

Climate change-induced background tree mortality is exacerbated towards the warm limits of the species ranges

Adrien Taccoen, Christian Piedallu, Ingrid Seynave, Anne Gégout-Petit, Jean-Claude Gégout

▶ To cite this version:

Adrien Taccoen, Christian Piedallu, Ingrid Seynave, Anne Gégout-Petit, Jean-Claude Gégout. Climate change-induced background tree mortality is exacerbated towards the warm limits of the species ranges. Annals of Forest Science, 2022, 79 (1), pp.23. 10.1186/s13595-022-01142-y. hal-03694879

HAL Id: hal-03694879 https://hal.science/hal-03694879

Submitted on 30 Aug2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Climate change-induced background tree mortality is exacerbated towards the warm limits of the species ranges

Adrien Taccoen¹, Christian Piedallu^{1*}, Ingrid Seynave¹, Anne Gégout-Petit² and Jean-Claude Gégout¹

Abstract

Key message: An influence of the recent changes in temperature or rainfall was demonstrated, increasing background tree mortality rates for 2/3 of the 12 studied tree species. Climate change-induced tree mortality was exacerbated towards the warm or dry limits of the species ranges, suggesting in these areas a progressive replacement by more xeric species.

Context: Despite the identification of climate change effects on tree mortality in various biomes, the characterization of species-specific areas of vulnerability remains poorly understood.

Aims: We sought to assess if the effects of temperature and rainfall changes on background tree mortality rates, which did not result from abrupt disturbances, were linked to climate change intensity only, or if they also depended on the tree's location along climatic gradients.

Methods: We modelled background mortality for 12 of the most common European tree species using 265,056 trees including 4384 dead trees from the French national forest inventory. To explain mortality, we considered variables linked to tree characteristics, stand attributes, logging intensity and site environmental characteristics, and climate change effects.

Results: We found an influence of temperature and rainfall changes on 9 species out of 12. For 8 of them, climate change-induced tree mortality was exacerbated towards the warm or dry limits of the species ranges.

Conclusion: These results highlight that tree mortality varies according to the climate change intensity and the tree location along temperature and rainfall gradients. They strengthen the poleward and upward shifts of trees forecasted from climate envelope models for a large number of European tree species.

Keywords: Climate warming, Vulnerability, Temperate forests, Temperature warming, Tree species niche, Tree species shifts

1 Introduction

The extent to which climate change has affected the geographical distribution of species has been widely studied, with reports of upward and poleward shifts of many plant species worldwide (Parmesan and Yohe

Handling Editor: Andreas Bolte

* Correspondence: christian.piedallu@agroparistech.fr

2003). Climate change-induced range shifts in tree spected the
cies were detected in different areas. For example, in
Western Europe; tree seedlings have shifted in elevation
during the last half of the twentieth century (Lenoir,
Gégout et al. 2009). In North-East Spain, *Fagus sylvatica*
stands have moved upward in elevation and are being
progressively replaced at lower elevations by *Quercus*
ilex, a Mediterranean xeric species (Peñuelas, Ogaya
et al. 2007) while in the Swiss Alps, *Quercus pubescens*

© The Author(s). 2022 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

BACC • The Author(s). 2022 • which permits use, sha







Open Access

¹Université de Lorraine, AgroParisTech, INRAE, Silva, 54000 Nancy, France Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

stands are progressively replacing *Pinus sylvestris* stands on dry sites (Rigling, Bigler et al. 2013).

Forecasts from climate envelope models with climate change scenarios at the European scale indicate important changes in species composition and habitat shifts by the end of the twenty-first century, with either winning (with a net gain in potential range) or losing (with a net loss in potential range) species (Dyderski, Paź et al. 2018). These potential changes of European forest species composition could result in important ecological and economic loss as compared to present, due to widespread shifts from high value productive timber species to low productivity Mediterranean species (Hanewinkel, Cullmann et al. 2012).

Tree death is a difficult phenomenon to predict because it is rarely the consequence of a unique factor but rather of a variety of linked factors influencing death at different time scales and intensities (Waring 1987). Catastrophic mortality, which is observed in a stand after severe disturbances like wildfires, extreme climatic events, storms, or pest outbreaks, can be distinguished from background mortality, which is the mortality observed in a stand in the absence of abrupt disturbances (Das, Stephenson et al. 2016). Drought stress can lead to tree mortality by hydraulic failure, occurring at different levels of loss of xylem hydraulic conductance depending on species that have contrasting tolerance levels, or carbon starvation (Choat, Brodribb et al. 2018). Heat stress can also affect the ecophysiological functioning of trees by reducing photosynthetic activity and damaging tissues, with different resistance levels depending on species and tree ontogeny (Niinemets 2010; Teskey, Wertin et al. 2015).

Several studies reported an effect of climate change on mortality associated with changes in temperature and rainfall over the past decades (Carnicer, Coll et al. 2011; Luo and Chen 2015; Senf, Pflugmacher et al. 2018). They highlighted climate change-related excess mortality rates on a large number of species based on correlations between climate change patterns and spatial variability of background mortality (Taccoen, Piedallu et al. 2019). While these studies provided insights into the link between long-term temperature or rainfall changes and tree mortality, they did not analyze if the impact of warming and drying on background tree mortality varied according to the tree location along its climatic gradient.

What happens to trees toward the warm edges of the species ranges is not widely understood. Bussotti, Pollastrini et al. (2015) listed 4 possible outcomes for trees located in range contractions areas in an extensive review: (1) persistence and acclimatization thanks to phenotypic plasticity, (2) evolution through genotype changes, (3) migration, and (4) extinction processes. The centre–per-iphery hypothesis assumes that populations are at higher

risk of extinction near the edge of their geographic range (Brown 1984). Several studies reported decreased growth of European tree species located at the warm edges of their distribution range (Herrero, Rigling et al. 2013; Charru, Seynave et al. 2017). However, observations of increased tree mortality in these areas are rare and only concern a limited number of species and trees located on the driest sites. In the inner Alps, excess mortality rates of Pinus sylvestris were evidenced on the driest sites of a valley in Switzerland, while Quercus pubescens abundance increased on the same sites between 1983 and 2003 (Rigling, Bigler et al. 2013). However, species distribution limit is not always equivalent to species vulnerability to climate change. Genetic, demographical and trait features interact with climatic effects and may allow edge populations to persist, while tree populations living at the distribution core may experience mortality under severe climatic extremes (Vilà-Cabrera, Martínez-Vilalta et al. 2011).

This article complements a previous study that evaluated the impact of climate change on a large set of European tree species, and demonstrated increased tree mortality with temperature increase or rainfall decrease for 18 of the 43 studied species (Taccoen, Piedallu et al. 2019). Here, we aimed to evaluate if mortality of 12 important European angiosperm and gymnosperm tree species was driven by temperature and rainfall changes only or if it varied according to tree location along climatic gradients. We modelled tree mortality using 24 candidate predictors characterizing tree characteristics, stand attributes, logging intensity, and local environmental conditions. Using 12 additional variables describing changes in temperatures and rainfall over the past decades, we evaluated for each species if a climate change effect remained significant on tree mortality when all these other potential factors of mortality were taken into account. When an impact of climate change was detected, we assessed if it was exclusively linked to warming or drying intensity, (hypothesis H1, Fig. 1); or if the climate change effect was aggravated towards the warm or dry limits of a species' distribution range (hypothesis H2, Fig. 1). Finally, we analyzed the spatial variability of climate change effects on background tree mortality and provided maps of climate-change-related excess probabilities of mortality.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Species selection

We focused on the major European forest tree species in terms of forest cover (Brus, Hengeveld et al. 2012). We discarded among these species for which massive and unpredictable health issues are documented in France: *Castanea sativa, Fraxinus excelsior* and *Fraxinus angustifolia* (Bakys, Vasaitis et al. 2009). We obtained a list of



12 species including 6 gymnosperms: Abies alba Mill. (Abal), Larix decidua Mill. (Lade), Picea abies (L.) Karst (Piab), Pinus pinaster Ait. (Pipi), Pinus sylvestris L. (Pisy), and Pseudotsuga menziesii Mirb. (Psme), and 6 angiosperms species: Betula pendula Roth (Bepe), Carpinus betulus L. (Cabe), Fagus sylvatica L. (Fasy), Quercus robur L. (Quro), Quercus petraea (Mattus.) (Qupe), and Robinia pseudoacacia L. (Rops).

2.2 Forest area and selection of plots

We used data collected over the afforested areas of France (551 500 km²), a territory that covers 10 out of the 14 climate types found in western Europe according to the Koppen-Geiger classification (Peel, Finlayson et al. 2007). We used non-permanent forest inventory plots inventoried by the National Geographic Agency (IGN) over the period 2009-2015. Approximately 6500 plots were sampled yearly at different locations (Robert, Vidal et al. 2009). They were uniformly distributed across French forests in a 1-km²-based grid (Hervé, Wurpillot et al. 2014). Trees with a diameter at breast height (dbh) > 7.5 cm were considered countable. Trees were

surveyed in concentric subplots of 6, 9, and 15 m radius, for trees of 7.5 < dbh < 22.5 cm; $22.5 \le dbh < 37.5$ cm, and 37.5 cm \leq dbh, respectively. A dead tree was recorded when it had a dbh > 7.5 cm, had no living parts over 1.3 m height, and was presumed to have died within the last 5 years prior to inventory (the death date was visually assessed). In order to avoid confusion between mortality factors related to abrupt disturbances not necessarily related with climate change and those related to gradual changes of temperature and rainfall regimes, all plots with evidence of perturbations were removed from the analysis. Because we focused on the effects of temperature and rainfall, most of the dead trees uprooted by wind, felled, or broken, representing 1.8% of the trees (versus 3.2% for standing dead trees), were also discarded. Additionally, as the proximity of forest edges induces different growth conditions from closed forest (Harper, Macdonald et al. 2005), all plots with edges and small groves were removed from the dataset (corresponding to approximatively 10% of the plots). Plots composed of less than 10% of living trees with a diameter > 7.5 cm were removed due to the

impossibility to calculate reliable synthetic indices such as basal area (BA). The final number of trees was 265,056 trees, including 4384 dead trees (Table 1, Appendix Text 1 and Fig. 7).

2.3 Candidate variables tested in the models

We used 24 variables in order to take well-known effects on tree mortality into account (Taccoen, Piedallu et al. 2019). They concerned tree characteristics, stand attributes, logging intensity, soil properties, and reference period climate (Table 2). In addition, we compared the performance of 6 variables describing climate change intensity only (Hypothesis H1) and 6 variables combining historical climate and climate change intensity (Hypothesis H2, Table 2).

2.4 Tree characteristics and stand attributes

Tree characteristics were described by tree circumference at 1.3 m height (Circ), measured on the field, and tree relative circumference (RelCirc). RelCirc is the ratio of the circumference of each individual tree and of the mean of the circumference of all of the trees within the plot. To describe stand density and stage development, we calculated 4 variables using field measured tree attributes. BA is the weighted sum of all trees basal area within the plot (m^2/ha) , calculated from the measured Circ. The number of trees per hectare (NB) is the sum of the number of trees inventoried on the plot (nb/ha). Canopy cover (CC) is the proportion of the floor covered by the vertical projection of all measured tree crowns on the plot (%). The plot quadratic mean diameter (QMD), representing the weighted mean quadratic diameter of the trees present in the plot, was also computed. To evaluate forest composition, we calculated the total number of tree species inventoried on the plot (*Nb_sp*) and the proportion of *BA* occupied by the species within each plot (*PropBA*, %). To evaluate stand structure heterogeneity, we calculated the Gini index of inequality of tree diameters (*Gini*, unitless) on the plot (Latham, Zuuring et al. 1998) that varies between 0 and 1 with increasing diameter unevenness. Because trees were not inventoried on the same surfaces, weights were attributed to each tree according to the size of the inventory subplot and were used to calculate all these indices except Circ and Nb_sp.

2.5 Logging intensity

Logging intensity can considerably influence observed mortality (Kahl and Bauhus 2014). Fewer dead trees can be observed in the most accessible and managed forests as a consequence of silvicultural practices leading to the removal of dead and declining trees, and it can reduce competition and also sometimes increase wind throw risk. *Skid trails (trails)* is an indicator of the presence of already existing skid trails. The *skidding distance (skid)* is an indicator of the distance from the plot center to the nearest existing skid trail. At last, *recent cut (cut)* is the intensity, if existing, of recent cuts on the plot (less than 5 years) evaluated with the number of stumps on the plot.

2.6 Soil properties

Unfavorable soil conditions are predisposing factors to tree decline and mortality (Manion 1981). Six variables were used, describing water availability, waterlogging, and soil nutrition. Available Water Content (AWC) represents the maximum amount of water a soil can store and was calculated from the soil surveys made by the NFI on each plot. The textural description, the depth and the proportion of stone content of the soil were

	Species	Code	Nb of plots	Plots with dead trees
Angiosperms	Betula pendula	Bepe	3,313	203
	Carpinus betulus	Cabe	6,716	190
	Fagus sylvatica	Fasy	7,594	235
	Quercus petraea	Qupe	7,404	407
	Quercus robur	Quro	9,141	531
	Robinia pseudoacacia	Rops	1,082	168
Gymnosperms	Abies alba	Abal	2,998	185
	Larix decidua	Lade	512	55
	Picea abies	Piab	2,871	281
	Pinus pinaster	Pipi	2,156	163
	Pinus sylvestris	Pisy	4,000	499
	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Psme	1,264	154

Table 1 List of the species. *Code* corresponds to the abbreviations of the genus and species names. Plots with dead trees contained at least one dead tree with a dbh > 7.5 cm

Table 2 Description of the 36 explanatory variables used in the models *Abb* = abbreviation. The *Source* column indicates the origin of the data: collected by the NFI (*Field*), calculated using the NFI information (*Calc*) or extracted from models available with geographic Information System (Mod.). See Appendix Table 5 for the values.

Variable name	Abb	Description	Units	Source
Tree characteristics				
Circumference	Circ	Circumference of the tree measured at 1.30 m height.	cm	Field
Relative circumference	RelCirc	Ratio of the Circ of the tree over the mean Circ of all the trees in the plot.	/	Calc.
Stand attributes				
Plot basal area	BA	Sum of the tree basal areas in the plot.	m²/ha	Calc.
Number of trees <i>per</i> hectare	NB	Number of trees, all species considered, with a diameter \geq 7.5 cm measured in the plot and related to a value <i>per</i> hectare.	nb.ha ⁻¹	Calc.
Canopy cover	CC	Proportion of the forest floor covered by the vertical projection of the tree crowns.	%	Calc.
Quadratic mean diameter	QMD	Quadratic mean diameter of the trees on the plot.	cm	Calc.
Gini coefficient	Gini	Gini coefficient of the tree circumferences in each plot.	/	Calc.
Proportion of BA occupied by the species growing in the plot	PropBA	Percent of basal area occupied by the species in each plot.	%	Calc.
Number of tree species	Nb_sp	Total number of tree species in each plot.	/	Calc.
Logging intensity				
Skidding distance	Dist	Indicator of the distance from the center of the plot to the nearest existing skid trail.	/	Field
Skid trails	Trails	Indicator of the presence of already existing skid trails and of the possibility to create new ones.	/	Field
Recent cut	Cut	Type and intensity of a recent cut in the plot (less than 5 years).	/	Field
Soil properties				
Available water content	AWC	Maximum volume of water that can be stored in the soil calculated from the Al-Majou pedo- transfer functions.	mm	Mod.
Permanent waterlogging	PW	pH, C/N, permanent, and temporary waterlogging index: bio-indicator values calculated from	/	Mod.
Temporary waterlogging	TW	the floristic survey of each plot.	/	Mod.
рН	рН		/	Mod.
carbon-to-nitrogen ratio	CN		/	Mod.
Surface runoff	Торо	Surface runoff estimated from the site topography		Field
Reference period climate				
Winter mean T° 1961–1987	Tm _{win} Ref	Mean seasonal temperatures in winter, spring, summer, and autumn, and mean total seasonal	°C	Mod
Spring mean T° 1961–1987	Tm _{spr} Ref	rainfail in spring and summer calculated over the 1961–1987 period.	°C	Mod.
Summer mean T° 1961–1987	Tm _{sum} Ref		°C	Mod.
Autumn mean T° 1961–1987	Tm _{aut} Ref		°C	Mod.
Spring rainfall 1961–1987	$RF_{spr}Ref$		mm	Mod.
Summer rainfall 1961–1987	$RF_{sum}Ref$		mm	Mod.
Climate change intensity (H1)				
Winter mean T° evolution	Tm _{win} Evo	Climate change anomalies between the reference period 1961–1987 period and shifting 15-year	°C	Mod.
Spring mean T° evolution	Tm _{spr} Evo	sub-periods based on the date of the survey of each plot for the same variables and seasons as for the reference period.	°C	Mod.
Summer mean T° evolution	Tm _{sum} Evo		°C	Mod.
Autumn mean T ^o evolution	Tm _{aut} Evo		°C	Mod.
Spring rainfall evolution	$RF_{spr}Evo$		mm	Mod.
Summer rainfall evolution	RF _{sum} Evo		mm	Mod.
Interaction between reference peri	iod climate and	climate change intensity (H2)		
Interaction between temperature	Tm _{win} Ref*Evo	Interaction between temperature evolution and the reference period temperature calculated as	°C ²	Mod.
and its evolution	Tm _{spr} Ref*Evo	a product of these two values for each season.	°C ²	Mod.
	Tm _{sum} Ref*Evo		°C2	Mod.
	Tm _{aut} Ref*Evo		°C ²	Mod.
Relative rainfall evolution	RF _{spr} evo/ RF _{spr} Ref RF _{sum} evo/ RF _{sum} Ref	Relative rainfall evolution calculated as the ratio of rainfall evolution over the reference-period rainfall, for spring and summer	%	Mod.

used with pedotransfer functions to estimate AWC for each plot (Piedallu, Gégout et al. 2011). *pH*, carbon to nitrogen ratio (*CN*), temporary and permanent waterlogging indices (*TW* and *PW*) were calculated using indicator values (IV) of understory plant species present in the plot (ter Braak et Looman, 1986) defined using the Eco-Plant database (Gégout, Hervé et al. 2003). The floristic surveys made on each plot to estimate *pH*, *CN*, *TW*, and *PW* by averaging the indicator values of each species recorded on the plot. Finally, *Topo* aims to estimate the lateral water flow qualitatively using the site topography measured on field in three factors: water departure, water arrival, and equilibrium.

2.7 Climate-related variables

As temperature acts on plant growth and survival during all year and precipitation act as a water-stress driver during the growing season (Niinemets 2010), climate variables were assessed using digital maps at 1km resolution, with variables describing seasonal temperature (Tm) for all seasons of the year and rainfall (RF) for spring and summer seasons. Seasonal values were calculated by averaging monthly values for Tm and by summing average monthly rainfall for RF, with: December to February; March to May; June to August; September to November for winter, spring, summer and autumn, respectively. First, we considered average climate conditions on a historic period. Secondly, we considered the changes of temperature and rainfall between this period and contemporary period (hypothesis H1). Finally, we considered the interactions between average climate conditions and climate evolution (hypothesis H2).

2.8 Reference period climate

Climate in France is characterized by several decades of stable climate conditions before a strong increase of temperature since 1988 (Appendix Fig. 8). We averaged monthly temperature and rainfall values on a reference period spanning the period 1961-1987. Using the methods developed by Ninyerola, Pons et al. (2000) based on regression analysis between the climatic variables and different environmental predictors available with geographical information systems, we modelled and mapped the climatic conditions using 237 homogenized meteorological stations (Gibelin, Dubuisson et al. 2014) for temperature (calculated as the mean between maximum and minimum temperature), and 435 stations for precipitation (Piedallu, Cheret et al. 2019). The monthly maps were calculated using a bootstrap linear modelling approach (Bertrand, Lenoir et al. 2011). The R^2 values for the cross-validation of mean annual temperature and cumulated annual rainfall observations were found to be 0.93 and 0.77 respectively. From this dataset, we

calculated 6 variables for different seasons: $Tm_{win}Ref$, $Tm_{spr}Ref$, $Tm_{sum}Ref$, $Tm_{aut}Ref$, $RF_{spr}Ref$, and $RF_{sum}Ref$.

2.9 Climate change intensity

We used variables characterizing climate evolution as compared to the reference period to assess the effects of climate change on mortality independently of the tree location inside the species range (Hypothesis 1). The average temperature in France over the 1988-2015 period was 1.1 °C higher than the average temperature over the 1961–1987 period (Appendix Fig. 8). To avoid combining model uncertainties calculated for different periods, we did not calculate climate evolution based on the models calculated for two different time slices, but by interpolating the changes calculated for all the weather stations (200 stations for temperature and 1119 stations for rainfall), using MétéoFrance data (Gibelin, Dubuisson et al. 2014) (Appendix Figure 9). Only homogenized meteorological stations with complete records over the 1961-2015 period were used. For each meteorological station, we calculated the difference between the 1961 and 1987 reference period and periods contemporary to the NFI sample plots recorded each year between 2009 and 2015. Because a tree can die a long time after climatic disturbances (Das, Stephenson et al. 2013), we considered for each plot 15 years shifting periods of time before the date of survey to fully capture the effects of delayed mortality. Changes were calculated monthly for each meteorological station and interpolated with ordinary kriging using Arcgis 10.5., showing changes according to the seasons (Fig. 2a, b). We obtained 6 variables characterizing climate change evolution $(Tm_{wi-}$ "Evo, Tm_{spr}Evo, Тт_{sum}Evo, $Tm_{aut}Evo, RF_{spr}Evo,$ $RF_{sum}Evo$).

2.10 Interaction between reference period climate and climate change intensity

Finally, we calculated interactions between the reference period climate and climate change intensity to assess if climate change effects were more important toward the warm or dry edges of the species ranges (Hypothesis 2). For temperatures, they were calculated for each season as the product between reference temperatures and their changes (Fig. 2c). For rainfall, they were calculated as the quotient between rainfall changes and reference rainfall for spring and summer (Fig. 2d). We obtained 6 variables characterizing these interactions ($Tm_{win}Re-f^*Evo$, $Tm_{spr}Ref^*Evo$, $Tm_{sum}Ref^*Evo$, $Tm_{aut}Ref^*Evo$, $RF_{spr}evo/RF_{spr}Ref$, $RF_{sum}evo/RF_{sum}Ref$).

2.11 Statistical model

We used logistic regression to model the mortality status of each tree (0: alive, 1: dead) for the 12 species using the 36 candidate variables. Variable selection was made for each variable one after the other, with a forward



stepwise procedure based on the maximum decrease in residual deviance (McCullagh and Nelder 1989). If the relation between a variable and the presence-absence of mortality was significant at the 0.05 level, it was kept in the model. Else, the following variable in terms of residual deviance decrease was tested. We continued the variable selection process until no variable added a significant deviance reduction. To assess for the commonly observed U-shaped and bell-shaped response curves to tree size and competition intensity (Holzwarth, Kahl et al. 2013), we tested tree stand attributes variables with their quadratic forms (Ter Braak and Looman 1986). Model quality was evaluated with the area (AUC) using the receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve (Fielding and Bell 1997) and True Skill Statistic (Allouche, Tsoar et al. 2006). We cross validated the models using 80% of the trees for calibration and 20% of randomly selected trees for validation. To evaluate the relative importance of each predictor in the models, we calculated the drop contribution of each variable i (Marmion, Luoto et al. 2009). It is the difference in explained deviance when the variable is dropped from the multivariate model:

 $DropContribution_i = ResidualDeviance_{without_i} - ResidualDeviance_{with_i}$

For each variable, the ratio of its drop contribution and the sum of the drop contributions of all the variables in the multivariate model was calculated, representing the *relative importance of the variable in the model (RI)*:

Relative Impor tance_i =
$$\frac{DropContribution_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} DropContribution}$$

With

n = number of variables in the model

i =Variable in the model

We also calculated and mapped the excess probability of mortality as compared to a climate change-free context for each tree of each species, as the difference between mortality predictions from the model including all the variables and mortality predictions from the same model where effects related to climate change were set to 0. All non-climate change-related variables were set to their mean value for all the trees of the considered species (Muller and MacLehose 2014). We obtained, for each plot where the species was present, a single value in %, corresponding to the changes of probability to find a tree dead since less than 5 years attributed to climate change. We mapped and interpolated these values with ordinary kriging using ArGIS 10.5 in order to produce maps of excess probability of mortality per species.

3 Results

3.1 Model quality and predictability of background mortality

The AUC for the 12 mortality models varied from 0.75 to 0.91 (mean \pm s.d of 0.82 \pm 0.05) and TSS from 0.42 to 0.69 (0.53 \pm 0.10) in the validation dataset (Table 3). Mean AUC of gymnosperms models (0.85) was slightly but not significantly higher than that of angiosperms models (0.83, *T* test, *P* = 0.46).

3.2 Variables selected in mortality models

Mortality models for the 12 species had on average 7.3 variables selected (Table 4). The average relative importance (RI) of the variables highlight that mortality is primarily driven by *tree characteristics* and *stand attributes* variables. For all of the 12 species, at least one *tree characteristics* variable and one stand attribute variable were selected during model building (Fig. 3, Table 4). Soil properties variables and reference period climate variables were each selected for 4 species. Finally, climate

change variables were selected for 9 species among 12 (Fig. 3, Table 4).

3.3 Effects of variables that are not related to climate change

Tree characteristics variables were significant for all species (Table 4). RelCirc (mean RI = 40%) response curves indicated a pattern of greater mortality for the most dominated trees within a stand and slight resumption of mortality for the most dominant trees (Appendix Table 5). Observed trends for Circ (RI = 9%) indicated that the typical dead tree, regardless of the species, was a small and dominated individual. PropBA (RI = 16%) showed different responses while BA (RI = 6%, Table 4) indicated globally higher mortality rates in stands with higher biomass and likely higher competition intensity. The Gini index (RI = 5%) indicated a lower mortality for stands with very irregular and very regular stand structures. At least one of the three Logging intensity variables was significant for 8 of the species (Table 4), with a homogeneous response corresponding to decreasing observed mortality with increasing logging intensity. Significant mortality responses to soil properties variables were scarce and of low relative importance. Temperature variables were significant for 4 species, and indicated higher mortality when summer or autumn temperatures were high (Table 4).

Table 3 Performance of the mortality models for the 12 species in terms of AUC and TSS. Calibration was performed on 80% of the trees and validation on 20%

	Species	Calibra	ation	Valida	tion
		AUC	TSS	AUC	TSS
Angiosperms	Betula pendula	0.80	0.47	0.78	0.43
	Carpinus betulus	0.84	0.54	0.75	0.42
	Fagus sylvatica	0.84	0.56	0.84	0.57
	Quercus petraea	0.90	0.69	0.86	0.62
	Quercus robur	0.83	0.57	0.88	0.64
	Robinia pseudoacacia	0.78	0.44	0.76	0.42
Gymnosperms	Abies alba	0.81	0.52	0.78	0.46
	Larix decidua	0.89	0.64	0.82	0.58
	Picea abies	0.83	0.54	0.80	0.44
	Pinus pinaster	0.88	0.63	0.83	0.57
	Pinus sylvestris	0.80	0.47	0.80	0.46
	Pseudotsuga menziesii	0.89	0.65	0.91	0.69
Mean		0.84	0.56	0.82	0.53

Table 4 Responses of the 36 variables evaluated from models fitted using logistic regression, for the 12 studied species. The \cup symbol indicates a significant U-shaped response curve, the \cap symbol a bell-shaped response curve, the \searrow and \nearrow symbols indicates respectively a decreasing and an increasing response curve. For qualitative variables (*Dist, Trails,* and *Cut*), *a* symbol is for coefficient values indicating in average a significant lower mortality with increasing logging intensity. A + symbol is for higher mortality with increasing logging intensity. When there is no symbol the variable was not significant (P > 0.05). RI = Relative importance. Nb= total number of species for which the variable was significant. See Table 1 for the corresponding species name and Table 2 for the corresponding variables name. See Taccoen et al. 2019 for the coefficients of the model (Taccoen, Piedallu et al. 2019).

	RI	Nb	Angios	sperms					Gymn	osperms				
			Bepe	Cabe	Fasy	Qupe	Quro	Rops	Abal	Lade	Piab	Pipi	Pisy	Psme
Tree characteristics	48.3%	12												
Circ	8.6%	7	U	7	U		U		U		U		7	
Relcirc	39.7%	12	U	7	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Stand attributes	37.3%	12												
ВА	6.1%	6					\cap		7	7	7		7	7
NB	3.8%	5		\cap			U	\cap				U		U
CC	0.7%	3	7			7						U		
QMD	3.0%	3						7	\cap				\cap	
Gini	5.1%	9	\cap		\cap	\cap	\cap	\cap	\cap		\cap	\cap		\cap
PropBA	16.3%	11	U	7	\cap	\cap	\cap	7	\cap		\cap	\mathbf{Y}	7	\cap
Nb_sp	2.3%	3						7			U		U	
Logging intensity	4.0%	8												
Dist	1.4%	3		-		-			-					
Trails	1.9%	3	-		-								-	
Cut	0.7%	2						-			-			
Soil properties	1.1%	4												
Торо	0%	0												
AWC	0.3%	1										\cap		
PW	0%	0												
TW	0%	0												
рН	0.3%	1		7										
CN	0.5%	2	7											\mathbf{Y}
Reference period climate	4.1%	5												
Tm _{win} Ref	0%	0												
Tm _{spr} Ref	0.4%	1			\mathbf{Y}									
Tm _{sum} Ref	2.4%	2		7		7								
Tm _{aut} Ref	1.3%	2			7				7					
RF _{spr} Ref	0%	0												
RF _{sum} Ref	0%	0												
Climate change intensity (H1)	0.8%	1												
Tm _{win} evo	0.8%	1	7											
Tm _{spr} evo	0%	0												
Tm _{sum} evo	0%	0												
Tm _{aut} evo	0%	0												
RF _{spr} evo	0%	0												
RF _{sum} evo	0%	0												
Interaction between climate and climate change (H2)	4.5%	11												
Tm _{win} Ref * Tm _{win} evo	1.0%	1					7							
Tm _{spr} Ref * Tm _{spr} evo	0.1%	1												7
Tm _{sum} Ref * Tm _{sum} evo	1.6%	5					7	7		7	7			7
Tm _{aut} Ref * Tm _{aut} evo	0.3%	1										7		
RF _{spr} evo/RF _{spr} Ref	0.5%	2										7	\mathbf{Y}	
RF _{sum} evo/RF _{sum} Ref	1.0%	1			7									



3.4 Effects of climate change

Climate change variables were significant for 9 species out of 12 with an average RI of 5.3% (Table 4). Eleven of the 12 climate change variables selected in the models were interactions between the reference period and climate change, while only one of them concerned climate change anomalies (Table 4, Fig. 4a). This indicates that for a given warming or changes in rainfall regimes, for these species, probabilities of mortality are higher in the warmest or driest areas of the species range. With 6 species concerned, the interactions between temperature increase and mean temperature were the preponderant climate-change-related effects in our models, with more mortality in the warmest and warming areas in spring and summer (Quro, Lade, Rops, Piab, and Psme), autumn (Pipi), and winter (Quro). Bepe expressed a different response to temperature than all the other species, with a decreasing mortality with increasing winter temperature. Effects of changes in relative rainfall amount concerned three species (Fig. 4), with increasing mortality with decreasing relative rainfall in summer for Fasy, in spring for Pisy, and a slight decrease in mortality with decreasing relative spring rainfall for Pipi. Effects of changes in temperature or rainfall without interaction with climate variables only concerned Bepe (Fig. 4).

3.5 Comparing the vulnerability of species to climate change

The excess probability of mortality as compared to a climate change free context was calculated for each species (Fig. 5, Appendix Table 7). It is the highest for Rops (mean = + 1.80%/5 years), Piab (+ 0.98%/5 years), and Quro (+ 0.69%/5 years). Pipi, Psme, and Lade had comparable excess probability of mortality values (with means of + 0.37%/5 years, + 0.30%/5 years, and + 0.29%/5 years, respectively). Pisy and Fasy both had close to zero mean excess probability of mortality values (+ 0.14%/5 years and - 0.05%/5 years, respectively). For Bepe, the mean value reached – 0.64%/5 years.

Finally, we mapped the change of probability of mortality induced by climate change for the 9 species responding to climate change variables (Fig. 6). For four species, Fasy, Pisy, Quro, and Rops, the highest excess probability of mortality rates was reached in southeastern part of their range where both temperature and temperature changes were high. For the three mountainous species, Piab, Lade, and Psme, it was observed in lowland areas, where average temperature is higher than



at high elevations. The other two species have different predicted patterns of mortality, with increasing mortality in the colder part of the range for Pipi, and decreasing mortality rates for Bepe.

4 Discussion

4.1 Links between climate change and background tree mortality

Climate variables were selected in all the models, with an influence of recent climate change for 2/3 of the studied tree species. Among the 12 species we studied, 6 were specifically monitored at the European scale between 1992 and 2017 as part of the ICP Forests (level 1) damage survey (Michel and Seidling 2017). Important defoliation rates increases were recorded in this period, up to + 30% for Pipi, Quro and Qupe, + 20% for Fasy, and + 10% for Piab, more pronounced in the Iberian Peninsula (Carnicer, Coll et al. 2011). At the European scale, different studies have characterized potential range shifts of the major tree species in the next decades. Upward and poleward shifts were forecasted for most of European species, with potential range shifts from low to high elevations and latitudes (Dyderski, Paź et al. 2018). However, forecasts from climate envelope models are characterized by important variability and uncertainties between the different models (Cheaib, Badeau et al. 2012). Here, we highlighted a link between tree mortality and climate change, corroborating observations of increasing mortality rates and modeling studies demonstrating species shifts toward higher latitudes or elevations. We failed to distinguish between mortality linked to abiotic and biotic factors. Some of the species were more sensitive to pathogens (e.g., Piab, Abal) than others (e.g., Cabe, Fasy). The comparable model performance for these different species suggests that stands with vulnerable ecological conditions could be preferentially threatened by biotic agents; the effect of pathogens was probably indirectly taken into account in our models.

4.2 An increased vulnerability of species toward the warm edges of their distribution

From the 9 species identified to be sensitive to climate change variables, the effects of changes in temperature and rainfall regimes on tree mortality were exacerbated towards the warm edges of the species distribution for 8 of them, corroborating our hypothesis H2. Since the early twenty-first century, several dieback events and trends of tree growth decline were reported in hot and dry areas as a consequence of important droughts and heat waves (Breda, Huc et al. 2006). In South-West France, where Quro grows close to the warm edge of its distribution, its abundance decreased with decreasing water availability, while for *Quercus ilex*, a xeric species for which this region represents the cold range of distribution, the abundance remained constant (Urli, Lamy et al. 2015). From a physiological point of view, Quro appeared to be much more vulnerable to xylem cavitation than *Quercus ilex*, suggesting important demographic changes and a future replacement of Quro



by Quercus ilex with increasing warming and drought frequencies. Mortality rates of Pisy were also found to be abnormally high in a Swiss valley, where it evolves close to the dry limits of its distribution (Rigling, Bigler et al. 2013). Identically, in Spain, Fasy stands located in warm and dry areas are being progressively replaced by Quercus ilex, for which recruitment rates up to 3 times higher than that of Fasy were measured (Peñuelas, Ogaya et al. 2007). The increasing mortality in the colder part of the range we observed only for Pipi could be explained by important differences in management practices between the South-West of France, where this species is widely used for timber production in monospecific even-aged plantations, and other parts of its distribution, where this species can be found in mixed and uneven aged forests.

Along altitudinal gradients, different responses of mountain tree species to climate change were expected with potentially improved ecological suitability at high elevation, where temperature is the growth limiting factor, and increased vulnerability at low elevations where water availability is the limiting factor (Niinemets 2010). Such trends were previously evidenced in terms of growth decline at low elevations for several European coniferous species (Charru, Seynave et al. 2017). However, these observations of increased climate-changerelated mortality towards the warm edges of the species ranges either occurred after extreme drought events (Breda, Huc et al. 2006) or concerned specific species and sites located at the very warm end of the species range (Vilà-Cabrera, Martínez-Vilalta et al. 2011; Rigling, Bigler et al. 2013). Here, we found a maximum climate change-related mortality in the warmest and driest part of the species distribution ranges for most of the studied species. However, the interaction between the reference period and climate change variables used in our models does not allow us to distinguish between the effects of historical climate and the intensity of changes. For example, significant warming when temperatures were low during the reference period or little warming when temperatures were high during the reference period led to the same values.

4.3 A possible decreasing mortality in response to climate change toward the cold edge of the species distribution

For several species, we highlighted mortality decreases in response to climate change on the totality or their distribution ranges (Bepe) or in specific areas (Fasy,



Fig. 6 Climate change-related excess probability of mortality for the 9 species sensitive to its effects. When changes in temperature and rainfall lead to more mortality, the excess mortality rate is positive (represented by warm colors) and negative when they lead to less mortality (represented by cold colors). Spatial representations were limited to the current distribution area of the species, obtained with indicator kriging using the presence/absence of the species extracted from the National Geographic Institute database

Pisy). For Bepe, we detected a decreasing mortality with increasing winter temperatures. This trend is consistent with growth increases observed in Western Siberia between the late nineteenth century and the early twenty-first century in response to longer vegetation periods and mean temperature increases (Kharuk, Kuzmichev et al. 2014). Identically, forecasts for 2100 in Finland indicate growth increases for Bepe in parallel with decreases for Piab and Pisy (Kellomäki, Strandman et al. 2018). The distribution of mortality decreases for these species is limited to cold and moist sites where available water content is high (Rameau, Mansion et al. 1989). In this context, increasing temperatures in the absence of water deficit could result in lower mortality rates.

4.4 Species apparently insensitive to changes of temperature and rainfall

We did not highlight climate change-related effects on the mortality of Cabe, Abal, or Qupe. Cabe is considered a drought-sensitive species from an ecological point of view (Zapater, Breda et al. 2013). However, the current distribution of this species in France is corresponding to the core of its climate envelope, while the warm edges of its distribution are located at lower latitudes (Sikkema, Caudullo et al. 2016). For Abal, important growth decreases were highlighted in France at low elevations and increases at high elevations, suggesting an increased vulnerability at the species warm range limit (Lebourgeois, Rathgeber et al. 2010). Massive mortality events due to bark beetle outbreaks were also recorded in recent years (Marini, Økland et al. 2017), but they occurred after 2016 and the data used for this study was collected between the years 2009 and 2015, which corresponds to a period with fewer bark beetle attacks in France. Although we did not highlight climate change effects on these three species, they responded significantly to average temperatures in models, indicating that probabilities of mortality were higher in the warmest areas of their distribution ranges. For some species, climate variables describing the reference period could be selected instead of climate change variables, due to their greater spatial variability compared to climate change variables, mainly in mountainous areas. Therefore, future temperature increases might also trigger a climate change response of these species.

4.5 Insights on the link between tree and stand characteristics and background tree mortality

We found results in line with previous background mortality studies, ensured that the main drivers related to stand characteristics were taken into account, and limited the risk of inadequate selection of the climate change variables. The effects of competition and the tree development stage, approximated by the circumference, were the predominant drivers of mortality (Ruiz-Benito, Lines et al. 2013; Taccoen, Piedallu et al. 2019). These effects reflect the self-thinning-related mortality observed in all stands that can induce up to 95% decrease of the total number of trees on a species diameter range, as evidenced in pure even-aged stands in France (Charru, Seynave et al. 2012). Additionally, we highlighted that tree likelihood of mortality was influenced by tree sizes distribution within the stand and species composition (Fridman and Stahl 2001; Eid and Oyen 2003). The use of these indicators should be strongly considered in future mortality studies.

Large-scale tree mortality studies based on forest inventories also face the problem of forest harvesting practices. In western and central Europe forests have been intensively managed for centuries (Hermy and Verheyen 2007). The removal of dead and declining trees leads to a lower number of observed dead trees in situations where logging intensity is high (Nyland 2016). We found that logging intensity was a critical parameter to consider in mortality studies to remove potential bias factors in models. However, due to the difficulty to accurately account for logging intensity in inventory data, the proportion of dead trees is probably underestimated both in inventory databases and in our calculations.

4.6 Mapping tree species vulnerability to climate change

The identification of the main drivers of tree mortality and knowledge about the spatial distribution of recent climate change allowed us to map tree species vulnerability at the national scale. Forest adaptation to climate change needs to address the different risk factors according to the region and to prioritize actions according to local conditions (Lee, Maggini et al. 2015). Vulnerability is most of the time assessed using expert knowledge, species distribution models calculated for different periods of time (Gavrutenko, Gerstner et al. 2021), or climate change vulnerability indices (Williams, Shoo et al. 2008). The present study demonstrates that mortality models can be used for spatial risk assessment. Such an approach has the advantage of reflecting observed tree health in forests over a specific period of time. Species vulnerability maps can be useful tools for policy-makers and forest managers in a context of severe observed diebacks (Allen, Breshears et al. 2015). We only mapped the mortality risk linked to climate change variables, but we could also include spatial data describing reference period climate and soil properties, or even stand characteristics when available. In that case, modifying the stand characteristics in the models can make it possible to simulate the effects of management on the species vulnerability level.

5 Conclusion

We provided an overview of how recent climate change has differentially impacted the mortality rates of the main European forest tree species and how this effect varied along the heat and drought gradients. We also demonstrated the high spatial variability of the responses, with mostly negative effects of climate warming and drying, but also sometimes positive effects linked to winter warming or rainfall increase. Forecasting from climate envelope models showed potential range shifts from low to high elevations and latitudes for European species (Cheaib, Badeau et al. 2012; Dyderski, Paź et al. 2018). Here, through a large-scale statistical study, we showed that a large number of European tree species is concerned by increased climate change-related mortality toward the warm edges of the species distribution.

With model projections by 2100 of global average temperature increases ranging between + 2.0 °C and + 4.9 °C as compared to 1960-2010 period (Raftery, Zimmer et al. 2017), the impact of climate-change on tree mortality is expected to rise, suggesting important changes in future forest species composition toward the warm limits of the species ranges, with a progressive replacement by more xeric Mediterranean species. The identification of areas where climate change-related excess mortality has already occurred opens promising prospects for the monitoring of climate change effects and the adaptation of silvicultural practices in the near future.

6 Appendices

Text 1 Details about the survey periods used for modelling. The NFI survey with the current protocol has started in 2005, but different changes in the protocol occurred. In 2005 and 2006, trees were considered dead if they were unlikely to survive more than 1 year. From 2007 to 2015, each tree without visible living parts above 1.30 m height was considered dead. Moreover, the sampling protocol with concentric circles was applied to all living trees from 2005 to 2015 and to dead trees from 2009 to 2015: from 2005 to 2008, dead trees were measured across the full 15-m circle regardless of their diameter, resulting in a significant drop in the proportion of dead trees in the 2009-2015 period as compared to the 2005-2008 period. Due to these significant changes in the sampling protocol, we excluded the years 2005-2008 and only kept data from the 2009-2015 period for our study.









2	
F	
Ψ	
E	
g	
\subseteq	
S	
Ū.	
· 🗇 ·	
ă	
ŏ	
5	
5	
2'	
.⊆	
$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	
<u>_</u>	
Q	
0	
ä	
Ψ	
5	
8	
~	
Ψ	
÷	
5	
.0	
4	
, 	
(۱)	
9	
Ê	
·	
Æ	
×	
9	
-	
⊇.	
σ	
3	
-	
ē	
<u>-</u>	
+	
\subseteq	
÷	
d)	
Ū	
Š	
ä	
·=	
S.	
ŏ	
5	
-	
و	
the	
r the .	
or the .	
for the	
s for the	
les for the	
bles for the	
iables for the	
iriables for the	
ariables for the	
variables for the	
y variables for the	
ory variables for the	
itory variables for the	
atory variables for the	
anatory variables for the	
lanatory variables for the	
cplanatory variables for the	
explanatory variables for the	
explanatory variables for the	
al explanatory variables for the	
tial explanatory variables for the	
ntial explanatory variables for the	
ential explanatory variables for the	
otential explanatory variables for the	
ootential explanatory variables for the	
potential explanatory variables for the	
ne potential explanatory variables for the	
the potential explanatory variables for the	
f the potential explanatory variables for the	
of the potential explanatory variables for the	
of the potential explanatory variables for the	
ie of the potential explanatory variables for the	
lue of the potential explanatory variables for the	
alue of the potential explanatory variables for the	amen
value of the potential explanatory variables for the	
e value of the potential explanatory variables for the	
tile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	amen sald
ntile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	amen aldei
entile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	amen saldeine
centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	ariahlas nama
r centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	amen anderen
th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	amer sahasi bu
9th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	amed saharan or
99th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	dina variahlas nama
d 99th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	anina variahlas nama
nd 99th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	andina variahlas nama
and 99th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	amen sahlasinan muhanan
; and 99th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	andina parishlas nama
st, and 99th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	accountion variables name
1st, and 99th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	streenonding variables name
, 1st, and 99th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	arraenanina variahlae nama
in, 1st, and 99th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	corresponding variables pame
ian, 1st, and 99th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	a correction of the same
dian, 1st, and 99th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	the corresponding variables name
edian, 1st, and 99th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	the corresponding variables pame
Median, 1st, and 99th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	st the corresponding variables name
Median, 1st, and 99th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	for the corresponding variables pame
5 Median, 1st, and 99th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the) for the corresponding variables name
e 5 Median, 1st, and 99th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the) for the corresponding variables name
le 5 Median, 1st, and 99th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	la 0 for the rorresponding variables name
ble 5 Median, 1st, and 99th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	bla 3 for the corresponding variables name
able 5 Median, 1st, and 99th centile value of the potential explanatory variables for the	abla) for the correction variables name

Table 2 fc	or the corre	sponding varia	ables name							-	-	
	Abies alba	Betula pendula	Carpinus betulus	Fagus sylvatica	Larix decidua	Picea abies	Pinus pinaster	Pinus sylvestris	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Quercus petraea	Quercus robur	Robinia pseudoacacia
Circ	96	43	38	76	98	89	89	82	97	91	100	46
	(24–244)	(24–147)	(24-144)	(24–243)	(25-243.02)	(25–221)	(24–221)	(25–186)	(25-207)	(24-244)	(24–251)	(24-157.62)
Relairc	1.21	0.96	0.81	1.11	1.22	1.15	1.13	1.25	1.12	1.3	1.42	1
	(0.33– 3.62)	(0.4–2.6)	(0.37–2.37)	(0.35–3.8)	(0.39–3.62)	(0.39– 3.44)	(0.53–3.89)	(0.46–3.37)	(0.45–2.89)	(0.45–4.38)	(0.45–4.73)	(0.41–2.76)
BA	40	24	28	32	31	39	25	31	35	27	26	25
	(9–82)	(4–58)	(7–55)	(6–71)	(5-74)	(8–90)	(3-70)	(2-70)	(4–86)	(6–55)	(5-57)	(3–68)
NB	761	1061	1069	800	621	812	668	874	619	748	720	1100
	(134– 2660)	(132–2769)	(156–3006)	(82–3173)	(68–2741)	(124– 2721)	(71–2908)	(118–3006)	(131–2230)	(71–2706)	(68–2412)	(175–2849)
CC	06	90	90	06	80	81	70	06	06	06	90	06
	(45-100)	(30-100)	(45–100)	(36-100)	(18–100)	(30-100)	(20-100)	(30-100)	(40-100)	(40-100)	(30-100)	(30-100)
QMD	0.92	0.5	0.55	0.8	1.04	0.86	0.88	0.71	1.09	0.79	0.81	0.5
	(0.26– 4.25)	(0.22–2.9)	(0.22–2.99)	(0.21–6.12)	(0.26–5.59)	(0.26– 4.18)	(0.24–5.03)	(0.22–3.63)	(0.28-4.42)	(0.23–6.3)	(0.24–6.44)	(0.21–2.48)
Gini	0.24	0.23	0.29	0.24	0.21	0.2	0.14	0.21	0.15	0.23	0.25	0.24
	(0.08– 0.41)	(0.05-0.43)	(0.08–0.46)	(0.06-0.42)	(0.04-0.4)	(0.06-0.4)	(0.03-0.4)	(0.06-0.38)	(0.04-0.37)	(0.05-0.43)	(0.05-0.44)	(0.06-0.42)
propBA	0.73	0.35	0.4	0.66	0.88	0.79	0.96	0.76	0.92	0.71	0.6	0.61
	(0.04-1)	(0.02–)	(0.03-1)	(0.03-1)	(0.06–1)	(0.05-1)	(0.1-1)	(0.05-1)	(0.08–1)	(0.06-1)	(0.04–1)	(0.02-1)
Nb_sp	ŝ	c	°.	c	2	2	, -	2	2	c	c	c
	(1-7)	(1-7)	(1-7)	(1-7)	(1–6)	(1-7)	(1-5)	(1-7)	(1–6)	(1-7)	(1-7)	(1-7)
AWC	58	91	88	56	44	67	83	54	79	79	93	87
	(0-133)	(6–133)	(9–133)	(0-133)	(0-129)	(0-133)	(5–132)	(5–130)	(17–133)	(6–133)	(15–133)	(12–133)
PW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	(0-0.03)	(00:00)	(0-0.04)	(0-0.04)	(0-0.02)	(0-0.05)	(0-0.03)	(0-0.04)	(0-0.04)	(0-0.03)	(0-0.05)	(0-0.05)
ML	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	(0-0.03)	(0-0.06)	(0-0.04)	(0-0.03)	(0-0.02)	(0-0.05)	(0-0.03)	(0-0.04)	(0-0.04)	(0-0.03)	(0-0.05)	(0-0.05)
Hd	5.2	4.9	6.1	5.8	6.8	5.6	4.3	6.2	5	5.3	5.7	6.4
	(3-7.4)	(3-7.2)	(4.3–7.2)	(3-7.9)	(3.1–8.2)	(3-7.3)	(3.2–7.7)	(3-8.3)	(3–6.8)	(3-7.3)	(3.7–7.2)	(4.3–7.4)
CN	17.6	19.1	11.9	14.9	17.4	16.1	28.8	18.3	17.3	16.7	14.7	11.4
	(10–31)	(10–32)	(10-23.1)	(10-30.7)	(10–36)	(10–31)	(10.6–36.5)	(10-33.4)	(10-28.7)	(10-30.4)	(10-29.7)	(10-22.2)
Tm _{win} 6187	0.2	3.1	2.4	1.3	- 1.7	0	5.9	1.8	2.1	2.7	3.5	3.1

and	
an	
d)	
Ē	
Ja	
Š	
<u>e</u> .	
С О	
ã	
S	
Ê	
÷	
8	
Se	
Ē	
8	
_ س	
Ē	
5	
£	
. 	
Ð	
9	
Ê	
÷	
X	
ţ	
.⊆	
na	
<u> </u>	
ę	
Þ	
.⊆.	
<u>م</u>	
Ğ.	
S.	
S	
. <u> </u>	
e B	
S	
12	
ران	
Ĕ	
Ľ	
Ъ	
S	
÷	
a	
ari.	
>	
Ş	
9	
Ja	
a,	
ð	
ŵ	
_	
ij	_
entiä	(Po
otentia	(paire
potentia	tinued)
ne potentia	ontinued)
the potentia	(Continued)
of the potentia	(Continued)
e of the potentia	me (Continued)
lue of the potentia	(Continued)
value of the potentia	: name (Continued)
e value of the potentia	les name (Continued)
tile value of the potentia	hles name (Continued)
entile value of the potentia	riables name (Continued)
centile value of the potentia	variables name (Continued)
th centile value of the potentia	a variables name (Continued)
99th centile value of the potentia	ing variables name (Continued)
1 99th centile value of the potentia	iding variables name (Continued)
nd 99th centile value of the potentia	onding variables name (Continued)
and 99th centile value of the potentia	shonding variables name (Continued)
st, and 99th centile value of the potentia	eshonding variables name (Continued)
1st, and 99th centile value of the potentia	(Continution variables name (Continued)
n, 1st, and 99th centile value of the potentia	corresponding variables name (Continued)
lian, 1st, and 99th centile value of the potentia	to corresponding variables name (Continued)
edian, 1st, and 99th centile value of the potentia	the corresponding variables name (Continued)
Median, 1st, and 99th centile value of the potentia	or the corresponding variables name (Continued)
5 Median, 1st, and 99th centile value of the potentia	for the corresponding variables name (Continued)
e 5 Median, 1st, and 99th centile value of the potenti	3 for the corresponding variables name (Continued)
ble 5 Median, 1st, and 99th centile value of the potentii	of the corresponding variables name (Continued)
rable 5 Median, 1st, and 99th centile value of the potentii	Table 2 for the corresponding variables name (Continued)

Table 2 fo	r the corres	sponding vari	ables name (Cc	intinued)								
	Abies alba	Betula pendula	Carpinus betulus	Fagus sylvatica	Larix decidua	Picea abies	Pinus pinaster	Pinus sylvestris	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Quercus petraea	Quercus robur	Robinia pseudoacacia
	(- 2.6-4.8)	(- 1.4-5.8)	(0.3–5.9)	(- 2.2-5.5)	(- 4.4-2.8)	(- 3.6-3.9)	(3.4–8.1)	(- 1.3-5.3)	(- 0.6-5.4)	(0.2–5.5)	(0.9–6.8)	(0.9–6.9)
Tm _{spr} 6187	6.3	8.8	8.9	7.7	3.2	6.3	11.1	7.9	8.1	8.9	9.4	9.5
	(2.7–9.5)	(3.9–10.3)	(7.1–11.3)	(3.2-10.3)	(0.2–8.8)	(2.2–9.4)	(7.6–12)	(3.4–10.8)	(5.1-10.5)	(6–10.9)	(6.9–11.6)	(7.8–11.8)
Tm _{sum} 6187	15	16.7	17.1	16	12.4	15	19	16.6	16.4	17	17.5	17.8
	(11.1–18)	(12.6–18.5)	(15.5–19.4)	(11.8–18.5)	(9.3–17.4)	(11.2– 17.8)	(15.9–21.2)	(12.4–19.6)	(13.7–18.7)	(14.7–19.2)	(15.2–19.5)	(16.2–20.3)
Tm _{aut} 6187	8.5	10.7	10.5	9.5	6.5	8.5	13.3	10	10.1	10.6	11.2	11.2
	(5.8-11.8)	(6.7–12.6)	(9–13.3)	(6.1–12.6)	(3.8–10.5)	(5.3-11.4)	(10.9–15)	(6.6–12.9)	(7.3–12.7)	(8.5-13.1)	(9.1–14)	(9.5–14.1)
RF _{spr} 6187	315	208	210	278	238	329	220	236	251	204	209	212
	(188–546)	(147–452)	(149–398)	(158–559)	(172–435)	(177–518)	(157–351)	(156–481)	(156–425)	(145–402)	(147–379)	(148–369)
RF _{sum} 6187	276	182	197	233	219	294	157	195	216	188	175	1–6
	(149–466)	(122-357)	(135–352)	(123–466)	(160–404)	(167–504)	(61–218)	(130–336)	(128–353)	(131–347)	(122–298)	(1 26-308)
Tm _{win} Evo	0.92	0.94	0.98	0.94	0.6	1.02	0.59	0.81	0.86	0.95	0.9	0.92
	(0.31– 1.34)	(0.32–1.31)	(0.39–1.32)	(0.25–1.34)	(0.39–1.26)	(0.4–1.33)	(0.28–1.15)	(0.32–1.3)	(0.38–1.28)	(0.4–1.32)	(0.34–1.29)	(0.26-1.31)
Tm _{spr} Evo	1.69	1.55	1.63	1.65	1.74	1.72	1.54	1.62	1.65	1.61	1.59	1.6
	(1.32– 2.08)	(1.25-2.02)	(1.31–1.99)	(1.21–2.06)	(1.39–2.03)	(1.35– 2.12)	(0.97–1.77)	(1.3–2)	(1.29–2.04)	(1.29–2.03)	(1.2–1.89)	(1.33–1.98)
Tm _{sum} Evo	1.49	1.42	1.49	1.48	1.6	1.51	1.32	1.52	1.47	1.47	1.42	1.45
	(1.14– 1.76)	(0.99–1.73)	(1.18–1.72)	(1.06–1.81)	(1.33–1.8)	(1.27– 1.81)	(1.01–1.73)	(1.08–1.83)	(0.97–1.71)	(1.07–1.71)	(0.99–1.7)	(1.2–1.73)
Tm _{aut} Evo	0.72	0.81	0.8	0.76	0.57	0.75	0.74	0.73	0.74	0.81	0.78	0.78
	(0.41– 1.09)	(0.39–1.12)	(0.43-1.12)	(0.4–1.11)	(0.26–1.06)	(0.4–1.12)	(0.43–1.12)	(0.32–1.11)	(0.34–1.1)	(0.45–1.12)	(0.38–1.12)	(0.42–1.13)
RF _{spr} Evo	- 5.3	1.6	1.8	- 2.5	- 6.5	- 2.8	- 2.9	- 5.7	0.5	0.5	1.8	0.2
	(- 46.5- 24.4)	(- 22.9-27.3)	(- 20.2-25.7)	(- 44.7-26.7)	(- 56.7- 16.5)	(- 41.6- 25.8)	- 36-22.8)	(- 56.7-24.5)	(- 41.6-31.2)	(- 26.8-25.3)	(- 27.1-27.5)	(- 30.9-24.7)
RF _{sum} Evo	11.3	19	18.8	11.7	- 11.6	13.5	- 0.1	2.5	20.1	18.7	17.6	12.8
	(- 44.8- 43.6)	(- 17.9-50.4)	(- 6.6-42.3)	(- 40.6-45.2)	(- 58.3- 33.9)	(- 40.4- 48.3)	(- 38.8- 34.3)	(- 52.2-42.5)	(- 21.7-53.3)	(- 16-42.9)	(- 13.8-47.8)	(- 19.6-40.3)

		,	,				-						
		Abies alba	Betula pendula	Carpinus betulus	Fagus sylvatica	Larix decidua	Picea abies	Pinus pinaster	Pinus sylvestris	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Quercus petraea	Quercus robur	Robinia pseudoacacia
Relcirc	Shape									n			n
	Critical value	3.23	2.45		4.01	4.36	3.37	3.81	2.65	3.16	4.31	4.04	2.31
QMD	Shape	С							C				
	Critical value	4.37							5.16				
Gini	Shape	С	С		С		С	С		C	С	С	C
	Critical value	0.22	0.28		0.24		0.26	0.25		0.26	0.30	0.28	0.26
PropBA	Shape	С	Π		С		С			C	С	С	
	Critical value	65%	58%		63%		36%			62%	56%	48%	

Forest Science (2022) 79:23

Table 7 Importance of climate change effects on the predicted probabilities of mortality of the 12 species. The base mortality rate corresponds to an average predicted value where all non-climate change-related variables were set to their average value on all the trees of the considered species. The 6 columns located in the right part of the table indicate how predicted probabilities of tree mortality in %.5 years⁻¹ vary depending on the intensity of climate change. One value per plot where the species is present is calculated.

			Amplitude of tree mo	of climate cl rtality (%.5 y	hange eff ears ⁻¹)	ects on the p	redicted prob	abilities
		Base mortality rate (%.5 years $^{-1}$)	1st centile	1st quartile	Median	3rd quartile	99th centile	Average
Lowland species	Robinia pseudoacacia	0.13%	1.11%	1.63%	1.84%	2.07%	3.75%	1.93%
	Quercus robur	0.04%	0.35%	0.58%	0.71%	0.84%	1.24%	0.72%
	Pinus pinaster	0.07%	0.18%	0,28%	0.39%	0.57%	0.97%	0.44%
	Fagus sylvatica	0.37%	0.17%	0.26%	0.31%	0.36%	0.67%	0.32%
	Betula pendula	0.92%	0.16%	0.22%	0.26%	0.30%	0.60%	0.28%
	Carpinus betulus	0.17%	/	/	/	/	/	/
	Quercus petraea	0.14%	/	/	/	/	/	/
Mountain species	Picea abies	0.23%	0.79%	1.03%	1.17%	1.35%	1.92%	1.20%
	Pseudotsuga menziesii	0.02%	0.12%	0.23%	0.29%	0.39%	0.69%	0.32%
	Larix decidua	0.01%	0.10%	0.19%	0.26%	0.39%	0.75%	0.31%
	Pinus sylvestris	1.42%	0.93%	1.32%	1.50%	1.73%	2.82%	1.56%
	Abies alba	0.50%	/	/	/	/	/	/

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Myriam Legay, Marta Benito Garzon, Jean-Daniel Bontemps, Benoit Courbaud, and Hendrick Davi for their helpful comments. The Unité Mixte de Recherche (UMR) SILVA is supported by a grant overseen by the French National Research Agency (ANR) as part of the 'Investissements d'Avenir' program (ANR-11-LABX-0002-01, Lab of Excellence ARBRE).

Code availability

The code is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

A.T., J.C.G., and C.P. developed the ideas and designed the methodology. A.T. and I.S. organized the database. AT and A.G.P. contributed to the statistical analyses. A.T. and C.P. wrote the manuscript. All of the authors discussed and commented on the methods and the results, read and corrected the paper, and gave their final approval for publication. The author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was funded through a PhD grant from the French National Forest Office (ONF) and the Regional Council of Grand-Est. The Unité Mixte de Recherche (UMR) SILVA is supported by a grant overseen by the French National Research Agency (ANR) as part of the 'Investissements d'Avenir' program (ANR-11-LABX-0002-01, Lab of Excellence ARBRE).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets during and/or analyzed during the current study available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The authors declare that the study was not conducted on endangered, vulnerable or threatened species.

Consent for publication

All authors gave their convent to this publication and its content.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Université de Lorraine, AgroParisTech, INRAE, Silva, 54000 Nancy, France. ²Université de Lorraine, CNRS, Inria, IECL, F-54000 Nancy, France.

Received: 10 November 2021 Accepted: 14 April 2022 Published online: 30 May 2022

References

- Allen CD, Breshears DD, McDowell NG (2015) On underestimation of global vulnerability to tree mortality and forest die-off from hotter drought in the Anthropocene. Ecosphere 6(8):art129. https://doi.org/10.1890/ES15-00203.1
- Allouche O, Tsoar A, Kadmon R (2006) Assessing the accuracy of species distribution models: prevalence, kappa and the true skill statistic (TSS). J Appl Ecol 43(6):1223–1232. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2006.01214.x
- Bakys R, Vasaitis R, Barklund P, Ihrmark K, Stenlid J (2009) Investigations concerning the role of Chalara fraxinea in declining Fraxinus excelsior. Plant Pathol 58(2):284–292. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.2008.01977.x
- Bertrand R, Lenoir J, Piedallu C, Riofrio-Dillon G, de Ruffray P, Vidal C, Pierrat JC, Gegout JC (2011) Changes in plant community composition lag behind climate warming in lowland forests. Nature 479(7374):517–520. https://doi. org/10.1038/nature10548
- Breda N, Huc R, Granier A, Dreyer E (2006) Temperate forest trees and stands under severe drought: a review of ecophysiological responses, adaptation processes and long-term consequences. Ann Forest Sci 63(6):625–644. https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:2006042
- Brown JH (1984) On the relationship between abundance and distribution of species. Am Natural 124(2):255–279. https://doi.org/10.1086/284267
- Brus D, Hengeveld G, Walvoort D, Goedhart P, Heidema A, Nabuurs G, Gunia K (2012) Statistical mapping of tree species over Europe. Eur J Forest Res 131(1):145–157. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-011-0513-5
- Bussotti F, Pollastrini M, Holland V, Brueggemann W (2015) Functional traits and adaptive capacity of European forests to climate change. Environ Exper Botany 111:91–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2014.11.006
- Carnicer J, Coll M, Ninyerola M, Pons X, Sanchez G, Penuelas J (2011) Widespread crown condition decline, food web disruption, and amplified tree mortality with increased climate change-type drought. Proceed Natl Acad Sci USA 108(4):1474–1478. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1010070108
- Charru M, Seynave I, Hervé J-C, Bertrand R, Bontemps J-D (2017) Recent growth changes in Western European forests are driven by climate warming and structured across tree species climatic habitats. Ann Forest Sci 74(2):33. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-017-0626-1

- Cheaib A, Badeau V, Boe J, Chuine I, Delire C, Dufrêne E, François C, Gritti ES, Legay M, Pagé C, Thuiller W, Viovy N, Leadley P (2012) Climate change impacts on tree ranges: model intercomparison facilitates understanding and quantification of uncertainty. Ecol Lett 15(6):533–544. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1461-0248.2012.01764.x
- Choat B, Brodribb TJ, Brodersen CR, Duursma RA, López R, Medlyn BE (2018) Triggers of tree mortality under drought. Nature 558(7711):531–539. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0240-x
- Das AJ, Stephenson NL, Davis KP (2016) Why do trees die? Characterizing the drivers of background tree mortality. Ecology 97(10):2616–2627. https://doi. org/10.1002/ecy.1497
- Das AJ, Stephenson NL, Flint A, Das T, van Mantgem PJ (2013) Climatic Correlates of Tree Mortality in Water- and Energy-Limited Forests. Plos One 8(7):e69917. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0069917
- Dyderski MK, Paź S, Frelich LE, Jagodziński AM (2018) How much does climate change threaten European forest tree species distributions? Glob Change Biol 24(3):1150–1163. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13925
- Eid T, Oyen BH (2003) Models for prediction of mortality in even-aged forest. Scand J Forest Res 18:64–77
- Fielding AH, Bell JF (1997) A review of methods for the assessment of prediction errors in conservation presence/absence models. Environ Conserv 24(1):38– 49. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892997000088
- Fridman J, Stahl G (2001) A three-step approach for modelling tree mortality in Swedish forests. Scand J Forest Res 16(5):455–466. https://doi.org/10.1080/02 827580152632856
- Gavrutenko M, Gerstner BE, Kass JM, Goodman SM, Anderson RP (2021) Temporal matching of occurrence localities and forest cover data helps improve range estimates and predict climate change vulnerabilities. Glob Ecol Conserv 27: 13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2021.e01569
- Gégout J-C, Hervé J-C, Houllier F, Pierrat J-C (2003) Prediction of forest soil nutrient status using vegetation. J Vegetat Sci 14(1):55–62. https://doi.org/1 0.1111/i.1654-1103.2003.tb02127.x
- Gibelin A-L, B Dubuisson, L Corre, N Deaux, S Jourdain, L Laval, J-M Piquemal, O Mestre, D Dennetière, S Desmidt (2014) Evolution de la température en France depuis les années 1950: Constitution d'un nouveau jeu de séries homogénéisées de référence. La Météorologie - 87:45–53.
- Hanewinkel M, Cullmann DA, Schelhaas M-J, Nabuurs G-J, Zimmermann NE (2012) Climate change may cause severe loss in the economic value of European forest land. Nat Clim Change 3(3):203–207. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nclimate1687
- Harper KA, SE Macdonald, PJ Burton, J Chen, KD Brosofske, SC Saunders, ES Euskirchen, DAR Roberts, MS Jaiteh, P-A Esseen (2005) Edge influence on forest structure and composition in fragmented landscapes
- Hermy M, Verheyen K (2007) Legacies of the past in the present-day forest biodiversity: a review of past land-use effects on forest plant species composition and diversity. Ecol Res 22(3):361–371. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11284-007-0354-3
- Herrero A, Rigling A, Zamora R (2013) Varying climate sensitivity at the dry distribution edge of Pinus sylvestris and P. nigra. Forest Ecol Manage 308:50– 61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2013.07.034
- Hervé J-C, S Wurpillot, C Vidal, B Roman-Amat (2014) L'inventaire des ressources forestières en France: un nouveau regard sur de nouvelles forêts
- Holzwarth F, Kahl A, Bauhus J, Wirth C (2013) Many ways to die–partitioning tree mortality dynamics in a near-natural mixed deciduous forest. J Ecol 101(1): 220–230. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12015
- Kahl T, Bauhus J (2014) An index of forest management intensity based on assessment of harvested tree volume, tree species composition and dead wood origin. Nat Conserv 7:15–27. https://doi.org/10.3897/natureconserva tion.7.7281
- Kellomäki S, Strandman H, Heinonen T, Asikainen A, Venäläinen A, Peltola H (2018) Temporal and spatial change in diameter growth of boreal scots pine, Norway Spruce, and Birch under Recent-Generation (CMIP5) Global Climate Model Projections for the 21st Century. Forests 9(3):118. https://doi.org/10.33 90/f9030118
- Kharuk VI, Kuzmichev W, Im ST, Ranson KJ (2014) Birch stands growth increase in Western Siberia. Scand J Forest Res 29(5):421–426. https://doi.org/10.1080/02 827581.2014.912345

- Latham PA, Zuuring HR, Coble DW (1998) A method for quantifying vertical forest structure. Forest Ecol Manage 104(1-3):157–170. https://doi.org/10.101 6/S0378-1127(97)00254-5
- Lebourgeois F, Rathgeber CBK, Ulrich E (2010) Sensitivity of French temperate coniferous forests to climate variability and extreme events (Abies alba, Picea abies and Pinus sylvestris). J Vegetat Sci 21(2):364–376. https://doi.org/1 0.1111/j.1654-1103.2009.01148.x
- Lee JR, Maggini R, Taylor MFJ, Fuller RA (2015) Mapping the drivers of climate change vulnerability for Australia's threatened species. Plos One 10(5):10. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124766
- Lenoir J, Gégout JC, Pierrat JC, Bontemps JD, Dhôte JF (2009) Differences between tree species seedling and adult altitudinal distribution in mountain forests during the recent warm period (1986–2006). Ecography 32(5):765– 777. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2009.05791.x
- Luo Y, Chen HYH (2015) Climate change-associated tree mortality increases without decreasing water availability. Ecol Lett 18(11):1207–1215. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12500
- Manion PD (1981) Tree disease concepts. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs
- Marini L, Økland B, Jönsson AM, Bentz B, Carroll A, Forster B, Grégoire J-C, Hurling R, Nageleisen LM, Netherer S, Ravn HP, Weed A, Schroeder M (2017) Climate drivers of bark beetle outbreak dynamics in Norway spruce forests. Ecography 40(12):1426–1435. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.02769
- Marmion M, Luoto M, Heikkinen RK, Thuiller W (2009) The performance of stateof-the-art modelling techniques depends on geographical distribution of species. Ecol Model 220(24):3512–3520. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2 008.10.019
- McCullagh P, Nelder JA (1989) Generalized linear models. CRC press. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-1-4899-3242-6
- Michel A, Seidling W (2017) Forest Condition in Europe: 2017 Technical Report of ICP Forests. Report under the UNECE Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP). BFW Austrian Research Centre for Forests, Vienna, p 128
- Muller CJ, MacLehose RF (2014) Estimating predicted probabilities from logistic regression: different methods correspond to different target populations. Int J Epidemiol 43(3):962–970. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyu029
- Niinemets U (2010) Responses of forest trees to single and multiple environmental stresses from seedlings to mature plants: past stress history, stress interactions, tolerance and acclimation. Forest Ecol Manage 260(10): 1623–1639. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.07.054
- Ninyerola M, Pons X, Roure JM (2000) A methodological approach of climatological modelling of air temperature and precipitation through GIS techniques. Int J Climatol 20(14):1823–1841. https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0088(20001130)20:14<1823:AID-JOC566>3.0.CO;2-B

Nyland RD (2016) Silviculture: concepts and applications. Waveland Press

- Parmesan C, Yohe G (2003) A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. Nature 421(6918):37–42. https://doi.org/10.1 038/nature01286
- Peel MC, Finlayson BL, McMahon TA (2007) Updated world map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification. Hydrol Earth Syst Sci Discus 4:439– 473
- Peñuelas J, Ogaya R, Boada M, Jump AS (2007) Migration, invasion and decline: changes in recruitment and forest structure in a warming-linked shift of European beech forest in Catalonia (NE Spain). Ecography 30(6):829–837. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2007.0906-7590.05247.x
- Piedallu C, Cheret V, Denux JP, Perez V, Azcona JS, Seynave I, Gegout JC (2019) Soil and climate differently impact NDVI patterns according to the season and the stand type. Sci Total Environ 651:2874–2885. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.scitotenv.2018.10.052
- Piedallu C, Gégout J-C, Bruand A, Seynave I (2011) Mapping soil water holding capacity over large areas to predict potential production of forest stands. Geoderma 160(3-4):355–366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2010.10.004
- Raftery AE, Zimmer A, Frierson DMW, Startz R, Liu P (2017) Less than 2 °C warming by 2100 unlikely. Nat Clim Change 7(9):637–641. https://doi.org/10.1 038/nclimate3352
- Rameau J-C, D Mansion, G Dumé (1989) Flore forestière française: Région méditerranéenne, Forêt privée française
- Rigling A, Bigler C, Eilmann B, Feldmeyer-Christe E, Gimmi U, Ginzler C, Graf U, Mayer P, Vacchiano G, Weber P, Wohlgemuth T, Zweifel R, Dobbertin M (2013) Driving factors of a vegetation shift from Scots pine to pubescent oak in dry Alpine forests. Glob Change Biol 19(1):229–240. https://doi.org/1 0.1111/gcb.12038

Robert N, C Vidal, A Colin, J-C Hervé, N Hamza, C Cluzeau (2009) 12.1 Development of France's National Forest Inventory. National Forest Inventories: Pathways for Common Reporting:207

- Ruiz-Benito P, Lines ER, Gomez-Aparicio L, Zavala MA, Coomes DA (2013) Patterns and drivers of tree mortality in iberian forests: climatic effects are modified by competition. Plos One 8(2):8. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056843
- Senf C, Pflugmacher D, Zhiqiang Y, Sebald J, Knorn J, Neumann M, Hostert P, Seidl R (2018) Canopy mortality has doubled in Europe's temperate forests over the last three decades. Nat Commun 9(1):4978. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-018-07539-6
- Sikkema R, Caudullo G, de Rigo D (2016) Carpinus betulus in Europe: distribution, habitat, usage and threats. Eur Atlas Forest Tree Species:73–75
- Taccoen A, Piedallu C, Seynave I, Perez V, Gégout-Petit A, Nageleisen L-M, Bontemps J-D, Gégout J-C (2019) Background mortality drivers of European tree species: climate change matters. Proceed R Soc B 286:1900. https://doi. org/10.1098/rspb.2019.0386
- Ter Braak CJ, Looman CW (1986) Weighted averaging, logistic regression and the Gaussian response model. Vegetation 65(1):3–11. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF00032121
- Teskey R, Wertin T, Bauweraerts I, Ameye M, McGuire MA, Steppe K (2015) Responses of tree species to heat waves and extreme heat events. Plant Cell Environ 38(9):1699–1712. https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12417
- Urli M, Lamy J-B, Sin F, Burlett R, Delzon S, Porté AJ (2015) The high vulnerability of Quercus robur to drought at its southern margin paves the way for Quercus ilex. Plant Ecol 216(2):177–187. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-014-0426-8
- Vilà-Cabrera A, Martínez-Vilalta J, Vayreda J, Retana J (2011) Structural and climatic determinants of demographic rates of Scots pine forests across the Iberian Peninsula. Ecol Appl 21(4):1162–1172. https://doi.org/10.1890/10-0647.1
- Waring RH (1987) Characteristics of trees predisposed to die. Bioscience 37(8): 569–574. https://doi.org/10.2307/1310667
- Williams SE, Shoo LP, Isaac JL, Hoffmann AA, Langham G (2008) Towards an Integrated Framework for Assessing the Vulnerability of Species to Climate Change. Plos Biol 6(12):2621–2626. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio. 0060325
- Zapater M, Breda N, Bonal D, Pardonnet S, Granier A (2013) Differential response to soil drought among co-occurring broad-leaved tree species growing in a 15-to 25-year-old mixed stand. Ann Forest Sci 70(1):31–39. https://doi.org/1 0.1007/s13595-012-0233-0

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

