

Scale dependence of fractal dimension in deterministic and stochastic Lorenz-63 systems

T Alberti, Davide Faranda, V Lucarini, R V Donner, Berengere Dubrulle, F

Daviaud

▶ To cite this version:

T Alberti, Davide Faranda, V Lucarini, R V Donner, Berengere Dubrulle, et al.. Scale dependence of fractal dimension in deterministic and stochastic Lorenz-63 systems. Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science, 2023, 10.1063/5.0106053. hal-03707052v2

HAL Id: hal-03707052 https://hal.science/hal-03707052v2

Submitted on 13 Feb 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Scale dependence of fractal dimension in deterministic and stochastic

Lorenz-63 systems 2

- T. Alberti,¹ D. Faranda,^{2, 3, 4} V. Lucarini,^{5, 6} R. V. Donner,^{7, 8} B. Dubrulle,⁹ and F. Daviaud¹⁰ 3 ¹⁾INAF-Istituto di Astrofisica e Planetologia Spaziali, via del Fosso del Cavaliere 100, 00133 Roma, 4
 - Italy
- ²⁾Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, CEA Saclay l'Orme des Merisiers, 6
- UMR 8212 CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, Université Paris-Saclay & IPSL, 91191, Gif-sur-Yvette,
- 8 France
- ³⁾London Mathematical Laboratory, 8 Margravine Gardens, London, W6 8RH, UK 9
- ⁴⁾LMD/IPSL, Ecole Normale Superieure, PSL research University, 75005, Paris, France 10
 - ⁵⁾Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Reading, RG6 6AH, Reading,
- UK 12
- ⁶⁾Centre for the Mathematics of Planet Earth, University of Reading, RG6 6AX, Reading, 13
- 14

5

7

11

- ⁷⁾Department of Water, Environment, Construction and Safety, Magdeburg–Stendal University of Applied Sciences, 15 Breitscheidstraße 2, 39114 Magdeburg, Germany 16
- ⁸⁾Research Department I Earth System Analysis, Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact 17
- Research (PIK) -- Member of the Leibniz Association, Telegrafenberg A31, 14473 Potsdam, 18 Germany
- 19

UK

- ⁹⁾SPEC, CEA, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191 CEA Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France 20 ¹⁰⁾CEA, IRAMIS, SPEC, CNRS URA 2464, SPHYNX, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France 21
- (*Electronic mail: tommaso.alberti@inaf.it) 22
- (Dated: 13 February 2023) 23

Many natural systems show emergent phenomena at different scales, leading to scaling regimes with signatures of 24 deterministic chaos at large scales and an apparently random behavior at small scales. These features are usually 25 investigated quantitatively by studying the properties of the underlying attractor, the compact object asymptotically 26 hosting the trajectories of the system with their invariant density in the phase-space. This multi-scale nature of natural 27 systems makes it practically impossible to get a clear picture of the attracting set. Indeed, it spans over a wide range of 28 spatial scales and may even change in time due to non-stationary forcing. Here we combine an adaptive decomposition 29 method with extreme value theory to study the properties of the instantaneous scale-dependent dimension, which has 30 been recently introduced to characterize such temporal and spatial scale-dependent attractors in turbulence and astro-31 physics. To provide a quantitative analysis of the properties of this metric, we test it on the well-known low-dimensional 32 deterministic Lorenz-63 system perturbed with additive or multiplicative noise. We demonstrate that the properties of 33 the invariant set depend on the scale we are focusing on and that the scale-dependent dimensions can discriminate be-34 tween additive and multiplicative noise, despite the fact that the two cases have exactly the same stationary invariant 35 measure at large scales. The proposed formalism can be generally helpful to investigate the role of multi-scale fluctua-36 tions within complex systems, allowing us to deal with the problem of characterizing the role of stochastic fluctuations 37 across a wide range of physical systems. 38

65

66

67

68

The collective dynamics of natural systems is the result 53 30 of the dynamics of their individual components, often 54 40 operating on multiple spatio-temporal scales and some-55 41 times related to intrinsic and extrinsic factors. These 56 42 multiple components reflect in scaling laws, unpredictable 57 43 deterministic behavior, bifurcations between differ-58 vs. ent regimes, and basin of attractions. Here, we propose 59 45 a novel concept of fractal dimension in deterministic and 60 46 stochastic Lorenz-63 systems to provide a more complete 61 characterization of the geometric features of attractors at 62 48 different scales. 49 63 64

INTRODUCTION ١. 50

Since their first description by E. N. Lorenz in 1963¹, the ⁶⁹ 51 existence and properties of strange attractors have been fre-52

quently discussed in the context of such diverse fields as the atmosphere², climate^{3,4}, biology⁵, and ecology⁶, to mention only a few examples. The concept of strange attractors is strictly related to that of dissipative dynamical systems with sensitive dependence on the initial conditions. Being revolutionary at the time of its invention, it has been attracting a lot of attention, especially in the context of developing measures to quantify the geometric and dynamical properties of attractors⁷ and in revising some earlier concepts on the forecast horizon of physical systems⁸. A one-parametric family of measures, the so-called generalized fractal dimensions D_a , has been proposed based on a coarse-grained invariant measure linking the geometric properties of the phase-space trajectories to the statistics of the dynamical scaling properties⁹. These measures provided new insights not only in the field of dynamical system theory (where they have been developed)¹⁰ but also into different more applied fields like fluid and magneto-hydrodynamic turbulence^{11,12} and others¹³.

71 One of the peculiar aspects of physical systems is theiri26

variability over a wide range of scales, arising from both in-127

r3 trinsic interactions between characteristic variability components in one or several variables and external forcings, dif-

⁷⁵ ferently affecting the specific properties of the whole sys-¹²⁸

⁷⁶ tem at different scales⁴. Recently, Alberti *et al.*¹⁴ proposed

⁷⁷ a method to investigate how scale-dependency affects the₁₂₉

78 global phase-space properties and their statistical character-130

⁷⁹ istics. This method requires to first identify scale-dependent,

 $_{80}$ components contributing to the observed dynamics of a given₁₃₂

system as a whole, which can be achieved by applying time se $_{133}$

⁸² ries decomposition techniques like empirical mode decompo-134

sition (EMD). Subsequently, quantitative scale-specific mea-135
 sures like generalized fractal dimensions are evaluated. The136

sures like generalized fractal dimensions are evaluated. The $_{13}$ formalism resulting from the combination of those two ap-

⁸⁶ proaches allows the introduction of *multi-scale measures* by

⁸⁷ computing the generalized fractal dimensions for each scale¹³⁷

specific component and partial sums thereof 14 . The suitability

⁸⁹ of this approach has been demonstrated for several dynamical,

 $_{90}$ systems of different complexity, synthetic noisy signals, and $_{139}^{138}$

real-world time series data¹⁴.

For systems exhibiting heterogeneous phase space struc-92 ture or even non-stationarity, it would however be use-93 ful to track the instantaneous number of degrees of free-142 94 doms, which are closely related to its associated recur143 95 rence characteristics¹⁵. Indeed, the spatial distribution of 96 the instantaneous (i.e., local in phase-space) dimensions¹⁴⁴ 97 across the system's invariant set, as well as its geometric¹⁴⁵ 98 shape, provide us with more detailed information than es-99 tablished global (as in Hentschel and Procaccia⁹) and/or¹⁴⁶ 100

scale-dependent (as in Alberti *et al.*¹⁴) measures of com-147
plexity.

Accordingly, in this work we thoroughly extend the ex-148 103 isting formalism of multi-scale measures¹⁴ to characterize⁴⁹ 104 the instantaneous scale-dependent properties of strange⁵⁰ 105 attractors by combining time series decomposition meth-151 106 ods with concepts from extreme value theory that are 52 107 related to the instantaneous number of degrees of free-153 108 dom of the observed dynamics. We then show the util¹⁵⁴ 109 ity of our approach for the case of the well-known low-155 110 dimensional deterministically-chaotic Lorenz-63 system¹⁵⁶ 111 and two stochastic versions thereof¹⁶. We indeed show 112 that the new formalism, based on instantaneous scale-157 113 dependent dimensions, allows us to discern two properties 114 that are inaccessible by previous global or scale-dependent 115 analysis, namely the existence of different scale-dependent 116 source processes (as the presence of noise or a dominant 117 . 160

scale) and the structural stability of fixed points.

119 II. METHODS

In the following section, we start by introducing the decomposition procedure and the dynamical system metrics sepa-167 rately, before describing our proposed formalism. For a moregeneral purpose, we assume to have a generic N-dimensionalsystem, i.e., an N-dimensional phase-space, with $N > 1_{170}$ Thus, we describe our decomposition procedure in a generalrate our decomposition procedure in a generalmultivariate framework. For univariate data (i.e., N = 1), we may proceed in a largely analogous way.

A. Multivariate Empirical Mode Decomposition (MEMD)

Considering an *N*-dimensional system described a multivariate time series signal $\Theta_{\mu}(t) =$ via $[\Theta_1(t), \Theta_2(t), \dots, \Theta_N(t)]^{\dagger}$ (with \dagger indicating the transposition operator), the Multivariate Empirical Mode Decomposition (MEMD) decomposes the data into a finite number of multivariate oscillating patterns $C_{\mu,k}(t)$, referred to as Multivariate Intrinsic Mode Functions (MIMFs), and a monotonic residue $\mathbf{R}_{\mu}(t)$ as

$$\Theta_{\mu}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_k} \mathbf{C}_{\mu,k}(t) + \mathbf{R}_{\mu}(t).$$
(1)

The decomposition basis, formed by the set of **functions** $C_{\mu,k}(t)$, is **empirically** derived via the so-called sifting process¹⁷ modified for multivariate signals¹⁸. This sifting process consists of

- 1. identifying local extremes of $\Theta_{\mu}(t)$, i.e., where the *N*-variate derivative **vanishes**;
- 2. interpolating these points via cubic splines to derive the upper and lower envelopes $\mathbf{u}(t)$ and $\mathbf{l}(t)$, respectively;
- 3. deriving the mean envelope $\mathbf{m}(t)$ as $\mathbf{m}(t) = \frac{\mathbf{u}(t) + \mathbf{l}(t)}{2}$;
- 4. evaluating the detail $\mathbf{h}(t) = \mathbf{s}(t) \mathbf{m}(t)$.

These steps are iterated until the detail $\mathbf{h}(t)$ can be identified as a MIMF (also called multivariate empirical mode)¹⁸, i.e., it must **satisfy two properties: it has** the same number of local extremes and zeros (or both differing at most by one) and a zero-average mean envelope $\mathbf{m}(t)^{17}$. The full sifting process stops when no more MIMFs $\mathbf{C}_{\mu,k}(t)$ can be filtered out from the data. Each $\mathbf{C}_{\mu,k}(t)$ represents a peculiar dynamical component intrinsic to the system that typically evolves on an average scale

$$\tau_k = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T t' \left\langle \mathbf{C}_{\mu,k}(t') \right\rangle dt', \tag{2}$$

where *T* is the length of data and $\langle \bullet \rangle$ denotes an ensemble average over the *N*-dimensional space¹⁹. The MEMD allows us to interpret $\Theta_{\mu}(t)$ as a collection of scale-dependent multivariate fluctuations contributing to the collective properties of the whole system. Indeed, each MIMF can be seen as representative of fluctuations at a typical scale that is the average of the instantaneous scales (i.e., the inverse instantaneous frequencies) derived from a given mode via the Hilbert transform¹⁹. The MEMD, due to its adaptive methodology, relieves some a priori mathematical constraints of fixed-basis decomposition methods and extracts a limited number of intrinsic components that can be visually inspected. **Other widely used** decomposition methods, like Fourier or **continuous** wavelet analysis, commonly return a large number of components

161

162

163

164

165

and/or need to project our data on a pre-defined decomposi-221 172 tion basis. Moreover, at least classical Fourier transform based 22 173 methods also require that our data satisfy a stationarity condi-223 174 tion. In this regard, we do not question the appropriateness24 175 of the aforementioned more traditional conventional analysis25 176 techniques, but rather acknowledge that they (as well as any₂₂₆ 177 other approaches) have intrinsic limitations in what we can227 178 learn from their application. 228 179

180 B. Instantaneous dimension

202

Given the *N*-dimensional system described via the multivariate trajectory $\Theta_{\mu}(t)$, its dynamical properties can be investigated by combining the concept of recurrences in phase-³³² space and extreme value theory²⁰. For some (arbitrary) state²³³ of interest ζ in the associated phase-space, we first introduce³⁴⁴ the logarithmic return associated with each state on the trajec-²³⁵ tory (except for ζ itself) as

188
$$G(\Theta_{\mu}(t),\zeta) = -\log\left[\operatorname{dist}(\Theta_{\mu}(t),\zeta)\right]$$
 (3)²³⁷₂₃₈

where dist(\bullet) is a distance between two state vectors in phase-189 space, commonly the Euclidean one. By shortening the no-230 190 tation, we obtain a time series of logarithmic returns g(t) =191 $G(\Theta_{\mu}(t), \zeta)$ that takes larger values whenever $\Theta_{\mu}(t)$ is close 192 to ζ . If we now define a threshold s(q) as the q-th em²⁴⁰ 193 pirical quantile of g(t), we can introduce the exceedances⁴¹ 194 $u(\zeta) \doteq \{t \mid g(t) > s(q)\},$ i.e., the recurrences to the **neighbor**-242 195 hood of the reference state in the context first introduced by243 196 Poincaré by exploiting a peaks-over-threshold like concept 197 as widely used in extreme value theory. According to the244 198 Freitas-Freitas-Todd theorem the cumulative probability dis-199 tribution $F(u, \zeta)$ then converges to the exponential member 200 of the Generalised Pareto Distribution (GPD), i.e., 201 246

$$F(u,\zeta) \simeq \exp\left[-\frac{u(\zeta)}{\zeta(\zeta)}\right].$$
 (4)²⁴₂₄

The GPD parameter ζ depends on the dynamical state ζ and 203 can be used to introduce the concept of an instantaneous di_{251} mension d at the point in time where ζ is attained, which is 205 simply defined as $d(\zeta) = \zeta(\zeta)^{-1}$. Although it could merely₂₅₃ 206 be associated to a fitting parameter, it has a clear physical 207 meaning: d is a proxy of the active number of degrees of free-208 dom around each state ζ in the phase-space. Note, however₂₅₅ 209 that from a practical perspective, this instantaneous di-256 210 mension needs to be considered relative to the set of time 211 series values available, and the interpretation of its values²⁵⁷ 212 may be affected by nonstationarity or non-representative 213 sampling of the presumed attractor at finer spatial scales.258 214

215 C. Instantaneous scale-dependent dimension

The instantaneous dimension *d* introduced above provides a local (in terms of phase-space) picture of the properties of phase-space trajectories, i.e., allows us to obtain informa₂₆₂ tion for each sampled point contributing to the global struc₂₆₃ ture of the attractor under study. Nevertheless, multi-scale₆₄ systems could have a scale-dependent phase-space structure¹⁴ such that we can distinguish between features that emerge at different scales. To provide a scale-dependent instantaneous view of a given system we have to combine a decomposition method, **like** the MEMD, and the extreme value theory applied to inter-state distances in phase space.

Given again an *N*-dimensional system described via $\Theta_{\mu}(t)$ with a multi-scale nature, i.e., being characterized by processes occurring over a wide range of scales, we can write

229

230

259

260

$$\Theta_{\mu}(t) = \langle \Theta_{\mu}(t) \rangle + \sum_{\tau} \delta \Theta_{\mu}^{(\tau)}(t)$$
(5)

where $\langle \Theta_{\mu}(t) \rangle$ is a steady-state time-average value and $\delta \Theta_{\mu}^{(\tau)}(t)$ is a component of the system operating at a mean scale τ . It is easy to note the analogy between Eq. (5) and Eq. (1) via the correspondence $\mathbf{C}_{\mu,k}(t) \leftrightarrow \delta \Theta_{\mu}^{(\tau)}(t)$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\mu}(t) \leftrightarrow \langle \Theta_{\mu}(t) \rangle$. This means that for each scale τ we can identify the corresponding invariant set \mathbb{M}_{τ} as the manifold obtained via the **partial sums** of MIMFs with scales $\tau_{\star} < \tau$, i.e.,

$$\Theta^{\tau}_{\mu}(t) = \sum_{k^{\star}=1}^{k} \mathbf{C}_{\mu,k^{\star}}(t).$$
(6)

Then, for each scale $\tau \in [\tau_1, \tau_{n_k}]$, i.e., for each $k \in [1, n_k]$, given a trajectory $\Theta^{\tau}_{\mu}(t)$ and a state of interest ζ_{τ} , the cumulative probability of logarithmic returns in the neighborhood of ζ_{τ} follows a GPD as

$$F(u_{\tau},\zeta_{\tau}) \simeq \exp\left[-\frac{u_{\tau}(\zeta_{\tau})}{\zeta_{\tau}(\zeta_{\tau})}\right].$$
(7)

Thus, we can introduce **a quantity** $D(t, \tau) = \zeta_{\tau}(\zeta_{\tau})^{-1}$, representing the number of active degrees of freedom **of fluctu**ations up to a maximum scale of τ around each state ζ_{τ} . In this way, we exploit the properties of MEMD in deriving **scale-dependent** components embedded into a given system and the instantaneous (in terms of time) properties of the extreme value theory based metric to derive the instantaneous scale-dependent metric $D(t, \tau)$.

Summarizing, our procedure consists of the following steps:

- 1. extract intrinsic components $C_{\mu,k}(t)$ and their mean scales τ_k from $\Theta_{\mu}(t)$ by using the MEMD;
- 2. evaluate partial sums of Eq. (1) at different scales

$$\Theta_{\mu}^{\tau}(t) = \sum_{k^{\star}=1}^{k} \mathbf{C}_{\mu,k^{\star}}(t)$$
(8)

with $k^* = 1, ..., n_k$ (by construction, MIMFs are ordered with increasing scales, i.e., $\tau_{k'} < \tau_{k''}$ if k' < k'');

3. for each scale τ_k (i.e., for each k) evaluate $D(t, \tau_k)$.

Our procedure is, by construction, complete, since when $k \rightarrow n_k$ then $D(t, \tau_k) = d(t)$, with d(t) being the instantaneous fractal dimension of the full system^{2,15,20}.

In the remainder of this work, we will discuss some exam-314
 ples to highlight the potential of our framework to disentangles
 distinct dynamical components of different origin in a multi-316
 scale complex system.

269 III. THE LORENZ-63 MODEL AND ITS STOCHASTIC270 VERSIONS

The Lorenz-63 system¹, originally developed as a simpli³²³ fied model for atmospheric convection, is one of the most fa³²⁴ mous and widely studied paradigmatic dissipative-chaotic dy³²⁵ namical systems²¹, which can be written as

$$dx = s(-x+y)dt \tag{9}$$

276
$$dy = (rx - y - xz) dt$$
 (10)

277
$$dz = (xy - bz) dt$$
 (11)³²⁸

with the parameters (s, r, b) related to the Prandtl number, the³²⁹ Rayleigh number, and the geometry of the atmospheric con-

vective layer. With the **canonical** set of parameters $(s, r, b) =_{330}$ (10, 28, 8/3) the system admits chaotic **behavior** with all ini₃₃₁ tial points (**except for a set of measure zero**) tending towards₃₃₂ an invariant set **with fractal structure**, usually termed the₃₃₃ Lorenz attractor. It is a strange attractor whose Hausdorff₃₃₄ dimension (and all its generalizations D_q^{9}) take a value of₅₃₅ 2.05 ± 0.02^{7,9,22}.

A simple way to investigate the role of hidden fast dy-337 namical components is to couple deterministic equations to a338 "noise" mimicking the action of unknown **fast** variables. This can be also easily done for the Lorenz-63 system by rewrit-340 ing the original system in terms of a set of coupled stochastic differential equations as

$$dx = s(-x+y)dt + \sigma dW_t \qquad (12)_{AAA}$$

$$dy = (rx - y - xz) dt + \sigma dW_t$$

$$dz = (xy - bz) dt + \sigma dW_t \qquad (14)^{340}$$

In nonlinear deterministic systems, such additive noise can
lead to non-trivial effects²³, including transitions between co-³⁵⁰
existing states or attractors, shifting bifurcations, or acting
as an external forcing to the intrinsic variability of the sys₃₅₁
tem^{11,24}, also observed for the Lorenz-63 system¹⁶.
More recently, another stochastic version of the Lorenz₃₅₃
63 system has been proposed by Chekroun, Simonnet, and₈₅₄

Ghil¹⁶, considering a linearly multiplicative noise term to the original system as
 304

$$dx = s(-x+y)dt + \sigma x dW_t \qquad (15)_{358}$$

306
$$dy = (rx - y - xz)dt + \sigma y dW_t \qquad (16)$$

307
$$dz = (xy - bz) dt + \sigma z dW_t$$
 (17)⁹⁶⁰
361

This system provided a first example for the existence of ran-362 dom attractors, extending the concept of a strange attractor,363 still supporting nontrivial sample measures from determinis-364 tic to stochastic dynamics^{1,16} that have been shown to be ran-365 dom Sinaï–Ruelle–Bowen measures²⁵. Note that in the weak-366 noise limit, response theory allows one to compute explicitly368 the change in the expectation value of the measurable observables when perturbing an underlying chaotic dynamics with stochastic terms of rather general nature²⁶.

In the following, we apply our formalism to the three different versions of the Lorenz-63 system **described above**. In the case of the stochastic models featuring multiplicative noise, we use the Itô convention for the stochastic integration, dW_t is a Wiener process obtained by sampling at each time step a random variable with **the same Gaussian** density ($W_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0,t)$) and intensity σ . The numerical simulation of Eqs. (9)-(17) is obtained by using the Euler-Maruyama method with a time resolution $dt = 5 \times 10^{-3}$ over $N = 10^7$ time steps, using the classical set of parameters (s, r, b) = (10, 28, 8/3) and $\sigma = 0.4$ as in Chekroun, Simonnet, and Ghil ¹⁶.

IV. RESULTS

318

319

320

321

322

327

343

357

(13)845

A. Full system attractor

Figures 1-3 report the trajectories (left panels) of the three different Lorenz-63 systems (deterministic, Fig. 1; additive noise, Fig. 2; multiplicative noise, Fig. 3) and their corresponding attractors in the 3-D phase-space (right panels). As expected, a breakdown of the symmetric shape of the Lorenz attractor is observed when the classical Lorenz-63 system is subject to either additive or multiplicative noise. Furthermore, intermittency appears to be reduced, thus moving from a deterministic strange attractor towards a random stochastic attractor¹⁶. Nevertheless, by only looking at the full system attractor we are not able to identify any significant difference in the geometric shape between the additive and the multiplicative model. Furthermore, both random attractors are characterized by the same dimension, equal to the full dimension of the phase-space, due to the corresponding property of the invariant measure of an elliptic diffusion process.

B. Average dimensions of scale-dependent attractors

To further inspect and characterize the role of the noise versus the deterministic dynamics of the Lorenz system, we apply our formalism to derive $D(t, \tau)$ for the three different systems. We first decompose every multivariate trajectory $\Theta_{\mu}(t)$ via the MEMD through which we obtained a set of 15, 20, and 24 MIMFs, respectively, whose ranges of timescales are $\tau \in [1.64, 2.5 \times 10^5]$, $\tau \in [9.7 \times 10^{-2}, 2.5 \times 10^5]$, and $\tau \in [8.9 \times 10^{-2}, 2.5 \times 10^5]$, respectively. Then, using Eqs. (6)-(7) we derive the instantaneous scale-dependent metric $D(\tau, t)$ for the three systems.

As a first step, we inspect the behavior of the average instantaneous scale-dependent dimension $\langle D(\tau,t)\rangle_t$ as a function of the scale τ as reported in Figure 4. This is equivalent to the method proposed by Alberti *et al.*¹⁴ where local (in terms of time-scale) and time-independent (i.e., averaged) multi-scale measures have been introduced.



FIG. 1. (Left) Zoom of the trajectory components of the deterministic Lorenz-63 system as in Eqs. (9)-(11) (L63). (Right) Corresponding attractor in the 3-D phase-space (black points) and its projection in the x - z plane (gray points).



FIG. 2. (Left) Zoom of the trajectory components of the additive noise model as in Eqs. (12)-(14) (L63 Additive). (Right) Corresponding attractor in the 3-D phase-space (black points) and its projection in the x - z plane (gray points). The stochastic noise term has an amplitude $\sigma = 0.4^{16}$.

Our corresponding analysis evidences the absence of time scales $\tau < 1.64$ for the deterministic Lorenz-63 sys₃₇₉ tem as opposed to its stochastic versions. This is clearly as reflection of the absence of stochastic terms in the classicabs deterministic system, which are responsible for the very₃₈₂ fast fluctuations in the stochastic cases.

Furthermore, we generally observe larger average scale-³⁸⁴ dependent dimensions for the multiplicative noise case than³⁸⁵ for the deterministic Lorenz-63 system and the additive noise³⁸⁶ model. This reflects the effect of the stochastic term on the dynamical features of the Lorenz-63 system: it does not only act at short scales, exciting variability at additional scales with respect to the classical Lorenz-63 system, but also affects the **attractor geometry and, hence, the time-averaged number of active degrees of freedom as reflected by the scale-dependent fractal dimension** metric at larger timescales. **The latter property** can be linked to the fact that the Lorenz-63 system with non-degenerate noise has an invariant mea-



FIG. 3. (Left) Zoom of the trajectory components of the multiplicative noise model as in Eqs. (15)-(17) (L63 Multiplicative). (Right) Corresponding attractor in the 3-D phase-space (black points) and its projection in the x - z plane (gray points). The stochastic noise term has an amplitude $\sigma = 0.4^{16}$.



FIG. 4. Average instantaneous scale-dependent dimension $\langle D(\tau,t) \rangle_t$ as a function of the scale τ . Green asterisks refer to the Lorenz '63 system, red circles to the additive **noise** model, and blue stars to the multiplicative **noise** case. Error bars are obtained as the standard deviations of $D(t,\tau)$ along the respective trajectory.

sure that is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue 443
such that when introducing a stochastic term the dimension 444
must converge to 3, as observed at large timescales for both 445
stochastic models. 446

The most interesting feature emerging for the average di-447 391 mensions is that the largest value $D(t, \tau)$ for both the additive 392 and the multiplicative case is obtained for τ of the order of the 49393 fundamental period (i.e., the Lyapunov time scale $\tau_L \approx 1.12_{450}$ 394 time units) of the dominating unstable periodic orbit of the de-451 395 terministic system^{27,28}; see ref.²⁹ for a discussion of how un-452 396 stable periodic orbits are responsible for resonant behavioun53 397 in forced systems, and ref.³⁰ for evidence of the resonant re-454 398 sponse of the Lorenz-63 system. 455 399

Another interesting feature is the quantitative differ-456 400 ence of $\langle D(\tau,t) \rangle$ in the range of scales dominated by these 401 stochastic contribution (below τ_L). While for the additive and the additive stochastic contribution (below τ_L). 402 model the average dimensions converge, as expected, to 3459 403 the dimensions are larger than 3 for the multiplicative case⁴⁶⁰ 404 at the same timescales, thus suggesting that the dynamics¹⁶¹ 405 at these scales behave as a forcing-like contribution. Con-406 versely, by looking at the full system attractor, i.e., when 407 considering the whole timescales, we are not able to iden₄₆₂ 408 tify any difference in the dimensions between the additive 409 and the multiplicative model. Indeed, as expected, the aver-410 age dimensions tend to saturate to those expected for the full 411 dynamics when $\tau \to \tau_{N_k}$, being $\langle D(\tau,t) \rangle = 2.05 \pm 0.02$ for the 412 deterministic Lorenz-63 system and $\langle D(\tau,t) \rangle = 2.98 \pm 0.04$ 413 for its stochastic versions, because the invariant measure of a_{467} 414 elliptic diffusion process has full dimension. The results ob-415 tained for the deterministic Lorenz-63 system are in agree-416 ment with previous findings by Alberti *et al.*¹⁴, while our $\frac{459}{470}$ 417 corresponding findings on the stochastic models have been 418 reported here for the first time. 419 472

420 C. Instantaneous scale-dependent dimensions

475 476

473

474

421 As a second step of our analysis, which is also the main₁₇₇ 422 novelty introduced in this work, we investigate the behav₁₇₈ 423 ior of the instantaneous scale-dependent dimension $D(t, \tau)_{179}$ 426 for the three different systems as reported in Fig. 5. 480

The deterministic Lorenz-63 system is characterized 81 426 by instantaneous dimension values close to $D_F \simeq 2.05_{482}$ 427 at timescales larger than 10², as expected due to theas 428 monofractal nature of the system with all generalized frac 484 429 tal dimensions D_q taking the same value for the full sys₃₈₅ 430 tem. Conversely, larger values are found for the range₄₈₆ 431 $\tau \in [10^0, 10^2]$, the reason for which will be discussed below₄₈₇ 432 When a stochastic term is considered, we observe values of 433 $D(t,\tau) \gtrsim 3$ at short timescales, extending towards larger scales 89 434 when localized (in time) intermittent bursts in the trajec 490 435 tory take place. Typically, dimensions larger than 3 imply491 436 the presence of external forcing components, increasing thea92 437 number of active degrees of freedom. By further inspecting₄₉₃ 438 the behavior of the trajectory in the phase-space at large₁₉₄ 439 scales (see Fig. 6) this excess over the topological dimensionas 440 of the phase-space appears to be related to situations asso-441 ciated with approaches of the unstable fixed points in thear 442

centers of each of the two lobes and subsequent fast escapes from the neighborhoods of those points along their unstable manifolds. This indicates that the increase in the number of active degrees of freedom at short timescales, not observed for the deterministic model, is related to the stochastic component. Indeed, the imposed noise term acts as an additional forcing to the autonomous dynamics. This means that the noise introduces additional degrees of freedom in the dynamics because it adds energy to the system: the attractor can deform through scales by increasing/decreasing its dimensions depending on the instantaneous concurrent effect between the noise forcing term and the intrinsic dynamics of the Lorenz-63 system. The main differences between the two stochastic versions emerge at short timescales ($\tau < 10^{0}$), where larger dimensions are found for the multiplicative noise case as compared to the additive one. This could be explained by invoking the fact that in the multiplicative case, the **ampli**tude of the stochastic term depends on the state variables of the system.

D. A scale-dependent instantaneous view of the attractor

As a final step and to better highlight the scale-dependent instantaneous properties of the attractor, Fig. 6 reports three views of the attractor at different timescales color-coded with respect the instantaneous dimensions. The shapes of the different scale-dependent attractors are obtained by summing up empirical modes in a certain range of scales as in Eq. (8). Due to the associated properties of MIMFs they have a zero-average envelope, thus they fluctuate around zero such that the attractors occupy only a small region (especially, at short scales) of the phase-space of scaledependent fluctuations.

At large timescales (right panels in Fig. 6), roughly corresponding to 100 times the Lyapunov **time** of the deterministic Lorenz-63 system at the considered parameter values, the **geometric shapes and the spatial distribution of dimensions across both stochastic attractors are qualitatively similar and clearly distinct from the chaotic attractor of the deterministic model.** This means that we can visually distinguish between the chaotic and the two stochastic attractors, while a clear distinction cannot be made qualitatively and quantitatively between the two random invariant sets.

By further inspecting the spatial distributions of instantaneous dimensions of all three attractors, we clearly observe that larger dimensions are found at the edges of the attractors and close to the origin as compared to lower dimensions observed within the two lobes. While this feature has been also previously highlighted for the deterministic chaotic attractor (see, e.g., Faranda, Messori, and Yiou³¹), it is the first time that the spatial distribution of dimensions is inspected for both random attractors. In particular, our analysis reveals that forcing-like mechanisms leading to $D(\tau, t) > 3$ are operating at the edges of the attractor and close to the unstable fixed point at the origin, reflecting the repelling nature of the fixed points of the Lorenz-63 system. However, the observation of $D(\tau, t) > 3$ suggests



FIG. 5. Behavior of the instantaneous scale-dependent dimension $D(t, \tau)$ for the deterministic Lorenz-63 system (top), its version with additive noise (middle), and the multiplicative noise case (bottom). The colormap for $D(t, \tau)$ has been saturated between 2 and 4 for a better visualization. In all three cases, an excerpt comprising 40,000 time units is shown.

that the number of active degrees of freedom near the oris21
gin is increased with respect to the deterministic model
likely related to the action of the noise term.

To further investigate the last point on the role of thes24 501 stochastic fluctuations in increasing the number of de-525 502 grees of freedom near the origin, we investigate the spatia^{1/26} 503 distribution of dimensions across the trajectory at shorts27 504 timescales, below the Lyapunov time (left panels in Fig. 6),528 505 Clearly, we do not have any dynamical component belows29 the Lyapunov time for the deterministic Lorenz-63 system⁵³⁰ 507 indicating that in both noisy systems, variability at those31 508 fast timescales is intimately related to the stochastic forcings 532 509 However, a completely different spatial distributions of di-533 510 mensions across the trajectory is observed between the ad-534 511 ditive and the multiplicative case. While the former has 2635 512 more homogeneous spatial distribution of dimensions with 36 513 the most probable value close to 3 and small fluctuations³⁷ 514 around it, the latter is characterized by a saddle point-like38 515 dynamics $D(\tau,t) > 3$ in a ring-like configuration lying in 39 516 the x – y plane and $D(\tau,t) < 3$ elongated in the z direction₅₄₀ 517 This is due to the different structure of the noise terms₅₄₁ 518 being a "pure" noise term in the additive case, reflecting₄₂ 519 into $D(\tau,t) = 3 + \varepsilon$, with $\varepsilon \ll 1$, while acting as a "forcing" 543 520

for the multiplicative one, providing $D(\tau,t)$ values larger than the system's dimension^{15,20}.

When approaching the Lyapunov scale τ_L (middle panels in Fig. 6), a different spatial distribution of the dimensions is again observed, together with a different coverage of the available phase-space when comparing the chaotic attractor with the two stochastic ones. The latter are characterized by regions with low dimension surrounded by higher-dimensional ones, markedly differing from the deterministic Lorenz-63 system. We hypothesize that the regions with low instantaneous dimensions could indicate the location of weakly repulsive low-period unstable periodic orbits^{32,33}.

Overall, our results indicate, for both the deterministic and the two stochastic models, a clear different spatial distribution of the dimensions across the phase-space at large timescales. In particular, the two stochastic attractors are characterized by a region with dimensions larger than the topological dimension of the system close to the origin O = (0,0,0), suggesting the existence of forcing-like mechanisms altering the structure of this fixed point of the deterministic model. Since the stochastic term mainly operates at short timescales (below the Lyapunov scale τ_L)



FIG. 6. Three views of the Lorenz attractor at different timescales color-coded with respect the instantaneous dimensions: (top) deterministic system, (middle) additive noise and (bottom) multiplicative noise.

596

597

598

599

the source of this difference must be searched in this range72 544 of scales whose corresponding attractors for the additive,73 545 and the multiplicative models are structurally different 574 546 both in terms of the geometric shape and in the spatial₇₅ 547 distribution of the dimension values. In this regard, the76 548 stochastic term is able to change the stability of the origin, revealing a new structure of attractors whose prop-578 550 erties (i.e., fractal dimensions) evolve in time and across 551 scales. This difference disappears when reaching larger₅₈₀ 552 and larger timescales due to the existence of an invariant t_{5a1} 553 measure for the Lorenz-63 system that is absolutely con-554 tinuous with respect to Lebesgue, such that when intro-555 ducing a stochastic term the dimension must converge to_{584} 556 3, as observed at large timescales. 557 585

Finally, it is important to underline that in the deter-558 ministic Lorenz-63 system with standard parameters (i.e., sa 559 (s,r,b) = (10,28,8/3), the origin O is an unstable sad-560 dle point whose structure is preserved when considering₃₀ 561 a multiplicative stochastic term. Conversely, this nature $_{k \in n}$ 562 seems to be modified when considering an additive noise see 563 altering the structural stability of the unstable point to-592 564 wards a different nature. However, a linear stability anal-593 565 ysis of the stochastic models is beyond the scope of the $_{594}$ present work and is left for a future devoted study. 567 595

568 V. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a formalism to study the behavior of chaotic or stochastic attractors as a function of the timescale *f* or indicating that when considering different timescales the con-602

cept of a single universal attractor should be revised. Specifically, using the famous Lorenz-63 system in its standard deterministic as well as two stochastically forced versions, we have demonstrated that the attractor of this system is scale dependent.

To reach this conclusion, we have extended an approach recently introduced by Alberti et al.¹⁴ to investigate the instantaneous scale-dependent properties of attractors by combining concepts from time series decomposition methods and extreme value theory applied to recurrences in phase space. More specifically, we have used the Multivariate Empirical Mode Decomposition (MEMD) to derive intrinsic components of a given system at different timescales. Based on this decomposition, we have estimated the instantaneous scaledependent dimensions of the system's attractor at different scales. We have shown that a new structure of attractors, whose properties evolve in time, space and scale, is discovered by looking for fixed points and following their evolution from small to large scale and vice versa. Thus, the geometric structure of the attractor is gradually deformed and depends on the scale at which we are investigating the respective system.

The main novelty introduced in this study is a powerful method to identify the existence of processes of different origin by looking at the spatial distribution of fractal dimensions across the full phase-space trajectories at different timescales. Concerning the systems considered in this work our formalism allowed us to clearly distinguish between a purely noise-like contribution at short timescales for the additive noise model, being characterized by an ergodic coverage of the available phase-space with dimen-

sions fluctuating around 3 (as expected), as compared to ab57 603 more forcing-like contribution for the multiplicative noise 604 model at the same timescales, where the dimensions are 65.8 605 larger than 3 and differently distributed across the at-606 tractor. Conversely, by looking at the full system attrac-607 tor, i.e., when considering the whole range of contributing 608 timescales, we are not able to identify any clear difference $_{\mathfrak{b}_{62}}$ 609 both in the attractor shape and in the spatial pattern of the 610 instantaneous (i.e., local in time and phase-space) dimen-664 611

sions, between the additive and the multiplicative model since the invariant measure of an elliptic diffusion pro-

cess has full dimension. Thus, our method allows us to

evidence where the difference between the two stochastic₆₆₇ models resides only by looking at the spatial distribution

models resides only by looking at the spatial distributions
 (see Fig. 6) of instantaneous fractal dimensions at different⁶⁹⁹

618 timescales.

Our formalism can be easily modified by using any alterna 619 674 tive time series decomposition technique (like wavelet decom-620 position, singular spectrum analysis, or others). Our choice of 676 621 the MEMD has been motivated by its empirical and adaptive77 622 nature, reducing a priori constraints and possible artifacts of⁶⁷⁸ 623 fixed-frequency/fixed-basis decomposition methods. Further $\frac{679}{680}$ 624 more, the instantaneous nature (i.e., time-dependency) of the 625 intrinsic components derived via the MEMD allows us to per-682 626 form a more detailed investigation of the dynamical evolution983 627 (in time) of a system variable, better suited for evaluating in-684 628 stantaneous dynamical system metrics (as the dimension) than 629 fixed-basis methods as Fourier transforms. 630 687

> 688 689

> > 706

We are confident that the proposed formalism provides $a_{\mu\mu}$ 631 novel way to investigate the underlying geometric (fractal)991 632 properties of physical systems at different scales during their692 633 time evolution. The concept of a scale-dependent attractor⁶⁹³ 634 could tackle the problem of defining a more useful concept for 635 the analysis of multiscale systems like in the case of the cli-596 636 mate or for turbulence, which has largely remained unsolved⁹⁷ 637 despite numerous efforts reported in the last four decades.⁶⁹⁸ 638 In a companion paper³⁴, where our formalism has been⁶⁹⁹₇₀₀</sup> 639 applied to laboratory experiments on fluids, we observe₇₀₁ 640 the emergence of an intrinsic timescale, solely determined₇₀₂ 641 by nonlinear interactions, controlling the geometric and¹⁰³ 642 704 topological properties of phase-space trajectories. 643 705

In this first study, we focused only on the geometric⁷⁰⁷ 644 properties of attractors, in order to show that the universal 645 concept of attractor can be insufficient for fully describ₇₁₀ 646 ing multiscale systems, in presence or in absence of noise711 647 The counterpart of our geometric view of each point in⁷¹² phase-space is the instantaneous, i.e., time behavior, of the⁷¹³ 649 scale-dependent dimension. Indeed, this interesting aspect 650 can be used for further studying some crucial aspects of₇₁₆ 651 physical systems, e.g., bifurcations, tipping points, small-17 652 vs. large-scale forcing and/or driving mechanisms¹⁹. The⁷¹⁸ 653 corresponding prospects call for further studies to investigate $\frac{719}{720}$ these aspects in more detail, which is beyond the scope of the₇₂₁ 655 present paper and will be the subject of future work. 656 722

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to acknowledge the support by ANR TILT grant agreement no. ANR-20-CE30-0035. VL acknowledges the support received from the Horizon 2020 project TiPES (grant no. 820970) and from the EPSRC project EP/T018178/1. RVD has received funding by the German Federal Ministry for Education and Research via the JPI Climate/JPI Oceans project ROADMAP (grant no. 01LP2002B). The authors thank two anonymous reviewers for fruitful suggestions.

¹E. N. Lorenz, "Deterministic Nonperiodic Flow." Journal of Atmospheric Sciences **20**, 130–148 (1963).

- ²D. Faranda, G. Messori, and S. Vannitsem, "Attractor dimension of timeaveraged climate observables: insights from a low-order ocean-atmosphere model," Tellus A: Dynamic Meteorology and Oceanography **71**, 1554413 (2019), https://doi.org/10.1080/16000870.2018.1554413.
- ³C. Nicolis and G. Nicolis, "Is there a climatic attractor?" Nature **311**, 529–532 (1984).
- ⁴M. Ghil and V. Lucarini, "The physics of climate variability and climate change," Reviews of Modern Physics **92**, 035002 (2020), arXiv:1910.00583 [physics.ao-ph].
- ⁵S. Nikolov, O. Wolkenhauer, and J. Vera, "Tumors as chaotic attractors," Mol. BioSyst. **10**, 172–179 (2014).
- ⁶W. M. Schaffer and M. Kot, "Do strange attractors govern ecological systems?" BioScience **35**, 342–350 (1985).
- ⁷P. Grassberger and I. Procaccia, "Characterization of strange attractors," Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 346–349 (1983).
- ⁸A. N. Kolmogorov, "Entropy per unit time as a metric invariant of automorphism," Doklady of Russian Academy of Sciences **124**, 754–755 (1959).
- ⁹H. G. E. Hentschel and I. Procaccia, "The infinite number of generalized dimensions of fractals and strange attractors," Physica D Nonlinear Phenomena 8, 435–444 (1983).
- ¹⁰J. L. Kaplan and J. A. Yorke, "Chaotic behavior of multidimensional difference equations," in *Functional Differential Equations and Approximation of Fixed Points*, edited by H.-O. Peitgen and H.-O. Walther (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1979) pp. 204–227.
- ¹¹R. Benzi, G. Paladin, A. Vulpiani, and G. Parisi, "On the multifractal nature of fully developed turbulence and chaotic systems," Journal of Physics A Mathematical General **17**, 3521–3531 (1984).
- ¹²W. M. Macek, R. Bruno, and G. Consolini, "Generalized dimensions for fluctuations in the solar wind," Physical Review E **72**, 017202 (2005).
- ¹³M. Cencini and F. Ginelli, "Lyapunov analysis: from dynamical systems theory to applications," Journal of Physics A Mathematical General 46, 250301 (2013).
- ¹⁴T. Alberti, G. Consolini, P. D. Ditlevsen, R. V. Donner, and V. Quattrociocchi, "Multiscale measures of phase-space trajectories," Chaos **30**, 123116 (2020).
- ¹⁵D. Faranda, V. Lucarini, G. Turchetti, and S. Vaienti, "Generalized Extreme Value Distribution Parameters as Dynamical Indicators of Stability," International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos **22**, 1250276 (2012), arXiv:1107.5972 [math.DS].
- ¹⁶M. D. Chekroun, E. Simonnet, and M. Ghil, "Stochastic climate dynamics: Random attractors and time-dependent invariant measures," Physica D Nonlinear Phenomena **240**, 1685–1700 (2011).
- ¹⁷N. E. Huang, Z. Shen, S. R. Long, M. C. Wu, H. H. Shih, Q. Zheng, N. C. Yen, C. C. Tung, and H. H. Liu, "The empirical mode decomposition and the Hilbert spectrum for nonlinear and non-stationary time series analysis," Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A **454**, 903–998 (1998).
- ¹⁸N. Rehman and D. P. Mandic, "Multivariate empirical mode decomposition," Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A 466, 1291– 1302 (2010).
- ¹⁹T. Alberti, R. V. Donner, and S. Vannitsem, "Multiscale fractal dimension analysis of a reduced order model of coupled ocean-atmosphere dynamics," Earth System Dynamics **12**, 837–855 (2021).
- ²⁰V. Lucarini, D. Faranda, G. Turchetti, and S. Vaienti, "Extreme value theory for singular measures," Chaos 22, 023135 (2012).
- ²¹E. Ott, Chaos in Dynamical Systems 2nd Edition (2002).

⁶⁷⁰ 671 672

- ²²P. Grassberger and I. Procaccia, "Measuring the strangeness of strange at741 723 tractors," Physica D Nonlinear Phenomena 9, 189-208 (1983). 724 742
- ²³L. Schimansky-Geier, A. V. Tolstopjatenko, and W. Ebelin, "Noise induced 43 725 transitions due to external additive noise," Physics Letters A 108, 329-33244 726 (1985). 745 727
- ²⁴L. Gammaitoni, P. Hänggi, P. Jung, and F. Marchesoni, "Stochastic reso-746 728 nance," Reviews of Modern Physics 70, 223-287 (1998). 747 729
- 25 J. P. Eckmann and D. Ruelle, "Ergodic theory of chaos and strange attrac748 730 tors," Rev. Mod. Phys. 57, 617-656 (1985). 731 749
- ²⁶V. Lucarini, "Stochastic perturbations to dynamical systems: A responser50 732 theory approach," Journal of Statistical Physics 146, 774-786 (2012). 733 751
- ²⁷B. Eckhardt and G. Ott, "Periodic orbit analysis of the lorenz attractor,"⁷⁵² 734 Zeitschrift für Physik B Condensed Matter 93, 259-266 (1994). 735 753
- ²⁸C. C. Maiocchi, V. Lucarini, and A. Gritsun, "Decomposing the dynamics⁵⁴
- 736 of the lorenz 1963 model using unstable periodic orbits: Averages, tran-755 737 sitions, and quasi-invariant sets," Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of 756 738
- Nonlinear Science 32, 033129 (2022), https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0067673. 739
- ²⁹A. Gritsun and V. Lucarini, "Fluctuations, response, and resonances in a 740

simple atmospheric model," Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena 349, 62-76 (2017).

- ³⁰V. Lucarini, "Evidence of dispersion relations for the nonlinear response of the lorenz 63 system," Journal of Statistical Physics 134, 381-400 (2009).
- ³¹D. Faranda, G. Messori, and P. Yiou, "Dynamical proxies of North Atlantic predictability and extremes," Scientific Reports 7, 41278 (2017).
- ³²R. V. Donner, Y. Zou, J. F. Donges, N. Marwan, and J. Kurths, "Recurrence networks-a novel paradigm for nonlinear time series analysis," New Journal of Physics 12, 033025 (2010).
- ³³R. V. Donner, M. Small, J. F. Donges, N. Marwan, Y. Zou, R. Xiang, and J. Kurths, "Recurrence-based time series analysis by means of complex network methods," International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos 21, 1019-1046 (2011).
- ³⁴T. Alberti, F. Daviaud, R. V. Donner, B. Dubrulle, D. Faranda, and V. Lucarini, "Chameleon attractors in turbulent flows," Chaos, Solitons & Fractals (2022), 10.2139/ssrn.4191328.