

Polymer processing influence on the double electrical percolation threshold in PLA/PCL/GNP nanocomposites

N.-A. Masarra¹, J.-C. Quantin², M. Batistella¹, R. El Hage^{1,3}, M.F. Pucci², R. Ravel¹, J.-M. Lopez-Cuesta¹

¹Polymers Composites and Hybrids (PCH), IMT Mines Ales, Ales, France

²LMGC, IMT Mines Ales, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Ales, France

³Laboratory of Physical Chemistry of Materials (LCPM), PR2N-EDST, Faculty of Sciences II, Campus Fanar, Lebanese University, Jdeideh P.O. Box 90656, Lebanon

Introduction

Context/ interest:

- Percolation theory: Explains the transition from an insulating material to a conductive one in conductive filled polymer composites.
- Electrical percolation threshold**: Minimal filler concentration required to acquire a conductive network within the polymer matrix
- How to reduce the electrical percolation threshold? By creating a **double electrical percolation threshold** resulting from adding the conductive filler to an immiscible polymer blend matrix

Required conditions for the **double electrical percolation threshold** [1], [2]:

- 1) Co-continuous polymer blend
- 2) Selective localization of the conductive filler in a single polymer phase


Objectives:

- Obtaining the **double electrical percolation threshold** in poly(lactic acid) (PLA)/ polycaprolactone (PCL)/ Graphene nanoplatelets (GNP) nanocomposites
- Studying the influence of different manufacturing processes on the co-continuous microstructure and the electrical conductivity of PLA/PCL/GNP nanocomposites

The used processes are:


- ✓ **Compression molding**
- ✓ **3D printing** (Fused Filament Fabrication or FFF) preceded by **single-screw extrusion**

In our previous work, a comparison between 3D printing and injection molding influence on the microstructure and therefore the electrical conductivity of PLA/PCL/GNP composites took place [3].



Compression molded samples

Selective localization of graphene in the PCL phase




- PLA/PCL/GNP compression molded composites: Permanent selective localization of GNP in the PCL phase (The graphene + PCL zones are indicated by yellow arrows.)


- Good dispersion of graphene particles in the PCL phase

Processing steps:

- Twin-screw extrusion to blend PLA, PCL, and GNP together
- Compression molding to prepare samples for analysis
- PLA_x/PCL_y/10 wt.% GNP were produced (x varies between 0 wt.% and 100 wt.% in the polymer total weight percentage).




Co-continuous microstructure




- Co-continuous microstructure is present in PLA65/PCL35/10 wt.% GNP and PLA60/PCL40/10 wt.% GNP formulations (The brighter phase is the PLA phase, and the darker phase is the PCL phase).

- The other samples possess a sea-island morphology where the PLA nodules are dispersed in the continuous PCL phase.

Double electrical percolation threshold



Evolution of the electrical conductivity as a function of the PLA content



a→d: As the percentage of PLA increases from 0 wt.% to 55 wt.% in the composites, the electrical volume conductivity increases due to the greater confinement of the GNP dispersed in the PCL matrix.

d: Co-continuous morphologies are observed in the PLA55/PCL45-PLA70/PCL30 range with 10 wt.% GNP. The electrical conductivity is the highest in this zone with the best results for PLA65/PCL35/10 wt.% GNP.



A double electrical percolation threshold can be highlighted in this zone.

d→f: The electrical volume conductivity decreases again with the increase of the PLA percentage to reach its minimal value at PLA/10 wt.% GNP.

3D printed samples


Processing steps:

- Twin-screw extrusion to blend PLA, PCL, and GNP together
- Single-screw extrusion to fabricate calibrated filaments
- Fused filament fabrication to manufacture the samples to be analyzed (compared with the compression molded samples)



- 3D printed sample: Good adhesion between the layers

- 3D printed sample vs compression molded sample: Smaller co-continuous microstructure existing separately in each deposited layer

Sample	Electrical volume conductivity (S. m ⁻¹)	Porosity (%)	LED (5 v)
PLA80/PCL20/10 wt.% GNP	< 10 ⁻⁵	12	No light
PLA65/PCL35/10 wt.% GNP	0.1	16	
PLA50/PCL50/10 wt.% GNP	0.03	14	No light
PLA40/PCL60/10 wt.% GNP	0.019	14	No light
PLA30/PCL70/10 wt.% GNP	0.014	15	No light

References

[1]: Huang et al., Control of carbon nanotubes at the interface of a co-continuous immiscible polymer blend to fabricate conductive composites with ultralow percolation thresholds, Journal: Carbon, Vol 73, 2014, Pages 267-274

[2]: Chen et al., Balance the electrical properties and mechanical properties of carbon black filled immiscible polymer blends with a double percolation structure, Journal: Composites Science and Technology, Vol 140, 2017, Pages 99-105

[3]: Masarra et al., Fabrication of PLA/PCL/Graphene nanoplatelets (GNP) electrically conductive circuit using the fused filament fabrication (FFF) 3D printing technique, Journal: Materials, Vol 15, 2022

Conclusion

- This work succeeded for the first time to obtain the **double electrical percolation threshold** in PLA/PCL/GNP composite systems.
- The influence of several manufacturing processes on the co-continuity of PLA and PCL and consequently the electrical volume conductivity were addressed for the first time.
- Compression molded samples: Wide range of co-continuity that varies between PLA55/PCL45/10 wt.% GNP and PLA70/PCL30/10 wt.% GNP. **Reason**: Induced annealing effect
- 3D printed samples: PLA65/PCL35/10 wt.% GNP shows the highest electrical conductivity but still less than that of the compression molded sample **Reason**: Small co-continuous microstructure present in each deposited layer

Contact information

Corresponding author's information:

Nour Alhoda Masarra
PhD student at the Ecole des Mines d'Ales (France)

Address: Ales, France

Tel: +33/616783841

Email: nour-alhoda.masarra@mines-ales.fr

