

Probability-based maintenance modeling and planning for reinforced concrete assets subjected to chloride ingress

Quynh Truong, Charbel-Pierre El Soueidy, Yue Li, Emilio Bastidas-Arteaga

To cite this version:

Quynh Truong, Charbel-Pierre El Soueidy, Yue Li, Emilio Bastidas-Arteaga. Probability-based maintenance modeling and planning for reinforced concrete assets subjected to chloride ingress. Journal of Building Engineering, 2022, 54, pp.104675. 10.1016/j.jobe.2022.104675. hal-03693118

HAL Id: hal-03693118 <https://hal.science/hal-03693118v1>

Submitted on 10 Jun 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Probability-based Maintenance Modeling and Planning for Reinforced Concrete Assets Subjected to Chloride Ingress 4 Quynh Chau Truong^{1,2}, Charbel-Pierre El Soueidy¹, Yue Li³, Emilio Bastidas-Arteaga^{4,*}, 6 ¹ Institute for Research in Civil and Mechanical Engineering (GeM - UMR 6183), Université de Nantes, Nantes, France ² Faculty of Project Management, The University of Danang – University of Science and Technology, Danang, Viet Nam 10³ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio 44106, USA ⁴ [Laboratory of Engineering Sciences for the Environment \(LaSIE -](https://lasie.univ-larochelle.fr/?lang=fr) UMR CNRS 7356), La Rochelle University, La Rochelle, France *Corresponding author : Emilio Bastidas-Arteaga 17 Phone : +33 (0) 5 86 56 22 32 E-mail addresses and ORCID: [quynh-chau.truong@etu.univ-nantes.fr,](mailto:quynh-chau.truong@etu.univ-nantes.fr) ORCID: 0000-0002-6600-7147 (Q.C Truong), charbel@univ-nantes.fr (C.P. El Soueidy), [yxl1566@case.edu,](mailto:yxl1566@case.edu) ORCID: 0000-0002-2654-1580 (Y. Li) [ebastida@univ-lr.fr,](mailto:ebastida@univ-lr.fr) ORCID: 0000-0002-7370-5218 (E Bastidas-Arteaga) **Submitted to Journal of Building Engineering (May 2022)**

Abstract

 Chloride ingress into concrete is the primary cause of steel corrosion that leads to the deterioration of reinforced concrete (RC) assets and eventual reduction of its service life. The maintenance of RC buildings and structures has become a major concern, and thus the development of an effective maintenance plan is required. This study focuses on deterministic and probabilistic analysis of service life (or repair application time) for RC assets repaired by cover rebuilding techniques in extreme marine environments exposed to chloride attack. A maintenance model is developed, based on the mechanism of chloride transport in repaired concrete elements, that simulates different cover rebuilding methods and strategies. Computationally, the probability-based maintenance model combines the Latin Hypercube technique with finite element and finite difference methods. Probabilistic data for five governing random variables is considered, including diffusion coefficient, surface chloride concentration, concrete cover depth, concrete replacement depth, and critical chloride value for corrosion initiation. Two maintenance strategies are introduced, which can be categorized as corrective and preventive repair strategies. The deterministic study shows that a deeper repair depth leads to fewer repairs and larger repair intervals. The results of the probabilistic assessment reveal that a preventive repair model with regularly scheduled repair intervals efficiently decreases corrosion initiation risks. It was also demonstrated how shorter repair intervals and deeper depths of concrete repair can achieve an acceptable probability of corrosion initiation.

 Key words: chloride transport; maintenance model; probabilistic analysis; cover rebuilding; corrective repair; preventive repair.

1. Introduction

 Corrosion of steel in concrete due to chloride ingress is the primary cause of RC deterioration and eventual reduction of assets' service life [1]. The high alkalinity of the cement paste surrounding the reinforcing steel creates a reactively passive state; however, chloride ingress breaks down the passive film on the steel bars and initiates corrosion [2,3]. The process of corrosion can be divided into two main stages: the initiation period and the propagation period. Corrosion initiation occurs when chloride content diffuses through concrete until reaching a threshold value at the depth of rebar cover which triggers steel corrosion. The propagation period follows corrosion initiation and is defined as the period from initiation to critical steel destruction. This propagation period is relatively short compared to the first stage [4,5]. Therefore, the period from a structure's original condition to the onset of corrosion is critical and defines the structure's service life [2].

 High chloride environments are known to accelerate corrosion deterioration and may reduce structure service life from an expected range of 50-100 years to a range of 10-30 years. Structure maintenance and, when deemed necessary, repair can extend structure serviceability and maintain its safety and, therefore, its lifespan [6,7]. Indeed, maintenance and repair operations should be implemented as soon as corrosion begins, mostly by removing the chloride-polluted concrete and replacing it with the application of cement-based repair mortars or new concrete [8]. This repair technique is called patch repair if it is applied locally; it could be also generalized to all the concrete surface when the cover is redone. This "cover rebuilding" is among the most common repair measures used in practice. In this study, the maintenance strategy of applying periodic cover rebuilding is considered, with the use of hydro demolition solution without damaging the reinforcing bars. These maintenance activities incur costs and concrete waste, thus there should be balanced to ensure the long service life of structures and minimize costs and environmental impacts. Therefore, developing a robust model for predicting the service life of corroding RC structures, as well as effective strategies for periodic inspection and repair, plays an important role in achieving the durability goal and ensuring the continued service for repaired structures [6,9].

 Recent studies have focused on the modelling of chloride transport in concrete structure elements after repair, and the methods to predict repair application times during the service life of a structure. For instance, Eldho et al. [10] studied the performance of the patch repair method and showed that the electrochemical consequences of the method may decrease the effectiveness of patch repairs. More specifically, according to Rahimi et al. [11], the diffusion mechanism of chloride ions in repaired concrete is a combination of chloride penetration from the concrete surface exposed to the environment and distribution of chloride ions that remain in the original and aged concrete. It was further shown that the resistivities of the patch and the original concrete, which can be used as an

 indicator of material quality, are among the most significant factors that influence the efficiency of maintenance [12]. Soleimani et al. [12] recommended to choose patches with similar properties to avoid mechanical incompatibility problems at the interface between the patch and the original concrete. Concrete replacement depth is also a critical factor that reduces or delays the corrosion in repaired concrete structures by limiting the amount of chloride content at the rebar cover [13,14]. Several models have been proposed to determine the time of corrosion initiation in RC structures with multiple repairs throughout its service life. Both deterministic and probabilistic analysis have been performed, taking into account some main performance factors such as the properties of the patch, the replacement depth, or the repair time interval [13–15]. A deterministic study was implemented by Song et al. [15] to predict the service life of repaired concrete structures under chloride environment. Their work considered time dependency of both surface chloride concentration and diffusion coefficient. However, due to the complexity of the chloride-induced corrosion mechanism in reinforced concrete after one or several repairs, research on this topic with a comprehensive probabilistic approach is still limited. For instance, Petcherdchoo's study [14] presented a probability- based sensitivity analysis of service life (the time to repair) of chloride-attacked concrete structures, which was solved by the combination of the Crank-Nicolson-based finite difference approach and the Latin Hypercube sampling technique. However, this methodology did not include some factors in chloride transport, such as the weather conditions (temperature and humidity), the chloride binding capacity, and time-dependency of the chloride diffusion coefficient. Moreover, the cover replacement depth, which is intrinsically random due to the uncertainty in repair quality control, was considered deterministic.

 Recently, Monte-Carlo Simulation (MCS) and the first-order reliability method (FORM) have been applied in probabilistic assessment of the durability of RC structures [16,17]. Notably, most of the studies using reliability-based analysis such as MCS, FORM, or SORM (second-order reliability method) were performed on simplified models of chloride ingress using analytical solutions based on Fick's laws of diffusion [18,19]. However, it is widely shown that the FORM and SORM may be inaccurate for probabilistic problems with nonlinear limit state functions, while the computational cost of MCS can be prohibitively high, especially when involved with time-consuming finite element (FE) analysis. Compared to the crude MCS, Latin Hypercube Sampling (LHS) adequately covers of the domain of variations of the random variables using a stratified sampling strategy [5].

 This research proposes a robust probability-based model of service life prediction for corroding RC structures with cover rebuilding repair. The study focuses on the assessment of corrosion initiation risk due to one-dimensional chloride ingress (e.g., concrete slab) under marine environments, such as atmospheric and submerged zones. First, an efficient chloride ingress model is proposed, considering the diffusion process as the main governing mechanism. The constitutive nonlinear partial differential equations are solved by coupling finite element and finite difference methods. The model considers the influence of general conditions of weather, chloride binding capacity, decrease of chloride diffusivity with concrete age, and the evolution of chloride concentration with time. A maintenance model is then developed based on the mechanism of chloride transport in repaired concrete elements that simulates different strategies of cover rebuilding method. The deterministic study considers the expected number of repairs, depth of replacement concrete, and repair interval (time between two repairs) to evaluate the effectiveness of the maintenance plan. A numerical solution developed in FreeFem++ [20] is presented. Next, a probabilistic lifetime assessment of repaired RC structures is performed, including both corrective and preventive repair models. The corrective strategy is that repair is carried out when the chloride content at the rebar cover reaches a specific critical chloride value inducing corrosion initiation. Meanwhile, the preventive approach considers the application of pre-scheduled repair intervals for structures as the condition to perform a repair. Because of its efficiency, LHS is used for probabilistic analysis of the maintenance model of corrosion initiation and repair timings for corroded RC structures. Model development considers and assigns probabilistic values to uncertain parameters, including surface chloride concentration, diffusion coefficient with time-variant nature, material properties, concrete cover, critical chloride value and cover replacement depth (for repaired structures). The findings of this paper are intended to serve as an introductory or basic guidelines for inspection and maintenance planning to ensure long-term structural serviceability.

 The work starts with the description of chloride ingress modeling before and after repair (Section 2). After that, a deterministic example is illustrated in Section 3. Section 4 deals with the probabilistic methodology used for the maintenance model, followed by an illustrated examples and some discussions (Section 5).

2. Chloride ingress modeling including repair

2.1 Chloride ingress model

 The modeling of chloride transport into reinforced concrete is based on whether exposure conditions are saturated or unsaturated. In saturated conditions, Fick's second law was used to simulate chloride diffusion in concrete and considered a sufficient model [2,21]. The analytical solution for this model is based on an error function, which is valid only under the assumptions that RC structures are saturated, and diffusivity and surface chloride concentration are time-invariant.

 In comparison, under unsaturated conditions, chloride transport in concrete is a complex interaction between physical and chemical processes, and thus the diffusion and convection phenomena have been accounted for to study this mechanism [5,22–25]. A comprehensive model of chloride ingress was proposed by Bastidas-Arteaga et al. [26], considering the interaction of three phenomena: chloride transport, moisture transport and heat transfer. The model solves the necessary nonlinear and partial differential equations by coupling finite element and finite difference methods. Besides the influence of weather conditions, the effect of chloride binding, concrete aging, concrete mix parameters and the time-dependency of apparent diffusion coefficient as well as the chloride content of the exposed surface were considered.

 Therefore, the chloride transport modeling could be performed under saturated or unsaturated exposure conditions. In this paper, a time dependent chloride transport model is developed with the assumptions of constant temperature, humidity, and surface chloride concentration. With these assumptions, this model can be applied for marine structures exposed to atmospheric or submerged zones, where diffusion is the main driving force to transport chloride ions from the surrounding environment into concrete and the capillary suction is not considered [27,28]. In fact, this approach allows us to develop a maintenance model with multiple cover rebuilding repairs with reduced technical difficulty and calculation time, particularly for performing probabilistic analysis.

171 Thus, according to our objective, the choice is using a simplified one-dimensional model of the 172 diffusion system solved by coupling finite element and finite difference methods. The main governing 173 equation for chloride transport in concrete is presented below:

$$
174 \quad \frac{\partial c_{fc}}{\partial t} = D^* \left(\frac{\partial^2 c_{fc}}{\partial x^2} \right) \tag{1}
$$

175 where x (m) is the distance from the exposure surface; C_{fc} (kg/m³ of concrete) is the chloride 176 concentration at position *x* at the exposure time *t* (s), $D^*(m^2/s)$ represents the apparent chloride 177 diffusion coefficients (m^2/s) . To evaluate corrosion initiation risk, the chloride concentration, C_{fc} is 178 calculated at the concrete cover depth and compare with the critical chloride value (C_{crit}) . Eq. (1) is 179 solved by combining finite or semi-infinite boundary conditions, where the boundary conditions or the 180 flux of chlorides crossing the concrete surface J_c^s (kg/(m².s), is expressed as:

$$
181 \t J_c^s = B_c (C_{fc}^s - C_{evn}) \t(2)
$$

182 where B_c (m/s) is the surface chloride transfer coefficient, C_{fc}^s (kg/m³) is the value of chloride content 183 at the concrete exposed surface, and C_{evn} (kg/m³) is the chloride concentration in the surrounding 184 environment. D^* in Eq. (1) is estimated as:

$$
185 \t D^* = \frac{D_c}{1 + \left(\frac{1}{we}\right)\left(\frac{\partial c_{bc}}{\partial c_{fc}}\right)}\tag{3}
$$

186 where w_e is the evaporable water content. $\partial C_{bc}/\partial C_{fc}$ is the binding capacity of the concrete which is 187 driven by the binding isotherm. The Langmuir-type relationship is considered in [29–31]:

$$
188 \tC_{bc} = \frac{\alpha c_{fc}}{1 + \beta c_{fc}} \t\t(4)
$$

189 where C_{fc} and C_{bc} are the free and bound chlorides, and α and β are binding constant obtained 190 experimentally. D_c in eq. (3) is the time-dependent chloride diffusion coefficient [23,24] defined as:

191
$$
D_c = D_{ref} f_1 f_2(t) f_3
$$
 (5)

192 where D_{ref} is a diffusion coefficient determined at standard conditions. f_1 is a factor to account for 193 temperature effects:

$$
194 \qquad f_1 = \exp\left[\frac{U_c}{R}\left(\frac{1}{T_{ref}} - \frac{1}{T}\right)\right] \tag{6}
$$

195 where T is the temperature inside the concrete that depends on external environmental conditions, 196 T_{ref} is the reference temperature at which D_{ref} is determined, U_c is the activation energy of the 197 chloride diffusion process, and R is the gas constant. $f_2(t)$ considers concrete aging:

198
$$
f_2(t) = \left(\frac{t_{ref}}{t}\right)^{m_c}
$$
 (7)

199 where m_c is the aging factor and t_{ref} is the time at which D_{ref} is measured. f_3 accounts for 200 variations in relative humidity:

201
$$
f_3 = \left[1 + \frac{(1-h)^4}{(1-h_c)^4}\right]^{-1}
$$
 (8)

202 where h is the relative humidity inside the concrete that depends on external environmental conditions, 203 and h_c is the relative humidity at which D_c drops halfway between its maximum and minimum values. 204 Temperature (*f1*) and humidity (*f3*) are considered constant in this model. Previous studies [23,24,29– 205 35] proposed deterministic data to present those parameters, such as B_c , w_e , α , β , D_{ref} , U_c , R , T_c 206 *m_c*, t_{ref} , and h_c .

207 *2.2 Chloride ingress model considering the repair*

208 Different approaches for maintaining corroding RC structures have already been considered previously

209 [6,9,36]. In this study, the focus is on cover concrete replacement. This repair involves removing the

210 chloride-polluted concrete cover and replacing it with a new cement-based material using several

211 techniques (with formed concrete, wet shotcrete, dry shotcrete, or manual repair).

212 Modeling chloride penetration in concrete after repair is challenging because of the interaction of the 213 two main sources of chloride ions: chloride concentration in the surrounding environment and residual chloride ions in the remaining concrete. Previous studies concluded that both macrocell corrosion (between two materials) and microcell corrosion (within a homogeneous material) may occur at the same time in repaired concrete [12,37]. This macrocell corrosion is caused by the differences in existing and new concrete causing: 1) an electrochemical potential difference, and 2) inhomogeneity of chloride concentration in the materials and then 3) the redistribution of chloride ions in the aged concrete to the new concrete after repair. These consequences were studied in existing experimental and in situ observations [37,38]. Also, both macrocell and microcell corrosions were observed in existing numerical models for the simulation of chloride transport in repaired structures [11,13,15].

 In this paper, a detailed description of the chloride transport in repaired RC structures as well as the numerical approach considering a spatially domain-dependent and time-dependent diffusion coefficient in two material layers are illustrated in Figure 1. Figure (1a) illustrates the chloride penetration immediately before repair. At this stage, the chloride concentration abutting the concrete 226 surface is the main source of chloride ions. The initial chloride content is assumed equal to 0, $(C_{fc})_0$ = 227 0 for the entirety of concrete and $D^*(t) = D_0^*(t)$ (Eq. (1)) is defined as the diffusion coefficient of the original concrete.

Figure 1. (a) Chloride profile immediately before repair, (b) Chloride profile immediately after repair at $t = t_i$, (c) 234
Chloride profile after repair at $t = t_i$ ($t_i > t_i$) Chloride profile after repair at $t = t_i$ ($t_i > t_i$)

Figure (1b) shows the chloride profile immediately after cover rebuilding repair. At repair time t_i , the 236 chloride-contaminated concrete cover is removed to the depth X_p . X_p is defined as repaired depth or 237 thickness of replaced concrete, which is not necessarily the same depth as concrete cover, X_c . In this 238 . case $X_p > X_c$. Consequently, the amount of chloride ions between the concrete surface and the repair 239 depth (domain 1 in Figure (1b)) is also removed, and a chloride-free, cement-based repair material is 240 applied as a repair layer. Only this repaired concrete (domain 1) is exposed to the surface chloride 241 concentration in the environment and will be penetrated first before reaching the original concrete 242 (domain 2)*.* The main diffusion mechanism of chloride penetration in repaired material at this stage 243 can also be modelled with Eqs. (1-8)*,* where the boundary condition is still the flux of chlorides 244 crossing the concrete surface, but the initial conditions in domains 1 and 2, which are the repaired 245 concrete and the original concrete respectively, will be different. The initial chloride content is reset to 246 zero in the repaired layer immediately after repair, $(C_{fc})_{01} = 0$ and the diffusion coefficient of the 247 new concrete is updated as $D_{rep}^*(t)$. All parameters in Eqs. (1-8) are also updated if a new material is 248 used to repair. The new initial chloride content in the original concrete section, $(C_{fc})_{02}$, is the residual 249 chloride ions remaining in the aged concrete, whereas $D_0^*(t)$ and the initial model parameter are still 250 used for modeling purposes in domain 2 (Figure (1b)).

 After the repair, there are three principal stages of chloride distribution as shown in Figure (1c). Firstly, the chloride ions remaining in the aged concrete will be redistributed in the aged concrete layer itself. Secondly, these residual chlorides will also diffuse across the transition zone and into the new, chloride free concrete layer which provides low chloride diffusion resistance immediately following repair. Due to the difference of the diffusion coefficients in the aged concrete and the new repaired material, the 256 problem will involve a spatially domain-dependent diffusion coefficient after repair $D_{ar}^{*}(x, t)$:

257
$$
D_{ar}^{*}(x,t) = \begin{cases} D_{rep}^{*}(t) & \text{if } 0 < x < X_p \text{ (Domain 1)} \\ D_0^{*}(t) & \text{if } x \ge X_p \text{ (Domain 2)} \end{cases}
$$
(9)

258 Consequently, the Partial differential equation (PDE) used in this stage based on the Fick's diffusion 259 law can be written as:

$$
260 \qquad \frac{\partial c_{fc}}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \ D_{ar}^*(x, t) \frac{\partial c_{fc}}{\partial x} \tag{10}
$$

261 Thirdly, at time t_i (with $t_i > t_i$) the resulting diffusion of chloride ions in the original and repaired concrete is a combination of chloride ingress from both the exposed concrete surface and the chloride redistribution inside the concrete*.* The problem of solving the PDE occurs again and can be further complicated by multiple repairs in the same location during the RC structure service life.

265 These processes are implemented and solved for a 2-layer concrete system using the FreeFEM++ 266 software. The technique called domain decomposition for interfacial discontinuities is adopted to solve 267 the problem and compute the residual chloride content in the remaining concrete layer immediately

 after repair, which is an important step for calculating chloride penetration in repaired structures. Specifically, the whole original concrete is split into two interconnected domains, which are the repaired concrete layer and the old concrete layer. After each repair, initial conditions are set for each domain, the connection between layers is established at the interface, and a new RC structure service life is calculated. The efficiency of the FreeFEM++ allows developing maintenance models for several different cover rebuilding method strategies. This will be presented in the deterministic example in the next section.

3. Illustrative example of deterministic maintenance model

3.1 Problem description

 The maintenance model allows introducing several repair strategies to ensure the durability of the structure. A deterministic analysis of chloride ingress in repairing concrete structures in extreme marine environments by considering time-dependent variation of diffusion coefficient, chloride binding, average temperature and humidity, and constant surface chloride concentration, is illustrated in this section. The deterministic analysis implements the approach to modeling the stages of chloride diffusion in repaired concrete described in Section 2 and provides a baseline comparison of model responses to different repair strategies. First, the following assumptions are made:

284 • Chloride flow is a one-dimensional process (e.g., concrete slab). 285 • The average temperature $T = 15^{\circ}\text{C}$; the average humidity $h = 0.7$. The structure is exposed to an extreme marine environment. The chloride surface 287 concentration is time-invariant at the value of $C_s = 6 \text{ kg/m}^3$. 288 • The concrete contains 400 kg/m³ of Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) with 8% C3A and a water/cement ratio of 0.5. 290 • The depth of concrete cover X_c is 50 mm. 291 • The service life of the structure is 70 years. Time of corrosion initiation is determined when 292 chloride content reaches the critical chloride value $C_{crit} = 2$ kg/m³ (0.5% of cement mass) at the concrete cover depth. The quality and properties of repair material are the same as original concrete. Values selected for the model parameters in the example are presented in Table 1.

301

- 302
- 303
- 304

306 *3.2 Maintenance model and results*

 Chloride penetration is now modeled considering that concrete repair occurs during service life of the structure. Chloride concentration is again assessed at the cover depth. A maintenance plan may consider several repair factors including expected number of repair cycles, concrete replacement depth and repair time interval. Two approaches for maintaining concrete structures subjected to chloride ingress are suggested, including the corrective repair strategy and scheduled repair interval strategy.

312 *3.2.1 Corrective repair strategy*

313 The corrective repair strategy removes a chloride-contaminated concrete layer each time the chloride 314 concentration at the cover thickness reaches a critical value (C_{crit}) and replaces it with a similar 315 material. In the following example, this maintenance model is used to calculate these time intervals 316 between repairs following the corrective repair strategy. The calculation further demonstrates how the 317 depth of each repair affects the calculated repair intervals. Two concrete repair depths (X_{p1}, X_{p2}) are 318 chosen; the first repair depth, X_{p1} , is equal to the existing cover depth $(X_{p1} = X_c = 50$ mm), and the 319 second repair depth, X_{p2} , is 60 mm ($X_{p2} = 60$ mm), which is deeper than the existing concrete cover 320 layer. The replacement material is assumed to have an initial chloride concentration of 0 kg/m³ at the 321 time of each repair. Although the repaired concrete is assumed to be the same quality as the old 322 concrete, the diffusion coefficient in each concrete layer is different due to the aging factor $f_2(t)$. The

- 323 diffusion coefficient in the original concrete, D_0^* , decreases as concrete aging, while D_{ren}^* assumes its initial value when the material is put into service. Fig. s (2a, 2b, and 2c) illustrate the chloride 325 diffusion versus depth in a concrete structure before and after repair with X_{p1} and X_{p2} .
- 326 Figure 2a shows the progress of chloride penetration until C_{crit} is reached in year 24. Concrete repair is assumed to occur in that year. In Figures 2b and 2c, the residual chloride concentration after repair is shown in year 24 (after repair) curve and the progress of concrete penetration after repair is shown from year 25-40. In year 25, chloride penetration in the new concrete has two sources: the concrete surface exposed to the environment and, after repair, the residual chloride in the aged concrete layer. After repair, residual chloride in the aged concrete redistributes between the abutting layers until reaching an equilibrium between years 30-35. In the following years, only environmental chloride concentration contributes to chloride penetration.

Figure 2. Chloride profiles: (a) before repair, (b) after repair with X_{p1} , (c) after repair with X_{p2}

 These simulation results are physically compatible with the mechanism of chloride transport in repaired concrete structures analyzed in Section 2.2, including chloride diffusion from two sources in the after-repair condition. The example demonstrates that the application of cover rebuilding reduces the critical chloride concentration at the reinforcement depth and thereby delay corrosion initiating 342 from concentration above C_{crit} .

 The two repair depths have similar chloride progressions after repair, but chloride concentrations after 344 the deeper concrete repair, $(X_{p2} = 60 \text{ mm})$, are consistently lower than those with the shallower 345 concrete repair, $(X_{p1} = 50 \text{ mm})$. That is because the deeper replacement depth slows the chloride redistribution from the aged concrete to the critical depth due to lesser chloride ions remaining in the old concrete and longer diffusion distance. Based on the results, a second repair would be recommended around year 40 for the shallower repair and year 43 for a deeper repair. These second 349 repairs and additional repairs each time C_{crit} is reached were also simulated to observe the repair schedule under the corrective repair strategy with different repair depths. The results for 70 years are shown in Figure 3.

 It is worth noting the difference in chloride redistribution after concrete repair between depths X_{p1} and X_{p2} . The redistribution for X_{p1} is more rapid immediately after repair compared to that for X_{p2} , causing a sudden increase in chloride concentration in the new concrete at critical depth, after which point redistribution occurs more gradually.

Figure 3. Time-dependent evolution chloride concentration at the cover depth without and with repair

358 The repair application time and the number of repairs of X_{p1} and X_{p2} for the considered structural 359 lifetime are presented in Table 2. While the repair strategy of X_{p1} is triggered four times in 70 years to 360 mitigate the risk of corrosion initiation, the concrete structure with X_{22} requires only three repairs in its lifespan. It is observed that a deeper repair depth leads to a fewer number of repairs and so that a 60mm repair depth may be preferred from certain economic and environmental perspectives. Conversely, the cost and the amount of new concrete placed for a single repair at a deeper depth is higher. Economic and environmental comparison of the repair strategies is beyond the scope of this paper.

Table 2. Number of repairs for two different repair depths

3.2.2 Scheduled repair interval strategy.

 The second approach for a maintenance model schedules structure repairs at regular intervals. Repairs are therefore carried out at pre-scheduled times. It is necessary to evaluate by modeling, testing, or expert advice the risk of corrosion occurring at those times. The first repair strategy considered in this 371 section is the removal and replacement of $X_n = 50$ mm of surface concrete at 15- and 18-year intervals, as shown in Figures 4a, b.

 Figure 4. Time-dependent evolution of the chloride concentration at three depths (*x* = 30, 50 and 70 mm) with 375 $X_p = 50$ mm and (a) 15-year repair interval, or (b) 18-year repair interval

 All cases present the evolution of the chloride content at different depths in a RC structure, including 377 at $x = 30$ mm, 50 mm, and 70 mm. At the position $x = 30$ mm, the chloride concentration increases rapidly because it is closer to the concrete surface than the rest of the survey points. Immediately after repair, the entire cover layer of concrete with the chloride contamination will be removed, thus obviously the chloride content at the 30 mm and 50 mm depths will decrease to zero, and then rapidly increase again when x decreases. The chloride level at the 70 mm depth falls quickly after repair due to the new concrete layer's chloride absorption, but not to zero level, and then progressively increases again. It indicates that the existing chloride content in the unrepaired concrete will flow in two directions: away and toward the concrete surfaces.

 To assess the usability of the repair strategy, the chloride concentration is assessed at the cover depth 386 of 50 mm and compared with a threshold chloride content of 2 kg/m³ (as seen on the profile $x = 50$ mm

 in Figures 4a, b). It is observed that the chloride concentration by the repair strategy of the 50 mm replacement depth and the 15-year maintenance interval satisfy the requirement of reducing corrosion

389 initiation risks for multiple repairs (Figure 4a). Meanwhile, with $X_p = 50$ mm but the 18-year maintenance interval, this repair plan is not suitable in preventing the risk of corrosion initiation because the chloride concentration exceeds the critical value before the third repair action is implemented (see Figure 4b), which may affect the durability issue in RC structures.

393 Next, a deeper repair depth, $X_p = 60$ mm, is considered, with repair intervals of 15 and 18 years. Figures 5a and 5b show that when considering the critical chloride concentration of 2 kg/m³ at the 395 cover depth (as seen on the profile $x = 50$ mm in Figures 5a, b), both repair intervals are appropriate for corrosive RC structures with multiple repairs. In which case the less frequent repair interval of 18 years may be preferred from an economic aspect and environmental point of view as it has less expected number of repairs. Hence, a comprehensive maintenance strategy should evaluate both repair intervals and replacement depths, balancing their costs, environmental impacts, and other factors, to achieve an optimal strategy of protecting structures from corrosion. The deterministic example highlights how different repair depths affect the maintenance schedule, however the impact of concrete cover should not be underestimated because of its contribution in extending the time of corrosion initiation [39].

 Figure 5. Time-dependent evolution of the chloride concentration at three depths *(x* = 30, 50 and 70 mm) with 406 $X_p = 60$ mm and (a) 15-year repair interval, or (b) 18-year repair interval

4. Probability-based maintenance model for repairing concrete

structures

 The chosen data about material properties, environmental conditions, cover depth, replacement depth and other model parameters used in the deterministic maintenance model allows us to conveniently optimize the inspection and repair plan during the structure lifespan. However, this is not sufficient from an engineering point of view because some of the aforementioned parameters are random. Therefore, a probabilistic analysis should be performed to obtain a comprehensive estimation of chloride penetration in repairing concrete structures. This section aims to determine appropriate strategies in establishing maintenance plans to prolong the structure service life when considering uncertainties.

4.1 Methodology for probabilistic analysis

 Among the conventional methods used for probabilistic assessment, Monte Carlo Simulation (MCS) is commonly used due to its simple computer implementation [16,17,40]. However, for each random input variable in a model, this technique generates a random sample of N points, thus it is computationally expensive when dealing with uncertainty problems. The use of MCS is even more time-consuming when complex numerical models are involved, particularly in the iterative computation for predicting the time-dependent chloride transport in repairing RC structures with two different material layers. Hence, another sampling method called Latin Hypercube Sampling (LHS) 425 was developed to overcome those constraints. This sampling strategy disperses the sample points more uniformly among all possible values, thus reducing the number of samplings generated with satisfactory result. As a result, LHS ensures that the entire range of each random variable is completely covered. In a wide range of scenarios, LHS has been demonstrated to be more efficient than basic random sampling. Because of its popularity and stability, LHS has been frequently employed in the design of experiments and probabilistic analysis for evaluating the probability of failure [41,42]. Therefore, LHS is used in this paper to carry out probabilistic analysis in maintenance modeling.

 The computational strategy for the probability-based maintenance model will combine LHS with finite element and finite difference approaches. Figure 6 depicts the proposed approach with a flowchart. It is made up of two main loops. The external loop illustrates the probabilistic strategy of utilizing LHS to generate the required number of input random variables to estimate the probability of corrosion initiation; while the internal loop represents the numerical code in the FreeFEM++ used to model chloride transport.

 In the internal loop, the "repair criterion" is set command based on two main approaches, as presented in the deterministic example. The first approach is that repair is carried out when the chloride content at the rebar cover reaches a specific critical chloride value. Meanwhile, the second approach considers the application of pre-scheduled repair intervals for structures as the condition to perform a repair. These approaches allow us to compute the probability of corrosion initiation, which is one of the main criteria in reliability assessment for corroding RC structures. The calculation flowchart for chloride ingress after repair with time-dependent chloride diffusion is also presented. As seen from Figure 6, when the repair criterion is satisfied, the repair material properties will be considered, and initial conditions are set for each domain (new material layer and original, aged concrete) that take into account a spatially domain-dependent and time-dependent diffusion coefficients of two concrete

- layers, as mentioned in section 2.2. Accordingly, a new RC structure service life is predicted, this
- process is reiterated until the structure's life span is reached, then the expected number of repairs, *n*, is
- recorded.

Figure 6. Flow chart of probabilistic maintenance modeling

4.2. Probability of corrosion initiation

454 The time of corrosion initiation, T_{ini} , is defined as the instant at which the chloride concentration at the 455 cover thickness reaches a critical level, C_{crit} . The chloride concentration at which the passive layer on steel bars is broken down, leading to the start of steel's corrosion, is represented by this critical value. C_{crit} in this paper is assumed to be a random variable because of the uncertainty of concrete material properties. For RC structures repaired by cover rebuilding technique, the corrosion initiation time may occur on several occasions depending on the exposure conditions, lifetime assessment and number of repairs. It is determined by evaluating the time-dependent chloride concentration at the cover depth using the solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations presented in section 2. The cumulative 462 distribution function (CDF) of time to corrosion initiation, $F_{T_{ini}}(t)$, is defined as:

$$
F_{T_{ini}}(t) = \text{Prob}\left\{T_{ini} \le t\right\} = \int_{T_{ini} \le t} f(\underline{x}) d\underline{x} \tag{11}
$$

464 where \underline{x} is the vector of the random variables considered and $f(\underline{x})$ is the joint probability density 465 function of x . The limit state function becomes:

$$
g(\underline{x},t) = C_{fc}(\underline{x},t,X_c) - C_{crit}(\underline{x})
$$
\n(12)

467 where C_{fc} (\underline{x} , t , X_c) is the chloride concentration at the cover depth and the time *t*, X_c is the concrete 468 cover thickness. The probability of failure, p_f , is transformed into the probability of corrosion initiation in this case, and is calculated by integrating the joint probability function on the failure domain [43]:

$$
p_f = \int_{g(\underline{x},t) \le 0} f(\underline{x}) d\underline{x} \tag{13}
$$

4.3. Probabilistic data

 In the probabilistic assessment of this problem, there are two main sources of uncertainty to be considered, one related to the chloride diffusivity in concrete and the other related to assessing criteria. The probabilistic models of all considered random variables are shown in Table 3. In the first group 476 are considered the surface chloride concentration and the concrete diffusivity parameters $(D_{ref}, U_c,$ m_c) for original and repair materials. The surface chloride, C_s , which represents the degree of chloride attack, is uncertain due to the randomness of chloride content in surrounding environment. The uncertainty in concrete diffusivity parameters, which represent the chloride resistance of concrete material, exists due to different or random properties of concrete material properties (the w/c ratio, the concrete mix proportions, the type of cement, the type of curing). Although concrete structures have the same mix design, their material properties may differ due to different manufacturing processes, quality control and environments. For the second source of uncertainties, cover depth, replacement depth and critical chloride value are the three random variables considered herein. The variations in the cover depth and replacement depth are attributed to construction/repair methods and labor during execution. The uncertainty in the critical chloride value is due to its sensitivity to chemical properties of concrete components such as sand, gravel, and cement.

 Previous studies [11,14] have shown that cover rebuilding technique benefits from a replacement depth below cover depth. This is also implied according to the deterministic analysis in section 3. 490 Therefore, in the probabilistic assessment, the replacement depth, X_p , which is considered as a random 491 variable, is established to be deeper than the cover thickness. To solve this issue, X_r is appeared as the extra replacement depth behind the rebar cover. Depending on construction methods and quality 493 control during execution, X_r would be variant and follows a normal distribution with a mean value of 494 10mm and a coefficient of variation $[COV]_{Xr} = 0.1$. The total replacement depth X_p could be presented 495 **as** $X_p = X_c + X_r$.

Table 3: Probabilistic models of random variables

5. Illustrated example of probabilistic assessment

5.1 Problem description and basic assumptions

 The main goal for this example is a comprehensive assessment of the probability of corrosion initiation for repairing concrete structures by considering the uncertainties described in previous section. Two main approaches are adopted to determine repair conditions and application times, which are named "corrective" and "preventive" repair models. The intention of this section is to explore the results obtained from the probabilistic analysis so that a reasonable maintenance plan can be achieved. The problem description and necessary assumptions are similar with the deterministic example. For the probabilistic study, samples for each random variable are generated based on the Latin Hypercube sampling technique, and the random variables are assumed to be statistically independent. This scenario would overestimate the deterioration process and probability of initiating corrosion. However, actual data is needed to assess correlations between the parameters listed in Table 3 and therefore improve the performance of the maintenance model. The remaining constant parameters used in the maintenance model are presented in Table 1. For the sake of simplicity, it is assumed that the same material is used for construction and repair in all cases.

5.2 Corrective Repair Model – Results and Discussion

 The definition of the corrective repair approach was described in the deterministic example, section 3.2.1. The chosen repair strategy herein is to apply a replacement layer approximately 10 mm deeper than the cover thickness. And the probabilistic analysis considers of the uncertainty of random variables.

The probabilistic times to repair (or the probabilistic time to corrosion initiation) can be represented in

terms of the probability density function (PDF) of repair application time. From the PDF in Figure 8a,

the mean and the standard deviation of the first application time is calculated as equal to 15.82 and

15.03 years, respectively. The means of the three following repair times are 11.77, 11.22, and 10.95

- years, respectively. To be specific, it takes an average 11.77 years from the first repair application time to trigger the second repair. Similarly, it takes an average 11.22 years from the second repair to trigger the third repair and so on. It is observed that the time for corrosion initiation at the early stage (before any repair measure is applied) is longer than that in the remaining operating period within a 70-year service life. Except the first repair application time of about 16 years, the repair intervals in following years are all approximately 11 years. The corrective repair model does not demonstrate significant variation chloride diffusion in RC structures between repair periods. The results are in agreement with findings from a previous probabilistic study [14]. This observation could be useful in developing a maintenance plan for RC structures in marine environments at an early stage.
- Considering the PDFs in Figure 8, the cumulative density function (CDF) of repair application time during a 70-year service life can be computed to provide the probability of maintenance activity at any year (Figure 9). For example, if the time to repair is defined at the year when the probability of corrosion initiation reaches 50% and it is required to carry out the cover replacement measure, the first repair will be performed at year 15.82, the subsequent repairs will be implemented at years 27.59, 38.82, 49.77, and 60.72. However, if 40% probability is chosen to trigger a repair, the first repair time is in year 10.7, and this period will be even shorter, year 8, with 30% probability for the first corrosion occur. Therefore, the outline of maintenance planning based on probabilistic repairing times should consider the cost constraints, environmental impacts as well as the expert judgment of the quality of structures. In addition, an early inspection on site to evaluate the degree of corrosion is highly recommended to support a decision-making process.

 Figure 8. PDF of repair application time (a) First repair application (b) Second repair application (c) Third repair application (d) Fourth repair application

Figure 9. CDF of repair application time

 Furthermore, the calculation of the total expected number of repairs is introduced. It is assumed that if the cumulative period of repairs exceeds the service life of 70 years, the maintenance could be stopped, hence the expected number of repairs would be determined. As shown in Table 4, with the 550 repair strategy of $X_p = 60$ mm replacement depth, averagely five repairs are estimated during the structure's lifetime. Additional repair strategies could also have been explored in this study such as applying different replacement depths or using better-quality materials. For instance, recent studies [14,17] proved that self-compacting concrete is more sensitive to the values of the diffusion coefficient, which can be used as an indicator of material quality.

Table 4. The expected number of repairs applications

 The maintenance planning based on the corrective repair model could be used as a basic result for the preventive repair model that is proposed and explained in the next section.

5.3 Preventive Repair Model – Results and Discussion

 This probabilistic model concerns the maintenance policy of applying a scheduled repair interval at a given repair depth for RC structures as the condition to perform a repair. For instance, the repair application will be implemented immediately whenever the structure lifetime reaches a specific time of repair. Within a 70-year service life, the repair intervals (the time between two repairs) suggested in this example are 15, 10, 10, 10, 10, and 10 years, respectively (i.e. in years 15, 25, 35, 45, 55, and 65). Again, the chosen repair strategy is to apply a replacement layer approximately 10 mm deeper than the cover thickness. These chosen intervals are not randomly determined, but they are based on the results obtained from the corrective repair model, that the scheduled repair times are determined to be less than the mean values of repair application times. The mean repair time value could be approximated as the time to reach a probability of 0.5 in Figure 9. Since this approximation should reduce the risks of corrosion initiation, this probabilistic model is referred as a preventive repair model. Other threshold probabilities could be selected depending on the risks allowed for the end user. For instance, small probabilities are related to reinforced concrete assets with higher consequences in case of failure and vice-versa. The selection of the repair depth will also influence the probability of corrosion initiation after the first repair. The effects of considering different repair schedules and depths for preventive repair will be illustrated and discussed in this section.

 Figure 10 presents the simulated time-dependent chloride concentration profiles at the critical depth. It is noted that the cover depth and the replacement concrete depth are considered random. Thus, for each sample, the chloride content is captured at varying cover depths and is compared with the random value of threshold chloride concentration for corrosion initiation. Eqs. (11) to (13) are applied in this case to compute the probability of corrosion initiation as shown in Figure 11. The chloride profiles of all samples as well as the mean profile, the lower and upper bounds of chloride content are presented in Figure 10. It is observed that at the years of repair (i.e. year 15, 25, 35, 45, 55, and 65) the mean 582 chloride content is higher than the mean value of the chloride limit to start corrosion (2 kg/m^3) . However, Figure 11 shows that the probability of corrosion occurring would be 51.9% only at the first repair time (year 15), while the probabilities are below 50% for all remaining repair periods. Hence, the assessment of corrosion risk and the reliability estimation for a maintenance plan based on mean values can be misleading in this case.

Figure 10: Time-dependent chloride concentration profiles at rebar cover

 In Figure 11, the probability of corrosion initiation at any year can be recorded. For example, in year 10 (5 years before the first repair), there is a 39.6% possibility of corrosion occurring, while its probabilities in year 16 (1 year after the first repair), year 20 (5 year after the first repair), and year 25 (10 year after the first repair) are 3%, 26.5% and 46.4% respectively. It can be concluded that the further away from the most recent repair, the higher the probability of corrosion.

 Figure 12 shows the chloride profiles at (a) one year after the first repair, (b) 5 years after the first repair, and (c) 10 years after the first repair. In Figure 12a, the chloride content in year 16 (1 year after the first repair) is illustrated by curves that fluctuate in value around the interfacial position between 600 two concrete layers, or at $x = X_p$. This is due to the redistribution of chloride ions existing in the original concrete to the new concrete layer after the first repair. Moreover, at year 16, the mean of 602 chloride content at the position of rebar cover is much lower than the mean of critical value (2 kg/m^3) , due to the effective chloride absorption of new concrete layer in the early years after repair. For the rest of survey years, the decrease of chloride concentration versus depth tend to remain (Figures 12b,

 c). It is noticed that in year 25 (10 years after the first repair), the mean of chloride content at the critical depth is over the average critical value, it is when the second repair should be scheduled.

 Figure 12: Chloride profiles (a) one year after the first repair (b) 5 years after the first repair (c) 10 years after the first repair

 From Figure 12, the PDF of chloride content at the cover depth can be determined, as shown in Figure 612 13a, b and c. The mean line of critical chloride value (2kg/m^3) is considered as the landmark value for comparison. It is observed that the year with more PDF values being to the right of the threshold value will have a higher probability of corrosion initiation because it represents that the chloride content exceeds the limit state. Accordingly in a 10-year repair cycle from year 15 to year 25, the probability of starting corrosion at year 16 (1 year after the first repair) is lowest (Figure 13a), followed by year 20 (5 year after the first repair) (Figure 13b) and 25 (10 year after the first repair) (Figure 13c). This is consistent and compatible with Figure 11.

 Figure 13: PDF of chloride content at cover depth (a) one year after the first repair (b) 5 years after the first repair (c) 10 years after the first repair

 To reduce the probability of corrosion initiation, some maintenance strategies are introduced, such as shortening repair application times or applying a deeper replacement concrete or using both strategies simultaneously. Figure 14 shows the probability of corrosion initiation when applying different preventive repair strategies, including (a) 10-year repair intervals, (b) 20mm extra replacement depth, and (c) 10-year repair intervals and 20mm extra replacement depth. In Figure 14a, there is only about 40% possibility of initiating corrosion at the first repair time if the repair is carried out every ten years. The probabilities of corrosion initiation at the following repair times are slightly reduced compared to the data in Figure 11. This strategy requires six cover rebuilding repair applications. Considering both the reliability criteria and the number of repairs in a 70-year service life, the strategy of 10-year repair intervals appears to be more effective than the previous preventive strategy. Meanwhile, for the case in 633 Figure 14b with the original repair intervals but deeper extra concrete patch of 20mm ($\mu_{\text{Xr}} = 20$ mm, $[COV]_{Xr} = 0.1$, the corrosion probability at the first repair is not changed with 51.9%, but better 635 reliability is achieved for the later repairs compared to the original case where $\mu_{Xr} = 10$ mm. Hence, if both strategies are applied for RC structures, the probability of corrosion initiation would be lower

 also be involved in infrastructure life cycle assessment to formulate a cost-effective and environmentally friendly maintenance strategy.

 Figure 14: Probability of corrosion initiation of two cases (a) 10-year repair intervals (b) 20mm extra replacement depth (c) 10-year repair intervals and 20mm extra replacement depth

Conclusions and future work

 In this study, a probabilistic prediction model of structural maintenance in a marine environment was proposed by combining Latin Hypercube Sampling technique with a time-dependent model of chloride transport in repaired concrete structures. Besides the calculation framework for service life and service life extension of corroded RC structures with repair, the effects of maintenance actions on the chloride transport behaviors within concrete were also discussed. By using the proposed computation approach, the following conclusions can be drawn:

 1. Choosing a concrete replacement depth that is deeper than the existing cover depth is recommended for repairing RC structures subjected to chloride-induced corrosion, because it can slow down the chloride redistribution from the aged concrete to the critical depth. Also, a deeper repair depth leads to fewer repairs and larger pre-schedule repair intervals compared to

 the shallower concrete repair. For instance, in section 3.2 was found that increasing this repair depth by 10 mm leads to one repair less during the structural lifetime.

- 2. Both corrective and preventive repair strategies were performed in the probabilistic assessment. For the corrective repair strategy, repair is carried out when the chloride content at the rebar cover reaches a specific critical chloride value, and the total expected number of repairs can be used as a factor for comparing different repair strategies. It was observed that, excepting the first longer repair application time, probability of corrosion initiation was lower than 0.52 between repair periods. In the case of time constraint and expensive computational cost, the simulation of service life prediction for a few initial repair stages is sufficient as a basic result for formulating the maintenance schedule by the preventive approach.
- 3. For the preventive repair model, the scheduled repair times could be determined to be less than the mean values of repair application times obtained from the corrective repair model. This maintenance approach seems to be efficient for service life prediction and maintenance planning because an acceptable probability of corrosion initiation can be achieved by adopting some practical repair strategies. For example, the minimum probability of corrosion initiation is recorded to be below 0.43 for all repair times when applying 10-year repair intervals and 20mm extra replacement depth. In conclusion, the application of shorter repair intervals could contribute to the decrease of corrosion risk for the first repair, while the selection of the repair depth could mainly influence the probability of corrosion initiation after the first repair.
- 4. The proposed model, however, still has some limitations. It was applied for marine structures exposed to atmospheric or submerged zones with constant chloride surface concentration. Further research should thus aim to develop a comprehensive stochastic model considering more realistic exposure conditions, chloride diffusion-convection coupling system in concrete and time-dependency of surface chloride concentration. Also, real data (related to concrete chloride diffusivity and assessing criteria) is required to estimate correlations between the parameters used in the model and to improve the performance of the maintenance model. Accordingly, the model-based approach for maintenance schedule could be compared with a practical engineering case and applied in practice. This work is under progress.

Acknowledgments

 This study was carried out in the framework of the Strengthening the Territory's Resilience to Risks of Natural, Climate and Human Origin (SIRMA) project, which is co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) through INTERREG Atlantic Area Program with application code: EAPA_826/2018. The sole responsibility for the content of this publication lies with the authors. It does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the European Union. Neither the INTERREG Europe program authorities are responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

 The authors also acknowledge the insightful and constructive comments from the five anonymous reviewers.

References

- [1] I. Stipanovic Oslakovic, D. Bjegovic, D. Mikulic, Evaluation of service life design models on concrete structures exposed to marine environment, Mater. Struct. 43 (2010) 1397–1412. https://doi.org/10.1617/s11527-010-9590-z.
- [2] K. Tuutti, Corrosion of steel in concrete, Swedish Cement and Concrete Research Institute, Stockholm., 1982.
- [3] Q. Liu, Z. Hu, X. Wang, H. Zhao, K. Qian, L. Li, Z. Meng, Numerical study on cracking and its effect on chloride transport in concrete subjected to external load, Constr. Build. Mater. 325 (2022) 126797. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2022.126797.
- [4] Y. Ma, Z. Guo, L. Wang, J. Zhang, Experimental investigation of corrosion effect on bond behavior between reinforcing bar and concrete, Constr. Build. Mater. 152 (2017) 240–249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.06.169.
- [5] E. Bastidas-Arteaga, A. Chateauneuf, M. Sánchez-Silva, Ph. Bressolette, F. Schoefs, A comprehensive probabilistic model of chloride ingress in unsaturated concrete, Eng. Struct. 33 (2011) 720–730. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2010.11.008.
- [6] M. Liu, D.M. Frangopol, Optimal bridge maintenance planning based on probabilistic performance prediction, Eng. Struct. 26 (2004) 991–1002. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2004.03.003.
- [7] X. Cheng, J. Xia, R. Wu, W. Jin, C. Pan, Optimisation of sacrificial anode cathodic protection system in chloride-contaminated reinforced concrete structure, J. Build. Eng. 45 (2022) 103515. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2021.103515.
- [8] BSEN1504-9. Products and systems for the protection and repair of concrete structures. Definitions, requirements, quality control and evaluation of conformity - General principles for use of products and systems, (2008).
- [9] E. Bastidas-Arteaga, F. Schoefs, Stochastic improvement of inspection and maintenance of corroding reinforced concrete structures placed in unsaturated environments, Eng. Struct. 41 (2012) 50–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2012.03.011.
- [10] C.A. Eldho, S. Jones, O. Nanayakkara, J. Xia, Performance of Concrete Patch Repairs: From a Durability Point of View, in: Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Durab. Concr. Struct., Purdue University Press, 2016. https://doi.org/10.5703/1288284316112.
- [11] A. Rahimi, C. Gehlen, T. Reschke, A. Westendarp, Approaches for Modelling the Residual Service Life of Marine Concrete Structures, Int. J. Corros. 2014 (2014) 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/432472.

- [12] S. Soleimani, P. Ghods, O.B. Isgor, J. Zhang, Modeling the kinetics of corrosion in concrete patch repairs and identification of governing parameters, Cem. Concr. Compos. 32 (2010) 360–368. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2010.02.001.
- [13] Truong Q.C., El Soueidy CP., Bastidas-Arteaga E., Li Y., Optimizing Cover Rebuilding Maintenance for Reinforced Concrete Structures Exposed to Chloride Attack, in: Proc. 1st Conf. Eur. Assoc. Qual. Control Bridg. Struct., Springer, Cham, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3- 030-91877-4_18.
- [14] A. Petcherdchoo, Probability-Based Sensitivity of Service Life of Chloride-Attacked Concrete Structures with Multiple Cover Concrete Repairs, Adv. Civ. Eng. 2018 (2018) 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/4525646.
- [15] H.-W. Song, H.-B. Shim, A. Petcherdchoo, S.-K. Park, Service life prediction of repaired concrete structures under chloride environment using finite difference method, Cem. Concr. Compos. 31 (2009) 120–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2008.11.002.
- [16] Y. Ma, Z. Guo, L. Wang, J. Zhang, Probabilistic Life Prediction for Reinforced Concrete Structures Subjected to Seasonal Corrosion-Fatigue Damage, J. Struct. Eng. 146 (2020) 04020117. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ST.1943-541X.0002666.
- [17] P. Lehner, M. Horňáková, K. Hrabová, Sensitivity Analysis of Stochastic Calculation of SCC Regarding Aggressive Environment, Materials. 14 (2021) 6838. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14226838.
- [18] C.G. Nogueira, E.D. Leonel, H.B. Coda, Probabilistic failure modelling of reinforced concrete structures subjected to chloride penetration, Int. J. Adv. Struct. Eng. 4 (2012) 10. https://doi.org/10.1186/2008-6695-4-10.
- [19] B. Yu, C. Ning, B. Li, Probabilistic durability assessment of concrete structures in marine environments: Reliability and sensitivity analysis, China Ocean Eng. 31 (2017) 63–73. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13344-017-0008-3.
- [20] F. Hecht, New development in FreeFem++, J. Numer. Math. (2012) 251–266.
- [21] E. Zacchei, E. Bastidas-Arteaga, Multifactorial Chloride Ingress Model for Reinforced Concrete Structures Subjected to Unsaturated Conditions, Buildings. 12 (2022) 107. https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings12020107.
- [22] A. Ababneh, F. Benboudjema, Y. Xi, Chloride Penetration in Nonsaturated Concrete, J. Mater. Civ. Eng. 15 (2003) 183–191. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0899-1561(2003)15:2(183).
- [23] R.V.S. Anna V. Saetta and Renato V. Vitaliani, Analysis of Chloride Diffusion into Partially Saturated Concrete, ACI Mater. J. 90 (1993). https://doi.org/10.14359/3874.
- 758 [24] B. Martín-Pérez, S.J. Pantazopoulou, M.D.A. Thomas, Numerical solution of mass transport equations in concrete structures, Comput. Struct. 79 (2001) 1251–1264. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-7949(01)00018-9.
- [25] F. Qu, W. Li, W. Dong, V.W.Y. Tam, T. Yu, Durability deterioration of concrete under marine
- environment from material to structure: A critical review, J. Build. Eng. 35 (2021) 102074. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2020.102074.
- [26] E. Bastidas‐ Arteaga, C.-P.E. Soueidy, O. Amiri, P.T. Nguyen, Polynomial chaos expansion for lifetime assessment and sensitivity analysis of reinforced concrete structures subjected to chloride ingress and climate change, Struct. Concr. (2020) 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/suco.201900398.
- [27] Q. Liu, L. Sun, X. Zhu, L. Xu, G. Zhao, Chloride transport in the reinforced concrete column
- under the marine environment: Distinguish the atmospheric, tidal-splash and submerged zones, Structures. 39 (2022) 365–377. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.istruc.2022.03.041.
- [28] X. Chen, A. Yu, G. Liu, P. Chen, Q. Liang, A multi-phase mesoscopic simulation model for the diffusion of chloride in concrete under freeze–thaw cycles, Constr. Build. Mater. 265 (2020) 120223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.120223.
- [29] M.M. L. O. Nilsson and L. Tang, Effect of Non-Linear Chloride Binding on the Prediction of Chloride Penetration Into Concrete Structures, ACI Symp. Publ. 145 (1994). https://doi.org/10.14359/4554.
- [30] T. Luping, L.-O. Nilsson, Chloride binding capacity and binding isotherms of OPC pastes and mortars, Cem. Concr. Res. 23 (1993) 247–253. https://doi.org/10.1016/0008-8846(93)90089-R.
- [31] G.K. Glass, N.R. Buenfeld, The influence of chloride binding on the chloride induced corrosion risk in reinforced concrete, Corros. Sci. (2000) 16.
- [32] C.L. Page, N.R. Short, A. El Tarras, Diffusion of chloride ions in hardened cement pastes, Cem. Concr. Res. 11 (1981) 395–406. https://doi.org/10.1016/0008-8846(81)90111-3.
- [33] Z.P. Baant, L.J. Najjar, Drying of concrete as a nonlinear diffusion problem, Cem. Concr. Res. 1 (1971) 461–473.
- [34] Y. Xi, Z.P. ažant, H.M. Jennings, Moisture diffusion in cementitious materials Adsorption isotherms, Adv. Cem. Based Mater. 1 (1994) 248–257. https://doi.org/10.1016/1065- 7355(94)90033-7.
- [35] D. Val, Service-life performance of RC structures made with supplementary cementitious materials in chloride-contaminated environments, in: Concr. - Int. RILEM-JCI Semin. Concr. Durab. Serv. Life Plan. Curing Crack Control Perform. Harsh Environ., RILEM Publications SARL, Dead Sea, Israel, 2006: pp. 363–373. https://doi.org/10.1617/291214390X.038.
- [36] A.C. Estes, D.M. Frangopol, Minimum expected cost-oriented optimal maintenance planning for
- deteriorating structures: application to concrete bridge decks, Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf. 73 (2001) 281–291. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0951-8320(01)00044-8.
- [37] M. Raupach, Patch repairs on reinforced concrete structures Model investigations on the required size and practical consequences, Cem. Concr. Compos. 28 (2006) 679–684. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2006.05.016.
- [38] J. Shi, B. Liu, J. Qin, J. Jiang, X. Wu, J. Tan, Experimental study of performance of repair mortar: Evaluation of in-situ tests and correlation analysis, J. Build. Eng. 31 (2020) 101325.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2020.101325.
- [39] E. Bastidas-Arteaga, M.G. Stewart, Economic assessment of climate adaptation strategies for existing reinforced concrete structures subjected to chloride-induced corrosion, Struct. Infrastruct. Eng. 12 (2016) 432–449. https://doi.org/10.1080/15732479.2015.1020499.
- [40] J. Lizarazo-Marriaga, C. Higuera, I. Guzmán, L. Fonseca, Probabilistic modeling to predict fly- ash concrete corrosion initiation, J. Build. Eng. 30 (2020) 101296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2020.101296.
- [41] S. Dastmalchi, H.V. Burton, Effect of modeling uncertainty on multi-limit state performance of controlled rocking steel braced frames, J. Build. Eng. 39 (2021) 102308. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2021.102308.
- [42] A. Olsson, G. Sandberg, O. Dahlblom, On Latin hypercube sampling for structural reliability analysis, Struct. Saf. 25 (2003) 47–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-4730(02)00039-5.
- [43] A.V. Monteiro, A. Gonçalves, Probabilistic assessment of the depassivation limit state of reinforced concrete structures based on inspection results, J. Build. Eng. 49 (2022) 104063. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2022.104063.
- [44] D.V. Val, P.A. Trapper, Probabilistic evaluation of initiation time of chloride-induced corrosion, Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf. 93 (2008) 364–372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2006.12.010.
- [45] DuraCrete. Probabilistic performance based durability design of concrete structure, (2000).
- [46] R. McGee, Modelling of durability performance of Tasmanian bridges, Melchers RE Stewart MG

Ed. Appl. Stat. Probab. Civ. Eng. (2000) 297–306.

 [47] K. Vu, M. Stewart, Structural reliability of concrete bridges including improved chloride-induced corrosion models, Struct Saf. 22 (2000) 313–333.