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#### Abstract

Recently, Armstrong, Guzmán, and Sing Long (2021), presented an optimal $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time algorithm for strict circular seriation (called also the recognition of strict quasi-circular Robinson spaces). In this paper, we give a very simple $O(n \log n)$ time algorithm for computing a compatible circular order for strict circular seriation. When the input space is not known to be strict quasi-circular Robinson, our algorithm is complemented by an $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time verification of compatibility of the returned order. This algorithm also works for recognition of other types of strict circular Robinson spaces known in the literature. We also prove that the circular Robinson dissimilarities (which are defined by the existence of compatible orders on one of the two arcs between each pair of points) are exactly the pre-circular Robinson dissimilarities (which are defined by a four-point condition).


## 1. Introduction

A major issue in classification and data analysis is to visualize simple geometrical and relational structures between objects based on their pairwise distances. The classical seriation problem (called also the matrix reordering problem), introduced by Robinson [22], asks to find a simultaneous ordering (or permutation) of the rows and the columns of the dissimilarity matrix so that its values increase monotonically in the rows and the columns when moving away from the main diagonal in both directions. The permutation which leads to a matrix with such a property is called a compatible order and dissimilarity matrices admitting a compatible order are called Robinson matrices. The Robinson matrices can be thus characterized by the existence of a compatible order $<$ and the 3 -point condition $d(x, z) \geq \max \{d(x, y), d(y, z)\}$ for any three points $x, y, z$ such that $x<y<z$. If this inequality is strict, then such a matrix is called strict Robinson.

Due to the importance in seriation and classification, the algorithmic problem of recognizing Robinson dissimilarities/matrices attracted the interest of many authors. The existing recognition algorithms can be classified into combinatorial and spectral. All combinatorial algorithms use the correspondence between Robinson dissimilarities and interval hypergraphs. The main difficulty arising in recognition algorithms is the existence of several compatible orders. The first recognition algorithm by Mirkin and Rodin [18] consists in testing if the hypergraph of balls is an interval hypergraph and runs in $O\left(n^{4}\right)$ time. Chepoi and Fichet [6] gave a simple divide-and-conquer algorithm running in $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ time. Seston [23] presented another $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ time algorithm, by using threshold graphs. In [24], he improved the complexity of his algorithm to $O\left(n^{2} \log n\right)$ by using a sorting of the data and PQ-trees. Finally, in 2014, Préa and Fortin [20] presented an algorithm running in optimal $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time. The efficiency of the algorithm of [20] is due to the use of the PQtrees of Booth and Lueker [3] as a data structure for encoding all compatible orders. Even if optimal, the algorithm of [20] is far from being simple. Subsequently, two new recognition algorithms were proposed by Laurent and Seminaroti: in [14] they presented an algorithm of complexity $O(\alpha \cdot n)$ based on classical LexBFS traversal and divide-and-conquer (where $\alpha$ is the depth of the recursion tree, which is at most the number of distinct elements of the input matrix), and in [15] they presented an $O\left(n^{2} \log n\right)$ algorithm, which extends LexBFS to weighted matrices and is used as a multisweep traversal. More recently, in [5] we gave a simple and practical $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ divide-and-conquer
algorithm based on decompositions of dissimilarity spaces into mmodules. The spectral approach was introduced by Atkins et al. [2] and was subsequently used in numerous papers. The method is based on the computation of the second smallest eigenvalue and its eigenvector of the Laplacian of a similarity matrix and also uses PQ -trees to represent the compatible orders.

A natural generalization of Robinson dissimilarities and compatible orders is to consider a circular order instead of a linear one. This is often referred to as the circular seriation problem. For origins of circular seriation see the papers $[9,10,11]$ and for recent applications of circular seriation in planar tomographic reconstruction, gene expression, DNA replication and 3D conformation, see the papers [ $7,17,16]$. For a spectral approach to circular seriation, see the papers [8, 13, 21]. At the difference of the classical seriation, where the notion of Robinson dissimilarity is a well-established standard, in circular seriation there are several non-equivalent notions of circular Robinson dissimilarities. Hubert, Arabie, and Meulman [10] were the first to define circular Robinson dissimilarities via a 4-point condition. Brucker and Osswald [4] undertaken a systematic study of various definitions of circular Robinson dissimilarities from the point of view of classification and combinatorics. In Robinson dissimilarity spaces, the sets of balls, of 2-balls (intersections of two balls), and of clusters (maximal cliques in the threshold graphs) are all interval hypergraphs. Hypercycles, introduced and investigated by Quillot [19], are the circular analogs of interval hypergraphs and are the hypergraphs whose hyperedges can be represented as circular intervals (arcs). In case of circular Robinson dissimilarities, requiring that the ball, the 2-ball, or the cluster hypergraphs are hypercycles lead to three different classes of dissimilarities. Their structural properties have been thoroughly studied by Brucker and Osswald [4]. The dissimilarities whose ball hypergraph is a hypercycle is the most general one and was characterized via a simple 4-point condition: there exists a circular order $\lessdot$ such that if $x \lessdot y \lessdot z \lessdot t$, then $d(x, z) \geq \min \{d(x, y), d(x, t)\}$. We call such dissimilarities quasicircular Robinson. Recently, Armstrong, Guzmán, and Sing Long [1] presented an optimal $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time algorithm for the recognition of strict quasi-circular Robinson dissimilarities, i.e., for which the ball hypergraph is a hypercycle and $x \lessdot y \lessdot z \lessdot t$ implies that $d(x, z)>\min \{d(x, y), d(x, t)\}$. Among other tools, their algorithm uses PQ-trees. Finally, to characterize the dissimilarities for which the 2-ball hypergraphs are hypercycles, Brucker and Osswald [4] introduced the notion of pre-circular Robinson dissimilarities: these are the dissimilarities which admit a circular order $\lessdot$ such that $x \lessdot y \lessdot z \lessdot t$ implies that $d(x, z) \geq \min \{\max \{d(x, y), d(y, z)\}, \max \{d(x, t), d(t, z)\}\}$.

In this paper, we give a very simple $O(n \log n)$ time algorithm which builds a compatible circular order for all versions of strict circular Robinson dissimilarities, introduced and investigated in the papers $[1,4,10]$. Then the adjunction of a verification step gives an optimal $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time algorithm to recognize these dissimilarities. Our second main result is proving that the pre-circular Robinson dissimilarities are exactly the dissimilarities for which there exists a circular order $\lessdot$ such that for each pair $(x, y)$, the restriction of $d$ to one of the two arcs between $x$ and $y$ is a Robinson dissimilarity (in the usual sense) and $\lessdot$ is its compatible order. To our knowledge, prior to our work no results of this kind for circular seriation were known. Our result shows that in fact pre-circular Robinson spaces should be called circular Robinson spaces. Finally, the simplicity of our algorithm led us to other structural properties of strict circular Robinson spaces, in particular we show that they admit only one or two compatible circular orders and their opposites.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Dissimilarity spaces. Let $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ be a set of $n$ elements, called points. A dissimilarity on $X$ is a symmetric function $d$ from $X^{2}$ to the nonnegative real numbers such that $d(x, y)=d(y, x) \geq 0$ and $d(x, y)=0$ if and only if $x=y$. Then $d(x, y)$ is called the distance between $x, y$ and $(X, d)$ is called a dissimilarity space. The ball (respectively, the sphere) of radius $r \geq 0$ centered at $x \in X$ is the set $B_{r}(x):=\{y \in X: d(x, y) \leq r\}$ (respectively, $\left.S_{r}(x):=\{y \in X: d(x, y)=r\}\right)$. The eccentricity of a point $x$ is $r_{x}:=\max \{d(x, y): y \in X\}$. Given a point $x \in X$, a point $y \in X$ is called a furthest neighbor of $x$ if $d(x, y)=r_{x}$. Denote by $F_{x}$ the set
of all furthest neighbors of $x$ and note that $F_{x}=S_{r_{x}}(x)$. The distance between two subsets $A, B$ of $X$ is $d(A, B)=\min \{d(a, b): a \in A, b \in B\}$.
2.2. Compatible orders and Robinson spaces. A partial order on $X$ is called linear if any two elements of $X$ are comparable. A dissimilarity $d$ and a linear order $<$ on $X$ are called compatible if $x<y<z$ implies $d(x, z) \geq \max \{d(x, y), d(y, z)\}$. If $d$ and $<$ are compatible, then $d$ is also compatible with the linear order $<^{\mathrm{op}}$ opposite to $<$. If a dissimilarity space $(X, d)$ admits a compatible order, then $d$ is said to be Robinson and $(X, d)$ is called a Robinson space. Equivalently, $(X, d)$ is Robinson if its distance matrix $D=\left(d\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)\right)$ can be symmetrically permuted so that its elements do not decrease when moving away from the main diagonal along any row or column. Such a dissimilarity matrix $D$ is said to have the Robinson property. From the definition of a Robinson dissimilarity follows that $d$ is Robinson if and only if there exists an order $<$ on $X$ such that all balls $B_{r}(x)$ of $(X, d)$ are intervals of $<$. Moreover, this property holds for all compatible orders. Basic examples of Robinson dissimilarities are the ultrametrics, thoroughly used in phylogeny. Recall, that $d$ is an ultrametric if $d(x, y) \leq \max \{d(x, z), d(y, z)\}$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Another example of a Robinson space is provided by the standard line-distance between $n$ points $p_{1}<\ldots<p_{n}$ of $\mathbb{R}$. Any line-distance has exactly two compatible orders: the order $p_{1}<\ldots<p_{n}$ defined by the coordinates of the points and its opposite.
2.3. Compatible circular orders and circular Robinson spaces. Informally speaking, a circular order on a finite set $X$ is obtained by arranging the points of $X$ on a circle $C$. Formally, a circular order is a ternary relation $\beta$ on $X$ where $\beta(u, v, w)$ expresses that the directed path from $u$ to $w$ passes through $v$. This relation is total, asymmetric, and transitive, which can be formulated in terms of Huntington's axioms [12]: for any four points $u, v, w, x$ of $X$,
(CO1) if $u, v, w$ are distinct, then $\beta(u, v, w)$ or $\beta(w, v, u)$,
(CO2) $\beta(u, v, w)$ and $\beta(w, v, u)$ is impossible,
(CO3) $\beta(u, v, w)$ implies $\beta(v, w, u)$,
(CO4) $\beta(u, v, w)$ and $\beta(u, w, x)$ imply $\beta(u, v, x)$.
It follows from this definition that only triplets of distinct points can be in the relation $\beta$ and that the reverse relation $\beta^{o p}$ defined by $\beta^{o p}(u, v, w)$ exactly when $\beta(w, v, u)$ is also a circular order. Since $X$ is finite, the circular orders on $X$ are just the orientations of the circle $C$ with points of $X$ located on $C$. We will suppose that $\beta$ corresponds to the counterclockwise order of $C$ and $\beta^{o p}$ to the clockwise order of $C$. Given a circular order $\beta$ and three distinct points $u, v, w$, we will write $u \lessdot v \lessdot w$ if $\beta(u, v, w)$ holds. For a sequence of points $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$ containing at least three distinct points, we will write $x_{1} \lessdot x_{2} \lessdot \ldots \lessdot x_{k}$ if for any $i<j<k$ with $x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{k}$ distinct, $\beta\left(x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{k}\right)$ holds. Notice that in that case, if $x_{i}=x_{j}$, then $x_{k}=x_{i}$ for each $k \in\{i, \ldots, j\}$, and that $x_{2} \lessdot x_{3} \lessdot \ldots \lessdot x_{k} \lessdot x_{1}$.

We say that a nonempty proper subset $A$ of $X$ is an arc of a circular order $\beta$ on $X$ if there are no four distinct points $u, v \in A$ and $x, y \in X \backslash A$ such that $u \lessdot x \lessdot v \lessdot y$. If $A$ is an arc, then so is $X \backslash A$. For two points $x, y \in X$, consider the $\operatorname{arcs} X_{x y}^{\beta}=\{t \in X: \beta(x, t, y)\} \cup\{x, y\}$ and $X_{y x}^{\beta}=\{t \in X: \beta(y, t, x)\} \cup\{x, y\}$. Notice that $X_{x y}^{\beta} \cup X_{y x}^{\beta}=X$ and $X_{x y}^{\beta} \cap X_{y x}^{\beta}=\{x, y\}$. Moreover, if $x \lessdot y \lessdot z$, then $X_{x y}^{\beta} \subset X_{x z}^{\beta}$ and $X_{y z}^{\beta} \subset X_{x z}^{\beta}$. For $x, y \in X$ and $Z \subset X$, we write $x \lessdot y \lessdot Z$ if for all $z \in Z$ we have $x \lessdot y \lessdot z$. Arcs are for circular orders what intervals are for linear orders. The intersection of two arcs is not necessarily an arc but we have the following elementary observation:

Lemma 1. Let $A$ and $B$ be two arcs of a circular ordered set $(X, \beta)$. If there exists $x \in X \backslash(A \cup B)$, then $A \cap B$ is an arc. If there exist $x \in B \backslash A$, then $A \backslash B$ is an arc or is empty.

Let ( $X, d$ ) be dissimilarity space, $\beta$ be a circular order on $X$ and $x, y, z, t \in X$ such that $x \lessdot y \lessdot z \lessdot t$.

- The points $x, y, z, t$ are one-side Robinson, and we denote it by $\mathrm{cR}(x, y, z, t)$, if $d(x, z) \geq$ $\min \{\max \{d(x, y), d(y, z)\}, \max \{d(x, t), d(t, z)\}\}$.
- The points $x, y, z, t$ are strictly one-side Robinson, and we denote it by $\operatorname{scR}(x, y, z, t)$, if $d(x, z)>\min \{\max \{d(x, y), d(y, z)\}, \max \{d(x, t), d(t, z)\}\}$.
- The points $x, y, z, t$ are quasi one-side Robinson, and we denote it by $\mathrm{qcR}(x, y, z, t)$, if $d(x, z) \geq \min \{d(y, z), d(t, z)\}$.
- The points $x, y, z, t$ are strictly quasi one-side Robinson, and we denote it by $\operatorname{sqcR}(x, y, z, t)$, if $d(x, z)>\min \{d(y, z), d(t, z)\}$.

Notice that the conditions $\mathrm{cR}(x, y, z, t)$ and $\mathrm{qcR}(x, y, z, t)$ trivially hold if $x=y \lessdot z \lessdot t$ or $x \lessdot y \lessdot z=t$. For $x, y, z, t \in X$ such that $x \lessdot y \lessdot z \lessdot t$, the following implications hold:


A dissimilarity space $(X, d)$ is called pre-circular Robinson if there exists a circular order $\beta$, which is said to be a compatible order, such that for all $x, y, z, t \in X$, if $x \lessdot y \lessdot z \lessdot t$ then $\mathrm{cR}(x, y, z, t)$ holds. The strictly pre-circular Robinson, quasi-circular Robinson, and strictly quasi-circular Robinson spaces are defined in a similar way by using conditions $\operatorname{scR}(x, y, z, t), \mathrm{qcR}(x, y, z, t)$, and $\operatorname{sqcR}(x, y, z, t)$, respectively. Finally, a dissimilarity space $(X, d)$ is called circular Robinson if there exists a circular order $\beta$, called a compatible order such that for all $x, y \in X$, either $\left(X_{x y}^{\beta}, d\right)$ or $\left(X_{y x}^{\beta}, d\right)$ is a Robinson space and the restriction of $\lessdot$ to the arc $X_{x y}^{\beta}$ or respectively $X_{y x}^{\beta}$ is a (linear) compatible order. If ( $X, d$ ) is a circular (respectively, pre-circular) Robinson space with a compatible circular order $\beta$, we say that $(X, d)$ is a circular (respectively, pre-circular) Robinson space. Notice also that for all definitions, if a circular order $\beta$ is compatible, then $\beta^{o p}$ is also compatible. A set $X$ of $n$ points on a circle $C$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ endowed with the arc distance or with the chord (i.e., Euclidean) distance is a basic example of a strict circular Robinson space.

Circular Robinson spaces introduced by Hubert et al. [10] generalize classical Robinson spaces and are particular pre-circular Robinson spaces (for their definition, see [4, 10]). That Robinson spaces are circular Robinson can be seen by arranging the points of $X$ on a circle $C$ according to a compatible order of $(X, d)$. Then for all $x, y \in X$, if $x<y$ in the compatible order, then $d$ is Robinson on the arc $X_{x y}^{\beta}$. (Strictly) circular Robinson spaces are (strictly) quasi-circular Robinson spaces. However not any circular order $\beta$ satisfying $\operatorname{sqcR}(x, y, z, t)$ for all quadruplets $x \lessdot y \lessdot z \lessdot t$ also satisfies $\operatorname{scR}(x, y, z, t)$. Such an example is provided in Figure 1.


Figure 1. A strict quasi-circular Robinson space $(X=\{x, y, z, t\}, d)$ with a compatible circular order $\beta$. The quadruplet $x \lessdot y \lessdot z \lessdot t$ satisfies $\operatorname{sqcR}(x, y, z, t)$ but not $\operatorname{scR}(x, y, z, t)$. Notice that the circular order obtained by reversing $z$ and $t$, i.e., such that $x \lessdot y \lessdot t \lessdot z$, satisfies the condition scR for all quadruplets.

## 3. Pre-circular Robinson spaces are circular Robinson

In this section, we characterize pre-circular Robinson spaces. Instead of relying directly on the condition $\mathrm{cR}(x, y, z, w)$, some proofs will use the following consequence of the definition of precircular Robinson spaces, stating intuitively that for any pair $u, w$, one of the $\operatorname{arcs} X_{u, w}^{\beta}, X_{w, u}^{\beta}$ has only chords shorter than $d(u, w)$.
Lemma 2. Let $(X, d)$ be a pre-circular Robinson space with a compatible circular order $\beta$ and points $u \lessdot y \lessdot y^{\prime} \lessdot w \lessdot z \lessdot z^{\prime}$, where $u$ and $w$ are distinct. Then $d(u, w) \geq \min \left\{d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right), d\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right\}$. Moreover, if $(X, d)$ is strictly pre-circular Robinson, then this inequality is strict.
Proof. We present the proof for the non-strict case, the strict case being slightly simpler. For sake of contradiction, assume $u \lessdot y \lessdot y^{\prime} \lessdot w \lessdot z \lessdot z^{\prime}$ is a counterexample with a minimum number of distinct points, implying that $d(u, w)<d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)$ and $d(u, w)<d\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$. $\operatorname{By} \operatorname{cR}\left(u, y, y^{\prime}, w\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(u, y^{\prime}\right) & \geq \min \left\{\max \left\{d(u, y), d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right\}, \max \left\{d\left(y^{\prime}, w\right), d(w, u)\right\}\right\} \\
& \geq \min \left\{d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right), d(w, u)\right\} \\
& =d(w, u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $d\left(u, y^{\prime}\right)=d(w, u)$, then $u \lessdot y \lessdot w \lessdot w \lessdot z \lessdot z^{\prime}$ is a counterexample. If $d\left(u, y^{\prime}\right)>d(w, u)$, then $u \lessdot u \lessdot y^{\prime} \lessdot w \lessdot z \lessdot z^{\prime}$ is a counterexample. By the minimality of our counterexample, we conclude that either $u=y$ or $w=y^{\prime}$. Symmetrically, either $z=w$ or $z^{\prime}=x$. We also have that $\left\{y, y^{\prime}\right\} \neq\{u, w\}$. Hence, let $y^{\prime \prime} \in\left\{y, y^{\prime}\right\} \backslash\{u, w\}$ and $z^{\prime \prime} \in\left\{z, z^{\prime}\right\} \backslash\{u, w\}$. By $\operatorname{cR}\left(u, y^{\prime \prime}, w, z^{\prime \prime}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(u, w) & \geq \min \left\{\max \left\{d\left(u, y^{\prime \prime}\right), d\left(y^{\prime \prime}, w\right)\right\}, \max \left\{d\left(w, z^{\prime \prime}\right), d\left(z^{\prime \prime}, u\right)\right\}\right\} \\
& \geq \min \left\{d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right), d\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left\{y, y^{\prime}\right\}$ is either $\left\{u, y^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ or $\left\{y^{\prime \prime}, w\right\}$, and $\left\{z, z^{\prime}\right\}$ is either $\left\{w, z^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ or $\left\{z^{\prime \prime}, u\right\}$. This is in contradiction with the minimality of the counterexample.

As a consequence we have:
Lemma 3. Let $(X, d)$ be a pre-circular Robinson space with a compatible circular order $\beta$ and $x, y, z$ be three arbitrary points of $X$ such that $x \lessdot y \lessdot z$. If $d(x, z)<\max \{d(x, y), d(y, z)\}$, then $\left(X_{z x}^{\beta}, d\right)$ is a Robinson space.

Proof. Let $y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime} \in\{x, y, z\}$ be such that $d(x, z)<d\left(y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $x \lessdot y^{\prime} \lessdot y^{\prime \prime} \lessdot z$. Pick any points $u, v, w \in X_{z x}^{\beta}$ such that $z \lessdot u \lessdot v \lessdot w \lessdot x$ (we may have $u=z$ or $w=x$ ) and suppose by way of contradiction that $d(u, w)<\max \{d(u, v), d(v, w)\}$, let $v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime} \in\{u, v, w\}$ be such that $u \lessdot v^{\prime} \lessdot v^{\prime \prime} \lessdot w$ and $d(u, w)<d\left(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)$. If $d(u, w) \leq d(x, z)$, then $d(u, w)<d\left(y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$, and by Lemma 2 on $w \lessdot y^{\prime} \lessdot y^{\prime \prime} \lessdot u \lessdot v^{\prime} \lessdot v^{\prime \prime}$, this is a contradiction. If $d(u, w)>d(x, z)$, then $d(x, z)<d\left(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)$, and by Lemma 2 on $x \lessdot y^{\prime} \lessdot y^{\prime \prime} \lessdot z \lessdot v^{\prime} \lessdot v^{\prime \prime}$ we obtain again a contradiction.

Now, we can prove our first main result:
Theorem 1. A dissimilarity space $(X, d)$ is pre-circular Robinson if and only if $(X, d)$ is circular Robinson.

Proof. To prove the theorem, first suppose that $(X, d)$ is a circular Robinson space and $\beta$ is a compatible circular order on $X$. Pick any $x, y, z, t \in X$ such that $x \lessdot y \lessdot z \lessdot t$. By definition of $\beta, \lessdot$ is a compatible linear order on the arc $X_{x z}^{\beta}$ or $X_{z x}^{\beta}$. In the first case, since $y \in X_{x z}^{\beta}$, we have $d(x, z) \geq$ $\max \{d(x, y), d(y, z)\}$. In the second case, since $t \in X_{z x}^{\beta}$, we have $d(x, z) \geq \max \{d(x, t), d(t, z)\}$. Consequently, $d(x, z) \geq \min \{\max \{d(x, y), d(y, z)\}, \max \{d(x, t), d(t, z)\}\}$, establishing that $(X, d)$ is a pre-circular Robinson space.

Conversely, let $(X, d)$ be a pre-circular Robinson space and $\beta$ be a compatible circular order. Pick any pair of points $a, b$ of $X$. If $\left(X_{a b}^{\beta}, d\right)$ is not a Robinson space, then there exists three points
$x, y, z \in X_{a b}^{\beta}$ such that $x \lessdot y \lessdot z$ and $d(x, z)<\max \{d(x, y), d(y, z)\}$. By Lemma $3,\left(X_{z x}^{\beta}, d\right)$ is a Robinson space. Since $X_{b a}^{\beta} \subset X_{z x}^{\beta}$, this proves that $\left(X_{b a}^{\beta}, d\right)$ is Robinson, establishing that $(X, d)$ is a circular Robinson space and $\beta$ is a compatible circular order.

As a consequence, we say that $(X, d)$ is a strictly circular Robinson space if it is a strictly pre-circular Robinson space.

## 4. Properties of quasi-circular and strict circular Robinson spaces

In this section, we present several properties of (strict) quasi-circular and circular Robinson spaces. We also show how to verify if a dissimilarity space is (strictly) quasi-circular Robinson or (strictly) circular Robinson with respect to a given circular order.
4.1. Properties of (strictly) quasi-circular Robinson spaces. In this subsection, we recall or present some properties of (strictly) quasi-circular Robinson spaces. We start with the following characterization of quasi-circular Robinson spaces of [4]:

Proposition 1. [4] A dissimilarity space ( $X, d$ ) is quasi-circular Robinson if and only if there exists a circular order $\beta$ such that any $x \in X$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, the ball $B_{r}(x)$ and its complement $X \backslash B_{r}(x)=\{t \in X: d(x, t)>r\}$ are arcs of $\beta$.

Proof. First suppose that $(X, d)$ is a quasi-circular Robinson space and $\beta$ is a compatible circular order. Let $y \lessdot u \lessdot z \lessdot v$ be four distinct points with $y, z \in B_{r}(x)$. We may assume $v \lessdot x \lessdot y$ by symmetry. $\operatorname{By} \operatorname{qcR}(x, u, z, v), r \geq d(x, z) \geq \min \{d(x, u), d(z, v)\}$, that is either $u \in B_{r}(x)$ or $v \in B_{r}(x)$, proving that $B_{r}(x)$ is an arc. Conversely, suppose that there exists a circular order $\beta$ such that each ball $B_{r}(x)$ is an arc of $\beta$. Pick arbitrary points $x, y, z, t \in X$ such that $x \lessdot y \lessdot z \lessdot t$. Let $r=d(x, z)$. Since $B_{r}(z)$ is an arc of $\beta$ and $\beta(x, y, z), \beta(z, t, x)$ hold, either $y$ or $t$ must belong to the ball $B_{r}(z)$. Consequently, $d(x, z) \geq \min \{d(y, z), d(t, z)\}$, establishing $\mathrm{qcR}(x, y, z, t)$.

Let $(X, d)$ be a quasi-circular Robinson space and $\beta$ be a compatible circular order. For any point $x \in X$, recall that $F_{x}$ consists of all furthest neighbors of $x$ and $r_{x}$ is the eccentricity of $x$. Let $M_{x}:=X \backslash\left(F_{x} \cup\{x\}\right)$. Since $M_{x} \cup\{x\}$ is the ball $B_{r}(x)$, where $r$ is strictly smaller but sufficiently close to $r_{x}$, by Proposition 1, $M_{x} \cup\{x\}$ and $F_{x}$ are complementary arcs of $\beta$. Consequently, the set $M_{x}$ is partitioned into two $\operatorname{arcs} L_{x}:=\left\{t \in M_{x}: x \lessdot t \lessdot F_{x}\right\}$ and $R_{x}:=\left\{t \in M_{x}: F_{x} \lessdot t \lessdot x\right\}$ (left and right arcs), where one of those arcs may be empty. Two points $y, y^{\prime} \in M_{x}$ are called $x$-separated if they belong to distinct $\operatorname{arcs} L_{x}$ and $R_{x}$.

Lemma 4. Let $(X, d)$ be a quasi-circular Robinson space, $\beta$ be a compatible circular order, and $x$ any point of $X$. If $y, z \in L_{x}$ and $x \lessdot y \lessdot z$ or $y, z \in R_{x}$ and $z \lessdot y \lessdot x$, then $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z)$. Moreover, if $(X, d)$ is strict quasi-circular, then $d(x, y)<d(x, z)$.

Proof. Let $y, z \in L_{x}$ with $x \lessdot y \lessdot z$. Let $t \in F_{x}$. By $\operatorname{qcR}(x, y, z, t)$ and since $d(x, z)<d(x, t)$, we obtain that $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z)$. The proof for $y, z \in R_{x}$ is similar.

Lemma 5. Let $(X, d)$ be a strict quasi-circular Robinson space, $\beta$ be a compatible circular order, and $x$ any point of $X$. Then any sphere $S_{r}(x)$ contains at most two points. Furthermore, if $r<r_{x}$ and $S_{r}(x)$ consists of two points $y, y^{\prime}$, then $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ are $x$-separated.

Proof. Suppose by way of contradiction that there exist three points $y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime} \in S_{r}(x)$. We can suppose, with no loss of generality, that $x \lessdot y \lessdot y^{\prime} \lessdot y^{\prime \prime}$. But this contradicts qcR $\left(y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, x, y\right)$. Since ( $X, d$ ) is strict quasi-circular, by Lemma $4,\left|S_{r}(x) \cap L_{x}\right| \leq 1$ and $\left|S_{r}(x) \cap R_{x}\right| \leq 1$, proving the second assertion.
4.2. Properties of (strictly) circular Robinson spaces. In this subsection, we present some properties of (strictly) circular Robinson spaces.

Proposition 2. Let $(X, d)$ be a circular Robinson space with a compatible order $\beta$. Then for all $x, y \in X, x^{\prime} \in F_{x}, y^{\prime} \in F_{y}$ with $\left|\left\{x, x^{\prime}, y, y^{\prime}\right\}\right| \geq 3$, one of the following assertions holds:
(a) $x \lessdot y \lessdot x^{\prime} \lessdot y^{\prime}$,
(b) $x \lessdot y^{\prime} \lessdot x^{\prime} \lessdot y$,
(c) $\left\{y, y^{\prime}\right\} \cap F_{x} \neq \varnothing$ or $\left\{x, x^{\prime}\right\} \cap F_{y} \neq \varnothing$.

Moreover if $(X, d)$ is strictly circular Robinson, then either (a) or (b) holds.
Proof. Suppose first that $(X, d)$ is strictly circular Robinson. For sake of contradiction, assume none of these assertions holds. There are two cases depending on the order (up to reversal) of $x, y, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$.

If $x \lessdot y \lessdot y^{\prime} \lessdot x^{\prime}$, by $\operatorname{scR}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{scR}\left(y, y^{\prime}, x^{\prime}, x\right)$, and since $x^{\prime} \in F_{x}, y^{\prime} \in F_{y}$, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & \geq d\left(x, y^{\prime}\right) \\
& >\min \left\{\max \left\{d(x, y), d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right\}, \max \left\{d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right), d\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right\} \\
& \geq \min \left\{d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right), \max \left\{d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right), d\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right\} \\
& \geq \min \left\{d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right), d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right\}, \\
d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) & \geq d\left(y, x^{\prime}\right) \\
& >\min \left\{\max \left\{d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right), d\left(y^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right\}, \max \left\{d(y, x), d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right\} \\
& \geq \min \left\{\max \left\{d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right\}, d\left(y^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right\}, d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& \geq \min \left\{d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right), d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this, we get that $d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)>d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)$ and $d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)>d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$, a contradiction.
If $x \lessdot y^{\prime} \lessdot y \lessdot x^{\prime}$, then by $\operatorname{scR}\left(x, y^{\prime}, y, x^{\prime}\right)$ and using that $x^{\prime} \in F_{x}, y^{\prime} \in F_{y}$, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min \left\{d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right), d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right\} & \geq d(x, y) \\
& >\min \left\{\max \left\{d\left(x, y^{\prime}\right), d\left(y^{\prime}, y\right)\right\}, \max \left\{d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right), d\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)\right\}\right\} \\
& \geq \min \left\{d\left(y^{\prime}, y\right), d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction.
Suppose now that $(X, d)$ is non-strictly circular Robinson. We follow the same argument. In the first case, instead of a contradiction, we get that $d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)$. Applying $\mathrm{cR}\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{cR}\left(y, y^{\prime}, x^{\prime}, x\right)$, we conclude that $y^{\prime} \in F_{x}$ and $x^{\prime} \in F_{y}$, implying ( $c$ ). In the second case, we get that $d(x, y)=\min \left\{d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right), d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right\}$, implying either $y \in F_{x}$ or $x \in F_{y}$, that is $(c)$.

Now, we determine the conditions that make a circular order $\beta$, compatible with a quasi-circular Robinson space $(X, d)$, not compatible with respect to circular Robinson property of $(X, d)$.
Proposition 3. Let $(X, d)$ be a (strict) quasi-circular Robinson space and $\beta$ a compatible order, such that $(X, d)$ is not (strict) circular Robinson with respect to $\beta$. Then there exist $x, y \in X$, $x^{\prime} \in F_{x}, y^{\prime} \in F_{y}$ such that $x \lessdot x^{\prime} \lessdot y \lessdot y^{\prime}$ or $x \lessdot y^{\prime} \lessdot y \lessdot x^{\prime}$. Moreover, in the non-strict case, we may also assume that $x, x^{\prime} \notin F_{y}$ and $y, y^{\prime} \notin F_{x}$.
Proof. We first prove the strict case. Let $x \lessdot u \lessdot y \lessdot v$ be such that $\operatorname{scR}(x, u, y, v)$ does not hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(x, y) \leq \min \{\max \{d(x, u), d(u, y)\}, \max \{d(x, v), d(v, y)\}\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\operatorname{By} \operatorname{sqcR}(x, u, y, v)$ and $\operatorname{sqcR}(y, v, x, u)$, we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
d(x, y) & >\min \{d(x, u), d(x, v)\},  \tag{2}\\
d(x, y) & >\min \{d(y, u), d(y, v)\} . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining these inequations, we get:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\min \{d(x, u), d(x, v)\}<\max \{d(x, u), d(u, y)\}, & \min \{d(x, u), d(x, v)\}<\max \{d(x, v), d(v, y)\}, \\
\min \{d(y, u), d(y, v)\}<\max \{d(x, u), d(u, y)\}, & \min \{d(y, u), d(y, v)\}<\max \{d(x, v), d(v, y)\},
\end{array}
$$

and then:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
d(x, v)<d(x, u) \vee d(x, u)<d(u, y), & & d(x, u)<d(x, v) \vee d(x, v)<d(v, y), \\
d(y, v)<d(y, u) \vee d(y, u)<d(x, u), & & d(y, u)<d(y, v) \vee d(y, v)<d(x, v),
\end{array}
$$

which is equivalent to the disjunction of these two symmetric assertions:
(i) $d(x, v)<d(x, u), d(x, v)<d(v, y), d(y, u)<d(y, v)$, and $d(y, u)<d(x, u)$,
(ii) $d(x, v)>d(x, u), d(x, v)>d(v, y), d(y, u)>d(y, v)$, and $d(y, u)>d(x, u)$.

We may assume the first. Then $d(x, v)=\min \{d(x, v), d(x, u)\}<d(x, y) \leq \min \{d(x, u), d(v, y)\} \leq$ $d(x, u)$ (by Inequalities (1) and (2)), which implies by the strict unimodality of distances from $x$ that $F_{x} \subseteq X_{u y}^{\beta}$. Similarly, $d(y, u)<d(x, y) \leq d(y, v)$ which implies that $F_{y} \subseteq X_{v x}^{\beta}$. Consequently, if $x^{\prime} \in F_{x}$ and $y^{\prime} \in F_{y}$, then we get $x \lessdot x^{\prime} \lessdot y \lessdot y^{\prime}$, as expected.

In the non-strict case, Inequality (1) becomes a strict inequality, while Inequalities (2) and (3) become non-strict inequalities. Combining these inequations, we get the same conclusion as in the strict case. For example, in the first case we get that $d(x, v)=\min \{d(x, v), d(x, u)\} \leq d(x, y)<$ $\min \{d(x, u), d(v, y)\} \leq d(x, u)$, yielding $d(x, v) \leq d(x, y)<d(x, u)$ and $d(y, u) \leq d(x, y)<d(y, v)$. By unimodality of distances, we conclude that $F_{x} \subseteq X_{u y}^{\beta}$ and $F_{y} \subseteq X_{v x}^{\beta}$. Consequently, if $x^{\prime} \in F_{x}$ and $y^{\prime} \in F_{y}$, then we get $x \lessdot x^{\prime} \lessdot y \lessdot y^{\prime}$. Moreover, $y \notin F_{x}$ and $x \notin F_{y}$. Since $x \lessdot x^{\prime} \lessdot v \lessdot y^{\prime}$ and $d(x, v)<d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$, by $\operatorname{qcR}\left(x, x^{\prime}, v, y^{\prime}\right)$ we conclude that $d(x, v) \geq d\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)$, yielding $y^{\prime} \notin F_{x}$. Analogously, one can show that $x^{\prime} \notin F_{y}$.
4.3. Verification of compatibility. In this subsection, given circular order $\beta$, we describe how to verify in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ if a dissimilarity space ( $X, d$ ) on $n$ points is (strictly) quasi-circular Robinson or (strictly) circular Robinson with respect to $\beta$. This verification task can also be done in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ for strict circular Robinson spaces, as defined in [10].

To test whether $(X, d)$ is (strictly) quasi-circular Robinson with respect to $\beta$, by Proposition 1 we have to test whether all balls of $(X, d)$ are arcs of $\beta$. This can be done in the following way. Let $D$ be the distance matrix of $(X, d)$ ordered according to the circular order $\beta$. The matrix $D$ is called unimodal if for each row $i$, when moving circularly from the element $d_{i i}$ on the main diagonal of $D$ to the right until the last element $d_{i n}$ and then from the first element $d_{i 1}$ until $d_{i i}$, the elements first increase monotonically, stay at the maximal values, and then decrease monotonically. Since $D$ is symmetric, the same monotonicity property holds also for each column $i$ : moving down from $d_{i i}$ until $d_{n i}$ and then from $d_{1 i}$ until $d_{i i}$, the elements first increase monotonically, stay at the maximal value, and then decrease monotonically. We say that $D$ is strictly unimodal if the values strictly increase, have one or two maximal elements, and then strictly decrease. It was shown in [1, Proposition 3.7] that $\beta$ is a compatible circular order for a quasi-circular Robinson space (respectively, strictly quasi-circular Robinson space) if and only if $D$ is unimodal (respectively, strictly unimodal). From the definition, testing if $D$ is (strictly) unimodal can be easily done in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time. In case of strict unimodality we also have to check that each row has at most two maximal elements (this correspond to computing for each $x \in X$ the set $F_{x}$ and checking if $\left|F_{x}\right| \leq 2$ ). Notice that for strictly circular Robinson spaces defined in [10], this testing task can be also done in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time.

Next, we consider the task of testing whether $(X, d)$ is (strictly) circular Robinson with respect to a circular order $\beta$. As (strictly) circular Robinson spaces are particular cases of (strictly) quasiRobinson spaces, the (strict) unimodality of the distance matrix $D$ is a necessary condition for compatibility. Under this condition, we can use Proposition 3. Namely, we compute the arc $F_{x}$ for each $x \in X$, and store the indices of its extremities. This can be done by dichotomy (using $\beta$ ) in
$O(n \log n)$ total time. Then for each pair $x, y \in X$, we can check in constant time whether there are $x^{\prime} \in F_{x}, y^{\prime} \in F_{y}$ as given in Proposition 3. If such elements exist, then by Proposition 2, ( $X, d$ ) is not (strictly) circular Robinson. Otherwise, $(X, d)$ is (strictly) circular Robinson with respect to $\beta$. This testing task can be done in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time. As a consequence, we have the following result:

Proposition 4. For a dissimilarity space $(X, d)$ on $n$ points and a circular order $\beta$ on $X$, one can check in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time whether, with respect to $\beta,(X, d)$ is (1) (strictly) quasi-circular Robinson, (2) (strictly) circular Robinson.

## 5. The Recognition algorithm

In this section, we describe a simple but optimal algorithm to recognize strictly circular Robinson spaces and strictly quasi-circular Robinson spaces. Our algorithm consists in partitioning $X$ into four sets with respect to any point $x \in X$ and any $x^{\prime} \in F_{x}$. We prove that those four sets are arcs in any compatible circular order $\beta$ and that the restriction of $\beta$ to each of these four sets is obtained by sorting its points by distances to $x$. Concatenating these four arcs, we obtain two circular orders. Finally, it suffices to verify that one of these circular orders is compatible. This also shows that any strict circular Robinson space (in each of the three versions) has one or two compatible circular orders and their opposites.
5.1. How to define arcs $X_{x y}^{\beta}$ metrically. Given a dissimilarity space $(X, d)$ and two distinct points $x, y \in X$, we set $J^{\circ}(x, y)=\{u \in X: d(x, y)>\max \{d(x, u), d(u, y)\}\}$ and $J(x, y)=$ $J^{\circ}(x, y) \cup\{x, y\}$. In all results of this subsection, we assume that $(X, d)$ is a strict quasi-circular Robinson space and $\beta$ is an arbitrary compatible circular order on $X$.

Lemma 6. Let $x \lessdot y \lessdot z$ be three points of $X$ such that $d(x, y) \leq \min \{d(x, z), d(y, z)\}$. Then $X_{x y}^{\beta}=J(x, y)$.
Proof. First, let $v \in X_{x y}^{\beta} \backslash\{x, y\}$, i.e., $x \lessdot v \lessdot y$. $\operatorname{By~} \operatorname{sqcR}(x, v, y, z)$ and since $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z)$, we have $d(x, v)<d(x, y)$. By $\operatorname{sqcR}(y, z, v, x)$ and since $d(y, x) \leq d(y, z)$, we have $d(y, u)<d(y, x)$. Hence $X_{x y}^{\beta} \subseteq J(x, y)$. To prove the converse inclusion, let $u \in X_{y z}^{\beta} \backslash\{y, z\}$, that is $y \lessdot u \lessdot z$. $\operatorname{By} \operatorname{sqcR}(x, y, u, z), d(x, u)>\min \{d(x, y), d(x, z)\} \geq d(x, y)$, hence $u \notin J^{\circ}(x, y)$. Similarly if $u \in X_{z x}^{\beta} \backslash\{z, x\}$, applying $\operatorname{sqcR}(y, z, u, x)$ we also get $u \notin J^{\circ}(x, y)$. Consequently, $\left(X_{y z}^{\beta} \cup X_{z x}^{\beta}\right) \cap$ $J^{\circ}(x, y)=\{x, y\}$, establishing the inclusion $J(x, y) \subseteq X_{x y}^{\beta}$. Thus $X_{x y}^{\beta}=J(x, y)$.

Now, let $x$ be an arbitrary point of $X$ and $x^{\prime} \in F_{x}$. Let $N=\left\{u \in X: d(u, x) \leq d\left(u, x^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ and $F=\left\{u \in X: d(u, x) \geq d\left(u, x^{\prime}\right)\right\}$. Note that $N \cup F=X$ and $x \in N \backslash F, x^{\prime} \in F \backslash N$.

Lemma 7. $N$ and $F$ are arcs of $\beta$.
Proof. It suffices to prove that $N$ is an arc, as $F=X \backslash N$ and $x^{\prime} \in F \neq \varnothing$. Let $y, z \in X \backslash\left\{x, x^{\prime}\right\}$ be distinct points with $x \lessdot y \lessdot z \lessdot x^{\prime}$ and $z$ in $N$. We assert that $y \in N$. By $\operatorname{sqcR}\left(x, y, z, x^{\prime}\right)$, we have $d(x, y)<d(x, z) . \operatorname{By} \operatorname{sqcR}\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime}, y, z\right)$,

$$
d\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)>\min \left\{d\left(x^{\prime}, z\right), d\left(x^{\prime}, x\right)\right\} \geq \min \{d(x, z),(x, z)\}=d(x, z)>d(x, y)
$$

where the second inequality follows from that facts that $z \in N$ and $x^{\prime} \in F_{x}$. This implies that $y \in N$. Symmetrically, if $z \lessdot y \lessdot x$ with $z \in N$, then $y \in N$. Hence, $N$ is an arc of $\beta$.

Lemma 8. If there exists $y \in X$ with $d(x, y)=d\left(y, x^{\prime}\right)$, then $J(x, y) \cup J\left(y, x^{\prime}\right)$ either coincides with $X_{x x^{\prime}}^{\beta}$ when $x \lessdot y \lessdot x^{\prime}$ or with $X_{x^{\prime} x}^{\beta}$ when $x^{\prime} \lessdot y \lessdot x$.
Proof. Suppose without loss of generality that $x \lessdot y \lessdot x^{\prime}$ (see Figure 2 (a)). Since $d(x, y)=d\left(y, x^{\prime}\right)$ and $d(x, y) \leq d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$, by Lemma 6 we conclude that $X_{x y}^{\beta}=J(x, y)$. By (CO3), we have $y \lessdot x^{\prime} \lessdot x$. From the choice of the points $x^{\prime} \in F_{x}$ and $y$ we have $d\left(y, x^{\prime}\right) \leq \min \left\{d\left(x^{\prime}, x\right), d(y, x)\right\}$. By Lemma 6


Figure 2. Configurations occurring in (a) Lemma 8 and (b) Lemma 9. In (b), the positions of $z$ and $z^{\prime}$ may be exchanged, as well as those of $y$ and $y^{\prime}$.
we conclude that $X_{y x^{\prime}}^{\beta}=J\left(y, x^{\prime}\right)$. Finally, since $x \lessdot y \lessdot x^{\prime}$, we have $X_{x x^{\prime}}^{\beta}=X_{x y}^{\beta} \cup X_{y x^{\prime}}^{\beta}$, yielding $X_{x x^{\prime}}^{\beta}=J(x, y) \cup J\left(y, x^{\prime}\right)$.

Consequently, if a point $y$ with $d(x, y)=d\left(y, x^{\prime}\right)$ exists, then according to Lemma 8, the circular order $\beta$ such that $x \lessdot y \lessdot x^{\prime}$ can be computed in $O(n \log n)$ time. This is done by computing $X_{x x^{\prime}}^{\beta}=J(x, y) \cup J\left(y, x^{\prime}\right)$, then ordering the points of $X_{x x^{\prime}}^{\beta}$ and of its complement $X \backslash X_{x x^{\prime}}^{\beta}$ by distances to $x$, by Lemma 4. Notice that it this case the compatible circular order $\beta$ is unique up to reversal. Thus, we may next assume that $N \cap F=\varnothing$.
Lemma 9. If $N \cap F=\varnothing$, then there exist $z, z^{\prime} \in N$ and $y, y^{\prime} \in F$ such that $N=X_{z x}^{\beta} \cup X_{x z^{\prime}}^{\beta}$ and $F=X_{y x^{\prime}}^{\beta} \cup X_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}}^{\beta}$. The pairs $\left\{z, z^{\prime}\right\},\left\{y, y^{\prime}\right\}$ and the partition $X_{z x}^{\beta} \cup X_{x z^{\prime}}^{\beta} \cup X_{y x^{\prime}}^{\beta} \cup X_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}}^{\beta}$ can be computed in $O(n)$ time.

Proof. Assume that $N \neq\{x\}$, and let $z \in N$ with $d(x, z)$ maximal (see Figure 2 (b)). Then applying Lemma 6 to $x, z, x^{\prime}$, we have that $J(x, z)$ is either $X_{x z}^{\beta}$ or $X_{z x}^{\beta}$ (depending of whether $x \lessdot z \lessdot x^{\prime}$ or $\left.x^{\prime} \lessdot z \lessdot x\right)$. We denote $N^{\prime}=J(x, z)$. If $N^{\prime \prime}=N \backslash N^{\prime} \neq \varnothing$, let $z^{\prime} \in N^{\prime \prime}$ with $d\left(x, z^{\prime}\right)$ maximal. By Lemma $6, J\left(x, z^{\prime}\right)$ is either $X_{x z^{\prime}}^{\beta}$ or $X_{z^{\prime} x}^{\beta}$. By Lemma $4, z$ and $z^{\prime}$ are $x$-separated, that is:

- either $J\left(x, z^{\prime}\right)=X_{z^{\prime} x}^{\beta}$ and $J(x, z)=X_{x z}^{\beta}$,
- or $J(x, z)=X_{z x}^{\beta}$ and $J\left(x, z^{\prime}\right)=X_{x z^{\prime}}^{\beta}$.

By the choice of $z$ and $z^{\prime}$, we conclude that $N=J(x, z) \cup J\left(x, z^{\prime}\right)$. If $z$ or $z^{\prime}$ are not defined (because $N=\{x\}$ or $N^{\prime}=\varnothing$ ), we may suppose them equal to $x$.

Pick any $y \in F$. Then $d\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)<d(y, x) \leq d\left(x^{\prime}, x\right)$. Thus we can also use Lemma 6 and get similarly that there exist points $y, y^{\prime} \in F$ (where $y \in F$ with $d\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)$ maximal, $F^{\prime}=J\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)$, and $y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime \prime}=F \backslash F^{\prime}$ with $d\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ maximal) such that

- either $J\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=X_{y^{\prime} x^{\prime}}^{\beta}$ and $J\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)=X_{x^{\prime} y}^{\beta}$,
- or $J\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)=X_{y x^{\prime}}^{\beta}$ and $J\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=X_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}}^{\beta}$,
and $F=J\left(x^{\prime}, y\right) \cup J\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$. From their definition, it immediately follows that the pairs $\left\{z, z^{\prime}\right\}$, $\left\{y, y^{\prime}\right\}$ and the partition $X_{z x}^{\beta} \cup X_{x z^{\prime}}^{\beta} \cup X_{y x^{\prime}}^{\beta} \cup X_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}}^{\beta}$ can be computed in $O(n)$ time.

Sorting by distances to $x$ and to $x^{\prime}$, we can find in $O(n \log n)$ time the linear orders of $N$ and $F$ such that each of these orders is either as in $\beta$ or in $\beta^{o p}$. It remains to determine whether the two chosen linear orders are correctly merged in a circular order. This is done by the following result:
Lemma 10. Let $N=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$ and $F=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{\ell}\right\}$ be such that
(a) either $x_{1} \lessdot_{1} x_{2} \lessdot_{1} \ldots \lessdot_{1} x_{k} \lessdot_{1} y_{1} \lessdot_{1} y_{2} \lessdot_{1} \ldots \lessdot_{1} y_{\ell}$,
(b) or $x_{k} \lessdot_{2} x_{k-1} \lessdot_{2} \ldots \lessdot_{2} x_{1} \lessdot_{2} y_{1} \lessdot_{2} y_{2} \lessdot_{2} \ldots \lessdot_{2} y_{\ell}$.

One can decide which of these two circular orders (possibly both) is compatible in $O(n)$ time.

Proof. Denote the circular order from (a) by $\beta_{1}$ and the circular order from (b) by $\beta_{2}$. Suppose that $\beta_{1}$ is not compatible, that is $\beta_{2}$ is compatible. Then there is a quadruplet $u \lessdot_{1} v \lessdot_{1} w \lessdot_{1} z$ with $d(u, w) \leq \min \{d(u, v), d(u, z)\}$ or $d(v, z) \leq \min \{d(v, w), d(v, u)\}$ or $d(w, u) \leq \min \{d(w, z), d(w, v)\}$ or $d(z, v) \leq \min \{d(z, u), d(z, w)\}$, say the first. Since $\beta_{2}$ is a compatible circular order, we must have $u=x_{i}, v=x_{i^{\prime}}, w=y_{j}$, and $z=y_{j^{\prime}}$ with $i<i^{\prime}$ and $j<j^{\prime}$. If such a quadruplet exists, then we may assume that $j=1$ and $j^{\prime}=2$. Indeed, the distances of the points of $F$ from $x_{i}$ are strictly increasing, then maximal, then strictly decreasing, thus by the existence of $j$ and $j^{\prime}$ the increasing sequence is non-empty and $d\left(x_{i}, y_{1}\right)<d\left(x_{i}, y_{2}\right)$. Moreover, $d\left(x_{i}, y_{1}\right) \leq d\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)<d\left(x_{i}, x_{i^{\prime}}\right)$.

Furthermore, we may also assume that $i^{\prime}=k$. Indeed, if $d\left(x_{i}, x_{k}\right)<d\left(x_{i}, y_{1}\right)$, then $d\left(x_{i}, x_{k}\right)<$ $\max \left\{d\left(x_{i}, x_{i}^{\prime}\right), d\left(x_{i}, y_{2}\right)\right\}$, which implies that $x_{i} \lessdot_{2} x_{i^{\prime}} \lessdot_{2} x_{k} \lessdot_{2} y_{2}$ is a quadruplet violating compatibility of $\beta_{2}$, a contradiction. This proves that $d\left(x_{i}, x_{k}\right) \geq d\left(x_{i}, y_{1}\right)$, hence $x_{i}, x_{k}, y_{1}, y_{2}$ is a violating quadruplet. Thus, it is enough to check $\operatorname{sqcR}\left(x_{i}, x_{k}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{sqcR}\left(x_{i}, y_{l-1}, y_{l}, x_{1}\right)$ for every point $x_{i}$, and $\operatorname{sqcR}\left(y_{j}, y_{\ell}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{sqcR}\left(y_{j}, x_{k-1}, x_{k}, y_{1}\right)$ for every point $y_{j}$. Obviously, all these conditions can be tested in total $O(n)$ time.
5.2. The algorithm. The previous discussion leads to an algorithm for finding a compatible order, presented in Algorithms 1 and 2. The function $\operatorname{Sort}(x, S)$ sorts $S$ by increasing values of $d(x, t)$ for $t \in S$ (we call this an $x$-sorting of the set $S$ ) and the function ReverseSort $(S, x)$ sorts $S$ by decreasing values of $d(x, t)$. The operator + between two sequences represents their concatenation into a circular order. Notice that the same algorithm works for strictly circular and strictly quasicircular Robinson dissimilarities, and that the algorithm always outputs an ordering, which may be arbitrary if the dissimilarity space is not strictly circular or strictly quasi-circular Robinson.

```
Algorithm 1 FindCompatibleOrder
Input: A dissimilarity space \((X, d)\).
Output: A total ordering of \(X\), compatible if \((X, d)\) is (quasi-)circular Robinson.
    let \(x \in X, x^{\prime} \in F_{x}\)
    let \(N=\left\{u \in X: d(u, x) \leq d\left(u, x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\)
    let \(F=\left\{u \in X: d\left(u, x^{\prime}\right) \leq d(u, x)\right\}\)
    if \(N \cap F \neq \varnothing\) then
        let \(y \in N \cup F\)
        let \(X_{1}=J(x, y) \cup J\left(y, x^{\prime}\right)\)
        let \(X_{2}=X \backslash X_{1} \backslash\left\{x, x^{\prime}\right\}\)
        return \(\operatorname{Sort}\left(x, X_{1}\right)+\operatorname{ReverseSort}\left(x, X_{2}\right)\)
    else
        let \(z=\arg \max _{u \in N} d(x, u)\) and \(y=\arg \max _{u \in F} d\left(x^{\prime}, u\right)\)
        let \(N^{\prime}=J(x, z)\) and \(F^{\prime}=J\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)\)
        let \(X_{N}=\operatorname{ReverseSort}\left(x, N \backslash N^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{Sort}\left(x, N^{\prime}\right)\)
        let \(X_{F}=\operatorname{Sort}\left(x^{\prime}, F \backslash F^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{ReverseSort}\left(x^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right)\)
        if OrdersAgree \(\left(X_{N}, X_{F}\right)\) then
            return \(X_{N}+X_{F}\)
        else
            return \(X_{N}+\operatorname{Reverse}\left(X_{F}\right)\)
```

Theorem 2. Algorithm 1 called to a strictly quasi-circular Robinson or a strictly circular Robinson dissimilarity $(X, d)$ on $n$ points produces a compatible circular order in $O(n \log n)$ time.

Proof. The correction of the algorithm follows from Lemmas 7 to 10. Namely, Lemma 8 covers the case $N \cap F \neq \varnothing$ (Lines 4 to 8 ), while Lemma 9 covers the case $N \cap F=\varnothing$ (Lines 10 to 17). From these lemmas and Lemma 7 it follows that the circular orders returned in Lines 8, 15 and 17 are

```
Algorithm 2 OrdersAgree
Input: A dissimilarity space \((X, d)\), a partition \(X=N \cup F\) with \(N=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}\) and \(F=\)
        \(\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\ell}\right\}\)
Output: whether the order \(N+F\) may be compatible based on Lemma 10
    if \(k=1\) or \(\ell=1\) then
        return true
    for all \(i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}\) do
        if not \(\operatorname{sqcR}\left(x_{i}, x_{k}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\) or not \(\operatorname{sqcR}\left(x_{i}, y_{\ell-1}, y_{\ell-2}, x_{1}\right)\) then
            return false
    for all \(i \in\{1,2, \ldots, \ell\}\) do
        if not \(\operatorname{sqcR}\left(y_{i}, y_{\ell}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\) or not \(\operatorname{sqcR}\left(y_{i}, x_{k-1}, x_{k-2}, y_{1}\right)\) then
            return false
    return true
```

the only possible compatible circular orders for $(X, d)$. Since $(X, d)$ is strictly circular Robinson or strictly quasi-circular Robinson, we can apply Lemma 10 to deduce that one of these circular orders is indeed compatible. The complexity of the algorithm is dominated by the time to sort the lists, as every other operation can easily be implemented in either constant or linear time.

From Proposition 4 and Theorem 2 we immediately obtain the following result:
Corollary 1. For a dissimilarity space $(X, d)$ on $n$ points, one can decide in optimal $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time if $(X, d)$ is strictly circular Robinson or strictly quasi-circular Robinson.

The complexity in Theorem 2 is dominated by the time to sort the points by their distances to $x$ or $x^{\prime}$, and is actually tightly related to the complexity of sorting:

Proposition 5. The problem of sorting a set $Y$ of $n$ distinct integers reduces linearly to the problem of finding a compatible circular order for a strictly quasi-circular Robinson dissimilarity.

Proof. Given a set $Y \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, let $X=Y \cup\{z\}$ and let $d$ be a dissimilarity on $X$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(y, z) & =\Delta+1 \text { for all } y \in Y, \\
d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) & =\left|y-y^{\prime}\right| \text { for all } y, y^{\prime} \in Y, \\
d(z, z) & =0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Delta=\max Y-\min Y$. Then it can be readily checked that $(X, d)$ is a strictly quasi-circular Robinson dissimilarity, whose only two compatible orders induce an increasing or decreasing ordering of $Y$. This reduction is linear, as long as we encode the distance function $d$ as an oracle, to avoid the computation of $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ values.
5.3. On the number of compatible circular orders. From Algorithm 1, we can derive the following result about the number of compatible orders:

Proposition 6. A strict quasi-circular Robinson space ( $X, d$ ) has one or two compatible orders and their opposites. A strict circular Robinson space has one compatible order and its opposite.

Proof. The first assertion is a direct consequence of Algorithm 1 and the proof of Theorem 2. Now, let $(X, d)$ be a strict circular Robinson space with two compatible circular orders $\beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$. Then $N \cap F=\varnothing$ and the $\operatorname{arcs} N$ and $F$ are partitioned into $N^{\prime}, N^{\prime \prime}$ and $F^{\prime}, F^{\prime \prime}$, respectively (see the proof of Lemma 9 ). Then the second compatible order $\beta^{\prime}$ is built from $\beta$ by reversing $N^{\prime}$ and $N^{\prime \prime}$. If the set $N$ is empty, then this reversal does not change the order, thus $\beta^{\prime}=\beta$. If $F$ is empty, then this reversal builds the opposite order of the original one, thus $\beta^{\prime}=\beta^{o p}$. So, we can suppose with no loss of generality that there exist $y \in N^{\prime}$ and $z \in F^{\prime}$ and that the points $y$ and $z$ are on the same
$\operatorname{arc} X_{x x^{\prime}}^{\beta}$ of $\beta$. The $\operatorname{arcs} X_{x z}^{\beta}$ and $X_{y x^{\prime}}^{\beta}$ are strictly Robinson, so $d(y, z)<\min \left\{d(x, z), d\left(y, x^{\prime}\right)\right\}$. $\operatorname{By} \operatorname{scR}\left(z, x, y, x^{\prime}\right)$ applied to $\beta^{\prime}$, we must have $d(y, z)>d(x, z)$, which is in contradiction with $d(y, z)<\min \left\{d(x, z), d\left(y, x^{\prime}\right)\right\}$, whence $\beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ cannot be both compatible.

If a strict quasi-circular Robinson space has two compatible orders and their opposites, then Algorithm 1 yields a bipartition of $X$ into $N \cup F$. Next we prove that this happens exactly when there is a threshold value that clusters the dissimilarity space into two cliques:
Proposition 7. Let $(X, d)$ be a strict quasi-circular Robinson space. Then ( $X, d$ ) admits two compatible orders and their opposites if and only if there exists a partition $X=N \cup F$ with $|N|,|F|>1$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that for all $u, v \in X$, we have $d(u, v)>\delta$ if and only if $|\{u, v\} \cap N|=1$.


Figure 3. The structure of a strictly quasi-circular Robinson space with two nonopposite compatible orders, with $\delta=\max \left\{\delta_{N}, \delta_{F}\right\}$, as shown by Proposition 7. N and $F$ have diameters $\delta_{N}$ and $\delta_{F}$ respectively, and all pairs between $N$ and $F$ have distance greater than $\delta$. The proof that $N$ (symmetrically, $F$ ) are linear Robinson follows easily from $\operatorname{sqcR}\left(x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}, x_{i_{3}}, y_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{sqcR}\left(x_{i_{3}}, y_{1}, x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}\right)$

Proof. Suppose first that $(X, d)$ admits two compatible orders and their opposites. By Lemmas 9 and 10 , there is a bipartition $N \cup F$ with $N=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$ and $F=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{\ell}\right\}$, such that the compatible orders are $\beta$ (given by $N+F$ ), $\beta^{\prime}$ (given by $N+\operatorname{Reverse}(\mathrm{F})$ ), and their reverses. Notice that $k>1$ and $\ell>1$. Let $\delta_{N}=d\left(x_{1}, x_{k}\right)$ and $\delta_{F}=d\left(y_{1}, y_{\ell}\right)$. Then for any distinct $j, j^{\prime} \in\{1,2, \ldots, \ell\}, \operatorname{sqcR}\left(x_{k}, y_{j}, y_{j^{\prime}}, x_{1}\right)$ (in $\beta$ ) and $\operatorname{sqcR}\left(x_{k}, y_{j^{\prime}}, y_{j}, x_{1}\right)$ (in $\beta^{\prime}$ ) we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x_{k}, y_{j^{\prime}}\right. & >\min \left\{d\left(x_{k}, x_{1}\right), d\left(x_{k}, y_{j}\right)\right\}, \\
d\left(x_{k}, y_{j}\right) & >\min \left\{d\left(x_{k}, x_{1}\right), d\left(x_{k}, y_{j^{\prime}}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\delta_{N}=d\left(x_{1}, x_{k}\right)<\min \left\{d\left(x_{k}, y_{j}\right), d\left(x_{k}, y_{j^{\prime}}\right)\right\}$. Analogously, $\delta_{N}<\min \left\{d\left(x_{1} \cdot y_{j}\right), d\left(x_{1}, y_{j^{\prime}}\right)\right\}$. Then for any $i \in\{2,3, \ldots, k-1\}$, by $\operatorname{sqcR}\left(y, x_{1}, x_{i}, x_{k}\right), d\left(x_{i}, y\right)>\min \left\{d\left(y, x_{1}\right), d\left(y, x_{k}\right)\right\}>\delta_{N}$. This proves that $\min \{d(x, y): x \in N, y \in F\}>\delta_{N}$.

Consequently, for any $y \in F$ and $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k-1\}$, $\operatorname{by} \operatorname{sqcR}\left(x_{k}, y, x_{1}, x_{i}\right), \delta_{N}=d\left(x_{k}, x_{1}\right)>$ $\min \left\{d\left(x_{k}, y\right), d\left(x_{k}, x_{i}\right)\right\}$, which implies that $d\left(x_{i}, x_{k}\right)<\delta_{N}$. For $j \in\{i+1, i+2, \ldots, k-1\}$, by $\left.\operatorname{sqcR}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{k}, y\right)\right), d\left(x_{i}, x_{k}\right)>\min \left\{d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right), d\left(x_{i}, y\right)\right\}$, which implies that $d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)<\delta_{N}$, hence $\max \{d(u, v): u, v \in N\}=\delta_{N}$. Analogously, we have $\max \{d(u, v): u, v \in F\}=\delta_{F}$ and $\min \{d(x, y):$ $x \in N, y \in F\}>\delta_{F}$. Thus taking $\delta=\max \left\{\delta_{N}, \delta_{F}\right\}$ proves the assertion.

Conversely, suppose that $(X, d)$ is a strictly quasi-circular Robinson space admitting such a bipartition $X=N \cup F$. Clearly $N$ and $F$ are balls of radius $\delta$ and thus, in any compatible order, by Proposition $1, N$ and $F$ are arcs. Let $x_{1} \lessdot x_{2} \lessdot \ldots \lessdot x_{k} \lessdot y_{1} \lessdot y_{2} \lessdot \ldots \lessdot y_{\ell}$ be a compatible order $\beta$, with $N=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$ and $F=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{\ell}\right\}$. Then, we can check that for any quadruplet $u \lessdot v \lessdot w \lessdot t$ of the circular order $\beta^{\prime}$ induced by $N+\operatorname{Reverse}(F), \operatorname{sqcR}(u, v, w, t)$ holds. Indeed, the only nontrivial case (where the circular order is distinct for $\beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ up to reversal) is when $u, v \in N$ and $w, t \in F$ (up to symmetry). In that case, we have $d(u, w)>\beta \geq d(u, v) \geq \min \{d(u, s), d(u, v)\}$,
that is $\operatorname{sqcR}(u, v, w, t)$. This implies that $\beta^{\prime}$ is also compatible. Since $k, \ell>1, \beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ are not the reverse of each other, proving the proposition.

## 6. Circular spaces recognition

Lemma 11. Let $(X, d)$ be a dissimilarity space and $\lessdot a$ circular order such that for all $x \in X$, $y, z \in X \backslash\left(F_{x} \cup\{x\}\right)$, if $x \lessdot y \lessdot z \lessdot F x$ or $F_{x} \lessdot z \lessdot y \lessdot x$, then $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z)$. Then $(X, d, \lessdot)$ is a circular space.

Proof. Suppose, by a way of contradiction, that there exists $x \lessdot y \lessdot z \lessdot t$ such that $d(x, z)<$ $\min \{\max \{d(x, y), d(y, z)\}, \max \{d(x, t), d(t, z)\}\}$.

If $z \in F_{x}$, then $d(x, z) \geq d(x, y), d(x, t)$, and so $d(x, z)<d(z, t), d(y, t)$. So $x \notin F_{z}$. As $F_{z}$ is an arc, we have either $z \lessdot F_{z} \lessdot z$ or $x \lessdot F_{x} \lessdot z$ If $x \lessdot F_{z} \lessdot z$, then $d(z, t) \leq d(z, x)$; if $z \lessdot F_{z} \lessdot x$, then $d(z, y) \leq d(z, x)$; In both case, we have a contradiction with $d(x, z) \geq d(x, y), d(x, t)$.

If $z \notin F_{x}$, say $x \lessdot z \lessdot F_{x}$ (the case $x \lessdot F_{x} \lessdot z$ is similar), then $d(x, y) \lessdot d(x, z)$. So $d(x, z)<d(z, y)$ and $x \notin F_{z}$. By Proposition 2, we have $x \lessdot z \lessdot F_{z}$, and thus $d(z, y) \leq d(z, x)$, a contradiction.
Corollary 2. By repeating Algorithm 1 for each $x \in X$, one determine if $(X, d)$ is a circular space.

Proposition 8. Let $(X, d, \beta)$ be a circular space and $A$ be an mmodule of $(X, d)$. If $A$ is made of $k$ arcs (for $\beta$ ), with $k>2$, then $X$ is made of $2 \cdot k$ arcs $I_{1}, \ldots I_{2 k}$ and for all $i \neq j, i^{\prime} \neq j^{\prime}$, $d\left(I_{i}, I_{j}\right)=d\left(I_{i^{\prime}}, I_{j^{\prime}}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose, by a way of contradiction, that $A$ contains three arcs $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ and let $x, y, z \in X \backslash A$ be such that $x \lessdot A_{1} \lessdot y \lessdot A_{2} \lessdot z \lessdot A_{3}$. We have $d(x, y) \geq d(x, A), d(y, A)$, $d(x, z) \geq d(x, A), d(z, A)$ and $d(x, A) \geq \min \{d(x, y), d(x, z)\}$. So $d(x, A)=d(x, y)=d(x, z)$. Similarly, $d(y, A)=d(x, y)=d(y, z)$ and $d(z, A)=d(x, z)=d(y, z)$. So $X \backslash A$ is an mmodule made of three $\operatorname{arcs} A_{x}^{\prime}, A_{y}^{\prime}, A_{z}^{\prime}$ and $d\left(A_{x}^{\prime}, A_{y}^{\prime}\right)=d\left(\left(A_{x}^{\prime}, A_{z}^{\prime}\right)=d\left(A_{y}^{\prime}, A_{z}^{\prime}\right)=d\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)\right.$. By symmetry, we get $d\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)=d\left(A_{1}, A_{3}\right)=d\left(A_{2}, A_{3}\right)=d\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)$. So $X$ is made of six mmodules, each made of one arc.

## 7. Conclusion

In this paper, we presented a very simple algorithm which solves two variants of the strict circular seriation problem in optimal $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time. Notice that the $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time is entirely due to the verification of the result, while the computation of a compatible circular order (the main part of the algorithm) is in $O(n \log n)$ time. In addition, using the algorithm we proved some structural properties of strictly quasi-circular and strictly circular Robinson spaces. We also proved that any quasi-circular Robinson space is circular Robinson, a result which can find further applications.

The starting point of this research was the attempt to use mmodules and copoint decompositions, introduced in [5] for Robinson spaces, to recognize circular Robinson spaces. While for general circular Robinson spaces it is not yet clear how to use this approach, in case of strict circular Robinson spaces it turned out that mmodules, and, more generally, spheres $S_{r}(x)$ have size at most 2 and if they have size two, then the two points of $S_{r}(x)$ must be $x$-separated. However, some of the used resultq are valid for non-strict circular seriation problem. Extending our algorithm together with the tools developed in [5] to this problem will be the topic of future research.
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