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Highlights

- Polymorphs of Mg$_2$Sn and Mg$_2$Pb are prepared by high-energy ball-milling and evaluated in magnesium-ion cells.
- The alloying/de-alloying process is analyzed by operando X-ray diffraction
- The alloying process favors the formation of the cubic alloy for both tin and lead
Abstract

Cubic and non-cubic polymorphs of Mg₂Sn and Mg₂Pb have been evaluated as electrode materials for magnesium-ion batteries. The intermetallic compounds have been prepared by high-energy ball-milling and characterized by X-ray powder diffraction and scanning electron microscopy. The alloying electrochemical mechanism has been investigated by operando X-ray diffraction supported by a data analysis chemometric approach. For both tin and lead, the alloying process tends to form the cubic polymorphs, with sometimes traces of the non-cubic form in the first moments of the electrochemical magnésiation. Finally, the compatibility of Mg₂Sn and Mg₂Pb with Mg(TFSI)₂-based electrolyte is confirmed in full cell with Chevrel phase Mo₆S₈ positive electrode material.
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1. Introduction

Magnesium batteries are currently investigated as a possible alternative to the well-established lithium-ion technology.¹,² Driven by the growing market of electric vehicles, the increasing demand for batteries could indeed face some sustainability issues regarding specific raw materials, such as cobalt, nickel or lithium. It is thus important to investigate other types of batteries employing more abundant elements such as magnesium. The story of rechargeable magnesium batteries really started with the prototype systems of Aurbach, with the Chevrel phase Mo₆S₈ at the positive electrode, a magnesium metal negative electrode and Grignard-
based electrolytes. This pioneering work already underlined the two major challenges of the Mg-batteries: the easy surface passivation of the magnesium in contact with conventional electrolytes classically used for battery and the lack of positive electrode materials due to the sluggish ionic diffusion of Mg\(^{2+}\) into classical host electrodes.\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^5\) Tremendous efforts have been made to identify new generations of electrolytes suitable for a magnesium electrode, and more practical than the Grignard-based ones (simpler, less corrosive and with a wider electrochemical stability window). At the positive electrode, sulfur-based composites seem to focus nowadays most of the attention.\(^6\) Indeed, the conversion process leading to magnesium sulfide MgS is reversible and induces high capacities. The theoretical combination of magnesium and sulfur in a same battery would offer high energy density above 3200 Wh/L, while being sustainable and affordable. However, such a promising system would also come along with the well-known drawbacks of metal/sulfur systems (insulating nature of sulfur implying limited loading in the electrode, polysulfide shuttle effect, etc.).\(^6\)

Recently, the spotlight has been focused on alloy-type electrodes for magnesium-ion systems, thanks to the relatively high theoretical capacity and the low alloying potentials of elements from groups IIIA, IVA and VA. Bismuth, antimony were the first alloy-type electrodes investigated in magnesium-ion batteries, followed by reports on silicon, germanium, tin, indium and more recently gallium.\(^7\) Obviously, most of the studies evaluated these elements in half-cell configuration with magnesium counter electrode and Grignard-based electrolytes. However, the compatibility with more conventional and practical electrolytes has been rapidly underlined and stands now as the main advantage of these alloy-type electrodes. Consequently, the use of magnesium alloy Mg\(_x\)M has been proposed to investigated Mg-free positive electrode materials, like the Chevrel phase standard Mo\(_6\)S\(_8\), but also vanadium oxide V\(_2\)O\(_5\) or carbon/sulfur composites, in simple electrolyte generally made from Mg(TFSI)\(_2\) salt dissolved in acetonitrile, tetrahydrofuran or dimethoxyethane.\(^8\)\(^-\)\(^10\)
Among the different MgₓM alloy systems, promising results can be predicted for Sn- and Pb-based alloys based on the behavior of these metals as alloy-type negative electrodes. Concerning tin, during the first investigation of its electrochemical behavior in magnesium batteries, Singh et al. observed at C/200 an alloying plateau around 0.15 V ending with a high discharge capacity of 900 mAh/gSn, i.e., close to the theoretical value corresponding to the formation of Mg₂Sn. However, they also showed that magnesiated electrodes further deliver a fraction of this initial capacity (~300 mAh/gSn). Irreversible pulverization and amorphization upon cycling could explain this limited capacity. The electrochemical behavior of bulk Mg₂Sn prepared by ball-milling was then investigated by Nguyen and coworkers. After initial capacity below 200 mAh/gMg₂Sn a maximum of 270 mAh/gMg₂Sn was reached upon cycling. Galvanostatic intermittent titration technique, or optimized electrode formulation, enabled reaching higher values.

In the case of lead, its electrochemical behavior was investigated in 2015 by the group of Obrovac, starting from electrodes either prepared by sputtering or made from micrometric Pb powder. At 60°C and current rates of C/40 or C/50, reversible capacities of 450 and 275 mAh/gPb were obtained, respectively, with Grignard-based electrodes. The alloying process occurs at 125 mV vs. Mg. Only indium-based electrodes exhibit a lower alloying potential. To bypass an initial catalytic reaction on the electrode surface, the application of a current pulse prior to the discharge was proposed. To the best of our knowledge, no investigation of the electrochemical behavior of Mg₂Pb has been performed until now.

In this current work, the electrochemical behavior of intermetallic compounds around the Mg₂Sn and Mg₂Pb compositions prepared by mechanical alloying is investigated. In particular, operando X-ray diffraction is used to follow the corresponding electrochemical processes. Lastly, compatibility with conventional electrolyte is also demonstrated by assembling full cells with a Chevrel phase positive electrode.
2. Experimental

Magnesium, tin and lead powders (Sigma-Aldrich, 99%, 325 mesh, Alfa Aesar, 99.5%, 100 mesh and 98%, 20–230 mesh, respectively) were used as received and mixed in a 3D Spex mill (Spex SamplePrep 8000 M) in argon atmosphere with stainless steel jar and balls. For typically 1 g of powders mixture, 4 grinding balls of 10 mm diameter are used. The nominal powders proportions varied around the stoichiometric 2:1 ratio.

The resulting materials were then characterized by X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) with a Panalytical X’Pert Pro diffractometer operating with Cu Kα radiation, under a protective airtight polymeric film to limit moisture reaction. Powder morphology was also observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with a Hitachi S-4800 microscope.

Self-supported electrodes were prepared in a mortar by mixing 70 wt.% of active powder (Mg₂Pb or Mg₂Sn), 10 wt.% of carbon (C65, Timcal), 10wt% of vapor grown carbon fibers (VGCF-H, Showa Denko K.K.) and 10 wt.% of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE, Sigma-Aldrich, >40µm). The paste obtained was punch into disc to form 8mm diameter electrodes with an average mass loading of 30 mg/cm². All these operations were made in an argon-filled glove box (MBraun LABSTAR) with an oxygen level <0.5 ppm.

Chevrel phase Mo₆S₈ was synthetized by adapting widely accepted 2-step protocol. Firstly, the ternary Cu₂.₅Mo₆S₈ Chevrel phase was obtained by heating a stoichiometric mixture of Cu (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.9%, 325 mesh), Mo (Alfa-Aesar, 99.95%, APS 3.7µm) and MoS₂ (Sigma-Aldrich, 99%, <2µm) during 24 hours at 1000 °C under constant argon flow. Secondly, Cu was removed by acidic leaching with HCl aqueuous solution (6 M) under bubbling O₂. Finally, the solid was filtered, washed with distilled water and ethanol and lastly dried at 60 °C. The final product was characterized by XRPD to check the purity of the obtained
Chevrel phase. Mo$_6$S$_8$ electrodes film were formulated by mixing 80 wt.% of Mo$_6$S$_8$, 5 wt.% of C65, 5 wt.% of VGCF-H, 10% of polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF, SOLEF 21216/1001) and NMP as the solvent. The slurry was then tape-casted on 10 µm carbon-coated aluminum foil and dried following the above-mentioned procedure, leading to electrodes with an average mass loading around 1.5 mg/cm$^2$.

All-ethyl-complex magnesium electrolyte (AEC) was obtained by mixing ethylmagnesium chloride (EtMgCl, 2M in THF, Sigma –Aldrich) and diethylaluminium chloride (Et$_2$AlCl, 97% Sigma-Aldrich) in THF. The final concentration of the EtMgCl-Et$_2$AlCl-THF electrolyte is around 0.35 M. Conventional magnesium electrolyte was prepared by dissolving magnesium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide salt (Mg(TFSI)$_2$, 99.5%, Solvionic) in dimethoxethane (DME, Sigma-Aldrich purity > 99 %)) with a 0.5 M concentration. Prior to use, Mg(TFSI)$_2$ was dried at 250°C in a vacuum flask, while DME was dried first by argon bubbling, then by addition of 4 Å molecular sieves for 3 days, next refluxed with K/Na (3/1 wt.%) for 1 day and finally distilled.

2-electrode coin-cells (2032, 316L stainless steel) were assembled in an argon-filled glove-box, with Mg disks (Goodfellow, 99.9%, 250 µm) as both the negative and reference electrode. Two Whatman GF/A borosilicate glass fibers were used as separator and wetted with 100 µL of electrolyte. All Galvanostatic tests was perform on an MPG potentiostats (Biologic).

*Operando* XRD measurements were carried out on an electrochemical cell with a specific Be window to probe the structural evolution of the electrode material.$^{16}$ Mg disc and Mg$_2$Pb self-supported electrode were used as negative/reference and positive electrode, respectively. The current rate was C/25 during the charge and the start of the discharge, then a constant potential was applied to force the discharge to continue.
3. Results and Discussion

The XRPD patterns obtained after mechanical alloying of Mg-Sn and Mg-Pb mixtures with various stoichiometries around Mg\textsubscript{2}M and milling times are gathered in Figure 1. In 5 hours and with a molar magnesium excess of 10\%, the cubic phases Mg\textsubscript{2}Sn and Mg\textsubscript{2}Pb are obtained. The patterns exhibits well-defined diffraction patterns that can be indexed with the cubic space group \textit{Fm-3m}. The corresponding refined cell parameters are in good agreement with the literature ($a = 6.762(2)$ Å for Mg\textsubscript{2}Sn, $a = 6.820(1)$ Å for Mg\textsubscript{2}Pb, Figure S1). For longer milling times, and/or with lower amounts of magnesium, the patterns significantly change and early-stage phase searching did not provide a unique solution (Fig. 1b and 1d). Consequently, it is important to detail the equilibrium phase diagrams of the Mg-Sn and Mg-Pb systems. If cubic Mg\textsubscript{2}Sn and Mg\textsubscript{2}Pb, crystallizing in the antifluorite-type structure,$^{17,18}$ are reported as the thermodynamically stable allotropes at ambient conditions, other polymorphs are known in a narrow region around Mg\textsubscript{2}Sn and Mg\textsubscript{2}Pb compositions.

For the Mg-Sn system, an hexagonal phase was reported firstly at high-pressure and high-temperature,$^{19,20}$ but then also through long-time mechanical alloying.$^{21}$ A slight Mg-deficient composition, Mg\textsubscript{9}Sn\textsubscript{5}, was later proposed for this dense form with the hexagonal cell parameters $a = 13.222$ Å and $c = 13.15$ Å.$^{22}$ Also through ball-milling, a metastable phase with an orthorhombic structure was detected by Clark \textit{et al.} with cell parameters $a = 15.23$ Å, $b = 8.90$ Å and $c = 13.10$ Å,$^{23}$ on the basis of a first example on thin film obtained by metal evaporation.$^{24}$ Profile matching was attempted with both the orthorhombic and the hexagonal models. Owing to the quite broad diffraction peaks (usually obtained with mechanochemical alloying), however, the refinements were not satisfactory enough to clearly discriminate between the two models. Consequently, this polymorph will be hereafter named “non-cubic Mg\textsubscript{2}Sn”.


Figure 1: XRPD patterns and SEM pictures of Mg$_2$Sn cubic (a) and non-cubic (b) and Mg$_2$Pb cubic (c) and non-cubic (d). Scale bar is 100 µm. For the cubic polymorphs, profile matching refinement is provided in supplementary section.

Regarding the Mg-Pb system, in 1965 Eldrige et al. showed that cubic Mg$_2$Pb melts incongruently and forms a β’ phase at a different composition slightly deficient in Mg. This polymorph was not included in the Mg-Pb equilibrium phase diagram proposed in 1985 by Nayeb-Hashemi and Clark, which is now widely spread and used in reference books. More recently, additional studies specially focused on the composition region around Mg$_2$Pb reinstated the existence of the β’ phase. However, the crystallographic structure of the latter has not yet been determined with certainty. An orthorhombic PbCl$_2$-type structure (space group Pnma, $a = 7.50$ Å, $b = 4.45$ Å and $c = 8.82$ Å) was proposed initially, but has not
been confirmed afterwards.\textsuperscript{25} More recently, \textit{ab initio} evolutionary methods were performed and concluded on tetragonal symmetry (space group P4/nmm, $a = 4.5396$ Å, $b = 7.5278$ Å).\textsuperscript{28} It is interesting to note strong similarities between the XRPD patterns of the non-cubic Mg\textsubscript{2}Sn and of this Mg\textsubscript{2}Pb polymorph. Therefore, a profile matching refinement with an hexagonal space group was also tried in parallel with the previously mentioned models. However, as in the case of the Mg-Sn system, it was not possible to obtain a clear unique solution, and therefore this compound will be hereafter named “non-cubic Mg\textsubscript{2}Pb”.

The particle morphology of these intermetallics is shown in insets in Figure 1. Unsurprisingly, the ball-milled powders consists on large aggregates of sub-micrometric particles, as already reported for alloys prepared in similar conditions.\textsuperscript{8,29}

The electrochemical behavior of cubic and non-cubic Mg\textsubscript{2}Sn and Mg\textsubscript{2}Pb is evaluated in half-cell at a significantly higher current rate of C/30. The two first cycles of the four compounds are compared in Figure 2. In both cases, the de-alloying process starts with a stable plateau slightly increasing from 0.25 to 0.3 V before a progressive increase to reach the cut-off value of 0.7 V. With the cubic polymorph of both Mg\textsubscript{2}Sn and Mg\textsubscript{2}Pb, almost all the magnesium seems to be extracted during the initial charge. After that, the alloying occurs at a low voltage (below 0.2V for Mg\textsubscript{2}Sn, below 0.1 V or Mg\textsubscript{2}Pb), with a rather limited capacity in both cases that continues upon cycling. Regarding the non-cubic Mg\textsubscript{2}Sn and Mg\textsubscript{2}Pb polymorphs, the initial electrochemical charge starts similarly to the cubic polymorph with the same plateau potentials. However, in both case the cut-off value is reached more rapidly and induces a capacity reduced by \textasciitilde50 \%.
Figure 2: Galvanostatic behavior of Mg/Mg$_2$Sn and Mg/Mg$_2$Pb half-cells at C/30 current rate with EtMgCl-Et$_2$AlCl/THF electrolyte (first cycle in blue, second cycle in red): Mg$_2$Sn cubic (a) and non-cubic (b), Mg$_2$Pb cubic (c) and non-cubic (d).

The existing literature on alloy-type materials for Mg-ion batteries clearly underlines that electrodes made from Bi or Mg$_3$Bi$_2$ exhibit the best behavior, with especially a limited capacity decay upon cycling with optimized electrode formulation,$^7$ whereas the cycling performance when starting with Mg$_2$Sn or Mg$_2$Pb active materials is somewhat expected to be worse. The fundamental interest thus lies more on the comparison of the behavior between polymorphs. It is interesting to note that in both case, an almost full electrochemical charge is possible with the cubic polymorphs.
To grasp further details of the electrochemical processes, an *operando* XRD follow-up of the first galvanostatic cycles was carried out for the four polymorphs (Figure 3). Previous *ex situ* XRD patterns collected after magnesiation of tin-based or lead-based electrodes confirmed the formation of the cubic Mg$_2$Sn and Mg$_2$Pb, respectively.\textsuperscript{11,30} No details, however, were reported about possible intermediates formed along the electrochemical discharge. The de-alloying sequences of the intermetallic compounds are characterized by the disappearance of the peak of each polymorph, while the peaks of tin ($\beta$) on one side, or lead on the other side, regularly increase in intensity. This logical observation is in line with the steady-flat voltage plateau characterizing a biphasic alloying reaction.

In case of Mg$_2$Sn cubic pristine electrode, without any surprise, the subsequent discharge shows the reformation of the cubic Mg$_2$Sn, the latter exhibiting better crystallinity (narrower diffraction peak at 26.5 ° in Figure 3a). The magnesiation appears more complex in case of the non-cubic pristine electrode: indeed, the beginning of the discharge shows an increase of the diffraction peaks of non-cubic form of Mg$_2$Sn, followed by a regular increase of the cubic form of Mg$_2$Sn (Figure 3b). For lead-based electrodes, the early stages of the electrochemical magnesiation are characterized by the appearance of weak reflections that can be assigned to the non-cubic form of Mg$_2$Pb, whatever the pristine polymorph. As the alloying process continues, these peaks slowly disappear in favor of the cubic form of Mg$_2$Pb. This unexpected mechanism is better pictured in a top-view plot of the XRPD patterns (Figures S2 to S5). A more detailed analysis of these *operando* XRD studies using a chemometric approach, provided in the supplementary information, globally confirmed the above-mentioned observations, and also provided complementary information on the evolution of the crystallinity between the pristine and electrochemically formed phases (Figures S6 to S16).

For non-cubic Mg$_2$Pb pristine electrode there is no memory effect that could induce the formation of the non-cubic phase The formation of metastable intermetallic forms during
discharge was already observed during the electrochemical alloying of $p$-block elements, such as antimony, with alkali ions.\textsuperscript{31,32} In addition, it is reasonable to assume that the early moments of the electrochemical magnesiation of lead, \textit{i.e.}, when the amount of magnesium is limited, could see the formation of the non-cubic form of Mg\textsubscript{2}Pb which is reported to be slightly deficient in magnesium.\textsuperscript{25}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure3.png}
\caption{Operando XRPD investigation of the electrochemical behavior of Mg\textsubscript{2}Sn cubic (a= and non-cubic (b) and Mg\textsubscript{2}Pb (cubic) and non-cubic (d). For each case, peaks indexation or at least Bragg peaks are provided when possible. To enhance the magnesiation process during discharge, a floating was applied once the cell reaches 0 V. Symbols (*) and (#) stand for the beryllium window and unidentified inactive impurities on its surface.}
\end{figure}

Finally, the compatibility of these intermetallic compounds with Mg(TFSI)$_2$/DME electrolyte in full cells needs to be evaluated. As we previously demonstrated that the cubic forms of
Mg$_2$Sn and Mg$_2$Pb (i) exhibit the best first capacity during de-alloying process and (ii) are mainly formed during electrochemical alloying of both tin and lead forms, only those polymorphs were considered in full Mg-ion cell with Chevrel phase Mo$_6$S$_8$ positive electrode materials (Figure 4).

![Figure 4: Galvanostatic cycling of full cells Mg$_2$Sn-cubic/Mo$_6$S$_8$ (a) and Mg$_2$Pb-cubic/Mo$_6$S$_8$ (b) at C/10 current rate with Mg(TFSI)$_2$/DME electrolyte, and capacity evolution upon cycling (c and d).](image)

The initial discharge shows a sloppy plateau occurring between 0.8 and 0.5 V for Mg$_2$Sn, but slightly higher from 0.9 to 0.5 V for Mg$_2$Pb due to the lower potential of Mg$_2$Pb below that of Mg$_2$Sn (respective calculated values of 121 and 197 mV vs. Mg$^{2+}$/Mg$^{3+}$. During the
corresponding charge, both Mg$_2$Sn and Mg$_2$Pb show two plateaus at 1 and 1.4 V that can be attributed to the sequential insertion of Mg$^{2+}$ in the two insertion sites of Mo$_6$S$_8$. The differentiation between the two plateaus is not observed on the discharge due to intrinsic kinetic limitations. In both cases, there is a progressive but limited capacity decay upon cycling. The initial capacity loss of 20 mAh/g can be attributed to the partial irreversible magnesium trapping in Mo$_6$S$_8$. After that, the progressive loss of capacity may have different origins: first, the degradation of the electrolyte in contact with Mg at low potential can progressively form a blocking layer on the negative electrode. Another possible reason could be the dissolution of the alloying element in the electrolyte and its migration to the positive side that have been observe for Mg$_3$Bi$_2$/S batteries. This shuttle phenomenon, which is not yet well understood and could have a negative effect on the cycle life, will be the object of further detailed investigations. Finally, the Mg that is non-reversibly alloy can possibly plate on the negative electrode/electrolyte interface at low potential resulting in the formation of passive Mg and loss of active material.

Conclusions

Different Mg$_2$Sn and Mg$_2$Pb allotropes can be prepared by mechanochemical synthesis: besides the cubic forms thermodynamically stable at ambient conditions, this synthetic method allows also obtaining metastable allotropes of these compositions by slightly tuning the milling conditions. The electrochemical evaluation of these phases shows that the first demagnesiation is almost complete with cubic Mg$_2$Sn and Mg$_2$Pb, but only partial with the other polymorphs. Operando XRD reveals that, during the following magnesiation, the cubic polymorphs are obtained independent of the pristine polymorph, even though a transient metastable phase is formed at the very beginning of the process in the case of Pb. The compatibility of these phases with Mg(TFSI)$_2$/DME electrolyte when used as negative
electrode materials in Mg-ion batteries was confirmed in Mg$_2$Sn/Mo$_6$S$_8$ and Mg$_2$Pb/Mo$_6$S$_8$ systems. The observed cycle life shows that the behavior of Mg$_2$Sn and Mg$_2$Pb as negative electrodes in Mg-ion batteries with a simple Mg(TFSI)$_2$/DME electrolyte are promising regarding the previous studies, and a benchmark in the same electrochemical conditions appears thus necessary to clearly determine the most relevant alloy to be used in magnesium-battery research.
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Figure S1: XRD patterns of cubic Mg2Sn (a) and Mg2Pb (b) with profile matching refinement.
Figure S2: Top view of the operando XRD patterns measured during a full demagnesiation-magnesiation cycle of cubic Mg$_2$Pb and corresponding electrochemical signature.

Figure S3: Top view of the operando XRD patterns measured during a full demagnesiation-magnesiation cycle of non-cubic Mg$_2$Pb and corresponding electrochemical signature.
Figure S4: Top view of the operando XRD patterns measured during a full demagnesiation-magnesiation cycle of cubic Mg$_2$Sn and corresponding electrochemical signature.

Figure S5: Top view of the operando XRD patterns measured during a full demagnesiation-magnesiation cycle of non-cubic Mg$_2$Sn and corresponding electrochemical signature.
**Chemometric analysis of the X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns**

A common chemometric approach based on Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was applied to determine the minimum number of components expressing the variance included in the whole set of *operando* XRD data. The analysis was carried out separately for the four *operando* datasets. PCA is a factor analysis tool typically used to structure and simplify complex data sets by narrowing the multitude of variables with the least number of independent components.[1] In this specific case, this corresponds to the number of different XRD patterns necessary to express, by appropriate linear combinations, each dataset collected during a full electrochemical demagnesiation-magnesiation cycle.

The number of principal components determined in this way can be used as the basis for Multivariate Curve Resolution-Alternating Least Squares (MCR-ALS) analysis. This algorithm allows the stepwise reconstruction of the “pure” components that are necessary for interpreting the whole *operando* multiset. The whole procedure is described in detail in ref. [2]. The MCR-ALS analysis was performed with the following constraints: (i) non-negativity of the intensity and of the concentration of the components, (ii) no unimodality and (iii) closure (sum of the components always equal to 100 % of the intensity). In this way, the different patterns which are necessary reproduce by the linear combination the whole dataset are obtained. The results of the application of this process is detailed below for the four *operando* XRD datasets collected during a full electrochemical demagnesiation-magnesiation cycle of cubic and non-cubic Mg$_2$Pb and Mg$_2$Sn. In order to help this analysis to converge to reasonable values, a linear background was subtracted to all patterns in order to bring the baseline at 0 before applying PCA and MCR-ALS.

**Cubic Mg$_2$Pb**

The results of the PCA analysis of the *operando* XRD data measured for Mg$_2$Pb are collected in Figure S6. By inspection of the variance plot and of the shape sand evolution of the different components, four to five independent components are necessary to express the whole dataset.
The application of MCR-ALS provides sufficiently good results using four independent components, as shown in Figure S7. In this case, components 1 and 4 correspond to the same phase, i.e., cubic Mg\textsubscript{2}Pb, but are differentiated by a strong modification of the line shape and width: component 4 has a much narrower shape, corresponding to the reversible formation of sensibly smaller crystallites at the end of a full electrochemical cycle. Component 2 corresponds to pure Pb metal, whereas component 3 has contributions from both cubic Mg\textsubscript{2}Pb and Pb metal, but contains also additional reflections corresponding to the pattern of non-cubic Mg\textsubscript{2}Pb. In this last component, all linewidths are very narrow, corresponding to well-crystallised phases. By looking at the evolution of their
concentration, one can thus quite straightforwardly understand the reaction mechanisms occurring along the demagnesiation and the following magnesiation. The first process is the biphasic transformation of non-cubic Mg$_2$Pb into Pb. At the beginning of the following discharge, both non-cubic and cubic well-crystallised Mg$_2$Pb appear at the beginning, at the expenses of the smaller crystallites of Mg$_2$Pb. Non-cubic Mg$_2$Pb reaches a maximum in the course of the discharge and then disappears completely, leaving the place to the cubic form, which is the only phase detected at the end of the magnesiation.

**Non-cubic Mg$_2$Pb**

The results of the PCA analysis of the operando XRD data measured for non-cubic Mg$_2$Pb are collected in Figure S8. By inspection of the variance plot and of the shape sand evolution of the different components, four to five independent components are necessary to express the whole dataset.

![Figure S8: Variance plot (a), principal components (b) and the evolution of their contribution (c) along the full demagnesiation-magnesiation cycle of non-cubic Mg$_2$Pb.](image)

The application of MCR-ALS provides good results using four independent components, as shown in Figure S9. In this case, components 1 and 4 can be easily indexed as non-cubic and cubic Mg$_2$Pb, respectively, while both intermediate components 2 and 3 correspond to Pb metal, with however different profile shapes: component 2 has a larger linewidth, corresponding to smaller crystallites. By looking at the evolution of their concentration, one can then easily describe the sequence of
processes occurring along the demagnesiation and the following magnesiation. The first process is the biphasic transformation of non-cubic Mg$_2$Pb into small particles of Pb, which grow towards the end of the charge, corresponding to pattern #11. During the following discharge, both non-cubic and cubic Mg$_2$Pb appear at the beginning, but the former, metastable, reaches a maximum after transforming back to the cubic form, which is the only phase detected at the end of the magnesiation.

Figure S9: MCR-ALS pure components (a) and the evolution of their concentration (c) along the full demagnesiation-magnesiation cycle of non-cubic Mg$_2$Pb.

**Cubic Mg$_2$Sn**

The results of the PCA analysis of the *operando* XRD data measured for cubic Mg$_2$Sn are collected in Figure S10. By inspection of the variance plot and of the shape sand evolution of the different components, three independent components are necessary to express the whole dataset.
Figure S10: Variance plot (a), principal components (b) and the evolution of their contribution (c) along the full demagnesiation-magnesiation cycle of cubic Mg$_2$Sn.

The application of MCR-ALS provides good results using three independent components, as shown in Figure S11. In this case, components 1 and 3 can be both indexed as cubic Mg$_2$Sn, respectively, components 2 corresponds to β-Sn metal. Components 1 and 3 are differentiated by a different profile shape: component 1 has a larger linewidth, corresponding to smaller crystallites. These three components describe a sequence of two simple biphasic mechanisms, with cubic Mg$_2$Sn transforming into Sn during the charge, and then transforming back to cubic Mg$_2$Sn with higher crystallinity during the following discharge. This transformation is not completed at the end of the latter process, where only half of the tin is transformed back into Mg$_2$Sn.

Figure S11: MCR-ALS pure components (a) and the evolution of their concentration (c) along the full demagnesiation-magnesiation cycle of cubic Mg$_2$Sn.
**Non-cubic Mg$_2$Sn**

The results of the PCA analysis of the *operando* XRD data measured for non-cubic Mg$_2$Sn are collected in Figure S12. By inspection of the variance plot and of the shape and evolution of the different components, three independent components are necessary to express the whole dataset.

![Figure S12](image1.png)

*Figure S12: Variance plot (a), principal components (b) and the evolution of their contribution (c) along the full demagnesiation-magnesiation cycle of non-cubic Mg$_2$Sn.*

The application of MCR-ALS with three independent components provides a good reconstruction of the pure components, as shown in Figure S13. In this case, components 1 can be indexed as the pristine non-cubic Mg$_2$Sn, while component 2 corresponds to β-Sn metal. A direct and complete transformation of the first component into the second one is obtained along the first charge, corresponding to the full demagnesiation of non-cubic Mg$_2$Sn. During the charge, the formation of components 3 occurs: this component is rather interesting, since it contains at the same time the contributions of non-cubic Mg$_2$Sn and cubic Mg$_2$Sn, the former better crystallized than the pristine material represented by component 1. The fact that both phases are contained in the same component suggest that both phases are formed at the same rate during the discharge, and that, differently from the case of non-cubic Mg$_2$Pb shown above, the non-cubic Mg$_2$Sn reformed during magnesiation does not transform into the cubic form. The charge, however, is not complete, and a majority of tin metal is still present at the end of the process.
Figure S13: MCR-ALS pure components (a) and the evolution of their concentration (c) along the full demagnesiation-magnesiation cycle of cubic Mg$_2$Sn.
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