta dS_\alpha &= -T^\alpha_\beta (k^\beta + v^\beta) dS_\alpha + T^\alpha_\beta v^\beta dS_\alpha \\ &= \epsilon k^\alpha dS_\alpha + (\epsilon + p) u^\alpha u_\beta v^\beta dS_\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B6})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &- T_F^\alpha_\beta k^\beta dS_\alpha - \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} + A_\alpha j^\alpha \right) k^\gamma dS_\gamma \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} F^{\alpha\gamma} [\mathcal{L}_k A_\gamma - \nabla_\gamma (k^\beta A_\beta)] dS_\alpha + A_\alpha j^\alpha k^\gamma dS_\gamma \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} F^{\alpha\gamma} \mathcal{L}_k A_\gamma dS_\alpha + \frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla_\gamma (F^{\alpha\gamma} k^\beta A_\beta) dS_\alpha \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4\pi} k^\gamma A_\gamma (\nabla_\beta F^{\alpha\beta} - 4\pi j^\alpha) dS_\alpha \\ &\quad + A_\alpha (j^\alpha k^\gamma - j^\gamma k^\alpha) dS_\gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B7})$$

Equation (B5) is rewritten

$$\begin{aligned} Q_K - \sum_i Q_{Ki} &= - \int_\Sigma \mathcal{L} d^3x + \int_\Sigma (\epsilon + p) u^\alpha u_\beta v^\beta dS_\alpha \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\Sigma F^{\alpha\gamma} \mathcal{L}_k A_\gamma dS_\alpha \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial\Sigma} k^\gamma A_\gamma F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta} \\ &\quad + \int_\Sigma A_\beta (j^\beta k^\alpha - j^\alpha k^\beta) dS_\alpha \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_\Sigma [G^\alpha_\beta - 8\pi(T^\alpha_\beta + T_F^\alpha_\beta)] k^\beta dS_\alpha \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\Sigma k^\gamma A_\gamma (\nabla_\beta F^{\alpha\beta} - 4\pi j^\alpha) dS_\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B8})$$

The variation of Eq. (B8) is then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(Q_K - \sum_i Q_{Ki}) &= - \int_\Sigma \delta \mathcal{L} d^3x + \int_\Sigma \Delta [(\epsilon + p) u^\alpha u_\beta v^\beta dS_\alpha] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4\pi} \delta \int_\Sigma F^{\alpha\gamma} \mathcal{L}_k A_\gamma dS_\alpha + \frac{1}{4\pi} \delta \int_{\partial\Sigma} k^\gamma A_\gamma F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta} \\ &\quad + \int_\Sigma \Delta [A_\beta (j^\beta k^\alpha - j^\alpha k^\beta) dS_\alpha] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8\pi} \delta \int_\Sigma [G^\alpha_\beta - 8\pi(T^\alpha_\beta + T_F^\alpha_\beta)] k^\beta dS_\alpha, \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4\pi} \delta \int_\Sigma k^\gamma A_\gamma (\nabla_\beta F^{\alpha\beta} - 4\pi j^\alpha) dS_\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B9})$$

The integrand of the second term becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta [(\epsilon + p) u^\alpha u_\beta v^\beta dS_\alpha] &= hu_\beta v^\beta \Delta(\rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha) + v^\beta \Delta(hu_\beta) \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha \\ &\quad + (\epsilon + p) u^\alpha u^\beta \mathcal{L}_k \xi^\beta dS_\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B10})$$

where $\Delta v^\beta = -\Delta k^\beta = \mathcal{L}_k \xi^\beta$ was used, and the integrand of the fifth term is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Delta[A_\beta(j^\beta k^\alpha - j^\alpha k^\beta)dS_\alpha] \\
 &= \Delta A_\beta(j^\beta k^\alpha - j^\alpha k^\beta)dS_\alpha \\
 &+ A_\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \Delta(j^\beta \sqrt{-g})k^\alpha dS_\alpha - A_\beta k^\beta \Delta(j^\alpha dS_\alpha) \\
 &+ A_\beta(j^\alpha \mathcal{L}_k \xi^\beta - j^\beta \mathcal{L}_k \xi^\alpha)dS_\alpha \quad (\text{B11})
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta k^\alpha = \mathcal{L}_\xi k^\alpha = -\mathcal{L}_k \xi^\alpha$, because of our gauge choice $\delta k^\alpha = 0$.

The variation of $Q_L - \sum_i Q_{Li}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta(Q_L - \sum_i Q_{Li}) &= \oint_{\partial\Sigma} (k^\alpha \Theta^\beta - k^\beta \Theta^\alpha) dS_{\alpha\beta} \\
 &= \int_\Sigma \nabla_\beta (k^\alpha \Theta^\beta - k^\beta \Theta^\alpha) dS_\alpha \\
 &= \int_\Sigma \nabla_\beta \Theta^\beta k^\alpha dS_\alpha - \int_\Sigma \mathcal{L}_k \Theta^\alpha dS_\alpha, \quad (\text{B12})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta(Q - \sum_i Q_i) &= \int_\Sigma \left\{ \frac{T}{u^t} \Delta s \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha + \left[\frac{h}{u^t} + hu_\beta v^\beta \right] \Delta(\rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha) + v^\beta \Delta(hu_\beta) \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha - A_\beta k^\beta \Delta(j^\alpha dS_\alpha) \right. \\
 &\quad - (j^\alpha k^\beta - j^\beta k^\alpha) \Delta A_\beta dS_\alpha \left. \right\} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \delta \int_\Sigma F^{\alpha\gamma} \mathcal{L}_k A_\gamma dS_\alpha + \frac{1}{4\pi} \delta \int_{\partial\Sigma} k^\gamma A_\gamma F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta} \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{8\pi} \delta \int_\Sigma [G^\alpha_\beta - 8\pi(T^\alpha_\beta + T_F^\alpha_\beta)] k^\beta dS_\alpha - \frac{1}{4\pi} \delta \int_\Sigma k^\gamma A_\gamma (\nabla_\beta F^{\alpha\beta} - 4\pi j^\alpha) dS_\alpha \\
 &\quad + \int_\Sigma \left\{ \frac{1}{16\pi} [G^{\alpha\beta} - 8\pi(T^{\alpha\beta} + T_F^{\alpha\beta})] \delta g_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{4\pi} (\nabla_\beta F^{\alpha\beta} - 4\pi j^\alpha) \delta A_\alpha + \xi^\alpha [\nabla_\beta T_\alpha^\beta - F_{\alpha\beta} j^\beta] \right\} k^\gamma dS_\gamma. \quad (\text{B14})
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $k^\alpha dS_\alpha = \sqrt{-g} d^3x$. When the field equations, their perturbations, and equations of motion are satisfied, using $\mathcal{L}_k A_\alpha = 0$, and Eq. (9) noting $\int_{\partial\Sigma} = \oint_{\mathcal{B}_S} - \sum_i \oint_{\mathcal{B}_{i}}$, Eq. (B14) is rewritten

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta(Q - \sum_i Q_i) &= \int_\Sigma \left\{ \frac{T}{u^t} \Delta s \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha + \left[\frac{h}{u^t} + hu_\beta v^\beta \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \times \Delta(\rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha) + v^\beta \Delta(hu_\beta) \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha \\
 &\quad - A_\beta k^\beta \Delta(j^\alpha dS_\alpha) - (j^\alpha k^\beta - j^\beta k^\alpha) \\
 &\quad \left. \times \Delta A_\beta dS_\alpha \right\} - \sum_i \frac{1}{4\pi} \delta \oint_{\mathcal{B}_i} k^\gamma A_\gamma F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (\text{B15})
 \end{aligned}$$

APPENDIX C: CALCULATION OF EQ. (74)

A relation used in Eq. (74) is proved in [30], which is repeated here for a reference. Consider a closed 2-form $F_{\alpha\beta}$ ($(dF)_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0$), and a vector N^α such that $F_{\alpha\beta} N^\beta = 0$. Then, for any vector q^α , a relation

$$(d\eta)_{\alpha\beta} N^\beta = F_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{L}_q N^\beta \quad (\text{C1})$$

where we have used the relation $\nabla_\alpha k^\alpha = 0$ to obtain the last equality. The integrand of the last term in Eq. (B12) is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}_k \Theta^\alpha dS_\alpha &= (\epsilon + p) q^\alpha_\beta \mathcal{L}_k \xi^\beta dS_\alpha \\
 &+ A_\beta (j^\alpha \mathcal{L}_k \xi^\beta - j^\beta \mathcal{L}_k \xi^\alpha) dS_\alpha \\
 &= (\epsilon + p) u^\alpha u_\beta \mathcal{L}_k \xi^\beta dS_\alpha \\
 &+ A_\beta (j^\alpha \mathcal{L}_k \xi^\beta - j^\beta \mathcal{L}_k \xi^\alpha) dS_\alpha, \quad (\text{B13})
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that ξ^α as well as its Lie derivative along k^α is spatial $\mathcal{L}_k \xi^\alpha \nabla_\alpha t = 0$. These two terms in Eq. (B13) cancel out with the last terms of Eqs. (B10) and (B11). Note that the current j^α respects the symmetry $\mathcal{L}_k j^\alpha = 0$.

Finally, we obtain an expression for $\delta(Q - \sum_i Q_i)$:

is satisfied, where η_α is defined by $\eta_\alpha = F_{\alpha\beta} q^\beta$. This can be shown as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (d\eta)_{\alpha\beta} N^\beta &= (d(F \cdot q))_{\alpha\beta} N^\beta \\
 &= [(q \cdot dF)_{\alpha\beta} - \mathcal{L}_q F_{\alpha\beta}] N^\beta = F_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{L}_q N^\beta. \quad (\text{C2})
 \end{aligned}$$

The Cartan identity was used in the second equality and the relation $F_{\alpha\beta} N^\beta = 0$ in third one.

APPENDIX D: FIRST INTEGRAL OF MHD-EULER EQUATION IN BGSM FORMULATION

A formulation for uniformly rotating axisymmetric stars with poloidal magnetic fields is derived in [37]. In this section, we show that the Bekenstein and Oron formulation of ideal MHD includes a first integral of the MHD-Euler equation derived in the BGSM formulation, assuming the same symmetry and suitably choosing an auxiliary vector q^α in the current (71).

In the BGSM formulation, a stationary, axisymmetric and circular spacetime is assumed. And more specifically the flow field of rotating star is assumed to be uniform; with

a constant angular velocity Ω , four velocity is written $u^\alpha = u^t k^\alpha = u^t(t^\alpha + \Omega \phi^\alpha)$ where t^α and ϕ^α are killing vectors.

Carter has shown [22] that in stationary, axisymmetric and circular spacetime, the vector potential and the current are such that $A_\alpha = A_t \nabla_\alpha t + A_\phi \nabla_\alpha \phi$ and $j^\alpha = j^t t^\alpha + j^\phi \phi^\alpha$ respectively. Since the vector potential A_α is assumed to respect the symmetry $\mathcal{L}_k A_\alpha = 0$, the ideal MHD condition $F_{\alpha\beta} u^\beta = 0$ implies, for a corotating flow,

$$F_{\alpha\beta} k^\beta = -\mathcal{L}_k A_\alpha + \nabla_\alpha (A_\beta k^\beta) = \nabla_\alpha (A_\beta k^\beta) = 0, \quad (\text{D1})$$

hence

$$A_\alpha k^\alpha = A_t + \Omega A_\phi = \text{constant}. \quad (\text{D2})$$

Using this relation, the vector potential is written

$$A_\alpha = A_\phi (\nabla_\alpha \phi - \Omega \nabla_\alpha t). \quad (\text{D3})$$

Note that $\nabla_\alpha \phi - \Omega \nabla_\alpha t$ is orthogonal to the helical vector, $k^\alpha (\nabla_\alpha \phi - \Omega \nabla_\alpha t) = 0$.

Rewriting the current as

$$j^\alpha = j^t k^\alpha + J \phi^\alpha, \quad (\text{D4})$$

with $J = j^\alpha (\nabla_\alpha \phi - \Omega \nabla_\alpha t) = j^\phi - \Omega j^t$, the Lorentz force becomes

$$\frac{1}{\rho} F_{\alpha\beta} j^\beta = \frac{J}{\rho} F_{\alpha\beta} \phi^\beta = \frac{J}{\rho} [-\mathcal{L}_\phi A_\alpha + \nabla_\alpha (A_\beta \phi^\beta)]. \quad (\text{D5})$$

Then, with the symmetry $\mathcal{L}_\phi A_\alpha = 0$, the MHD-Euler Eq. (70) is written

$$k^\beta (d(h\underline{u}))_{\beta\alpha} = \frac{J}{\rho u^t} \nabla_\alpha (A_\beta \phi^\beta), \quad (\text{D6})$$

or using $k^\beta (d(h\underline{u}))_{\beta\alpha} = -\nabla_\alpha (h u_\beta k^\beta) = \nabla_\alpha (h/u^t)$,

$$\nabla_\alpha \left(\frac{h}{u^t} \right) - \frac{J}{\rho u^t} \nabla_\alpha A_\phi = 0. \quad (\text{D7})$$

Hence, an integrability condition of this equation is

$$\frac{J}{\rho u^t} = f(A_\phi). \quad (\text{D8})$$

Equation (D7) and MHD-Euler equation for the comoving flow (109) with the current (71) agree if the relation

$$F_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{L}_k q^\beta = -\frac{J}{\rho u^t} \nabla_\alpha A_\phi \quad (\text{D9})$$

is satisfied. For example, if the vector q^α satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha = -\frac{J}{\rho u^t} \phi^\alpha = f(A_\phi) \phi^\alpha, \quad (\text{D10})$$

the Bekenstein and Oron formulation becomes the BGSM formulation [cf. Eq. (D5)].

APPENDIX E: CALCULATION OF $\delta(Q - \sum_i Q_i)$ FOR THE LAGRANGIAN WITH BEKENSTEIN AND ORON'S INTERACTION TERM

In the Bekenstein and Oron theory, the ideal MHD condition $F_{\alpha\beta} u^\beta = 0$ is imposed by adding a constraint to the Lagrangian density with a Lagrange multiplier q^α ,

$$\mathcal{L}_I = F_{\alpha\beta} \rho u^\alpha q^\beta \sqrt{-g}. \quad (\text{E1})$$

This term replaces an interaction term, $A_\alpha j^\alpha \sqrt{-g}$, of the field and electric current. The variation of \mathcal{L}_I becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \delta \mathcal{L}_I &= -\nabla_\beta \delta A_\alpha (\rho u^\alpha q^\beta - \rho u^\beta q^\alpha) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} F_{\alpha\beta} [\Delta(\rho u^\alpha q^\beta \sqrt{-g}) \\ &\quad - \mathcal{L}_\xi (\rho u^\alpha q^\beta \sqrt{-g})], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E2})$$

The last term is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} F_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{L}_\xi (\rho u^\alpha q^\beta \sqrt{-g}) \\ &= \rho u^\alpha q^\beta [\xi^\gamma (dF)_{\gamma\alpha\beta} + d(\xi \cdot F)_{\alpha\beta}] \\ &\quad + \nabla_\alpha (F_{\beta\gamma} \rho u^\gamma q^\beta \xi^\alpha), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E3})$$

where the Cartan identity for the 2-form $F_{\alpha\beta}$, $\mathcal{L}_\xi F_{\alpha\beta} = \xi^\gamma (dF)_{\gamma\alpha\beta} + (d(\xi \cdot F))_{\alpha\beta}$ is used, and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho u^\alpha q^\beta (d(\xi \cdot F))_{\alpha\beta} &= (\rho u^\alpha q^\beta - \rho u^\beta q^\alpha) \nabla_\alpha (\xi^\gamma F_{\gamma\beta}) \\ &= \xi^\alpha F_{\alpha\beta} j^\beta \\ &\quad + \nabla_\alpha [(\rho u^\alpha q^\beta - \rho u^\beta q^\alpha) \xi^\gamma F_{\gamma\beta}]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E4})$$

Hence, using $j^\alpha = \nabla_\beta (\rho u^\alpha q^\beta - \rho u^\beta q^\alpha)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \delta \mathcal{L}_I &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} F_{\alpha\beta} \Delta(\rho u^\alpha q^\beta \sqrt{-g}) + j^\alpha \delta A_\alpha \\ &\quad + \xi^\alpha [F_{\alpha\beta} j^\beta + \rho u^\beta q^\gamma (dF)_{\alpha\beta\gamma}] + \nabla_\alpha \Theta_I^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E5})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_I^\alpha &= (\rho u^\alpha q^\beta - \rho u^\beta q^\alpha) \delta A_\beta - (\rho u^\alpha q^\beta \xi^\gamma + \rho u^\beta q^\gamma \xi^\alpha \\ &\quad + \rho u^\gamma q^\alpha \xi^\beta) F_{\beta\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E6})$$

To calculate the difference of Noether charge $\delta(Q - \sum_i Q_i)$, we first associate $Q_K - \sum_i Q_{K_i}$ with the Lagrangian (152) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_K - \sum_i Q_{Ki} &= - \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{L} d^3x + \int_{\Sigma} (\epsilon + p) u^\alpha u_\beta v^\beta dS_\alpha - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} F^{\alpha\gamma} \mathcal{L}_k A_\gamma dS_\alpha + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial\Sigma} k^\gamma A_\gamma F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta} \\
 &+ \int_{\Sigma} F_{\alpha\beta} \rho u^\alpha q^\beta k^\gamma dS_\gamma - \int_{\Sigma} k^\gamma A_\gamma j^\alpha dS_\alpha - \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma} [G^\alpha_\beta - 8\pi(T^\alpha_\beta + T_F^{\alpha\beta})] k^\beta dS_\alpha \\
 &- \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} k^\gamma A_\gamma (\nabla_\beta F^{\alpha\beta} - 4\pi j^\alpha) dS_\alpha,
 \end{aligned} \tag{E7}$$

which corresponds to Eq. (B8). The integrand of the fifth term in the rhs of Eq. (E7) is rewritten

$$F_{\alpha\beta} \rho u^\alpha q^\beta k^\gamma dS_\gamma = F_{\alpha\beta} \rho (k^\alpha + v^\alpha) q^\beta u^\gamma dS_\gamma = k^\alpha F_{\alpha\beta} q^\beta \rho u^\gamma dS_\gamma + v^\alpha \eta_\alpha \rho u^\gamma dS_\gamma, \tag{E8}$$

and combined with the sixth term as

$$v^\alpha \eta_\alpha \rho u^\beta dS_\beta - \nabla_\alpha (k^\beta A_\beta) q^\alpha \rho u^\gamma dS_\gamma - k^\beta A_\beta j^\alpha dS_\alpha = v^\alpha \eta_\alpha \rho u^\beta dS_\beta - \mathcal{L}_q (k^\beta A_\beta \rho u^\gamma dS_\gamma) \tag{E9}$$

where Eq. (98) and $\mathcal{L}_k A_\alpha = 0$ were used. The integral of the last term of Eq. (E9) over Σ is rewritten a surface integral over $\partial\Sigma$ that vanishes, because of the gauge invariance under the transformation $q^\alpha \rightarrow q^\alpha + \lambda u^\alpha$ which can always be used to make q^α spatial, $q^\alpha \nabla_\alpha t = 0$.

The fifth and sixth terms of Eq. (E7) are replaced by $v^\alpha \eta_\alpha \rho u^\beta dS_\beta$; then a variation of the charge is calculated. A difference from the calculation of $\delta(Q_K - \sum_i Q_{Ki})$ in Appendix B is the terms,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta[(\epsilon + p) u^\alpha u_\beta v^\beta dS_\alpha] + \Delta(v^\beta \eta_\beta \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha) &= (hu_\beta + \eta_\beta) v^\beta \Delta(\rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha) + v^\beta \Delta(hu_\beta + \eta_\beta) \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha \\
 &+ (\epsilon + p) u^\alpha u_\beta \mathcal{L}_k \xi^\beta dS_\alpha + \mathcal{L}_k \xi^\beta \eta_\beta \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha,
 \end{aligned} \tag{E10}$$

where $\Delta v^\beta = -\Delta k^\beta = \mathcal{L}_k \xi^\beta$ is used. In the calculation of $\delta(Q_L - \sum_i Q_{Li})$, a term $\mathcal{L}_k \Theta^\alpha dS_\alpha$ becomes,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}_k \Theta^\alpha dS_\alpha &= (\epsilon + p) u^\alpha u_\beta \mathcal{L}_k \xi^\beta dS_\alpha + \mathcal{L}_k \xi^\gamma F_{\gamma\beta} (\rho u^\alpha q^\beta - \rho u^\beta q^\alpha) dS_\alpha \\
 &+ (\delta A_\beta + \xi^\gamma F_{\gamma\beta}) (\rho u^\alpha \mathcal{L}_k q^\beta - \rho u^\beta \mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha) dS_\alpha
 \end{aligned} \tag{E11}$$

where ξ^α and $\mathcal{L}_k \xi^\alpha$ are both spatial. The first term and a part of the second term in the rhs of Eq. (E11) cancel out with the last two terms in Eq. (E10). With the Cartan identity, $\xi^\gamma F_{\gamma\beta} = \mathcal{L}_\xi A_\beta - \nabla_\beta (\xi^\gamma A_\gamma)$ the last term of Eq. (E11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\delta A_\beta + \xi^\gamma F_{\gamma\beta}) (\rho u^\alpha \mathcal{L}_k q^\beta - \rho u^\beta \mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha) dS_\alpha &= [\Delta A_\beta - \nabla_\beta (\xi^\gamma A_\gamma)] (\rho u^\alpha \mathcal{L}_k q^\beta - \rho u^\beta \mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha) dS_\alpha \\
 &= \Delta A_\beta (\rho u^\alpha \mathcal{L}_k q^\beta - \rho u^\beta \mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha) dS_\alpha + \xi^\gamma A_\gamma \mathcal{L}_k j^\alpha dS_\alpha \\
 &- \nabla_\beta [\xi^\gamma A_\gamma (\rho u^\alpha \mathcal{L}_k q^\beta - \rho u^\beta \mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha)] dS_\alpha,
 \end{aligned} \tag{E12}$$

where the second term of the rhs of the last equality vanishes for the symmetry, $\mathcal{L}_k j^\alpha = 0$, and an integral of the last term over Σ vanishes for the Stokes theorem.

Finally, we obtain an expression for $\delta(Q - \sum_i Q_i)$ for the Bekenstein and Oron ideal MHD theory:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta(Q - \sum_i Q_i) &= \int_{\Sigma} \left\{ \frac{T}{u^t} \Delta s \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha + \left[\frac{h}{u^t} + (hu_\beta + \eta_\beta) v^\beta \right] \Delta(\rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha) + v^\beta \Delta(hu_\beta + \eta_\beta) \rho u^\alpha dS_\alpha \right. \\
 &- \left. (\rho u^\alpha \mathcal{L}_k q^\beta - \rho u^\beta \mathcal{L}_k q^\alpha) \Delta A_\beta dS_\alpha \right\} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \delta \oint_{\partial\Sigma} k^\gamma A_\gamma F^{\alpha\beta} dS_{\alpha\beta} \\
 &+ \int_{\Sigma} F_{\alpha\beta} u^\beta \left[\frac{1}{u^t} \Delta(q^\alpha \rho u^\gamma dS_\gamma) + \mathcal{L}_k \xi^\alpha \rho q^\gamma dS_\gamma \right] - \frac{1}{8\pi} \delta \int_{\Sigma} [G^\alpha_\beta - 8\pi(T^\alpha_\beta + T_F^{\alpha\beta})] k^\beta dS_\alpha \\
 &- \frac{1}{4\pi} \delta \int_{\Sigma} k^\gamma A_\gamma (\nabla_\beta F^{\alpha\beta} - 4\pi j^\alpha) dS_\alpha + \int_{\Sigma} \left\{ \frac{1}{16\pi} [G^{\alpha\beta} - 8\pi(T^{\alpha\beta} + T_F^{\alpha\beta})] \delta g_{\alpha\beta} \right. \\
 &\left. + \frac{1}{4\pi} (\nabla_\beta F^{\alpha\beta} - 4\pi j^\alpha) \delta A_\alpha + \xi^\alpha [\nabla_\beta T_\alpha^\beta - F_{\alpha\beta} j^\beta - \rho u^\beta q^\gamma (dF)_{\alpha\beta\gamma}] \right\} k^\delta dS_\delta.
 \end{aligned} \tag{E13}$$

This expression is compared with Eq. (B14). Note that, in the second line of Eq. (E13), the circulation of magnetized flow explicitly appears as in Eq. (84).

- [1] P. M. Woods and C. Thompson, in *Compact Stellar X-ray Sources*, edited by W. Lewin and M. van der Klis, Cambridge Astrophysics Series (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006), Vol. 39, p. 547.
- [2] J. K. Blackburn and S. Detweiler, *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 2318 (1992); S. Detweiler, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 4929 (1994).
- [3] A. Schild, *Phys. Rev.* **131**, 2762 (1963).
- [4] J. L. Friedman and K. Uryu, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 104039 (2006); M. M. Glenz and K. Uryu, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 027501 (2007).
- [5] S. Bonazzola, E. Gourgoulhon, and J.-A. Marck, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 7740 (1997).
- [6] H. Asada, *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 7292 (1998).
- [7] J. L. Friedman, K. Uryu, and M. Shibata (FUS), *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 064035 (2002); **70**, 129904(E) (2004).
- [8] E. Gourgoulhon, P. Grandclement, and S. Bonazzola, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 044020 (2002); P. Grandclement, E. Gourgoulhon, and S. Bonazzola, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 044021 (2002).
- [9] C. Klein, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 124026 (2004)
- [10] C. G. Torre, *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* **44**, 6223 (2003); **47**, 073501 (2006).
- [11] J. P. Bruneton, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **861**, 558 (2006).
- [12] R. Beig, J. M. Heinzle, and B. G. Schmidt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 121102 (2007); J. Bicak and B. G. Schmidt, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 104040 (2007).
- [13] J. T. Whelan, C. Beetle, W. Landry, and R. H. Price, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **19**, 1285 (2002); Z. Andrade *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 064001 (2004); S. R. Lau and R. H. Price, *J. Comput. Phys.* **227**, 1126 (2007); C. Beetle, B. Bromley, N. Hernandez, and R. H. Price, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 084016 (2007); N. Hernandez and R. H. Price, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 064008 (2009).
- [14] S. Yoshida, B. C. Bromley, J. S. Read, K. Uryu, and J. L. Friedman, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **23**, S599 (2006).
- [15] J. Lee and R. M. Wald, *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* **31**, 725 (1990).
- [16] R. M. Wald, *Phys. Rev. D* **48**, R3427 (1993).
- [17] V. Iyer and R. M. Wald, *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 4430 (1995).
- [18] V. Iyer, *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 3411 (1997).
- [19] B. F. Schutz and R. D. Sorkin, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **107**, 1 (1977).
- [20] R. D. Sorkin, *Proceedings of the Royal Society London A* (Royal Society Publishing, UK, 1991), Vol. 435, p. 635.
- [21] J. D. Brown, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **10**, 1579 (1993).
- [22] B. Carter, in *Black Holes—Les Houches 1972*, edited by C. DeWitt and B. S. DeWitt (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1973), p. 57.
- [23] B. Carter, in *General Relativity: An Einstein Centenary Survey*, edited by S. W. Hawking and W. Israel (1979), p. 294; in *Anneau D'ACC Ré tion Sur les Trous Noirs*, edited by I. Moret-Bailly and C. Latremolière (Faculté des Sciences, Angers, 1979), p. 166; in *Active Galactic Nuclei*, edited by C. Hazard and S. Mitton (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979), p. 273.
- [24] S. Bonazzola, E. Gourgoulhon, and J.-A. Marck, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 892 (1999); E. Gourgoulhon, P. Grandclement, K. Taniguchi, J.-A. Marck, and S. Bonazzola, *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 064029 (2001); K. Taniguchi and E. Gourgoulhon, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 104019 (2002); **68**, 124025 (2003); M. Bejger, D. Gondek-Rosinska, E. Gourgoulhon, P. Haensel, K. Taniguchi, and J. L. Zdunik, *Astron. Astrophys.* **431**, 297 (2005); K. Taniguchi and M. Shibata, *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* **188**, 187 (2010).
- [25] K. Uryu and Y. Eriguchi, *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 124023 (2000); K. Uryu, M. Shibata, and Y. Eriguchi, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 104015 (2000); K. Uryu, F. Limousin, J. L. Friedman, E. Gourgoulhon, and M. Shibata, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 171101 (2006); K. Uryu, F. Limousin, J. L. Friedman, E. Gourgoulhon, and M. Shibata, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 124004 (2009).
- [26] K. Taniguchi, T. W. Baumgarte, J. A. Faber, and S. L. Shapiro, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 044008 (2005); K. Taniguchi, T. W. Baumgarte, J. A. Faber, and S. L. Shapiro, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 041502(R) (2006); K. Taniguchi, T. W. Baumgarte, J. A. Faber, and S. L. Shapiro, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 084005 (2007); **77**, 044003 (2008); K. Kyutoku, M. Shibata, and K. Taniguchi, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 124018 (2009); F. Foucart, L. E. Kidder, H. P. Pfeiffer, and S. A. Teukolsky, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 124051 (2008).
- [27] G. B. Cook, *Living Rev. Relativity* **3**, 5 (2000); *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 5025 (1994); S. Brandt and B. Brüggmann, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 3606 (1997); H. P. Pfeiffer, S. A. Teukolsky, and G. B. Cook, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 104018 (2000); P. Marronetti and R. A. Matzner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 5500 (2000); G. B. Cook, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 084003 (2002); H. P. Pfeiffer, G. B. Cook, and S. A. Teukolsky, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 024047 (2002); G. Lovelace, R. Owen, H. P. Pfeiffer, and T. Chu, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 084017 (2008); M. Caudill, G. B. Cook, J. D. Grigsby, and H. P. Pfeiffer, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 064011 (2006); W. Tichy, B. Brüggmann, M. Campanelli, and P. Diener, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 064008 (2003); B. J. Kelly, W. Tichy, M. Campanelli, and B. F. Whiting, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 024008 (2007).
- [28] J. D. Bekenstein and E. Oron, *Phys. Rev. D* **18**, 1809 (1978); J. D. Bekenstein, *Astrophys. J.* **319**, 207 (1987).
- [29] J. D. Bekenstein and A. Oron, *Phys. Rev. E* **62**, 5594 (2000); *Found. Phys.* **31**, 895 (2001).
- [30] J. D. Bekenstein and G. Betschart, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 083009 (2006).
- [31] I. E. Tarapov, *Prikl. Mat. Mekh.* **48**, 275 (1984); V. B. Gorskii, *Prikl. Mat. Mekh.* **50**, 388 (1986).
- [32] C. S. Kochanek, *Astrophys. J.* **398**, 234 (1992); L. Bildsten and C. Cutler, *Astrophys. J.* **400**, 175 (1992).
- [33] H. Friedrich, I. Rácz, and R. M. Wald, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **204**, 691 (1999).
- [34] J. M. Bardeen, B. Carter, and S. W. Hawking, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **31**, 161 (1973).
- [35] E. Gourgoulhon, in *Stellar Fluid Dynamics and Numerical Simulations: From the Sun to Neutron Stars*, edited by M. Rieutord and B. Dubrulle, EAS Publications Series (EDP Sciences, Les Ulis, 2006), Vol. 21, p. 43.
- [36] H. Asada, *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 7292 (1998); M. Shibata, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 024012 (1998); S. A. Teukolsky, *Astrophys. J.* **504**, 442 (1998).
- [37] S. Bonazzola, E. Gourgoulhon, M. Salgado, and J.-A. Marck, *Astron. Astrophys.* **278**, 421 (1993).
- [38] B. F. Schutz, *Phys. Rev. D* **2**, 2762 (1970).
- [39] R. Sorkin, *Astrophys. J.* **249**, 254 (1981); S. Bonazzola, E. Gourgoulhon, and J.-A. Marck, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 7740 (1997).
- [40] J. L. Friedman, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **62**, 247 (1978).
- [41] B. Carter, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **30**, 261 (1973).
- [42] A. H. Taub, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **15**, 235 (1969).