

Discovery of potent dual ligands for dopamine D4 and $\sigma\mathbf{1}$ receptors

Nicolas Levoin, A Murthy, Vennu Narendar, Nangunoori Sampath Kumar, Pasula Aparna, Anagani Kanaka Durga Bhavani, Chada Raji Reddy, Paul Mosset, René Grée

▶ To cite this version:

Nicolas Levoin, A Murthy, Vennu Narendar, Nangunoori Sampath Kumar, Pasula Aparna, et al.. Discovery of potent dual ligands for dopamine D4 and $\sigma1$ receptors. Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry, 2022, 69, pp.116851. 10.1016/j.bmc.2022.116851. hal-03716651

HAL Id: hal-03716651 https://hal.science/hal-03716651

Submitted on 2 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Discovery of potent dual ligands for Dopamine D4 and σ 1 receptors

Graphical Abstract

 $rac{1}{\sigma 1R}$

Discovery of potent dual ligands for Dopamine D4 and o1 receptors

Nicolas Levoin,^{a*} Appala Venkata Ramana Murthy,^{b,c} Vennu Narendar,^b Nangunoori Sampath Kumar,^b Pasula Aparna,^c Anagani Kanaka Durga Bhavani,^{d*} Chada Raji Reddy,^e Paul Mosset,^f René Grée,^{f*}

^a Bioprojet-Biotech, 4 rue du Chesnay Beauregard, BP 96205, 35762 Saint Grégoire, France.

^b Chemveda Life Sciences India Pvt. Ltd., #B-11/1, IDA Uppal, Hyderabad-500039, Telangana, India

^c Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University Hyderabad, Kukatpally, Hyderabad-500 085, Telangana, India

^d Osmania University, Department of Chemistry, Hyderabad 500007, Telangana, India

^e Department of Organic Synthesis & Process Chemistry, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Technology,

Hyderabad 500007, India

^f Univ Rennes, CNRS, ISCR (Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes), UMR 6226, F-35000 Rennes, France.

Corresponding authors:

Dr Nicolas Levoin: e-mail: <u>nicolas.levoin@bioprojet.com</u> Pr A.K. Durga Bhavani: e-mail: <u>akdbhavani4ou@gmail.com</u> Dr.René Grée: e-mail: <u>rene.gree@univ-rennes1.fr</u>

Keywords: Sigma1; dopamine D4, receptor, piperidine, triazole, hybrids, molecular modelling, molecular dynamics, polypharmacology

Abstract

During our work on exploration of molecules with some piperidine-triazole scaffolds, we realized that our compounds display chemical similarity with some σ , as well as dopaminergic receptor ligands. Here we show that this series of molecules has indeed strong affinity both for σ 1 and dopamine D4 receptors. Moreover, they appear selective towards σ 2, dopamine paralogues D1, D2, D3 and D5 receptors and hERG channel. Extensive molecular dynamics with our lead compound AVRM-13 were carried out on σ 1, supporting agonist activity of the ligand. Unexpectedly, several observations suggested the existence of a cation binding domain, a probable regulatory site for calcium.

Introduction

The odd protein σ 1, so-called "non-opioid σ receptor" is a poorly known protein involved in many cellular functions. Among them, it seems to act as a protein function modulator, amplifying or reducing the signaling initiated by other proteins.^{1,2,3} It was also shown to constitute a chaperone protein, protecting cells from the aggregation of misfolded proteins in the endoplasmic reticulum, such as low-density lipoprotein, insulin or brain-derived neurotrophic factor.⁴

Since its discovery in the mid-1970 as a SKF-10.047 binding protein,^{5,6} σ 1 was shown to be a membrane protein located mainly in the endoplasmic reticulum,⁷ but also in nuclear and mitochondria associated membranes, where it regulates Ca²⁺ fluxes.⁸ Moreover, σ 1 interacts with many proteins such as ion channels and GPCR.^{2,3} It was cloned in 1996,⁹ and its 3D structure was solved recently through X-ray determination.¹⁰ According to the X-ray structure, σ 1 is a homotrimeric protein whom each protomer consists of a single TM helix and a cytosolic globular domain encompassing a ligand binding site. The endogenous ligand is unknown, but several molecules have been suggested to play this role, such as dimethyltryptamine, *D*-erythro-sphingosine, choline and neurosteroids (e.g. progesterone). However, the above molecules have too low affinity to be conclusively considered as natural ligands. The precise consequence of ligand binding to σ 1 are not definitely known, but it is considered that ligand causing the dissociation of high order oligomeric states of the receptor, as well as unbinding of σ 1 protein partners, such as ANK2, ITPR3, BIP, ion channels or receptors¹¹ are agonists.

Apart from the initial opioid ligands, several CNS-targeting molecules in clinical use were shown to bind σ 1, with strong to moderate affinity, such a pentazocine, haloperidol, pridopidine, blarcamesine, dextromethorphan or antidepressants (e.g. imipramine, fluoxetine). It is currently uncertain if this interaction participates in the mode of action of these drugs. Many biological data and observations suggested that σ 1 could be a promising target in neurodegenerative diseases,¹² such as Huntington's, Alzheimer's or Parkinson's diseases,¹¹ and several selective σ 1 ligands have recently entered clinical trials, such as pridopidine or blarcamesine.

On the other hand, many CNS illnesses, such as neuropsychiatric diseases have been associated with a deregulation of dopamine-releasing neurons. Hence decades ago, there was a great enthusiasm around dopamine D4 receptors when it was discovered that the antipsychotic drug clozapine was a D4 selective ligand, while in the meantime D4 receptor expression was higher in schizophrenic patients than in healthy people. Unfortunately, disappointing clinical trials with a drug candidate from Merck have dampened the enthusiasm. In a new turnaround however, recent advances have renewed the interest of D4 as a promising target,¹³ with the identification of links between D4 and addiction. Genetic association studies in humans, D4 KO mice as well as pharmacological studies, converged on a crucial implication of the receptor in addictive behavior.¹⁴ For example, the D4 selective antagonist L745870 reduced ethanol intake and stress-induced reinstatement *in vivo.*¹⁵ Several links have also been found between D4 and neurodegenerative pathologies. For example, D4 gene methylation is associated with an increased risk of Alzheimer's disease in human.¹⁶ In rats, *in vivo* models showed that D4 ligands altered the non-motion symptoms of Parkinson's diseases.^{17,18}

During the course of our recent work around piperidine-triazole hybrids,^{19,20} we realized that our AVRM derivatives show significant similarity with a class of dopaminergic, as well as o1 receptor ligands (Fig. 1). This appeared to us as a great pharmacological opportunity, because it is nowadays commonly estimated that polypharmacology, or multi-target directed ligands (MTDL) should be a more fruitful approach than classical one-target / one-ligand paradigm.²¹ This seems especially true for complex diseases such as CNS pathologies, as supported by the profile of recent FDA-approved drugs.²²



D4R Ligands



Ex1, R=H, Ki=11nM, Tc=0.78 Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1999, *9*, 1285

σ1R Ligands



Ex1,R²=H, Ki=3.9nM, Tc=0.81 J. Med. Chem. 1998, *41*, 2361



J. Med. Chem. 2017, 60, 7233



Ex20, R²=H, Ki=0.7nM, Tc=0.77 J Med. Chem. 2020, *63*, 15163

Fig. 1 AVRM series and representative examples of ligands for Dopamine D4 or σ1 receptors. Biological data of one example are given, as well as the Tanimoto coefficient (Tc) as compared to AVRM13 (ECFC_6 fingerprint)

We report in the present work the complete characterization of σ and dopaminergic receptors profile of our lead AVRM-13 and parent molecules, with exploration of their interactions with the σ 1 receptor by use of extensive molecular dynamic studies starting from the crystal structure of this receptor.

Results and discussion

Our lead compound AVRM-13 was first tested on a panel of human dopamine and sigma receptors (Fig. 2). It turned out to be very much more potent towards D4 than the four other dopamine receptors. On the other hand, as concerns σ , it appeared to be a stronger ligand for σ 1 than σ 2. We next confirmed these results by determination of the affinity: the Ki of AVRM-13 for receptor D₂₅ is 6.3 μ M, for D₃ > 10 μ M, and for D₄ = 260 nM. Further, AVRM-13 showed stronger binding to σ 1 receptor (Ki = 1.4 nM).



Fig. 2 screening of AVRM-13 on a panel of dopamine and σ receptors. The compound was tested at 3 μ M against reference ligand for each receptor.²²

Then we selected several close analogues of AVRM-13 to be tested on the most promising targets, namely D_4 and $\sigma 1$ receptors. The results are given in Fig. 3 and some of them are even more potent that our lead, with estimated Ki calculated in Table 1.²³ Particularly, the *para*-bromo substituent of one benzyle is very favorable for D4R. For the other benzyle, polar substituent is disadvantaged. This structure-activity relationship is in complete agreement with docking experiments. AVRM-13 fitted well into the D4R orthosteric binding site, and docking suggested two binding modes, with head-to-tail orientation (Fig. 4A). In the first, the benzylpiperidine occupied the extracellular end of the binding site. In the second, it is engaged within the TM helices. The *para*-bromo substituent of AVRM-01 strongly favored the first, with the *para*-bromo benzyl deeply buried into the intra-TM cavity. The cationic piperidine formed a salt bridge with D115, and the proximal benzyl is in a subcavity located between TM2 and TM3. The triazole formed perpendicular π -stacking with H414, and the distal benzyl with F410 and / or F411 (Fig. 4B).



Fig. 3 Screening of AVRM-13 analogues on dopamine D4 receptors (left, tested at 0.7 μ M) and σ 1 receptor (right, tested at 5 nM).

Table 1.

Estimated Ki for affinity of AVRM molecules against the dopamine D4 and σ 1 receptors based on the above one-dose testing.²³



	R1	R ²	D ₄ R	σ1	
			nM		
AVRM-01	Н	Br	6	1,5	
AVRM-03	NO_2	Br	11	12	
AVRM-04	F	Br	6	2	
AVRM-05	$\rm NH_2$	Br	144	3,3	



Fig. 4A The docking of AVRM-13 suggested two possible binding orientation in the D4R. **4B** Details of the AVRM-01 binding mode, with important amino-acids labelled.

Next pharmacokinetic properties of the compounds were predicted *in silico* using QikProp (Schrödinger LL, NY, 2020). Particularly, we calculated their permeability with Caco-2 (a model of the gut-blood barrier) and MDCK cells (considered to be a good mimic for the blood-brain barrier). Their penetration are in line with drugs of high bioavailability (Table 2),^{24,25} particularly for AVRM-13, -01 and -04 (P> 500 nm/s).²⁶ The cationic and aromatic nature of the series make these molecules possible hERG inhibitors. However, experimental determination of AVRM-13 activity revealed a very weak inhibitor (Ki = 4.6 μ M). Taken together, these results suggest that our compounds might have very favorable properties for further *in vivo* exploration.

Table 2

	R ¹	R ²	MW	clogP	Ro5	P _{Caco-2}	P _{MDCK}	oral absorption	logBB
						(nn	n/s)	%	
AVRM-13	Н	Н	332,4	4,4	0	1014	556	100	0,3
AVRM-01	н	Br	411,3	5	0	1014	1473	100	0,5
AVRM-03	NO ₂	Br	456,3	4,1	0	117	143	88	-0,7
AVRM-04	F	Br	429,3	5,2	1	1015	2659	100	0,6
AVRM-05	NH ₂	Br	426,4	4,1	0	244	315	94	-0,3

Predicted parameters and pharmacokinetic properties of the compounds. P_{Caco-2}: apparent Caco-2 cell permeability. P_{MDCK}: apparent MDCK cell permeability. logBB: brain/blood partition coefficient.

Although σ 1 is a very singular protein with unknown natural ligand yet, several of its xenobiotic ligands have been described as agonists or antagonists based on the different biological consequences of their binding. At the molecular level, agonists are thought to trigger, or at least facilitate the dissociation of the receptor oligomers. On the contrary, antagonists favor high-molecular-weight species of the receptor.^{27,28,29} Comparison of the crystal structure of σ 1 bound to agonists or antagonists revealed structural differences: (i) a displacement of A185, whom methyl group interacts with phenyl of the agonist, (ii) causing an 1.8 Å increase in the distance between helix α 4 and helix α 5, (iii) and finally a loss of the inter-chain hydrogen bond between A183 and Q194.³⁰ These modifications in agonist-bound receptor can be seen as early events of the protomer dissociation.

Unfortunately, the experiences needed to show oligomeric shifts in proteins are very tricky and timeconsuming. So in order to characterize AVRM-13 activity, we used simulations and undertook molecular dynamics experiments. The compound was first docked in the crystal structure of trimeric σ 1 (PDB: 5HK1).¹⁰ The complex was inserted in a solvated bilayer membrane, assuming that the peptide R₈WAWAALLLAVAAVLTQVVWLWL₃₀ is a transmembrane helix and that the two segments helix α 4 (R175-F191) and helix α 5 (F196-T216) float just above the membrane (Fig. 5).



Fig.5 σ1 complex used for molecular dynamics experiments. AVRM-13 (white and blue spheres) was docked into the three protomers of σ1 receptor (colored ribbons) inserted in a lipid bilayer (water and ions are hidden). In the insert, details of docked AVRM-13.

After equilibration, the system was free to evolve during 2 μ s. It turned out to be remarkably stable, with the three ligands strongly bound to the binding sites. However, we noticed a general raising of the ligands within their pocket. This seems to be due to the formation of a sulfur/ π interaction,^{31,32,33,34} between M93 and the ligand triazole (Suppl. Fig. 1). The interaction which was absent in the initial conformation of the complex, appeared quickly, within the first 5 ns, and it is maintained till the end of the simulation. We observed that A185 moved away quickly from the ligand, and that distance between helix α 4 and helix α 5 increased gradually (Fig. 6).



Fig. 6 Conformational rearrangements of the σ 1 receptor after AVRM-13 binding, as seen in one protomer. Distance between A185 and the triazole-bound benzyle of AVRM-13 (left). Distance between helix α 4 and helix α 5 (T189 / F196 distance, right).

These modifications propagated to the cytosolic end of the binding site and caused a reorganization of the packing of this aromatic-rich region, as well as a slight unfolding of helix $\alpha 4 V_{177}IPSTLAFALADTVF_{191}$ (Fig. 7, Suppl. Fig. 2).





On the whole, all these movements resulted in an expansion of the binding site, with a nearly 2-fold increase between the initial and final state of our simulation (Fig. 8A, Suppl. Table 1). Inflation of the three ligand binding sites made the protomers moving away from each other, and loss of the initial hydrogen bond between A183 and Q194 (Fig. 8B). Separation of the three protomers is relatively small, but it might constitute the preliminary step of a dissociation event that takes a longer time-scale than our 2 μ s simulation. Since dissociation of o1 oligomers is a distinctive feature of agonists, the molecular dynamics experiment suggested that AVRM-13 acts as a o1 agonist. However, further study will be needed to

increase the duration of the experiment and to compare the behaviour of $\sigma 1$ receptor in presence of reference agonists and antagonists.



Fig. 8 (A, left) Volume evolution of the interface between the three protomers. A logarithmic function is the best fit to the dots (thin line, $r^2 = 0.6$). (B, right) Distance between A183 and Q194 of two adjacent protomers during molecular dynamics.

Fortuitously, we noticed that the Na⁺ ions used to equilibrate the electric charges of the system were sometimes trapped into a small cavity located at the junction between the TM helix and the two helices α 4 and α 5 forming the floor of the binding site (Fig. 9). This pocket was empty at the beginning of the simulation. For one protomer, a sodium ion bound at 120 ns and remained here for 53 % of the simulation; the site of other protomers were less frequently occupied. It was bound essentially through a salt bridge with E213, and to a lesser extent to E202. Remarkably, the binding and unbinding events seemed correlated with stabilization / destabilization of the protomer structure (Fig. 10). Since the σ 1 receptor has been described as a calcium fluxes regulator,⁸ this cation pocket could constitute a Ca²⁺ sensor domain, notably because it is present 3 times in the trimeric σ 1 structure. Hence the response of the receptor, via modification of its conformation, could be different if only one, two or three calcium sites are occupied, proportionally to the Ca²⁺ concentration. Moreover, only the loop A₉₈SLSE₁₀₂ separates the cation and ligand binding sites, so it is easy to understand the convergent effect of ligand binding and calcium depletion.⁴ In a future work, it should be very interesting to investigate experimentally if the cavity we identified constitutes actually an allosteric site for Ca²⁺ ions and to understand its functional effect on the receptor.



Fig. 9 Identification of a cation binding site in the trimeric o1 structure (left) during molecular dynamics. The Na⁺ are shown as purple spheres and AVRM-13 ligands as colored surface. On the right, details of the ion binding pocket.



Fig. 10 Na⁺ binding and unbinding to E213 (filled bars: ion bound, empty bars: unbound) superposed to the radius of gyration (calculated for α-carbons of the protomer), as an outward sign of protein flexibility.

Conclusion

During the course of our work on inhibitors of Bcl-xL protein-protein interactions, we noticed that our lead inhibitor AVRM-13 was structurally related to some ligands of dopamine and σ receptors. Here we showed that molecules in this series have indeed a strong affinity for both D4 and σ 1 receptors. They are in the same activity range than several previously reported D4 ligands, also found to have σ 1 affinity.³⁵ There is currently a great hope in medicinal chemistry around multi-target-directed-ligands (MTDL), particularly for CNS disorders. Recently for example, a macromolecular complex involving dopamine D1, ghrelin 1A and σ 1 receptors has been evidenced and suggested to mediate the anorexic effect of cocaine.³⁶ MTDL implicating other dopamine receptors has been recently substantiated by cariprazine, a 5-HT2B/D2/D3 receptor ligand,³⁷ which has received a marketing authorization in the US for schizophrenia and bipolar disorders. The MTDL strategy involving σ 1 has also recently associated histamine H3,³⁸ or μ opioid receptor.³⁹ Further work will be needed to probe the full therapeutic potential of a D4 and σ 1 dual ligand, but it might be very promising, as judged by the prominent role of both receptors in CNS functions.

Chemistry

The synthesis together with the spectral and analytical properties of the molecules used in this paper have been fully reported in the reference 19.

Molecular modeling Material & Method

Molecular docking was performed with Glide, using the standard precision mode (enhanced sampling for ligand conformers, enhanced planarity for conjugated π -group, post-docking minimization and strain correction term for final scoring). The OPLS3e force field was used. The crystal structure PDB: 5WIUwas used for D4R,⁴⁰ and PDB: 5HK1 for σ 1R.¹⁰

For molecular dynamics experiment, AVRM-13 was first docked onto each of the three protomers. Then the complex was inserted in a solvent box constituted of a solvated POPC bilayer membrane of about 120 x 120 x 146 Å. (4 Na⁺ and nearly 49500 water molecules for a total of 216311 atoms). Using periodic boundary conditions, molecular dynamics started after (i) energy minimization for 0.3 ns with restraints for protein and ligand (only ions and water were allowed to move, (ii) energy minimization for 0.3 ns with restraints on protein backbone (iii) 0.1 ns equilibration in NPT conditions (300 K, 1 bar), using the RESPA integrator (2 fs / 6 fs time steps for near or far atoms), and a cut-off radius of 9 Å for Coulombic interactions, keeping protein backbone restraints (iv) production for 2 μ s in the same conditions, without any restraint. Statistical parameters were calculated with Desmond, as implemented in Maestro v. 12.4 (Schrödinger LLC, San Diego, CA).

Biochemistry Material & Method

Biological testings were performed by Eurofins (Eurofins-Cerep, Le Bois l'Evêque, 86600 Celle l'Evescault, FRANCE). Percentage inhibition and Ki of the tested compounds were determined by competition with reference tritiated ligands, using the condition reported in the following table:

protein	reference tritiated ligand	concentration (nM)	incubation time (min)	temperature
D_1R	SCH 23390	0,3	60	RT
D_2R	methyl-spiperone	0,3	60	RT
D ₃ R	methyl-spiperone	0,3	60	RT
D_4R	methyl-spiperone	0,3	60	RT
D₅R	SCH 23390	0,3	60	RT
$\sigma_1 R$	(+) pentazocine	15	120	RT
$\sigma_2 R$	(+) pentazocine + DTG	1000 + 25	60	RT
hERG	dofetilide	10	60	RT

DTG is 1,3 di-ortho-tolylguanidine

For hERG assay, HEK293 cells were stably transfected with the human hERG receptor gene. Binding assays were performed using 10 μ g of cell membranes suspended in 10mM Na-Hepes pH 7.4, 135 mM NaCl, 60 mM DL-Aspartic Acid Potassium, 1 mM EGTA, 0.8 mM MgCl2, 10 mM (D+)-Glucose.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

We thank Chemveda Life Sciences for providing laboratory facility for carrying these research experiments. This research has been performed also as part of the Indo-French "*Joint Laboratory for Natural Products and Synthesis towards Affordable Health*". We thank CSIR, CNRS and University of Rennes 1 for their support. We thank Osmania University for the financial assistance under UGC-UPE FAR, SAP, OU-DST PURSE-II Programme, sanction letter no.C-DST-PURSE-II/15/2019. We thank Mr Aurélien Boudeau (Biotech Bioprojet) for biochemical studies.

Supplementary material

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https

References

¹ Maurice T, Su TP. The pharmacology of sigma-1 receptors. *Pharmacol Ther.* **2009**, 124(2):195-206.

² Kim FJ, Kovalyshyn I, Burgman M, Neilan C, Chien CC, Pasternak GW. Sigma 1 receptor modulation of G-proteincoupled receptor signaling: potentiation of opioid transduction independent from receptor binding. *Mol Pharmacol.* **2010**, 77(4):695-703.

³ Navarro G, Moreno E, Aymerich M, Marcellino D, McCormick PJ, Mallol J, Cortés A, Casadó V, Canela EI, Ortiz J, Fuxe K, Lluís C, Ferré S, Franco R. Direct involvement of sigma-1 receptors in the dopamine D1 receptor-mediated effects of cocaine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* **2010**, 107(43):18676-18681.

⁴ Hayashi T, Su TP. Sigma-1 receptor chaperones at the ER-mitochondrion interface regulate Ca(2+) signaling and cell survival. *Cell*. **2007**, 131(3):596-610.

⁵ Martin, W. R.; Eades, C. G.; Thompson, J. A.; Huppler, R. E.; Gilbert, P. E. The effects of morphine- and nalorphinelike drugs in the nondependent and morphine-dependent chronic spinal dog. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **1976**, *197*, 517–532.

⁶ Su, T. P. Psychotomimetic opioid binding: specific binding of [3H]SKF-10047 to etorphine-inaccessible sites in guinea-pig brain. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **1981**, *75*, 81–82.

⁷ Sharma N, Patel C, Shenkman M, Kessel A, Ben-Tal N, Lederkremer GZ. The Sigma-1 receptor is an ER-localized type II membrane protein. *J Biol Chem*. **2021**, 297(5):101299.

⁸ Hayashi T, Maurice T, Su TP. Ca(2+) signaling via sigma(1)-receptors: novel regulatory mechanism affecting intracellular Ca(2+) concentration. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. **2000**, 293(3):788-98.

⁹ Hanner, M.; Moebius, F. F.; Flandorfer, A.; Knaus, H. G.; Striessnig, J.; Kempner, E.; Glossmann, H. Purification, molecular cloning, and expression of the mammalian sigma1-binding site. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1996**, *93*, 8072–8077.

¹⁰ Schmidt, H. R.; Zheng, S.; Gurpinar, E.; Koehl, A.; Manglik, A.; Kruse, A. C. Crystal structure of the human σ1 receptor. *Nature* **2016**, *532*, 527–530.

¹¹ Ryskamp Daniel A., Korban Svetlana, Zhemkov Vladimir, Kraskovskaya Nina, Bezprozvanny Ilya; Neuronal Sigma-1 Receptors: Signaling Functions and Protective Roles in Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, **2019**, *13*, 862.

¹² Ye N, Qin W, Tian S, Xu Q, Wold EA, Zhou J, Zhen XC. Small Molecules Selectively Targeting Sigma-1 Receptor for the Treatment of Neurological Diseases. *J Med Chem.* **2020**, 63(24):15187-15217.

¹³ Lindsley C. W., Hopkins, C. R. Return of Dopamine receptor antagonists in Drug discovery, *J. Med. Chem.* **2017**, *60*, 7233-7243.

¹⁴ Di Ciano P, Grandy DK, Le Foll B. Dopamine D4 receptors in psychostimulant addiction. *Adv Pharmacol.* **2014**, *69*, 301-321.

¹⁵ Kim A, Di Ciano P, Pushparaj A, Leca J, Le Foll B. The effects of dopamine D4 receptor ligands on operant alcohol self-administration and cue- and stress-induced reinstatement in rats. *Eur J Pharmacol.* **2020**, 867, 172838.

¹⁶ Ji H, Wang Y, Jiang D, Liu G, Xu X, Dai D, Zhou X, Cui W, Li J, Chen Z, Li Y, Zhou D, Zha Q, Zhuo R, Jiang L, Liu Y, Shen L, Zhang B, Xu L, Hu H, Zhang Y, Yin H, Duan S, Wang Q. Elevated DRD4 promoter methylation increases the risk of Alzheimer's disease in males. *Mol Med Rep.* **2016**, *14*(3), 2732-2738.

¹⁷ Hui Y, Du C, Xu T, Zhang Q, Tan H, Liu J. Dopamine D₄ receptors in the lateral habenula regulate depression-related behaviors via a pre-synaptic mechanism in experimental Parkinson's disease. *Neurochem Int.* **2020**, *140*, 104844.

¹⁸ for the synthesis of closely related molecules and docking studies on the dopaminergic D2 receptor see: Dawood RS, Dayl SA. Synthesis, identification and molecular docking studies of N-functionalized piperidine derivatives linked to 1,2,3-triazole ring. *Synthetic Commun.* **2020**, *50*, 2422-2431.

¹⁹ Ramana Murthy AV, Narendar V, Kumar NS, Aparna P, Durga Bhavani AK, Gautier F, Barillé-Nion S, Juin P, Mosset P, Grée R, Levoin N. Targeting PUMA/Bcl-xL interaction by new specific compounds to unleash apoptotic process in cancer cells. *Eur J Med Chem*. **2019**, 162:334-347.

²⁰ For a recent review on triazole hybrids, see: Z. Xu, S-J. Zhao, Y. Liu, 1,2,3-triazole-containing hybrids as potential anticancer agents: current developments, action mechanisms and structure-activity relationships, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2019**, 183:11700 and references cited therein.

²¹ Cavalli A, Bolognesi ML, Minarini A, Rosini M, Tumiatti V, Recanatini M, Melchiorre C. Multi-target-directed ligands to combat neurodegenerative diseases. *J Med Chem.* **2008**, 51(3):347-372.

²² Tao Wang, Xiao-huan Liu, Jing Guan, Shun Ge, Mian-Bin Wu, Jian-ping Lin, Li-rong Yang, Advancement of multitarget drug discoveries and promising applications in the field of Alzheimer's disease *Eur J Med Chem*, **2019**, *169*, 200-223.

 23 IC₅₀ were estimated from % inhibition at single concentration using the logit function (2), then converted to Ki with the Cheng-Prusoff equation (1):

$$Ki = \frac{IC50}{1 + \frac{[ligand]}{Kd}}$$
(1) Est IC50 # $\frac{100 - \% \text{ inhibition}}{\% \text{ inhibition}}$ x concentration (2)

²⁴ Lomize AL, Pogozheva ID. Physics-Based Method for Modeling Passive Membrane Permeability and Translocation Pathways of Bioactive Molecules. *J Chem Inf Model*. **2019**, 59(7):3198-3213.

²⁵ Hellinger E, Veszelka S, Tóth AE, Walter F, Kittel A, Bakk ML, Tihanyi K, Háda V, Nakagawa S, Duy TD, Niwa M, Deli MA, Vastag M. Comparison of brain capillary endothelial cell-based and epithelial (MDCK-MDR1, Caco-2, and VB-Caco-2) cell-based surrogate blood-brain barrier penetration models. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm*. **2012**, 82(2):340-351.

²⁶ Cabrera-Pérez MÁ, Pham-The H, Cervera MF, Hernández-Armengol R, Miranda-Pérez de Alejo C, Brito-Ferrer Y. Integrating theoretical and experimental permeability estimations for provisional biopharmaceutical classification: Application to the WHO essential medicines. *Biopharm Drug Dispos*. **2018**, 39(7):354-368.

²⁷ Gromek KA, Suchy FP, Meddaugh HR, Wrobel RL, LaPointe LM, Chu UB, Primm JG, Ruoho AE, Senes A, Fox BG. The oligomeric states of the purified sigma-1 receptor are stabilized by ligands. *J Biol Chem.* **2014**, 289(29):20333-20344.

²⁸ Mishra AK, Mavlyutov T, Singh DR, Biener G, Yang J, Oliver JA, Ruoho A, Raicu V. The sigma-1 receptors are present in monomeric and oligomeric forms in living cells in the presence and absence of ligands. *Biochem J.* **2015**, 466(2):263-271.

²⁹ Hong WC, Yano H, Hiranita T, Chin FT, McCurdy CR, Su TP, Amara SG, Katz JL. The sigma-1 receptor modulates dopamine transporter conformation and cocaine binding and may thereby potentiate cocaine self-administration in rats. *J Biol Chem*. **2017**, 292(27):11250-11261.

³⁰ Schmidt HR, Betz RM, Dror RO, Kruse AC. Structural basis for σ_1 receptor ligand recognition. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* **2018**, 25(10):981-987.

³¹ Imai YN, Inoue Y, Yamamoto Y. Propensities of polar and aromatic amino acids in noncanonical interactions: nonbonded contacts analysis of protein-ligand complexes in crystal structures. *J Med Chem.* **2007** 50(6):1189-1196

³² Bissantz C, Kuhn B, Stahl M. A medicinal chemist's guide to molecular interactions. *J Med Chem.* **2010** 53(14):5061-5084. ³³ Beno BR, Yeung KS, Bartberger MD, Pennington LD, Meanwell NA. A Survey of the Role of Noncovalent Sulfur Interactions in Drug Design. *J Med Chem.* **2015** 58(11):4383-4438.

³⁴ Kuhn B, Gilberg E, Taylor R, Cole J, Korb O. How Significant Are Unusual Protein-Ligand Interactions? Insights from Database Mining. *J Med Chem*. **2019** 62(22):10441-10455.

³⁵ Lee IT, Chen S, Schetz JA. An unambiguous assay for the cloned human sigma1 receptor reveals high affinity interactions with dopamine D4 receptor selective compounds and a distinct structure-affinity relationship for butyrophenones. *Eur J Pharmacol.* **2008**, 578(2-3):123-136.

³⁶ Casanovas M, Jiménez-Rosés M, Cordomí A, Lillo A, Vega-Quiroga I, Izquierdo J, Medrano M, Gysling K, Pardo L, Navarro G, Franco R. Discovery of a macromolecular complex mediating the hunger suppressive actions of cocaine: Structural and functional properties. *Addict Biol.* **2021**, 26(5):e13017.

³⁷ Kiss B, Horváth A, Némethy Z, Schmidt E, Laszlovszky I, Bugovics G, Fazekas K, Hornok K, Orosz S, Gyertyán I, Agai-Csongor E, Domány G, Tihanyi K, Adham N, Szombathelyi Z. Cariprazine (RGH-188), a dopamine D(3) receptorpreferring, D(3)/D(2) dopamine receptor antagonist-partial agonist antipsychotic candidate: in vitro and neurochemical profile. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. **2010**, 333(1):328-340.

³⁸ Szczepańska K, Podlewska S, Dichiara M, Gentile D, Patamia V, Rosier N, Mönnich D, Ruiz Cantero MC, Karcz T, Łażewska D, Siwek A, Pockes S, Cobos EJ, Marrazzo A, Stark H, Rescifina A, Bojarski AJ, Amata E, Kieć-Kononowicz K. Structural and Molecular Insight into Piperazine and Piperidine Derivatives as Histamine H₃ and Sigma-1 Receptor Antagonists with Promising Antinociceptive Properties. *ACS Chem Neurosci.* **2022**, 13(1):1-15.

³⁹ Xiong J, Zhuang T, Ma Y, Xu J, Ye J, Ma R, Zhang S, Liu X, Liu BF, Hao C, Zhang G, Chen Y. Optimization of bifunctional piperidinamide derivatives as σ₁R Antagonists/MOR agonists for treating neuropathic pain. *Eur J Med Chem*. **2021**, 226:113879.

⁴⁰ Wang S, Wacker D, Levit A, Che T, Betz RM, McCorvy JD, Venkatakrishnan AJ, Huang XP, Dror RO, Shoichet BK, Roth BL. D₄ dopamine receptor high-resolution structures enable the discovery of selective agonists. *Science*. **2017**, 358(6361):381-386.