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#### Abstract

The present paper addresses the convergence of a first-order in time incremental projection scheme for the timedependent incompressible Navier-Stokes equations to a weak solution. We prove the convergence of the approximate solutions obtained by a semi-discrete scheme and a fully discrete scheme using a staggered finite volume scheme on non uniform rectangular meshes. Some first a priori estimates on the approximate solutions yield their existence. Compactness arguments, relying on these estimates, together with some estimates on the translates of the discrete time derivatives, are then developed to obtain convergence (up to the extraction of a subsequence), when the time step tends to zero in the semi-discrete scheme and when the space and time steps tend to zero in the fully discrete scheme; the approximate solutions are thus shown to converge to a limit function which is then shown to be a weak solution to the continuous problem by passing to the limit in these schemes.
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## 1. Introduction

The incompressible Navier-Stokes equations for a homogeneous fluid read:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{t} \boldsymbol{u}+(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u}-\Delta \boldsymbol{u}+\nabla p=\boldsymbol{f} \text { in }(0, T) \times \Omega  \tag{1a}\\
& \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}=0 \text { in }(0, T) \times \Omega \tag{1b}
\end{align*}
$$

where the density and the viscosity are set to one for the sake of simplicity, and where
$T>0$, and $\Omega$ is a connected, open and bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$,
$d \in\{2,3\}$, with a Lipschitz boundary $\partial \Omega$.

The variables $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $p$ are respectively the velocity and the pressure in the flow, and Eqs. (1a) and (1b) respectively enforce the momentum conservation and the incompressibility of the flow. This system is supplemented with the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{u}=0 \text { on }(0, T) \times \partial \Omega, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{u}(0)=\boldsymbol{u}_{0} \text { in } \Omega \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\boldsymbol{u}_{0}$ is the initial datum for the velocity and the function $\boldsymbol{f}$ is the source term. Throughout the paper, we shall assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{f} \in L^{2}((0, T) \times \Omega)^{d} \text { and } \boldsymbol{u}_{0} \in \boldsymbol{E}(\Omega), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{E}(\Omega)$ is the subset of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}$ of divergence-free functions, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{E}(\Omega)=\left\{\boldsymbol{u} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d} \text { such that } \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}=0\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us also introduce the subset of $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ of divergence-free functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)=\left\{\boldsymbol{u} \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d} \text { such that } \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \xi \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=0 \text { for any } \xi \in H^{1}(\Omega)\right\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that in fact, the initial condition is assumed to be in $\boldsymbol{E}(\Omega)$ for the sake of simplicity. It could be considered in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ only by projecting it on $\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$, see Remark 2.2.

Let us define the weak solutions of Problem (1)-(4) in the sense of Leray [17].
Definition 1.1. (Weak solution) Under the assumptions (2) and (5), a function $\boldsymbol{u} \in L^{2}(0, T ; \boldsymbol{E}(\Omega)) \cap$ $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ is a weak solution of the problem (1)-(4) if

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \partial_{t} \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}: \nabla \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u}_{0} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}(0, \cdot) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\boldsymbol{v}$ in $\mathcal{V}(\Omega)=\left\{\boldsymbol{w} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega \times[0, T))^{d}, \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{w}=0\right.$ in $\left.\Omega \times(0, T)\right\}$.
The first projection method to solve the system (1) was designed over 50 years ago, and is known as the Chorin-Temam algorithm $[4,23,24]$. It consists in a prediction step based on a linearized momentum equation without the pressure gradient, and a pressure correction step that enforces the divergence-free constraint. This method and its variants are now often referred to (following [13]) as non incremental projection schemes, in opposition to the incremental projection schemes that were obtained by adding the old pressure gradient in the prediction step (see [12] for a first-order in time scheme and [25] for a second-order in time scheme). These latter schemes are indeed incremental in the sense that the correction step may now be seen as solving an equation on the time increment of the pressure. They seem to be much more efficient from a computational point of view [13] and have been the object of several error analyses, under some regularity assumptions on the solution of the continuous problem and in the semi discrete setting, see [13] and references therein.

The non incremental schemes have been the object of some analyses in the fully discrete setting. In [1] some error estimates are derived for a non incremental scheme with a discretization by the finite element, under some regularity assumptions on the exact solution. In [15], the approximate solutions of a fully discrete non incremental scheme with a uniform staggered discretization are shown to converge to a weak solution under the condition that $h \leq \delta t^{3-\alpha}$ where $h$ and $\delta t$ are respectively the mesh size and the time step and $0<\alpha \leq 2$.

However, to our knowledge, up to now, no proof of convergence exists for the fully discrete incremental projection schemes, even though they are the most used in practice. The purpose of the present work is therefore to fill this gap and to show the convergence of the incremental projection method with a discretization by a staggered finite volume scheme based on a (non uniform) Marker-And-Cell (MAC) grid, without any regularity assumption on the exact solution.

The Marker-And-Cell scheme, introduced in the middle of the sixties (see [14]), is one of the most popular methods (see e.g. [19] and [26]) for the approximation of the Navier-Stokes equations in the engineering framework, because of its simplicity, its efficiency and its remarkable mathematical properties. Although originally presented as a finite difference scheme on uniform meshes, the MAC scheme used in this paper is in fact a finite volume scheme and as such can be used on non uniform meshes. The convergence analysis of the staggered finite volume scheme on the MAC mesh using a fully implicit time scheme may be found in [11], and we shall use several tools developed therein. We also refer to this latter paper for some more references on the MAC scheme.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 deals with the convergence analysis for the semi-discrete projection algorithm. The fully discrete scheme is analysed in Sect. 3; we only give the main ingredients

[^0]of the staggered space discretization that we use, and which is often referred to as the MAC scheme. To avoid a lengthy description, the precise definitions of the now classical discrete MAC operators are to be found in [11]. In the appendix, we give some useful technical lemmas. Before starting the analysis of the semi-discrete and fully discrete schemes, we wish to recall, for the sake of clarity, that:

- In a Banach space $E$ equipped with a norm $\|\cdot\|_{E}$, a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$ is said to converge to $u \in E$ if $\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, while it is said to weakly converge to $u \in E$ if for any continuous linear form $T \in E^{\prime}$, one has $T\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow T(u)$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$.
- A sequence $\left(T_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E^{\prime}$ is said to $\star$-weakly converge to $T \in E^{\prime}$ if for any $u \in E$, one has $T_{n}(u) \rightarrow T(u)$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$.
- If $E=L^{p}(\Omega)$, where $1 \leq p<+\infty$ and $\Omega$ is an open set of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, the space $E^{\prime}$ is identified to $L^{q}(\Omega)$, $q=p /(p-1)$.
- For $T>0$ and $E=L^{1}\left((0, T), L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$, the space $L^{\infty}\left((0, T), L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ is identified with $E^{\prime}$.


## 2. Analysis of the Time Semi-Discrete Incremental Projection Scheme

We consider a partition of the time interval $[0, T]$, which we suppose uniform to alleviate the notations, so that the assumptions read:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \geq 1, \quad \delta t_{N}=\frac{T}{N}, \quad t_{N}^{n}=n \delta t_{N} \text { for } n \in \llbracket 0, N \rrbracket . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1. The Time Semi-Discrete Scheme

Under the assumptions (9), the usual first-order time semi-discrete incremental projection scheme (see [21]) reads:

## Initialization:

Let $\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{0}=\boldsymbol{u}_{0} \in \boldsymbol{E}(\Omega)$ and $p_{N}^{0}=0$.
Solve for $0 \leq n \leq N-1$ :
Prediction step:
$\frac{1}{\delta t_{N}}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right)+\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n} \cdot \nabla\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}+\nabla p_{N}^{n}-\Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}=\boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1}$ in $\Omega$,
$\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$.
Correction step:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\delta t_{N}}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right)+\nabla\left(p_{N}^{n+1}-p_{N}^{n}\right)=0 \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{10d}\\
& \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}=0 \text { in } \Omega \text { and } \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega,  \tag{10e}\\
& \int_{\Omega} p_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=0, \tag{10f}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{n}$ stands for the outward normal unit vector to the boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $\boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1} \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ is defined by

$$
\boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1}(\boldsymbol{x})=\frac{1}{\delta t_{N}} \int_{t^{n}}^{t^{n+1}} \boldsymbol{f}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{d} t, \text { for a.e. } \boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega
$$

Let us briefly account for the existence of a solution at each step of this algorithm.
noindent Prediction Step-A weak form of Eqs. (10b)-(10c) reads
Find $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}$ such that for any $\varphi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d} \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{d}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\delta t_{N}} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n} \cdot \nabla\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}: \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\delta t_{N}} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{\Omega} p_{N}^{n} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

The correction step (see below) enforces that, at the previous iteration, $\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n} \in \boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$, where $\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$ is the space of $L^{2}$-divergence-free functions defined by (7) and $p_{N}^{n} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \cap L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$, where $L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ is the set of zero mean value $L^{2}$ functions; the existence and the uniqueness of $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}$ is then a consequence of Lemma A.1.

Correction Step - Applying the divergence operator to (10d), a weak form of Eqs. (10d)-(10e) reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Find } p_{N}^{n+1} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \text { such that } \psi_{N}^{n+1}=p_{N}^{n+1}-p_{N}^{n} \in H^{1}(\Omega) \text { satisfies : }  \tag{12a}\\
& \int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=\frac{1}{\delta t_{N}} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \text {, for any } \varphi \in H^{1}(\Omega) \text {, }  \tag{12b}\\
& \text { Set } \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}=\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}-\delta t_{N} \nabla \psi_{N}^{n+1} . \tag{12c}
\end{align*}
$$

If ( $p_{N}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}$ ) satisfies (12), then $\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=0$ for any $\varphi \in H^{1}(\Omega)$, so that $\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1} \in \boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$. The existence of $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}, p_{N}^{n+1}\right) \in \boldsymbol{V}(\Omega) \times H^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfying (12) is then a consequence of the decomposition result of Lemma A. 2 given in the appendix. Indeed, this correction step is the decomposition stated in Lemma A. 2 applied to the predicted velocity $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}^{n+1}$. Note that $p_{N}^{n+1}$ is uniquely defined thanks to (10f).

We may thus state the following existence result and define the approximate solutions obtained by the projection scheme (10).

Definition 2.1 (Approximate solutions, semi-discrete case). Under the assumptions (2),(5) and (9). There exists a unique $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n}, \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}, p_{N}^{n}\right)_{n \in \llbracket 1, N \rrbracket} \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d} \times \boldsymbol{V}(\Omega) \times H^{1}(\Omega) \cap L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ satisfying (10). We then define the functions $\boldsymbol{u}_{N}:(0, T) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}:(0, T) \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{u}_{N}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{1}_{\left(t_{N}^{n}, t_{N}^{n+1}\right]}(t) \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}, \quad \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{1}_{\left(t_{N}^{n}, t_{N}^{n+1}\right]}(t) \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n}\right)_{n \in \llbracket 1, N \rrbracket}$ and $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right)_{n \in \llbracket 1, N \rrbracket}$ are the solution to (10), where $\mathbb{1}_{A}$ denotes the indicator function of a given set $A$.

Remark 2.1. (On the boundary conditions) The original homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions (3) of the strong formulation (1) is imposed on the weak solution through the functional space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}$. Note that this condition is only imposed on the predicted velocity in the algorithm (10). Indeed, the corrected velocity does not satisfy the full Dirichlet condition (3) but only the no slip condition imposed by (10e). The compactness of the sequence of predicted velocities $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ together with the convergence of $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ towards zero in $L^{2}$ as the time step tends to zero will be the mean to prove that the Dirichlet boundary condition is finally satisfied on the limit of the numerical approximations. Note also that there is no need for a boundary condition on the pressure in the correction step. In fact, it can be inferred from (12) that the incremental pressure $\psi^{n+1}=p^{n+1}-p^{n}$ satisfies a Poisson equation on $\Omega$ with a Neumann boundary condition on the boundary. We refer to [20] for an interesting discussion on these boundary conditions.

Remark 2.2. (On the initial condition) In fact, the existence of a solution (see Lemma A.1) only requires the initial velocity $\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{0}$ to be in $\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$, so that the assumption on the initial condition $\boldsymbol{u}_{0} \in \boldsymbol{E}(\Omega)$ can be relaxed to $\boldsymbol{u}_{0} \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ by taking $\boldsymbol{u}^{0}=\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{u}_{0}$ as the orthogonal projection of $\boldsymbol{u}_{0}$ onto the closed subspace $\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$ of $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$, also known as the Leray projector. In this case, $\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{0}$ can be computed as $\boldsymbol{u}^{0}=\boldsymbol{u}_{0}-\boldsymbol{\nabla} \psi$ where $\psi \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ is a solution (unique, up to a constant) of the following problem (see Lemma A.2)

$$
\psi \in H^{1}(\Omega)
$$

$$
\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \psi \cdot \nabla \varphi \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u}_{0} \cdot \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}, \text { for any } \varphi \in H^{1}(\Omega) .
$$

Remark 2.3. (A useful identity) The following identity, obtained by summing (10b) at step $n$ and (10d) at step $n-1$, will be used in the proof of convergence.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\delta t_{N}}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n}\right)+\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n} \cdot \nabla\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}+\nabla\left(2 p_{N}^{n}-p_{N}^{n-1}\right)-\Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \\
& \quad=\boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1}, \forall n \in \llbracket 1, N-1 \rrbracket . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 2.1 (Convergence of the semi-discrete in time projection algorithm). Under the assumptions (2), (5), (9), let $\boldsymbol{u}_{N}$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}$ be the solution of the projection algorithm (10) as given in Definition 2.1. Then there exists $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \in L^{2}(0, T ; \boldsymbol{E}(\Omega)) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ such that up to a subsequence,

- the sequence $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ converges to $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ and weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$,
- the sequence $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ converges to $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ and $\star$-weakly in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$.

Moreover the function $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ is a weak solution to (1) in the sense of Definition 1.1.
Proof. Here are the main steps of the proof; each step is detailed in one of the following paragraphs.

- Step 1: first estimates and weak convergence (detailed in Sect. 2.2). By Lemma 2.2 below, we get that there exists $C_{1}$, depending only on $|\Omega|,\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}$ and $\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{L^{2}((0, T) \times \Omega)^{d}}$, such that the sequences $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ and $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ defined by (13) satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{N \geq 1}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}\right)} \leq C_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \sup _{N \geq 1}\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)} \leq C_{1},  \tag{16}\\
& \left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{N}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)} \leq C_{1} \sqrt{\delta t_{N}} \text { for any } N \geq 1 . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Owing to (16), there exist some subsequences, still denoted by $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ and $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$, that converge respectively $\star$-weakly in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ and weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$. Thanks to (17), the subsequences $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ and $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ converge to the same limit $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$. It follows that $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$; passing to the limit in (10e) then yields that $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right) \cap L^{2}(0, T ; \boldsymbol{E}(\Omega))$.
There remains to show that $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ is a weak solution in the sense of Definition 1.1 and in particular that $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ satisfies (8). Unfortunately, the weak convergence is not sufficient to pass to the limit in the scheme, because of the nonlinear convection term. Hence we first need to get some compactness on one of the subsequences (since, by (17), their difference tends to 0 in the $L^{2}$ norm).

- Step 2: compactness and convergence in $L^{2}$ (detailed in Sect. 2.3). This is the tricky part of the proof. Since the sequence $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ is bounded in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$, some estimates on the discrete time derivative would be sufficient to obtain the convergence in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ by a Kolmogorov-like theorem. A difficulty to obtain this estimate arises from the presence of the pressure gradient in Eq. (10b), which needs to be "killed" by multiplying this latter equation by a divergence-free function. This function $\varphi$ should also be regular enough so that the nonlinear divergence term makes sense: hence we choose $\varphi \in W_{0}^{1,3}(\Omega)^{d}$ such that $\operatorname{div} \varphi=0$, and define the following semi-norm on $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& |\boldsymbol{w}|_{*, 1}=\sup \left\{\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{W}(\Omega),\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{W_{0}^{1,3}(\Omega)^{d}} \leq 1\right\}  \tag{18a}\\
& \text { with } \boldsymbol{W}(\Omega)=\left\{\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in W_{0}^{1,3}(\Omega)^{d}: \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \cdot \nabla \xi \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=0, \forall \xi \in H^{1}(\Omega)\right\} . \tag{18b}
\end{align*}
$$

Estimates on the $L^{2}\left(|\cdot|_{*, 1}\right)$ semi-norm of the time translates of the predicted velocity $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}$ are then obtained from the semi-discrete momentum equation (10b): see Lemma 2.3. Note that this is only an intermediate result; indeed, in order to gain compactness, we need an estimate on the
time translates of the predicted velocity in the $L^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)$ norm. The idea is then to first introduce the following semi-norm on $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\boldsymbol{v}|_{*, 0}=\sup \left\{\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \boldsymbol{V}(\Omega),\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}=1\right\}=\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{v}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)}$ is the Leray projector (i.e. the orthogonal projection operator onto the space $\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$ of $L^{2}$ divergence-free functions defined by (7). Then, thanks to a Lions-like lemma (Lemma 2.4 below), we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \varepsilon>0, \exists C_{\varepsilon}>0, \forall \boldsymbol{w} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d},\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{w}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}} \leq \varepsilon\|\boldsymbol{w}\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}}+C_{\varepsilon}|\boldsymbol{w}|_{*, 1} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to show that the $L^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)$ norm of the time translates of $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}$ tends to 0 , we remark that if $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$, then $|\boldsymbol{v}|_{*, 0}=\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}$ and conclude thanks to (17), see Lemma 2.5.

- Step 3: convergence towards the weak solution (detailed in Sect. 2.4). Owing to a Kolmogorovtype theorem (see e.g. [9, Corollary 4.41]), the estimates of steps 1 and 2 yield that there exist subsequences, still denoted by $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ and $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$, that converge to $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$.
In Sect. 2.4, we pass to the limit in the scheme to obtain that $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ satisfies (8); therefore $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ is a weak solution to (1) in the sense of Definition 1.1.

Remark 2.4. (Uniqueness and convergence of the whole sequence) In the case where uniqueness of the solution is known, the whole sequence converges; this is for instance the case in the two dimensional setting [17], see e.g. [3, Chapter 5, Sect. 1.3] for more discussions on this subject.

### 2.2. Proof of Step 1: Energy Estimates and Weak Convergence

Lemma 2.2 (Energy estimates). Under the assumptions (2), (5) and (9), the functions $\boldsymbol{u}_{N}$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}$ defined by (13) satisfy (16) and (17), with $C_{1}$ depending only on $|\Omega|,\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}$ and $\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{L^{2}((0, T) \times \Omega)^{d}}$.

Proof. Noting that $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}$ satisfies (11) and using Lemma A. 1 with $\alpha=\frac{1}{\delta t_{N}}$, we have for $n \in \llbracket 0, N-1 \rrbracket$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2 \delta t_{N}}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}-\frac{1}{2 \delta t_{N}}\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \delta t_{N}}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2} \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega} \nabla p_{N}^{n} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}+\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2} \leq \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Re-ordering relation (10d) such that the terms of $n$-th and $(n+1)$-th time level are respectively on the left and right hand sides, squaring it, integrating over $\Omega$, multiplying by $\frac{\delta t_{N}}{2}$ and owing to $\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1} \in \boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$, we get that for $n \in \llbracket 0, N-1 \rrbracket$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \delta t_{N}}\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}+\frac{\delta t_{N}}{2}\left\|\nabla p_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}=\frac{1}{2 \delta t_{N}}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2} \\
& \quad+\frac{\delta t_{N}}{2}\left\|\nabla p_{N}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}-\int_{\Omega} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla p_{N}^{n} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing this latter relation with (21) yields for $n \in \llbracket 0, N-1 \rrbracket$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \delta t_{N}}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}-\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}\right)+\frac{\delta t_{N}}{2}\left(\left\|\nabla p_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}-\left\|\nabla p_{N}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2 \delta t_{N}}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}+\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2} \leq \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We then get Relations (16) by summing over the time steps, using the Cauchy-Schwarz and Poincaré inequalities.

### 2.3. Proof of Step 2: Compactness and $L^{2}$ Convergence

Following Step 2 of the sketch of proof of Theorem 2.1, we start by the following lemma.
Lemma 2.3 (A first estimate on the time translates). Under the Assumptions (2), (5) and (9), there exists $C_{2}$ only depending on $|\Omega|,\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}$ and $\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{L^{2}((0, T) \times \Omega)^{d}}$ such that for any $N \geq 1$ and for any $\tau \in(0, T)$,

$$
\int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right|_{*, 1}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leq C_{2} \tau\left(\tau+\delta t_{N}\right)
$$

where $|\cdot|_{*, 1}$ is the semi-norm defined by (18).
Proof. Let $N \geq 2$ and $\tau \in(0, T)$ (for $N=1$ the quantity we have to estimate is zero). Let ( $\left.\chi_{N, \tau}^{n}\right)_{n \in \llbracket 1, N-1 \rrbracket}$ be the family of measurable functions defined for $n \in \llbracket 1, N-1 \rrbracket$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by $\chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t)=\mathbb{1}_{\left(t_{N}^{n}-\tau, t_{N}^{n}\right]}(t)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t)\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n}\right), \forall t \in(0, T-\tau) . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Owing to (15),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)=\delta t_{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}-\delta t_{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t)\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n} \cdot \nabla\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \\
& \quad-\delta t_{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \nabla\left(2 p_{N}^{n}-p_{N}^{n-1}\right)+\delta t_{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \boldsymbol{W}(\Omega)$ (defined in (18)) and $A(t)=\int_{\Omega}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(t)=A_{d}(t)+A_{c}(t)+A_{p}(t)+A_{f}(t) \text { with } \\
& A_{d}(t)=-\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}: \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \\
& A_{c}(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N} \int_{\Omega}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\right) \boldsymbol{\varphi} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}, \\
& A_{p}(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N} \int_{\Omega}\left(2 p_{N}^{n}-p_{N}^{n-1}\right) \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \\
& A_{\boldsymbol{f}}(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Hölder's inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{d}(t) \leq|\Omega|^{1 / 6}\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{W_{0}^{1,3}(\Omega)^{d}} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \subset L^{6}(\Omega)$, using Hölder's inequality with exponents 2,6 and $3,\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{3}=1\right)$, thanks to the bounds (16) we obtain

$$
A_{c}(t) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N}\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{6}(\Omega)^{d}}\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{W_{0}^{1,3}(\Omega)^{d}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq C_{1} C_{2,1}\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{W_{0}^{1,3}(\Omega)^{d}} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{2,1}$ depending only on $|\Omega|$ is such that

$$
\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{L^{6}(\Omega)^{d}} \leq C_{2,1}\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}}, \text { for any } \boldsymbol{v} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}
$$

Since $\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi}=0$, clearly $A_{p}(t)=0$. Next, we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\boldsymbol{f}}(t) \leq C_{2,2}|\Omega|^{1 / 6}\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{W_{0}^{1,3}(\Omega)^{d}} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N}\left\|\boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{2,2}$ depending only on $|\Omega|$ is such that

$$
\|\varphi\|_{L^{3}(\Omega)^{d}} \leq C_{2,2}\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{W_{0}^{1,3}(\Omega)^{d}}, \text { for any } \varphi \in W_{0}^{1,3}(\Omega)^{d}
$$

Summing Eqs. (23), (24), (25), we obtain

$$
A(t) \leq C\|\varphi\|_{W_{0}^{1,3}(\Omega)^{d}} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N}\left(\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}}+\left\|\boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}\right)
$$

where $C=|\Omega|^{1 / 6}+C_{2,2}|\Omega|^{1 / 6}+C_{1} C_{2,1}$. This implies

$$
\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right|_{*, 1} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N}\left(\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}}+\left\|\boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}\right)
$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N} \leq \tau+\delta t_{N}$ for any $t \in(0, T-\tau)$ we then obtain

$$
|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)|_{*, 1}^{2} \leq 2 C^{2}\left(\tau+\delta t_{N}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N}\left(\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}\right)
$$

Noting that $\int_{0}^{T-\tau} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq \tau$ for any $n \in \llbracket 1, N-1 \rrbracket$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right|_{*, 1}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \quad \leq 2 C^{2}\left(\tau+\delta t_{N}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \delta t_{N}\left(\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{\left.L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)}^{2} \int_{0}^{T-\tau} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \mathrm{d} t\right. \\
& \quad \leq 2 C^{2}\left(\tau+\delta t_{N}\right) \tau\left(\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}\right)}^{2}+\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{L^{2}((0, T) \times \Omega)^{d}}^{2} \leq C_{2} \tau\left(\tau+\delta t_{N}\right),\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives the expected result.
The following lemma is a generalization of a lemma due to J.-L. Lions [18], written for norms on Banach spaces, to the semi-norms which we use here.
Lemma 2.4 (Lions-like, semi-discrete case). Let $\Omega$ be an open bounded connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with a Lipschitz boundary. Then (20) holds.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon>0$; let us show by contradiction that there exists $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that for any $\boldsymbol{w} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}$

$$
|\boldsymbol{w}|_{*, 0}=\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{w}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}} \leq \varepsilon\|\boldsymbol{w}\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}}+C_{\varepsilon}|\boldsymbol{w}|_{*, 1}
$$

Suppose that this is not so, then there exists $\varepsilon>0$ and a sequence $\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ of functions of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}$ such that

$$
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{w}_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}>\varepsilon\left\|\boldsymbol{w}_{n}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}}+n\left|\boldsymbol{w}_{n}\right|_{*, 1}
$$

By a homogeneity argument, we may choose $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{w}_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}=1$; it then follows from the latter inequality that the sequence $\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}$ and that $\left|\boldsymbol{w}_{n}\right|_{*, 1} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. This implies that as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, up to a subsequence, $\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ converges in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ to $\boldsymbol{w} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}$. The
continuity of the Leray projection $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)}$ implies that $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{w}_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{w}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ and in particular $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{w}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}=1$. By definition of $\left|\boldsymbol{w}_{n}\right|_{*, 1}$ we have for any $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \boldsymbol{W}(\Omega)$

$$
\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{w}_{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \leq\left|\boldsymbol{w}_{n}\right|_{*, 1}\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{W_{0}^{1,3}(\Omega)^{d}} .
$$

We then obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{w}_{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{w}_{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \leq\left|\boldsymbol{w}_{n}\right|_{*, 1}\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{W_{0}^{1,3}(\Omega)^{d}} .
$$

Passing to the limit in this inequality yields that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=0, \text { for any } \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \boldsymbol{W}(\Omega)
$$

By the density Lemma A.4, we get that $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{w}=0$, which contradicts the fact that $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{w}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}=1$.

Lemma 2.5 ( $L^{2}$ estimate on the time translates). Under assumptions (2), (5) and (9), the sequence $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \tau \rightarrow 0 \text {, uniformly with respect to } N, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is therefore relatively compact in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$.
Proof. By the triangle inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leq 2\left(A_{N}(\tau)+B_{N}(\tau)\right), \text { with } \\
& A_{N}(\tau)=\int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left\|\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)(t+\tau)-\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& B_{N}(\tau)=\int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{N}(t+\tau)-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}, A_{N}(\tau) \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, and thanks to (17), this convergence is uniform with respect to $N$. Let us then show that $B_{N}(\tau) \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$ uniformly with respect to $N$.

Since $\boldsymbol{u}_{N}(t) \in \boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$ for any $t \in(0, T)$ we have for any $t \in(0, T-\tau)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{N}(t+\tau)-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}=\sup _{\substack{\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{V}(\Omega) \\
\|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}=1}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}(t+\tau)-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}(t)\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)(t+\tau)-\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}+\sup _{\substack{\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{V}(\Omega) \\
\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}=1}=1}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x},
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
B_{N}(\tau) \leq 2 A_{N}(\tau)+2 \int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right|_{*, 0}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$; thanks to Lemma 2.4, there exists $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that for any $N \geq 1$ and for any $t \in(0, T-\tau)$,

$$
\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right|_{*, 0} \leq \varepsilon\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}}+C_{\varepsilon}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right|_{*, 1},
$$

and in particular for any $N \geq 1$ and $\tau \in(0, T)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right|_{*, 0}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leq 2 \varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \quad+2 C_{\varepsilon}^{2} \int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right|_{*, 1}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, owing to Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, for any $N \geq 1$ and $\tau \in(0, T)$,

$$
\int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right|_{*, 0}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leq 8 \varepsilon^{2} C_{1}+2 C_{\varepsilon}^{2} \int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right|_{*, 1}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

Step 2. Thanks to Lemma we have for any $N \geq 1$ and $\tau \in(0, T)$

$$
\int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right|_{*, 1}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leq C_{2} \tau\left(\tau+\delta t_{N}\right)
$$

which gives for any $N \geq 1$ and $\tau \in(0, T)$

$$
\int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right|_{*, 0}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leq 8 C_{1}^{2} \varepsilon^{2}+2 C_{\varepsilon}^{2} C_{2} \tau\left(\tau+\delta t_{N}\right)
$$

and therefore, for any $N \geq 1$ and $\tau \in(0, T)$,

$$
B_{N}(\tau) \leq 2 A_{N}(\tau)+16 C_{1}^{2} \varepsilon^{2}+4 C_{\varepsilon}^{2} C_{2} \tau\left(\tau+\delta t_{N}\right)
$$

Now let $\zeta>0$ be given, and let:

- $\tau_{0}>0$ such that for any $\tau \in\left(0, \tau_{0}\right), 2 A_{N}(\tau) \leq \zeta$ for any $N \geq 1$;
- $\varepsilon>0$ such that $16 C_{1}^{2} \varepsilon^{2} \leq \zeta$;
- $\tilde{\tau}_{0}>0$ such that for any $\tau \in\left(0, \tilde{\tau}_{0}\right)$ and $N \geq 1,4 C_{\varepsilon}^{2} C_{2} \tau\left(\tau+\delta t_{N}\right) \leq \zeta$.

We then obtain that $B_{N}(\tau) \leq 3 \zeta$ for any $\tau \in\left(0, \min \left(\tau_{0}, \tilde{\tau}_{0}\right)\right)$ and $N \geq 1$ which implies that $B_{N}(\tau) \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, uniformly with respect to $N$. The proof of (26) is thus complete. The relative compactness of the sequences $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_{N}$ follows by a Kolmogorov-like theorem (see e.g. [9, Corollary 4.40]) and (17).

Remark 2.5 The estimate (26). implies the existence of a subsequence still denoted by $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ that converges to $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ and the estimate (17) implies that $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ converges to $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$.

### 2.4. Proof of Step 3: Convergence to a Weak Solution

By Lemma 2.5, up to a subsequence, the sequence of predicted velocities $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ converges to some limit $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$, and owing to (17), so does the sequence $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$. There remains to check that $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ is a weak solution to (1) in the sense of Definition 1.1. This is a result that we call "Lax-Wendroff consistency", following the famous paper [16] see e.g. [7]: assuming that the approximate solutions converge boundedly to a limit, this limit is a weak solution to the continuous problem.

Lemma 2.6. (Lax-Wendroff consistency of the semi-discrete scheme) Under the assumptions (2), (5) and (9), let $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1} \subset L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ and $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1} \subset L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ be sequences of solutions to the semi-discrete scheme (10) (see Definition 2.1). Let $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ such that $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N} \rightarrow \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_{N} \rightarrow \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ as $N \rightarrow+\infty$. Then the function $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ is a weak solution to (1) in the sense of Definition 1.1.
Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}([0, T) \times \Omega)^{d}$ such that $\operatorname{div} \varphi=0$ in $(0, T) \times \Omega$. Let $\left(\varphi_{N}^{n}\right)_{n \in \llbracket 0, N \rrbracket}$ be the sequence of functions of $\mathbf{E}(\Omega)$ defined by $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n}(\boldsymbol{x})=\boldsymbol{\varphi}\left(t_{N}^{n}, \boldsymbol{x}\right)$, for any $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega$, and let $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}:(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}(\Omega)$ and $\boldsymbol{f}_{N}:(0, T) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ be defined by

$$
\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{1}_{\left(t_{N}^{n}, t_{N}^{n+1}\right]}(t) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n+1}, \quad \boldsymbol{f}_{N}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{1}_{\left(t_{N}^{n}, t_{N}^{n+1}\right]}(t) \boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1}
$$

The regularity of $\boldsymbol{f}$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ implies that:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left\|\boldsymbol{f}_{N}-\boldsymbol{f}\right\|_{L^{2}((0, T) \times \Omega)^{d} \rightarrow 0} \\
\left\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}-\boldsymbol{\varphi}\right\|_{L^{\infty}((0, T) \times \Omega)^{d} \rightarrow 0} \\
\left\|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}-\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\right\|_{L^{\infty}((0, T) \times \Omega)^{d \times d}} \rightarrow 0,
\end{array}\right\} \text { as } N \rightarrow+\infty \text {. }
$$

(2) Birkhäuser

Multiplying (10b) by $\delta t_{N} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n+1}$, integrating over $\Omega$, observing that $\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}=\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right)$ for any $n \in$ $\llbracket 0, N-1 \rrbracket$ and summing over $n \in \llbracket 0, N-1 \rrbracket$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N} \cdot \nabla\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}: \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f}_{N} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\varphi_{N}^{N}=0$ in $\Omega$, the first term of the left hand side reads

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=-\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n}\right) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}-\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u}_{0} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}(0, \cdot) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}
$$

Therefore, by the regularity of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ and owing to the convergence of $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ to $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \partial_{t} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}-\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u}_{0} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}(0, \cdot) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The weak convergence of the sequence $\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d \times d}\right)$, the convergence of the sequence $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$, the convergence of the sequence $\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ in $L^{\infty}((0, T) \times \Omega)^{d}$ yield that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N} \cdot \nabla\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}(\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \nabla) \overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The weak convergence of the sequence $\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d \times d}\right)$ and the convergence of the sequence $\left(\nabla \varphi_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d \times d}\right)$ imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}: \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}: \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convergence of the sequences $\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ and $\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ then yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f}_{N} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Owing to (28)-(31) and passing to the limit in (27) gives the expected result.

## 3. Analysis of the Fully Discrete Projection Scheme

Our purpose is now to adapt the proof of convergence of the semi-discrete case to the fully discrete case. We choose as an example of space discretization a staggered discretization on a (possibly non uniform) rectangular grid in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. The resulting scheme, often referred to as a MAC scheme, was analysed in [11] for a time-implicit scheme. The idea here is to prove its convergence for the incremental projection scheme. We consider the following assumptions on $\Omega$ and on the time-space discretization, indexed by $N$ (in the convergence analysis, the time and space steps will tend to 0 as $N$ tends to $+\infty$ ).
$\Omega$ is an open, bounded, connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, constructed as
a union of rectangular domains: $\Omega=\overparen{\cup_{i=1}^{r} \Omega_{i}}$ with $1 \leq r<+\infty$ and where for $i \in \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket, \Omega_{i}$ is a closed rectangle (if $d=2$ ) or rectangular parallelepiped (if $d=3$ ) whose faces are orthogonal to the vectors of the canonical basis $\left\{\boldsymbol{e}^{(i)}, i=1, \ldots, d\right\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
T>0, N \geq 1, \quad \delta t_{N}=\frac{T}{N}, \quad t_{N}^{n}=n \delta t_{N} \text { for } n \in \llbracket 0, N \rrbracket . \tag{32b}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $N \geq 1, \mathcal{D}_{N}=\left(\mathcal{M}_{N}, \mathcal{E}_{N}\right)$ is a MAC discretization in the sense of
[11, Definition 2.1], with $\mathcal{M}_{N}$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}_{N}$ ) the set of cells (resp. faces).
We denote by $h_{N}=\max _{K \in \mathcal{M}_{N}} \operatorname{diam} K$ the space step and recall that the regularity parameter of the mesh is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{N}=\max \left\{\frac{|\sigma|}{\left|\sigma^{\prime}\right|}, \sigma \in \mathcal{E}^{(i)}, \sigma^{\prime} \in \mathcal{E}^{(j)}, i, j \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket, i \neq j\right\}, \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $|\cdot|$ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}, d=1,2$ or 3 .
Note that at this step, we are considering one integer $N$ only, that is only one (uniform in time) discretization $\delta t_{N}=\frac{T}{N}$ and one discretization mesh $\mathcal{D}_{N}$, which is also indexed by $N$. This might seem strange, but the index $N$ is in fact used in the convergence analysis for which a sequence ( $\left.\mathcal{D}_{N}, \delta t_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ will be considered, with $h_{N}, \delta t_{N} \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow+\infty$.

We refer to [11] for the precise definition ot the discrete spaces and operators. The approximate pressure belongs to the set $L_{N}(\Omega)$ of functions that are piecewise constant on the so called primal cells $K$ of the (primal) mesh $\mathcal{M}_{N}$ that is $L_{N}=\left\{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}_{N}} p_{K} \mathbb{1}_{K}\right.$ with $\left.\left(p_{K}\right)_{K \in \mathcal{M}_{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{M}_{N}}\right\}$. Denoting by $\mathcal{E}_{N}(K)$ the set of faces of a given cell $K \in \mathcal{M}_{N}$, and by $\sigma=K \mid L$ an interface between two neighbouring cells $K$ and $L$, a dual cell $D_{\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{N} \cap \mathcal{E}_{N}(K)$ is defined by

$$
D_{\sigma}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\boldsymbol{x}_{K}, \boldsymbol{x}_{L}\right] \times \sigma, \text { for } \sigma=K \mid L \subset \Omega,} \\
{\left[\boldsymbol{x}_{K}, \boldsymbol{x}_{K, \partial \Omega}\right] \times \sigma, \text { for } \sigma \subset \partial \Omega .}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\boldsymbol{x}_{K}$ denotes the mass center of $K$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_{K, \partial \Omega}$ the orthogonal projection of $\boldsymbol{x}_{K}$ on $\partial \Omega$. We thus define $d=2$ or 3 dual meshes of the primal mesh.

### 3.1. The Fully Discrete Scheme

The space discretization of the time-discrete scheme (10) reads:

## Initialization:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(u_{N, i}^{0}\right)_{i=1,2,3} \text { with } u_{N, i}^{0}=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{N}^{(i)}} \frac{1}{|\sigma|} \int_{\sigma} u_{0, i}(s) \mathrm{d} s \mathbb{1}_{D_{\sigma}}, i=1,2,3, \\
& p^{0}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Solve for $0 \leq n \leq N-1$,
Prediction step

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\delta t_{N}}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right)+\boldsymbol{C}_{N}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right) \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}-\Delta_{N} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}+\nabla_{N} p_{N}^{n}=\boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1} \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{34b}\\
& \left(\tilde{u}_{N}^{n+1}\right)_{\sigma}=0, \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\text {ext }} . \tag{34c}
\end{align*}
$$

Correction step :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\delta t_{N}}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right)+\nabla_{N}\left(p_{N}^{n+1}-p_{N}^{n}\right)=0 \text { in } \Omega  \tag{34d}\\
& \operatorname{div}_{N} \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}=0 \text { in } \Omega  \tag{34e}\\
& \left(u_{N}^{n+1}\right)_{\sigma}=0, \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{ext}}  \tag{34f}\\
& \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}}|K| p_{K}^{n+1}=0 \tag{34g}
\end{align*}
$$

In this algorithm, the terms $\boldsymbol{C}_{N}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right) \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n},-\Delta_{N} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}, \nabla_{N} p_{N}^{n}$ and $\operatorname{div}_{N} \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}$ are the MAC discretization of the terms $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n} \cdot \nabla\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}, \Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}, \nabla p_{N}^{n}$ and $\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}$ in the algorithm (10) and are defined in [11, Sect. 2]. In (34b), the vector function $\boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1}$ is defined by its components $\left(f_{N, i}^{n+1}\right)_{i \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket}$ where $f_{N, i}^{n+1}$ is the piecewise constant function from $(0, T) \times \Omega$ to $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ defined by

$$
\boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1}(\boldsymbol{x})=\frac{1}{\left|D_{\sigma}\right|} \frac{1}{\delta t_{N}} \int_{D_{\sigma}} \int_{t^{n}}^{t^{n+1}} \boldsymbol{f}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}, \text { for a.e. } \boldsymbol{x} \in D_{\sigma}, \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{N, \mathrm{int}}^{(i)}
$$

The function $\boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1}$ thus belongs to $\boldsymbol{H}_{N}=\Pi_{i=1}^{d} H_{N}^{(i)}$, where $H_{N}^{(i)}$ is the set of functions that are piecewise constant on the dual cells $D_{\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{N}^{(i)}$, and $\mathcal{E}_{N}^{(i)}$ denotes the set of faces of the mesh that are orthogonal to $\boldsymbol{e}^{(i)}$, that is $H_{N}^{(i)}=\left\{\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{N}^{(i)}} u_{\sigma} \mathbb{1}_{D_{\sigma}}\right.$ with $\left.\left(u_{\sigma}\right)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{N}^{(i)}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{E}_{N}^{(i)}}\right\}$.

First remark that the discrete no slip boundary conditions (34c) and (34f) are equivalent to requiring that $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}$ both belong to the space $\boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)=\prod_{i=1}^{d} H_{N, 0}^{(i)}(\Omega)$, with $H_{N, 0}^{(i)}=\left\{v \in H_{N}^{(i)}, v(\boldsymbol{x})=\right.$ 0 for a.e. $\boldsymbol{x} \in D_{\sigma}$, for any $\left.\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\text {ext }}^{(i)}\right\}$. We define $\boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)=\left\{\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega): \operatorname{div}_{N} \boldsymbol{v}=0\right\}$ (see [11, Sect. 2] for the definition of the discrete MAC divergence $\operatorname{div}_{N}$ ). Thanks to the discrete duality of the divergence and gradient operators [11, Lemma 2.4], the space $\boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)$ may also be defined as $\boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)=\left\{\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)\right.$ : $\left.\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla_{N} w \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=0, \forall w \in L_{N}(\Omega)\right\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}}: L^{2}(\Omega)^{d} \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ the orthogonal projection in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ onto the space $\boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)$. Note that since $\boldsymbol{u}_{0} \in \boldsymbol{E}(\Omega)$, we also have $\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{0} \in \boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)$.

Let us briefly account for the existence of a solution at each step of this algorithm. Prediction Step-The existence of the predicted velocity follows from Lemma B.1.
Correction Step-A weak form of the correction step (34d) which computes a divergence-free velocity and an associated pressure reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{N}^{n+1}=p_{N}^{n+1}-p_{N}^{n} \in L_{N}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \psi_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=0  \tag{35a}\\
& \int_{\Omega} \nabla_{N} \psi_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla_{N} q \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=\delta t_{N} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla_{N} q \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}, \text { for any } q \in L_{N}(\Omega)  \tag{35b}\\
& \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}=\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}-\frac{1}{\delta t_{N}} \nabla_{N} \psi_{N}^{n+1} \tag{35c}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that if $\left(p_{N}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}\right)$ satisfies (35), then $\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla_{N} q \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=0$ for any $q \in L_{N}(\Omega)$, so that $\boldsymbol{u}^{n+1} \in$ $\boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)$.

We may then define the approximate solutions as follows.
Definition 3.1 (Approximate solutions, discrete case). Under the assumptions (5) and (32), there exists a unique $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n}, \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}, p_{N}^{n}\right)_{n \in \llbracket 1, N \rrbracket} \subset \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega) \times \boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega) \times L_{N}(\Omega)$ satisfying (34). The approximate corrected and predicted velocities may thus be defined by $\boldsymbol{u}_{N}:(0, T) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}:(0, T) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{u}_{N}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{1}_{\left(t_{N}^{n}, t_{N}^{n+1}\right]}(t) \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}, \quad \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{1}_{\left(t_{N}^{n}, t_{N}^{n+1}\right]}(t) \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.1. (On the boundary conditions) The original homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions (3) of the strong formulation (1) is not imposed by the space $\boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)$, which only imposes the no slip condition. However, it is imposed on the predicted velocity in (34c) by the definition of the discrete Laplace operator, see (8)-(10) in [11, Sect. 2]. As in the semi-discrete case, it is not imposed in the correction step (34g)-(34d).

Note also that, as in the semi-discrete case, there is no need for a boundary condition on the pressure in the correction step. In fact, it can be inferred from (35) that the incremental pressure $\psi^{n+1}=p^{n+1}-p^{n}$ satisfies a discrete Poisson equation on $\Omega$ with a Neumann boundary condition on the boundary.

Remark 3.2. (On the initial condition) If the initial condition $\boldsymbol{u}_{0} \in \boldsymbol{E}(\Omega)$ is relaxed to $\boldsymbol{u}_{0} \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ as in Remark 2.2, the discrete initial condition should be taken as $\boldsymbol{u}^{0}=\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{u}_{0}$, where $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}}$ is the orthogonal projector in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ onto $\boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)$.

Remark 3.3. (A useful identity, fully discrete case) As in the semi-discrete case, summing (34b) at the $n$-th time step and (34d) at the $(n-1)$-th time step, we get the discrete equivalence of $(15)$, that will be used twice in the course of the proof of convergence.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\delta t_{N}}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n}\right)+\boldsymbol{C}_{N}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right) \boldsymbol{u}^{n}+\nabla_{N}\left(2 p_{N}^{n}-p_{N}^{n-1}\right) \\
& \quad-\Delta_{N} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}=\boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1}, n \in \llbracket 1, N-1 \rrbracket \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us now state the convergence of the algorithm (34) as the time step $\delta t_{N}$ and the mesh step $h_{N}$ tend to 0 (or $N=\frac{T}{\delta t_{N}} \rightarrow+\infty$ ); the proof of this result is the object of the following sections.

Theorem 3.1 (Convergence of the fully discrete projection algorithm). Under the assumptions (5), let $\left(\delta t_{N}, \mathcal{D}_{N}\right)$ be a sequence of time space discretizations satisfying (32), such that $h_{N} \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow+\infty$ and such that the mesh regularity parameter $\theta_{N}$ defined by (33) remains bounded, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists \theta>0: \theta_{N} \leq \theta, \forall N \geq 1 . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{u}_{N}:(0, T) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}:(0, T) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)$ be the approximate predicted and corrected velocities defined by the scheme (34) and Definition 3.1. Then there exists $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \in L^{2}(0, T ; \boldsymbol{E}(\Omega)) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ such that up to a subsequence,

- $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N} \rightarrow \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ as $N \rightarrow+\infty$,
- $\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{N} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\nabla} \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ weakly in $L^{2}((0, T) \times \Omega)^{d \times d}$,
- $\boldsymbol{u}_{N} \rightarrow \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ and $\star$-weakly in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ as $N \rightarrow+\infty$.

Moreover the function $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ is a weak solution to (1) in the sense of Definition 1.1.
Proof. We give here the main steps of the proof, which follows that of the semi-discrete case; these steps are detailed in the following paragraphs.

- Step 1: first estimates and weak convergence (detailed in Sect. 3.2). Let us define, for $q \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, a discrete $W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega)^{d}$-norm for the discrete velocity fields. For $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)$ with values $\left(v_{\sigma}\right)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}}$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1, q, N}^{q}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{\epsilon=\sigma \mid \sigma^{\prime} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\text {int }}^{(i)}}|\epsilon| \frac{\left|v_{\sigma}-v_{\sigma^{\prime}}\right|^{q}}{d_{\epsilon}^{q-1}}+\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{\epsilon \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\text {ext }}^{(i)} \cap \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\left(D_{\sigma}\right)}|\epsilon| \frac{\left|v_{\sigma}\right|^{q}}{d_{\epsilon}^{q-1}} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the energy estimates of Lemma 3.2 below, we get that the approximate velocities $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ and $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ given in Definition 3.1 satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{N \geq 1}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)\right)} \leq C_{3},  \tag{40}\\
& \sup _{N \geq 1}\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)} \leq C_{3},  \tag{41}\\
& \left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{N}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)} \leq C_{3} \sqrt{\delta t_{N}} \text { for any } N \geq 1, \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)\right)}^{2}=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \delta t\left\|\boldsymbol{v}^{n+1}\right\|_{1,2, N}^{2}, \\
& \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)}=\max \left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{v}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}, n \in \llbracket 0, N-1 \rrbracket\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\|\cdot\|_{1,2, N}$ is the discrete $H_{0}^{1}$ norm defined by (39) with $q=2$.
In particular, (42) yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{u}_{N}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N} \rightarrow 0 \text { in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right) \text { as } N \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

E Birkhäuser

Owing to (40)-(41), there exist subsequences still denoted by $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ and $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ that converge respectively $\star$-weakly in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ and weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\bar{\Omega})^{d}\right)$. By (43), the subsequences $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ and $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ converge to the same limit $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$. From the bound (40), a classical regularity result (see e.g. [8, Remark 14.1]) yields that $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$. Passing to the limit in the mass equation (e.g. by a straightforward adaptation of the first step of the proof of [11, Theorem 3.13]), it follows that $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right) \cap L^{2}(0, T ; \boldsymbol{E}(\Omega))$.
There remains to show that $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ is a weak solution in the sense of Definition 1.1 and in particular that $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ satisfies (8). The weak convergence is not sufficient to pass to the limit in the scheme, because of the nonlinear convection term, so that we first need to get some compactness on one of the subsequences $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ or $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ (since, by (42), their difference tends to 0 in the $L^{2}$ norm).

- Step 2: compactness and convergence in $L^{2}$ (detailed in Sect. 3.3). We adapt Step 2 of the convergence proof of the semi-discrete case. Using the bound (40) on the sequence $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$, some estimates on the time translates of the sequence $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ would be sufficient to obtain the convergence in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ by a Kolmogorov-like theorem. As in the semi-discrete case, a difficulty arises from the presence of the (discrete) pressure gradient in Eq. (34b); we get rid of it by multiplying this latter equation by a discrete divergence-free function. Let us then define the discrete equivalent of the semi-norm (18) on $\boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)$ by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\boldsymbol{w}|_{*, 1, N}=\sup \left\{\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega),\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,3, N} \leq 1\right\} . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimates on the $L^{2}\left(|\cdot|_{*, 1, N}\right)$ semi-norm of the time translates of the predicted velocity $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}$ are then obtained from the discrete momentum equation (34b): see Lemma 3.3. Again, this is only an intermediate result since we seek an estimate on the time translates of the predicted velocity in the $L^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)$ norm. So next, as in the semi-discrete case, we introduce the discrete equivalent of the semi-norm (19) on $\boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)$ by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \forall \boldsymbol{w} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega),|\boldsymbol{w}|_{*, 0, N}=\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{w}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}} \\
& \quad=\sup \left\{\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega),\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}=1\right\} . \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

(recall that $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}}$ is the orthogonal projection operator onto $\boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)$ ). Then, thanks to a discrete equivalent of the Lions-like lemma 2.4 (see Lemma 3.4 below), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { for any } \varepsilon>0 \text {, there exists } C_{\varepsilon}>0 \text {, there exists } N_{\epsilon} \geq 1 \text {, for any } N \geq N_{\epsilon}, \\
& \text { for any } \boldsymbol{w} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega),\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{w}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}} \leq \varepsilon\|\boldsymbol{w}\|_{1,2, N}+C_{\varepsilon}|\boldsymbol{w}|_{*, 1, N} . \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

From this latter inequality, using Lemma 3.3 below on the time translates of $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}$ for the $L^{2}\left(|\cdot|_{*, 1}\right)$ semi-norm and the bound (40), we get that the time translates of $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}$ for the $L^{2}\left(|\cdot|_{*, 0, N}\right)$ semi-norm also tend to 0 as $N \rightarrow+\infty$. In order to show that the $L^{2}\left(L^{2}\right)$ norm of the time translates of $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}$ tend to 0 , we remark that if $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)$, then $|\boldsymbol{v}|_{*, 0, N}=\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$ and conclude thanks to (42), see Lemma 3.6).

- Step 3: convergence towards the weak solution (detailed in Sect. 3.4) Owing to a discrete Aubin-Simon-type theorem [9, Théorème 4.53], the estimates of steps 1 and 2 yield that there exist subsequences, still denoted by $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ and $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$, that converge to $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$. By Lemma 3.7 below, passing to the limit in the scheme (34) yields that $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ satisfies (8) and, since we have already shown that $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right) \cap L^{2}(0, T ; \boldsymbol{E}(\Omega))$, it follows that $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ is a weak solution to (1).

Remark 3.4. (Uniqueness and convergence of the whole sequence) If the solution of the continuous problem is unique, then the whole sequence converges.

### 3.2. Proof of Step 1: Energy Estimates and Weak Convergence

We first obtain a discrete equivalent of the $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ and $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ estimates for the predicted and corrected velocities.

Lemma 3.2 (Energy estimates). Under the assumptions (5) and (32), let ( $\left.\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n}, \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}, p_{N}^{n}\right)_{n \in \llbracket 0, N \rrbracket} \subset \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)$ $\times \boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega) \times L_{N}(\Omega)$ be the solution to problem (34). The following estimate holds for $n \in \llbracket 0, N-1 \rrbracket$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2 \delta t_{N}}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}-\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{\delta t_{N}}{2}\left(\left\|\nabla_{N} p_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}-\left\|\nabla_{N} p_{N}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2 \delta t_{N}}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}+\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{1,2, N}^{2} \leq \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, there exists $C_{3}$ depending only on $\Omega,\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}$ and $\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}$ such that the estimates (40)-(42) hold.

Proof. By Lemma B. 1 with $\alpha=\frac{1}{\delta t_{N}}$, we have for $n \in \llbracket 0, N-1 \rrbracket$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \delta t_{N}}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}-\frac{1}{2 \delta t_{N}}\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \delta t_{N}}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2} \\
& \quad+\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{1,2, N}^{2}-\int_{\Omega} p_{N}^{n} \operatorname{div}_{N} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \leq \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Re-ordering relation (34d) such that the terms of $n$-th and $(n+1)$-th time level are respectively on the left and right hand sides, squaring it, integrating over $\Omega$, multiplying by $\frac{\delta t_{N}}{2}$ and owing to (34e) and to the discrete duality property of the MAC scheme [11, Lemma 2.4], we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \delta t_{N}}\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}+\frac{\delta t_{N}}{2}\left\|\nabla_{N} p_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}=\frac{1}{2 \delta t_{N}}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2} \\
& \quad+\frac{\delta t_{N}}{2}\left\|\nabla_{N} p_{N}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}-\int_{\Omega} p_{N}^{n} \operatorname{div}_{N} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing this latter relation with the previous relation yields for $n \in \llbracket 0, N-1 \rrbracket$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \delta t_{N}}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}-\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}\right)+\frac{\delta t_{N}}{2}\left(\left\|\nabla_{N} p_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}-\left\|\nabla_{N} p_{N}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2 \delta t_{N}}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}+\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{1,2, N}^{2} \leq \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We then get the relation (47) using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the discrete Poincaré estimate [8, Lemma 9.1] after summing over the time steps.

### 3.3. Estimates on the Time Translates and Compactness

Lemma 3.3 (A first estimate on the time translates). Under the assumptions (5), let ( $\delta t_{N}, \mathcal{D}_{N}$ ) be a sequence of time space discretizations satisfying (32), and let $\boldsymbol{u}_{N}:(0, T) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}:(0, T) \rightarrow$ $\boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)$ be defined by Definition 3.1. There exists $C_{4}>0$ only depending on $|\Omega|,\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}$, $\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{L^{2}((0, T) \times \Omega)^{d}}$ and $\theta_{N}$ in a non decreasing way, such that, for any $N \geq 1$ and for any $\tau \in(0, T)$,

$$
\int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right|_{*, 1, N}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leq C_{4} \tau\left(\tau+\delta t_{N}\right)
$$

Proof. For $t \in(0, T-\tau), \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t)\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n}\right)$, with $\chi_{N, \tau}^{n}$ defined by (22). From (37), we thus get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)=\delta t_{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \Delta_{N} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}-\delta t_{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \boldsymbol{C}_{N}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right) \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n} \\
& \quad-\delta t_{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \nabla_{N}\left(2 p_{N}^{n}-p_{N}^{n-1}\right)+\delta t_{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)$ and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(t) & =\int_{\Omega}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \\
& =A_{d}(t)+A_{c}(t)+A_{p}(t)+A_{\boldsymbol{f}}(t) \text { with } \\
A_{d}(t) & =\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N} \int_{\Omega} \Delta_{N} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \\
A_{c}(t) & =-\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{C}_{N}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right) \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \\
A_{p}(t) & =\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N} \int_{\Omega}\left(2 p_{N}^{n}-p_{N}^{n-1}\right) \operatorname{div}_{N} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \\
A_{\boldsymbol{f}}(t) & =\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us reproduce at the fully discrete level the computations done for each of these terms in the proof of Lemma 2.3.

By Hölder's inequality with exponents 2, 6 and 3, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{d}(t) \leq|\Omega|^{1 / 6}\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{1,3, N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{1,2, N} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that there exists $C_{4,1}>0$ such that (see e.g. [11, Lemma 3.5] for similar computations)

$$
A_{c}(t) \leq C_{4,1} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N}\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{6}(\Omega)^{d}}\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{1,3, N}
$$

By the discrete Sobolev inequality [8, Lemma 9.1], there exists $C_{4,2}$ depending only on $|\Omega|$ and $\theta_{N}$ in a nondecreasing way such that (see [8, Lemma 3.5])

$$
\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{L^{6}(\Omega)^{d}} \leq C_{4,2}\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2, N}, \text { for any } \boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega) .
$$

Therefore, thanks to (41) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{c}(t) \leq C_{3} C_{4,1} C_{4,2}\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{1,3, N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{1,2, N}, \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again invoking the discrete Sobolev inequality, there exists $C_{4,3}$ only depending on $|\Omega|$ and $\theta_{N}$ in a nondecreasing way, such that

$$
\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{L^{3}(\Omega)^{d}} \leq C_{4,3}\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,3, N}, \text { for any } \boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{f}(t) \leq C_{4,3}|\Omega|^{1 / 6}\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{1,3, N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N}\left\|\boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}} . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thanks to the fact that $\varphi \in \boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)$ and to the discrete duality property stated in [11, Lemma 2.4], $A_{p}(t)=0$.

Summing Eqs. (48), (50), (49) we obtain

$$
A(t) \leq C\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{1,3, N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N}\left(\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{1,2, N}+\left\|\boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}\right)
$$

where $C=|\Omega|^{1 / 6}+C_{4,3}|\Omega|^{1 / 6}+C_{3} C_{4,1} C_{4,2}$. This implies

$$
\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right|_{*, 1, N} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N}\left(\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{1,2, N}+\left\|\boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}\right)
$$

Using the fact that $\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N} \leq \tau+\delta t_{N}$ for any $t \in(0, T-\tau)$ we then obtain

$$
|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)|_{*, 1, N}^{2} \leq 2 C^{2}\left(\tau+\delta t_{N}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \delta t_{N}\left(\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{1,2, N}^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}\right)
$$

Using the fact that $\int_{0}^{T-\tau} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq \tau$ for any $n \in \llbracket 1, N-1 \rrbracket$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right|_{*, 1, N}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \quad \leq 2 C^{2}\left(\tau+\delta t_{N}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \delta t_{N}\left(\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{1,2, N}^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{T-\tau} \chi_{N, \tau}^{n}(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \quad \leq 2 C^{2}\left(\tau+\delta t_{N}\right) \tau\left(\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T: \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)\right)}^{2}+\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{L^{2}((0, T) \times \Omega)^{d}}^{2}\right) \leq C_{2} \tau\left(\tau+\delta t_{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives the expected result.
For $\boldsymbol{v}=\left(v_{i}\right)_{i \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket} \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)^{d}$, we define $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N} \boldsymbol{v}$ as the vector function with piecewise constant components: the $i$-th component of $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N} \boldsymbol{v}$ is constant on each dual cell $D_{\sigma}, \sigma \in \mathcal{E}$, and equal to the mean value of $v_{i}$ on the face $\sigma$. By [11, Lemma 3.7], $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N}$ is a Fortin operator in the sense that it preserves the divergence; in particular,

$$
\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{E}(\Omega) \Longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N} \boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)
$$

Lemma 3.4 (Lions-like, fully discrete version). Under the assumptions (32a), let $\left(\mathcal{D}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ be a sequence of grids of $\Omega$ satisfying (32c) and (38), and such that $h_{N} \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow+\infty$. Then (46) holds.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon>0$; let us show by contradiction that there exists $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ and $N_{\varepsilon} \geq 1$ depending on $\varepsilon$ such that for any $N \geq N_{\varepsilon}$ and for any $\boldsymbol{w} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)$

$$
|\boldsymbol{w}|_{*, 0, N} \leq \varepsilon\|\boldsymbol{w}\|_{1,2, N}+C_{\varepsilon}|\boldsymbol{w}|_{*, 1, N} .
$$

Suppose that this is not so, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exists \varepsilon>0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall M \in \mathbb{N}, \exists N \geq M \text { and } \exists \boldsymbol{w}_{N} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega) \\
& \left\|\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{w}_{N}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}=\left|\boldsymbol{w}_{N}\right|_{*, 0, N}>\varepsilon\left\|\boldsymbol{w}_{N}\right\|_{1,2, N}+N\left|\boldsymbol{w}_{N}\right|_{*, 1, N}, \text { for any } N \geq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This in turn implies that (with $N_{0}=0$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exists \varepsilon>0 \forall n \geq 1, \exists N_{n} \geq N_{n-1}+1 \text { and } \exists \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{n}} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega) \\
& \left\|\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{n}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}>\varepsilon\left\|\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{n}}\right\|_{1,2, N_{n}}+N_{n}\left|\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{n}}\right|_{*, 1, N_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By a homogeneity argument, we may choose $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{E_{N}} \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{n}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}=1$; observing that $N_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow$ $+\infty$, it then follows from the latter inequality that the sequence $\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{n}}\right\|_{1,2, N_{n}}\right)_{N_{n} \geq 1}$ is bounded and that $\left|\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{n}}\right|_{*, 1, N_{n}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Hence there exists a subsequence still denoted by $\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{n}}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ that converges in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ to a function $\boldsymbol{w} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}$, see e.g. [6, Theorem 3.1]. Lemma 3.5 given below then yields that $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{n}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{w}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ and in particular $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{w}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}=1$. (Recall that $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)}: L^{2}(\Omega)^{d} \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ is the orthogonal projection in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ onto the space $\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$.)

For any $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \boldsymbol{W}(\Omega)$, we have $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N_{n}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) \in \boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)$. Since $\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{n}}-\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N_{n}}} \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{n}} \perp \boldsymbol{E}_{N_{n}}$ and by definition of $\left|\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{n}}\right|_{*, 1, N_{n}}$, it follows that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N_{n}}} \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{n}} \cdot \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N_{n}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})=\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{n}} \cdot \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N_{n}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \leq\left|\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{n}}\right|_{*, 1, N_{n}}\left|\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N_{n}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\right|_{1,3, N_{n}} .
$$

By the $W^{1, q}$ stability of the operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N_{n}}$ stated in [10, Theorem 1], there exists $C_{5}$ only depending on $|\Omega|$ and on $\theta_{N_{n}}$ in a nondecreasing way, such that

$$
\left\|\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N_{n}} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\right\|_{1,3, N_{n}} \leq C_{5}\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{W_{0}^{1,3}(\Omega)^{d}}, \text { for any } \varphi \in W_{0}^{1,3}(\Omega)^{d}
$$

and therefore

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{n}} \cdot \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N_{n}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})=\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{w}_{N_{n}} \cdot \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N_{n}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \leq C_{5}\left|\boldsymbol{w}_{N_{n}}\right|_{*, 1, N_{n}}\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{W_{0}^{1,3}(\Omega)^{d}}
$$

Passing to the limit in this inequality yields that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=0, \text { for any } \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \boldsymbol{W}(\Omega)
$$

By the density Lemma A.4, we obtain that $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{w}=0$ which contradicts the fact that $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{w}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}=$ 1.

Lemma 3.5. Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.4, let $\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ be a sequence of functions such that $\boldsymbol{v}_{N} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)$ for any $N \geq 1$ and $\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ converges to $\boldsymbol{v}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$. Then the sequence $\left(\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{v}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ converges to $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{v}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$.

Proof. Using the fact that $\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ is bounded in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ we obtain that the sequence $\left(\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{v}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ is bounded in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$. Hence there exists a subsequence still denoted by $\left(\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{v}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ that converges to a function $\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}$ weakly in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$. Thanks to the discrete duality property stated in [11, Lemma 2.4], we have, for any $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$,

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{P}_{E_{N}} \boldsymbol{v}_{N} \cdot \nabla_{N} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N} \varphi \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=0, \text { for any } N \geq 1
$$

The discrete gradient $\nabla_{N}$ is consistent in the sense of [11, Lemme 2.3] and therefore there exists $C_{6} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$depending only on $\Omega, \varphi$ and on $\theta_{N}$ in a nondecreasing way, such that

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{v}_{N} \cdot \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}\right| \leq C_{6} h_{N}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{v}_{N}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}, \text { for any } N \geq 1 .
$$

Passing to the limit in the previous identity gives

$$
\int_{\Omega} \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot \nabla \varphi \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=0, \text { for any } \varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)
$$

We then obtain that $\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} \in \boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N}$ preserves the divergence [11, Lemma 3.7], the following identity holds for any $\varphi \in \boldsymbol{V}(\Omega) \cap C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}$

$$
\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{v}_{N} \cdot \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{v}_{N} \cdot \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}, \text { for any } N \geq 1
$$

Using the weak convergence of the sequence $\left(\mathcal{P}_{E_{N}}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ and the convergence of the sequence $\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega} \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \text { for any } \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \boldsymbol{V}(\Omega) \cap C_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{d} .
$$

By Lemma A. 4 we then get that $\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}=\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{v}$ and that the sequence $\left(\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{v}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ converges to $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{v}$ weakly in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$. We can write

$$
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{v}_{N}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}=\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{v}_{N} \cdot \mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{v}_{N} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}, \text { for any } N \geq 1
$$

Using the convergence of the sequence $\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ to $\boldsymbol{v}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ and the weak convergence of the sequence $\left(\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{v}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ to $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{v}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ we obtain

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{v}_{N}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}=\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{v}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}
$$

The weak convergence of the sequence $\left(\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{v}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ to $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ and the convergence of the sequence $\left(\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{v}_{N}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ to $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)} \boldsymbol{v}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}$ give the expected result.

As in Lemma 2.5, we can therefore obtain an estimate on the time translates for the $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ norm, and, as a consequence, the $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ convergence of the predicted velocities.

Lemma 3.6. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, the sequence $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \tau \rightarrow 0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly with respect to $N$, and is therefore relatively compact in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$.
Proof. We follow the proof of Lemma 2.5. By the triangle inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leq 2\left(A_{N}(\tau)+B_{N}(\tau)\right), \text { with } \\
& A_{N}(\tau)=\int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left\|\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)(t+\tau)-\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& B_{N}(\tau)=\int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{N}(t+\tau)-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $N, A_{N}(\tau) \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, but owing to (43), we get that $A_{N}(\tau) \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, uniformly with respect to $N$. Let us prove that this is also the case for $B_{N}(\tau) \rightarrow 0$.

Since $\boldsymbol{u}_{N}(t) \in \boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)$ for any $t \in(0, T)$ we have for any $t \in(0, T-\tau)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{N}(t+\tau)-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}= & \sup _{\substack{\boldsymbol{v} \in E_{N}(\Omega) \\
\|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}=1}=1}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}(t+\tau)-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}(t)\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \\
\leq & \left\|\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)(t+\tau)-\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}} \\
& +\sup _{\substack{v \in \boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega) \\
\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}=1}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x},
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
B_{N}(\tau) \leq 2 A_{N}(\tau)+2 \int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right|_{*, 0, N}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

Now thanks to Lemma 3.4, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ and $N_{\varepsilon} \geq 1$ such that for any $N \geq N_{\varepsilon}$ and for any $t \in(0, T-\tau)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right|_{*, 0, N} \leq \varepsilon\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right\|_{1,2, N} \\
& \quad+C_{\varepsilon}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right|_{*, 1, N},
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular for any $N \geq N_{\varepsilon}$ and for any

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right|_{*, 0, N}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leq 2 \varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right\|_{1,2, N}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \quad+2 C_{\varepsilon}^{2} \int_{0}^{T-\tau}\left|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t)\right|_{*, 1, N}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, owing to Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 , for any $N \geq N_{\varepsilon}$ and for any $\tau \in(0, T)$

$$
\int_{0}^{T-\tau} \mid \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}(t+\tau)-\tilde{\leq} 8 C_{3}^{2} \varepsilon^{2}+2 C_{\varepsilon}^{2}
$$

Hence, for any $N \geq N_{\varepsilon}$ and for any $\tau \in(0, T)$,

$$
B_{N}(\tau) \leq 2 A_{N}(\tau)+16 C_{3} \varepsilon^{2}+4 C_{\varepsilon}^{2} C_{4} \tau\left(\tau+\delta t_{N}\right)
$$

Let $\zeta>0$ be given, and let:

- $\tau_{0}>0$ such that for any $\tau \in\left(0, \tau_{0}\right), 2 A_{N}(\tau) \leq \zeta$ for any $N \geq 1$.
- $\varepsilon>0$ such that $16 C_{3}^{2} \varepsilon^{2} \leq \zeta$,
- $\tilde{\tau}_{0}>0$ such that $2 C_{\varepsilon}^{2} C_{4} \tau\left(\tau+\delta t_{N}\right) \leq \zeta$ for any $\tau \in\left(0, \tilde{\tau}_{0}\right)$ and $N \geq 1$.

We then obtain that $B_{N}(\tau) \leq 3 \zeta$ for any $\tau \in\left(0, \min \left(\tau_{0}, \tilde{\tau}_{0}\right)\right)$ and $N \geq N_{\varepsilon}$. Using the fact that $B_{N}(\tau) \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$ for any $N \geq 1$ we obtain that $B_{N}(\tau) \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, uniformly with respect to $N$. The proof of Lemma 3.6 is thus complete.

### 3.4. Convergence Towards the Weak Solution

We have proven that the approximate velocities $\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ and $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ converge in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$, up to a subsequence, to a common limit $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$, there remains to show, as in the semi-discrete case, that $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ is a weak solution to (1) in the sense of Definition 1.1.

Lemma 3.7 (Lax-Wendroff consistency of the discrete scheme). Under the assumptions (5), let ( $\delta t_{N}, \mathcal{D}_{N}$ ) be a sequence of time space discretizations satisfying (32), such that $h_{N} \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow+\infty$ and let $\boldsymbol{u}_{N}$ : $(0, T) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}:(0, T) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)$ be the approximate predicted and corrected velocities defined by the scheme (34) and Definition 3.1. Assume that there exists $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ such that $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N} \rightarrow \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N} \rightarrow \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$ as $N \rightarrow+\infty$, and that the sequence $\left(\left\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)\right)}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ is bounded. Then the function $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$ is a weak solution to (1) in the sense of Definition 1.1.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega \times[0, T))^{d}$, such that $\operatorname{div} \varphi=0$ in $\Omega \times(0, T)$. By [11, Lemma 3.7], we have $\operatorname{div}_{N} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left(\cdot, t_{N}^{n}\right)=0$. Multiplying (34b) by $\delta t_{N} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n+1}$ with $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n}=\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left(t_{N}^{n}, \cdot\right) \in \boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)$, integrating over $\Omega$, summing over $n \in \llbracket 0, N-1 \rrbracket$ and observing that $\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}=\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{E}_{N}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}$ for any $n \in \llbracket 0, N-1 \rrbracket$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t+\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \delta t_{N} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{C}_{N}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right) \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n} \cdot \varphi_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \\
& \quad-\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \delta t_{N} \int_{\Omega} \Delta_{N} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \delta t_{N} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} . \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the fact that $\varphi_{N}^{N}=0$ in $\Omega$, the first term of the left hand side reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& \quad=-\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n}\right) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{0} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{0} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The regularity of $\varphi$ implies that

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n+1}-\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n}}{\delta t_{N}} \mathbb{1}_{\left(t_{N}^{n}, t_{N}^{n+1}\right]}(\cdot)=\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \text { in } L^{\infty}((0, T) \times \Omega)^{d} .
$$

Using the weak convergence of the sequence $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right)$, the uniform convergence of the sequences $\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N}(\varphi(0, \cdot))\right)_{N \geq 1}$ and $\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{N} \boldsymbol{u}_{0}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ along with (42), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n+1}-\boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t=-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \partial_{t} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u}_{0} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\cdot, 0) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the proof that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty}-\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \delta t_{N} \int_{\Omega} \Delta_{N} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}: \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t,  \tag{54}\\
& \lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \delta t_{N} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{C}_{N}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{N}^{n+1}\right) \boldsymbol{u}_{N}^{n} \cdot \varphi_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \rightarrow \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}(\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \nabla) \overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \delta t_{N} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f}_{N}^{n+1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows the proof of the convergence of the equivalent terms in the proof of [11, Theorem 4.3]. Using (53)-(56) and passing to the limit in (52) gives the expected result.
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## Appendix A: Some Technical Lemmas

Lemma A. 1 (Existence and estimate for the linearized equation). Let $\Omega$ be an open bounded connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with Lipschitz boundary. Let $\alpha>0, \boldsymbol{u} \in \boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$ and $T: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ linear continuous. There exists a unique $\tilde{u} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that for any $v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \int_{\Omega} \tilde{u} v \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}) v \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{\Omega} \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=T(v) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]Moreover $\tilde{u}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\|\tilde{u}\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq T(\tilde{u}) . \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Step 1. Existence of a solution - Using Lemma A. 4 there exists a sequence $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ of functions of $\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega) \cap C_{c}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}$ converging to $\boldsymbol{u}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$. Consider the following problem:

Find $\tilde{u}_{n} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that for any $v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \int_{\Omega} \tilde{u}_{n} v \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}_{n}\right) v \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{\Omega} \nabla \tilde{u}_{n} \cdot \nabla v \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=T(v) . \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Lax-Milgram theorem, there exists a unique $\tilde{u}_{n} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ to this problem; indeed, the left hand-side of (59) is a bilinear continuous and coercive form on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ because

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n} \cdot \nabla v\right) v \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=0, \text { for any } v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover the right hand side in (59) is a linear continuous form on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Setting $v=\tilde{u}_{n}$ in (59), owing to (60), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left\|\tilde{u}_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\left\|\tilde{u}_{n}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}=T\left(\tilde{u}_{n}\right) \text { for any } n \geq 0 . \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, since $T: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is linear continuous, the sequence $\left(\tilde{u}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and converges, up to a subsequence, to $\tilde{u} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and weakly in $H_{0}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$. The convergence in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ of the sequence $\left(\tilde{u}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ gives

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{u}_{n} v \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega} \tilde{u} v \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}, \text { for any } v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega) .
$$

The weak convergence in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ of the sequence $\left(\tilde{u}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ gives

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \tilde{u}_{n} \cdot \nabla v \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega} \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}, \text { for any } v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega) .
$$

The convergence in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ of the sequence $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ and the weak convergence in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ of the sequence $\left(\tilde{u}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ gives

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}_{n}\right) v \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}) v \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}, \text { for any } \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

Passing to the limit in (59) with $v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ gives (57); hence

$$
\|\tilde{u}\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\tilde{u}_{n}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}
$$

Passing to the limit (61) gives (58) which concludes the proof of existence of a solution.
Step 2. Uniqueness of a solution - Let $\tilde{u} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that for any $v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$,

$$
\alpha \int_{\Omega} \tilde{u} v \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}) v \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{\Omega} \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=0 .
$$

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T_{k}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a truncation function defined by $T_{k}(x)=\max (\min (x, k), 0)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$; then $T_{k}(\tilde{u}) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\nabla T_{k}(\tilde{u})=\mathbb{1}_{\{0<\tilde{u}<k\}} \nabla \tilde{u}$ a.e. in $\Omega$ [22, Lemme 1.1]. Thus, we may take $v=T_{k}(u)$ as test function:

$$
\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \int_{\Omega} \tilde{u} T_{k}(\tilde{u}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}) T_{k}(\tilde{u}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_{\{0<\tilde{u}<k\}}\|\nabla \tilde{u}\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=0 .
$$

Since, for any $s \in \mathbb{R},\left(T_{k}(s)\right)_{k \geq 0}$ converges to $\max (s, 0)$ as $k \rightarrow+\infty$ and $\left|T_{k}(s)\right| \leq|s|$, we obtain

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{u} T_{k}(\tilde{u}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega} 1_{\{\tilde{u}>0\}} \tilde{u}^{2} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}
$$

Observing that for any $s \in \mathbb{R},\left(T_{k}^{\prime}(s)\right)_{k \geq 0}$ converges to $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}(s)$ and $\left|T_{k}^{\prime}(s)\right| \leq 1$, we obtain

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_{\{0<\tilde{u}<k\}}\|\nabla \tilde{u}\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{u}>0\}}\|\nabla \tilde{u}\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}
$$

Let $F_{k}$ be the primitive of the function $T_{k}$ such that $F_{k}(0)=0$. Since $F_{k}$ is Lipschitz-continuous, we obtain that $F_{k}(\tilde{u}) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $\nabla F_{k}(\tilde{u})=T_{k}(\tilde{u}) \nabla \tilde{u}$. Furthermore, $\boldsymbol{u} \in \boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$, so that

$$
\int_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}) T_{k}(\tilde{u}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla F_{k}(\tilde{u}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=0
$$

We then obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\alpha \tilde{u}^{2}+\|\nabla \tilde{u}\|^{2}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{u}>0\}} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=0
$$

so that $\tilde{u} \leq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega$.
A similar reasoning with $R_{k}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $R_{k}(s)=\min (\max (-k, s), 0)$ instead of $T_{k}$ yields

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\alpha \tilde{u}^{2}+\|\nabla \tilde{u}\|^{2}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{u}<0\}} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=0,
$$

so that $\tilde{u} \geq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega$. Finally, $\tilde{u}=0$ a.e. in $\Omega$ and the solution of (57) is unique.
Let us now give the decomposition result which was used for the proof of existence of a solution to the correction step (12).
Lemma A. 2 (Decomposition of $L^{2}$ vector fields). Let $\Omega$ be an open bounded connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with Lipschitz boundary. Then for any $\boldsymbol{w} \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ there exists a unique $(\boldsymbol{v}, \psi) \in \boldsymbol{V}(\Omega) \times H^{1}(\Omega) \cap L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $\boldsymbol{w}=\boldsymbol{v}+\nabla \psi$ a.e. in $\Omega$.
Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{w} \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ and let $\psi$ be the unique solution to the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi \in H^{1}(\Omega) \cap L_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \\
& \int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \xi \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \nabla \xi \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}, \text { for any } \xi \in H^{1}(\Omega) \cap L_{0}^{2}(\Omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now set $\boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{w}-\nabla \psi$; clearly $\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla \xi \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=0$ so that $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$, which proves the theorem.
The following lemma gives a characterisation of the gradient which is used in the proof of Lemma 2.4. Its proof is a simple consequence of a result of M. E. Bogovskii [2]; we refer to the very clear presentation of [5] on this subject.

Lemma A. 3 (Characterization of the gradient). Let $\Omega$ be an open bounded connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with Lipschitz boundary. Let $\boldsymbol{f} \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ such that $\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=0$ for all $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)^{d}$ such that $\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi}=0$ in $\Omega$. Then there exists $\xi \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $\boldsymbol{f}=\nabla \xi$ a.e. in $\Omega$.

Proof. We recall that $L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)=\left\{q \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right.$ such that $\left.\int_{\Omega} q(x) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=0\right\}$. A classical result [2] gives the existence of an linear continuous operator $\mathcal{B}: L_{0}^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)^{d}$ such that $\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{B}(q))=q$ a.e. in $\Omega$. Furthermore $\mathcal{B}(\varphi) \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)^{d}$ for any $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$.

For $q \in L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ we set $T(q)=\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f} \cdot \mathcal{B}(q) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}$. The mapping $T$ is a linear continuous form on $L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$. There exists $\xi \in L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
T(q)=\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f} \cdot \mathcal{B}(q) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega} \xi q \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}, \text { for any } q \in L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)
$$

Taking now $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)^{d}$, one has $\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ so that $\varphi-\mathcal{B}(\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi}) \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)^{d}$ and $\operatorname{div}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}-$ $\mathcal{B}(\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi}))=0$ in $\Omega$. Then, the hypothesis on $\boldsymbol{f}$ gives $\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f} \cdot(\boldsymbol{\varphi}-\mathcal{B}(\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi})) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=0$ which leads to

$$
\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f} \cdot \mathcal{B}(\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega} \xi \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}
$$

and we conclude $\nabla \xi=f$ (that is the distribution $\nabla \xi$ is the function $f$ ).

## Birkhäuser

A consequence of this lemma is the following interesting per se density result.
Lemma A.4. (Density of divergence-free functions) Let $\Omega$ be an open bounded connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, $d=2$ or 3, with Lipschitz boundary. Let $\mathcal{V}(\Omega)=\left\{\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)^{d} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi}=0\right.$ in $\left.\Omega\right\}$. The closure of $\mathcal{V}(\Omega)$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ is $\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Equipped with the $L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$-norm, the space $\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space. In order to prove this density result, we prove that, in this Hilbert space, $\mathcal{V}(\Omega)^{\perp}=\{0\}$. Let $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$ and assume $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega)^{\perp}$. Then, Lemma A. 3 gives the existence of $\xi \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $\boldsymbol{v}=\nabla \xi$ (and then $\left.\xi \in H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$. Since $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$, ones deduces for $\psi \in H^{1}(\Omega)$

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla \xi \cdot \nabla \psi \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla \psi \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=0
$$

In particular this gives $\int_{\Omega} \nabla \xi \cdot \nabla \xi \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=0$ and then $\boldsymbol{v}=\nabla \xi=0$. This proves that $\mathcal{V}(\Omega)$ is dense in $\boldsymbol{V}(\Omega)$.

## Appendix B: Some Discrete Technical Lemmas

Lemma B. 1 (Existence and estimate, discrete linearized equation). Under assumptions (32a) and (32c), let $\alpha>0, \boldsymbol{u} \in \boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)$ and $\boldsymbol{g} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{N}$. There exists a unique $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}+\boldsymbol{C}_{N}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) \boldsymbol{u}-\Delta_{N} \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}=\boldsymbol{g} . \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}+\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}\|_{1,2, N}^{2} \leq \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{g} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We remark that Problem (62) is equivalent to the following problem

$$
\text { Find } \boldsymbol{u} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega) \text { such that for any } \boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \alpha \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{C}_{N}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}+\int_{\Omega}-\Delta_{N} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{g} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, owing to Lemma [11, Lemma 3.6], the bilinear form of the right hand side is coercive on $\boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega) \times$ $\boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)$. The existence and uniqueness of the solution $\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)$ then follows by Lax-Milgram theorem. We take $\boldsymbol{v}=\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}$ in (62) and using [11, Lemma 3.6], we obtain

$$
\alpha\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}}^{2}+\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}\|_{1,2, N}^{2} \leq \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{g} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}
$$

which gives the expected result.
The following lemma is the discrete version of lemma A.2; it was used for the proof of existence of a solution to the correction step (35).

Lemma B. 2 (Decomposition of $\boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)$ vector fields). Under assumptions (32a) and (32c), for any $\boldsymbol{w} \in$ $\boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)$ there exists $(\boldsymbol{v}, \psi) \in \boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega) \times L_{N}(\Omega)$ such that $\boldsymbol{w}=\boldsymbol{v}+\nabla_{N} \psi$ a.e. in $\Omega$.

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{w} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{N, 0}(\Omega)$; since the bilinear form $(p, q) \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \nabla_{N} p \cdot \nabla_{N} q \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}$ is coercive on $L_{N}(\Omega) \cap L_{0}^{2}(\Omega)$ (see [8, Lemma 9.2]), there exists a unique solution $\psi$ to the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi \in L_{N}(\Omega) \cap L_{0}^{2}(\Omega), \\
& \int_{\Omega} \nabla_{N} \psi \cdot \nabla_{N} \xi \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \nabla_{N} \xi \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}, \text { for any } \xi \in L_{N}(\Omega) \cap L_{0}^{2}(\Omega),
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\boldsymbol{w}=\boldsymbol{v}+\nabla_{N} \psi$ with $\boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{E}_{N}(\Omega)$.
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