

Blow up for nonlinear parabolic equations with time degeneracy under dynamical boundary conditions

Gaëlle Pincet Mailly

To cite this version:

Gaëlle Pincet Mailly. Blow up for nonlinear parabolic equations with time degeneracy under dynamical boundary conditions. Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications, 2007, 67, pp.657 - 667. $10.1016/j.na.2006.06.021$. hal-03715305

HAL Id: hal-03715305 <https://hal.science/hal-03715305>

Submitted on 12 Jul 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Nonlinear Analysis 67 (2007) 657–667

www.elsevier.com/locate/na

Blow up for nonlinear parabolic equations with time degeneracy under dynamical boundary conditions

Gaëlle Pincet Mailly*

LMPA Joseph Liouville, EA 2597, Universite du Littoral C ´ ote d'Opale, 62228 Calais, France ˆ

Received 12 May 2005; accepted 16 June 2006

Abstract

We study the blow up behaviour of nonlinear parabolic equations including a time degeneracy, under dynamical boundary conditions. For some exponential and polynomial degeneracies, we develop some energy methods and some spectral comparison techniques and derive upper bounds for the blow up times. c 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

MSC: 35B40; 35K55; 35K65; 35R45

Keywords: Nonlinear parabolic equations; Time degeneracy; Dynamical boundary conditions; Blow up

Contents

1. Introduction

In the present paper we study the occurrence of blow up phenomena for several nonlinear parabolic problems including a time degeneracy:

$$
\begin{cases}\n(\exp(ku))_t = \Delta u + \exp(pu) & \text{in } \overline{\Omega} \text{ for } t > 0, \\
B_{\sigma}(u) := \sigma \partial_t u + \partial_v u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \text{ for } t > 0, \\
u(\cdot, 0) = \varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega})\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1)

[∗] Tel.: +33 3 21 46 55 96; fax: +33 3 21 46 36 69.

E-mail address: [mailly@lmpa.univ-littoral.fr.](mailto:mailly@lmpa.univ-littoral.fr)

⁰³⁶²⁻⁵⁴⁶X/\$ - see front matter \circ 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. [doi:10.1016/j.na.2006.06.021](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2006.06.021)

with $1 \leq k < p \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{cases}\n(u^{2k+1})_t = \Delta u + u|u|^{p-1} & \text{in } \overline{\Omega} \text{ for } t > 0, \\
B_{\sigma}(u) := \sigma \partial_t u + \partial_\nu u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \text{ for } t > 0, \\
u(\cdot, 0) = \varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega})\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2)

with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $2k + 1 < p \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{cases}\n(u^{2k+1})_t = \operatorname{div}(u^m \nabla u) + |u|^p & \text{in } \overline{\Omega} \text{ for } t > 0, \\
B_\sigma(u) := \sigma \partial_t u + \partial_\nu u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \text{ for } t > 0, \\
u(\cdot, 0) = \varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega})\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3)

with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $2k + 1 < p \in \mathbb{R}$.

We assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain, whose boundary $\partial \Omega$ is of class C^2 . On the time lateral boundary, we impose some dynamical conditions, involving the outer normal derivative and the time derivative. The outer normal unit vector field and the outer normal derivative are respectively denoted by $v : \partial \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and ∂_v . We suppose the dissipativity condition

$$
\sigma \ge 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0,\infty),\tag{4}
$$

and, dealing with classical solutions, we assume that

$$
\sigma \in C^1(\partial \Omega \times (0,\infty)).\tag{5}
$$

As for the initial data, we require

$$
\varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega}), \varphi \ge 0, \varphi \ne 0. \tag{6}
$$

As for local existence of solutions for parabolic equations with time degeneracy, we refer to [1] and [5]. As for qualitative theory, we refer to [8], to [3] and [4] for nonlinear parabolic equations under dynamical boundary conditions, and to [2] for equations with time degeneracy. Blow up results for equations including a principal part degeneracy are mentioned in [6,7] and in [4] and [10] for dynamical boundary conditions. As for blow up results for equations containing some gradient term and for Problem (3) in the particular case where $m = 0$, we refer to the author's thesis. As for applications and modellings involving dynamical boundary conditions and time degeneracy, we refer to [9] and [11]. Since the exponential time degeneracy $b(u) := \exp(ku)$ in Problem (1) is a strictly increasing function, we can apply some methods developed in [4] for reaction–diffusion equations. Especially, we can compare solutions for different boundary conditions and establish some lower and upper bounds for the blow up times. For Problem (2) and (3) including a polynomial time degeneracy, the approach is quite different since degeneracies occur when the l.h.s. vanishes. As proved in [2], a comparison principle does not hold for such equations in general, and thereby no uniqueness and flow existence can be deduced in general. We investigate the occurrence of blow up under homogeneous Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions by deriving upper bounds for the blow up times. Furthermore, for Problem (3), we study the blow up behaviour in the dynamical case, in particular for $p = m + 1$.

2. Exponential time degeneracy

In this section we are interested in Problem (1). Note that for $\tau > 0$, its parabolic partial differential equation can be written in $\overline{\Omega} \times (0, \tau)$ in the form

$$
\partial_t u = \frac{1}{k} \exp(-ku)\Delta u + \frac{1}{k} \exp((p-k)u) =: F[u],
$$

with $F \in C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, \tau] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2})$. Then, some of the qualitative results developed in [3] and [4] hold. In particular, the comparison principle Theorem 7.1 of [4] and its corollaries imply the unicity and the non-negativity in $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, \tau]$ of the solution of Problem (1). Therefore, throughout this section, we note

$$
u_{\sigma} \in C\left(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T(\sigma, \varphi))\right) \cap C^{2,1}\left(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T(\sigma, \varphi))\right)
$$

the maximal solution of Problem (1), where $T(\sigma, \varphi)$ denotes the blow up time of u_{σ} , i.e. its maximal existence time in $C(\overline{\Omega})$, defined as

$$
T(\sigma,\varphi)=\inf\left\{s>0\bigg|\lim_{t\nearrow s}\sup\{|u_{\sigma}(x,t)|\mid x\in\overline{\Omega}\}=\infty\right\}.
$$

By the comparison principle, we can prove the decreasing character of $T(\sigma, \varphi)$ with respect to the initial value φ :

Theorem 1. *Suppose* $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ *. Then*

$$
0 \leq \varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2 \implies T(\sigma, \varphi_1) \geq T(\sigma, \varphi_2).
$$

As in [4], the additional assumptions

$$
\varphi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}), \quad \frac{1}{k} \exp(-k\varphi) \Delta \varphi + \frac{1}{k} \exp((p-k)\varphi) \ge 0 \quad \text{in } \overline{\Omega}, \tag{7}
$$

and

$$
\sigma \in C^1(\partial \Omega) \tag{8}
$$

imply

Lemma 2. Let $u \in C^{2,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, \tau])$ be a solution of Problem (1). Then u satisfies $\partial_t u \ge 0$ in $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, \tau]$.

Then by the comparison principle, $T(\sigma, \varphi)$ is increasing with respect to the coefficient σ :

Theorem 3. *Under Condition* (7)*, suppose that the functions* $0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2$ *satisfy* (8)*. Then* $u_{\sigma_1} \geq u_{\sigma_2}$ *in* $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T(\sigma_1, \varphi))$ *and*

$$
T(\sigma_1, \varphi) \leq T(\sigma_2, \varphi).
$$

Moreover, following the comparative techniques of [4] we can establish a relation between the blow up times of the classical solutions of Problem (1) fulfilling different boundary conditions. Indeed, recall that the Neumann boundary condition $\partial_\nu u = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ is the dynamical boundary condition $B_\sigma(u) = 0$ with $\sigma = 0$ and that the Dirichlet condition $u = \varphi$ on $\partial \Omega$ corresponds to the case $\sigma \equiv \infty$. Introduce

$$
v \in C\left(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T(\infty, \varphi))\right) \cap C^{2,1}\left(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T(\infty, \varphi))\right)
$$

as the maximal solution of

$$
\begin{cases}\n(\exp(kv))_t = \Delta v + \exp(pv) & \text{in } \overline{\Omega} \times (0, T(\infty, \varphi)), \\
v(\cdot, 0) = \varphi & \text{in } \overline{\Omega}, \\
v = \varphi & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, T(\infty, \varphi)),\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(9)

and

$$
w \in C\left(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T_0(\infty, \tilde{\varphi}))\right) \cap C^{2,1}\left(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T_0(\infty, \tilde{\varphi}))\right)
$$

as the maximal solution of

$$
\begin{cases}\n(\exp(kw))_t = \Delta w + \exp(pw) & \text{in } \overline{\Omega} \times (0, T_0(\infty, \tilde{\varphi})),\\
w(\cdot, 0) = \tilde{\varphi} & \text{in } \overline{\Omega},\\
w = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T_0(\infty, \tilde{\varphi})),\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(10)

with

$$
\tilde{\varphi} \in C(\overline{\Omega}), \qquad \tilde{\varphi} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega, \qquad \tilde{\varphi} \le \varphi. \tag{11}
$$

We are led to

Theorem 4. *Under Conditions* (7) and (8)

$$
u_0 \geq u_\sigma \geq v \geq w
$$

in the domain of definition of u_0 *,* u_σ *and v respectively, and*

$$
T(0, \varphi) \leq T(\sigma, \varphi) \leq T(\infty, \varphi) \leq T_0(\infty, \tilde{\varphi}).
$$

Furthermore, we can establish a lower bound for the blow up time. Consider the maximal solution $z \in C^1([0, t_1))$ of the EDO

$$
\begin{cases} \n\dot{z} = (1/k) \exp((p - k)z) & \text{in } [0, t_1), \\ \nz(0) = \|\varphi\|_{\infty}.\n\end{cases} \tag{12}
$$

By Theorems 1 and 3, we obtain

Theorem 5.

$$
T(\sigma,\varphi) \geq T(0,\varphi) \geq T(0,\|\varphi\|_{\infty}) = \frac{k}{p-k} \exp((k-p)\|\varphi\|_{\infty}) = t_1.
$$

Now, we derive upper bounds for the blow up time $T(\sigma, \varphi)$. First, we establish an optimal upper bound under Neumann boundary condition, which corresponds to t_1 for a constant initial data φ .

Theorem 6. *Suppose* $\sigma = 0$. *Then the classical maximal solution u₀ of Problem* (1) *blows up in finite time* $T(0, \varphi)$ *satisfying*

$$
T(0, \varphi) \leq \frac{k}{p-k} |\Omega|^{(p-k)/k} \left(\int_{\Omega} \exp(k\varphi) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{(k-p)/k} =: t_2.
$$

Proof. The Neumann boundary condition implies $\int_{\Omega} \Delta u \, dx = \int_{\partial \Omega} \partial_{\nu} u \, ds = 0$. Set $M(t) = \int_{\Omega} \exp(ku(\cdot, t)) \, dx$, then $\dot{M} = \int_{\Omega} \exp(pu) \, dx$, and by Hölder's inequality,

$$
\dot{M} \geq |\Omega|^{(k-p)/k} M^{p/k}.
$$

Integration between 0 and *t* > 0 leads to

$$
\int_{M(0)}^{M(t)} \eta^{-p/k} d\eta \geq |\Omega|^{(k-p)/k} t
$$

and

$$
M(t) \ge \left(M(0)^{(k-p)/k} - \frac{p-k}{k} |\Omega|^{(k-p)/k} t \right)^{k/(k-p)}
$$

The right hand side of the inequality becomes infinite iff

$$
t = \frac{k}{p - k} M(0)^{(k - p)/k} |\Omega|^{(p - k)/k} = t_2
$$

then $T(0, \varphi) \leq t_2$. \Box

Now, dealing with spectral methods described in [4], we derive an upper bound for the blow up time for any boundary condition already mentioned. Throughout, we note $\lambda = \lambda(\Omega)$ the minimal eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and

.

$$
\psi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \tag{13}
$$

an eigenfunction belonging to λ fulfilling

$$
0 < \psi \le 1 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \tag{14}
$$

Clearly ψ satisfies

$$
\partial_{\nu}\psi \le 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \tag{15}
$$

The following holds:

Theorem 7. Let $c > 0$, $T > 0$ and $1 \leq k < p$ denote real constants and let

 $u \in C \left(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T) \right) \cap C^{2,1} \left(\Omega \times (0, T) \right)$

be a maximal solution of

$$
\begin{cases}\n(\exp(ku))_t \ge \Delta u + c \exp(pu) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\
u \ge 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, T), \\
u(\cdot, 0) = \varphi \ge 0, \ne 0.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(16)

If

$$
|\Omega| \left(\frac{\lambda}{c}\right)^{k/(p-k)} < \int_{\Omega} \exp(k\varphi) \psi \, \mathrm{d}x,\tag{17}
$$

then

$$
T \leq \frac{k}{\lambda(p-k)} \ln \left(\frac{c}{c - \lambda |\Omega|^{(p-k)/k} \left(\int_{\Omega} \exp(k\varphi) \psi \, dx \right)^{(k-p)/p}} \right) =: t_3.
$$

Proof. Define $M(t) = \int_{\Omega} \exp(ku(\cdot, t)) \psi \, dx$. Clearly, (13) and (15) imply

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} \partial_{\nu} u \psi \, ds = 0, \qquad \int_{\partial \Omega} u \, \partial_{\nu} \psi \, ds \le 0.
$$
\n(18)

Thus Green's Formula leads to

$$
\int_{\Omega} \Delta u \psi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} u \, \Delta \psi \, dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} (\partial_{\nu} u \psi - u \, \partial_{\nu} \psi) \, ds \ge -\lambda \int_{\Omega} u \psi \, dx.
$$

Since $u \ge 0$, by the assumptions on *k* and *p*, we have $exp(ku) \ge u$ and $\psi^{p/k} \le \psi$. Then

$$
\dot{M} \geq -\lambda \int_{\Omega} \exp(ku)\psi \,dx + c \int_{\Omega} \exp(pu)\psi^{p/k} \,dx.
$$

Hölder's inequality implies

$$
\int_{\Omega} \exp(pu)\psi^{p/k} dx \geq |\Omega|^{(k-p)/k} M^{p/k}.
$$

Thus

$$
\dot{M} \ge -\lambda M + \alpha M^{\beta} = \alpha M (M^{\beta - 1} - \delta)
$$

with

$$
\alpha = c |\Omega|^{(k-p)/k}
$$
, $\beta = \frac{p}{k}$ and $\delta = \frac{\lambda}{\alpha}$.

Integration between 0 and $t > 0$ yields

$$
\alpha t \le \int_{M(0)}^{M(t)} \frac{1}{\eta(\eta^{\beta-1} - \delta)} d\eta = \frac{1}{\delta} \left\{ \int_{M(0)}^{M(t)} \frac{\eta^{\beta-2}}{\eta^{\beta-1} - \delta} d\eta - \int_{M(0)}^{M(t)} \frac{d\eta}{\eta} \right\}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{\beta - 1} \int_{M(0)^{\beta - 1}}^{M(t)^{\beta - 1}} \frac{d\xi}{\xi - \delta} - \int_{M(0)}^{M(t)} \frac{d\eta}{\eta} \ge \lambda t.
$$
\n(19)

M is increasing with respect to *t* since $\dot{M} = k \int_{\Omega} \exp(ku) \psi \partial_t u \, dx \ge 0$ by Lemma 2. Then (17) implies $M(t) >$ $\delta^{1/(\beta-1)}$ for $t \ge 0$ and (19) yields

$$
\ln \frac{M(t)^{\beta-1} - \delta}{M(0)^{\beta-1} - \delta} - \ln \frac{M(t)^{\beta-1}}{M(0)^{\beta-1}} \ge (\beta - 1)\lambda t.
$$

We obtain

$$
1 > \frac{M(t)^{\beta - 1} - \delta}{M(t)^{\beta - 1}} \ge (1 - \delta M(0)^{1 - \beta}) \exp ((\beta - 1)\lambda t) > 0.
$$

M becomes infinite iff the ratio above approaches unity, i.e., iff

$$
t = \frac{1}{(\beta - 1)\lambda} \ln \frac{1}{1 - \delta M(0)^{1 - \beta}} = t_3.
$$

Then *u* blows up at the latest for $t = t_3$ and $T \le t_3$. \Box

3. Polynomial time degeneracy

This section is devoted to the blow up behaviour of solutions of Problem (2) and Problem (3), including a polynomial time degeneracy. In the case where $p \le 2k + 1$, we can establish an a priori bound for these problems thanks to Theorem 1 from [2] and show global existence. Indeed, the solutions grow at most exponentially because for any $\tau > 0$

 $\ddot{}$

$$
\max_{\overline{\Omega}\times[0,\tau]}|u|\leq e^{2/(2k+1)\tau}\max\left\{\max_{\overline{\Omega}}\varphi,1\right\}.
$$

First, we consider Problem (2). For $p > 2k + 1$, we derive upper bounds for the blow up times under homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition and Neumann boundary condition, by using an energy type method. Introduce the energy functional $E: H^1(\Omega) \cap L^{p+1}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$
E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} ||\nabla u||_2^2 dx - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx.
$$

We have the following

Lemma 8. The function $t \mapsto E(u(\cdot,t))$ is decreasing if $u \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, \tau]) \cap C^{2,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, \tau])$ is a solution of *Problem* (2) *under Neumann boundary condition or Dirichlet boundary condition.*

Proof. First, note that under the Dirichlet boundary condition $u = \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$ for $t > 0$ and under Neumann boundary condition, we have

$$
\int_{\partial\Omega}\partial_t u\,\partial_\nu u\,\mathrm{d} s=0.
$$

Then, thanks to Green's formula

$$
\frac{d}{dt}E(u(t)) = \int_{\Omega} (\partial_t \nabla u, \nabla u) dx - \int_{\Omega} u|u|^{p-1} \partial_t u dx
$$

$$
= - \int_{\Omega} \partial_t u \, \Delta u dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} \partial_t u \, \partial_v u ds - \int_{\Omega} u|u|^{p-1} \partial_t u dx
$$

and

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}E(u(t)) \leq -(2k+1)\int_{\Omega}u^{2k}(\partial_t u)^2\,\mathrm{d}x \leq 0. \quad \Box
$$

Theorem 9. *Suppose* $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ *and* $E(\varphi) \leq 0$ *. Let*

$$
u \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T)) \cap C^{2,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T))
$$

be a maximal solution of

$$
\begin{cases}\n(u^{2k+1})_t = \Delta u + u|u|^{p-1} & \text{in } \overline{\Omega} \times (0, T), \\
u(\cdot, 0) = \varphi & \text{in } \overline{\Omega}, \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, T).\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(20)

Then u blows up in finite time T satisfying

$$
T \le \frac{(p+1)(2k+1)}{(p-1)(p-2k-1)} |\Omega|^{(p-2k-1)/(2k+2)} \left(\int_{\Omega} \varphi^{2k+2}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{(2k+1-p)/(2k+2)} =: t_4.
$$

Proof. Introduce

$$
N(t) = |u^{k+1}|_{2,\Omega}^2 = \int_{\Omega} u^{2k+2}(x,t) \, \mathrm{d}x.
$$

Then

$$
\dot{N} = \frac{2k+2}{2k+1} \int_{\Omega} u(u^{2k+1})_t \, dx \n= \frac{2k+2}{2k+1} \left(\int_{\Omega} u \Delta u \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} \, dx \right).
$$

Green's formula and homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition imply

$$
\int_{\Omega} u \Delta u \, \mathrm{d}x = -\int_{\Omega} \|\nabla u\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \underbrace{\int_{\partial \Omega} u \partial_{\nu} u \, \mathrm{d}s}_{=0}
$$
\n
$$
= -2E(u) - \frac{2}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} \, \mathrm{d}x. \tag{21}
$$

Thus, since by Lemma 8, $E(u) \le E(\varphi) \le 0$, we are led to

$$
\dot{N} \ge \underbrace{\frac{(2k+2)(p-1)}{(2k+1)(p+1)}}_{:=\alpha_0} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} \, \mathrm{d}x > 0.
$$

Since $p > 2k + 1$, Hölder's inequality implies

$$
\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} \, \mathrm{d}x \ge |\Omega|^{(2k+1-p)/(2k+2)} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{2k+2} \right)^{(p+1)/(2k+2)}
$$

thus

$$
\dot{N} \ge \alpha_1 N^{\beta} \tag{22}
$$

,

with $\alpha_1 = \alpha_0 |\Omega|^{(2k+1-p)/(2k+2)}$ and $\beta = (p+1)/(2k+2)$. Integration between 0 and $t > 0$ yields $N(t) \ge (N(0)^{1-\beta} - \alpha_1(\beta - 1)t)^{1/(1-\beta)}.$

The right hand side of the inequality becomes infinite iff $t = t_4$, where t_4 is defined as in the assertion. Then *u* blows up at the latest for $t = t_4$ and $T \leq t_4$. \Box

Since (21) remains valid for Neumann boundary condition instead of homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition, we obtain

Theorem 10. Suppose $\sigma = 0$, $\varphi \in H^1(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ and $E(\varphi) \leq 0$. Let u be a maximal classical solution of *Problem* (2)*, then u blows up in finite time T satisfying*

$$
T\leq t_4,
$$

*with t*⁴ *as defined in Theorem* 9*.*

Now, we are interested in Problem (3) including a possible degenerate principal part. Even if a comparison principle does not hold because of the nonuniqueness of solutions, Theorems 2 and 4 from [2] imply the following qualitative result

Theorem 11. Let $\tau > 0$ be a real constant and $u \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, \tau]) \cap C^{2,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, \tau])$ a solution of

$$
\begin{cases}\n(u^{2k+1})_t = \operatorname{div}(u^m \nabla u) + |u|^p & \text{in } \overline{\Omega} \times (0, \tau], \\
B_{\sigma}(u) := \sigma \partial_t u + \partial_v u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, \tau], \\
u(\cdot, 0) = \varphi \in C(\overline{\Omega})\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(23)

then u satisfies

$$
u \ge 0
$$
 in $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, \tau]$ and $\min_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, \tau]} u = \min_{\overline{\Omega}} \varphi.$ (24)

We estimate the blow up times for different boundary conditions from above by spectral comparison. We use the notations λ and ψ already introduced in Section 2. First, we study the special case $p = m + 1$ and we establish an upper bound for the blow up times under the general boundary condition $u > 0$.

Theorem 12. *Suppose* $m \ge \max\{2k, 1\}$ *and let*

$$
u \in C\left(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T)\right) \cap C^{2,1}\left(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T)\right)
$$

be a maximal solution of

$$
\begin{cases}\n(u^{2k+1})_t = \operatorname{div}(u^m \nabla u) + |u|^{m+1} & \text{in } \overline{\Omega} \times (0, T), \\
u \ge 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, T), \\
u(\cdot, 0) = \varphi & \text{in } \overline{\Omega}.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(25)

If

$$
\lambda < m+1,
$$

then

$$
T \le \frac{(m+1)(2k+1)|\Omega|^{(m-2k)/(2k+1)}}{(m-2k)(m+1-\lambda)} \left(\int_{\Omega} \varphi^{2k+1} \psi \, dx\right)^{(2k-m)/(2k+1)} =: t_5.
$$

Proof. By (13) and (15), (18) remains valid for u^{m+1} instead of u . Thus,

$$
\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(u^{m} \nabla u) \psi \, dx = \frac{1}{m+1} \int_{\Omega} \Delta(u^{m+1}) \psi \, dx \ge -\frac{\lambda}{m+1} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+1} \psi \, dx.
$$

Introduce

$$
M(t) = \int_{\Omega} u^{2k+1}(\cdot, t) \psi \, \mathrm{d}x,
$$

then by (24) we obtain the differential inequality

$$
\dot{M} \ge \frac{(m+1-\lambda)}{m+1} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+1} \psi \, \mathrm{d}x.
$$

Moreover, Hölder's inequality

$$
\int_{\Omega} u^{2k+1} \psi \, \mathrm{d}x \le |\Omega|^{(m-2k)/(m+1)} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{m+1} \psi^{(m+1)/(2k+1)} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{(2k+1)/(m+1)} \tag{26}
$$

and (14) imply

$$
\dot{M} \geq \underbrace{\frac{(m+1-\lambda)}{m+1}|\Omega|^{(2k-m)/(2k+1)}}_{:=C} M^{(m+1)/(2k+1)}.
$$

Integration leads to

$$
M(t) \ge \left(M(0)^{(2k-m)/(2k+1)} - \frac{m-2k}{2k+1} Ct \right)^{(2k+1)/(2k-m)}
$$

The term in brackets vanishes iff $t = t_5$, with t_5 as defined in the assertion. Then $T \le t_5$ since $M(t) \le |\Omega| \times$ $||u(·, t)||_{∞}^{2k+1}$. $□$

.

Note that Theorem 12 is valid in particular under Neumann, Dirichlet or dynamical boundary conditions. Furthermore, in the general case where $p > 2k + 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we can derive an upper bound for the blow up times for Neumann and homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions, thanks to the estimate of the L^{2k+1} -norm.

Theorem 13. *Suppose* $\sigma = 0$ *. Let* $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $2k+1 < p \in \mathbb{R}$ *. Let u be a maximal classical solution of Problem* (3)*. Then u blows up in finite time T satisfying*

$$
T \le \frac{(2k+1)|\Omega|^{(p-2k-1)/(2k+1)}}{(p-2k-1)} \left(\int_{\Omega} \varphi^{2k+1} dx\right)^{(2k+1-p)/(2k+1)} =: t_6.
$$

Proof. Note that Neumann boundary condition implies

$$
\int_{\Omega} \Delta(u^{m+1}) dx = (m+1) \int_{\partial \Omega} u^m \partial_{\nu} u ds = 0.
$$
\n(27)

.

Define *M* by $M(t) = \int_{\Omega} u(\cdot, t)^{2k+1} dx$. Eqs. (24) and (27) and Hölder's inequality yield

$$
\dot{M} = \int_{\Omega} u^p \, \mathrm{d}x \ge |\Omega|^{(2k+1-p)(2k+1)} M^{p/(2k+1)}.
$$

Integration between 0 and $t > 0$ implies

$$
M(t) \ge \left(M(0)^{(2k+1-p)/(2k+1)} - \frac{p-2k-1}{2k+1} |\Omega|^{(2k+1-p)/(2k+1)} t\right)^{(2k+1)/(2k+1-p)}
$$

Then *u* becomes infinite iff $T \le t_6$, where t_6 is defined as in the assertion. \Box

Since (27) is still valid for homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition for $m \geq 1$, we have

Theorem 14. *Let* $T > 0$ *denote a real constant,* $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \le m \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $2k + 1 < p \in \mathbb{R}$ *. Let*

$$
u \in C\left(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T)\right) \cap C^{2,1}\left(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T)\right)
$$

be a maximal solution of

$$
\begin{cases}\n(u^{2k+1})_t = \operatorname{div}(u^m \nabla u) + |u|^p & \text{in } \overline{\Omega} \times (0, T), \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, T), \\
u(\cdot, 0) = \varphi \in C_0(\overline{\Omega}), & 0 \le \varphi \neq 0.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(28)

Then $T \leq t_6$ *, with* t_6 *as defined in Theorem* 13*.*

Of special interest is the occurrence of finite time blow up for Problem (3), in the case where a dynamical boundary condition is imposed with $0 \leq \sigma < \infty$.

Theorem 15. *Let p* ∈ ℝ, *m* ∈ *N and* k ∈ *N with p* > 2 k + 1 > *m* + 1*. If there exists c* ∈ (0, 1) *such that*

$$
\lambda \le (m+1) c \left(|\Omega|^{-1} \left(\min_{\overline{\Omega}} \varphi \right)^{2k+1} \int_{\Omega} \psi \, dx \right)^{(p-m-1)/(2k+1)}
$$
(29)

then the maximal classical solutions of Problem (3) *blow up at the latest for*

$$
t = \frac{(2k+1)|\Omega|^{(p-2k-1)/(2k+1)}}{(p-2k-1)(1-c)} \left(\int_{\Omega} \varphi^{2k+1} \psi \, dx\right)^{(2k+1-p)/(2k+1)} =: t_7.
$$

Proof. Set $M(t) = \int_{\Omega} u^{2k+1}(\cdot, t) \psi \, dx$ then

$$
\dot{M} \geq -\frac{\lambda}{m+1} \int_{\Omega} u^{m+1} \psi \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} u^p \, \psi \, \mathrm{d}x.
$$

Note that for the moment it is not clear that $\dot{M} \ge 0$. Eq. (14) and Hölder's inequalities yield

$$
\int_{\Omega} u^p \, \psi \, \mathrm{d}x \geq |\Omega|^{(2k+1-p)/(2k+1)} M^{p/(2k+1)}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega} u^{m+1} \psi \, \mathrm{d}x \leq |\Omega|^{(2k-m)/(2k+1)} M^{(m+1)/(2k+1)}.
$$

Then

$$
\dot{M} \ge -\alpha M^{\beta} + \delta M^{\gamma} = \delta M^{\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\delta} M^{\beta - \gamma} \right)
$$

with

$$
\alpha = \frac{\lambda}{m+1} |\Omega|^{(2k-m)/(2k+1)}, \qquad \beta = \frac{m+1}{2k+1}, \qquad \delta = |\Omega|^{(2k+1-p)/(2k+1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \frac{p}{2k+1}.
$$

By (24) and (29),

$$
M^{\gamma-\beta} \geq \frac{\alpha}{\delta c}
$$

then we have

 \dot{M} > (1 – *c*) δM^{γ} > 0.

By integration,

$$
\int_{M(0)}^{M(t)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{\eta^{\gamma}} \ge (1-c) \,\delta t
$$

and

$$
M(t) \geq \left(M(0)^{1-\gamma} - (1-c)(\gamma - 1)\,\delta t\right)^{1/(1-\gamma)}.
$$

Since $\gamma > 1$, the term in brackets vanishes iff $t = \frac{M(0)^{1-\gamma}}{(1-c)(\gamma-1)\delta} =: t_7$.

Note that the upper bound t_6 , established under Neumann and homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions, satisfies $t_6 < t_7$.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my gratitude to Professor J. von Below for many helpful discussions and to the reviewer for very valuable remarks.

References

- [1] H.W. Alt, S. Luckhaus, Quasi-linear elliptic-parabolic differential equations, Math. Z. 183 (1983) 311–341.
- [2] J. von Below, A Maximum Principle for Fully Nonlinear Parabolic Equations with Time Degeneracy, in: Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 215, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000, pp. 323–333.
- [3] J. von Below, C. De Coster, A qualitative theory for parabolic problems under dynamical boundary conditions, J. Inequal. Appl. 5 (2000) 467–486.
- [4] J. von Below, G. Pincet Mailly, Blow up for reaction diffusion equations under dynamical boundary conditions, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 28 (2003) 269–298.
- [5] P. Benilan, P. Wittbold, On mild and weak solutions of elliptic–parabolic problems, Adv. Differential Equations 1 (1996) 1053–1073. ´
- [6] M. Fila, J. Filo, A blow up result for nonlinear diffusion equations, Math. Slovaca 39 (1989) 331–346.
- [7] V.A. Galaktionov, Blow up for quasilinear heat equations with critical Fujita's exponents, Adv. Differential Equations 1 (1996) 1053–1073.

- [8] S. Kaplan, On the growth of solutions of quasi-linear parabolic equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 16 (1963) 305–330.
- [9] R.E. Langer, A problem in diffusion or in the flow of heat for a solid in contact with a fluid, Tôhoku Math. J. 35 (2) (1932) 260-275.
- [10] G. Pincet Mailly, Explosion des solutions de problèmes paraboliques sous conditions au bord dynamiques, Thèse doctorale à l'Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale, 2001.
- [11] M.G. Slinko, K. Hartmann, Methoden und Programme zur Berechnung chemischer Reaktoren, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.