

Prevalence and risk factors associated with urogenital schistosomiasis among primary school pupils in Nigeria

A.M. Onyekwere, Olivier REY, M.C. Nwanchor, M. Alo, E.K. Angora,

Jean-François Allienne, Jérôme Boissier

▶ To cite this version:

A.M. Onyekwere, Olivier REY, M.C. Nwanchor, M. Alo, E.K. Angora, et al.. Prevalence and risk factors associated with urogenital schistosomiasis among primary school pupils in Nigeria. Parasite Epidemiology and Control, 2022, pp.e00255. 10.1016/j.parepi.2022.e00255. hal-03714441

HAL Id: hal-03714441 https://hal.science/hal-03714441v1

Submitted on 5 Jul2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Prevalence and Risk Factors Associated with Urogenital Schistosomiasis among Primary School Pupils in Nigeria.

Onyekwere, A.M.^{1,2}, Rey, O.², Nwanchor, M.C.³, Alo, M.⁴, Angora, E.K.⁵, Allienne, J.F.², Boissier, J.²

 Department of Biology, Alex Ekwueme Federal University, Ndufu-Alike, Ikwo, Ebony State, Nigeria. <u>onyekwereamos@gmail.com</u> (A.O.M)
IHPE, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, Univ. Perpignan Via Domitia, Perpignan, France. <u>amosonyekwere@univ-perp.fr</u> (A.O.M); <u>boissier@univ-perp.fr</u> (J.B); <u>Olivier.rey@univ-perp.fr</u> (O.R); <u>allienne@univ-perp.fr</u> (J-F.A)
Department of Zoology, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Akwa, Anambra State, Nigeria. <u>mnwanchor@gmail.com</u> (M.N.C)

4 Department of Microbiology, Alex Ekwueme Federal University, Ndufu-Alike, Ikwo, Ebony State, Nigeria. <u>gianimarg@gmail.com</u>(M.A)

5 Unité de formation et de Recherche Sciences Pharmaceutiques et Biologiques, Universite Felix Houphouet-Boigny, BPV 34, Abijan, Cote d'Ivoire; <u>angorakpongbo2005@yahoo.fr</u> (E.K.A)

Abstract: Urogenital schistosomiasis is a neglected tropical disease that is endemic to Nigeria and one which continues to pose a public health problem especially among school-age children in rural communities. This study was carried out in remote areas where most of the people depend on natural water bodies and rainwater for their daily water needs. The present research investigates the prevalence of urogenital schistosomiasis and the significant risk factors associated with the infection among primary school children in Nigeria. From August 2019 to December 2019, a total of 5,514 primary school-age children from twelve sites were diagnosed with the presence of Schistosoma haematobium eggs in their urine. We also collected socio-demographic, sociocultural, and socioeconomic indices and data on behaviors (e.g contact frequency with freshwater bodies) for each diagnosed individual using a questionnaire. Associations between each of these variables and disease infection were tested using multivariate logistic regression. A total of 392 of the 5514-urine samples were positive for the infection, the overall prevalence reached 7.1% and ranged from 4.6% (East Nigeria) to 15.9% (West Nigeria). Multivariate logistic regression analyses showed that the significant risk factors associated with S. haematobium infection are frequent contact with freshwater bodies (rivers/steams), with an adjusted odds ratio (AOR) of 4.92; 3.34-7.24, washing/swimming, AOR: 46.49; 27.64-78.19, and fishing, AOR: 11.57; 8.74-15.32. For socioeconomic factors, primary education of fathers resulted in an AOR of 1.63; 1.01-2.45 was significantly associated with the infection. The socio-demographic factor for the 12-14 year age group had an AOR of 1.68; 1.21-2.33, and was also significantly associated

with the disease. Nigeria remains endemic for urogenital schistosomiasis as indicated by the data obtained from all of the study site sites, and it is clear that efforts need to be intensified in order to control and eradicate the disease throughout the country.

Keywords: Nigeria; urogenital schistosomiasis; school-aged children; prevalence; risk factors

1.Introduction

Globally, schistosomiasis is a neglected tropical disease (NTD) and is caused by dioecious blood fluke (digenetic trematodes) of the genus *Schistosoma* (Atalabi et al., 2018). It is ranked as the second parasitic disease after malaria based on socio-economic and public health importance. It is the most prevalent water-borne disease that occurs among the rural populace. The parasite is transmitted by a specific freshwater intermediate molluscan host, while humans of all age groups act as the definitive host, (Uchendu, et al., 2017). It is estimated that nearly 700 million people live in areas where the disease is endemic. Approximately 200 million people are infected in 76 countries around the world (Ossai , et al., 2014), with sub-Saharan Africa and some parts of Asia reporting the highest endemicity. Sub-Saharan Africa accounts for 90% of the infected cases, and it is estimated that about 11,700 people die from this disease annually in this region (Dawet , et al., 2012).

Of the six *Schistosoma* species that infect humans (*S. haematobium*, *S. mansoni*, *S. japonicum*, *S. intercalatum*, *S. mekongi* and *S. malayensis*) (Manson, et al., 1987), three species (*S. haematobium*, *S. mansoni* and *S. intercalatum*) are present in Nigeria. The urogenital schistosomiasis caused by *S. haematobium* occurs at a higher frequency in remote areas of the country. Nigeria is one of Sub-Saharan Africa's most heavily impacted countries with respect to both urinary and intestinal schistosomiasis, accounting for almost 14% of the disease worldwide, (Hotez, , et al., 2012, Herrick, et al., 2017). As a result, Nigeria also requires the greatest intervention to help control and eradicate disease (Uchendu et al., 2017). The first reported case of schistosomiasis in Nigeria was in 1908, (Bishop, (2017)) and numerous studies are available on the epidemiology, prevalence and risk factors associated with this disease. It is worth noting that some of these reports conflict with one another (Emmanuel, et al., 2017). For many areas in Nigeria, no reliable systematic report has been published on the epidemiological status of this disease (Houmsou et al., 2011), and

it was only recently, 4 June 2015, that Nigeria's Federal Ministry of Health (FMOH) officially released the first epidemiological data on the prevalence of this disease (Global Network Neglected Tropical Diseases, 2015). The data showed that roughly 24 million people in Nigeria are at the risk of infection and that there is a prevalence of almost 9.5% (Bishop, 2017). The control program initiated by the FMOH, State Ministry of Health, and other institutions, involves the use of chemotherapy through Mass Drug Administration (MDA), and administers praziquantel to infected individuals or to those at the risk of infection (Ejike et al., 2017). Despite Government efforts, and in spite of contributions by Research Institutions, Non-Government Organizations (NGOs) and Faith Based Organizations (FBOs), the disease remains unabated (Ejike, et al., 2017). This may be a result of several hurdles encountered in the process, including, geographically remote areas, ethnic violence, religious crises as well as the marshy stream or river systems that are favorable for the multiplication of the snail intermediate host (Yauba et al., 2018). It has been suggested that integrating school-based health education with preventive chemotherapy would result in significantly lowering the prevelance of the disease. Further, it was suggested that this approach would help to prevent re-infection, reduce the resurgence in the frequency of infection after treatment and help to minimize drug resistance by the parasites (Ajakaye et al., 2017). Previous studies have provided information on the endemicity of this disease in one or several communities within the same geographical coverage (Opara, et al., 2021). However, the data on this subject are based on results which were collated from studies that were not only conducted at different times but by different researchers (Abdulkadir, , et al., 2017).

In this survey, we used a simple and rapid method of screening patients that yielded results within five minutes. Furthermore, we used questionnaires in addition to conducting oral interviews with each subject to scrutinize and draw a tentative conclusion on the prevalence and risk factors associated with the disease. This study aims to provide an abundance of new information on the disease for a much broader geographical range in comparison with previous studies. Such data is crucial in order to determine the current epidemiological status of the disease.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area and Study Population

This study was carried out in 12 sites across 7 states in South Nigeria, West Africa in order to provide a broad geographical coverage. Northern Nigeria was not included within this study due to security challenges. For each site, we examined urine samples from the local population. We targeted primary school-age children, from the 5 to 14-year age group, the age group known to be at the greatest risk for infection with highest intensities in endemic areas. We chose primary schools based on information obtained from previous surveys as well as those which allowed us to easily collect the required number of samples. This study was part of a standard epidemiological surveillance program and as such, was not submitted to an ethics committee. The Parent-Teacher Associations (PTA) for each of the schools were mobilized, provided with information, and each gave their approval. Informed consents for parents and pupils were obtained before samples were collected from participants. The whole school was invited to the screening. After consultations with the PTA, the head teacher directed each class teacher to organize their respective pupils for the screening. Teachers helped the youngest children complete their questionnaires.




Fig 1. Map of Nigeria (West Africa), showing the sampling sites. Sampling sites were represented according to the prevalence of *S. haematobium* measured among primary school pupils (see results). Lighter circles correspond to lower prevalence, while darker circles correspond to higher prevalence observed in the study sites (see Table 2 results).

2.2 Sample Collection

Urine samples were collected between 10.00 hr and 14.00 hr, corresponding to the time of day when the excretion of *S. haematobium* is expected to be at its highest. Excretion generally peaks at 12.00hr, mid-day (Singh, et al., 2016). Only primary school children who had lived in the study area for at least a year, and whose parents or legal guardians provided oral consent, were selected. Each patient was given an 'identification code' for anonymity, and a 20ml clean labelled, plastic container for the collection of urine. We used a questionnaire to collect data on sociodemographic

behaviors, such as source of drinking water, bathing, washing, farming, and fishing. Most participants visited the streams about 3-5 times per week, especially during the dry season, to collect water for domestic use. Visitation was reduced to once or twice per week during the rainy season, when rainwater became an alternative source of water. Fishing activity was predominantly carried out by males. Men and women both waded through the streams while going to and from school, to the farm or to bathe in them early in the morning before the day's activities. Other information collected included symptoms like dysuria (painful urination), haematuria (bloody urine) and the socioeconomic status of the parents or guardians. These latter information did not seem to influence the frequency at which participants had contact with the water bodies, but enabled us to identify the potential risk factors associated with the disease. A total of 5,514 urine samples were collected for urogenital schistosomiasis screening.

2.3 Parasitological Examination

On-the-spot analysis of each urine sample was performed using a rapid diagnostic technique comprised of a field microscope and a Nytrel® filter with a 40µm mesh size. Approximately 20ml of each urine sample was homogenized by shaking it vigorously and then filtering it through a Nytrel® filter, yielding a residue which was released into a small Petri dish using a wash bottle filled with commercial spring water (Mott, et al., 1982, Colley, et al., 2014). The resulting residue was examined under a binocular microscope at 20X or 40X magnifications, for the presence of a characteristic 'terminal spine' *S. haematobium* egg or of hatched miracidia. This process was performed and independently checked by two well-trained technicians. After the urine examination, each child infected with *S. haematobium* was treated with a single oral dose, 40mg/kg body weight, of praziquantel (600mg, Biltricide, Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany), through their Primary Health Centre (PHC).

2.4 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were carried out for twelve parameters using STATA version 15.0 (Stata cooperation, college station, Texas, USA). Prevalence comparisons between groups (Site, Sex or Age) were tested using a univariate analysis (x^2 or Fisher's exact test). Patients were said to be positive for the infection when one or more eggs/miracidia of schistosome were seen during the microscopy examination. Participants were divided into three age groups (5-8, 9-11 and 12-14

years) for each sex. We performed statistical analyses to assess the relationship between parasitic infections and demographic, sociocultural and socioeconomic factors using multivariate logistic regression with random intercepts for sites and groups nested within sites. The risk factors investigated were domestic water source, washing, swimming, fishing, parents' occupation and parents' educational status. Associations and differences with a p-value < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1 Socio-demographic Study (Population parameter)

A total of 5,514 primary school children were tested, and more males participated (3,190, 57.85%) than females (2,324, 42.15%). The minimum, mean and maximum age was 5 years, 9.02 years and 14 years respectively, with a standard deviation of 2.84 years. Table 1 shows the demographic analysis of pupils.

| | | Percentage of the total |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Variable | Number of children | sample (%) |
| Study site/state | | |
| 1 Ipogun (Ondo) | 308 | 5.59 |
| 2 Ilara-Mokin (Ondo) | 302 | 5.48 |
| 3 Alie Ilie (Osun) | 300 | 5.44 |
| 4 Lie Twon (Osun) | 301 | 5.46 |
| 5 Ikwo (Ebonyi) | 536 | 9.72 |
| 6 Ohaozara (Ebonyi) | 548 | 9.94 |
| 7 Onicha (Ebonyi) | 537 | 9.74 |
| 8 Ishielu (Ebonyi) | 548 | 9.94 |
| 9 Nkanu east (Enugu) | 572 | 10.37 |
| 10 Anambra west (Anambra) | 539 | 9.78 |
| 11 Gwer east (Benue) | 567 | 10.28 |
| 12 Jos north (Plateau) | 456 | 8.27 |

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of study population (n=5,514).

| Gender | | |
|-------------|-------|-------|
| Female | 2 324 | 42.15 |
| Male | 3 190 | 57.85 |
| Age (years) | | |
| 5-8 | 2 579 | 46.77 |
| 9-11 | 1 596 | 28.95 |
| 12-14 | 1 339 | 24.28 |

3.2 Prevalence of S. haematobium

A total of 392 (7.1%) of the 5,514 urine samples were found positive for *S. haematobium*. The prevalence ranged from 4.6% in East Nigeria to 15.9% in West Nigeria. The highest prevalence was recorded in West Nigeria (sites 1-4), while the lowest were recorded in the East or the central part of the country (sites 5-12). There was a statistically significant difference among all of the study sites, $p \le 0.001$, and two distinct clusters emerged between sites. Sites from the West (sites 1-4) had a prevalence greater than 10%; similarly, that for sites from the Est (sites 5-12) with a prevalence less than 7%. Moreover, 244 (7.6%) males were positive, while 148 (6.4%) females were positive, but no statistically significant difference in prevalence was found between the two genders (p = 0.068). There was a statistically significant difference in the prevalence among the age groups ($p \le 0.001$), with the prevalence of the infection increasing with an increase in age of the patients.

| | Infection status | | |
|----------------------|------------------|----------------|---------|
| Characteristic | Total | Positive n (%) | p-value |
| Study site/state | | | <0.001 |
| 1 Ipogun (Ondo) | 308 | 49 (15.91) | |
| 2 Ilara-Mokin (Ondo) | 302 | 37 (12.27) | |
| 3 Alie Ilie (Osun) | 300 | 32 (10.67) | |
| 4 Lie Twon (Osun) | 301 | 38 (12.62) | |
| 5 Ikwo (Ebonyi) | 536 | 36 (6.72) | |
| 6 Ohaozara (Ebonyi) | 548 | 28 (5.11) | |

Table 2: Infection status stratified by study settings, sex and age group.

| 7 Onicha (Ebonyi) | 537 | 27 (5.03) | |
|---------------------------|-------|------------|--------|
| 8 Ishielu (Ebonyi) | 548 | 27 (4.93) | |
| 9 Nkanu east (Enugu) | 572 | 35 (6.12) | |
| 10 Anambra west (Anambra) | 539 | 25 (4.64) | |
| 11 Gwer east (Benue) | 567 | 32 (5.64) | |
| 12 Jos north (Plateau) | 456 | 26 (5.70) | |
| Gender | | | 0.068 |
| Female | 2 324 | 148 (6.37) | |
| Male | 3 190 | 244 (7.65) | |
| Age (years) | | | <0.001 |
| 05-8 | 2 579 | 149 (5.78) | |
| 09-11 | 1 596 | 121 (7.58) | |
| 12-14 | 1 339 | 122 (9.11) | |

Table 3: Univariate and multivariate logistic analyses of variables associated with the infection non-adjusted and adjusted for socio-demographic factors, socio-economic status and environmental factors. OR: Odd ratio; CI: confidence interval.

| Man ² ahla | T - 4 - 1 | | Crude OR | Adjusted OR | |
|--|-------------------|------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| Variable | TotalPositive (%) | | (95% CI) | (95% CI) | |
| Socio-demographic factors | | | | | |
| Age (years) | | | | | |
| 5-8 | 2 579 | 5.78 | 1 | 1 | |
| 9-11 | 1 596 | 7.58 | 1.34 (1.04-1.72) | 1.30 (0.94-1.81) | |
| 12-14 | 1 339 | 9.11 | 1.63 (1.27-2.10)* | 1.68 (1.21-2.33)* | |
| Gender | | | | | |
| Female | 2 324 | 6.37 | 1 | 1 | |
| Male | 3 190 | 7.65 | 1.23 (0.98-1.50) | 1.14 (0.86-1.50) | |
| Socio-economic factors Father's educational status | | | | | |
| Illiterate | 843 | 5.34 | 1 | 1 | |

| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------|-------|---------------------|----------------------|
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Primary school | 3 346 | 7.5 | 1.44 (1.04-1.99) | 1.63 (1.01-2.45)* |
| Mother's educational statusIlliterate538 6.32 11Primary school2 887 6.58 $1.04 (0.72-1.52)$ $1.14 (0.71-1.85)$ Secondary school2 039 7.95 $1.23 (0.87-1.87)$ $1.39 (0.85-2.27)$ Tertiary school5012 $2.02 (0.80-5.08)$ $2.01 (0.63-6.45)$ Father's occupation $ -$ Peasant farmer $3 925$ 6.93 1 1 Petty trader991 7.47 $1.08 (0.83-1.41)$ $1.24 (0.87-1.76)$ Fisherman 214 8.41 $1.23 (0.75-2.03)$ $1.14 (0.60-2.19)$ Civil service 383 7.31 $1.06 (0.71-1.59)$ $1.13 (0.67-1.93)$ Mother's occupation $ -$ Peasant farmer $3 643$ 7.05 1 1 Petty trader $1 647$ 6.92 $0.98 (0.78-1.23)$ $0.77 (0.73-1.04)$ Housewife92 7.61 $1.09 (0.50-2.34)$ $1.10 (0.38-3.10)$ Civil service 132 10.61 $1.56 (0.88-2.76)$ $1.52 (0.74-3.12)$ Sociocultural factors $ -$ Using borehole water $ 1$ No $3 003$ 7.89 1 1 Yes $2 511$ 6.17 $0.77 (0.62-0.95)$ $0.80 (0.61-1.05)$ Using river/stream water $ 1$ 1 No $3 597$ 9.62 $4.33 (3.17-5.92)*$ $4.92 (3.34-7.24)*$ winnning in <td>Secondary school</td> <td>1 169</td> <td>7.53</td> <td>1.44 (1.00-2.09)</td> <td>1.28 (0.80-2.05)</td> | Secondary school | 1 169 | 7.53 | 1.44 (1.00-2.09) | 1.28 (0.80-2.05) |
| status Iliterate 538 6.32 1 1 Primary school 2 887 6.58 1.04 (0.72-1.52) 1.14 (0.71-1.85) Secondary school 2 039 7.95 1.23 (0.87-1.87) 1.39 (0.85-2.27) Tertiary school 50 12 2.02 (0.80-5.08) 2.01 (0.63-6.45) Father's occupation Peasant farmer 3 925 6.93 1 1 Peasant farmer 3 925 6.93 1 1.24 (0.83-1.41) 1.24 (0.87-1.76) Fisherman 214 8.41 1.23 (0.75-2.03) 1.14 (0.60-2.19) Civil service 383 7.31 1.06 (0.71-1.59) 1.13 (0.67-1.93) Mother's occupation Peasant farmer 3 643 7.05 1 1 Peasant farmer 3 643 7.05 1 1 1 Motusewife 92 7.61 1.09 (0.50-2.34) 1.10 (0.38-3.10) Civil service 132 10.61 1.56 (0.88-2.76) 1.52 (0.74-3.12) Sociocultural factors Using bor | Tertiary school | 156 | 5.13 | 0.96 (0.44-2.07) | 0.95 (0.36-2.47) |
| Illiterate538 6.32 11Primary school2 887 6.58 $1.04 (0.72 \cdot 1.52)$ $1.14 (0.71 \cdot 1.85)$ Secondary school2 0397.95 $1.23 (0.87 \cdot 1.87)$ $1.39 (0.85 \cdot 2.27)$ Tertiary school5012 $2.02 (0.80 \cdot 5.08)$ $2.01 (0.63 \cdot 6.45)$ Father's occupation $ -$ Peasant farmer $3 925$ 6.93 1 1 Petty trader991 7.47 $1.08 (0.83 \cdot 1.41)$ $1.24 (0.87 \cdot 1.76)$ Fisherman 214 8.41 $1.23 (0.75 \cdot 2.03)$ $1.14 (0.60 \cdot 2.19)$ Civil service 383 7.31 $1.06 (0.71 \cdot 1.59)$ $1.13 (0.67 \cdot 1.93)$ Mother's occupation $ -$ Peasant farmer $3 643$ 7.05 1 1 Petty trader $1 647$ 6.92 $0.98 (0.78 \cdot 1.23)$ $0.77 (0.73 \cdot 1.04)$ Housewife92 7.61 $1.09 (0.50 \cdot 2.34)$ $1.10 (0.38 \cdot 3.10)$ Civil service 132 10.61 $1.56 (0.88 \cdot 2.76)$ $1.52 (0.74 \cdot 3.12)$ Sociocultural factors $ -$ Using borehole water $ 1$ No $3 003$ 7.89 1 1 1 Yes $2 511$ 6.17 $0.77 (0.62 \cdot 0.95)$ $0.80 (0.61 \cdot 1.05)$ Using river/stream water $ 1$ 1 No $3 597$ 9.62 $4.33 (3.17 \cdot 5.92)^*$ $4.92 (3.34 \cdot 7.24)^*$ Washing/< | Mother's educational | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | status | | | | |
| Secondary school2 0397.95 $1.23 (0.87-1.87)$ $1.39 (0.85-2.27)$ Tertiary school5012 $2.02 (0.80-5.08)$ $2.01 (0.63-6.45)$ Father's occupation 1 1 Peasant farmer $3 925$ 6.93 1 1 1 Petty trader991 7.47 $1.08 (0.83-1.41)$ $1.24 (0.87-1.76)$ Fisherman 214 8.41 $1.23 (0.75-2.03)$ $1.14 (0.60-2.19)$ Civil service 383 7.1 $1.06 (0.71-1.59)$ $1.13 (0.67-1.93)$ Mother's occupation 1 1 Peasant farmer $3 643$ 7.05 1 1 Petty trader $1 647$ 6.92 $0.98 (0.78-1.23)$ $0.77 (0.73-1.04)$ Housewife92 7.61 $1.09 (0.50-2.34)$ $1.10 (0.38-3.10)$ Civil service 132 10.61 $1.56 (0.88-2.76)$ $1.52 (0.74-3.12)$ Sociocultural factors 1 1 Using borehole water 1 1 1 No $3 003$ 7.89 1 1 1 Yes $2 511$ 6.17 $0.77 (0.62-0.95)$ $0.80 (0.61-1.05)$ Using river/stream water 1 1 1 Yes $3 597$ 9.62 $4.33 (3.17-5.92)*$ $4.92 (3.34-7.24)*$ Washing/ 3 3500 0.6 1 1 Yes $2 014$ 18.42 $37.41 (24.0-58.29)*$ $46.49 (27.64-78.19)*$ Fishin | Illiterate | 538 | 6.32 | 1 | 1 |
| Tertiary school5012 $2.02 (0.80-5.08)$ $2.01 (0.63-6.45)$ Father's occupation | Primary school | 2 887 | 6.58 | 1.04 (0.72-1.52) | 1.14 (0.71-1.85) |
| Father's occupationPeasant farmer3 925 6.93 11Petty trader991 7.47 $1.08 (0.83-1.41)$ $1.24 (0.87-1.76)$ Fisherman214 8.41 $1.23 (0.75-2.03)$ $1.14 (0.60-2.19)$ Civil service 383 7.31 $1.06 (0.71-1.59)$ $1.13 (0.67-1.93)$ Mother's occupationPeasant farmer $3 643$ 7.05 11Petty trader $1 647$ 6.92 $0.98 (0.78-1.23)$ $0.77 (0.73-1.04)$ Housewife92 7.61 $1.09 (0.50-2.34)$ $1.10 (0.38-3.10)$ Civil service 132 10.61 $1.56 (0.88-2.76)$ $1.52 (0.74-3.12)$ Sociocultural factorsUsing borehole waterNo $3 003$ 7.89 11Yes $2 511$ 6.17 $0.77 (0.62-0.95)$ $0.80 (0.61-1.05)$ Using river/stream water 1 1 Yes $3 597$ 9.62 $4.33 (3.17-5.92)$ * $4.92 (3.34-7.24)$ *Washing/ 1 1 Yes $3 500$ 0.6 1 1 Yes $2 014$ 18.42 $37.41 (24.0-58.29)$ * $46.49 (27.64-78.19)$ *Fishing in freshwater 1 1 No $4 776$ 3.62 1 1 | Secondary school | 2 039 | 7.95 | 1.23 (0.87-1.87) | 1.39 (0.85-2.27) |
| Peasant farmer3 925 6.93 11Petty trader991 7.47 $1.08 (0.83-1.41)$ $1.24 (0.87-1.76)$ Fisherman214 8.41 $1.23 (0.75-2.03)$ $1.14 (0.60-2.19)$ Civil service 383 7.31 $1.06 (0.71-1.59)$ $1.13 (0.67-1.93)$ Mother's occupation $ -$ Peasant farmer $3 643$ 7.05 1 1 Petty trader $1 647$ 6.92 $0.98 (0.78-1.23)$ $0.77 (0.73-1.04)$ Housewife92 7.61 $1.09 (0.50-2.34)$ $1.10 (0.38-3.10)$ Civil service 132 10.61 $1.56 (0.88-2.76)$ $1.52 (0.74-3.12)$ Sociocultural factors $ -$ Using borehole water $ -$ No $3 003$ 7.89 1 1 Yes $2 511$ 6.17 $0.77 (0.62-0.95)$ $0.80 (0.61-1.05)$ Using river/stream water $ -$ No $1 917$ 2.4 1 1 Yes $3 597$ 9.62 $4.33 (3.17-5.92)*$ $4.92 (3.34-7.24)*$ Washing/ $ -$ swimming in $ 1$ Yes $2 014$ 18.42 $37.41 (24.0-58.29)*$ $46.49 (27.64-78.19)*$ Fishing in freshwater $ 1$ No $4 776$ 3.62 1 1 | Tertiary school | 50 | 12 | 2.02 (0.80-5.08) | 2.01 (0.63-6.45) |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Father's occupation | | | | |
| Fisherman 214 8.41 1.23 (0.75-2.03) 1.14 (0.60-2.19)Civil service 383 7.31 1.06 (0.71-1.59) 1.13 (0.67-1.93)Mother's occupationPeasant farmer 3 643 7.05 1 1 Petty trader 1 647 6.92 0.98 (0.78-1.23) 0.77 (0.73-1.04)Housewife 92 7.61 1.09 (0.50-2.34) 1.10 (0.38-3.10)Civil service 132 10.61 1.56 (0.88-2.76) 1.52 (0.74-3.12)Sociocultural factorsUsing borehole water 1 1 No 3 003 7.89 1 1 Yes 2 511 6.17 0.77 (0.62-0.95) 0.80 (0.61-1.05)Using river/stream water 1 1 1 Yes 3 597 9.62 4.33 ($3.17-5.92$)* 4.92 ($3.34-7.24$)*Washing/ swimming in river/stream water 1 1 1 Yes 2 014 18.42 37.41 ($24.0-58.29$)* 46.49 ($27.64-78.19$)*Fishing in freshwater 1 1 1 No 3 500 0.6 1 1 Yes 2 014 18.42 37.41 ($24.0-58.29$)* 46.49 ($27.64-78.19$)* | Peasant farmer | 3 925 | 6.93 | 1 | 1 |
| Civil service3837.311.06 (0.71-1.59)1.13 (0.67-1.93)Mother's occupationPeasant farmer3 6437.0511Petty trader1 6476.920.98 (0.78-1.23)0.77 (0.73-1.04)Housewife927.611.09 (0.50-2.34)1.10 (0.38-3.10)Civil service13210.611.56 (0.88-2.76)1.52 (0.74-3.12)Sociocultural factorsUsing borehole waterNo3 0037.8911Yes2 5116.170.77 (0.62-0.95)0.80 (0.61-1.05)Using river/stream waterNo1 9172.411Yes3 5979.624.33 (3.17-5.92)*4.92 (3.34-7.24)*Washing/ swimming in river/stream water111Yes2 01418.4237.41 (24.0-58.29)*46.49 (27.64-78.19)*Fishing in freshwaterNo4 7763.6211 | Petty trader | 991 | 7.47 | 1.08 (0.83-1.41) | 1.24 (0.87-1.76) |
| Mother's occupation1Peasant farmer3 6437.0511Petty trader1 6476.920.98 (0.78-1.23)0.77 (0.73-1.04)Housewife927.611.09 (0.50-2.34)1.10 (0.38-3.10)Civil service13210.611.56 (0.88-2.76)1.52 (0.74-3.12)Sociocultural factorsUsing borehole water1No3 0037.8911Yes2 5116.170.77 (0.62-0.95)0.80 (0.61-1.05)Using river/stream water1No1 9172.411Yes3 5979.624.33 (3.17-5.92)*4.92 (3.34-7.24)*Washing/ swimming in river/stream waterNo3 5000.611Yes2 01418.4237.41 (24.0-58.29)*46.49 (27.64-78.19)*Fishing in freshwaterNo4 7763.6211 | Fisherman | 214 | 8.41 | 1.23 (0.75-2.03) | 1.14 (0.60-2.19) |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Civil service | 383 | 7.31 | 1.06 (0.71-1.59) | 1.13 (0.67-1.93) |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Mother's occupation | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Peasant farmer | 3 643 | 7.05 | 1 | 1 |
| Civil service13210.611.56 (0.88-2.76)1.52 (0.74-3.12)Sociocultural factorsUsing borehole water11Using borehole water11No3 0037.8911Yes2 5116.170.77 (0.62-0.95)0.80 (0.61-1.05)Using river/stream water111Yes3 5979.624.33 (3.17-5.92)*4.92 (3.34-7.24)*Washing/ swimming in river/stream water11No3 5000.611Yes2 01418.4237.41 (24.0-58.29)*46.49 (27.64-78.19)*Fishing in freshwater No4 7763.6211 | Petty trader | 1 647 | 6.92 | 0.98 (0.78-1.23) | 0.77 (0.73-1.04) |
| Sociocultural factorsUsing borehole waterNo $3\ 003$ 7.89 11Yes $2\ 511$ 6.17 $0.77\ (0.62-0.95)$ $0.80\ (0.61-1.05)$ Using river/stream waterNo $1\ 917$ 2.4 11Yes $3\ 597$ 9.62 $4.33\ (3.17-5.92)^*$ $4.92\ (3.34-7.24)^*$ Washing/ swimming in river/stream water V V $1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1$ | Housewife | 92 | 7.61 | 1.09 (0.50-2.34) | 1.10 (0.38-3.10) |
| Using borehole water No 3 003 7.89 1 1 Yes 2 511 6.17 0.77 (0.62-0.95) 0.80 (0.61-1.05) Using river/stream water 1 1 No 1 917 2.4 1 1 Yes 3 597 9.62 4.33 (3.17-5.92)* 4.92 (3.34-7.24)* Washing/ 1 swimming in 1 river/stream water 1 1 No 3 500 0.6 1 1 Yes 2 014 18.42 37.41 (24.0-58.29)* 46.49 (27.64-78.19)* Fishing in freshwater 1 1 No 4 776 3.62 1 1 | Civil service | 132 | 10.61 | 1.56 (0.88-2.76) | 1.52 (0.74-3.12) |
| No3 0037.8911Yes2 5116.170.77 (0.62-0.95)0.80 (0.61-1.05)Using river/stream water1No1 9172.411Yes3 5979.624.33 (3.17-5.92)*4.92 (3.34-7.24)*Washing/ swimming in river/stream water1No3 5000.611Yes2 01418.4237.41 (24.0-58.29)*46.49 (27.64-78.19)*Fishing in freshwater11No4 7763.6211 | Sociocultural factors | | | | |
| Yes2 5116.170.77 (0.62-0.95)0.80 (0.61-1.05)Using river/stream waterNo1 9172.41Yes3 5979.624.33 (3.17-5.92)*4.92 (3.34-7.24)*Washing/ swimming in river/stream waterNo3 5000.611Yes2 01418.4237.41 (24.0-58.29)*46.49 (27.64-78.19)*Fishing in freshwater No4 7763.6211 | Using borehole water | | | | |
| Using river/stream water No 1 917 2.4 1 1 Yes 3 597 9.62 4.33 (3.17-5.92)* 4.92 (3.34-7.24)* Washing/ Washing/ | No | 3 003 | 7.89 | 1 | 1 |
| No 1 917 2.4 1 1 Yes 3 597 9.62 4.33 (3.17-5.92)* 4.92 (3.34-7.24)* Washing/ washing/ swimming in i i river/stream water 0.6 1 1 No 3 500 0.6 1 1 Yes 2 014 18.42 37.41 (24.0-58.29)* 46.49 (27.64-78.19)* Fishing in freshwater No 4 776 3.62 1 1 | Yes | 2 511 | 6.17 | 0.77 (0.62-0.95) | 0.80 (0.61-1.05) |
| No 1 917 2.4 1 1 Yes 3 597 9.62 4.33 (3.17-5.92)* 4.92 (3.34-7.24)* Washing/ washing/ swimming in i i river/stream water 0.6 1 1 No 3 500 0.6 1 1 Yes 2 014 18.42 37.41 (24.0-58.29)* 46.49 (27.64-78.19)* Fishing in freshwater No 4 776 3.62 1 1 | Using river/stream water | | | | |
| Washing/ swimming in river/stream water 0 0 0.6 1 1 No 3 500 0.6 1 1 Yes 2 014 18.42 37.41 (24.0-58.29)* 46.49 (27.64-78.19)* Fishing in freshwater No 4 776 3.62 1 1 | No | 1 917 | 2.4 | 1 | 1 |
| Washing/ swimming in river/stream water No 3 500 0.6 1 1 Yes 2 014 18.42 37.41 (24.0-58.29)* 46.49 (27.64-78.19)* Fishing in freshwater No 4 776 3.62 1 1 | Yes | 3 597 | 9.62 | 4.33 (3.17-5.92)* | 4.92 (3.34-7.24)* |
| river/stream water No 3 500 0.6 1 1 Yes 2 014 18.42 37.41 (24.0-58.29)* 46.49 (27.64-78.19)* Fishing in freshwater No 4 776 3.62 1 1 | Washing/ | | | | |
| No 3 500 0.6 1 1 Yes 2 014 18.42 37.41 (24.0-58.29)* 46.49 (27.64-78.19)* Fishing in freshwater No 4 776 3.62 1 1 | swimming in | | | | |
| Yes 2 014 18.42 37.41 (24.0-58.29)* 46.49 (27.64-78.19)* Fishing in freshwater No 4 776 3.62 1 1 | river/stream water | | | | |
| Fishing in freshwaterNo4 7763.6211 | No | 3 500 | 0.6 | 1 | 1 |
| No 4 776 3.62 1 1 | Yes | 2 014 | 18.42 | 37.41 (24.0-58.29)* | 46.49 (27.64-78.19)* |
| | Fishing in freshwater | | | | |
| Yes 738 29.67 11.23 (9.02-13.98)* 11.57 (8.74-15.32)* | No | 4 776 | 3.62 | 1 | 1 |
| | Yes | 738 | 29.67 | 11.23 (9.02-13.98)* | 11.57 (8.74-15.32)* |

* Significant *P-value* < 0.05, *p*-value obtained from multivariate logistic regression with fixed effects for all explanatory variables.

The multivariate logistic regression analysis of variables on table 3 shows the relationship between urogenital schistosomiasis and sociodemographic, sociocultural and socioeconomic factors. The

significant risk factors associated with *S. haematobium* infection are frequent contact with freshwater bodies (rivers/steams), washing/swimming and fishing. As expected, these results indicate a link between water contact and infection prevalence. Very few socioeconomic factors seemed to influence the infection status and only the primary education of fathers was significantly associated with the disease. The sociodemographic factor (age group 12-14 years) was also significantly associated with the infection.

4. Discussion

Infection with urogenital bilharziasis was found at all study sites although the prevalence was heterogeneous throughout country. The East and central parts of the country fell below the national average prevalence of 9.5%, while all of the sites in the West were slightly above the national average. A systemic review from 1994-2015, using a unified pooled population, revealed a pooled prevalence range from 31.0% to 38.50% for all regions (Abdulkadir, et al., 2017). A 50-year review by Ezeh, , et al., (2019), ranked most of our study sites (Benue, Ebonyi, Enugu, Osun and Plateau) as hyper endemic zones (>50% prevalence), while a few (Anambra and Ondo) were in moderately endemic zones (10-50% prevalence). Results of this survey showed a decrease in prevalence in most of the studied areas when compared with previously highlighted results (Table 4). This suggests that recent efforts to fight the disease have yielded positive results. Nigeria has increased control efforts, with global partners renewing their support to eliminate the infection. Yet, risk of reinfection and transmission of the parasite is still active in many areas in Nigeria. While MDA has helped to reduce morbidity, it is not sufficient to stop transmission in many parts of the country. A long-term well-structured control approach could help to provide a more sustainable result, similar to results achieved in China, Japan and Brazil (Bergquist, et al., 2017).

| Table 4: | Results obtained in | present study and | reports by | previous studies |
|----------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|------------------|
| | | | | |

| Present Survey | | | Previous Survey | References |
|----------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------|
| State | Sample site | Prevalence Date | Prevalence | |
| | | (%) | (%) | |

| Ondo | Ifedore | 15.91 | Oct 2002-Oct 2003 | 59.0 | Oniya & Odaibo, 2006 |
|--------|------------|-------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| Ondo | Ifedore | 12.27 | | | |
| Osun | Irepodun | 10.67 | Nov 2006-Jun 2007 | 62.0 | Ugbomoiko et al., |
| | | | Feb-July 2012 | 55.80 | 2010 |
| | | | | 51.10 | Awosolu, 2016 |
| | | | | | Bishop, 2017 |
| Osun | Irepodun | 12.62 | | 30.10 | Igbeneghu et al., |
| | | | 2013-2015 | 5.30 | 2018 |
| | | | | | Amuga et al., 2020 |
| Ebonyi | Ikwo | 6.72 | | 17.50 | Nwosu et al., 2015 |
| | | | July-Dec 2016 | 10.0 | Umoh et al., 2020 |
| Ebonyi | Ohaozara | 5.11 | 2012-2013 | 15.30 | Ivoke et al., 2014 |
| | | | | 6.30 & 79.40 | Bishop, 2017 |
| | | | Feb 2016-Jan 2017 | 3.90 | Nwachukwu et al., 2018 |
| Ebonyi | Onicha | 5.03 | Aug 2005-Jul 2006 | 26.80 | Uneke et al., 2006 |
| | | | | 27.0 | Elom et al., 2017 |
| Ebonyi | Ishielu | 4.93 | Jan-March 2009 | 46.18 | Ozowara et al., |
| | | | | 22.70 | 2011 |
| | | | | | Onwe et al., 2016 |
| Enugu | Nkanu East | 6.12 | | 34.10 | Bishop, 2017 |
| | | | | 13.60 | Aribodor et al., 2019 |

| Anambra | Anambra west | 4.64 | Oct 2007-Sept 2008 | 12.80-19.80 2.90 | Ugochukwu et al., 2013 |
|---------|--------------|------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | | | Ndukwe et al., 2019 |
| Benue | Gwer east | 5.64 | Nov 2008-Sept 2009 | 38.60 | Houmsou et al., |
| | | | Sept 2012 | 36.0-64.0 | 2012 |
| | | | | 41.50 | Amuta & Houmsou, |
| | | | | 20.70 | 2014 |
| | | | 2013-2015 | 13.10 | Bishop, 2017 |
| | | | 2013 2013 | 13.10 | Emmanuel et al., |
| | | | | | 2017 |
| | | | | | Amuga et al., 2020 |
| Plateau | Jos north | 5.70 | | 6.40 | Dawet et al., 2012 |

An overall prevalence of 7.1% was obtained from a total of 5,514 urine samples. Similar to results published in previous studies (Otuneme, et al., 2014, Gbonhinbor & Abah., 2019), males were infected more than their female counterparts, although this result was not statistically significant. This could be as a result of a lack of differences in sociocultural behaviors between the two genders. In general, in most parts of south and central Nigeria, there are no gender-based cultural restrictions associated with the water bodies. Even though Ezeh, et al., (2019), reported that the females had a higher prevalence of the disease when compared to their male counterparts. Our study also revealed an increase in prevalence with respect to age among the primary school pupils (age group 12-14 years). This is consistent with the findings of Gbonhinbor & Abah., (2019), Amuga , et al., (2020), and may be attributed to the frequent water contact activities exhibited by pupils within this age group. In contrast, Angora et al., (2020) did not observe any difference in prevalence among the three age groups investigated in a study conducted on the Ivory Coast.

There was no significant association between the parents' educational status (except fathers' primary education) and occupation and the infection status. This could be attributed to the fact that collecting water from the stream may not be related to the profession of one's parents' or their societal status, but instead by activity in some rural areas. This result is in contrast to the findings of Ugbomoiko et al., (2010), which showed that parents with a higher level of education could better understand the preventive strategies and explain them to their children. Nonetheless, Geleta et al., (2015), Angora et al., (2019), showed that 'farmer' as parents' occupation was statistically significant to an increase frequency of the disease.

The relationship between the prevalence of schistosomiasis and contact with freshwater bodies infested with cercariae is well established (Ugbomoiko, et al., 2010, Gbonhinbor & Abah., 2019). In fact, and as expected, we found that frequent contact with freshwater bodies infested with cercariae, like contact when collecting water for domestic use, recreation, swimming, washing and fishing was associated with urogenital schistosomiasis. This is to some extent logical since bilharziasis is directly linked to the exposition of schistosomiasis contaminate freshwater.

S. haematobium and *S. mansoni* have been reported to be endemic in Nigeria, but the latter is less prevalent and widespread (Garba et al., 2004). A survey carried out in 2015 in 19 states of Nigeria showed the proportion of *S. haematobium* and *S. mansoni* as 82% to 18%, respectively. The overlapping distribution of the two species could increase the chance of co-infection and the potential severe effect on morbidity. There is cause for concern over the possible ongoing control challenges in these areas.

5. Conclusions

The result obtained in this survey shows that the disease is still endemic in the studied areas despite a significant increase in the praziquantel treatment coverage index. This presents a health hazard among the infected children who could play a leading role in the spread of the parasite. However, a decrease in the prevalence of the disease in most of the study areas when compared with results reported by previous studies, suggests that recent efforts to fight the disease seem to have yielded positive results. If these efforts are continued over a long period in combination with a long-term well-structured control approach, they are likely to result in elimination, at least in areas with lower transmission.

Author Contributions: A.O.M., M.N.C., M.A collected the field data. J.B., O.R., A.O.M., and J-F.A. conceived and updated the methodology of the research. K.E.A. performed the statistical analysis. J.B., and O.R. reviewed the manuscript for submission.

Funding: This research was funded by Campus France, France and Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETfund), Nigeria and was supported by the program HySWARM (ANR-18-CE35-0001) from the French Research National Agency. Amos Onykwere is funded by Campus France. This study is set within the framework of the "Laboratoires d'Excellence (LABEX)" TULIP (ANR-10-LABX-41).

Conflicts of interest: No conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments: We are also grateful to the teachers and head teachers, "Community Heads" and Church leaders that mobilized the children for this exercise. We want to thank the "Primary Health Centres" (PHC) for judiciously utilizing the funds provided for the purchase of medication for the infected children. We thanks Jeanine Almany for proof reading services.

References

Abdulkadir, A., Ahmed, M., Abubakar, B.M., Suleiman, I.E., Yusuf, I., Imam, I.M., Sule, A.A., Tela, U.M., Dogo, H.M., Yakasai, A.M., Musa, B.M., 2017. *Prevalence of urinary schistosomiasis in Nigeria, 1994–2015: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Afr. J. Urology* 23 (3), 228–239. https://doi.org/can/Urological/Surgeons' Association. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.afju.2016.11. 004

Ajakaye, O.G., Adedeji, O.I., Ajayi, P.O., 2017. Modeling the risk of transmission of schistosomiasis in Akure North Local Government Area of Ondo State, Nigeria using satellite derived environmental data. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 11 (7), 1-20. https://doi.org/doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0005733

Amuga, G.A., Nebe, O.J., Nduka, F.O., Njepuome, N., Dakul, D.A., Isiyaku, S., Ngige, E., Jibrin, S., Jacob, S.M., Nwoye, I.A., Nwankwo, U., Urude, R., Aliyu, S.M., Garba A., Adamani, W., Nwosu, C.O., Anagbogu, I.A., Dixon, R., Clark, A., Adeoye, G.O., 2020. *Schistosomiasis: Epidemiological Factors Enhancing Transmission In Nigeria. Glob. Res. J. Public Health Epedemiol.* 8 (7), 23–32. ISSN-2360-7920

Amuta, E.U., Houmsou R.S., 2014. *Prevalence, intensity of infection and risk factors of urinary schistosomiasis in pre-school and school aged children in Guma Local Government Area, Nigeria. Asian Pac. J. Trop. Med.* 7 (1), 34–39. https://doi.org/doi: 10.1016/S1995-7645(13)60188-1

Angora, E.K., Allienne, J.F., Rey, O., Menan, H., Touré, A.O., Coulibaly, J.T., Raso, G., Yavo, W., N'Goran, E. K., Utzinger, J., Balmer, O., Boissier, J., 2020. *High prevalence of Schistosoma haematobium* × *Schistosoma bovis hybrids in school children in Côte d'Ivoire. Parasitology* 147 (3), 287–294. https://doi.org/doi: 10.1017/S0031182019001549

Angora, E.K., Boissier, j., Menan H., Rey, O., Tuo, K., Touré, A.O., Coulibaly, J.T., Méité, A., Raso, G., N'Goran, E.K., Utzinger, J., Balmer, O., 2019. *Prevalence and Risk Factors for Schistosomiasis among Schoolchildren in two Settings of Côte d'Ivoire. Trop. Med. Infect. Dis.* 4 (3), 110–123. https://doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed4030110

Aribodor, D.N., Bassey, S.A., Yoonuan, T., Sam-Wobo, S.O., Aribodor, O.B., 2019. Analysis of Schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminths mixed infections among pupils in Enugu State, Nigeria: Implications for control. Infect. Dis. Health 24 (2), 98–106. https://doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.idh.2018.12.003

Atalabi, T. E., Adoh, S.D., Eze, K.M., 2018. *The current epidemiological status of urogenital schistosomiasis among primary school pupils in Katsina State, Nigeria: An imperative for a scale up of water and sanitation initiative and mass administration of medicines with Praziquantel. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 12 (7), 1-19 https://doi.org/doi : 10.1371/journal.pntd.0006636

Awosolu, O.B., 2016. Epidemiology of urinary schistosomiasis and knowledge of health personnel in rural communities of south-werstern Nigeria. J. Parasitol. Vector Biol. 8 (10), 99–106. https://doi.org/doi: 10.5897/JPVB2016.0237

Bergquist, R., Zhou, X-N., Rollinson, D., Reinhard-Rupp, J., Klohe., K., 2017. *Elimination of schistosomiasis: The tools required. Infect. Dis. Poverty* 6 (158), 1–9. https://doi.org/doi: 10.1186/s40249-017-0370-7

Bishop, H.G., 2017. *Menace of Schistosomiasis: Its True Neglected Nature in Nigeria. MenCrave Online J. Pub. Health* 6 (5), 1–7. https://doi.org/doi: 10.15406/mojh2017.06.00186

Colley, D.G., Bustinduy, A.L., Secor, W.E., King, C.H., 2014. *Human schistosomiasis. The Lancet* 383 (9936) 2253–2264. https://doi.org/doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61949-2

Dawet, A., Yakubu, D.P., Longmut, R., Benjamin, C.B., Daburum, Y.H., Nannim, N., 2012. *Prevalence and intensity of Schistosoma haematobium among residents of Gwong and Kabong in Jos North Local Government Area, Plateau State, Nigeria. Int. J. Biol. Chem. Sci.* 6 (4), 1557–1565. https://doi.org/doi: 10.4314/ijbcs.v6i4.15

Ejike C.U., Oluwole A.S., Mogaji H.O., Adeniran A.A., Alabi O.M., Ekpo U.F., 2017. *Development and Testing of Schisto and LaddersTM, an Innovative Health Educational Game for Control of Schistosomiasis in Schoolchildren. Development and testing of Schisto and Ladders*TM, *an innovative health educational game for control of schistosomiasis in schoolchildren. BMC Res. Notes* 10 (1), 1-9. https://doi.org/(https://doi.org/doi: 10.1186/s13104-017-2545-5 Elom, J.E., Odikamnoro, O.O., Nnachi, A.U., Ikeh, I., Nkwuda, J.O., 2017. Variability of urine parameters in children infected with Schistosoma haematobium in Ukawu community, Onicha local government area, Ebonyi state, Nigeria. Afr. J. Infect. Dis. 11 (2), 10–16. https://doi.org/doi: 10.21010/ajid.v11i2.2

Emmanuel, O.I., Agbo O.E., Uche A.J., Odeh U.P., Agogo I.M., 2017. *Comparative Evaluation of the Prevalence of Urinary Schistosomiasis in Two Contrasting Communities in Benue State, Nigeria. Int J Infect Dis. and Therapy* 2 (3), 48–52. https://doi.org/doi: 10.11648/j.ijidt.20170202.15

Ezeh, C.O., Onyekwelu, K.C., Akinwale, O.P., Shan, L., Wei, H., 2019. Urinary schistosomiasis in Nigeria: A 50-year review of prevalence, distribution and disease burden: Parasite 26 (19), 1-10. https://doi.org/doi: 10.1051/parasite/2019020

Garba, A., Labbo, R., Tohon, Z., Sidiki, A., Djibrilla, A., 2004. *Emergence of Schistosoma mansoni in the Niger River valley, Niger. Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 98 (5), 296–298. https://doi.org/doi: 10.1016/S0035-9203(03) 00070-1

Gbonhinbor, J., Abah, A. E., 2019. Prevalence of Urogenital Schistosomiasis in Four Communities in Ogbia Local Government Area, Bayelsa State, Nigeria. Int. J. Trop. Dis. Health 39 (3),1–9. https://doi.org/doi: 10.9734/ijtdh/2019/v39i330206

Geleta, S., Alemu, A., Getie, S., Mekonnen, Z., Erko, B., 2015. *Prevalence of urinary schistosomiasis and associated risk factors among Abobo primary school children in Gambella Regional State, southwestern Ethiopia: A cross sectional study. Parasites Vectors* 8 (215), 1-9. https://doi.org/doi: 10.1186/s13071-015-0822-5

Global Network Neglected Tropical Diseases, Government of Nigeria releases new data on the prevalence of schistosomiasis and intestinal worms. SABIN: Vaccine Institute, USA, 2015

Herrick, J.R., Hotez, P.J., Wanga, V., Coffeng, L.E., Haagsma, J.A., BasaÂñez, M.G et al., 2017. *The global burden of disease study 2013: What does it mean for the NTDs? PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 21 (8), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005424

Hotez, P.J., Asojo, O.A., Adesina, A.M., 2012. *Nigeria: "Ground Zero" for the High Prevalence Neglected Tropical Diseases. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 6 (7), 1-5. https://doi.org/doi : 10.1371/journal.pntd.0001600

Houmsou, R., Kela, S., Suleiman, M., 2011. *Performance of microhaematuria and proteinuria as measured by urine reagent strips in estimating intensity and prevalence of Schistosoma haematobium infection in Nigeria. Asian Pac. J. Trop. Med.* 4 (12), 997–1000. https://doi.org/doi: 10.1016/S1995-7645(11)60233-2

Houmsou, R. S., Amuta, E.U., Sar, T.T., 2012. *Profile of an epidemiological study of urinary schistosomiasis in two local government areas of Benue state, Nigeria. Int. J. Med. and Biomed. Res.* 1 (1), 39–48. https://doi.org/doi: 10.14194/ijmbr.117

Igbeneghu, C., Adedokun, S.A., Akindele, A.A., Olisekodiaka, J.M., Idolor, D.E., Ojurongbe, O., 2018. *No Association between Urogenital Schistosomiasis and HIV Infection among Children in Ore Community, Southwestern Nigeria. Ann. Res. and Rev. Biol.* 27 (1), 1–7. https://doi.org/doi: 10.9734/ARRB/2018/42007

Ivoke, N., Ivoke, O.N., Nwani, C.D., Ekeh, F.N., Asogwa, C.N., Atama, C.I., Eyo, J.E., 2014. *Prevalence and transmission dynamics of Schistosoma haematobium infection in a rural community of southwestern Ebonyi State, Nigeria. Trop. Biomed.* 31 (1), 77–88. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24862047/

Manson, S.P., Manson-Bahr, P.E.C., Bell, D.R., 1987. Manson's tropical diseases. Baillière Tindall, 448–485.

Mott, K.E., Baltes, R., Bambagha, J., & Baldassini, B., 1982. *Field studies of a reusable polyamide filter for detection of Schistosoma haematobium eggs by urine filtration. Tropenmed parasitol.* 33 (4), 227–228.

Ndukwe, Y.E., Obiezue, R.N.N., Aguzie, I.O.N., Anunobi, J. T., Okafor, F.C., 2019. *Mapping of Urinary Schistosomiasis in Anambra State, Nigeria. Ann. Glob. Health* 85 (1), 1-10. https://doi.org/doi: 10.5334/aogh.2393

Nwachukwu, P.C., Ohaeri, C.C., Ukpai, O.M., Irole-eze, O.P., E.C. Amaechi, E.C., 2018. *Prevalence of Schistosoma haematobium infection among school aged children in Afipko North local government area, Ebonyi State, Nigeria. Sri Lankan J. Biol.* 3 (2), 1–8. https://doi.org/doi: 10.4038/sljb.v3i2.22

Nwosu, D.C., Ifeanyi, O.B., Ozims, S.J., Ezeama, M.C., Uduji, H.I., 2015. *Prevalence of Urinary Schistosomiasis Infection among Primary School Pupils in Ezza-North Local Government Area of Ebonyi State. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 4 (5), 1151–1157. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/322276681

Oniya, M.O., Odaibo, A.B., 2006. *Reinfection Pattern and Predictors of Urinary Schistosomiasis among School Pupils from a Southwestern Village in Nigeria*. *Int. J. Trop. Med.* 1 (4), 173–177. https://doi.org/doi=ijtmed.2006.173.177

Onwe, S.O., Ani, O.C., Uhuo, C.A., Onwe, C.S., Odikamnoro, O.O., 2016. *Studies of Urinary Schistosomiasis amongst School Age Children in Ebonyi North Senatorial District of Ebonyi State, Nigeria. Int. J. Trop. Dis. and Health* 18 (3), 1–7. https://doi.org/doi: 10.9734/IJTDH/2016/13138

Opara, K.N., Wilson, E.U., Yaro, C.A., Alkazmi, L., Udoidung, N.I., Chikezie, F.M., Bassey, B.E., Batiha, G. EL-S., 2021. *Prevalence, Risk Factors, and Coinfection of Urogenital Schistosomiasis and Soil-Transmitted Helminthiasis among Primary School Children in Biase, Southern Nigeria Prevalence, Risk Factors, and Coinfection of Urogenital Schistosomiasis and Soil-Transmitted Helminthiasis among Primary School Children in Biase, Southern Nigeria (3), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6618394*

Ossai, O.P., Dankoli, R., Nwodo, C., Tukur, D., Nsubuga, P., Ogbuabor, D., Ekwueme, O., Abonyi, G., Ezeanolue, E., Nguku, P., Nwagbo, D., Idris, S., Eze, G., 2014. *Bacteriuria and*

urinary schistosomiasis in primary school children in rural communities in Enugu State, Nigeria. Pan Afr. Med. J. 18 (suppl 1) (15), 1-5. https://doi.org/10.11694/pamj.supp.2014.18.1.4169

Ozowara, N.L., Njoku, O.O., Odikamnoro, O.O., Uhuo, C., 2011. *Study of the prevalence of Schistosoma haematobium infection and the treatment using praziquantel among school children in Ezza north local government area of Ebonyi state, Southeast Nigeria. Euro. J. Exp. Biol.* 1 (2), 103–108. ISSN-2248-9215

Singh, K., Muddasiru, D., Singh, J., 2016. *Current status of schistosomiasis in Sokoto, Nigeria. Parasite Epidemiol. Control* 1 (3), 239–244. https://doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.parepi.2016.08.003

Uchendu, O., Oladoyin, V., Idowu, M., Adeyera, O., Olabisi, O., Oluwatosin, O., Leigh, G., 2017. *Urinary schistosomiasis among vulnerablechildren in a rehabilitation home in Ibadan, Oyo state, Nigeria. BMC Infect. Dis.* 17 (1), 1–7. https://doi.org/doi: 10.1186/s12879-017-2591-6

Ugbomoiko, U.S., Ofoezie, I.E., Okoye, I.C., Heukelbach J., 2010. Factors associated with urinary schistosomiasis in two peri-urban communities in south-western Nigeria. Ann. Trop. Med. Parasitol. 104 (5), 409–419. https://doi.org/doi: 10.1179/136485910X12743554760469

Ugochukwu, D.O., Onwuliri, C.O.E., U. Osuala, F.O.U., Dozie, I.N.S., Opara, F.N., Nweny, U.C., 2013. Endemicity of schistosomiasis in some parts of Anambra State, Nigeria. J. Med. Lab. and Diagnosis 4 (5), 54–61. https://doi.org/doi:10.5897/JMLD2013.0072

Umoh, N.O., Nwamini, C.F., Inyang, N.J., Umo, A.N., Usanga, V.U., Nworie, A., Elom, M.O., Ukwah, B.N., 2020. *Prevalence of urinary schistosomiasis amongst primary school children in Ikwo and Ohaukwu Communities of Ebonyi State, Nigeria. Afr. J. Lab. Med.* 9 (1), 1–5. http://dx.doi.org/doi: 10.4102/ajlm.v9i1.812

Uneke, C.J., Oyibo, P., Ugwuoru, C., Nwanokwai, A., Iloegbunam, R., 2006. Urinary schistosomiasis among school age children in Ebonyi State, Nigeria. Internet J. Lab. Med. 2 (1), 1–7. doi: 10.5580/fd0

Yauba, S.M., Rabasa, A.I., Farouk, A.G., Elechi, H.A., Ummate, I., Ibrahim, B.A., Ibrahim, H.A., Baba, A.S., Boda, T.A., Olowu, W.A., 2018. *Urinary schistosomiasis in Boko Haram-related internally displaced Nigerian children. Saudi J. Kidney Dis. Transpl.* 29 (6), 1395-1402. https://doi.org/doi: 10.4103/1319-2442.248286