

Shifting patterns of seasonal influenza epidemics

Pietro Coletti, Chiara Poletto, Clément Turbelin, Thierry Blanchon, Vittoria

Colizza

To cite this version:

Pietro Coletti, Chiara Poletto, Clément Turbelin, Thierry Blanchon, Vittoria Colizza. Shifting patterns of seasonal influenza epidemics. Scientific Reports, 2018, 8, 10.1038/s41598-018-30949-x. hal-03690080

HAL Id: hal-03690080 <https://hal.science/hal-03690080>

Submitted on 7 Jun 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SCIENTIFIC REPERTS

Received: 20 February 2018 Accepted: 24 July 2018 Published online: 24 August 2018

Shifting patterns of seasonal OPENinfuenza epidemics

PietroColetti 1,3, Chiara Poletto2, ClémentTurbelin 2, Thierry Blanchon² & VittoriaColizza²

Seasonal waves of infuenza display a complex spatiotemporal pattern resulting from the interplay of biological, sociodemographic, and environmental factors. At country level many studies characterized the robust properties of annual epidemics, depicting a typical season. Here we analyzed season-byseason variability, introducing a clustering approach to assess the deviations from typical spreading patterns. The classifcation is performed on the similarity of temporal confgurations of onset and peak times of regional epidemics, based on infuenza-like-illness time-series in France from 1984 to 2014. We observed a larger variability in the onset compared to the peak. Two relevant classes of clusters emerge: groups of seasons sharing similar recurrent spreading patterns (clustered seasons) and single seasons displaying unique patterns (monoids). Recurrent patterns exhibit a more pronounced spatial signature than unique patterns. We assessed how seasons shift between these classes from onset to peak depending on epidemiological, environmental, and socio-demographic variables. We found that the spatial dynamics of infuenza and its association with commuting, previously observed as a general property of French infuenza epidemics, apply only to seasons exhibiting recurrent patterns. The proposed methodology is successful in providing new insights on infuenza spread and can be applied to incidence time-series of diferent countries and diferent diseases.

Understanding infuenza spatial dynamics is highly relevant to improve preparedness and control, as annual influenza epidemics represent a serious burden for public health worldwide¹. Several empirical and modeling studies have uncovered a set of key features of disease spatial transmission observed across several seasons. Influenza diffuses through complex spatiotemporal patterns that appear to change across scales 2^{-13} . A hierarchical spread combining a wave-like behavior on the small scale and long-range seeding events synchronizing distant populations was reported by several studies^{2,3,7,11,14}. This is generally explained by the multiscale nature of mobility patterns of individuals¹⁵, with commuting mainly acting on the short distance whereas air travel flows are responsible for non-local diffusion^{3,7,13,15}. The relative importance of wave-like vs. long-range diffusion and of the associated mobility modes however depends on the geographic scale of the country. Long range coupling by air travel was found in previous analyses of epidemiological data in the US^{3,15–17}, though its role is currently debated¹³. A higher synchrony of epidemics is reported in smaller countries, e.g. in Israel⁴ and in France^{5,8,9} where no dominant transportation mode was identified⁹. Spatial patterns of influenza spread also depend on the dominant viral strain circulating3,14,18–20, with a tendency of B-dominated infuenza seasons to be characterized by slower and later epidemics compared to A-dominated epidemics^{14,18}.

Seasonality affects influenza spatial dynamics in multiple ways²¹. Day/night cycles are thought to influence the immune system increasing the susceptibility to infection during winter period^{22,23}. Low absolute humidity and temperature may increase virus survival and overall transmissibility²⁴, facilitate transmission onset⁶, and possibly lead to detectable signals in influenza activity^{13,25-27}.

The vast majority of these studies highlight general and robust tendencies of influenza epidemics and the properties of a *typical* season^{2-4,6,8,9,11,14,28}. Evidence of multiple spatial patterns beyond the one associated to a typical seasonal behavior is however available. Marked radial patterns are for example observed in 4 infuenza seasons in the US out of the 8 seasons (2002–2010) under study by Charu *et al*. 13, and monotonous spatial patterns (i.e. highly synchronized) are reported in Japan compared to multitonous ones (i.e. multi-seeding followed by radial difusion) in the period 1992–199912. While the spreading pattern of a typical infuenza season is relatively well characterized, other patterns may emerge beyond the typical one that contribute to the large variability of infuenza spatial transmission observed in epidemiological data.

¹ISI Foundation, Turin, Italy. ²INSERM, Sorbonne Université, Institut Pierre Louis d'Epidémiologie et de Santé Publique IPLESP, F75012, Paris, France. ³Present address: Universiteit Hasselt, I-Biostat, 3500, Hasselt, Belgium. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to V.C. (email: vittoria.colizza@inserm.fr)

In the context of infuenza epidemics in France, our aim is to identify and classify possible deviations from typical patterns identified in previous work⁹. By studying influenza spatial propagation on a season-by-season basis through a long historical dataset (30 years) we go beyond the description of the robust properties of seasonal waves and build an ontology of possible spreading patterns. In addition to focusing on the peak time, we also aim to consider the patterns that may emerge at the onset of the epidemic²⁹ and characterize them in a systematic and quantitative way. We classify seasons according to similar onset confgurations and similar peak confgurations, we then put in relation the two classifcations to assess how seasons shif classes from onset to peak, i.e. tracking the potential similarity of fu spreading patterns as the epidemic unfolds throughout the country. Finally, we assess how patterns of seasonal infuenza epidemics shif from one class to another depending on demographic, virological, environmental, and mobility drivers. We provide in this way novel insights that were hidden in prior analyses.

Results

Our analysis is based on infuenza-like-illness incidence data for France collected by the French Surveillance Network of general practitioners (Reseau Sentinelles)29,30. We consider weekly time series of incidence rate for the 22 regions of France (NUTS 2 level) for 30 infuenza seasons, ranging from 1984–1985 season to 2013–2014 season, and including the H1N1 pandemic season of 2009–2010. For each season we denote the regional onset time as the week of start of the epidemic period at the regional level (see Methods), and the regional peak time as the week with the highest incidence in each region. Regions not experiencing an epidemic period during a season are not considered in the analysis.

A large variability is observed across seasons. National epidemic profles difer substantially in terms of epidemic activity, timing, and duration (Fig. 1a). Peak times range from week 49 (month of December, seasons 93–94, 03–04 and 09–10) to week 14 (Month of April, seasons 94–95 and 97–98), with 9 of the seasons peaking before week 2 in January (denoted here as early seasons) vs. 21 peaking afer week 6 (late seasons, while instead peaks between week 2 and week 6 are named winter seasons in the following). Attack rates vary considerably, from mild to moderate to severe epidemics. The median time from onset to peak of the epidemic is one month (95% CI [2–7] weeks). Such heterogeneity is largely maintained at the regional level with variations in several indicators (Fig. 1b). Almost all regions (median 21 out of 22) experience an epidemic in each season considered. Regions cross the epidemic threshold at diferent times during the season. If we quantify the spread of the onset time as the time it takes for the percentage of regions reaching the epidemic onset to grow from 10% to 90%, we fnd it varies from a minimum of 1 week (93–94 season) to a maximum of 9 weeks (85–86 season) (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. S1). The spread of the regional peak time is comparable, showing a smaller median (3.0) 95%CI $[1.4-7.9]$ vs. 3.8 $[1.6-8.3]$ but still preserving a large variability (Fig. 2b).

We now seek to better characterize the heterogeneity observed in the temporal evolution of infuenza seasons at regional level. To identify the regional timing patterns for the onset of infuenza epidemics, we defne for each season *s* an onset time vector σ_s^s , whose element σ_i^s reports the detrended onset time of region *i* in season *s*. Similarly the peak time vector \overrightarrow{p} has components p_i^s reporting the detrended peak time of region *i* in the season. We then compare different seasons and identify those having similar onset timing patterns by introducing the W distance $\mathcal{D}_{s,t}^o$ between season *s* and season *t* as:

Figure 2. Spread time and distance for both onset and peak. (**a**) Scattered plot of the spread time of the peak vs. the spread time of the onset, considering all seasons. Seasons are color-coded according to the clustering at the peak (see Fig. 3). (**b**) Spread time distribution for onset and peak expressed in weeks. (**c**) Scattered plot of peak distance vs. onset distance. Points correspond to all the possible pairs of seasons on which the distance is computed. Te dashed line marks the best ft for linear regression. (**d**) Distribution of onset distance and peak distance values.

$$
\mathcal{D}_{s,t}^o = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i \in \text{region}}^{N_{s,t}^*} (o_i^s - o_i^t)^2}{N_{s,t}^*}}
$$
(1)

where the sum goes over the N_{s}^{*} , regions experiencing an epidemic in both seasons (regions whose incidence never crosses the epidemic threshold are not considered in the computation). $\mathcal{D}^o_{s,t}$ is designed to associate small distances to seasons with similar regional timing pattern, discounting for diferences in absolute timing, i.e. early vs. late epidemics. If two seasons share exactly the same onset timing pattern, then $\mathcal{D}_{s,t}^o=0$. We call this quantity onset distance, and we similarly define the peak distance $\mathcal{D}_{s,t}^p$ as the analogous quantity computed over the peak time vector \vec{p}^s time vector \overline{p} .

Start and peak distance are moderately correlated (R = 0.56, p < 10⁻⁵) with \mathcal{D}^p displaying a narrower distance, though still highly variable (1.44−3.62 weeks, based on the 5th and 95th percentiles) (Fig. 2c,d). Model selection based on Akaike criterion supported a one-peaked gamma distribution for the onset distance and a bi-modal mixture of normal distributions for the peak distance (Figure S2). The highest peak of the peak distance distribution occurs around 1.5 weeks, while the lowest peak roughly coincides with the single peak reported for the onset distance distribution.

Next, we classify infuenza seasons in terms of their similarity of timing patterns at regional level for the onset and the peak, expressed through the distance D computed on the time vectors. We cluster seasons via a complete-linkage agglomerative clustering procedure³¹ based on \mathcal{D}^o , or \mathcal{D}^p (Fig. 3a). This procedure yields two clustering structures, one capturing similarities between timing patterns of regions at the epidemic onset and one capturing similarities at the epidemic peak (Fig. 3b). We then relate these two structures via an alluvial diagram³² (Fig. 3c) mapping the pattern at start with the corresponding pattern at peak, to track how seasons change classifcation throughout the season.

Out of the 30 seasons under study, we obtain 3 group clusters (O1, O2, O3 in Fig. 3c) and 21 single-season clusters (or monoids) for the onset, compared to 6 group clusters (P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6 in Fig. 3c) and 13 monoids for the peak. Onset group clusters are made of 3 seasons each, whereas the size of the peak group clusters range from 2 to 4 seasons. Most importantly, the alluvial diagram allows us to assess how timing patterns of influenza epidemics change from onset to peak. The analysis shows the emergence of seasons with a similar peak pattern from seasons having a larger distance at the onset of the epidemic. This is observed for seasons 12–13 and 13–14 (grouped in P1) or seasons 98–99, 99–00 and 07–08 (grouped in P2). Also, two of the onset group clusters split when reaching peak time (O1 and O3), whereas 94–95 and 01–02 seasons maintain the similarity of their timing patterns across time (from O2 to P4). All peak monoids but one (11–12 season) are also monoids at the onset of the epidemic.

Figure 3. Seasons clustering. (**a**) Schematic visualization of seasons clustering. Seasons are clustered according to the similarity between their timing patterns, at the onset and at the peak. All seasons in a cluster are within clustering distance from each other. Seasons are color-coded according to the clustering at the peak. (**b**) Changes in clustering from onset to peak. Onset (lef) and peak (right) clustering are shown, with every cluster presented on a different line. The change in timing patterns from onset to peak is represented by mergers/ divergences in the lines connecting the two clustering structures. Group-clusters are numbered for future reference, with the number of seasons composing each cluster shown in brackets. Seasons are color-coded according to the clustering at the peak.

Maps of the group clusters and monoids reveal the strong spatial signatures between seasons of the same cluster (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. S3). The pattern is given by a large number of regions having the same detrended timing in all seasons of the group cluster (e.g. 8 out of 22 in P1: Bretagne, Pays De La Loire, Haute-Normandie, Centre, Limousin, Île de France, Bourgogne, Franche Comté; 9 in P3: Aquitaine, Poitou-Charentes, Limousin,

Figure 4. Geographic signature of clusters. (**a**) Map of France displaying the regional diferences in the detrended timing across seasons of the same onset group-cluster. Dark colored regions present the same relative timing in all the seasons, lighter colored regions present a relative timing that is within one week from each other, and white regions present a relative timing that is more than one week apart from each other. Regions having no epidemic in one or more seasons of the clusters are indicated. (**b**) Map of France displaying the regional differences in the detrended timing across seasons of the same peak group-cluster. The same color-code is used as in panel a). (**c**) Map of France showing the detrended timing of peak monoids. White regions have a peak time that equals the median value over all regions. Red regions peak later than the median and blue regions before. Regions having no epidemic are indicated. Season 90–91 is not shown, because of the large number of regions not experiencing an epidemic (13 out of 22).

Languedoc-Roussillon, Rhône-Alpes, Bourgogne, Franche Comté, Champagne-Ardenne, Lorraine), or contributed by several regions at 1 week apart (e.g. 9 regions in P3 or P4 and 11 regions in O2). Focusing on regions with the same detrended timing, we observe that in some clusters they are geographically contiguous (P1, P5, O3), whereas in others they are sparsely distributed (P6). Four regions (Burgundy, Haute-Normandy, Limousin, Île de France) belong to the set of regions with the same detrended timing in at least 50% of the peak clusters (Supplementary Fig. S4). Monoids are instead characterized by a large heterogeneity of the timing patterns of regions that strongly difers across seasons (Fig. 4c).

We now turn to the analysis of clusters with respect to epidemiological, environmental, and human mobility factors, and focus on the diferences between the class of group clusters and the class of monoids. Monoids exhibit large fuctuations in the standard deviation of the regional peak time, with few seasons showing a higher degree of synchronization at the peak (87–88, 90–91, 04–05, 10–11, 11–12) with respect to all other monoid seasons (Fig. 5a). Peak group clusters show standard deviations signifcantly smaller than in monoids only for P2, P4, P5,

Figure 5. Synthetic indicators characterizing seasons clusters. Seasons that form group-clusters with respect to peak time are highlighted with the corresponding cluster colour (see Fig. 3), while seasons that are monoidclustered are shown in gray. (**a**) Standard error of the distribution of regional peak time by season. (**b**) Box plot of regional attack rates by season. (**c**) Seasons classifcation with respect to absolute peak time. Number of seasons that have an early, winter or late peak time (see main text) for group-clustered seasons (lef), and for monoid-clustered seasons (right) with respect to peak time. The classification is compared to a random null model (boxplot).

and P6 clusters (2-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnof test, $p < 0.05$). This extends to all group clusters when onset time is considered (2-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnof test, $p < 0.05$).

Seasons sharing similar timing patterns at peak are ofen characterized by higher infuenza activity compared to isolated seasons. Four peak group clusters (P2, P3, P5, P6) present larger regional attack rates than monoid seasons (2-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnof test, $p < 0.005$), whereas clusters P1 and P4 are not significantly different from monoids (Fig. 5b).

Clustered seasons present early epidemics more frequently than expected $(p < 0.05)$, and late epidemics less frequently than expected ($p < 0.05$), with monoids showing the opposite behavior (Fig. 5c). No difference is observed when considering the onset time. The correlation of the regional ILI time series with the corresponding temperature time series is moderately negative for almost all peak monoids, whereas it can become positive for seasons sharing the same pattern at the peak.

Finally, no association with the dominant strain at the national level is found for the identifed clusters.

To better relate the emergence of the regional timing patterns with efects of synchronization at the peak, we compute the pairwise synchronization probability for regions *i* and *j* as the percentage of seasons in which *i* and *j* are synchronized (i.e. their ILI incidence peaks at exactly the same week). We compute this probability considering all seasons under study ($P_{\text{all}}^{\text{synch}}$), only seasons showing similar patterns at the peak ($P_{\text{group}}^{\text{synch}}$), and only isolated seasons in our clustering classification ($P_{\rm monoid}^{\rm synch}$). Figure 6 shows an illustrative example of the pairwise synchronization probability for the two most populous regions of France-Île-de-France and Rhône-Alpes. Radial patterns

Table 1. Correlation values between synchronization probabilities and spatial/anthropological factors (Mantel test, n.s. stands for "not signifcant"). False discovery rate is accounted for using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure33 (Table S2). **p*<0.05. ***p*<0.01.

Figure 6. Synchronization probability. Color-coded pairwise synchronization probabilities $P_{\text{all}}^{\text{synch}}$, $P_{\text{group}}^{\text{synch}}$ and $P_{\rm monoid}^{\rm synch}$ of all regions with Île-de-France (top row) and Rhône-Alpes (bottom row).

of synchronization appear evident in the group cluster seasons, together with long-range synchronization (e.g. Île-de-France and Midi-Pyrénées, or Rhône-Alpes and Nord-Pas-de-Calais). When considering monoid seasons, radial patterns are far less pronounced (Rhône-Alpes) or largely absent (Île-de-France). Such diference in pattern between seasons clustered in groups or isolated is lost when inspecting the synchronization probability computed on all seasons. To quantitatively measure this efect and assess its possible drivers, we measure the association between the probability of synchronization and several demographic and mobility indicators via a Mantel test (Table 1). False discovery rate is accounted for using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure³³ (Table S2). Pairwise synchrony signifcantly decreases with distance in both types of clusters (mild correlation) and over all seasons (moderate correlation). No association is found with the product of the population sizes of the donor and recipient regions or with air travel fuxes across all groups of seasons considered. Commuter fows instead display a weak positive correlation with the probability of synchronization considering all seasons and group-clustered seasons, whereas no association is found for monoids. Using a linear model for the synchronization probability with distance and commuting as covariates, we were able to compare their relative contributions to the coefficient of determination³⁴. The test showed that the distance accounts for 90% of the observed coefficient of determination.

Discussion

Elucidating the spatiotemporal patterns of the spread of infuenza epidemics is of great importance for preparedness and control. Here we study 30 infuenza seasons in France at the regional level and introduce a novel method to characterize the variability of spatial transmission of infuenza. We consider a clustering approach to reduce the observed variability by identifying a limited number of regional confgurations at the epidemic onset (onset timing patterns) and at peak time (peak timing patterns) shared by multiple seasons. We characterize their properties, and assess how seasons shif between classes of patterns depending on epidemiological, environmental, demographic and mobility factors.

The cluster analysis yields two important findings. First, two relevant classes of clusters emerge: group clusters composed of seasons sharing recurrent difusion patterns and monoid clusters made by single seasons whose patterns strongly difer from all others. Tis is observed both at the onset and at the peak of the epidemic, although the mechanisms for pattern formation at the two stages of the epidemic are diferent and are not trivially captured by epidemiological or virological signatures at the national level (e.g. intensity of the epidemic activity, or dominant virus type). Second, the current knowledge characterizing a typical infuenza epidemic only applies to a subset of seasons, specifcally those exhibiting recurrent patterns, whereas unique patterns, though representing roughly 50% of the seasons, show diferent properties.

A large number of works focused on the characterization of infuenza spatial propagation in diferent countries and at different geographic scales^{6,9,11–13,35}. The novelty of our approach is to systematically identify recurrent patterns across seasons, distinguish them from unique patterns in a fully hierarchical way, and to connect the patterns at epidemic onset with those at peak time. Tis is made possible by the long timeframe under study. We fnd that as infuenza incidence increases from the epidemic threshold to the peak, patterns become more similar thus presenting a stronger clustering at peak compared to patterns at the onset. Highly contagious viruses 3,4 and strong population coupling are generally associated with faster and more homogeneous spatial spread^{15,36–38}. This is further increased by the small scale of the country, as reported in the case of Israel displaying a high synchronization across cities⁴. Our study however shows that neither the standard deviation of the peak time distribution across regions nor the regional attack rate are able to discriminate in a defnitive way between recurrent and unique patterns. While synchronization is indeed a mechanism to group seasons in the same cluster, similarity can be achieved also in absence of a high synchronization (i.e. homogeneity of timing) as long as the regional heterogeneity is close across seasons. In addition, we fnd clusters that are built on a large number of regions whose timing differs of one week only (P2, P4), thus maintaining low values of \mathcal{D}^p . Notably, Île de France plays this role in the majority of the peak clusters.

The spatial pattern of influenza transmission in France is predominantly localized and driven by the distance between regions, with a stronger efect observed on recurrent patterns compared to unique ones. Tis is in line with previous observations of wave-like spreading behavior 3 and of strong correlation between neighboring regions reported for a typical influenza season in the country⁹. Similar results connecting distance and synchronization were also obtained for the US through wavelet analysis³, spearman rank correlation²⁸ or statistical modeling¹³. The effective role of distance in the spatial transmission of influenza has been put in relation with diferent modes of mobility of individuals. Commuting has been identifed as an important driver for short-range dissemination⁸, though the narrow nature of its range of connectivity is not able to explain alone the broader spatial propagation of influenza¹³. For France we find a positive, though weak, correlation considering all 30 seasons, signaling that a combination of different modes of travel may compete at the scale of the country⁹. A linear model for the synchronization probability showed that the efect of distance is predominant on the one of commuters, with the former generating 90% of the observed coefficient of determination. This is in line with recent work done for influenza diffusion in the $US¹³$, showing that models based on geographic distance outperform those based on work commutes, and for the case of France⁹, where no dominant transportation mode has been identified. No support is indeed found for air travel, in line with previous works on influenza in France^{8,9}, likely because the majority of internal mobility relies on ground transportation. On the global scale, air travel is instead associated to the large-scale propagation of seasonal influenza epidemics^{39–41}, and is known to be an important driver for the dissemination of emerging infectious diseases^{7,42,43}, including influenza pandemics^{44–46}. At the national level, results do not appear to be conclusive^{3,13,15–17} and seem to depend on the scale of the country, with smaller countries like for example France⁹ and Israel⁴ clearly excluding a dominant role of mobility by air for influenza spread compared to the US^{13,16,47}.

Our clustering approach shows however that these spatial transmission properties are specifc only to a subset of influenza epidemics, namely those exhibiting recurrent patterns. The dependence on commuting observed when all seasons are considered is recovered for group clusters but is absent in seasons with unique patterns. For the latter, the dependence on the distance is also weaker, thus signaling that, besides an underlying weak localization, the mode of spread is less marked spatially, as evident from the maps of Figs 4 and 6. No dependence on mobility and demography is found, so other factors together with noise may be more relevant in the emergence of these unique patterns.

We fnd a clear dependence on the temperature only for monoids, exhibiting a negative correlation with temperature profle48 (Supplementary Fig. S5 in the SI). Tis is likely induced by the unexpectedly large number of late epidemics, for which the ILI peak follows in time the week of minimum temperature. Temperature profles for France usually have their minimum in between the end of January and the frst week of March (5th and 95th percentile, respectively) while ILI activity has a greater variability in peak time, ranging from the beginning of December to mid March (5th and 95th percentile, respectively). Previous work has highlighted that diferent types/subtypes of influenza present different circulation patterns^{3,39,49}. Season classification in terms of dominant strain at the national level however does not allow us to establish a connection between virology and spreading patterns. Possible causes may include the small size of the sample, given that the national strain dominance (defned as >50% of isolated samples) is available for 25 seasons (6 B seasons, 8 H1 seasons, and 11 H3 seasons) and is compared to a relatively small number of group clusters. In addition, co-dominance of diferent infuenza strains or co-circulation of infuenza virus with other respiratory pathogens (as e.g. respiratory syncytial virus50,51) are expected to probably lead to non-trivial interactions and likely a mixing of diferent spatial patterns within the same season. Finally, our results seem to suggest that regional heterogeneity in strain dominance is taking place in the country, with one strain dominating at the national level while diferent confgurations of sub-dominant strains compete at the local level. For example, seasons 08–09 and 11–12 are clustered together at the start, but not at the peak. Tough sharing the same dominant strain (H3) at the national level, season 08–09 displays infuenza B becoming dominant in some regions throughout the season, whereas in season 11–12 type B is never dominant^{52,53}. Spatial heterogeneity in influenza strain dominance is often reported at a larger scale across countries in Europe^{54,55}, with countries exhibiting different strains dominating during the same influenza season. The underlying mechanisms are however still poorly understood. Virological data with regional resolution would be needed to further investigate this aspect in France, however these are available starting 2014–2015 season only, following a change in surveillance protocols. This would also allow considering antigenic distance as a possible factor for seasons clustering.

Clustering is less structured at the onset compared to the peak and displays a larger number of unique patterns. Tis may be related to varying confgurations of case importations from abroad that change with seasons, and it is indeed in line with the large variations reported for the estimates for the external seeding parameter of the model fitting influenza diffusion in the $US¹³$.

While we are able to discriminate between recurrent patterns that confrm previous knowledge on typical infuenza spatial transmission and unique patterns exhibiting diferent properties, some aspects emerging from our classifcation still elude our quantitative interpretation. For example, we fnd some seasons shifing from similar onset patterns to different peak patterns (e.g. seasons 93-94,95-96 and 99-00). The demographic, virological, and environmental factors we tested are not able to explain the shif. Also, mobility is unlikely to be a possible driving factor as the shif would require a marked topological change in commuting fows over time that is not reported by national statistics. We expect possible factors therefore to be dependent on space and to change across years. One such factor could be a diferent virological signature at the local level, as discussed before. A second possible cause could be a spatially diferent vulnerability of the population to the disease, due to diferent age structures (e.g. the fraction of individuals under 20 years of age varies from 21% in Corsica to 27% in Nord-Pas-de-Calais, data of 2015⁵⁶) or varying vaccination rates per region. A statistical model fitting ILI incidence data in the US found a large variation in the parameter value ftting population susceptibility to infuenza across seasons¹³. This seems to support our hypothesis on the role of different profiles of regional immunity that may change in time. We repeated the analysis on ILI age structured data and obtained similar results, suggesting that we are unable to uncover these mechanisms on surveillance data only. Validation on serological or vaccination coverage data at the regional level is prevented by the lack of exhaustive data, but presents an interesting avenue to explore in future data collection developments.

Some limitations afect our study. First, we use ILI data as a proxy for the spatio-temporal evolution of infuenza epidemics. This is in line with a large number of previous works^{2–4,9,13,57}, as ILI data are known to be a reliable proxy for influenza incidence when activity is sustained^{58–60}. Close to the threshold the agreement is expected to be lower, thus possibly afecting the identifcation of the onset time of the epidemic especially in smaller regions. For this reason, we also tested diferent regional epidemic thresholds fnding that our results are robust against this change. Second, diferent defnitions of the onset times may be proposed13. Here we decided to adopt the national defnition of the epidemic period remapped to the regional scale. Tird, the surveillance network of sentinel general practitioners is not a representative sample distributed in the country. Recent work proposed different techniques to correct ILI data in France against this possible bias^{61,62}. We checked that these corrections would leave the peak time invariant and would lead to a small change of the threshold value that would however not alter the identifcation of the onset time, as discussed above. Fourth, our classifcation depends on the clustering threshold chosen. While we tested the robustness of our choice in the sensitivity analysis (see Supplementary Fig. S6 in the SI), our approach maintains an arbitrary degree in the defnition of the similarity across seasons that may be tuned according to the study objective. Finally, we note that starting 2016 France adopted a diferent administrative subdivision in 13 regions following an aggregation of the 22 regions considered here. Our study could be easily extended in future work as surveillance data are currently collected by keeping both subdivisions. Using the 22 regions subdivision would allow us to maintain the comparison with past seasons and also to have a higher granularity to better appreciate spatial patterns.

Conclusions

Our study introduces a novel method to better classify diferent spatiotemporal trends in seasonal infuenza activity. A larger variability is observed at epidemic onset with respect to peak time. The clustering at peak time reveals a more pronounced spatial difusion for seasons exhibiting recurrent patterns compared to seasons characterized by unique patterns. Commuting plays a measurable role in shaping recurrent infuenza spatial patterns whereas it is found to play no role in unique patterns seasons. Tis provides new insights that were hidden in prior analyses that were not capable of assessing the full spectrum of possible confgurations. Groups of seasons shifing from similar onset patterns to diferent peak patterns do not fnd a defnitive explanation in the present study but open the path to future perspectives assessing viral strain dominance and population immunity at a higher resolution than what currently available.

Tis work is the frst systematic classifcation of infuenza seasons in terms of difusion patterns at onset and at peak, but the methodology described here is completely general. Applying this method to countries of diferent scale within the same study period may help identify season-specifc properties that are robust in the classifcation and shed light on the interplay between geographical scale and observed propagation. Also, the comparison between clustering of infuenza seasons and of other respiratory disease epidemics may yield novel insights into the relation between pathogens and associated spreading patterns.

Methods

Data. ILI incidence data is provided by the French GP surveillance network³⁰ adopting the following ILI definition: a sudden fever of over 39 °C with myalgia and respiratory symptoms⁶³. Incidence curves are smoothed with a 3-week moving average to avoid noisy fuctuations. Incidence data at the regional level is considered for all age classes aggregated, and also broken down for children (less than 20 years) and adults (otherwise) classes for age-specifc analyses.

Mobility data are obtained from two sources. Commuter flows between different departments (NUTS 3 level) are extracted from data of the French National Institute of Statistics and Economic Studies (Insee) for 201164 and aggregated at the regional level (NUTS 2 level). Air travel fuxes between French regions are extracted from the IATA worldwide airport database⁶⁵ aggregating all airports in the same region. A total of 60 commercial airports exist in France with 193 within-country connections.

Temperature data are obtained from the European Climate Assessment & Dataset (ECA&D)^{66,67}. Daily data from all weather stations in a given region are aggregated to compute an average weekly temperature for the region. Data extended up to 2005, and for some regions no temperature data was available.

Epidemic threshold and onset time. For every season, the regional onset time of the epidemic is defined as the frst of two consecutive weeks for which the ILI incidence rate is above 150 cases for 100,000 inhabitants. No standard defnition is available for the onset of the epidemic period at the regional level, and currently the Sentinel Surveillance System adopts an approach similar to the one used at the national level. By comparing ILI data³⁰ and virological data⁶⁸ for the same season in France, the above threshold value is obtained by imposing that with 95% confdence the percentage of positive swabs is at least 15% of the total ILI samples (Supplementary Fig. S7). We also tested diferent values for sensitivity. If the incidence rate is never above the threshold value, the region is considered as not experiencing an epidemic and is excluded from the analysis.

Data availability. All datasets used in this work are accessible at the corresponding links and references listed in the bibliography section.

References

- 1. Seasonal infuenza facts, WHO.<http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs211/en/>.
- 2. Viboud, C. *et al*. Infuenza epidemics in the United States, France, and Australia, 1972–1997. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **10**, 32–39 (2004).
- 3. Viboud, C. *et al*. Synchrony, waves, and spatial hierarchies in the spread of infuenza. *Science* **312**, 447–451 (2006).
- 4. Huppert, A. *et al*. Modeling and statistical analysis of the spatio-temporal patterns of seasonal infuenza in Israel. *PLoS. ONE.* **7**, e45107 (2012).
- 5. Bonabeau, E., Toubiana, L. & Flahault, A. Te geographical spread of infuenza. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **265**, 2421–2425 (1998).
- 6. He, D., Dushof, J., Efimie, R. & Earn, D. J. D. Patterns of spread of infuenza A in Canada. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **280**, 20131174 (2013). 7. Brockmann, D. & Helbing, D. Te hidden geometry of complex, network-driven contagion phenomena. *Science* **342**, 1337–42
- (2013). 8. Charaudeau, S., Pakdaman, K. & Boëlle, P.-Y. Commuter Mobility and the Spread of Infectious Diseases: Application to Infuenza in France. *PLOS ONE* **9** (2014).
- 9. Crépey, P. & Barthélemy, M. Detecting robust patterns in the spread of epidemics: A case study of infuenza in the United States and France. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **166**, 1244–1251 (2007).
- 10. Minodier, L. *et al*. Epidemiology and viral etiology of the infuenza-like illness in Corsica during the 2012–2013 winter: An analysis of several sentinel surveillance systems. *PLoS. ONE.* **9**, 1–13 (2014).
- 11. Wenger, J. B. & Naumova, E. N. Seasonal synchronization of infuenza in the United States older adult population. *PLoS. ONE.* **5**, e10187 (2010).
- 12. Sakai, T. *et al*. Geographic and temporal trends in infuenzalike illness, Japan, 1992–1999. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **10**, 1822–1826 (2004).
- 13. Charu, V. *et al*. Human mobility and the spatial transmission of infuenza in the United States. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **13**, e1005382 (2017).
- 14. Schanzer, D. L., Langley, J. M., Dummer, T. & Aziz, S. Te geographic synchrony of seasonal infuenza: A waves across Canada and the United States. *PLoS. ONE.* **6**, e21471 (2011).
- 15. Balcan, D. *et al*. Multiscale mobility networks and the spatial spreading of infectious diseases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **106**, 21484–21489 (2009).
- 16. Brownstein, J. S., Wolfe, C. J. & Mandl, K. D. Empirical evidence for the efect of airline travel on inter-regional infuenza spread in the United States. *PLoS. Med.* **3**, 1826–1835 (2006).
- 17. Bozick, B. A. & Real, L. A. Te role of human transportation networks in mediating the genetic structure of seasonal infuenza in the United States. *PLoS Pathog.* **11**, e1004898 (2015).
- 18. Caini, S. *et al*. Epidemiological and virological characteristics of infuenza B: results of the global infuenza B study. *Infuenza. Other. Respir. Viruses.* **9**, 3–12 (2015).
- 19. Mosnier, A. *et al*. Ten infuenza seasons in France: distribution and timing of infuenza A and B circulation, 2003–2013. *BMC. Infect. Dis.* **15**, 357 (2015).
- 20. Paget, J., Marquet, R., Meijer, A. & van der Velden, K. Infuenza activity in Europe during eight seasons (1999–2007): an evaluation of the indicators used to measure activity and an assessment of the timing, length and course of peak activity (spread) across Europe. *BMC. Infect. Dis.* **7**, 141 (2007).
- 21. Lofgren, E., Feferman, N. H., Naumov, Y. N., Gorski, J. & Naumova, E. N. Infuenza seasonality: Underlying causes and modeling theories. *J. Virol.* **81**, 5429–5436 (2007).
- 22. Dowell, S. F. Seasonal variation in host susceptibility and cycles of certain infectious diseases. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **7**, 369–374 (2001).
- 23. Edgar, R. S. *et al*. Cell autonomous regulation of herpes and infuenza virus infection by the circadian clock. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **113**, 10085–10090 (2016).
- 24. Shaman, J. & Kohn, M. Absolute humidity modulates infuenza survival, transmission, and seasonality. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 3243–3248 (2009).
- 25. Shaman, J., Pitzer, V. E., Viboud, C., Grenfell, B. T. & Lipsitch, M. Absolute humidity and the seasonal onset of infuenza in the continental United States. *PLoS Biol.* **8**, e1000316 (2010).
- 26. Towers, S. *et al*. Climate change and infuenza: the likelihood of early and severe infuenza seasons following warmer than average winters. *PLOS Currents* (2013).
- 27. Deyle, E. R., Maher, M. C., Hernandez, R. D., Basu, S. & Sugihara, G. Global environmental drivers of infuenza. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **113**, 13081–13086 (2016).
- 28. Stark, J. H. *et al*. Local variations in spatial synchrony of infuenza epidemics. *PLoS. ONE.* **7**, e43528 (2012).
- 29. Valleron, A. *et al*. A computer network for the surveillance. *Am. J. Public Heal*. **76** (1986).
- 30. Homepage, reséau sentinelles. [https://websenti.u707.jussieu.fr/sentiweb/?lang](https://websenti.u707.jussieu.fr/sentiweb/?lang=en)=en.
- 31. Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R. & Friedman, J. *Te Elements of Statistical Learning*. Springer Series in Statistics (Springer New York Inc., 2001).
- 32. Rosvall, M. & Bergstrom, C. T. Mapping change in large networks. *PLoS. ONE.* **5**, 1–7 (2010).
- 33. Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J. Royal Stat. Soc. Ser. B (Methodological)* **57**, 289–300 (1995).
- 34. Chevan, A. & Sutherland, M. Hierarchical partitioning. *Am. Stat.* **45**, 90–96 (1991).
- 35. Lysianuk, B. & Tabeaud, M. Vers une signature spatiale de la vulnérabilité épidémiologique en France: l'exemple de la grippe. *Physio-Géo* **4**, 199–212 (2010).
- 36. Poletto, C., Tizzoni, M. & Colizza, V. Human mobility and time spent at destination: Impact on spatial epidemic spreading. *J. Teor. Biol.* **338**, 41–58 (2013).
- 37. Keeling, M. J., Danon, L., Vernon, M. C. & House, T. A. Individual identity and movement networks for disease metapopulations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **107**, 8866–8870 (2010).
- 38. Gautreau, A., Barrat, A. & Barthélemy, M. Global disease spread: Statistics and estimation of arrival times. *J. Teor. Biol.* **251**, 509–522 (2008).
- 39. Russell, C. A. et al. The global circulation of seasonal influenza a (H3N2) viruses. *Science* 320, 340-346 (2008).
- 40. Lemey, P. *et al*. Unifying viral genetics and human transportation data to predict the global transmission dynamics of human infuenza H3N2. *PLoS Pathog.* **10**, 1–10 (2014).
- 41. Viboud, C., Nelson, M. I., Tan, Y. & Holmes, E. C. Contrasting the epidemiological and evolutionary dynamics of infuenza spatial transmission. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **368** (2013).
- 42. Poletto, C., Boëlle, P.-Y. & Colizza, V. Risk of MERS importation and onward transmission: a systematic review and analysis of cases reported to WHO. *BMC. Infect. Dis.* **16**, 448 (2016).
- 43. Pastore-Piontti, A. *et al*. Real-time assessment of the international spreading risk associated with the2014 west african ebola outbreak. In M*athematical and Statistical Modeling for Emerging and Re-emerging Infectious Diseases*, 39–56 (Springer, Cham, 2016).
- 44. Balcan, D. *et al*. Seasonal transmission potential and activity peaks of the new infuenza A(H1N1): A Monte Carlo likelihood analysis based on human mobility. *BMC Med.* **7**, 45 (2009).
- 45. Khan, K. *et al*. Spread of a novel infuenza A (H1N1) virus via global airline transportation. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **361**, 212–214 (2009).
- 46. Fraser, C. *et al*. Pandemic potential of a strain of infuenza A (H1N1): early fndings. *Science* **324**, 1557–1561 (2009). 47. Viboud, C., Miller, M. A., Grenfell, B. T., Bjørnstad, O. N. & Simonsen, L. Air travel and the spread of infuenza: Important caveats.
- *PLoS. Med.* **3**, 2159–2168 (2006). 48. Noort, S. P. V. The role of weather on the relation between influenza and influenza-like illness. *J. Theor. Biol.* 298, 131-137 (2012).
- 49. Bedford, T. *et al*. Global circulation patterns of seasonal infuenza viruses vary with antigenic drif. *Nature* **523**, 217–20 (2015).
- 50. Schnepf, N. *et al*. High burden of non-infuenza viruses in infuenza-like illness in the early weeks of H1N1v epidemic in france. *PLOS ONE* **6** (2011).
- 51. van Asten, L. *et al*. Early occurrence of infuenza a epidemics coincided with changes in occurrence of other respiratory virus infections. *Infuenza. Other. Respir. Viruses.* **10**, 14–26 (2016).
- 52. Weekly bullettin, GROG. [http://www.grog.org/cgi-fles/db.cgi?code](http://www.grog.org/cgi-files/db.cgi?code=275 action=bulletin_grog)=275 action=bulletin_grog (week 14 season 2009).
- 53. Weekly bullettin, GROG. [http://www.grog.org/cgi-fles/db.cgi?code](http://www.grog.org/cgi-files/db.cgi?code=390 action=bulletin_grog)=390 action=bulletin_grog (week 13 season 2012).
- 54. Infuenza in Europe season 2013–2014, ECDC. [https://ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/infuenza-europe-season-2013-2014](https://ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/influenza-europe-season-2013-2014).
- 55. Infuenza activity atlas,ECDC. [http://atlas.ecdc.europa.eu/public/index.aspx.](http://atlas.ecdc.europa.eu/public/index.aspx)
- 56. French population structure, Institut national de la statistique et des études économiques(INSEE). https://www.insee.fr/en/ statistiques/2382609?sommaire=2382613.
- 57. Goeyvaerts, N. *et al*. Estimating dynamic transmission model parameters for seasonal infuenza by ftting to age and season-specifc infuenza-like illness incidence. *Epidemics* **13**, 1–9 (2015).
- 58. Viboud, C. *et al*. Demonstrating the use of high-volume electronic medical claims data to monitor local and regional infuenza activity in the US. *PLoS. ONE.* **9**, 1–12 (2014).
- 59. Ortiz, J. R. *et al*. Monitoring Infuenza activity in the United States: A comparison of traditional surveillance systems with Google Flu Trends. *PLoS. ONE.* **6**, 2–10 (2011).
- 60. Vandendijck, Y., Faes, C. & Hens, N. Eight years of the Great Infuenza Survey to monitor infuenza-like illness in Flanders. *PLoS. ONE.* **8**, 1–8 (2013).
- 61. Souty, C. & Boëlle, P. Y. Improving incidence estimation in practice-based sentinel surveillance networks using spatial variation in general practitioner density. *BMC Med. Res. Methodol*. 1–8 (2016).
- 62. Souty, C. *et al*. Improving disease incidence estimates in primary care surveillance systems. *Popul. Health Metr.* **12**, 19 (2014).
- 63. Costagliola, D. *et al*. A routine tool for detection and assessment of epidemics of infuenza-like syndromes in France Dominique. *Am. J. Public Health* **81**, 97–98 (1991).
- 64. Homepage, Institut national de la statistique et des études économiques(INSEE). [http://www.insee.fr.](http://www.insee.fr)
- 65. Homepage, IATA. <http://www.iata.org/Pages/default.aspx>.
- 66. Klein Tank, A. M. G. *et al*. Daily dataset of 20th-century surface air temperature and precipitation series for the european climate assessment. *Int. J. Climatol.* **22**, 1441–1453 (2002).
- 67. Homepage, European Climate Assessment and Dataset project. [http://eca.knmi.nl/.](http://eca.knmi.nl/)
- 68. European Infuenza Surveillance Network (EISN), ECDC. [https://ecdc.europa.eu/en/about-us/partnerships-and-networks/disease](https://ecdc.europa.eu/en/about-us/partnerships-and-networks/disease-and-laboratory-networks/eisn)[and-laboratory-networks/eisn](https://ecdc.europa.eu/en/about-us/partnerships-and-networks/disease-and-laboratory-networks/eisn).

Acknowledgements

We thank Shweta Bansal and Martin Rosvall for fruitful discussions on this study. The work was partially supported by the EC-Health contract no. 278433 (PREDEMICS) to PC, CP and VC and by the French ANR project HarMS-flu (ANR-12-MONU-0018) to PC and VC. PC also acknowledges partial support from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement 682540 - TransMID).

Author Contributions

P.C., C.P. and V.C. conceived and designed the analysis. P.C. performed the analysis. P.C. drafed a frst version of the manuscript. P.C. and V.C. wrote the final version of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the interpretation of the results, the writing of the manuscript, read and approved the fnal manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-30949-x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-30949-x).

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Co O Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

 $© The Author(s) 2018$