

# Experiment in semi-natural conditions did not confirm the influence of malaria infection on bird attractiveness to mosquitoes.

Camille-Sophie Cozzarolo, Romain Pigeault, Julie Isaïa, Jérôme Wassef, Molly Baur, Olivier Glaizot, Philippe Christe

## ▶ To cite this version:

Camille-Sophie Cozzarolo, Romain Pigeault, Julie Isaïa, Jérôme Wassef, Molly Baur, et al.. Experiment in semi-natural conditions did not confirm the influence of malaria infection on bird attractiveness to mosquitoes.. Parasites & Vectors, 2022, 15 (1), pp.187. 10.1186/s13071-022-05292-w. hal-03693380

# HAL Id: hal-03693380 https://hal.science/hal-03693380

Submitted on 13 Mar 2024  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## **SHORT REPORT**

## **Open Access**



# Experiment in semi-natural conditions did not confirm the influence of malaria infection on bird attractiveness to mosquitoes

Camille-Sophie Cozzarolo<sup>1,4\*</sup>, Romain Pigeault<sup>1,2</sup>, Julie Isaïa<sup>1</sup>, Jérôme Wassef<sup>1</sup>, Molly Baur<sup>1</sup>, Olivier Glaizot<sup>1,3†</sup> and Philippe Christe<sup>1†</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** Changes in host phenotype following parasite infection are often considered as host manipulation when they seem advantageous for the parasite. However, putative cases of host manipulation by parasites are rarely tested in field-realistic conditions. Infection-induced phenotypic change cannot be conclusively considered as host manipulation if no evidence shows that this trait is adaptive for the parasite in the wild. *Plasmodium* sp., the parasites causing malaria in vertebrates, are hypothesized to "manipulate" their host by making their odour more attractive to mosquitoes, their vector and final host. While this is fairly well supported by studies on mice and humans, studies focusing on avian malaria give contradictory results.

**Methods:** In the present study, genotyped birds at different stages (uninfected, acute and chronic) of *Plasmodium relictum* infection were exposed, in a large outdoor aviary, to their natural vector, the mosquito *Culex pipiens*.

**Results:** After genotyping the blood meals of more than 650 mosquitoes, we found that mosquitoes did not bite infected birds more than they bit them before infection, nor more than they bit uninfected hosts.

**Conclusions:** Our study highlights the importance of testing ecological behaviours under natural conditions and suggests that different processes might be at play in mammals and birds regarding potential manipulation of attractiveness by malaria parasites.

Keywords: Attraction, Culex pipiens, Exposure, Extended phenotype, Manipulation, Parasite, Plasmodium, Vector

## Background

Various groups of parasites induce alterations of their host's behaviour [1, 2], morphology [3] and/or physiology [4, 5] in ways that increase their own fitness. Although rarely empirically demonstrated to be an expression of parasites' genotype [6], modification of host phenotypes after parasite infection is often assumed to be host

<sup>†</sup>Olivier Glaizot and Philippe Christe share senior authorship

\*Correspondence: camille-sophie.cozzarolo@ik.me

<sup>1</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, Lausanne 1015, Switzerland

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

manipulation. This hypothesis has been investigated for a wide range of host/parasite associations [7], and for heteroxenous parasites in particular, where manipulation of one of the hosts (intermediate or definitive) would promote transmission of the parasite to the subsequent host [8]. One of the most widely studied and discussed cases to date is that of the malaria agent, *Plasmodium* [8].

*Plasmodium* is thought to influence the feeding behaviour of infected vectors (i.e. mosquitoes) in ways that could increase transmission to the vertebrate host. For instance, mosquitoes infected with sporozoites—the *Plasmodium* transmissible stage, present in mosquito salivary glands—showed longer probing time [9] and bit



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

longer [10] and more frequently on multiple hosts [11] than uninfected vectors did. These changes could be general consequences of mosquitoes' immune response and not specifically *Plasmodium* extended phenotype, as was demonstrated for infection stage-specific changes in host-seeking behaviour [12]. Another hypothesis is that vertebrate host attractiveness to vectors is increased by the presence of *Plasmodium* parasites in their blood (e.g. [13, 14]). Host-seeking mosquitoes rely on volatile molecules emitted by vertebrates to detect and select their blood source. Mice and humans infected with Plasmodium chabaudi and Plasmodium falciparum, respectively, produce some molecules in higher quantities (reviewed in [15, 16]). Some of them were shown to attract more mosquitoes when added to the smell of a healthy host [16, 17]. No such molecule has yet been identified in birds [18].

Plasmodium-induced alteration of host attractiveness is supported by several lab experiments in mammals [13, 17, 19-22] and birds [14, 18, 23]. However, a non-negligible number of studies showed an avoidance of infected hosts [24-27] or no difference in attractiveness [28]. These contrasting results may be explained by methodological differences, such as the possibility of host defensive behaviour during the experiment or whether infected hosts with or without transmissible stages were analysed as distinct categories [29]. The temporal dynamics of *Plasmodium* infection within a vertebrate host could also be another confusing factor. Blood stages of malaria infection are made up of an acute phase, a few days of high parasitaemia (infection intensity), followed by a chronic phase, with low parasitaemia. A previous study highlighted variations in mosquito host choice throughout the course of the infection. While no mosquito preference was detected during the acute phase of infection, vectors showed a preference for infected hosts during the chronic phase [14]. Among the hypotheses proposed by the authors, it is suggested that this temporal variation in mosquito host choice may be the result of two antagonistic effects: the attraction induced by the manipulating parasite and the repellence induced by an altered blood meal [14]. Indeed, blood quality for the mosquito, in particular its nutritional value, might vary with parasitaemia, as the number of red blood cells decreases due to their destruction at the end of the erythrocytic cycle of parasite replication [30, 31]. In addition, while the conditions making malaria infection costly for mosquito fitness are still unclear [32-35], the ingestion itself of highly parasitized blood could have a negative impact on mosquito survival ([36] but see [31]).

Most of the studies assessing the impact of host infection status on vector attractiveness have been carried out under laboratory conditions. Yet, the adaptive value of a potentially manipulable trait needs to be assessed under conditions that are "as natural as possible" [6]. While methods used in lab experiments provide a measure of pure difference in attraction, they do not allow us to determine whether this difference is field-relevant. For example, infection may alter hosts' behaviour in ways that make them more or less easily bitten by mosquitoes [19, 37].

In the present study, we compared the number of mosquito bites received by uninfected and *Plasmo-dium*-infected birds in semi-natural conditions, using experimental infections allowing for testing during the acute and the chronic phases. For this experiment, we used *Plasmodium relictum*, the most common avian malaria parasite in our study population [38, 39] and in most parts of Europe (MalAvi database; [40]), and its natural vector *Culex pipiens*.

#### Methods

The experiment was carried out during the last 2 months of summer 2020. Twenty canaries (*Serinus canaria*, 10 females and 10 males, with age from a few months to 3 years as estimated from birth years indicated on their rings) were placed into external aviaries ( $4.6 \text{ m} \times 4.6 \text{ m}$ , 2.7 m high) overlaid with mosquito-proof netting and enriched with numerous perches, 7 days before their first exposure to mosquitoes. We provided them with canary food mix, apple and chicory, sand, and water for drinking and to bathing.

The *C. pipiens pipiens* mosquito population was initiated in September 2017 with wild clutches collected in the Dorigny forest, on the campus of the University of Lausanne, Switzerland (46.522565, 6.577927), and reared using standard protocols [41]. New wild individuals were mixed into the lab population in August 2018. Mosquitoes were hosted in a room with a constant temperature of 25 °C and 60% humidity, with a photoperiod lasting from 6:30 am to 9:30 pm, to match the external photoperiod.

The *P. relictum* strain (lineage SGS1) used in this experiment was isolated from a house sparrow (*Passer domesticus*) in January 2019 in the Dorigny forest and injected into a canary. The *Plasmodium* strain was maintained through regular passages across our stock canaries (15 times) using intraperitoneal (i.p.) injections [31]—except for the ninth passage, which was made by mosquitoes— until the beginning of the experiment. Experimental infection was performed by i.p. injection of 150  $\mu$ L of a 1:1 mix of blood from three infected birds and 1X phosphate-buffered saline (PBS).

After the pre-infection exposure (see below), 12 experimental canaries (six males and six females) were infected as described above. We infected more than half of the birds to anticipate the probability that some of them would not catch the infection. The eight other experimental birds (four males and four females) were similarly injected with 150  $\mu$ l of a 1:1 blood and PBS mix, except that the blood originated from three uninfected birds. The birds were blood-sampled by medial metatarsal venipuncture 6 days post-inoculation to check their infection status using a nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR) protocol targeting *Plasmodium cytb* [42].

#### **Experimental procedure**

The 20 birds were exposed to mosquitoes three times: pre-infection (8 days before infection), 12 days post-infection (during acute phase) and 40 days post-infection (during chronic phase, [14]). Pre-infection exposure was considered as a control to account for individual variations in vertebrate hosts' attractiveness.

Female mosquitoes aged between 5 and 30 days after emergence were placed inside the aviaries in rearing cages 30 h before the start of the experiment for acclimation. Sugar in their cages was replaced with water 24 h before and removed 6 h before the start of the exposure. The experiments started at sunset when the mosquitoes were released in the aviaries (pre-infection exposure: N=750 mosquitoes; acute phase exposure: N=425; chronic phase exposure: N=749). The number of mosquitoes released varied slightly between experiments due to the fluctuating reproductive success of our freshly installed mosquito lineage in the laboratory. They were left in the aviary with the birds for the entire night. Mosquitoes were collected the next morning using insectcatching nets and mouth and hand insect vacuums. Following the third exposure session (i.e. chronic phase), the proportion of blood-fed mosquitoes was low—likely because the temperatures were lower at the end of summer-so we allowed them to feed an additional night. The blood-fed mosquitoes (pre-infection: N = 568; acute: N=228; chronic: N=266) were killed by putting them in a -20 °C freezer. The third (chronic phase) exposure session was done with only 19 birds, because one uninfected female died 2 weeks after the second exposure session.

Blood from birds was sampled in both acute and chronic stages by medial metatarsal venipuncture at 9:30 am the morning following the exposure experiment nights, to measure their parasitaemia. We also prepared blood smears for microscopic examination of gametocy-taemia and weighed the birds to the nearest 0.1 g using an electronic balance. Blood smears were fixed with pure methanol and stained with Giemsa 7.5% during 45 min. Gametocytaemia was then assessed by counting the number of mature gametocytes found in 10,000 red blood cells.

#### **Molecular analyses**

DNA from birds' blood and from mosquitoes' blood meals was extracted by using the DNeasy Blood&Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Switzerland). Prior to the first exposure experiment, birds were genotyped using a microsatellite amplification protocol adapted from Melo and Hansson [43] (Additional file 1: Text S1 and Table S1). Blood-fed mosquitoes were dissected to remove the head and the thorax before the digestion part of the DNA extraction protocol (pre-infection: N=292; acute: N=228; chronic: N=266). DNA from blood meals was then genotyped with the same protocol as birds in order to identify its origin. Bird parasitaemia was measured using a TaqMan quantitative PCR protocol adapted from Christe et al. [44] (Additional file 1: Text S2).

#### Genotyping

Amplified fragments were separated by capillary electrophoresis using a 3100 Genetic Analyzer<sup>®</sup> (Applied Biosystems). Genotypes were evaluated on the GeneMapper<sup>®</sup> software v.4. Samples with more than two alleles in at least one of the markers, which could be caused by mixed blood meals (mosquito feeding on two or more birds) or contamination during lab procedures, were discarded (68/786 mosquitoes). Identity analyses were performed with Cervus© software v. 3.0.7 [45], with the minimum number of matching loci set at four and two fuzzy matches allowed. We identified 687 blood meals over the 786 tested mosquitoes.

#### Statistical analyses

One female bird injected with infected blood did not develop the infection. Since the molecular diagnostics were performed at the end of the experiment, this female remained in the experiment but was removed from the statistical analyses. Thus, the final number of mosquitoes included in the analyses was 237 for the first exposure session, 194 for the second and 230 for the third. For the sake of simplicity, here we define one bird's "attractiveness" as the number of mosquitoes found with its blood in their abdomens, although factors other than pure attractiveness could influence biting. First, we evaluated individual attractiveness during the three exposure sessions as a function of bird identity in a generalized linear model with a quasi-Poisson error distribution to assess whether birds differed in attractiveness. The significance of the explanatory variable was assessed by F-test comparing the deviance between models with and without the variable. Then, we calculated the individual change of attractiveness between the second exposure session (acute phase) and the first exposure session (pre-infection) as  $\frac{n_{ind\_session\_2}}{194} - \frac{n_{ind\_session\_1}}{237}$ , and

 $\frac{n_{ind\_session\_3}}{230} - \frac{n_{ind\_session\_1}}{237}$  for the third exposure session (chronic phase),  $n_{ind}$  being the number of mosquitoes found with the focal bird blood in its abdomen. We used Wilcoxon rank sum tests to compare infected and control birds' change in attractiveness. We also compared the attractiveness of infected and control birds within each exposure session using Wilcoxon rank sum tests. We also used Wilcoxon rank sum tests to evaluate the effect of bird sex on attractiveness. Finally, we evaluated the link between attractiveness and age, as well as infection intensity (parasitaemia and gametocytaemia) in the acute and chronic phases using Spearman's rank correlation. Statistical analyses were performed on R v4.2 [46] on RStudio v1.3.1056.

#### Results

The average bird's parasitaemia was  $0.753\pm0.614$  and  $0.006\pm0.012$  in the acute and chronic stages of infection, respectively. Gametocytes were present in all infected birds during their acute phase (mean number of gametocytes per 10,000 red blood cells±SD:  $52.91\pm35.41$ , range: 3-118) but were detected on only two blood smears during the chronic phase (4 and 10, respectively). Gametocytaemia was correlated with parasitaemia

during the acute phase (Spearman's correlation:  $r_s = 0.8$ , P = 0.0005). We recaptured 78% (N = 587), 68% (287) and 65% (490) of released mosquitoes after the first, second and third exposure sessions, respectively, among which 97% (568), 79% (228) and 54% (266) were blood-fed.

The mean number of mosquitoes per bird over the three sessions was 11.6 (95% CI: 7.4-17.1). Individual attractiveness was variable (F=2.4, P=0.01), with a few birds showing a large variance (e.g. one bird's blood was found in 18, 9 and 91 mosquitoes), while others had consistently low (e.g. 3, 0, 2) or high attractiveness (e.g. 30, 23, 35). Infected and control birds did not significantly differ in their change in attractiveness between the first and second exposure sessions (W=60, P=0.20, Fig. 1a) nor between the first and third exposure sessions (W=41, P=0.86, Fig. 1b). The number of bites received by the two treatment groups did not differ significantly within the first (W=40, P=0.77), second (W=54, P-value=0.42) or third (W=29.5, P=0.4381)exposure sessions (Fig. 1c). Male and female attractiveness did not differ significantly (first: W=54, P=0.49; second: W=40.5, P=0.74; and third session: W=33, P = 0.56; all sessions together: W = 408.5, P-value = 0.96), and there was no interaction of bird sex with infection



Fig. 1 Changes in individual attractiveness between the first and **a** second (acute phase) and **b** third (chronic phase) exposure sessions, and **c** individual attractiveness during each exposure sessions. Here, "attractiveness" is defined as the number of total retrieved mosquitoes whose blood meal genotype matched the focal bird. We show proportions—instead of counts—as the total number of retrieved mosquitoes differed among exposure sessions

(Kruskal–Wallis acute:  $\chi^2_3 = 3.41$ , P = 0.33; chronic:  $\chi^2_3 = 1.21$ , P = 0.75).

Neither gametocytaemia ( $r_{\rm s}=-0.01$ , P=0.97) nor parasitaemia in the acute phase ( $r_{\rm s}=0.29$ , P=0.40), nor parasitaemia in the chronic phase ( $r_{\rm s}=0.23$ , P=0.53) correlated significantly with attractiveness. We did not analyse the effect of gametocytaemia during the chronic phase, as only two birds had gametocytes detectable on blood smears. Finally, there was a positive association between bird's age and number of bites during the second exposure session ( $r_{\rm s}=0.55$ , P=0.015) but not during the first ( $r_{\rm s}=0.03$ , P=0.9) or the third ( $r_{\rm s}=0.17$ , P=0.5) sessions.

#### Discussion

In the present study, we tested in semi-natural conditions whether, as observed under laboratory conditions (e.g. [14, 23]), malaria infection in birds influenced the number of mosquito bites they received. Overall, neither infection status nor intensity significantly impacted birds' probability of being bitten by mosquitoes. This suggests that, in conditions that are close to the wild environment, other factors play an antagonistic or a stronger role in influencing mosquito host detection, selection and biting than malaria-related increase in attractiveness. For instance, host metabolic rate (i.e. thermoregulation and  $CO_2$  emission) may have a different impact on mosquito behaviour according to experimental conditions. Carbon dioxide is used in long-range detection by mosquitoes [47], and it has been shown that malaria-infected birds may have lower CO<sub>2</sub> production than uninfected individuals [48]. Whereas in laboratory experiments CO<sub>2</sub> may not play a major role in host detection and selection, since hosts and mosquitoes are usually in close proximity (i.e. a few tens of centimetres), differences in  $CO_2$  emission could be preponderant in host detection when the distance between hosts and mosquitoes is greater. Further, spatial positioning of the birds in the environment (large external aviaries here) could influence their probability of encounter with mosquitoes [49], as suggested by the fact that we retrieved higher densities of mosquitoes in some corners of the aviary than others (personal observations).

Assuming avian malaria generally manipulates bird attractiveness, our experiment might have missed it due to unintentional artificial selection. Indeed, we used a *P. relictum* strain that had been passaged 15 times from birds to birds through intraperitoneal injections, except for the ninth passage which was done by mosquitoes. This represents a release from the selective pressure to attract mosquitoes, which might be enough for this strain to have lost its ability to alter birds' attractiveness [29]. Alternatively, manipulation of birds' attractiveness might be specific, and we missed it by injecting in canaries a strain originally isolated from a house sparrow; however, this explanation does not seem very likely, as P. relictum SGS1 is a very generalist and widespread lineage [40]. In addition, avian malaria is caused by a high diversity of Plasmodium species and lineages, which differ in morphology, specificity and vectors, and the ability to alter hosts' attractiveness to mosquitoes might also vary strongly among lineages. Finally, the two main limitations of our study are the weak statistical power linked with a low sample size and, directly related to our experimental design, the non-independence of observations. Indeed, the level of individual attractiveness directly impacts the number of mosquitoes available to bite other birds. However, we introduced high numbers of mosquitoes into the cage to minimize this effect. During both the acute and chronic exposure session, an important proportion of mosquitoes remained unfed at the end of the experiment (21 - 46%)

Although not significant, we found however a trend in infected birds, which tended to receive fewer bites in the acute phase than before infection, which is congruent with results reported by Cornet et al. [14]. This might be explained by a lower production of some cues used by mosquitoes to detect their host (such as  $CO_2$ , [48]) and/or by the lower nutritional value of acutely infected blood. Indeed, at the end of each erythrocytic cycle of replication, *Plasmodium* parasites rupture the red blood cell that they occupy. This replication is at its highest intensity during the acute phase of infection, which corresponds to a low density of red blood cells: haematocrit correlates negatively with parasitaemia ([50] but see [31]). Consequentially, as the main source of proteins for blood-feeding mosquitoes are the erythrocytes, a decrease in their density makes the blood less nutritious. Indeed, mosquitoes prefer hosts with higher haematocrit [14], although the exact mechanism by which mosquitoes evaluate blood quality is unclear. Avoidance of low-quality blood by mosquitoes during the acute phase might counterbalance a potential effect of Plasmodiumassociated attractants.

Overall, our results question the adaptive nature of increased attraction found in infected birds in some laboratory experiments [14, 23]. Indeed, not only did these experiments take place in small, confined spaces, with mosquitoes being closely and rather equally exposed to both birds' cues (e.g. odours,  $CO_2$ ), which is likely rare in the wild, but they also produced relatively small differences in attraction [14, 23]. In more natural conditions regarding spatial distribution of host cues, this difference in attractiveness might not suffice to result in more mosquito bites and thus to confer

avian Plasmodium sp. a transmission advantage, as would be suggested by our results. Although evidence of enhanced attraction of malaria-infected hosts is more conclusive in mammals, mechanisms of manipulation might differ from those potentially existing in birds, notably due to the role of the uropygial gland in bird body odour [51] and other anatomical differences (e.g. nucleated red blood cells, feathers). In conclusion, while our study has some limitations, such as the possible bias induced by the inability to identify mixed blood meals [49], the weak statistical power and the inevitable non-independence of observations intrinsic to our experimental design, it highlights the importance of confronting the results obtained in the laboratory with those obtained under natural conditions, as evaluating the adaptive nature of an observed phenomenon is crucial [6].

#### Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-022-05292-w.

Additional file 1: Text S1. Genotyping method. Table S1. Primers used for amplification of microsatellite regions in canaries. Text S2. Parasitaemia measurement in bird blood.

Additional file 2: Dataset S1. Results from the three exposure sessions.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Jason Buser and Falon Pasquier for taking care of the canaries and Aline Revel for technical assistance with outdoor facilities, as well as two anonymous reviewers for their comments which improved our manuscript's guality.

#### Author contributions

Conception and design: CSC, RP, OG and PC; data acquisition: all; analysis and interpretation of data: CSC, RP, OG and PC; manuscript draft: CSC; draft revisions: all. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

This work was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation, grant number 31003A\_179378.

#### Availability of data and materials

The protocols and the data set are provided in supplementary material. Raw data (microsatellite and qPCR runs) are available on figshare: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.19596646.v1.

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This experiment was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Vaud Canton veterinary authorities, licence number 1730.5.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, Lausanne 1015, Switzerland. <sup>2</sup>Laboratoire EBI, Equipe EES, UMR CNRS 7267, University of Poitiers, Poitiers 86000, France. <sup>3</sup>Musée Cantonal de Zoologie, Lausanne 1014, Switzerland. <sup>4</sup>Biogéosciences, UMR 6282, CNRS, Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté, 6 boulevard Gabriel, 21000 Dijon, France.

## Received: 24 January 2022 Accepted: 19 April 2022 Published online: 02 June 2022

#### References

- Thomas F, Schmidt-Rhaesa A, Martin G, Manu C, Durand P, Renaud F. Do hairworms (Nematomorpha) manipulate the water seeking behaviour of their terrestrial hosts? J Evol Biol. 2002;15:356–61.
- Fayard M, Dechaume-Moncharmont FX, Wattier R, Perrot-Minnot MJ. Magnitude and direction of parasite-induced phenotypic alterations: a meta-analysis in acanthocephalans. Biol Rev. 2020;95:1233–51.
- Yanoviak SP, Kaspari M, Dudley R, Poinar G. Parasite-induced fruit mimicry in a tropical canopy ant. Am Nat. 2008;171:536–44.
- Cordaux R, Michel-Salzat A, Frelon-Raimond M, Rigaud T, Bouchon D. Evidence for a new feminizing Wolbachia strain in the isopod Armadillidium vulgare: Evolutionary implications. Heredity. 2004;93:78–84.
- Kageyama D, Narita S, Watanabe M. Insect sex determination manipulated by their endosymbionts: Incidences, mechanisms and implications. Insects. 2012;3:161–99.
- Poulin R, Maure F. Host manipulation by parasites: a look back before moving forward. Trends Parasitol. 2015;31:563–70.
- Lafferty KD, Shaw JC. Comparing mechanisms of host manipulation across host and parasite taxa. J Exp Biol. 2013;216:56–66.
- Lefèvre T, Thomas F. Behind the scene, something else is pulling the strings: emphasizing parasitic manipulation in vector-borne diseases. Infect Genet Evol. 2008;8:504–19.
- Rossignol PA, Ribeiro JMC, Spielman A. Increased intradermal probing time in sporozoite-infected mosquitoes. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1984;33:17–20.
- Anderson RA, Koella JC, Hurd H. The effect of *Plasmodium yoelii nigeriensis* infection on the feeding persistence of *Anopheles stephensi* Liston throughout the sporogonic cycle. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 1999;266:1729–33.
- 11. Koella JC, Sørensen FL, Anderson RA. The malaria parasite, *Plasmodium falciparum*, increases the frequency of multiple feeding of its mosquito vector, *Anopheles gambiae*. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 1998;265:763–8.
- Cator LJ, George J, Blanford S, Murdock CC, Baker TC, Read AF, et al. "Manipulation" without the parasite: Altered feeding behaviour of mosquitoes is not dependent on infection with malaria parasites. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2013;280:20130711.
- Lacroix R, Mukabana WR, Gouagna LC, Koella JC. Malaria infection increases attractiveness of humans to mosquitoes. PLoS Biol. 2005;3:1590–3.
- Cornet S, Nicot A, Rivero A, Gandon S. Malaria infection increases bird attractiveness to uninfected mosquitoes. Ecol Lett. 2013;16:323–9.
- Busula AO, Verhulst NO, Bousema T, Takken W, de Boer JG. Mechanisms of *Plasmodium*-enhanced attraction of mosquito vectors. Trends Parasitol. 2017;33:961–73.
- Robinson A, Busula AO, Voets MA, Beshir KB, Caulfield JC, Powers SJ, et al. *Plasmodium*-associated changes in human odor attract mosquitoes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2018;115:E4209–18.
- 17. de Moraes CM, Stanczyk NM, Betz HS, Pulido H, Sim DG, Read AF, et al. Malaria-induced changes in host odors enhance mosquito attraction. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2014;111:11079–84.
- Díez-Fernández A, Martínez-de la Puente J, Gangoso L, López P, Soriguer R, Martín J, et al. Mosquitoes are attracted by the odour of *Plasmodium*infected birds. Int J Parasitol. 2020;50:569–75.
- Day JF, Ebert KM, Edman JD. Feeding patterns of mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) simultaneously exposed to malarious and healthy mice, including a method for separating blood meals from conspecific hosts. J Med Entomol. 1983;20:120–7.
- Ferguson HM, Rivero A, Read AF. The influence of malaria parasite genetic diversity and anaemia on mosquito feeding and fecundity. Parasitology. 2003;127:9–19.

- Batista EPA, Costa EFM, Silva AA. Anopheles darlingi (Diptera: Culicidae) displays increased attractiveness to infected individuals with *Plasmodium* vivax gametocytes. Parasit Vectors. 2014;7:2–5.
- Busula AO, Bousema T, Mweresa CK, Masiga D, Logan JG, Sauerwein RW, et al. Gametocytemia and attractiveness of *Plasmodium falciparum*infected Kenyan children to *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes. J Infect Dis. 2017;216:291–5.
- Yan J, Martínez-de la Puente J, Gangoso L, Gutiérrez-López R, Soriguer R, Figuerola J. Avian malaria infection intensity influences mosquito feeding patterns. Int J Parasitol. 2018;48:257–64.
- Freier JE, Friedman S. Effect of host infection with *Plasmodium gallina-ceum* on the reproductive capacity of *Aedes aegypti*. J Invertebr Pathol. 1976;28:161–6.
- Burkot TR, Graves PM, Narara A, Paru R, Garner P. Human host selection by anophelines: no evidence for preferential selection of malaria or microfilariae-infected individuals in a hyperendemic area. Parasitology. 1989;98:337–42.
- Lalubin F, Bize P, van Rooyen J, Christe P, Glaizot O. Potential evidence of parasite avoidance in an avian malarial vector. Anim Behav. 2012;84:539–45.
- de Boer JG, Robinson A, Powers SJ, Burgers SLGE, Caulfield JC, Birkett MA, et al. Odours of *Plasmodium falciparum*-infected participants influence mosquito-host interactions. Sci Rep. 2017;7:1–9.
- Gutiérrez-López R, Martínez-De La Puente J, Gangoso L, Soriguer R, Figuerola J. Effects of host sex, body mass and infection by avian *Plasmodium* on the biting rate of two mosquito species with different feeding preferences. Parasites Vectors. 2019;12:1–10.
- Cozzarolo CS, Glaizot O, Christe P, Pigeault R. Enhanced attraction of arthropod vectors to infected vertebrates: a review of empirical evidence. Front Ecol Evol. 2020;8:568140.
- Valkiūnas G. Avian malaria parasites and other Haemosporidia. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press; 2005.
- Pigeault R, Vézilier J, Cornet S, Zélé F, Nicot A, Perret P, et al. Avian malaria : a new lease of life for an old experimental model to study the evolutionary ecology of Plasmodium. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci. 2015;370:20140300.
- Vézilier J, Nicot A, Gandon S, Rivero A. *Plasmodium* infection decreases fecundity and increases survival of mosquitoes. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2012;279:4033–41.
- Delhaye J, Aletti C, Glaizot O, Christe P. Exposure of the mosquito vector Culex pipiens to the malaria parasite Plasmodium relictum: Effect of infected blood intake on immune and antioxidant defences, fecundity and survival. Parasit Vectors. 2016;9:1–12.
- Lalubin F, Delédevant A, Glaizot O, Christe P. Natural malaria infection reduces starvation resistance of nutritionally stressed mosquitoes. J Anim Ecol. 2014;83:850–7.
- Pigeault R, Villa M. Long-term pathogenic response to *Plasmodium relic*tum infection in *Culex pipiens* mosquito. PLoS ONE. 2018;13:1–9.
- Gutiérrez-López R, Martínez-de la Puente J, Gangoso L, Yan J, Soriguer R, Figuerola J. Experimental reduction of host *Plasmodium* infection load affects mosquito survival. Sci Rep. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-019-45143-w.
- Darbro JM, Dhondt AA, Vermeylen FM, Harrington LC. Mycoplasma gallisepticum infection in house finches (Carpodacus mexicanus) affects mosquito blood feeding patterns. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2007;77:488–94.
- Glaizot O, Fumagalli L, Iritano K, Lalubin F, Van Rooyen J, Christe P. High prevalence and lineage diversity of avian malaria in wild populations of great tits (*Parus major*) and mosquitoes (*Culex pipiens*). PLoS ONE. 2012;7:e34964.
- Lalubin F, Delédevant A, Glaizot O, Christe P. Temporal changes in mosquito abundance (*Culex pipiens*), avian malaria prevalence and lineage composition. Parasit Vectors. 2013;6:307.
- Bensch S, Hellgren O, Pérez-Tris J. MalAvi: a public database of malaria parasites and related haemosporidians in avian hosts based on mitochondrial cytochrome b lineages. Mol Ecol Resour. 2009;9:1353–8.
- Vézilier J, Nicot A, Gandon S, Rivero A. Insecticide resistance and malaria transmission: Infection rate and oocyst burden in *Culex pipiens* mosquitoes infected with *Plasmodium relictum*. Malar J. 2010;9:1–11.
- Hellgren O, Waldenström J, Bensch S. A new PCR assay for simultaneous studies of *Leucocytozoon, Plasmodium*, and *Haemoproteus* from avian blood. J Parasitol. 2004;90:797–802.

- Melo M, Hansson B. Identification of 15 polymorphic microsatellite loci in the Príncipe seedeater (*Serinus rufobrunneus*) and assessment of their utility in nine other *Serinus* species (Fringillidae, Aves). Mol Ecol Notes. 2006;6:1266–8.
- 44. Christe P, Glaizot O, Strepparava N, Devevey G, Fumagalli L. Twofold cost of reproduction: an increase in parental effort leads to higher malarial parasitaemia and to a decrease in resistance to oxidative stress. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2012;279:1142–9.
- Kalinowski ST, Taper ML, Marshall TC. Revising how the computer program CERVUS accommodates genotyping error increases success in paternity assignment. Mol Ecol. 2007;16:1099–106.
- 46. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Found Stat Comput Vienna, Austria URL http://wwwR-project.org/. R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2014.
- Gillies MT. The role of carbon dioxide in host-finding by mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae): a review. Bull Entomol Res. 1980;70:525–32.
- Hayworth AM, van Riper IIIC, Weathers WW. Effects of *Plasmodium* relictum on the metabolic rate and body temperature in canaries (*Serinus* canarius). J Parasitol. 1987;73:850–3.
- Fikrig K, Harrington LC. Understanding and interpreting mosquito blood feeding studies: the case of *Aedes albopictus*. Trends Parasitol. 2021;37:959–75.
- 50. Delhaye J, Jenkins T, Glaizot O, Christe P. Avian malaria and bird humoral immune response. Malar J. 2018;17:1–7.
- Maraci Ö, Engel K, Caspers BA. Olfactory communication via microbiota: what is known in birds? Genes. 2018;9:387.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

