

Crop residues differ in their decomposition dynamics : Review of available data from world literature

S. Ntonta, I. Mathew, R. Zengeni, P. Muchaonyerwa, Vincent Chaplot

To cite this version:

S. Ntonta, I. Mathew, R. Zengeni, P. Muchaonyerwa, Vincent Chaplot. Crop residues differ in their decomposition dynamics : Review of available data from world literature. Geoderma, 2022, 419, pp.115855. 10.1016/j.geoderma.2022.115855. hal-03726502

HAL Id: hal-03726502 <https://hal.science/hal-03726502v1>

Submitted on 22 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Version of Record: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016706122001628> Manuscript_6cb17c7d446c58cff3de337e6ef50762

Crop residues differ in their decomposition dynamics: review of available

data from world literature

S. Ntonta^a, I. Mathew^a, R. Zengeni^a, P. Muchaonyerwa^a and V. Chaplot^{a, b}

^aUniversity of Kwa-Zulu Natal, School of Agricultural, Earth & Environmental Sciences, Scottsville,

3209 Pietermaritzburg, South Africa

^b Laboratoire d'Océanographie et du Climat: Expérimentations et approches numériques (LOCEAN),

UMR 7159, IRD/C NRS/UPMC/MNHN, IPSL, 4, Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris, France

ABSTRACT

Decomposition of crop residues may affect soil organic carbon (C) stocks, which are key for soil fertility improvement and mitigation of climate change. Numerous independent studies across the world point to contradictory results but their existence provides an opportunity to conduct a comprehensive analysis of the impact of crop type on residue decomposition. In the present study, data from 394 trials from across the world were used to assess cumulative CO_2 emissions from residues of 17 crops during 0-30, 0-90 and 0-120 days (i.e. C_R 30, C_R 90 17 and C_R 120; 1- $[C_R30/C_R120]$ ratio as a stability index of C emissions) and to relate the results with residue quality (C, N and lignin concentrations) and selected soil properties (texture, pH, soil organic carbon concentration). At all durations, legumes exhibited the 20 highest CO_2 emissions per gram of C added (1003 mg CO_2 -C g⁻¹C after 120 days) followed by grasses (947), oilseed crops (944) and cereals (846), with the legumes and grasses 22 showing the lowest temporal stability of C emission as pointed out by a 1- $[C_R30/C_R120]$ of 0.78 and 0.79, respectively, versus 0.82 and 0.83 for cereals and oilseed crops. At all 24 durations, maize residues emitted the least $C-CO_2 (86, 275, 275)$ and 495 mg $CO_2-C \text{ g}^{-1}C$, followed by two other lignin rich crops (cotton and sunflower), while the highest emissions 26 were from Alfalfa residues that produced about 4 times more CO_2 (e.g. 359 at C_R 30 and 1319 27 at C_R 120) than maize. Overall, CO_2 emissions were positively correlated with soil clay 28 concentration (r>0.22), residue C concentration (e.g. r=0.46 at C_R90 and r=0.37 with 29 emission stability, P<0.05) but negatively to residue N concentration ($r = -0.26$ at C_R 120, 30 P<0.05). The global trend pointed to decreased $CO₂$ emissions with increasing residue lignin. 31 Contrary to what is generally believed, providing the soil with high lignin and high N 32 concentration may foster C stabilization into soils by soil microbes.

- 33 *Keywords***:** Crop residue decomposition, C emissions, Soil carbon stabilisation, Soil C stocks
- 34

1. Introduction

The carbon (C) cycle has received considerable attention in recent years, due to concerns 37 over the continued increase in atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO_2) concentration. Annual 38 increases of $CO₂$ concentration in the atmosphere were observed on a global scale for the years 2018 (2.7%) and 2019 (0.6%) (Ritchie and Roser, 2020). Global warming, as a result of 40 high atmospheric $CO₂$ causes climate change, characterized by increase in mean temperature and higher variability in precipitation. Consequently, the need to sequester carbon in agricultural soils has been identified as a sustainable strategy to mitigate climate change and promote agricultural sustainability.

44 Soil is the second largest C reservoir with 11% (4655 Pg C), after the 87% of global carbon stocks being oceanic (38,000 Pg C) and has higher C than the 2% found in the atmosphere (860 Pg C) (Xiao, 2015; Venter et al., 2021). Carbon transfer from the atmosphere to soil is achieved by plants through photosynthesis, leading to exudation of C compounds from roots during the growth cycle, and by the retention of plant root and shoot residues. Some of the C from plant residues or exudates can be mineralized and emitted back to the atmosphere as CO2 while a significant proportion can be stabilized as soil organic matter (Ontl and Schulte, 51 2012; Dignac, 2017). Therefore, the rate of residue decomposition and associated $CO₂$ emissions is often used as a proxy for evaluating the potential of plant residues to become soil organic matter (e.g. Johnson et al., 2007).

The potential to increase soil C stocks is mostly on managed agricultural land where numerous studies exist on the fate of C from different crop residues. Curtin et al. (2008) for 56 instance, observed that barley straw emitted significantly higher ($p \le 0.05$) amount of $CO₂$ 57 (55 g CO₂-C m⁻²) compared to wheat straw (47 g CO₂-C m⁻²) after 158 days. Ajwa and 58 Tabatabai (1994) found 58% of organic C evolved as $CO₂-C$ from alfalfa-treated soils in 30 days which was higher compared to maize residues (30%), which was attributed to the higher 60 C: N of maize residues. Zeng et al. (2010) attributed the higher $CO₂$ emissions from peanut root (maximum of 60 %) compared to maize root residues (35%) to biological nitrogen fixation, which increases N in leguminous plants, and thus lower their C: N ratio, and overall quality of the crop residues. Not only does crop residue decomposition depend on the type and quality of crop residues but also on the internal soil conditions (Mathew, et al., 2017; Stewart et al., 2015).

Mathew et al. (2017) concluded that higher plant C stocks and C transfer to soils occurred in carbon rich clayey soils of tropical humid areas due to higher biomass production potential compared to sandy soils. Clayey soils also support high C stocks through their aggregation and ability to provide physical protection as well as mineral adsorption of C constituents (Elliott, 1986; Ajwa and Tabatabai, 1994; Martens, 2000; Clark, 2007; Mathew, et al., 2017). 71 Several studies have also reported disparities in CO₂ emissions from residues of different crops under different soil types and climates, which has led to a lack of consensus on the 73 impact of these factors on $CO₂$ emissions from soils (Li et al., 1994; Paustian et al., 2000; Gregorich et al., 2001; Alvarez, 2005; Abdalla et al., 2016; McClelland et al., 2021; Shakoor et al., 2021). However, the existence of multiple individual studies across the world provides an opportunity to conduct a comprehensive analysis of the main factors that control crop residue decomposition and $CO₂$ emissions and the consequences for the building of soil carbon stocks. Therefore, the objective of the current study was to evaluate the impact of crop 79 type, soil and environmental factors on $CO₂$ emissions from residues of selected crops using available global data from published studies.

- **2. Materials and methods**
- *2.1. Study setup: Database preparation*

84 Data on CO₂ emissions from crop residues incubated in soil at laboratories were collated from studies across the world, published in peer reviewed journals and accessible from public domains such as Google scholar, Ref-seek, Science Direct, Sci-Finder, Scopus, Springer Link, Research-Gate and Web of Science. Key words such as "litter decomposition", "residue 88 decomposition", "C mineralisation", "crop residue CO₂ emissions", "C gases", "carbon dynamics" and "decomposition rate" were used to search for relevant journal articles. The available papers had to report on CO2 emissions from crops (sorghum, wheat, maize, among others) and on crop quality factors affecting residue decomposition (e.g. total C and N, C: N ratio, lignin, cellulose or hemicellulose). Furthermore, environmental factors such as climatic information (mean annual precipitation and mean annual temperature), geographic variables (latitude, longitude) as well as soil variables (physicochemical properties) measured during both laboratory or/and field experiments were considered as controlling variables. The studies 96 had to strictly compare CO₂ emissions between soils incubated with and without (considered as the control) residues under the same conditions. Moreover, the mass of residues used must have been clearly stated or able to be deduced. The data were used to compile a database with quantitative and qualitative data on plant litter quality.

2.2. Variables of CO2 emission

102 The effect of adding crop residues on soil $CO₂$ emissions were calculated as the difference 103 between CO_2 emitted from the soil containing residues and CO_2 evolved from the control. The values were converted from their original units and normalized to common units (mg CO2-C/ g C added of soil over 30, 90 and 120 day periods of incubation) (Table 2). The amounts of total CO2 emissions were recorded over 0-30; 30-90 and 90-120 day periods and were cumulatively representing lability of residue decomposition. The studies that did not cover the 0-30, 30-90 and 90-120 periods were estimated by use of linear trendline equation.

109 In addition, a ratio between $CO₂$ emissions at 30 relative to 120 days was calculated, which 110 was used as an index of temporal stability of $C-CO_2$ emissions = 1- (C_R30/C_R120) . The greater the index, the greater stability of the emissions over time.

2.3. Crop quality, soil and environmental factors

Crops were categorized into cereals, legumes, grasses and oilseed (Table 3), (i.e., cereals-barley, maize, oat, rice, rye, sorghum, wheat; grass- grass; legumes-alfalfa, bean, clover, pea, soyabean, vetch; and oilseed-canola, cotton, and sunflower). Cereals and grasses were separated due to their functional differences, with cereals having evolved and undergone selection by farmers, making them different from natural or forage grasses. In this study, grasses refer to natural or forage grass that are not used for human consumption while cereal refers to monocot grasses that are used primarily for grain production for human consumption. Legumes are defined as any crop that has a natural ability to fix nitrogen, while oilseed crops are those that are primarily used for extraction of vegetable oil from their seed. Soyabean was considered as a legume crop in this study. Furthermore, crop quality was defined by residue chemical composition, such as initial C (TC) and lignin concentrations, C:N ratio, total nitrogen (TN), dissolved carbon (DC) and total phosphorus (TP); cellulose, polyphenols and lignin: N ratios. In addition, soil properties such as texture (clay, silt and 127 sand concentration), soil pH $(CaCl₂)$ and organic carbon (SOC) were considered and classified into different categories (Table 3) following Abdalla et al. (2016); Mutema et al. 129 (2015) and Mathew et al. (2017). Water-based pH was converted to CaCl₂ pH following the 130 equation of Lierop (1981): $(y = 0.53 + 0.98x)$. Where y is pH on the CaCl₂ scale and x is the water-based pH.

Climatic factors included a 30-year average rainfall and temperature (mean annual precipitation: MAP) and (mean annual temperature: MAT). The climate was further classified as tropical (hot and wet), sub-tropical (warm and arid to humid) or temperate (cool to cold and mild to warm). In cases where climatic characteristics were not present in a particular study, appropriate data such as annual precipitation and temperature were obtained using the location coordinates or surrogate data for nearby prominent features (e.g. town) through Google search. In addition, the geographical positioning system (GPS) using latitude and longitude coordinates were used to depict the global distribution of the studies used in the review (Fig. 1).

A total of 58 journal articles (Table 1) were used, detailing different studies across the world, which provided 394 observations. The name of authors, year of paper publication, country and geographical location of experimental site, nature of experiment, experimental duration (time periods), crop(s) or crop types used in the experiments, quantitative information on plant quality, soil properties as well as C variables and environmental conditions were captured onto a database. The definitions and acronyms adopted in this paper are used to simplify the terms and definitions of variables for purposes of this analysis. #### *2.4. Data analyses*

The data were compiled into a database and tested for normality of variables, linearity and homoscedasticity prior to statistical analyses. Descriptive summary statistics (minimum, 152 maximum, median, mean, SEM: standard error of mean, $25th$ and $75th$ percentiles, skewness (Skew), kurtosis (Kurt) and coefficient of variation (CV%) were calculated for all variables (Table 6). Furthermore, box plots were constructed to depict the distribution of the data 155 showing minimum, $25th$ quartile median, mean, $75th$ quartile and maximum values within the $\frac{5}{3}$ and 95th percentiles. Mean CO₂ emissions were computed for different crops, with different 157 crop residue quality, soil properties and environmental factor classes. Because the $CO₂$ emission data did not conform to normal distributions for us to use parametric analysis, the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis analysis was performed. Significant differences between factor classes were tested with non-parametric analysis (Kruskal-Wallis), at chi-square 161 probability of ≤ 0.001 . In addition, bivariate Pearson correlations coefficients at $p \leq 0.05$ were calculated among the variables (Table 7). Subsequently, principal component analysis (PCA), which converts non-linear factors and variables into linear combinations for visualization (Jambu, 1991), were conducted to investigate the multiple correlations between the variables (Fig. 10 and 11). Finally, because of low number of data points the variable of residue dissolved carbon, total residue phosphorus, cellulose and polyphenols were discarded. SiAll analyses were performed using Statistica 10.0 software (Weiß, 2007).

3. Results

3.1. CO2 emissions from crop residues

The summary statistics (Table 4) which were computed from all study sites showed that the 172 30-days cumulative CO_2 emissions (C_R30) ranged between 0.3 and 920.1 mg CO_2 -C g⁻¹ C,

173 with a mean value at 196.5 mg $CO₂-C$ g⁻¹ C. Cumulative 120 days emissions (C_R120) were 174 between 3.2 and 3640 mg CO₂-C g^{-1} C with a mean at 914.2 mg CO₂-C g^{-1} C (Table 4). The 175 resulting emission stability index showed a mean at 0.8.

176 Cumulative emissions also varied among different crops, with legumes exhibiting the highest 177 mean cumulative emissions of 228.0 mg CO₂-C g⁻¹ C after 30 days, 586.7 mg CO₂-C g⁻¹ C 178 after 90 days and 1003.0 mg CO_2 -C g^{-1} C after 120 days (Table 5). Grasses ranked second 179 with respectively, 217.0, 529.7 and 946.8 mg CO₂-C g^{-1} C, while oilseed crops ranked third 180 and cereals fourth (Table 5). The average difference between legumes and cereals was 25% 181 after 30 days, 19% after 90 days and 16% after 120 days, which corresponded for C_R 30 and 182 CR90 to significant differences at P<0.001. On average, legumes had the lowest temporal C 183 emissions stability (0.78) followed by grass (0.79), cereals (0.82) and oilseed (0.83) 184 (Table 5).

185 Table 6 shows variations in $CO₂$ emissions between different crop types, with alfalfa having 186 the highest emissions of 359.0 mg $CO₂-C$ g⁻¹C, amongst legumes (48% higher than clover 187 and pea), while canola (293.5) had the highest amongst oilseed crops, and sorghum (261.1) 188 had the highest amongst cereals $(67\%$ more than maize) at C_R30. Maize emitted the least 189 cumulative CO₂ at C_R30 (85.8 mg CO₂-C g⁻¹C), C_R90 (275.1) and C_R120 (495.0) but 190 exhibited with 0.84 the highest $CO₂$ emission stability over time. In contrast, alfalfa exhibited 191 the lowest stability of $CO₂$ emissions with a mean value at 0.76, followed by rye (0.77), 192 clover and pea (0.78), canola, grass and oat (0.79) (Table 6; Fig. 2D).

193 *3.2.The influence of crop residue quality on CO2 emissions*

194 The quality of crop residues, determined by the initial C, N and lignin concentrations, 195 significantly ($p \le 0.05$) affected CO_2 emissions (Table 7). There was a systematic decrease in 196 C emissions with the increase in residue nitrogen content with r from -0.22 at C_R30 , -0.26 at 197 CR120 and -0.28 at CR90. The r values with lignin content were from -0.08 at CR30 to -0.12 at 198 C_R 120. Emissions also decreased with increasing C:N with the highest r (-0.14) found for 199 CR30. In contrast C emissions increased with increasing residue C content from 0.40 at 200 C_R 120 to 0.59 at C_R 30. Furthermore, the increase in residue C content significantly enhanced 201 the temporal stability of C emissions $(r=0.37)$.

- 202
-

203 *3.3. The impact of soil and environmental properties on CO2 emissions from different* 204 *crop residues*

205 There were significant variations in cumulative $CO₂$ emissions among the three different soil 206 textural classes throughout the incubation periods (Fig. 4). For instance, clayey soils 207 exhibited significantly higher cumulative 30-days CO_2 emissions (224 mg CO_2 -C g⁻¹ C) as 208 compared to sandy soils (178 mg $CO₂-C$ g⁻¹ C), while silty soils had intermediate emissions. 209 Decreasing emissions with increasing sand content was a trend also found for C_R90 and 210 C_R120 . Finally, the temporal stability of CO_2 emissions was surprisingly the highest under 211 silty conditions $(1-(C_R30/C_R120)=0.81)$ and decrease to 0.80 under clayey conditions and to 212 0.79 for sandy soils (Fig. 4).

213 Cumulative CO_2 emissions also varied due to soil pH (Fig. 5). For all incubation durations, the lowest emissions were observed for strongly acidic soils while the highest emissions were found for slightly acidic soils. Additionally, there was a trend for emissions to lessen from slightly acidic to alkaline through neutral while the temporal stability of the emissions consistently rose from acidic to alkaline (Fig. 5D).

Figure 6 which depicts the impact of climate points to a significant decrease of emissions 219 from tropical to temperate through sub-tropical. In the case of C_R30 , CO_2 emissions 220 decreased from an average of 252 mg CO₂-C g⁻¹ C for tropical to 193 mg CO₂-C g⁻¹C for 221 subtropical and to 150 mg $CO₂-C$ gC⁻¹ for temperate, which corresponded in all cases to significant differences at P<0.01 (Fig. 6A). Similar trends (i.e. a decrease of emissions from 223 tropical to temperate) were also observed for C_R90 and C_R120 but the temporal stability of the emissions consistently rose from tropical to temperate, the differences between sub-tropical and temperate being however non-significant (Fig. 6D).

226 Multivariate correlations between $CO₂$ emission variables on the one hand and soil and crop residue variables on the other hand are displayed on Figure 7. The two principal components of this PCA explained 99% of the total variation in the data with the first principal component (PC1) accounting for 79% of data variance and PC2 accounting for 20%. Cumulative emissions to 30, 90 and 120 days showed a positive correlation to PC1 and this axis can be 231 thus interpreted as an axis of crop residue decomposition. The temporal stability of $CO₂$ emissions had a negative coordinate on Axis 2, meaning that PC2 could be interpreted as an axis of decomposition in-stability. Residue content in lignin, N and C showed negative coordinates on PC1 while the C:N ratio showed a positive coordinate. There was thus a tendency for emissions to increase as C:N increases but C, N and lignin concentration decreases. Finally, emission instability decreased with increasing pH as pointed by a negative coordinate of pH on PC2 (Fig. 7). Amongst crops, canola and alfalfa correlated to the high CO_2 emissions level and low CO_2 emission stability pole, while maize, cotton and sunflower correlated to the low emissions and high stability pole.

4. Discussion

4.1. Causes of variation in residue decomposition and soil C building amongst crop types

243 The different crop types exhibited large variations in $CO₂$ emissions with legumes emitting 244 the highest cumulative $CO₂$ emissions and with decreasing emissions over time versus cereals pointing to lower emissions but of higher stability. Such variations were shown to correlate with the quality of crop residues (Machinet, et al., 2009). Ajwa and Tabatabai (1994) 247 revealed that the significantly higher amounts of $CO₂-C$ released from alfalfa were to be 248 attributed to their ability to fix N, as they had higher initial N concentration, of 12.6% as 249 compared to the 6.9% of maize and the 1.3% of oilseed crops. The underlying hypothesis of greater emissions at high initial N concentration was, as suggested by Gezahegn, et al., 251 (2016), the enhanced microbial activity leading to high decomposition and $CO₂$ emissions. But the present study, which was based on 394 trials from across the world, tends to 253 contradict this past statement as it pointed to a negative correlation between $CO₂$ emissions and residue N concentration. Such a trend might be due to the fact that N availability enhances C uptake by microbes and thus humus formation versus C emissions to the 256 atmosphere as $CO₂$, a mechanism that was described by authors such as Henriksen and Breland (1999), Rousk and Bååth (2007), Bai et al. (2016) and Köbke et al. (2018). The fact that legumes, especially Alfalfa which accounted for the most emissions amongst crops 259 during the maximum 120 days period, emitted large amounts of $CO₂$ despite a high N concentration was most likely due to its low lignin concentration.

Carbon stabilization into soils in microbial biomass thus seems to be favoured by the supply of residues with a C and N stoichiometric ratio close to that of living microorganisms. Results on maize, sunflower and cotton tend to show that lignin rich residues experience low decomposition rates which constitutes a second route of carbon stabilization into soils.

4.2.The impact of crop residues on the loss of soil carbon through priming

Recent studies have indeed shown that the activity of decomposers and their ability to decompose soil organic matter for their living can be stimulated by the addition of fresh organic matter resulting in an increase in soil respiration beyond C addition, which is referred to as 'priming' (e.g. Fontaine et al. 2003; Kuzyakov, 2010). In the present study and as pointed out in Table 8, 43% of the respiration data points showed 120 days cumulative emissions beyond C addition, which points to the existence of significant C losses from soil organic matter. All crop types experienced priming with the proportion of studies with C losses over residue C from 25% for maize, sunflower and beans to over 55% for sorghum, alfalfa and canola and with differences between legumes, cereals and oilseed crops being 276 non-significant at $p \le 0.05$.

Several authors have suggested that chemically recalcitrant residues, such as those rich in lignin decompose more slowly than residues with low lignin and high N concentrations, thus leading to enhanced C stabilization into soils and increased soil organic matter (Johnson et al. 2007, Berg and Mc Claugherty, 2008). However, several other authors such as Stewart et al. (2015), pointed out that high lignin residues are used inefficiently by the soil microbial community that decompose SOM (priming effect) to acquire key nutrients resulting in much greater respiration losses and less C stabilization into soils. The present study which points to 284 a global tendency for $CO₂$ emissions to decrease with the increase in residue lignin and N concentration tend to show that providing the soil with high lignin and high N concentration may limit priming and foster C stabilization into soils by soil microbes. Moreover, the present data showed that lignin concentration minimally impacted the temporal stability of the emissions but that emissions decreased more sharply over time at higher initial residue C concentration.

4.3.The impact of soil properties on CO2 emissions

292 Soil texture and pH had significant impact on cumulative $CO₂$ emissions from crop residues. 293 The higher cumulative $CO₂$ emissions from clayey soils could be due to favorable living conditions for decomposers than under coarser soil conditions. Moreover, Schmatz, et al., (2017) also found higher C emissions from clay soils as compared to sandy-loam soils due to high organic carbon concentration in clayey soils and enhanced water retention capability, thus favoring the activity of microorganisms responsible for residue break-down. Contrarily, most previous studies generally had described clay soils to enhance the physical protection and mineral adsorption of C constituents, which was not confirmed by the present analysis of 300 world data from 120 days duration in which clay soils experiences higher $CO₂$ emissions per gram of residue C added. Addition of labile organic materials (crop residues) to clayey soils, 302 with higher organic carbon, could result in more $CO₂$ emissions (priming effect) than from 303 sandy loam soils. In addition, such an increase in $CO₂$ emissions with the increase in soil clay concentration might come as suggested above from higher soil moisture and bacteria concentration, favoring the rapid turnover of residue C.

We had no explanation for slightly acidic soils (pH of 5.5-6.4) to experience consistently higher CO₂ emissions (50%) than the other pH levels irrespective of incubation durations as conditions for fungi and bacteria are not optimal (Hågvar, 1994; Stott and Martin, 1989). A possible reason for the higher $CO₂$ emissions could be the liming effect of residues that foster the priming of soil organic matter (Wang et al. 2017; Yaowu et al. 2016).

-
-

4.4.Climate impact on CO2 emissions

313 The finding of this study pointed to higher residue $CO₂$ emissions under tropical conditions than under the other climates throughout the incubation days. Tropical climates tend to experience high temperature and rainfall conditions that are conducive for production of high plant biomass and microbial activity for decomposition. On the other hand, soils of sub-

tropical or temperate climates have lower rainfall and cooler temperature thus limiting microbial activity and the biochemical processes involved in residue decomposition (Ontl and Schulte, 2012). As the data used in the present study come from laboratory experiments with controlled conditions of temperature and humidity, the higher decomposition rates and cumulative CO2 emissions under tropical climates could be due to favourable conditions for microbial activity.

5. Conclusions

The analysis of 394 laboratory trials worldwide revealed that on average, legumes exhibited significantly higher $CO₂$ emissions than cereals, oilseed and fiber crops, with for instance 327 alphalfa emitting 2.7 times more $CO₂$ after 120 days than maize (Figure 2, Table 6). 328 Additionally, legumes (especially alfalfa) showed the lowest stability of $CO₂$ emissions over time (i.e., the highest decrease from 30 to 120 days) followed by grasses, cereals and oilseed crops.

Amongst the two models for enhanced C sequestration into soils the present analysis points to 332 a global tendency for $CO₂$ emissions to decrease with the increase in residue lignin. This contrasted with the studies showing that high lignin concentration induce enhanced microbial decomposition and thus C outputs from soils i.e., alfalfa (low lignin and high N concentration) versus maize residue (high lignin and low N concentration). Providing soils with residues with high lignin such as through maize residues may improve C uptake by microbes (a key process in humus formation).

A large proportion of the variance in the data remained however unexplained thus calling for further analysis of variables such as soil nutrients, microbial communities and quality of lignin, and of other organic matter compounds. More work is also to be done on selecting crop cultivars for generating superior ones in our fight against land and climate degradation. Finally, the question whether the carbon remaining into the soil after 120 days of incubation will be stabilized to contribute to soil carbon stocks calls for further research to understand the fate and underlying mechanisms of C sequestration into soils.

6. Acknowledgements

This study received financial support from the Water Research Commission of the Republic of South Africa (WRC Project No. K5/2721/4). The authors also extend their gratitude to the"

- Carbon Crew" members Nozibusiso Mbava and Nhlakanipho Mbambo, both student from the
- University of KwaZulu-Natal at the time of this study, for their support during gathering of
- the data used in the paper.

7. Reference

354 Abdalla, K., Chivenge, P., Ciais, P. and Chaplot, V., 2016. No-tillage lessens soil CO₂ emissions the most under arid and sandy soil conditions: results from a meta-analysis. *Biogeosciences*, *13*(12), pp.3619-3633.

Abiven, S. and Recous, S., 2007. Mineralisation of crop residues on the soil surface or incorporated in the soil under controlled conditions. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, *43*(6), pp.849-852.

Abiven, S., Recous, S., Reyes, V. and Oliver, R., 2005. Mineralisation of C and N from root, stem and leaf residues in soil and role of their biochemical quality. *Biology and fertility of soils*, *42*(2), p.119.

Abro, S.A., Tian, X., Wang, X., Wu, F. and Kuyide, J.E., 2011. Decomposition characteristics of maize (Zea mays. L.) straw with different carbon to nitrogen (C/N) ratios under various moisture regimes. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, *10*(50), pp.10149-10156.

Ajwa, H.A. and Tabatabai, M.A., 1994. Decomposition of different organic materials in soils. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, *18*(3), pp.175-182.

Alvarez, R., 2005. A review of nitrogen fertilizer and conservation tillage effects on soil organic carbon storage. *Soil Use and Management*, *21*(1), pp.38-52.

Angers, D.A. and Recous, S., 1997. Decomposition of wheat straw and rye residues as affected by particle size. *Plant and soil*, *189*(2), pp.197-203.

Anguria, P., Chemining'wa, G., Onwonga, R. and Ugen, M., 2017. Decomposition and nutrient

release of selected cereal and legume crop residues. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, *9*(6), p.p108.

Arunachalam, K., Singh, N.D. and Arunachalam, A., 2003. Decomposition of leguminous crop residues in a 'jhum'cultivation system in Arunachal Pradesh, India. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, *166*(6), pp.731-736.

- Aulakh, M.S., Walters, D.T., Doran, J.W., Francis, D.D. and Mosier, A.R., 1991. Crop residue
- type and placement effects on denitrification and mineralization. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, *55*(4), pp.1020-1025.
- Begum, N., Guppy, C., Herridge, D. and Schwenke, G., 2014. Influence of source and quality of
- plant residues on emissions of N 2 O and CO 2 from a fertile, acidic Black Vertisol. *Biology*
- *and fertility of soils*, *50*(3), pp.499-506.
- Berg, B. and McClaugherty, C., 2008. Decomposition as a process. *Plant Litter: Decomposition, Humus Formation, Carbon Sequestration*, pp.11-33.
- Bertrand, I., Chabbert, B., Kurek, B. and Recous, S., 2006. Can the biochemical features and
- histology of wheat residues explain their decomposition in soil?. *Plant and Soil*, *281*(1-2), pp.291-307.
- Blaise, D. and Bhaskar, K.S., 2003. Carbon mineralization patterns of cotton leaves and stems in vertisols and inceptisols. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, *49*(2), pp.171-177.
- Bontti, E.E., Decant, J.P., Munson, S.M., Gathany, M.A., Przeszlowska, A., Haddix, M.L.,
- Owens, S., Burke, I.C., Parton, W.J. and Harmon, M.E., 2009. Litter decomposition in grasslands of central North America (US Great Plains). *Global Change Biology*, *15*(5), pp.1356-1363.
- Cayuela, M.L., Sinicco, T. and Mondini, C., 2009. Mineralization dynamics and biochemical properties during initial decomposition of plant and animal residues in soil. *Applied soil ecology*, *41*(1), pp.118-127.
- Cong, W.F., Hoffland, E., Li, L., Janssen, B.H. and van der Werf, W., 2015. Intercropping affects the rate of decomposition of soil organic matter and root litter. *Plant and Soil*, *391*(1-2), pp.399-411.

Clark, G.J., Dodgshun, N., Sale, P.W.G. and Tang, C., 2007. Changes in chemical and biological properties of a sodic clay subsoil with addition of organic amendments. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *39*(11), pp.2806-2817.

Corbeels, M., Hofman, G. and Van Cleemput, O., 2000. Nitrogen cycling associated with the decomposition of sunflower stalks and wheat straw in a Vertisol. *Plant and soil*, *218*(1-2), pp.71-82.

Curtin, D., Francis, G.S. and McCallum, F.M., 2008. Decomposition rate of cereal straw as affected by soil placement. *Soil Research*, *46*(2), pp.152-160.

Datta, A., Jat, H.S., Yadav, A.K., Choudhary, M., Sharma, P.C., Rai, M., Singh, L.K., Majumder, S.P., Choudhary, V. and Jat, M.L., 2019. Carbon mineralization in soil as influenced by crop residue type and placement in an Alfisols of Northwest India. *Carbon Management*, *10*(1), pp.37-50.

Davies, B.E., 1971. A statistical comparison of pH values of some English soils after measurement in both water and 0.01 M calcium chloride. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, *35*(4), pp.551-552.

De Neergaard, A., Hauggaard-Nielsen, H., Jensen, L.S. and Magid, J., 2002. Decomposition of white clover (Trifolium repens) and ryegrass (Lolium perenne) components: C and N dynamics simulated with the DAISY soil organic matter submodel. *European Journal of Agronomy*, *16*(1), pp.43-55.

Dignac, M.F., Derrien, D., Barre, P., Barot, S., Cécillon, L., Chenu, C., Chevallier, T., Freschet, G.T., Garnier, P., Guenet, B. and Hedde, M., 2017. Increasing soil carbon storage: mechanisms, effects of agricultural practices and proxies. A review. *Agronomy for sustainable development*, *37*(2), p.14.

Donahue, R.L., Miller, R.W. and Shickluna, J.C., 1983. *Soils. An introduction to soils and plant growth*.

Duong, T.T.T., Baumann, K. and Marschner, P., 2009. Frequent addition of wheat straw residues to soil enhances carbon mineralization rate. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *41*(7), pp.1475-1482.

Dlamini, P., 2014. *Grassland Degradation and Rehabilitation of Soil Organic Carbon and Nitrogen Stocks* (Doctoral dissertation, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Pietermaritzburg).

Drury, C.F., Yang, X.M., Reynolds, W.D. and McLaughlin, N.B., 2008. Nitrous oxide and carbon dioxide emissions from monoculture and rotational cropping of corn, soybean and winter wheat. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, *88*(2), pp.163-174.

Elliott, E.T., 1986. Aggregate structure and carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus in native and cultivated soils. *Soil science society of America journal*, *50*(3), pp.627-633.

Finn, D., Page, K., Catton, K., Strounina, E., Kienzle, M., Robertson, F., Armstrong, R. and Dalal, R., 2015. Effect of added nitrogen on plant litter decomposition depends on initial soil carbon and nitrogen stoichiometry. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *91*, pp.160-168.

Fontaine, S., Mariotti, A. and Abbadie, L., 2003. The priming effect of organic matter: a question of microbial competition?. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *35*(6), pp.837-843.

Fruit, L., Recous, S. and Richard, G., 1999. Plant residue decomposition: effect of soil porosity

and particle size. In *Effect of mineral-organic-microorganism interactions on soil and*

freshwater environments (pp. 189-196). Springer, Boston, MA.

Geisseler, D., Horwath, W.R. and Scow, K.M., 2011. Soil moisture and plant residue addition interact in their effect on extracellular enzyme activity. *Pedobiologia*, *54*(2), pp.71-78.

Gezahegn, A.M., Abd Halim, R., Yusoff, M.M. and Abd Wahid, S., 2016. Decomposition and

Nitrogen mineralization of Individual and Mixed Maize and Soybean Residue. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, *2*, pp.28-45.

Ghimire, B., Ghimire, R., VanLeeuwen, D. and Mesbah, A., 2017. Cover crop residue amount

and quality effects on soil organic carbon mineralization. *Sustainability*, *9*(12), p.2316.

- Glasser, W.G., 1985. Lignin. In *Fundamentals of thermochemical biomass conversion* (pp. 6176). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Gregorich, E.G., Drury, C.F. and Baldock, J.A., 2001. Changes in soil carbon under long-term maize in monoculture and legume-based rotation. *Canadian journal of soil science*, *81*(1), pp.21-31.
- Hågvar, S., 1994. Soil Biology: Decomposition and soil acidity. In *Long-term experiments with acid rain in Norwegian forest ecosystems* (pp. 136-139). Springer, New York, NY.
- Havstad, L.T., Aamlid, T.S. and Henriksen, T.M., 2010. Decomposition of straw from herbage 458 seed production: Effects of species, nutrient amendment and straw placement on C and N net mineralization. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica Section B–Soil and Plant Science*, *60*(1), pp.57-68.
- Henriksen, T.M. and Breland, T.A., 2002. Carbon mineralization, fungal and bacterial growth, and enzyme activities as affected by contact between crop residues and soil. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, *35*(1), pp.41-48.
- Henriksen, T.M. and Breland, T.A., 1999. Nitrogen availability effects on carbon mineralization,
- fungal and bacterial growth, and enzyme activities during decomposition of wheat straw in
- soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *31*(8), pp.1121-1134.
- Jambu, M., 1991. *Exploratory and multivariate data analysis*. Elsevier.
- Jha, P., Garg, N., Lakaria, B.L., Biswas, A.K. and Rao, A.S., 2012. Soil and residue carbon mineralization as affected by soil aggregate size. *Soil and Tillage Research*, *121*, pp.57-62.
- Jin, K., Sleutel, S., De Neve, S., Gabriels, D., Cai, D., Jin, J. and Hofman, G., 2008. Nitrogen
- and carbon mineralization of surface-applied and incorporated winter wheat and peanut residues. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, *44*(4), pp.661-665.
- Johnson, J.M.F., Barbour, N.W. and Weyers, S.L., 2007. Chemical composition of crop biomass
- impacts its decomposition. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, *71*(1), pp.155-162.

Juan, L., Yong, H.A.N. and Zu-Cong, C.A.I., 2009. Decomposition and products of wheat and rice straw from a FACE experiment under flooded conditions. *Pedosphere*, *19*(3), pp.389- 397.

Kuzyakov, Y., 2010. Priming effects: interactions between living and dead organic matter. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *42*(9), pp.1363-1371.

- Khalil, M.I., Hossain, M.B. and Schmidhalter, U., 2005. Carbon and nitrogen mineralization in
- different upland soils of the subtropics treated with organic materials. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *37*(8), pp.1507-1518.
- Li, C., Frolking, S. and Harriss, R., 1994. Modeling carbon biogeochemistry in agricultural soils. *Global biogeochemical cycles*, *8*(3), pp.237-254.
- Li, L.J., Han, X.Z., You, M.Y., Yuan, Y.R., Ding, X.L. and Qiao, Y.F., 2013. Carbon and nitrogen mineralization patterns of two contrasting crop residues in a Mollisol: Effects of residue type and placement in soils. *European journal of soil biology*, *54*, pp.1-6.
- 488 Lierop, W.V., 1981. Conversion of organic soil pH values measured in water, 0.01 M CaCl₂ or 1 N KCl. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, *61*(4), pp.577-579.
- Lin, B. and Agyeman, S.D., 2020. Assessing Sub-Saharan Africa's low carbon development through the dynamics of energy-related carbon dioxide emissions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *274*, p.122676.
- Lou, Y., Ren, L., Li, Z., Zhang, T. and Inubushi, K., 2007. Effect of rice residues on carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide emissions from a paddy soil of subtropical China. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, *178*(1-4), pp.157-168.
- Luxhøi, J., Magid, J., Tscherko, D. and Kandeler, E., 2002. Dynamics of invertase, xylanase and coupled quality indices of decomposing green and brown plant residues. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *34*(4), pp.501-508.

Machinet, G.E., Bertrand, I., Chabbert, B. and Recous, S., 2009. Decomposition in soil and chemical changes of maize roots with genetic variations affecting cell wall quality. *European journal of soil science*, *60*(2), pp.176-185.

Machinet, G.E., Bertrand, I., Barrière, Y., Chabbert, B. and Recous, S., 2011. Impact of plant cell wall network on biodegradation in soil: role of lignin composition and phenolic acids in roots from 16 maize genotypes. *Soil biology and biochemistry*, *43*(7), pp.1544-1552.

Magid, J., Luxhøi, J. and Lyshede, O.B., 2004. Decomposition of plant residues at low temperatures separate turnover of nitrogen and energy rich tissue components in time. *Plant and Soil*, *258*(1), pp.351-365.

Marstorp, H. and Kirchmann, H., 1991. Carbon and nitrogen mineralization and crop uptake of nitrogen from six green manure legumes decomposing in soil. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica*, *41*(3), pp.243-252.

Martens, D.A., 2000. Plant residue biochemistry regulates soil carbon cycling and carbon sequestration. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *32*(3), pp.361-369.

Mathew, I., Shimelis, H., Mutema, M. and Chaplot, V., 2017. What crop type for atmospheric carbon sequestration: Results from a global data analysis. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, *243*, pp.34-46.

Moreno-Cornejo, J., Zornoz, R. and Faz, A., 2014. Carbon and nitrogen mineralization during decomposition of crop residues in a calcareous soil. *Geoderma*, *230*, pp.58-63.

McClelland, S.C., Paustian, K. and Schipanski, M.E., 2021. Management of cover crops in temperate climates influences soil organic carbon stocks: a meta‐analysis. *Ecological Applications*, *31*(3), p.e02278.

Muhammad, W., Vaughan, S.M., Dalal, R.C. and Menzies, N.W., 2011. Crop residues and fertilizer nitrogen influence residue decomposition and nitrous oxide emission from a Vertisol. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, *47*(1), pp.15-23.

Müller, T., Magid, J., Jensen, L.S. and Nielsen, N.E., 2003. Decomposition of plant residues of different quality in soil—DAISY model calibration and simulation based on experimental data. *Ecological Modelling*, *166*(1-2), pp.3-18.

Mungai, N.W. and Motavalli, P.P., 2006. Litter quality effects on soil carbon and nitrogen dynamics in temperate alley cropping systems. *Applied Soil Ecology*, *31*(1-2), pp.32-42.

Murungu, F.S., Chiduza, C., Muchaonyerwa, P. and Mnkeni, P.N.S., 2011. Decomposition, nitrogen and phosphorus mineralization from winter-grown cover crop residues and suitability for a smallholder farming system in South Africa. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, *89*(1), pp.115-123.

Mutema, M., Chaplot, V., Jewitt, G., Chivenge, P. and Blöschl, G., 2015. Annual water, 534 sediment, nutrient, and organic carbon fluxes in river basins: A global meta-analysis as a function of scale. *Water Resources Research*, *51*(11), pp.8949-8972.

Nourbakhsh, F., 2006. Fate of carbon and nitrogen from plant residue decomposition in a calcareous soil. *Plant Soil and Environment*, *52*(3), p.137.

Oda, T., Maksyutov, S. and Andres, R.J., 2018. The Open-source Data Inventory for Anthropogenic Carbon dioxide (CO2), version 2016 (ODIAC2016): A global, monthly fossil-fuel $CO₂$ gridded emission data product for tracer transport simulations and surface flux inversions. *Earth system science data*, *10*(1), p.87.

Ontl, T.A. and Schulte, L.A., 2012. Soil carbon storage. *Nature Education Knowledge*, *3*(10).

Pascault, N., Cécillon, L., Mathieu, O., Hénault, C., Sarr, A., Lévêque, J., Farcy, P., Ranjard, L. and Maron, P.A., 2010. In situ dynamics of microbial communities during decomposition of wheat, rape, and alfalfa residues. *Microbial ecology*, *60*(4), pp.816-828.

Paustian, K., Six, J., Elliott, E.T. and Hunt, H.W., 2000. Management options for reducing CO2

emissions from agricultural soils. *Biogeochemistry*, *48*(1), pp.147-163.

Perez, J., Munoz-Dorado, J., De la Rubia, T.D.L.R. and Martinez, J., 2002. Biodegradation and biological treatments of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin: an overview. *International microbiology*, *5*(2), pp.53-63.

Probert, M.E., Delve, R.J., Kimani, S.K. and Dimes, J.P., 2005. Modelling nitrogen mineralization from manures: representing quality aspects by varying C: N ratio of sub-pools. *Soil biology and Biochemistry*, *37*(2), pp.279-287.

Puyuelo, B., Ponsá, S., Gea, T. and Sánchez, A., 2011. Determining C/N ratios for typical organic wastes using biodegradable fractions. *Chemosphere*, *85*(4), pp.653-659.

Quemada, M. and Cabrera, M.L., 1995. Carbon and nitrogen mineralized from leaves and stems of four cover crops. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, *59*(2), pp.471-477.

Raiesi, F., 2006. Carbon and N mineralization as affected by soil cultivation and crop residue in

a calcareous wetland ecosystem in Central Iran. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, *112*(1), pp.13-20.

Redin, M., Guénon, R., Recous, S., Schmatz, R., de Freitas, L.L., Aita, C. and Giacomini, S.J.,

2014. Carbon mineralization in soil of roots from twenty crop species, as affected by their

chemical composition and botanical family. *Plant and soil*, *378*(1-2), pp.205-214.

Ritchie, H. and Roser, M., 2020. CO₂ and greenhouse gas emissions. *Our world in data*. Rousk,

J. and Bååth, E., 2007. Fungal and bacterial growth in soil with plant materials of different C/N ratios. *FEMS microbiology ecology*, *62*(3), pp.258-267.

Schmatz, R., Recous, S., Aita, C., Tahir, M.M., Schu, A.L., Chaves, B. and Giacomini, S.J.,

2017. Crop residue quality and soil type influence the priming effect but not the fate of crop residue C. *Plant and soil*, *414*(1-2), pp.229-245.

Shahandeh, H., Chou, C.Y., Hons, F.M. and Hussey, M.A., 2011. Nutrient partitioning and carbon and nitrogen mineralization of switchgrass plant parts. *Communications in soil science and plant analysis*, *42*(5), pp.599-615.

- Shakoor, A., Shakoor, S., Rehman, A., Ashraf, F., Abdullah, M., Shahzad, S.M., Farooq, T.H.,
- Ashraf, M., Manzoor, M.A., Altaf, M.M. and Altaf, M.A., 2021. Effect of animal manure,
- crop type, climate zone, and soil attributes on greenhouse gas emissions from agricultural soils—A global meta-analysis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *278*, p.124019.
- Shi, A., Penfold, C. and Marschner, P., 2013. Decomposition of roots and shoots of perennial grasses and annual barley—separately or in two residue mixes. *Biology and fertility of soils*, *49*(6), pp.673-680.
- Stewart, C.E., Moturi, P., Follett, R.F. and Halvorson, A.D., 2015. Lignin biochemistry and soil N determine crop residue decomposition and soil priming. *Biogeochemistry*, *124*(1-3), pp.335-351.
- Scott, N.A., Cole, C.V., Elliott, E.T. and Huffman, S.A., 1996. Soil textural control on decomposition and soil organic matter dynamics. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, *60*(4), pp.1102-1109.
- Stott, D.E. and Martin, J.P., 1989. Organic matter decomposition and retention in arid soils. *Arid Land Research and Management*, *3*(2), pp.115-148.
- Vachon, K. and Oelbermann, M., 2011. Crop residue input and decomposition in a temperate maize-soybean intercrop system. *Soil Science*, *176*(4), pp.157-163.
- 590 Vahdat, E., Nourbakhsh, F. and Basiri, M., 2010. Estimation of net N mineralization from short-591 term C evolution in a plant residue-amended soil: is the accuracy of estimation time-dependent?. *Soil use and management*, *26*(3), pp.340-345.
- Venter, Z.S., Hawkins, H.J., Cramer, M.D. and Mills, A.J., 2021. Mapping soil organic carbon stocks and trends with satellite-driven high resolution maps over South Africa. *Science of The Total Environment*, *771*, p.145384.

Waksman, S.A. and Gerretsen, F.C., 1931. Influence of temperature and moisture upon the nature and extent of decomposition of plant residues by microorganisms. *Ecology*, *12*(1), pp.33-60.

Wang, J.J., Pisani, O., Lin, L.H., Lun, O.O., Bowden, R.D., Lajtha, K., Simpson, A.J. and Simpson, M.J., 2017. Long-term litter manipulation alters soil organic matter turnover in a temperate deciduous forest. *Science of the Total Environment*, *607*, pp.865-875.

Wang, W.J., Baldock, J.A., Dalal, R.C. and Moody, P.W., 2004. Decomposition dynamics of

plant materials in relation to nitrogen availability and biochemistry determined by NMR and

wet-chemical analysis. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *36*(12), pp.2045-2058.

Weiß, C.H., 2007. Statsoft, inc., tulsa, ok.: Statistica, version 8.

Xiao, C., 2015. *Soil organic carbon storage (sequestration) principles and management:*

Potential role for recycled organic materials in agricultural soils of Washington State.

Waste Resources Program, Washington Department of Ecology.

Xu, J.M., Tang, C. and Chen, Z.L., 2006. Chemical composition controls residue decomposition in soils differing in initial pH. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *38*(3), pp.544-552.

Yaowu, T.I.A.N., Ning, W.A.N.G. and Jing, L.I.U., 2016. The Priming Effect of Soil Organic Carbon Induced by Nustedge. *Journal of Nuclear Agricultural Sciences*, *30*(12), p.2418.

soil: experimental technique and spectroscopic approach. *Organic geochemistry*, *33*(3), pp.327-345.

Zaccheo, P., Cabassi, G., Ricca, G. and Crippa, L., 2002. Decomposition of organic residues in

Zeng, D.H., Mao, R., Chang, S.X., Li, L.J. and Yang, D., 2010. Carbon mineralization of tree leaf

litter and crop residues from poplar-based agroforestry systems in Northeast China: a laboratory study. *Applied soil ecology*, *44*(2), pp.133-137.

Zhang, D., Hui, D., Luo, Y. and Zhou, G., 2008. Rates of litter decomposition in terrestrial ecosystems: global patterns and controlling factors. *Journal of Plant Ecology*, *1*(2), pp.85- 93.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Figure 1: Global distribution map of the study sites used in the present study.

Figure 3: CO₂ emissions from residues of different crop types incubated at different time periods (A: C_R 30; B: C_R 90; C: C_R 120; and D: 1- C_R 30/ C_R 120). Plots with the same lower-case letters are not significantly different at Chi-square probability of ≤ 0.001 (Chi and H values= 0.16. 5.24 at A; 0.08. 6.72 at B; 0.00. 13.79 at C and 0.00. 21005 at D. respectively).

Figure 4: CO₂ emissions from soils of different texture ((%) -clayey. silt and sandy soil) at different day time periods. Plots with the same lower-case letters are not significantly different at Chi-square probability of < 0.001 (Chi and H values= 0.26. 2.67 at A; 0.63. 0.92 at B; 0.10. 4.70 at C; and 0.00. 14.07 at D. respectively).

Figure 5: CO₂ emissions from soils of different pH (highly basic. neutral. slightly acidic and highly acidic) at different day time periods. Strongly acidic (pH<5.4); slightly acidic (5.5-6.4); neutral (6.5-7.4); alkaline (>7.5) soil pH. Plots with the same lower-case letters are not significantly different at Chi-square probability of ≤ 0.001 (Chi and H values= 0.01. 10.99 at A; 0.01. 12.64 at B. 0.25. 4.09 at C. and 0.00. 20.92 at D. respectively).

Figure 6: CO₂ emissions from climate of different rainfall and temperature level (as in tropical. sub-tropical and temperate (MAT. MAP) at different day time periods. Plots with the same lower-case letters are not significantly different at Chi-square probability of < 0.001 (Chi and H values= 0.84. 0.34 at A; 0.11. 4.40 at B; 0.68. 0.77 at C. and 0.00. 18.13 at D. respectively).

1 **Table 1:** References included in database with author, country, crops type, soil texture and

2 climatic zones under which the studies were conducted.

Table 3: Crop quality, soils and environmental variables classification used in the study.

Table 4: Summary Statistics of plant, soil and environmental variables along with residue CO₂

	Lignin	C: N	TC	TN	Clay	Sand	Silt	pH	SOC	MAP	MAT	C_R30	C_R90	C_R 120	1- [C _R 30/C] R120
	$\%$			$-{\bf g}$ ${\bf kg}^{-1}$ $\! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \!$					$\%$	$^{\circ}$ C mm					
$_{\rm N}$	192	304	394	365	295	259	243	333	293	386	386	394	394	394	394
Mean	9.55	58.45	13.24	9.38	29.53	37.81	32.40	6.73	13.13	906.16	17.01	196.5	519.0	914.2	0.80
Median	7.70	39.16	1.15	4.00	25.30	32.00	28.00	6.80	9.50	656.00	17.00	138.0	415.6	795.0	0.80
Min.	0.02	7.80	0.04	0.01	2.00	1.00	1.00	3.87	0.50	89.40	3.90	0.3	1.1	3.2	0.49
Max.	29.40	409.00	165.76	407.00	77.30	96.00	83.00	8.60	39.00	2500.00	30.00	920.1	3205	3640	0.98
Q1	5.35	20.30	0.40	0.90	14.30	17.90	16.30	5.60	8.41	551.00	10.00	31.0	118.4	200.4	0.75
Q ₃	12.55	75.40	4.12	11.00	40.00	60.00	47.00	7.66	17.40	1095.00	24.00	304.9	858.9	1431.0	0.86
Variance	36.07	3257.25	1164.81	592.74	370.64	737.14	483.34	1.34	65.33	263814.21	46.19	37353.5	213397	585331	0.01
SD.	6.01	57.07	34.13	24.35	19.25	27.15	21.99	1.16	8.08	513.63	6.80	193.3	461.9	765.1	0.07
SE.	0.43	3.27	1.72	1.27	1.12	1.69	1.41	0.06	0.47	26.14	0.35	7.7	18.4	30.5	0.00
CV	63.30	98.10	13.70	262.70	64.90	75.40	67.60	17.30	61.60	56.50	40.30	98.4	89.0	83.7	9.11
Skewness	1.06	2.52	2.97	12.47	0.92	0.51	0.68	-0.30	1.04	1.15	0.10	1.07	1.10	0.73	-0.25
Kurtosis	0.83	8.30	7.69	197.12	0.13	-0.79	-0.32	-1.03	0.69	0.51	-1.12	0.58	2.11	-0.15	-0.23

emissions in different time period.

l,

Statistics: Min and Max =minimum and maximum, respectively. Q1 and Q3= first and third quartile, SD = standard deviation. C emission variables: C_R30 ; C_R90 ; C_R120 for cumulative residue CO₂ emissions to day 30, 90 and 120. Crop quality: lignin; C: N ratio; TC= total residue carbon; TN= total residue nitrogen. Soil variables: Clay concentration; sand concentration; silt concentration; soil pH (CaCl). SOC= soil organic carbon concentration; Climatic variables: MAP= mean annual precipitation; MAT= mean annuat temperature. "†" values are not zero. but rounded off to one decimal place.

Table 5: Sample sizes of crop quality (n), soil and climatic factor categories in association with carbon emissions from residues.

		C_R30				C_R90			C_R 120		$1-[C_R30/C_R120]$		
Crop type	Crop	$\mathbf n$	Mean	STDEV	$\mathbf n$	Mean	STDEV	$\mathbf n$	Mean	STDEV	$\mathbf n$	Mean	STDEV
	Overall	394	196.3	193.3	394	518.8	461.95	394	914.2	765.07	394	0.81	$\overline{0.07}$
	Barley	9	177.7	156.8	9	547.2	436.1	9	1005	778.6	9	0.84	0.05
Cereal	Maize	59	85.8	124.3	59	275.1	319.8	59	495.0	541.7	59	0.84	0.08
	Oat	8	212.1	190.8	8	501.9	425.5	$8\,$	872.7	724.5	8	0.79	0.06
	Rice	10	251.9	255.7	10	657.1	662.3	10	1211	1196.6	10	0.82	0.08
	Rye	12	199.3	190.8	12	501.6	453.5	12	824.2	729.5	12	0.77	0.04
	Sorghum	16	261.1	218.8	16	714	496.7	16	1303	855.9	16	0.83	0.08
	Wheat	81	196.3	194.8	81	533.6	452.3	81	950.7	791.8	81	0.81	$0.07\,$
Grass	Grass	$\overline{54}$	217	184.9	54	529.7	420.3	54	946.8	734.2	$\overline{54}$	0.79	0.06
	Alfalfa	24	359.0	262.7	$\overline{24}$	796.0	515.0	24	1319.0	801.0	24	0.76	0.07
	Bean	12	223.7	239.9	12	538.7	471.0	12	962.1	667.0	12	0.80	0.06
Legume	Clover	26	184.2	139.6	26	580.6	661.0	26	940.3	866.5	26	0.78	0.06
	Pea	27	184.2	152.7	27	452	343.8	27	809	554.1	27	0.78	0.07
	Soyabean	23	207.8	157.0	23	577.5	401.7	23	1039	715.6	23	0.81	0.10
	Vetch	$\,8\,$	190.2	182.6	8	531.1	433.4	8	870.4	696.1	8	0.80	0.05
Oilseed	Canola	$\overline{8}$	293.5	327.3	8	670.5	717.3	8	1211	1184.5	8	0.79	0.07
	Cotton	9	135.5	98.8	9	442.5	281.0	9	841.1	513.9	9	0.85	0.03
	Sunflower	8	166.5	208.7	8	454.2	451.7	8	792.5	667.4	8	0.84	0.10

Table 6: Sample sizes of crop type categories associated with residue carbon emission variables.

 C_R 30. C_R 90 and C_R 120=Amount of CO_2 emitted from crop residues to day 30, 90 and 120 of the experiment, respectively.

	Lignin	C: N	TC	TN	Clay	Sand	Silt	pH	SOC	MAP	MAT	C_R30	C_R90	C_R 120	$1-$ [CR30/CR1]
Lignin	1.00														
C: N	0.15	1.00													
TC	-0.06	-0.06	1.00												
TN	0.03	-0.19	-0.02	1.00											
Clay	-0.08	-0.11	$0.51*$	-0.19	1.00										
Sand	-0.02	-0.15	-0.42	$0.32*$	$-0.64*$	1.00									
Silt	0.10	$0.30*$	-0.02	-0.19	$-0.26*$	$-0.57*$	1.00								
pH	0.02	0.15	0.16	$-0.63*$	$0.26*$	$-0.45*$	$0.29*$	1.00							
SOC	-0.03	0.03	$0.23*$	-0.10	$0.31*$	$-0.37*$	0.14	-0.08	1.00						
MAP	-0.02	-0.03	-0.16	0.19	$-0.41*$	$0.4*$	-0.07	$-0.58*$	-0.20	1.00					
MAT	$0.00\,$	-0.20	-0.21	0.16	$-0.40*$	$0.41*$	-0.08	$-0.40*$	0.03	$0.43*$	1.00				
C_R30	$-0.08*$	$-0.14*$	$0.59*$	-0.22	$0.34*$	$-0.38*$	0.12	$0.38*$	0.15	$-0.31*$	0.07	1.00			
C_R90	$-0.11*$	$-0.10*$	$0.46*$	$-0.28*$	$0.26*$	$-0.32*$	0.13	$0.44*$	0.09	$-0.32*$	0.10	$0.96*$	1.00		
C_R 120	$-0.12*$	$-0.09*$	$0.40*$	$-0.26*$	0.22	$-0.29*$	0.14	$0.42*$	0.07	$-0.31*$	0.10	$0.93*$	$0.99*$	1.00	
1-[CR30/CR120]	0.15	$-0.07*$	$0.37*$	0.11	0.10	-0.12	0.05	-0.19	$0.27*$	0.19	0.21	$0.27*$	0.07	-0.03	1.00

Table 7: Correlation matrix statistic table of plant, soil and climatic influence on residue CO₂

emissions.

Residue CO₂ emission to day 30, 90 and 120 of the experiment. lignin: residue lignin concentration; C:N: residue carbon to nitrogen ratio; TC: Initial residue carbon concentration; Clay. sand & silt: soil texture based on clay fraction (%clay); pH: soil pH (KCl); SOC: soil organic carbon concentration; MAP&MAT: climatic factors-mean annual precipitation and mean annual temperature. * Significant at p<0.05.

Crop	$\%$
Alfalfa	58
Barley	44
Bean	25
Canola	63
Clover	27
Cotton	44
Grass	48
Maize	25
Oat	50
Pea	33
Rice	50
Rye	58
Sorghum	56
Soyabean	48
Sunflower	25
Vetch	38
Wheat	36
Mean	43

Table 8: Proportion of data points with "priming", i.e. with a 120 days cumulative C-CO₂ emissions above the amount of C added to the soil.