

Rheology tests on core build-up materials and clinical implications

M Longerey, Jean-christophe Quantin, J.-P Salomon, A Bergeret, S Chauvel,

J Dejou, G Aboudharam

► To cite this version:

M Longerey, Jean-christophe Quantin, J.-P Salomon, A Bergeret, S Chauvel, et al.. Rheology tests on core build-up materials and clinical implications. IADR 2010, Jul 2010, Barcelone, Spain. hal-03713510

HAL Id: hal-03713510 https://hal.science/hal-03713510v1

Submitted on 4 Jul 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.







M. LONGEREY¹, J.-C. QUANTIN¹, J.-P. SALOMON², A. BERGERET¹, S. CHAUVEL¹, J. DEJOU³, and G. ABOUDHARAM³ ¹Centre des Matériaux de Grande Diffusion, Ecole des Mines d'Alès, 6 avenue de Clavières, 30319 Alès Cedex, France ²Faculté de Chirurgie Dentaire, 96 avenue de Lattre de Tassigny, BP 50208, 54004 Nancy Cedex, France ³Université de la Méditerranée, 27 boulevard Jean Moulin, 13355 Marseille Cedex 05, France

INTRODUCTION Reconstruction of endodontically treated posterior teeth use now dual or chemical cure core build-up materials. Core build-up materials have mechanical properties still unknown. These properties have consequences on survival of reconstruction and on the tooth. For the same material family, the operator feelings may be very different depending on resin flowability. The aim of this study was to evaluate the viscosity (processing properties) and some mechanical properties (in service properties) of different resins. For example:

- → If the resin is very viscous it is difficult to fill the root canal
- → If the resin is very flowable, injection is facilitated but what about the mechanical properties (stiffness, yield stress, hardness, ...)?

The resins properties were obtained from shear rheometry, flexural vibration analysis, micro-indentation and micro-hardness.

MATERIALS Several dental dual cure resin composites were studied. They were supplied by ITENA (Dentocore - A3, White), Ivoclar Vivadent (Multicore Flow - Medium, Light an Blue), Voco GmbH (Rebilda DC - Blau (blue), Weiss (white) and Dentine (dentin)), DMG (LuxaCore Automix dual – A3), Bisico (Best Core DC - A3) and Coltène Whaledent (ParaCore Automix - dentin). They were selected owing to their different behaviours (especially "flowability") during core build-up processing. All these resins are available in 50 ml cartridges. Data about the composition (list of organic and inorganic ingredients) of the resins are available from

Supplier	Reference	Shades	Matrix		Fillers		
Voco	Rebilda DC	Blau (Blue) Weiss (White) Dentine (Dentin)	- UDMA* 2 - DDDMA** - BIS-GMA*** - Aliphatic and aromatic dime - Initiators - Accelerators *//rethane dimethocolate	10-25 wt% 5-10 wt% 2.5-5 wt% ethacrylates	- Barium silicate - Barite (BaSO₄) - Highly dispersed fillers Pigments		
			** Dodecanediol dimethacrylate *** bisphenol A diglycidyl ether dimetha	ıcrylate			
			- Bis-GMA+UDMA +TEGDMA - Bis-GMA	28.3 wt% 6-15 wt%	Filler size: 0,04 - 25 µm Filler content: 70 wt%, 46 vol%		
Ivoclar	Multicore	Medium	- TEGDMA****	3-7 wt%	- Barium glass	33 wt%	

2302

the suppliers' instructions for use or(and) Safety Data Sheets (see Table on the right).

All are based on mixtures containing monomers such as Bis-GMA ("high" viscosity about 700 Pa.s at 25 °C) and diluents monomers such as TEGDMA (about 8 mPa.s at 25 °C) or DDDMA (about 15 mPa.s at 20 °C). Voco and Ivoclar Vivadent contain also UDMA monomer ("intermediate" viscosity about 7 Pa.s at 23 °C). For instance, addition of TEGDMA tends to decrease notably the viscosity of the resin composite. But drawbacks are usually higher shrinkage and higher water absorption.

The inorganic fraction contains different nature of fillers which act as viscosity and mechanical properties enhancers, or for radio opacity.

The filler content of each resin composite was measured by ignition loss. About 0.4 g of each resin is pyrolised at 625 °C for 3 h. The fraction left is assumed to be only composed of mineral fillers. Other procedures such as thermogravimetric analysis are known to give the same results [Sabbagh, 2004]. For instance, the results (see Fig. on the right) show no difference between Voco and Ivoclar Vivadent (about 69 wt%). For Itena the result is about 63.5 wt%. Moreover, for Ivoclar Vivadent resin composites the value matches the supplier's data (70 wt%).



EXPERIMENTAL

RHEOLOGY Rotational rheometry experiments were carried out with a TA Instruments ARES rheometer. A parallel plates (25 mm diameter, 1 mm gap) geometry was used. For the whole tests materials were conditioned for 45 min at ambient before being extruded through a static mixing tip from 50 ml cartridges with a dispenser gun type 3. The delay before the first measurement is about 70 s. The materials undergo only chemical curing during the test.

Two kinds of experiments are carried out:

. Steady: time sweep (shear rate 0.05 s⁻¹). The dynamic viscosity is estimated for 2 materials. Ivoclar Vivadent Multicore Flow medium, assumed to be "viscous" and Voco Rebilda DC weiss, assumed to be "flowable". Results are given below (each curve is the mean of 3 experiments; standard deviations are indicated by vertical bars). Time in abscissa represents the delay from the deposit of the material onto the geometry just after mixing the two components. Ivoclar and Voco resins have clearly different behaviours. The increase in viscosity is much faster for Ivoclar than for Voco. This is a result that confirms the operator feelings. Moreover it is consistent with the working times of the different resins (120 s for Voco Rebilda DC and 90 - 120 s for Ivoclar Vivadent Multicore Flow). No definitive explanation can be given except the weight fraction of viscous monomer Bis-GMA is much higher in Multocore Flow (about 15 %) than in Rebilda DC (2.5 - 5 %) whereas the filler content is the same (69 wt%).





58

The disk-shaped sample is 1 mm thick and 25 mm in diameter. The test is composed of 3 steps: 1. Loading: a compression is applied by the punch (1 mm diameter) at a controlled speed of 0.1 mm.min⁻¹. The maximum displacement and force are respectively 0.2 mm and 100 N

2. Relaxation: constant displacement (0.2 mm) for 2 min 3. Unloading: the punch is driven back to its initial position The tangential stiffness K is measured at the beginning of the unloading



(polynomial regression on the 30 first points) (see Fig. below).





Then the Young's modulus of the material is estimated thanks to a 2D axisymetric Finite Element Model (FEM) (see Fig. above) under the assumptions:

2. Oscillatory: frequency sweep (1 - 24 rad.s⁻¹). Effect of delay from mixing is also estimated (83 and 130 s). The strain amplitude is 1 %. Two resins were tested: Itena Dentocore A3 and Voco Rebilda DC Dentine. Results (complex viscosity) are given above. Both materials are shear-thinning, which is quite usual for this kind of mineral filled materials [Lee, 2006] [Beun, 2009]. Moreover these ones reveal very different behaviours:

- → At the same time Itena is much more viscous than Voco,
- → The complex viscosity of Itena varies much more rapidly than viscosity of Voco.

(1) the material is purely elastic (Hooke's law), (2) the sample lays on a perfectly rigid substrate, (3) the punch and the sample are coaxial, (4) The boundary conditions are: -0.2 mm displacement along the contact punch/sample and no vertical displacement in contact with the substrate.

Finally the relation between the Young's modulus E (GPa) and the stiffness K (N.mm⁻¹) is $E = \frac{K - 0,0095}{1672.5}$

Results: the results are given in the table below. They are rather consistent with values obtained from dynamical tests.

Several causes of errors could be identified: 1. The FEM model used is simplified. The material is supposed elastic whereas an elastoplastic strain is likely to occur be stiffness of the motorial could be increased

۷.	In	e stit	Thess	OT U	ne	material	could	be	increase	ea
at	as	strain	level	abo	out 2	20 %				

	Resin	K _m (N.mm ⁻¹)	K _{sd} (N.mm⁻¹)	E _m (GPa)	E _{sd} (GPa)			
	Voco Rebilda DC - Blau	13583	187	8,121	0,112			
	Voco Rebilda DC - Weiss	15741	446	9,411	0,267			
	Voco Rebilda DC - Dentine	14740	1762	8,813	1,053			
Micro-indentation: K_m (mean value of stiffness K), K_{sd} (standard deviation of K), E_m (mean value Young's modulus E), E_{sd} (standard deviation of E) - 3 tests for each measurement								

FLEXURAL VIBRATION ANALYSIS

Flexural vibration is used to

characterize the elastic behaviour of structures or simple geometry samples (bars, plates, beams, ...).

In this study several resin composites from Voco (Rebilda DC -Weiss and Dentin) were characterized. Beams 80x2.3x2.5 mm³ sized were molded and light cured according to the supplier's indications then chemical cured for 15 more minutes. Method: the sample is fixed as a cantilever on an exciter which

induces vertical oscillations at a variable frequency (see Figures on the right).

- \rightarrow Hypotheses:
- The material is elastic, homogeneous and isotropic (Hooke's law),
- The deflection of the beam is governed by the Bernoulli condition,
- The damping of the material is neglected.

The equation of motion [Chevalier, 2002] is $\frac{\partial^4 y}{\partial x^4} + \frac{\rho S}{EI} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2} = 0$ [1] (*x*: longitudinal axis, *I*: flexural inertia, y(x,t): vertical deflection, E: Young's modulus, t: time). If the solution is written as: $y(x,t) = Y(x)e^{i\omega t}$ [2], then equation of motion gives $\frac{d^4Y}{dx^4} - \frac{\beta^4}{L^4}Y = 0$ [3].



Taking account of boundary conditions $(y_0)=0, \frac{dy}{dx}(0)=0$ clamping, $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}(0)=0$ null bending moment,

 $\frac{d^3y}{dr^3}(0)=0$ null vertical shear force) gives: $ch(\beta)cos(\beta)+1=0$ [6] which gives an infinite set of solution. Each solution is associated with an amplitude resonance of the beam, an eigen mode and an eigen frequency. For each eigen mode the Young's modulus E can be deduced from: $E = \frac{\rho SL^4 \omega^2}{I\beta^4} = C \frac{\rho f^2 L^4}{h^2}$ [7].(





MICRO-HARDNESS Cylindrical-shaped samples were prepared with metallic molds

(4 mm diameter- 2 mm thickness). Five samples were prepared for each experimental group (n=5). Dual-cured resin-composites were inserted into the molds and covered with acetate strips (Hawe-Neos Dental, Bioggio, Switzerland). A 1 mm thick glass slide was placed over the acetate strips and manual pressure was applied to extrude excess material.

Light curing of the materials was carried out using a quartz tungsten halogen (Elipar Trilight: 3M/Espe company) operating at 800 mW.cm⁻² with the tip of the light curing unit positioned in direct contact with the glass slide.

The specimens were positioned centrally beneath the indenter of a micro-hardness tester (MVK H2 from Mitutoyo company) and a 500 gf load was applied through the indenter with a dwell time of 20 seconds. One reading was performed for each sample.

Dual-cured resin materials were light cured for different exposure times: 10, 20, 40 and 60 seconds. Microhardness readings were delayed for 24 hours.

		Time (s)>	10		20		40		60		
Supplier	Reference	Shade	m (sd)		m (sd)		m (sd)		m (sd)		
Bisico	Best Core DC	A3	46,06 (0,56)	с	46,02 (0,58)	с	45,32 (0,67)	b	46,40 (0,68)	b	NS
Itena	Dentocore	A3	26,62 (1,55)	d2	28,78 (0,46)	d2	30,46 (1,01)	c2	34,66 (0,77)	c1	p=0,000
DMG	Luxacore	A3	52,58 (0,51)	а	53,20 (0,60)	а	52,96 (0,81)	а	53,38 (1,35)	а	NS
Ivoclar Vivadent	Multicore Flow	Medium	50,06 (0,80)	b2	51,70 (0,58)	b1	51,76 (0,98)	a1	51,46 (0,88)	a1	p=0,05
Coltène Whaledent	Paracore	Dentin	44,68 (1,10)	c2	54,18 (0,55)	a1	55,08 (1,52)	a1	54,18 (1,57)	a1	p=0,008
Voco	Rebilda DC	Dentine	45,32 (1,05)	c1	47,16 (0,48)	c2	46,66 (0,38)	b2	46,96 (0,85)	b2	p = 0,01
		p <0,0001		p<0,0001		p<0,0001		p<0,0001			
For each light irradiation time, rows with the same superscipt letter did not differ For each material, columns with the same superscript figure did not differ											

Light curing unit with light densities $_{\rm T}$ of 8 J.cm⁻² (10 s), 16 J.cm⁻² (20 s), 32 J.cm⁻² (40 s), and 48 J.cm⁻² (60 s) were used to light-cure the specimens. After light curing, the specimens were stored dry in lightproof containers at 37 °C. After 24 hours samples were submitted to Vickers hardness testing.

Results (mean and standard deviations and statistical analysis) are given in the table.above.



A statistical analysis was carried out with Kruskal-Wallis and Games-Howell tests (p-value of less than 0.05). Analysis results determine three types of

 $C = \frac{48\pi^2}{\beta^4}$, $\rho = \frac{m}{V}$: material's density, $f = 2\pi\omega$: resonance frequency of the first eigen mode, L: vibrating length of the sample, h: height of the sample).

In the first mode: $\beta_1 = 1,875$

In each test the cantilever sample is excitated with an increasing frequency until the first eigen mode is reached. Then the value of E is calculated according to equation [7].

Results: the results are given in the table below.

Sample	ρ (kg.m ⁻³) L (m)		Frequency (Hz)	E (GPa) SD		
Voco Rebilda DC - Blau	1838	0,050	330	9,066 ± 0,725		
Voco Rebilda DC - Weiss	1844	0,050	330	9,094 ± 0,728		

behaviours for the different resins:

→ Resins with final hardness independent of light exposure time: LuxaCore[®] (DMG, Hamburg, Germany) and BestCore (Bisico, Lançon de Provence, France)

→ DentoCore (Itena resin, Paris, France) seems to reveal a hardness evolution close to a linear function

of time. Moreover its final hardness is the lowest among the different tested materials

→ Some resins MultiCore Flow (Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein) Paracore (Coltène Whaledent, Altstätten, Switzerland) and Rebilda DC (Voco, Cuxhaven, Germany) need at least 20 s light exposure time to reach their final hardness value. That is a typical behaviour of dual cure resins.

CONCLUSION The various tests showed differences between the resins. All this Core build-up materials belong theoretically to the same family of materials and should have similar physical properties.

- → Flowability is clearly different from one resin to another
- > Final Vickers micro-hardness at 24 h and its dependence on the light exposure time is also clearly different for the various tested resins. It can induce different behaviours in dental milling and operator filling during core build-up
- These differences show that clinically endodontically treated reconstructions may not have the same rheological behaviour, mechanical properties and impact on quality and longevity of reconstructions.
- The overall analysis of the results suggests the establishment of a manufacturers common standard to dispose equivalent materials in this clinical application.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS The authors would like to thank DMG, Coltène Whaledent, Bisico, ITENA, Ivoclar Vivadent and, in particular, Voco GmbH (Silvia Jarchow) for the supply of the different resin composites used in this work.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[Beun, 2009] Beun S, Bailly C, Dabin A, Vreven J, Devaux J, Leloup G. Rheological properties of experimental Bis-GMA/TEGDMA flowable resin composites with various macrofiller/microfiller ratio. Dental Materials 2009;25:198-205 [Lee, 2006] Lee JH, Um CM, Lee IB. Rheological properties of resin composites according to variations in monomer and filler composition. Dental Materials 2006;22:515-526 [Sabbagh, 2004] Sabbagh J, Ryelandt L, Bachérius L, Biebuyck JJ, Vreven J. Characterization of the inorganic fraction of resin composites. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation 2004;31:1090-1101 [Chevalier, 2002] Chevalier Y. Essais dynamiques sur composites - Caractérisation aux basses fréquences. Techniques de l'Ingénieur 2002;AM5400:1-14