

Determination of ultrahigh molar mass of polyelectrolytes by Taylor dispersion analysis

Xiaoling Leclercq, Laurent Leclercq, Alexis Guillard, Laurent Rodriguez,

Olivier Braun, Cédrick Favero, Hervé Cottet

▶ To cite this version:

Xiaoling Leclercq, Laurent Leclercq, Alexis Guillard, Laurent Rodriguez, Olivier Braun, et al.. Determination of ultrahigh molar mass of polyelectrolytes by Taylor dispersion analysis. Journal of Chromatography A, 2022, 1670, pp.1-7. 10.1016/j.chroma.2022.462949 . hal-03732077

HAL Id: hal-03732077 https://hal.science/hal-03732077v1

Submitted on 21 Jul 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1 2

Determination of ultrahigh molar mass of polyelectrolytes by Taylor dispersion analysis

3

4 Xiaoling Leclercq¹, Laurent Leclercq¹, Alexis Guillard², Laurent Rodriguez², Olivier
5 Braun², Cédrick Favero² and Hervé Cottet^{1,*}

⁶ ¹ IBMM, University of Montpellier, CNRS, ENSCM, Montpellier, France

² SNF Floerger, Andrézieux, France

- 8
- 9

10 ABSTRACT

Taylor dispersion analysis (TDA) was successfully applied to obtain broadly 11 distributed, ultrahigh molar masses of industrial anionic polyacrylamides (IPAMs) up to 25 12 $\times 10^{6}$ g/mol, far beyond the detection limit of SEC (about 7.3 $\times 10^{6}$ g/mol for anionic 13 polyacrylamides standards). Two protocols of TDA differing in capillary surface and rinsing 14 procedure were employed: (i) bare fused silica capillaries under intensive between-run rinsing 15 with 1M NaOH, and (ii) capillaries coated with polyelectrolyte multilayers composed of 16 polydiallyldimethylammonium chloride polycation and sodium polystyrenesulfonate 17 polyanion under simple rinsing with background electrolyte. Both cases led to similar results 18 and in agreement with those obtained by static light scattering, the rinsing capillary step being 19 much shorter in the second case (8 min instead of 30 min). The data processing of the 20 21 obtained taylorgrams was realized using multiple-Gaussian fitting of the overall taylorgrams, by separating the contribution of low molar mass impurities from the polymeric profiles, and 22 23 by determining the mean hydrodynamic radii and diffusion coefficients of the polymers. The molar masses of ultra-high molar mass industrial anionic polyacrylamides were derived from 24 25 the hydrodynamic radii according to $\log R_h$ versus $\log M_w$ linear correlation established with APAM standards. Compared to capillary gel electrophoresis for which the size separation was 26 only feasible up to $M_w \sim 10 \times 10^6$ g/mol due to field induced polymer aggregation, TDA 27 largely extended the range of accessible molar mass with easy-to-run and time saving assays. 28

29

Keywords: Taylor dispersion analysis, size-based separations, ultrahigh molar mass
determination, polyacrylamides

32 * CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

33 Tel: +33 4 6714 3427, Fax: +33 4 6763 1046. E-mail: herve.cottet@umontpellier.fr

34	
35	
36	
37	Highlights
38	
39	• Linear $\log R_h vs \log M_w$ correlation obtained by TDA on a broad range of molar masses
40	• Analysis of ultrahigh molar mass polyacrylamides up to 25×10^6 g/mol by TDA
41	• TDA results were consistent with those obtained by static light scattering
42	• TDA offers a straightforward, absolute, easy-to-run and rapid method for size-based
43	characterization

44 **1. Introduction**

45

In numerous industrial applications such as wastewater treatment and enhanced oil 46 recovery, high to ultrahigh molar mass (above 1×10^6 g/mol) anionic poly(acrylic acid-co-47 acrylamide)s have been playing an important role as media thickener for decades [1-3]. 48 However, characterization of unusually high molar mass polyelectrolytes remains challenging 49 and laborious. Due to inherent separation limits of conventional SEC, such as abnormal 50 elution due to polymer adsorption or chain breakage in the column packing, polyacrylamide 51 copolymers with molar masses higher than 7.5 $\times 10^6$ g/mol cannot be analyzed by SEC [4-7]. 52 It was found that higher molar mass polyelectrolytes, when passing through a porous medium, 53 54 are sensible to mechanical degradation based on coil-stretch transition of polymer chains above a critical extension rate, leading to central scissions of polymers in diluted regime and 55 random scissions in semi-diluted regime [5]. Moreover, largely polydisperse polymers usually 56 elute in abnormal overlapped peaks that cannot be resolved by SEC. Other techniques are 57 pursued with certain success including in-batch multi-angle laser light scattering (MALLS) 58 [9, 10] and asymmetric field-flow fractionation [11, 12]. In a previous study [13], we reported 59 the performance of capillary gel electrophoresis (CGE) with 2-hydroxyethyl cellulose (HEC) 60 as sieving polymer for the characterization of ultrahigh molar mass industrial polyacrylamides 61 (IPAMs) up to 10×10^6 g/mol, in agreement with the results obtained by static light scattering 62 (SLS). However, the limit of CGE lied in the problem of aggregation of the IPAMs under 63 action of electric fields [14], as well as field-dependent biased reptation of the polyelectrolyte 64 chains. 65

To alleviate the problem of aggregation, Taylor dispersion analysis (TDA) is an interesting 66 alternative that applies a mobilizing pressure instead of an electric field as driving force in the 67 capillary. TDA is based on the dispersion of sample plug injected in narrow capillary 68 (typically 50 µM i.d.) under a Poiseuille-like flow. The Taylor dispersion is due to the 69 combination of the convective parabolic velocity profile with molecular diffusion. TDA is an 70 absolute method (no calibration is required) allowing to determine the determine diffusion 71 coefficients (D) from the dispersion (temporal variance) of the elution profile. D and 72 hydrodynamic radii (R_h) of large varieties of solutes were determined by TDA including 73 macromolecules [15-18], nanoparticles [19-21], proteins [22, 23] and more recently, vaccine 74 antigens and/or adjuvants [24]. Regarding the limits of analysis of TDA, it is predicted that R_h 75 should be smaller than 210 nm on a 50 μ m i.d. capillary to keep the relative error ε of 76 diffusion coefficient D below 5%. For higher solute sizes, convective and hydrodynamic 77

chromatography (HDC) regimes would occur, leading to non-Gaussian elution profiles (see
e.g. Fig. SI1 to visualize the different regimes) [22].

In this work, we investigated the potential of TDA for the size (hydrodynamic radius) and 80 81 molar mass characterization of high to ultrahigh molar mass industrial anionic poly(acrylic acid-co-acrylamide)s (IPAMs). Due to the concern of maintaining the ultrahigh molar mass 82 83 IPAMs within Taylor regime, the increase of the background electrolyte ionic strength has been investigated to get more compact polymer conformations. To check the impact of the 84 capillary wall surface on the TDA results, two experimental protocols using (i) bare 85 capillaries combined with rigorous between-run rinsing with 1M NaOH and (ii) SMIL 86 (Successive Multiple Layers)-coated capillaries 87 Ionic based on polydiallyldimethylammonium chloride as a polycation and sodium polystyrene sulfonate as a 88 polyanion with simple rinsing with background electrolyte. 89

90 91

92 **2. Experimental**93

94 *2.1. Chemicals*

95 Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (TRIS) and polydiallyldimethylammonium chloride 96 (PDADMAC) 20%, $M_w = 400,000-500,000$ g/mol, 4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)piperazine-1-ethane 97 sulfonic acid (HEPES), tris(hydroxyméthyl) aminométhane (Tris), sodium hydroxide, lithium 98 chloride anhydrous, and lithium hydroxide were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint 99 Quentin Fallavier, France), sodium polystyrenesulfonate (PSS), M_w =70,000 g/mol, from 100 Acros (Illkirch, France), hydrochloric acid and potassium chloride from VWR (Fontenay-101 sous-Bois, France), and finally sodium chloride from Fluka (Illkirch, France).

102

103 *2.2. Samples*

The anionic poly(acrylic acid-co-acrylamide)s industrial samples (IPAMs) and standard samples (APAMs) were provided by SNF Floerger (Andrézieux, France). APAMs were synthesized from monomers of sodium acrylate and acrylamide by controlled radical polymerization (CRP) with narrowly distributed molar mass and anionicity [26]. IPAMs were obtained by hydrolysis of polyacrylamide in a NaOH medium to the defined anionicity. The molar mass and polydispersity index (PDI) of the APAMs were determined by SEC-MALS [7], and the molar mass of the IPAMs by batch-SLS (see Table 1).

Stock solutions of APAMs and IPAMs were prepared in small scale by dissolving 50 mg 111 sample in 10 mL background electrolyte (BGE) under magnetic stirring at 500 rpm for 6 h. 112 The BGE was composed of 20 mM Tris/HCl buffer at pH 8.0 containing 35.5 mM LiCl (total 113 ionic strength I = 46.5 mM). For the study of the impact of ionic strength, BGE of the same 114 Tris/Cl buffer containing 1M NaCl or 1M KCl were used. The stock solutions were kept at 115 5°C before and after use. The test solutions were then prepared by dilution of the stock 116 solutions with BGE to the injected concentration of 2 g/L and homogenized using an orbital 117 118 mixer for 3 min. All samples were used non-filtered.

119

120 2.3. Size-Exclusion Chromatography (SEC-MALS)

Weight and number average molar mass, PDI, and radius of gyration of APAMs were 121 obtained by a SEC-MALS Agilent 1260 Infinity I system (Agilent Technologies, Les Ulis, 122 France). It consisted of an on-line degasser, a high-pressure pump, an automatic sampler, a 8 123 \times 300 mm SEC column packed with polyhydroxymethacrylate-based gel (OHpak Shodex 124 columns, Showa Denko, Munich, Germany), a multi-angle light scattering (MALS) detector 125 (Dawn Heleos II, Wyatt Technology, Toulouse, France) and a refractive index (RI) detector 126 (Optilab, Wyatt Technology, Toulouse, France). Experimental conditions were given in [7]. 127 Buffer containing 0.5 M sodium nitrate and 55 mM HEPES (pH 8) was used as the eluent that 128 was filtered through a 0.1 µm cellulose membrane before use. The flow rate was set at 0.3 129 mL/min. Samples of 0.02% (w/V) concentration in the eluent were filtered through a 1.2 µm 130 cellulose membrane before injection. The injection volume was 100 µL. The detectors of 131 MALS and RI were kept at room temperature. The refractive index increment (dn/dc) of the 132 133 samples were measured by using the Optilab detector. Data acquisition and processing were achieved by the ASTRA software (version 6.1, Wyatt Technologies). 134

135

136 *2.4. SLS*

SLS batch analysis was carried out on the Dawn Heleos II equipment (see 2.3). Polymer solutions were prepared in 0.5 M sodium nitrate at 0.5 wt % and mixed under mechanical stirring (at 400 rpm) at room temperature for 2 h till dissolution. The 0.5 wt % polymer solutions were further diluted to 0.01 wt % under stirring with a magnetic stirrer at 200 rpm for 1 h, then filtered through 1.2 µm syringe filters to remove dusts and other large particle contaminants. The filtered solutions were diluted sequentially to 4-5 different concentrations by two syringe pumps before injection into the MALS detector. The MALS cell temperature

was set at 30°C, the flow rate at 0.3 mL/min. The scattering data were collected at 17 different
angles with an incident laser wavelength of 664 nm. Calibration of the MALS detector was
performed using HPLC grade toluene. The data analysis was conducted using the Astra 6.1
software (see 2.3). In a batch MALS measurement, the angular and concentration dependent
light scattering data were fitted with Ornstein-Zernick equation:

149
$$\frac{R}{KC} = M_w \left(1 + \frac{2q^2 R_g^2}{3D_f} \right)^{\frac{D_f}{2}}$$
(1)

where *R* is the excess Rayleigh ratio, *K* is the optical constant, *C* is the polymer concentration, M_w is the weight-average molar mass, D_f is the fractional size, *q* is the scattering factor and R_g is the radius of gyration.

153

154 2.5. Taylor dispersion analysis (TDA)

TDA experiments were performed on a Beckman P/ACE MDQ apparatus (AB Sciex Life 155 Sciences Holding, Villebon-sur-Yvette, France). Capillaries were prepared from a fused silica 156 tubing (Photonlines, St Grégoire, France) with dimensions of 60 cm (50 cm to the detector) \times 157 50 µm ID. Capillaries were first activated by successive flushing at 20 psi with 1 M NaOH for 158 30 min, 0.1 M NaOH for 10 min, Milli-Q water for 10 min, and finally background electrolyte 159 (BGE) for 10 min. BGE was 20 mM Tris/HCl with 35.5 mM LiCl, I = 46.5mM, pH 8.0. For 160 the study of the impact of ionic strength on hydrodynamic radii, BGE was also prepared by 161 adding 1M NaCl or 1M KCl instead of 35.5 mM LiCl. 162

Protocol of intensive between-run rinsing consisted of successively flushing the bare capillary with 1 M NaOH at 35 psi for 10 min, 0.1 M NaOH at 20 psi for 5 min, Milli-Q water at 20 psi for 5 min and BGE at 20 psi for 10 min, leading to total rinsing time of 30 min.

In a second approach, capillaries were coated as following: *(i)* activation with 1 M NaOH for 20 min at 20 psi, *(ii)* flushing with a solution of PDADMAC polycation at 3 g/L in 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4 at 20 psi for 7 min, *(iii)* rinsing with 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4 at 20 psi for 3 min, *(iv)* flushing with a solution of PSS polyanion at 3 g/L in 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4 at 20 psi for 7 min, *(v)* rinsing with 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4 at 20 psi for 3 min, and *(vi)* repetition of the *(ii)* to *(v)* steps. (PDADMAC/PSS)₂ SMIL coated capillary was finally rinsed with BGE for 8 min before sample injection. Between-run rinsing was carried out with BGE at 20 psi for

173 8 min.

Samples were injected hydrodynamically at 0.5 psi for 7 s. The mobilizing pressure was
0.4 psi. UV absorption was detected at 200 nm. Temperature was set at 25°C.

176

177 2.6. Theoretical aspects

In TDA [27-29], when a solute plug is injected into a fluid flowing under laminar 178 Poiseuille profile through a capillary, it spreads out under the combined action of molecular 179 diffusion and the variation of velocity over the cross-section. The distribution of 180 concentration thus generated is centred on a point which moves with the mean speed of flow. 181 The elution profile is recorded at a certain distance from the injection point, via UV 182 absorbance of the polymer solute through the capillary tube. For a single size population, the 183 elution profile is normally distributed (Gaussian), as far as two conditions are satisfied: (i) the 184 axial diffusion should be negligible compared to convection (*i.e.* the Péclet number P_e = 185 $R_c u/D > 40$) where R_c is the capillary radius, u is the linear velocity and D is the molecular 186 diffusion coefficient, and (ii) the average elution time t_0 should be longer than the 187 characteristic diffusion time τ of the solute (*i.e.* $\tau = t_0 D/R_c^2 > 1.25$) [25]. 188

189 For polydisperse samples, the taylorgram is a sum of Gaussians [17]:

190
$$S(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{A_i}{\sigma_i \sqrt{2\pi}} exp\left[\frac{-(t-t_0)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}\right]$$
(2)

191 where S(t) is the elution signal at time t, $S_i(t)$ the Gaussian of the component i and σ_i is the 192 temporal variance of the component i. The temporal variance σ^2 of the overall taylorgram is 193 calculated by integration of the taylorgram using Eq. 3:

194
$$\sigma^2 = \frac{\int S(t)(t-t_0)^2 dt}{\int S(t) dt}$$
 (3)

From the overall temporal variance, an average diffusion coefficient $\langle D \rangle$ can be deduced from Eq. 4:

197
$$\langle D \rangle = \frac{R_c^2 t_0}{24\sigma^2} = \frac{R_c^2 t_0}{24} \frac{\int_0^\infty S(t)dt}{\int_0^\infty S(t)(t-t_0)^2 dt}$$
(4)

198 Finally, the average hydrodynamic radius $\langle R_h \rangle$ (a weight-average value for mass 199 concentration sensitive detector) is derived from Stokes-Einstein equation (Eq. 5):

$$200 \qquad \langle R_h \rangle = \frac{k_B T}{6\pi\eta \langle D \rangle} \tag{5}$$

In this work, data processing of taylorgrams including Gaussian fitting (up to 3 Gaussians using Excel solver), calculation temporal variances, diffusion coefficients and hydrodynamic radii of the polymers were achieved with a lab-made Excel spreadsheet.

204

- 205
- 206

3. Results and discussion

The objective of this work was to develop and apply a TDA methodology to characterize 207 the size (hydrodynamic radius) and molar mass of ultrahigh molar mass industrial samples 208 (IPAM). All samples possess an anionicity between 27 and 33%. Since TDA gives access to 209 the diffusion coefficient (and hydrodynamic radius) instead of molar masses, $\log R_h vs \log M_w$ 210 correlation was experimentally determined by TDA using 6 standard samples (APAMs) of 211 molar mass ranging between 2.2 kDa and 7.3 MDa. These APAM standards were fully 212 characterized by SEC with relatively narrow PDI ranging between 1.1 and 1.5 (see Fig. SI2 213 for the molar mass distributions). The molar masses of 5 IPAM samples were obtained by 214 SLS and found between 6.5 MDa and 25 MDa (see Fig. SI3 for the molar mass determination 215 by SLS). All APAM and IPAM predetermined characteristics are provided in Table 1. 216

Efforts to improve the robustness of TDA data was emphasized on minimizing the 217 adsorption of anionic polyacrylamides onto the capillary surface that is governed by an 218 equilibrium between attractive interactions (H-bonding between silanol groups present at the 219 capillary wall surface and amide groups of the polymer) and electrostatic repulsion, 220 depending on several parameters including pH, molar mass and dispersity of the polymer and 221 ionic strength of BGE [31-33]. In this study, pH of the background electrolyte (BGE) was set 222 223 at 8.0, at which the capillary surface was negatively charged and the anionic polyacrylamides remained stable without remarkable hydrolysis. To keep the capillary wall under 'steady-state 224 225 conditions' to achieve good intra-capillary repeatability, two different protocols were 226 employed for comparison. In the first case, an intensive between-run rinsing process using 1 M NaOH at 35 mbar for 10 min followed by 0.1 M NaOH at 20 psi for 5 min, Milli-Q water 227 at 20 psi for 5 min and BGE at 20 psi for 10 min, was undertaken in order to eliminate 228 previous history of the fused silica capillary before a new run, especially when ultrahigh 229 molar mass polymers were assayed, with the expense of re-equilibration time (for a total 230 rinsing time of 30 min). In the second case, the capillary surface was coated with SMIL [34, 231 35], namely two PADMAC/PSS bilayers, to enhance electrostatic repulsion between the 232 capillary wall and the analytes, using simple between-run rinsing with BGE for 8 min only. 233 The reproducibility of TDA in R_h determination was checked by intra-capillary repeatability 234 by repeating 3 assays per sample on the same capillary and inter-capillary reproducibility by 235 236 running all samples successively on 3 different capillaries.

237

238 3.1. TDA on bare fused silica capillary

In TDA, the original taylorgrams (Fig. 1A for APAMs and Fig. 1B for IPAMs) were 239 bimodal and contained an additional sharp peak that is due to the residual monomers and 240 dimers as well as UV absorbing impurities such as urea, according to the provider. To extract 241 hydrodynamic information of the polymer, each taylorgram was deconvoluted into 2 to 3 242 Gaussian profiles using Excel Solver according to eq. (2). After subtraction of the small 243 244 molecule contribution that corresponded to sizes between 0.38 nm and 0.60 nm, the remaining polymer profile was obtained and shown in Fig. 1C (for APAMs) and Fig. 1D (for IPAMs) 245 246 with normalization at the maximum intensity for better interpretation. Narrowly distributed APAMs appeared relatively symmetric, and peak widths broadened systematically with 247 increasing the molar mass, as expected according to Taylor dispersion. Slight distortion of the 248 elution profile could be observed for the IPAM samples and was likely due to some polymer 249 adsorption on the capillary wall that was not observed with APAMs. To avoid any bias in the 250 average size determination, Gaussian fitting and calculation of the peak variance σ^2 were only 251 operated on the left half part of the taylorgram relative to the peak apex (t_0) . 252

253 All the hydrodynamic radius values obtained by TDA are presented in Table 1. To get a better insight about the repetability / reproducibility of the TDA measurements, n = 3254 repetitions on m=3 fused silica capillaries were performed. RSD on the average R_h 255 determination were always below 10% for both repeatability and reproducibility. Fig. 2 shows 256 257 the $\log R_h - \log M_w$ correlations lines obtained for the APAM standards (see plain blue dots 258 and triangles for 20 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0 with 35.5 mM LiCl eluent (I = 46.5 mM)). Intercapillary mean hydrodynamic radii are presented in this Figure with inter-capillary RSD as 259 error bars. The molar masses used for this representation were obtained by SEC-MALS for 260 APAM samples and by SLS for IPAM samples (see sections 2.3 and 2.4 for experimental 261 conditions and see Table 1 for the data). The least squared regression displays good 262 correlation ($\langle R_h \rangle = 31.020 \times M_w^{0.5346}$, R² = 0.9926, with M_w in 10⁶ g/mol and R_h in nm) and 263 extended into the data range of IPAMs with very good agreement, on a range of R_h reaching 264 up to 183.7 nm for IPAM5. This result proves that the experimental TDA conditions allows a 265 true (non-biased) determination of large polymer sizes, even at moderate ionic strength (46.5 266 mM). It is worth noting that the conditions of validity of the Taylor regime were always 267 fulfilled (i.e. $P_e = R_c u/D > 40$ and $\tau = t_0 D/R_c^2 > 1.25$) for all polymer samples, even for the 268 largest IPAM5 ($P_e \sim 4400$; $\tau \sim 4.5$). This was ensured by relatively low mobilization pressure 269 270 to let the time to the large polymer solutes to average the parabolic velocity profile during the analysis time. Using the $\log R_h - \log M_w$ correlation obtained with the APAM samples, it was 271

possible to determine the TDA molar masses ($M_{wl, TDA}$) by using the reciprocal of the $R_h - M_w$ 272 correlation ($M_w = 0.00162 \times \langle R_h \rangle^{1.8706}$, for bare fused silica capillary (case 1) and $M_w =$ 273 $0.00195 \times \langle R_h \rangle^{1.7986}$, for PDADMAC/PSS SMIL-coated capillary (case 2), with M_w in 10⁶ 274 g/mol and R_h in nm). Regarding the limit in size that can be determined by TDA due to 275 occurrence of the hydrodynamic chromatography regime that can affect the accuracy of the R_h 276 determination, a maximum R_h value of 212 nm is expected as the upper limit in size if we 277 accept a maximum relative error of 5% (as calculated by $R_h \leq 0.17 \times R_c \times \varepsilon$, where ε is the 278 279 relative error [22]).







Figure 1. Original taylorgrams of poly(acrylic acid-co-acrylamide) standards (APAMs) (A) and industrial samples (IPAMs) (B), and the corresponding height normalized taylorgrams subtracted from small molecules contribution (C and D, respectively). Experimental conditions: fused silica capillary 50 μ m ID × 60 cm (50 cm to the detector). Eluent: 20 mM Tris/HCl with 35.5 mM LiCl, *I* = 46.5 mM, pH 8.0. Mobilization pressure: 0.4 psi. Injection: 0.5 psi, 7 s. Injected sample concentration: 2 g/L in eluent. UV detection at 200 nm. Temperature: 25°C. Between-run rinsing: 1 M NaOH at 35 psi for 10 min, 0.1 M NaOH at 20 psi for 5 min, Milli-Q water at 20 psi for 5 min, and eluent at 20 psi for 10 min.



289

Figure 2. $\log R_h - \log M_w$ correlations obtained for poly(acrylic acid-co-acrylamide)s standards (APAMs) and industrial samples (IPAMs) using 20 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0 eluents containing 35.5 mM LiCl, 1 M NaCl or 1 M KCl. Least-squared regressions are, with M_w in 10⁶ g/mol and R_h in nm: $\langle R_h \rangle = 31.020 \times M_w^{0.5346}$, R² = 0.9926 for 35.5 mM LiCl, error bar = ±1 RSD (n = 3); $R_h = 24.398 \times M_w^{0.4546}$, R² = 0.9609 for 1 M NaCl and $R_h =$ 18.328× $M_w^{0.5109}$, R² = 0.9251 for 1 M KCl, n = 1. Injected sample concentration in the eluent: 1 g/L. Other experimental conditions: see Fig. 1.

296

297

Table 1. Hydrodynamic radius (R_h) and molar mass (M_w) determination by TDA using bare fused silica capillary (case 1) or PDADMAC/PSS SMIL-coated capillary (case 2) with eluents of various ionic strengths. Eluent as indicated on the Table. Other TDA experimental conditions as in Fig. 1 or Fig. SI4 (case 1) or Fig. SI5 (case 2). RSD (%) or error bars are calculated based ± 1 SD. Intra-capillary values based on n = 3 determinations; inter-capillary values based on m = 3 capillaries and n = 3 repetitions per capillary. Eluant: 20 mM Tris/HCl with 35.5 mM LiCl, pH 8. ^a Data given by the provider: anionicity by ¹H NMR, M_w and PDI by SEC-MALS for APAMs, M_w by SLS for IPAMs. ^b TDA molar masses were obtained using the reciprocal of the least-squared regressions given in Fig. 2 (with M_w in 10⁶ g/mol and R_h in nm) $M_w = 0.00162 \times \langle R_h \rangle^{1.8706}$ (case 1) and $M_w = 0.00195 \times \langle R_h \rangle^{1.7986}$ (case 2).

304 305

	Anionicity (by H ¹ NMR) ^a	M_w (by SEC- MALS) ^a × 10 ⁶ g/mol)	PDI (by SEC-MALS) ^a	M_w (by SLS) ^a ×10 ⁶ g/mol	Case 1 Bare-fused silica capillary			Case 2 SMIL-coated capillary		
					Intra-cap $< R_h > intra$ (nm)	Inter-cap $< R_h >_{inter}$ (nm)	M _{w, TDA} ^b (10 ⁶ g/mol)	Intra-cap < <i>R</i> _h > _{intra} (nm)	Inter-cap $\langle R_h \rangle_{\text{inter}}$, (nm)	$M_{w, TDA}^{b}$ (10 ⁶ g/mol)
APAM1	26%	0.22±0.02	1.1±0.1		14.0 (3.0%)	13.4 (3.7%)		13.4 (3.0%)	13.5 (3.7%)	
APAM5	25%	0.80±0.1	1.2±0.2		30.0 (3.3%)	28.4 (7.0%)		28.0 (3.1%)	29.0 (3.2%)	
APAM10	29%	1.92±0.3	2.5±0.1		45.0 (4.0%)	43.0 (7.7%)		48.7 (5.4%)	47.0 (6.8%)	
APAM30	25%	3.93±0.5	1.4±0.2		55.0 (8.0%)	61.8 (8.9%)		68.7 (11%)	64.0 (7.8%)	
APAM50	30%	4.18±0.4	3.0±0.2		70.2 (12%)	80.6 (9.7%)		78.0 (7.3%)	80.0 (8.8%)	
APAM60	26%	7.34±0.7	1.5±0.2		104.0 (11%)	92.6 (8.9%)		103.0 (5.5%)	92.0 (8.5%)	
IPAM1	27%	4.3±0.4		6.5±0.7	70.0 (8.0%)	70.5 (3.5%)	4.3±0.4	75.5 (6.6%)	74.5 (4.8%)	4.7±0.2
IPAM2	28%			8±0.8	100.1 (9.1%)	91.2 (7.9%)	8.4±0.6	96.3 (2.4%)	93.7 (5.9%)	7.2±0.4
IPAM3	29%			10.5±1.1	114.2 (7.0%)	109.2 (8.7%)	12.3±1.1	106.6 (3.0%)	110.5 (6.8%)	9.7±0.7
IPAM4	33%			15±1.5	127.9 (8.1%)	131.9 (9.9%)	14.1±1.4	136.8 (2.9%)	138.4 (7.2%)	15.0±1.1
IPAM5	27%			25±2.5	167.0 (9.7%)	159.0 (9.5%)	20.8±2.0	191.3 (6.0%)	183.7 (7.0%)	25.2±1.8

307 3.2. Impact of BGE ionic strength using fused silica capillary

308 To possibly extend the range of molar masses that can be analyzed by TDA; higher eluent ionic strengths were used to get more compact polyelectrolyte conformations by screening of 309 310 the electrostatic repulsions between charged monomers in the polyelectrolyte chain. Accordingly, the BGE (20 mM Tris/HCl) was completed by adding 1 M NaCl or 1 M KCl 311 312 (instead of 35.5 mM LiCl) in order to investigate the impact of high ionic strength on the R_h determined by TDA for APAM and IPAM samples. Open symbols in Fig. 2 shows the $\log R_h$ 313 314 $-\log M_w$ correlations obtained at 1 M NaCl and 1 M KCl on bare fused silica capillaries. As expected lower R_h values were obtained at 1 M NaCl (or KCl) with a decrease by a factor ~ 315 1.5 compared to 35.5 mM LiCl, while the correlation was slightly lower at high ionic strength 316 $(R^2 = 0.9926 \text{ at } 35.5 \text{ mM LiCl compared to } R^2 = 0.9613 \text{ at } 1 \text{ M NaCl, and } R^2 = 0.9686 \text{ at } 1 \text{ M}$ 317 KCl). The expected decrease in hydrodynamic radii of the polymers at high ionic strengths 318 319 was similar in 1 M KCl and in 1 M NaCl.

320

321 3.3. SMIL-coated capillary

Fig. 3 compares the $\log R_h - \log M_w$ correlations achieved with APAMs and IPAMs using a 322 bare-fused silica capillary and a SMIL coated capillary (20 mM Tris/HCl with 35.5 mM LiCl 323 eluant). Two PADMAC/PSS bilayers were deposited on the silica capillary by simple flushes 324 (see section 2.5 for experimental protocol), to enhance electrostatic repulsion between the 325 capillary wall and the polyelectrolyte analytes. The purpose of this SMIL coting was to 326 327 decrease the consuming rinsing time between runs (30 min on fused silica capillary vs 8 min on SMIL coted capillary), and possibly to get better reproducibility in the taylorgrams, and 328 consequently lower RSD in the R_h determination. The correlation lines were very close to 329 each other on the two type of capillaries with similar scaling laws and correlation coefficients: 330 $< R_h > = 32.145 \times M_w^{0.5560}$, R² = 0.9910 (SMIL-coating) and $< R_h > = 31.020 \times M_w^{0.5346}$, R² = 331 0.9926 (bare fused silica capillary), with M_w in 10⁶ g/mol and R_h in nm. The molar masses of 332 333 IPAMs were then derived from $\langle R_h \rangle$ using the reciprocal of the scaling laws and reported in Table 1. The TDA results with RSD in the range of 3-12% are in good agreement with the 334 SLS-ones (~ 10% discrepancies). Comparing the two protocols, SMIL-coating method is 335 advantageous over fused silica capillary for time-saving (8 min rinsing time between runs 336 337 instead of 30 min) and more symmetric taylorgrams (see Fig. SI4/SI6 and Fig. SI5/SI7). SMIL coting also provided better intra and inter capillary RSD for the polydisperse IPAM 338 samples. It is worth noting that the stability of SMIL coated capillaries sustained throughout 339 340 the repeatability assay of all the samples. Compared to the upper limit in molar mass obtained in CGE ($M_w < 10$ MDa [13]), TDA extended the accessible molar mass range up to 25 MDa

342 for IPAM copolymers.

343





345 Figure 3. $\log R_h - \log M_w$ plots for poly(acrylic acid-co-acrylamide)s standards (APAMs) and industrial samples 346 (IPAMs) obtained using a bare fused silica capillary (blue) or a SMIL-coated capillary (red). Experimental 347 conditions: capillary dimensions, 50 µm ID × 60 cm (50 cm to the detector). Eluent: 20 mM Tris/HCl with 35.5 348 mM LiCl, I = 46.5 mM, pH 8.0. Mobilization pressure: 0.4 psi. Injection: 0.5 psi, 7 s. Injected sample 349 concentration: 2 g/L in eluent. SMIL consisted of two bilayers of PDADMAC/PSS at a polymer concentration of 350 3 g/L (see experimental part for more details). Rinsing between runs: fused silica capillary, 1 M NaOH at 35 psi for 10 min, 0.1 M NaOH at 20 psi for 5 min, Milli-Q water at 20 psi for 5 min and BGE at 20 psi for 10 min. 351 352 SMIL coated capillary: BGE at 20 psi for 8 min. UV detection at 200 nm. Temperature: 25°C. The calibration lines established with APAMs read with M_w in 10⁶ g/mol and R_h in nm: $\langle R_h \rangle = 32.145 \times M_w^{0.5560}$, R² = 0.9910 353 (SMIL coated capillary); $\langle R_h \rangle = 31.020 \times M_w^{0.5346}$, R² = 0.9926 (bare fused silica capillary). Error bars are \pm one 354 355 SD. Other experimental conditions: see Fig. 1.

356

357 **4. Conclusion**

TDA was proven straightforward and powerful for size determination of a wide range of 358 anionic polyacrylamides of hydrodynamic radii from 10 to 190 nm. The molar mass of the 359 industrial samples were deduced from these hydrodynamic radii and found between 4.3 MDa 360 and 25 MDa. The data processing of the taylorgrams comprised Gaussian fitting with 361 subtraction of the contribution from small impurities, determination of hydrodynamic radii of 362 the polymers, calibration using $\log R_h - \log M_w$ correlation with standards characterized by 363 SEC-MALS, and thereafter calculation of molar masses of industrial samples. Two protocols 364 were employed and compared, aiming at improving R_h - M_w correlation and repeatability / 365 reproducibility. A first protocol was based on bare fused silica capillaries and intensive 366

between-run rinsing (30 min), the other was based on SMIL-coated capillaries and simple 367 rinsing (8 min). Both cases led to consistent results that are in agreement with those 368 determined by SLS within relative error deviation. So far, TDA provided a new, reliable 369 370 methodology of sized-based molar mass determination far beyond the detection limits of SEC (< 7.3 MDa) and also a convenient alternative to SLS. Last but not least, the concern of high 371 polymer aggregation under action of electric fields encountered in CGE was fully alleviated 372 in TDA due to orthogonal techniques based on completely different principles. As a 373 perspective, it would be interesting to investigate the polyelectrolyte polydispersity by TDA 374 375 using constraint regularized linear inversion approach for the fitting of the taylorgrams. To that respect, improving the signal to noise ratio could be of interest. 376

377

378 **References**

- A. Thomas, N. Gaillard, C. Favero, Some key features to consider when studying
 acrylamide-based polymers for chemical Enhanced Oil Recovery, Oil Gas Sci.
 Technol. 67(6) (2013) 887-902, doi: 10.2516/ogst/2012065.
- A.G. Guezennec, C. Michel, K. Bru, S. Touze, N. Desroche, I. Mnif, M. MotelicaHeino, Transfer and degradation of polyacrylamide-based flocculants in hydrosystems:
 a review, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int. 22 (2015) 6390–6406, doi: 10.1007/s11356014-3556-6.
- A. Sabhapondit, A. Borthakur, I. Haque, Characterization of acrylamide polymers for
 enhanced oil recovery, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 87 (2003) 1869–1878, doi:
 10.1002/app.11491.
- [4] L. Rodriquez. Thesis : Analyse dimensionnelle, comportement thermique et mécanique de polymères en solution aqueuse à base de 2-acrylamido-2-méthylpropane sulfonate : Application en Récupération Assistée d'Hydrocarbures. Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour (2016).
- A. Müller, L. Patruyo, W. Montano, D. Roversi-M, R. Moreno, N. Ramírez, A. Sáez,
 Mechanical degradation of polymers in flows through porous media: effect of flow
 path length and particle size, Appl. Mech. Rev. 50 (1997) 149-155, doi:
 10.1115/1.3101827
- T.Q. Nguyen, H.H. Kausch, Chain scission in transient extensional flow kinetics and molecular weight dependence, J. Non-Newton. Fluid. Mech. 30 (1988) 125–140, doi: 10.1016/0377-0257(88)85020-1.
- 400 [7] S. Jouenne, M. Loriau, B. Grassl, N. Andreu, Method for determining the weight401 average molecular weight of a water-soluble high molecular weight polymer, Patent
 402 WO 2017042603 A1 2017031 (2017).
- J. Wang, H. Huang, X. Huang, Molecular weight and the Mark-Houwink relation for ultra-high molecular weight charged polyacrylamide determined using automatic batch mode multi-angle light scattering, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 133 (2016) 43748, doi: 10.1002/app.43748.
- B.A. Buchholz, A.E. Barron, The use of light scattering for precise characterization of
 polymers for DNA sequencing by capillary electrophoresis, Electrophoresis 22 (2001)

- 409 4118–4128, doi: 10.1002/1522-2683(200111)22:19<4118::AID-ELPS4118>3.0.CO;2-410 Q.
- 411 [11] Y. Dalsania, A. Doda, J. Trivedi, Characterization of ultrahigh-molecular-weight
 412 oilfield polyacrylamides under different pH environments by use of asymmetrical-flow
 413 field-flow fractionation and multiangle-light-scattering detector, SPE J. 23 (2018) 48414 65, doi: 10.2118/174624-PA.
- 415 [12] M. Leeman, M. T. Islam, W. G. Haseltine, Asymmetrical flow field-flow fractionation coupled with multi-angle light scattering and refractive index detections for characterization of ultra-high molar mass poly(acrylamide) flocculants, J.
 418 Chromatogr. A 1172 (2007) 194-203, doi: 10.1016/j.chroma.2007.10.006.
- X. Leclercq, L. Leclercq, A. Guillard, L. Rodriguez, O. Braun, C. Favero, H. Cottet, 419 [13] Characterization of ultrahigh molar mass polyelectrolytes by capillary electrophoresis, 420 J. Chromatogr. 1631 (2020)461536, doi: 461536, 421 А ff10.1016/j.chroma.2020.461536ff. 422
- [14] S. Magnúsdóttir, H. Isambert, C. Heller, J.L. Viovy, Electrohydrodynamically induced aggregation during constant and pulsed field capillary electrophoresis of DNA, Biopolymer 49 (1999) 385–401, doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0282(19990415)49:5<385::
 426 AID-BIP5>3.0.CO;2-L.
- L. Leclercq, S. Reinhard, J. Chamieh, M. Döblinger, E. Wagner, H. Cottet, Fast characterization of polyplexes by Taylor dispersion analysis, Macromolecules 48 (2015) 7216–7221, doi: 10.1021/acs.macromol.5b01824.
- H. Cottet, M. Martin, A. Papillaud, E. Souaid, H. Collet, A. Commeyras,
 Determination of dendrigraft poly-l-Lysine diffusion coefficients by Taylor dispersion
 analysis. Biomacromolecules 8 (2007) 3235-3243, doi: 10.1021/bm070268j.
- H. Cottet, J.-P. Biron, L. Cipelletti, R. Matmour, M. Martin, Determination of individual diffusion coefficients in evolutive binary mixtures by Taylor dispersion analysis: application to the monitoring of polymer reaction, Anal. Chem. 82 (2010) 1793-1802, doi: 10.1021/ac902397x.
- 437 [18] J. P. Biron, F. Bonfils, L. Cipelletti, H. Cottet, Size-characterization of natural and
 438 synthetic polyisoprenes by Taylor dispersion analysis, Polymer Testing 66 (2018) 244439 250, doi: 10.1016/j.polymertesting.2018.01.017.
- 440 [19] F. d'Orlye, A. Varenne, P. Gareil, Determination of nanoparticle diffusion coefficients
 441 by Taylor dispersion analysis using a capillary electrophoresis instrument, J.
 442 Chromatogr. A 1204 (2008) 226–232, doi: 10.1016/j.chroma.2008.08.008.
- [20] D. A. Urban, A. M. Milosevic, D. Bossert, F. Crippa, T. L. Moore, C. Geers, S. Balog,
 B. Rothen-Rutishauser, A. Fink, Taylor Dispersion of inorganic nanoparticles and
 comparison to dynamic light scattering and transmission electron microscopy, Colloid
 Interface Sci. Commun. 22 (2018) 29–33, doi:10.1016/j.colcom.2017.12.001.
- L. Cipelletti, J.-P. Biron, M. Martin, H. Cottet, Measuring arbitrary diffusion coefficient distributions of nano-objects by Taylor dispersion analysis, Anal. Chem. 87 (2015) 8489–8496, doi: 10.1021/acs.analchem.5b02053.
- J. Chamieh, L. Leclercq, M. Martin, S. Slaoui, H. Jensen, J. Østergaard, H. Cottet,
 Limits in size of Taylor dispersion analysis: Representation of the different
 hydrodynamic regimes and application to the size-characterization of cubosomes.
 Anal. Chem. 89 (2017) 13487–13493, doi: 10.1021/acs.analchem.7b03806.
- 454 [23] A. Hawe, W.L. Hulse, W. Jiskoot, R.T. Forbes, Taylor dispersion analysis compared
 455 to dynamic light scattering for the size analysis of therapeutic peptides and proteins
 456 and their aggregates, Pharm. Res. 28 (2011) 2302–2310, doi: 10.1007/s11095-011457 0460-3.

- 458 [24] C. Malburet, L. Leclercq, J-F Cotte, J. Thiebaud, S. Marco, M.-C. Nicolaï, H. Cottet,
 459 Antigen-adjuvant interactions in vaccines by Taylor dispersion analysis: Size
 460 characterization and binding parameters, Anal. Chem. 93 (2021) 6508–6515, doi:
 461 10.1021/acs.analchem.1c00420.
- 462 [25] H. Cottet, J.-P. Birona, M. Martin, On the optimization of operating conditions for
 463 Taylor dispersion analysis of mixtures, Analyst 139 (201) 3552-3562, doi:
 464 10.1039/c4an00192c.
- E. Read, A. Guinaudeau, D.J. Wilson, A. Cadix, F. Violleau, M. Destarac, Low
 temperature RAFT/MADIX gel polymerization: access to controlled ultra-high molar
 mass polyacrylamides, Polym. Chem. 5 (2014) 2202–2207, doi: 10.1039/c3py01750h.
- 468 [27] G. Taylor, Dispersion of soluble matter in solvent flowing slowly through a tube, Proc.
 469 R. Soc. London, Ser. A 219 (1953) 186-203, doi: 10.1098/rspa.1953.0139.
- 470 [28] R. Aris, On the dispersion of a solute in a fluid flowing through a tube, Proc. R. Soc.
 471 London, Ser. A 235 (1956) 67-77, doi: 10.1098/rspa.1956.0065.
- 472 [29] M. S. Bello, R. Rezzonico, P. G. Righetti, Use of Taylor-Aris dispersion for 473 measurement of a solute diffusion coefficient in thin capillaries, Science 266 (1994) 474 773-776, doi: 10.1126/science.266.5186.773.
- 475 [30] G. S. Manning, Limiting Laws and counterion condensation in polyelectrolyte
 476 solutions I. Colligative properties, J. Chem. Phys. 51 (1969) 924, doi:
 477 10.1063/1.1672157.
- 478 [31] J. Lecourtier, L.T. Lee, G. Cheveteau, Adsorption of polyacrylamides on siliceous
 479 minerals, Colloids & Surfaces, 47 (1990) 219-231, doi: 10.1016/0166480 6622(90)80074-E.
- 481 [32] H. Bessaies-Bey, J. Fusier, S. Harrisson, M. Destarac, S. Jouenne, N. Passade-Boupat,
 482 F. Lequeux, J.-B. d'Espinose de Lacaillerie, N. Sanson, Impact of polyacrylamide
 483 adsorption on flow through porous siliceous materials: State of the art, discussion and
 484 industrial concern, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 531 (2018) 693-704, doi:
 485 10.1016/j.jcis.2018.07.103.
- 486 [33] A. Samanta, A. Bera, K. Ojha, A. Manda, Effects of alkali, salts, and surfactant on rheological behavior of partially hydrolyzed polyacrylamide solutions, J. Chem. Eng. Data 55 (2010) 4315–4322, doi: 10.1021/je100458a.
- [34] L. Leclercq, M. Morvan, J. Koch, C. Neusuess, H. Cottet, Modulation of the electroosmotic mobility using polyelectrolyte multilayer coatings for protein analysis by capillary electrophoresis, Anal. Chim. Acta 1057 (2019) 152-161, doi: 10.1016/j.aca.2019.01.008.
- S. Bekri, L. Leclercq, H. Cottet, Polyelectrolyte multilayer coatings for the separation 493 [35] of proteins by capillary electrophoresis: influence of polyelectrolyte nature and 494 (2015) crosslinking, J. Chromatogr. А 1399 495 multilayer 80-87, doi: 10.1016/j.chroma.2015.04.033. 496
- 497