



## **Coronilla juncea, a native candidate for phytostabilization of potentially toxic elements and restoration of Mediterranean soils**

Alma Heckenroth, Pascale Prudent, Hélène Folzer, Jacques Rabier, Criquet  
Stéven, Arne Saatkamp, Marie-Dominique Salducci, Laurent Vassalo, Isabelle  
Laffont-Schwob

### **► To cite this version:**

Alma Heckenroth, Pascale Prudent, Hélène Folzer, Jacques Rabier, Criquet Stéven, et al.. Coronilla juncea, a native candidate for phytostabilization of potentially toxic elements and restoration of Mediterranean soils. Scientific Reports, 2022, 12, pp.10033. 10.1038/s41598-022-14139-4 . hal-03690066v2

**HAL Id: hal-03690066**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03690066v2>**

Submitted on 21 Jun 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# OPEN

***Coronilla juncea*, a native candidate  
for phytostabilization of potentially  
toxic elements and restoration  
of Mediterranean soils**

**Alma Heckenroth<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Pascale Prudent<sup>4</sup>, H  l  ne Folzer<sup>2,3</sup>, Jacques Rabier<sup>3</sup>,  
St  ven Criquet<sup>3</sup>, Arne Saatkamp<sup>3</sup>, Marie-Dominique Salducci<sup>3</sup>, Laurent Vassalo<sup>4</sup> &  
Isabelle Laffont-Schwob<sup>1,2</sup>**✉

Soil contamination pattern due to industrial activities often leads to high concentrations of potentially toxic elements (PTE) decreasing with depth. This spatial heterogeneity of the soil contamination may have significant consequences on the soil properties and soil living communities. We evaluated the effects of both surface and solum soil contamination heterogeneity on *Coronilla juncea* L. (Fabaceae) functional traits in field conditions and the phytostabilization potential of this species. Plant and soil samples were collected on 3 sites along a PTE contamination gradient. The correlations between PTE concentration in plant and soil samples at 2 depths, physico-chemical properties of soil, plant biomass and soil microbial activity were tested. Field measurements highlight a decreasing PTE concentration with soil depth in addition to an important surface heterogeneity of As, Cu, Pb, Sb and Zn soil concentrations. Root PTE concentrations in *C. juncea* did not follow soil PTE concentrations. Concentrations of PTE in the root parts were higher than those of the aerial parts. Low PTE translocation and root symbioses with microorganisms suggest that this native plant species may play a role as engineer species with positive implications for the phytostabilization of Mediterranean PTE contaminated soils and their ecological restoration.

For the two last centuries, industrial activities have generated an accumulation of potentially toxic elements (PTE)<sup>1</sup> in soils at the vicinity of activity areas<sup>2</sup>. Soil contamination by inorganic compounds coming from slag heaps and atmospheric deposits is a dissuasive limit for sustainable land uses in many parts of the world<sup>3</sup>. Indeed, PTE lead to ecotoxicological effects on plant and soil organisms and, microbial activities that can degrade soil functions, constrain vegetation recovery and be considered to constitute a human and environmental health risk. Phytoremediation techniques have been developed for many ecological contexts<sup>4</sup>. However, little is known on how spatial heterogeneity in contaminant distribution affects the efficiency of phytoremediation approaches. If industrial contaminations are often characterized by an important spatial heterogeneity<sup>5-7</sup>, previous research works mostly focused on topsoil (ca. 0–25 cm) contamination heterogeneity as a whole. The effects of contamination heterogeneity through the soil profile have been little investigated and approaches taking into consideration both spatial axes of contamination heterogeneity (surface and solum) are lacking. However, the heterogeneity of soil characteristics even at a small scale is known to influence the response of plants and their associated microorganisms in terms of structure and functions, from the processes linked to the root growth and nutrient resource capture to ecosystem functioning<sup>8-11</sup>. This soil heterogeneity contributes to the characteristic patchy distribution of vegetation in dry ecosystems, patches that in turn provide more favorable microenvironments for plant regeneration<sup>12</sup>. In industrial and urban contexts, spatial heterogeneity of the soil contamination is often considered as typical<sup>7</sup>. This is also the case for smelter activities that generate important PTE atmospheric emissions and then particular deposits at the topsoil surface of the surrounding environment<sup>6,13,14</sup>. The depth distribution of industrial contaminants throughout the soil profile shows often decreasing concentrations of PTE with depth, caused by atmospheric deposits and particle run-off<sup>15,16</sup>. These surface and solum heterogeneities of soil contamination may have significant consequences on soil functions and communities of living organisms.

<sup>1</sup>Aix Marseille Univ, IRD, LPED, Marseille, France. <sup>2</sup>Fédération de Recherche ECCOREV N° 3098, Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Aix-en-Provence, France. <sup>3</sup>Aix Marseille Univ, Avignon Université, CNRS, IRD, IMBE, Marseille, France. <sup>4</sup>Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, LCE, Marseille, France. ✉email: isabelle.schwob@univ-amu.fr

Recent works that studied the effects of contamination variability on composition and structure of plant communities found correlations between plant assemblages and PTE soil concentrations indicating an alteration of the ecosystem trajectory under pollution pressure<sup>5,17–19</sup>. Plants can deal with small-scale heterogeneity of contaminants by avoiding the most contaminated patches of soil<sup>20</sup>. This ‘rooting plasticity’ may have important consequences for efficiency of phytostabilization in sites where the contaminations are concentrated in topsoils.

Plant-soil-microorganism interactions in the rhizosphere are determinant for the ability of plant and associated microorganism communities to develop, by increasing the resistance of the host plant to biotic and abiotic stresses<sup>21</sup>. However, Giller et al.<sup>22</sup> showed that microorganisms are more sensitive to stress caused by PTE contamination compared to other soil organisms. By affecting structure and functional diversity of microbial communities, PTE are likely to alter the biological processes of soils, such as litter decomposition, soil organic matter mineralization, and nutrient recycling<sup>23,24</sup>. Furthermore, inorganic contaminations affect interactions between plants and microorganisms in the rhizosphere<sup>25</sup>. Yet, these interactions are a major determinant of the plant tolerance mechanisms to contaminants, by influencing nutrient availability and PTE bioavailability and ecotoxicity<sup>26</sup> and leading in some cases to a decrease of stress and toxicity generated by PTE<sup>27</sup>. Indeed, plant-associated microorganisms can contribute to a reduction of PTE in aboveground parts of plants by promoting the sequestration of contaminants in both roots and rhizosphere microorganisms<sup>28</sup>, immobilization in the soil<sup>29</sup>, or by direct root accumulation<sup>30,31</sup> in continuous feedback linked to plant community composition and soil abiotic properties<sup>32</sup>. Phytostabilization approaches are based on the combined action of plants and their associated microorganisms for stabilization of inorganic contaminants and the physical protection against erosion provided by plant cover to reduce the transfer of these contaminants<sup>33–36</sup>. Thus, spatial heterogeneity of soil contaminants needs to be considered to avoid any failure in reducing PTE transfer. Mechanisms underlying the strategy of plant-microorganism to deal with contamination heterogeneity have to be elucidated. Moreover, the length of summer dryness of the soil in certain biogeographic areas such as the Mediterranean Basin added to steep slopes leading to soil erosion are local constraints increasing the risk of PTE transfer<sup>37</sup>. Besides, a recent research work on spatial distribution of PTE from smelters from Hunan Province of China contributed to a better understanding of PTE distribution in soil layers and demonstrated the effect of Zn, Cu, As on microorganism communities with the help of metagenomic methods<sup>38</sup>. Microorganisms are a key performance indicator of the soil quality and may provide information of soil degradation using methods from microbial biomass and activities to express soil functionalities and/or metagenomic to express soil biodiversity<sup>38,39</sup>. Consequently, to optimize phytostabilization of polluted soils, there is a need to take into consideration the spatial heterogeneity of the soil contamination and plant-microorganism response to PTE in soil<sup>40,41</sup>.

Phytostabilization approaches constitute a nature-based solution considered as adapted to the context of protected areas where no redevelopment of brownfields is considered. We selected a former lead smelter named Escalette located in the Calanques National Park (Marseille, south-east France). A previous study highlighted an important heterogeneity of the PTE topsoil contamination<sup>19</sup> and, has led to a selection of native pseudo-metallophytes being potential candidates for phytostabilization. Pseudo-metallophytes refer to plant species having acquired PTE tolerance however being able to grow both in PTE polluted and non-polluted areas. They differ from metallophytes restricted to metal-rich soils<sup>42</sup>. Amongst those, the native leguminous *Coronilla juncea* L. appeared to tolerate high levels of PTE in soils. This species is known to form root symbioses with N-fixing bacteria favouring rehabilitation of arid degraded lands and considered as a nurse plant<sup>43,44</sup>. The current study focused on the phytostabilization potential of this plant species in the context of elevated PTE contamination heterogeneity in Escalette soils.

We further assume that the PTE soil concentration should be higher in the topsoil layer, as the industrial contamination of the area was mainly caused by atmospheric deposition of contaminants from the smelter activity, then by those contained in ashes from the degraded horizontal chimney located upstream of the contaminated studied area<sup>38</sup>. We were also expecting deleterious effects on microbial and plant traits depending on PTE soil concentrations<sup>39</sup>. We hypothesize deleterious effects may follow a hump-back model with intermediary PTE contamination being the less deleterious on biotic interactions (*sensu* Grime<sup>45</sup>).


Our purpose here was to investigate: (i) the effects of both surface and solum contaminant heterogeneities on root and microorganism functional traits; (ii) the phytostabilization efficiency under field conditions. We therefore conducted an analysis of PTE concentrations in plant and soil samples, the characterization of pedological properties and indirect measurements of soil microbial activity based on basal and substrate induced respirations (BR vs SIR) and estimation of the number of root nodules, depending on soil depth in a range of field conditions.

## Results

**Soil physico-chemical characteristics.** All soil samples showed similar pedological properties. Since no significant effect of soil depth was observed on the pedological properties, only the properties measured in the topsoil, between 0 and 10 cm depth, are given in Table 1. Cation exchange capacity (CEC) and MgO were significantly lower in Escalette 2 (E<sub>2</sub>) compared to Escalette 1 (E<sub>1</sub>) and Sormiou (S), respectively. Cation exchange capacity was positively correlated with total organic carbon (TOC;  $r=0.8$ ;  $p\text{-value}\leq 0.001$ ; Fig. 1). Apart the higher exchangeable Mg content, no significant difference of concentrations for nutritional elements and organic matter could be detected for soil from the control site to contaminated soils E<sub>1</sub> and E<sub>2</sub>. The soil texture of all sites was mostly loam and silty loam (Table 1). Total organic carbon content ranged from 2.8 to 4.8%; however, no significant differences between sites could be revealed. Considering the results obtained for each site and at both soil depths (Fig. 1), TOC, total Kjeldahl nitrogen (NTK), cation exchange capacity and exchangeable P and Ca were altogether positively correlated ( $p\text{-value}\leq 0.05$ ; Fig. 1). The substrate-induced respiration/soil basal respiration (SIR/BR) ratio showed the lowest value at the most contaminated site E<sub>2</sub>, with an average increasing ratio around 490% vs 790% and 760% for S and E<sub>1</sub>, respectively (Table 1). Considering all sites and soil depths

| Soil pedological properties           | Sites          |                |                |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                                       | S              | E <sub>1</sub> | E <sub>2</sub> |
| pH <sub>water</sub>                   | 8.08 ± 0.03a   | 7.94 ± 0.10a   | 8.11 ± 0.12a   |
| CEC (meq/100 g)                       | 20.30 ± 3.00ab | 24.82 ± 7.74a  | 16.20 ± 3.52b  |
| Clay (%)                              | 20.92 ± 6.26a  | 20.00 ± 6.02a  | 15.76 ± 5.52a  |
| Fine silt (%)                         | 19.08 ± 1.05a  | 16.3 ± 6.45a   | 19.36 ± 8.27a  |
| Coarse silt (%)                       | 27.16 ± 6.41a  | 35.78 ± 5.41a  | 33.06 ± 7.49a  |
| Fine sand (%)                         | 14.4 ± 3.89a   | 10.56 ± 3.12a  | 12.5 ± 2.04a   |
| Coarse sand (%)                       | 18.5 ± 3.79a   | 17.36 ± 5.75a  | 19.34 ± 6.06a  |
| CaO (g/kg)                            | 10.86 ± 1.48a  | 11.09 ± 1.62a  | 9.03 ± 1.31a   |
| K <sub>2</sub> O (g/kg)               | 0.22 ± 0.05a   | 0.21 ± 0.055a  | 0.19 ± 0.05a   |
| MgO (g/kg)                            | 0.42 ± 0.10a   | 0.21 ± 0.06b   | 0.18 ± 0.06b   |
| Na <sub>2</sub> O (mg/kg)             | 36.2 ± 14.52a  | 28.8 ± 10.38a  | 36.4 ± 25.70a  |
| P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (mg/kg) | 26.6 ± 11.35a  | 59.8 ± 38.54a  | 37.8 ± 9.98a   |
| NTK (mg/g)                            | 2.85 ± 0.77a   | 3.88 ± 2.13a   | 2.69 ± 0.94a   |
| TC (%)                                | 7.12 ± 2.47a   | 9.28 ± 2.27a   | 6.85 ± 1.27a   |
| IC (%)                                | 3.23 ± 1.12a   | 4.51 ± 1.81a   | 4.04 ± 0.68a   |
| TOC (%)                               | 3.89 ± 1.48a   | 4.78 ± 2.66a   | 2.82 ± 1.04a   |
| SIR/BR (%)                            | 794 ± 51a      | 757 ± 121a     | 493 ± 136b     |
| FAO soil classification               | Calcisol       | Calcisol       | Calcisol       |

**Table 1.** Soil pedological properties (mean ± standard deviation) of the studied sites measured between 0 and 10 cm depth and FAO soil classification. Means (n = 5) followed by different letters are significantly different (Wilcoxon test,  $p \leq 0.05$ ). Sites: S = reference site at Sormiou. E<sub>1</sub> = Escalette 1 and E<sub>2</sub> = Escalette 2. Parameters: CEC = cation exchange capacity; NTK = total Kjeldahl nitrogen; TC = total carbon; IC = inorganic carbon; TOC = total organic carbon; SIR/BR = substrate-induced respiration/soil basal respiration.



**Figure 1.** Correlogram of Spearman correlation test performed between pedological properties and soil PTE (metal and metalloid pseudo-total concentrations in mg/kg) measured at both soil depths (0–10 cm and 10–20 cm). Blue dots correspond to the positive correlations and red dots to the negative correlations. The size and color intensity of the dots are proportional to the correlation coefficient value. Parameters: CEC = cation exchange capacity; NTK = total Kjeldahl nitrogen; TC = total carbon; IC = inorganic carbon; TOC = total organic carbon; SIR/BR = substrate-induced respiration/soil basal respiration.

| Soil PTE | Depth    | Sites          |                 |                 |
|----------|----------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|          |          | S              | E <sub>1</sub>  | E <sub>2</sub>  |
| Al       | 0–10 cm  | 31,926 ± 8261a | 30,382 ± 10802a | 34,621 ± 8900a  |
|          | 10–20 cm | 33,149 ± 8591a | 27,945 ± 4622a  | 41,217 ± 10741a |
| As       | 0–10 cm  | 15.5 ± 3.5c    | 675 ± 262b      | 5945 ± 1974a    |
|          | 10–20 cm | 14.0 ± 1.9b    | 505 ± 207b      | 1745 ± 863a     |
| Cu       | 0–10 cm  | 15.9 ± 2.3bc   | 23.7 ± 7.8b     | 30.5 ± 9.9ab    |
|          | 10–20 cm | 14.2 ± 1.5a    | 20.9 ± 7.5a     | 19.1 ± 10.3a    |
| Fe       | 0–10 cm  | 23,590 ± 4885a | 21,904 ± 5133a  | 23,989 ± 4737a  |
|          | 10–20 cm | 19,866 ± 2932a | 21,400 ± 5003a  | 26,337 ± 6222a  |
| Mn       | 0–10 cm  | 683 ± 130a     | 491 ± 252a      | 634 ± 149a      |
|          | 10–20 cm | 713 ± 143a     | 440 ± 185a      | 705 ± 156a      |
| Pb       | 0–10 cm  | 90.9 ± 36.9c   | 6286 ± 2811b    | 42,320 ± 11251a |
|          | 10–20 cm | 59.3 ± 17.9c   | 5624 ± 3775b    | 12,159 ± 5932a  |
| Sb       | 0–10 cm  | 1.4 ± 1.31c    | 202 ± 38b       | 2589 ± 829a     |
|          | 10–20 cm | 1.1 ± 0.05c    | 191 ± 69b       | 885 ± 359a      |
| Ti       | 0–10 cm  | 581 ± 79a      | 491 ± 88a       | 522 ± 30a       |
|          | 10–20 cm | 558 ± 118a     | 490 ± 74a       | 549 ± 67a       |
| Zn       | 0–10 cm  | 105 ± 18.2c    | 1767 ± 760b     | 10,704 ± 4524a  |
|          | 10–20 cm | 96.1 ± 13.5c   | 1290 ± 720b     | 3898 ± 833a     |

**Table 2.** Soil elements (PTE and other element pseudo-total concentrations in mg/kg) measured at 0–10 cm and 10–20 cm depth. In the same row, values (mean ± standard deviation (n = 5)) followed by different letters are significantly different (Wilcoxon test.  $P \leq 0.05$ ). Sites: S = reference site at Sormiou. E<sub>1</sub> = Escalette 1 and E<sub>2</sub> = Escalette 2.

together, there were no significant correlations between BR, SIR neither SIR/BR and, other soil characteristics. But there were significant negative correlations between SIR/BR and As, Pb, Sb and Zn soil pseudo-total concentrations ( $p$ -value  $\leq 0.05$ ; Fig. 1).

**Soil potentially toxic element contamination heterogeneity.** Looking to the element concentrations within the topsoil between 0 and 10 cm depth, it was possible to distinguish two groups of elements: on one hand, As, Cu, Pb, Sb and Zn with concentrations that were significantly different among sites and following a decreasing trend i.e.  $E_2 > E_1 > S$ , out of which no significant difference of Cu concentrations were pointed out between E<sub>1</sub> and E<sub>2</sub> (Table 2); on the other hand, Al, Fe, Mn and Ti soil concentrations with no significant difference detected between the 3 sites. The analysis of the PTE concentrations globally showed an important heterogeneity of topsoils as indicated by high standard deviations (Table 2). As, Cu, Pb, Sb and Zn showed decreasing concentrations with soil depth (Table 3). Moreover, significant differences were observed for the variation of PTE concentrations between 0–10 cm and 10–20 cm depth when comparing the most contaminated site E<sub>2</sub> and reference site S (Table 3). Concerning As and Zn, this difference between the two depths was also significant for all sites. Since this difference of concentration calculated between 0–10 cm and 10–20 cm soil depth quantifies the PTE contamination heterogeneity through the soil profile, it was quite clear that the most contaminated sites showed also the most heterogeneous vertical contamination regarding the data (Table 2). More globally, the contamination heterogeneity, both horizontal and vertical, seemed more marked on the site where PTE concentrations reached the highest levels. The phytoavailable PTE concentrations showed the same trend as pseudo-total ones with the highest values observed at the E<sub>2</sub> site, then decreasingly E<sub>1</sub> and S (only elements that showed different pseudo-total concentrations from one site to another are presented Table 3). At the reference site S, phytoavailable concentrations of As and Sb were below the detection limit. For Pb, a phytoavailable fraction of 4% was measured between 0 and 10 cm depth at this site. Cu phytoavailable concentrations were similar among sites, following the trend of the Cu pseudo-total concentrations. For the other PTE, the phytoavailable fractions seemed to vary depending on each element, site and depth: at Escalette sites (E<sub>1</sub> and E<sub>2</sub>), phytoavailable fractions were between 3 and 9% for Sb, between 9 and 13% for As, 11% and 19% for Cu, between 17 and 21% for Zn, and from 25 to 49% for Pb. Cu phytoavailable fraction ranged from 14 to 19% at the reference site, and Zn fraction was 11% for both depths at the same site. The analysis of regressions between pseudo-total and phytoavailable concentrations showed significant positive correlations ( $p$ -value  $\leq 0.001$ ), such correlations being higher in the case of Sb and Zn ( $r^2 > 0.9$ ) compared to As and Pb ( $0.76 < r^2 < 0.78$ ). For Cu, the correlation coefficient  $r^2$  was around 0.6.

**Accumulation and transfer of PTE in plants.** There were no significant differences between PTE concentrations in root parts of *C. juncea* from different soil depths for both contaminated sites E<sub>1</sub> and E<sub>2</sub> (Table 4), in contrast to the PTE concentrations measured in the soils. There were generally higher concentrations of PTE in the root parts compared to the aerial parts, especially at the most contaminated site E<sub>2</sub> and with the major concentration differences observed between aerial parts and root parts from the topsoil layer (0 to 10 cm). Fur-

| Sites                         |               | Variations ( $\delta$ ) of PTE soil concentration between 0–10 cm and 10–20 cm |                     |                         |                      |                        |
|-------------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
|                               |               | As   | Cu                  | Pb                      | Sb                   | Zn                     |
| Sormiou (S)                   | Min           | – 0.5  | – 0.8               | 13                      | – 1.1                | – 7.3                  |
|                               | Mean $\pm$ SD | 5.5 $\pm$ 5.0 c  | 1.8 $\pm$ 2.5 bc    | 37 $\pm$ 23 bc          | 1.5 $\pm$ 1.7 bc     | 10.6 $\pm$ 20.9 c      |
|                               | Max           | 11.5   | 4.8                 | 66                      | 2.6                  | 40.0                   |
| Escalette 1 (E <sub>1</sub> ) | Min           | 86   | 0.05                | – 756                   | – 50                 | 243                    |
|                               | Mean $\pm$ SD | 151 $\pm$ 72 b   | 3.3 $\pm$ 2.6 b     | 732 $\pm$ 1113 b        | 10.5 $\pm$ 44 b      | 446 $\pm$ 223 b        |
|                               | Max           | 230  | 6.6                 | 1914                    | 47                   | 643                    |
| Escalette 2 (E <sub>2</sub> ) | Min           | 3670   | 11.3                | 25,593                  | 1281                 | 4249                   |
|                               | Mean $\pm$ SD | 4850 $\pm$ 1321 a  | 13.9 $\pm$ 4.1 a    | 34,116 $\pm$ 8069 a     | 1985 $\pm$ 614 a     | 8164 $\pm$ 3556 a      |
|                               | Max           | 6441   | 20.0                | 44,991                  | 2714                 | 12,351                 |
| Sites                         | Depths        | Phytoavailable PTE (mg kg <sup>–1</sup> ) at 0–10 cm and 10–20 cm soil depth   |                     |                         |                      |                        |
|                               |               | As   | Cu                  | Pb                      | Sb                   | Zn                     |
| Sormiou (S)                   | 0–10 cm       | < LOD  | 2.9 $\pm$ 1.3 (19%) | 5.52 $\pm$ 7.01 (4%)    | < LOD                | 11.13 $\pm$ 5.37 (11%) |
|                               | 10–20 cm      | < LOD  | 2.0 $\pm$ 0.8 (14%) | < LOD                   | < LOD                | 10.32 $\pm$ 3.4 (11%)  |
| Escalette 1 (E <sub>1</sub> ) | 0–10 cm       | 78.3 $\pm$ 43.5 (11%)  | 4.1 $\pm$ 1.3 (17%) | 3075 $\pm$ 1344 (49%)   | 7.88 $\pm$ 3.57 (4%) | 325 $\pm$ 184 (17%)    |
|                               | 10–20 cm      | 49.7 $\pm$ 35.0 (9%)   | 3.0 $\pm$ 1.1 (14%) | 2336 $\pm$ 1245 (45%)   | 4.86 $\pm$ 4.18 (3%) | 241 $\pm$ 144 (19%)    |
| Escalette 2 (E <sub>2</sub> ) | 0–10 cm       | 670 $\pm$ 376 (11%)  | 5.9 $\pm$ 2.2 (19%) | 10,246 $\pm$ 2873 (25%) | 234 $\pm$ 90 (9%)    | 2062 $\pm$ 918 (20%)   |
|                               | 10–20 cm      | 267 $\pm$ 266 (13%)  | 2.4 $\pm$ 2.8 (11%) | 4818 $\pm$ 3163 (41%)   | 74 $\pm$ 35 (8%)     | 750 $\pm$ 269 (21%)    |

**Table 3.** Variations ( $\delta$ ) of PTE (pseudo-total concentrations) between 0–10 cm and 10–20 cm depth within the same sample ( $\delta = [\text{PTE}]_{0-10} - [\text{PTE}]_{10-20}$ ) and soil phytoavailable PTE concentrations (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation ( $n = 5$ )). For phytoavailable PTE, the percentage in brackets refers to the ratio of the phytoavailable PTE concentrations on pseudo-total PTE concentrations ( $[\text{PTE}]_{\text{phytoavailable}}/[\text{PTE}]_{\text{pseudo-total}}$ ). “< LOD” indicates values below detection limits. In the same row, values followed by different letters are significantly different (Wilcoxon test,  $P \leq 0.05$ ).

| Site, organ and depth              | PTE and other elements concentrations (mg kg <sup>–1</sup> ) |                   |                 |                       |                   |                     |                   |                    |                     |
|------------------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|                                    | Al   | As                | Cu              | Fe                    | Mn                | Pb                  | Sb                | Ti                 | Zn                  |
| <b>Sormiou (S)</b>                 |  |                   |                 |                       |                   |                     |                   |                    |                     |
| S AP                               | 326.9 $\pm$ 223.1 a  | < LOD             | 9 $\pm$ 3.5 a   | 146.3 $\pm$ 65.4 bc   | 31.4 $\pm$ 10.2 a | 3.5 $\pm$ 4.1 a     | < LOD             | 3.2 $\pm$ 1.5 b    | 70.8 $\pm$ 36.6 a   |
| S RP 0–10 cm                       | 345.3 $\pm$ 265 a  | < LOD             | 0.8 $\pm$ 0.7 b | 35 $\pm$ 78.3 c       | < LOD             | 1.2 $\pm$ 1.2 a     | < LOD             | 4.4 $\pm$ 1.9 b    | 15.3 $\pm$ 14.7 b   |
| S RP 10–20 cm                      | 631.8 $\pm$ 372.9 a  | < LOD             | 7.2 $\pm$ 4.1 a | 444.5 $\pm$ 269.4 a   | 17.6 $\pm$ 6.4 b  | 2.4 $\pm$ 1.7 a     | < LOD             | 8.8 $\pm$ 5.3 a    | 17.8 $\pm$ 15.2 b   |
| Average RP                         | 377.1 $\pm$ 331.7  | < LOD             | 2.3 $\pm$ 4.4   | 129.2 $\pm$ 277.2     | 5.0 $\pm$ 9.6     | 1.1 $\pm$ 1.4       | < LOD             | 2.8 $\pm$ 5.4      | 14.0 $\pm$ 14.1     |
| <b>Escalette 1 (E<sub>1</sub>)</b> |  |                   |                 |                       |                   |                     |                   |                    |                     |
| E <sub>1</sub> AP                  | 162.4 $\pm$ 79.6 b   | 4.9 $\pm$ 4.1 a   | 4.2 $\pm$ 0.7 a | 117.9 $\pm$ 51.3 b    | 26.0 $\pm$ 3.7 a  | 59.7 $\pm$ 29.9 a   | 2.3 $\pm$ 1.6 a   | 2.3 $\pm$ 1.3 b    | 88.6 $\pm$ 28.9 a   |
| E <sub>1</sub> RP 0–10 cm          | 739.8 $\pm$ 569.4 a  | 22.8 $\pm$ 17.5 a | 7.8 $\pm$ 4.3 a | 482.6 $\pm$ 343.1 a   | 15.8 $\pm$ 10.3 a | 271.6 $\pm$ 183.4 a | 6.3 $\pm$ 3.7 a   | 9.9 $\pm$ 8.6 a    | 97.4 $\pm$ 62.3 a   |
| E <sub>1</sub> RP 10–20 cm         | 1736.6 $\pm$ 32.5 ab   | 50.5 $\pm$ 19.6 a | 5.7 $\pm$ 1.2 a | 1116.9 $\pm$ 74.4 ab  | 22.9 $\pm$ 1.9 a  | 463 $\pm$ 251.7 a   | 13 $\pm$ 3.1 a    | 22.7 $\pm$ 1.3 ab  | 127.1 $\pm$ 47.6 a  |
| Average RP                         | 693.6 $\pm$ 673.0  | 22.6 $\pm$ 21.2   | 6.57 $\pm$ 3.6  | 462.0 $\pm$ 418.5     | 13.9 $\pm$ 9.1    | 271.7 $\pm$ 204.2   | 6.1 $\pm$ 2.6     | 8.8 $\pm$ 9.4      | 93.3 $\pm$ 56.3     |
| <b>Escalette 2 (E<sub>2</sub>)</b> |  |                   |                 |                       |                   |                     |                   |                    |                     |
| E <sub>2</sub> AP                  | 140.1 $\pm$ 119.8 b  | 9.4 $\pm$ 11.8 b  | 3.8 $\pm$ 0.9 b | 90.9 $\pm$ 78.4 b     | 30.2 $\pm$ 7.9 a  | 86.5 $\pm$ 91.1 b   | 5.4 $\pm$ 4.3 b   | 1.8 $\pm$ 1.7 b    | 138.7 $\pm$ 18.7 a  |
| E <sub>2</sub> RP 0–10 cm          | 718.2 $\pm$ 341.5 a  | 96.4 $\pm$ 50.4 a | 6.6 $\pm$ 2.6 a | 498.7 $\pm$ 241.8 a   | 16.9 $\pm$ 7.3 a  | 742.4 $\pm$ 405.1 a | 44.5 $\pm$ 23.1 a | 10.5 $\pm$ 4.7 a   | 280.7 $\pm$ 161.3 a |
| E <sub>2</sub> RP 10–20 cm         | 1706.6 $\pm$ 1105.5 ab                                       | 38.3 $\pm$ 2.4 ab | 11 $\pm$ 7.6 ab | 1104.3 $\pm$ 744.4 ab | 30.5 $\pm$ 7.8 a  | 310.2 $\pm$ 37.8 ab | 35.1 $\pm$ 3.4 ab | 25.4 $\pm$ 14.3 ab | 208.7 $\pm$ 66.3 a  |
| Average RP                         | 677.3 $\pm$ 717.0  | 71.7 $\pm$ 49.9   | 6.0 $\pm$ 4.3   | 463.2 $\pm$ 467.6     | 16.3 $\pm$ 9.5    | 553.3 $\pm$ 392.6   | 25.8 $\pm$ 24.6   | 10.1 $\pm$ 10.0    | 233.1 $\pm$ 138.9   |

**Table 4.** PTE and other element concentrations measured in the aerial parts (AP) and root parts (RP) of the individuals of *Coronilla juncea* at the different sites (S, E<sub>1</sub>, E<sub>2</sub>) and soil depths. The average root part concentrations (in italics) have been calculated using mean concentrations and root biomasses per depth. For a same element and a same site, different letters indicate a significant difference between sites, organs and/or depths (Wilcoxon test,  $p$ -value  $\leq 0.05$ ).



|            | Site      | S             |               | E <sub>1</sub> |               | E <sub>2</sub> |               |
|------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
|            | Depth     | 0–10 cm       | 10–20 cm      | 0–10 cm        | 10–20 cm      | 0–10 cm        | 10–20 cm      |
| BCF As (%) | Min       |               | 0.94          | 5.66           |               | 0.69           | 2.56          |
|            | Mean ± SD | < LOD         | 3.23 ± 2.10   | 7.79 ± 3.02    | < LOD         | 1.69 ± 1.14    | 4.07 ± 2.14   |
|            | Max       |               | 6.06          | 9.93           |               | 3.59           | 5.58          |
| BCF Cu (%) | Min       | < LOD         | 18.25         | 25.68          | 29.73         | 11.89          | 39.04         |
|            | Mean ± SD | 3.77 ± 8.44   | 34.06 ± 19.25 | 25.85 ± 0.24   | 52.16 ± 35.04 | 23.64 ± 13.31  | 92.06 ± 74.98 |
|            | Max       | 18.87         | 67.18         | 26.02          | 104.04        | 45.10          | 145.07        |
| BCF Pb (%) | Min       | < LOD         | 1.71          | 5.84           | 0.00          | 0.74           | 3.05          |
|            | Mean ± SD | 1.42 ± 1.56   | 4.16 ± 2.43   | 8.04 ± 3.11    | 4.68 ± 3.80   | 1.76 ± 1.10    | 4.56 ± 2.15   |
|            | Max       | 3.78          | 7.52          | 10.23          | 8.12          | 3.59           | 6.08          |
| BCF Sb (%) | Min       |               | 0.98          | 4.99           |               | 0.80           | 4.11          |
|            | Mean ± SD | < LOD         | 3.18 ± 1.83   | 6.82 ± 2.58    | < LOD         | 1.74 ± 1.05    | 6.46 ± 3.32   |
|            | Max       |               | 5.60          | 8.64           |               | 3.52           | 8.80          |
| BCF Zn (%) | Min       | < LOD         | 2.44          | 5.96           | 8.23          | 1.39           | 6.31          |
|            | Mean ± SD | 12.43 ± 13.60 | 5.25 ± 2.60   | 7.87 ± 2.71    | 19.66 ± 18.53 | 2.75 ± 1.93    | 9.03 ± 3.85   |
|            | Max       | 35.22         | 8.95          | 9.79           | 47.35         | 6.14           | 11.76         |

**Table 5.** Bioconcentration factors (BCF) i.e. ratios of *Coronilla juncea* root PTE concentrations vs. soil PTE pseudo-total concentrations for As, Cu, Pb, Sb and Zn. Min: minimum; Max: maximum; Means (n = 5); SD: standard deviation. Sites: S = reference site at Sormiou. E<sub>1</sub> = Escalette 1 and E<sub>2</sub> = Escalette 2.


thermore, the highest plant concentration values of As, Pb, Sb and Zn were always measured in the root parts located within the topsoil, where the PTE contaminations originated from past industries were also the highest. Nevertheless, no significant differences between PTE mean concentrations of the roots sampled at different depths were detected. At the reference site S, significant differences were only measured for Cu, Fe, Mn and Ti between root parts from both depths with higher concentrations in the deepest samples (from 10 to 20 cm below the surface). Bioconcentration factors (BCF) showed increasing values with increasing soil depth (Table 5), highlighted by a positive correlation: this relation was significant considering the BCF of As, Pb, Sb and Zn, for which Spearman's  $r$  was respectively 0.55, 0.55, 0.66 and 0.63 ( $p$ -value < 0.05). The BCF were also negatively correlated to the PTE pseudo-total soil concentrations with  $r$  equal to  $-0.69$ ,  $-0.66$ ,  $-0.64$ ,  $-0.53$  and  $-0.62$  for As, Cu, Pb, Sb and Zn respectively ( $p$ -value < 0.05). There were no significant correlations between the root concentrations and BCF for the industrial-originated elements As, Pb, Sb and Zn but a positive one for Al, Cu, Fe, Mn and Ti ( $0.56 \leq r \leq 0.93$ ;  $p$ -value < 0.05). Amongst all the BCF, Cu was the element for which the maximum values were measured.

Translocation factors (TF) for the industry-related PTE were the highest for As, Pb, Sb and Zn at the E<sub>2</sub> site (Table 6). At the most contaminated site E<sub>2</sub>, maximum TF values for As, Pb and Sb ranged from 25.60 to 28.95% and seemed lower than maximum TF values of Cu and Zn at 86.76 and 186.05%, respectively. For all data measured at Escalette sites, root concentrations for As, Cu and Pb were negatively correlated to Zn translocation factor ( $-0.73 \leq r \leq -0.68$ ;  $p$ -value < 0.05). For all correlations calculated between the TF and PTE root concentrations (within the topsoil),  $r$  coefficients were systematically negative but not significant, except for the ones mentioned above and, also between TF for Cu and Cu and Zn concentrations in the root parts ( $r = -0.88$  and  $r = -0.69$  respectively;  $p$ -value < 0.05).

**Effect of the soil contamination heterogeneity on plant development and its associated microorganisms.** Even if the mean values of root biomass seemed higher in all topsoils compared to deeper soils, it was only significantly different at E<sub>2</sub> site (Fig. 2). Considering all sites and depths, positive correlations were observed between PTE soil concentrations and root biomasses ( $0.44 \leq r \leq 0.58$ ;  $p$ -value < 0.05) with no clear evidence of an inhibition of the root growth by contaminants. Because of the small sample size and an important variability (i.e. only one nodule observed in all Sormiou root samples, from 0 to 11 nodules per root samples in E<sub>1</sub> site, and from 0 to 4 nodules, in E<sub>2</sub> site), no significant differences were detected on nodules occurrence between the different conditions. Nevertheless, it was obvious that number of root nodule was more important in the root samples from Escalette sites than S site: from the 5 individuals of *C. juncea* at each Escalette site we collected a total of 40 nodules at E<sub>1</sub> site and 15 nodules at E<sub>2</sub> vs. 1 nodule at Sormiou site (S). Spearman correlation tests performed from all sites data confirmed these significant relations as nodule occurrence was positively correlated with pseudo-total soil concentrations of As, Pb, Sb and Zn ( $r = 0.51$ ; 0.53; 0.46 et 0.53 respectively;  $p$ -value  $\leq 0.05$ ). Similar results were obtained for phytoavailable PTE soil concentrations (results not showed;  $p$ -value  $\leq 0.05$ ). However, at both Escalette sites, there were negative correlations observed between the number of nodules and the mobile fraction of As, Cu and Sb ( $-0.65 \leq r \leq -0.52$ ;  $p$ -value < 0.05), also with pH ( $r = -0.53$ ;  $p$ -value < 0.05). Number of root nodules was positively correlated with soil carbon concentration, mostly total C ( $r = 0.77$ ;  $p$ -value < 0.001) and total organic C ( $r = 0.76$ ;  $p$ -value < 0.001), and NTK ( $r = 0.73$ ;  $p$ -value < 0.001). Furthermore, the distribution of nodules in regard to increasing PTE soil concentrations (expressed as pollution load index—PLI) seemed to follow a trend (Fig. 3): there were more nodules per root sample at both depths

|           |               | Sites               |                     |                   |
|-----------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|           |               | S                   | E <sub>1</sub>      | E <sub>2</sub>    |
| TF As (%) | Min           |                     | 5.83                | 1.61              |
|           | Mean $\pm$ SD | < LOD               | 67.00 $\pm$ 123.45  | 9.49 $\pm$ 9.82   |
|           | Max           |                     | 287.75              | 25.60             |
| TF Cu (%) | Min           | 0.00                | 35.58               | 39.65             |
|           | Mean $\pm$ SD | 20.46 $\pm$ 68.12   | 65.59 $\pm$ 29.49   | 61.73 $\pm$ 19.71 |
|           | Max           | 152.32              | 98.81               | 86.76             |
| TF Pb (%) | Min           | 0.00                | 11.06               | 3.58              |
|           | Mean $\pm$ SD | 55.75 $\pm$ 57.03   | 56.62 $\pm$ 91.14   | 12.33 $\pm$ 10.34 |
|           | Max           | 127.06              | 219.55              | 28.95             |
| TF Sb (%) | Min           |                     | 14.80               | 4.01              |
|           | Mean $\pm$ SD | < LOD               | 48.19 $\pm$ 52.62   | 13.32 $\pm$ 8.66  |
|           | Max           |                     | 141.31              | 26.84             |
| TF Zn (%) | Min           | 0.00                | 42.77               | 22.80             |
|           | Mean $\pm$ SD | 402.92 $\pm$ 321.34 | 186.65 $\pm$ 198.34 | 75.76 $\pm$ 64.56 |
|           | Max           | 685.81              | 506.08              | 186.05            |

**Table 6.** Translocation factors (TF) in *Coronilla juncea* individuals i.e. ratios of PTE aerial part concentration vs. PTE root concentration in the topsoil (0 to 10 cm depth) for As, Cu, Pb, Sb and Zn. Min: minimum; Max: maximum; Means (n = 5); SD: standard deviation. Sites: S = reference site at Sormiou. E<sub>1</sub> = Escalette 1 and E<sub>2</sub> = Escalette 2.




**Figure 2.** Relative root biomass (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) i.e. ratio of the dry root biomass of *Coronilla juncea* vs. dry soil mass at different soil depths and sites. Means are expressed in gram of dry roots per kg of dry soil. Different letters indicate a significant difference between means (p-value  $\leq$  0.05). Sites: S = reference site at Sormiou. E<sub>1</sub> = Escalette 1 and E<sub>2</sub> = Escalette 2.

at medium level of TPE contaminations (corresponding mostly to Escalette 1 (E<sub>1</sub>) samples). We observed an absence of nodules in roots both for most of the non-contaminated samples from Sormiou (S) and for some of the most contaminated points at Escalette 2 (E<sub>2</sub>), that could reveal plant tolerance mechanism to face PTE contamination linked to N-fixing bacteria.

## Discussion

Our field results clearly highlighted the spatial distribution of industry-related PTE depending on soil location and depth. A surface heterogeneity of As, Cu, Pb, Sb and Zn soil concentrations was observed. A decreasing trend in surface contamination was reported when increasing the distance to the source i.e. the brownfield of Escalette. Highly contaminated sites also showed a heterogeneous solum PTE contamination with higher levels of industry-related PTE in topsoils. Such a solum PTE distribution trend was previously demonstrated at a local scale by Heckenroth et al.<sup>19</sup> and clearly correlated to the distance to the ruins of the smelter chimney. Our results are also in agreement with those mapped at the scale of the massif of Marseillevyre<sup>46</sup> demonstrating the effect of wind and uneven relief on PTE dispersion. Our results sustain the idea of a highest contamination of the topsoil layer, which was also observed by Cabrera et al.<sup>15</sup> in Spain, Imperato et al.<sup>16</sup> in Italy and Li et al.<sup>38</sup> in China considering urban and industrial contaminations. Moreover, our results are in agreement with those also observed in the massif of Marseillevyre by Gelly et al.<sup>47</sup> in which isotopic analyses were conducted and





**Figure 3.** Number of nodules (black crosses) in root samples at different soil depths in regard to an increasing pollution load index (red bars) gradient in the soil. On the axis X, the sample code corresponds to the site (first letter), the individual (first number) and, the depth (second number): i.e. S3.2 = Sormiou. Individual 3. Depth: 10–20 cm.

confirmed that Pb and Zn in soils profiles up to 7 km from the brownfield were directly linked to the industrial activity whereas Cu contamination of the soils could not be linked to this activity.

Moreover, physico-chemical analyses of the natural geochemical environment conducted at both reference and contaminated sites and through the solum revealed quite constant values typical to local Mediterranean alkaline coastal soils<sup>48,49</sup>. It highlighted a relative similarity concerning the natural composition of the soils at the scale of the study area, underpinning the choice of the sites for comparing pollution levels. Furthermore, the properties that appeared to be the most spatially heterogeneous considering both surface soils and solum were those linked to the industrial contamination, represented by exogenous trace metal and metalloids. Some parameters linked to physico-chemical and biological properties of the soil showed some differences between sites, but globally, physico-chemical soil characteristics seemed to have a negligible influence on PTE mobility and, PTE concentration levels showed little impact on soil physico-chemical characteristics. Those characteristics were representative of calcareous Mediterranean soils and of results previously obtained in the same biogeographical context<sup>14,50</sup> i.e. Mediterranean oligotrophic soils, with low content of nutrients and organic matter, and high level of carbonates, the latter considered as reducing PTE mobility<sup>51</sup> and host a plant cover adapted to this harsh habitat with majorly stress-tolerant plant species<sup>52,53</sup>.

Our study aimed at identifying deleterious effects of PTE soil concentrations on microbial and *C. juncea* traits. A significant negative correlation between Sb and respiration (SIR/BR ratio) was measured in agreement with Sb toxicity effects on local soil microbial communities observed in a previous study in the massif of Marseilleveyre<sup>54</sup> corroborating the toxic effect of this PTE recently examined on Chinese soils spiked with Sb at two different speciations (Sb(III) and Sb(V))<sup>55</sup>. Moreover, Guillaumot et al.<sup>54</sup> also highlighted that the Sb toxicity effect on microbial community was more important on non-contaminated soils involving inherited tolerance mechanisms for the local soil microbial communities that develop on contaminated sites. It seems consistent with the results obtained here on SIR/BR: the absence of significant difference between the reference site S and contaminated site E<sub>1</sub> could be related to the tolerance of microbial communities; as SIR/BR appeared to be significantly lower at E<sub>2</sub> site, which could indicate toxicity effects due to higher PTE contamination.

However, the number of nodules in the *C. juncea* root systems was not reduced in the most contaminated site and no significant reduction of *C. juncea* growth has been revealed depending on PTE. These results may confirm the PTE tolerance of this pseudo-metallophyte.

The tolerance of *C. juncea* to PTE might be linked to its root symbiosis with nitrogen-fixing bacteria as previously reported for other leguminous<sup>56,57</sup>. Our data sustain this idea by the higher number of nodules in root parts of plants located in the contaminated area. More precisely, we observed an absence of nodules in roots for most of the samples from the non-contaminated site Sormiou (S) and a small number of nodules for the most contaminated points at Escalette 2 (E<sub>2</sub>). The presence of nodules can be correlated to the soil P availability as it can enhance nodule development in N-fixing legumes<sup>58</sup>. P was positively correlated to PTE soil concentrations in this study, these results could also reveal plant and microorganism tolerance mechanisms to PTE contamination linked to N-fixing bacteria, as well as ecotoxicological effects linked to increasing PTE concentrations<sup>59,60</sup>.

Our results demonstrated that root PTE concentrations in *C. juncea* did not follow the solum PTE concentrations since no significant differences of PTE accumulation in roots were observed between the different depths from the same site (E<sub>1</sub> or E<sub>2</sub>) despite a gradient of soil contamination. Moreover, concentrations of PTE in the root parts were higher than those of the aerial parts. *C. juncea*, by reducing PTE transfer into the food web, may

also assist the reestablishment of the ecosystem<sup>61</sup>. These traits are characteristic of good candidates for phytostabilization with a plant tolerance to a wide range of soil PTE concentrations. Furthermore, *C. juncea* may act as an ecosystem engineer<sup>62</sup> by increasing soil nitrogen content via rhizobial nitrogen fixation<sup>63</sup>. Consequently, it may function as a nurse species and favour the establishment of other plants species. Padilla et al.<sup>64</sup> previously showed the usefulness of *C. juncea* for restoration in arid environments.

Using native plants for in situ phytostabilization purposes implies to get a strong knowledge about plant strategies in the field, which can be assessed by measuring root traits like PTE uptake, biomass and properties linked to symbiotic microorganisms<sup>65</sup>. These parameters may vary in relation to spatial heterogeneity contamination, which can be both of surface and solum. Under field conditions, response of plants to this spatial heterogeneity will also determinate their suitability and efficiency for PTE stabilization.

Many phytoremediation projects focus on response of plants in pot experiments using homogeneous soil samples under controlled conditions<sup>42,66,67</sup>. However, they seem little representative of the high heterogeneity under field conditions in contaminated areas or the complexity of biotic interactions. In the context of the highly constrained field conditions of our study area, i.e. shallow soil characterized as “skeletal”, high contamination by PTE and semi-arid climatic conditions<sup>37</sup>, it is necessary to go further in the understanding of microsite conditions and related responses of the plant and their associated microorganisms at different soil depths to select an adapted phytostabilization strategy. Furthermore, it is a known response of individual plants to use avoidance strategies in relation to heterogeneous soil contamination: for instance, in the case of atmospherically deposited PTE contaminants, topsoil layer where the pollutants are the most concentrated may be avoided by roots<sup>20</sup>, and consequently phytostabilization will not be optimal.

The sheer presence of *C. juncea* on the extremely contaminated Escalette site already demonstrated its tolerance to PTE contamination in a previous study on plant communities<sup>19</sup>. The results of this study highlighted the ability of *C. juncea* to tolerate contaminants and to grow in a wide range of soil PTE concentrations. PTE contamination exerted neither significant nor visible negative effects on plant biomass, even under increasing uptake of PTE by the plant. Bioconcentration factors (BCF) in aerial parts were always < 1 for industrial PTE, revealing restricted transfer of PTE from soil to roots, depending on soil PTE concentration level. The lowest translocation factors (TF), i.e. transfer of PTE from roots to shoots, were measured at the most contaminated site E<sub>2</sub>. Thus, the translocation restriction seemed to evolve depending on the concentration of PTE contained in the roots.

Many studies on contaminated soils only consider the effects of single stressors on isolated endpoints<sup>68</sup>. Our study integrated a pool of PTE, some of them originating from industrial activities, and considered two biological components i.e. plants and soil microorganisms. *C. juncea* tolerance to PTE was confirmed, as well as the soil resilience ability in the context of both Escalette sites, even if some toxic effects seemed to limit microbial activity at the most contaminated site E<sub>2</sub>.

## Conclusions

In a context of high surface and solum heterogeneity of soil contaminants from past industrial activities, *Coronilla juncea* seems a good candidate for phytostabilization in its Mediterranean distribution area. Low translocation of contaminants and root symbiosis with nitrogen fixing microorganisms suggest that *Coronilla juncea* may play a prime role for the restoration of contaminated ecosystems at Escalette and other Mediterranean brownfields by promoting soil quality.


## Methods

All the experiments comply with relevant institutional, national, and international guidelines and legislation.

**Study sites and sampling.** We studied two sites in the Calanques National Park (43.21357° N, 5.36735° E) at Sormiou and Escalette (Fig. 4), composed by a mosaic of spontaneous plant communities that correspond to calcareous xero-thermophilous shrublands (Mediterranean matorral), grasslands and scarce stands of *Pinus halepensis* Mill. Both sites have a semi-arid, Thermo-Mediterranean climate with average annual rainfall of 500 mm and average annual temperature of 16 °C<sup>69,70</sup>. Sites are at 120 to 140 m altitude. Escalette site is a brownfield of a former lead (Pb) smelter. PTE transfers, mostly composed of Pb, zinc (Zn), arsenic (As), copper (Cu) and antimony (Sb), are generated by erosion of the contaminated soils and degraded smelter buildings, under the influence of local strong winds and water runoff. Cadmium (Cd) has not been identified as a PTE in this study due to its soil content below level of detection in all previous studies. Previous studies at Escalette site and surroundings showed a high heterogeneity of surface soil contamination and high PTE tolerance of the native vegetation<sup>14,19,71–75</sup>.

Using previous measurements of PTE topsoil concentrations and phyto-ecological inventories on the field<sup>14</sup>, we preselected measure points where *C. juncea* individuals were abundant. We conducted measurements of the topsoil PTE contamination at the foot of the plants using a portable X-ray fluorescence analyzer (Niton\*, xl3t GOLDD; Thermo Scientific). In order to avoid extreme values, only the points corresponding to Pb concentrations between the first and third quartiles have been conserved. We discarded plants growing directly in calcareous rocks. Sampling points were split into two groups at the Escalette site (Fig. 4a,c): “Escalette 1” (E<sub>1</sub>) corresponding to the lowest PTE concentrations and “Escalette 2” (E<sub>2</sub>) corresponding to the highest PTE concentration range located downstream of the degraded horizontal chimney. We used a third site at Sormiou (S) as the reference (Fig. 4a,b) representative of the local PTE soil background concentrations in the Calanques National Park. All sampling (soil and plants) were done according to the authorization given par the Calanques national park which restricts scientific sampling on its protected area.

For each study site, five replicates corresponding to a whole plant-soil sampling were selected. Aerial parts of *C. juncea* were cut at the collar and collected. We then sampled roots and soil at a 15 cm radius around the



**Figure 4.** Location of the Escalette and Sormiou study areas (a); location of the sampling points S at the reference site Sormiou (b) and location of the sampling points E<sub>1</sub> and E<sub>2</sub> at the Escalette contaminated site (c). Calanques NP = Calanques National Park area. Map created using QGIS including data collected by PJ Dumas and A Heckenroth.

collar: two samples of roots and soil, from 0 to 10 cm depth, and from 10 to 20 cm depth. We kept all plant and soil samples at 4 °C in the lab until analysis. Soil samples were sieved through a 2 mm sieve and root parts were isolated from the soil with laboratory forceps.

**Soil analysis.** *Soil physico-chemical characteristics.* Soil samples were dried at room temperature for the analysis of physico-chemical properties and PTE analyses. pH (ISO 10390), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (NTK, ISO 11261) and total organic carbon contents (TOC, ISO 10694) were analyzed by Laboratoire Développement Méditerranée (COFRAC accreditation n° 1-5865). Soil texture was determined using five fractions without decarbonation (NF X31-107): clay (<2 µm), fine silt (2 µm to 20 µm), coarse silt (20 µm to 50 µm), fine sand (50 µm to 0.2 mm) and coarse sand (0.2 mm to 2 mm). Available phosphorus (ISO 11263), exchangeable cations (CaO, K<sub>2</sub>O, MgO, Na<sub>2</sub>O, ISO 23470) and cation exchange capacity (CEC, ISO 23470) were also determined by Laboratoire Développement Méditerranée (COFRAC accreditation n° 1-5865). An aliquot of each soil sample was kept for PTE analyses as pseudo-total and EDTA extractable fraction.

*Soil potentially toxic element analysis.* Soil dried samples were ground by a RETSCH zm 1000 grinder with tungsten blades and titanium sieve to 0.2 mm particles, before analyses of the PTE concentrations. To obtain pseudo-total PTE extracts, we mineralized soils in three replicates in a microwave mineralizer (Milestone Start D) using aqua regia (1/3 HNO<sub>3</sub> + 2/3 HCl). A ratio of dry soil/aqua regia solution corresponding to 1/20 w/v was

used. Furthermore, to obtain an assessment of phytoavailable PTE, we used a solution of EDTA ( $0.05 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ ) with pH adjusted to 7 at a ratio of dry soil/EDTA corresponding to 1/10 w/v<sup>76</sup>. The solution was agitated at room temperature in an orbital shaker at 125 rpm for 1 h (Fisher Bioblock Scientific SM30B). Then, the EDTA extracts were centrifuged for 10 min at 8000 rpm (JP Selecta Medifriger BL-S). All the mineralization and extract products were filtered with a  $0.45 \mu\text{m}$ -mesh, and the PTE concentrations were determined by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES, Jobin Yvon Horiba Spectra 2000). Quality assurance–quality controls and accuracy were checked using standard soil reference materials (CRM049–050, from RTC, USA) with accuracies within  $100 \pm 10\%$ . We calculated contamination factors from PTE concentrations measured in  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  compared to the background PTE. Then, these contamination factors were used to estimate a multi-contamination level expressed as a pollution load index (PLI) allowing to estimate a multi-contamination level<sup>34,69,72</sup>.

**Soil microbial activity.** We estimated soil microbial activity by measuring the soil basal respiration (BR), i.e.  $\text{CO}_2$  released, using 10 g of sub-samples at 60% of their water holding capacity (WHC) weighed in 117 mL glass flasks (presumed saturating quantity). After internal atmosphere replacement, flasks were closed hermetically and incubated for 60 min at  $20^\circ\text{C}$ . The  $\text{CO}_2$  released in the flasks was measured by gas chromatography (GC, Chrompack CHROM 3-CP 9001) and with a Porapak<sup>TM</sup> column containing  $650 \text{ mL h}^{-1}$  helium circulating. The substrate-induced respiration (SIR), i.e.  $\text{CO}_2$  released when substrate is added ( $\text{g CO}_2 \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ DW}$ ), was measured according to Anderson and Domsch<sup>77</sup>, using same method than for BR and by adding 50 mg of powdered glucose to each soil sample. Samples were pre-incubated for 90 min to reach a maximum rate of SIR. Then, after internal atmosphere replacement, flasks were closed hermetically and incubated for 60 min at  $20^\circ\text{C}$ . The  $\text{CO}_2$  released in the flasks was measured in the same way than for BR. The growth of microbial activity was estimated by calculating the ratio between basal and substrate-induced respiration SIR/BR.

**Plant and root nodule analyses.** Roots were separated from soil samples, washed under tap water to remove soil particles, then carefully rinsed with deionized water and dried using paper towel. For each root sample, the total number of nodules has been reported. Root and aerial parts of *C. juncea* were weighted within few hours of the return from the field to obtain the fresh biomass. The plant samples were dried at  $60^\circ\text{C}$  for at least 72 h and weighed again to obtain the dry biomass. To analyze the plant PTE concentration, the dry samples were ground at  $0.5 \text{ mm}$ : the aerial parts using tungsten carbide blades (Foss Tecator Cyclotec 1093) and the root parts using a mixer mill (Retsch MM400). About  $0.5 \text{ g}$  dry matter of each plant sample (triplicates) was digested in microwave mineralizer system (Milestone Start D) with an acidic mixture ( $2/3 \text{ HNO}_3 + 1/3 \text{ HCl}$ ). After a filtration using a  $0.45 \mu\text{m}$  mesh, the solutions were analyzed by ICP-AES as previously described for soil samples. Standard plant reference material (DC 73349 from NCS, China) was analyzed as a part of the quality assurance–quality control protocol (accuracies within  $100 \pm 10\%$ ).

**Data processing and statistical analyses.** In order to estimate the variation of soil PTE pseudo-total concentrations, we calculated differences of concentration (delta values) between samples of 0–10 cm and 10–20 cm depth within a plot ( $\delta = [\text{PTE}]_{0-10} - [\text{PTE}]_{10-20}$ ). For comparing among sites, we chose to use delta values rather than directly soil pseudo-total concentrations per depth, that showed a high intra-site variability.

Root bioconcentration factors (BCF) were calculated from the soil and root PTE pseudo-total concentrations as following<sup>67</sup>:  $\text{BCF}_{\text{root}} = [\text{PTE}]_{\text{root parts}} / [\text{PTE}]_{\text{soil}}$  where  $[\text{PTE}]$  is the PTE pseudo-total concentration. The translocation factor (TF) was estimated as following:  $\text{TF} = [\text{PTE}]_{\text{aerial parts}} / [\text{PTE}]_{\text{root part}}$ .

We tested for normality using a Shapiro–Wilk test in R 3.0.2. software<sup>78</sup> and stats and ade4 packages<sup>79</sup>. When data were not normally distributed, we used Wilcoxon tests to analyze the differences between samples from different sites and/or depths (soil and root samples). We also used Spearman rank correlation tests to analyze the correlations between variables.

## Data availability

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in the published article available on request. More information is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. Collection of plant material was done in agreement with the PNCal regulation number 02015-046 available at <http://www.calanques-parcnation.fr/fr/download/file/fid/1095>.

Received: 21 November 2021; Accepted: 18 May 2022

Published online: 15 June 2022

## References

1. Pourret, O. & Hursthouse, A. It's time to replace the term “heavy metals” with “potentially toxic elements” when reporting environmental research. *IJERPH* **16**, 4446 (2019).
2. Wuana, R. A. & Okieimen, F. E. Heavy metals in contaminated soils: A review of sources, chemistry, risks and best available strategies for remediation. *ISRN Ecol.* **2011**, 1–20 (2011).
3. Mahar, A. *et al.* Challenges and opportunities in the phytoremediation of heavy metals contaminated soils: A review. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **126**, 111–121 (2016).
4. Vangronsveld, J. *et al.* Phytoremediation of contaminated soils and groundwater: Lessons from the field. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **16**, 765–794 (2009).
5. Desjardins, D., Nissim, W. G., Pitre, F. E., Naud, A. & Labrecque, M. Distribution patterns of spontaneous vegetation and pollution at a former decantation basin in southern Québec, Canada. *Ecol. Eng.* **64**, 385–390 (2014).
6. Marchiol, L. *et al.* Gentle remediation at the former “Pertusola Sud” zinc smelter: Evaluation of native species for phytoremediation purposes. *Ecol. Eng.* **53**, 343–353 (2013).



7. van Oort, F. *et al.* Les pollutions métalliques d'un site industriel et des sols environnants : distributions hétérogènes des métaux et relations avec l'usage des sols. In: *Contaminations métalliques des agrosystèmes et écosystèmes péri-urbains* 15–44 (Editions Quae, 2009).
8. Hodge, A. Plastic plants and patchy soils. *J. Exp. Bot.* **57**, 401–411 (2006).
9. Huber-Sannwald, E. & Jackson, R. B. Heterogeneous soil-resource distribution and plant responses—from individual-plant growth to ecosystem functioning. In *Progress in Botany* Vol. 62 (eds Esser, K. *et al.*) 451–476 (Springer, 2001).
10. Loecke, T. D. & Philip Robertson, G. Soil resource heterogeneity in the form of aggregated litter alters maize productivity. *Plant Soil* **325**, 231–241 (2009).
11. Reynolds, H. L., Hungate, B. A., Iii, F. S. C. & D'Antonio, C. M. *Soil Heterogeneity and Plant Competition in an Annual Grassland*. 16 (2021).
12. Maestre, F. T., Cortina, J., Bautista, S., Bellot, J. & Vallejo, R. Small-scale environmental heterogeneity and spatiotemporal dynamics of seedling establishment in a semiarid degraded ecosystem. *Ecosystems* **6**, 630–643 (2003).
13. Shutcha, M. N. *et al.* Three years of phytostabilisation experiment of bare acidic soil extremely contaminated by copper smelting using plant biodiversity of metal-rich soils in tropical Africa (Katanga, DR Congo). *Ecol. Eng.* **82**, 81–90 (2015).
14. Testiati, E. *et al.* Trace metal and metalloid contamination levels in soils and in two native plant species of a former industrial site: Evaluation of the phytostabilization potential. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **248–249**, 131–141 (2013).
15. Cabrera, F., Clemente, L., Díaz Barrientos, E., López, R. & Murillo, J. M. Heavy metal pollution of soils affected by the Guadamar toxic flood. *Sci. Total Environ.* **242**, 117–129 (1999).
16. Imperato, M. *et al.* Spatial distribution of heavy metals in urban soils of Naples city (Italy). *Environ. Pollut.* **124**, 247–256 (2003).
17. Gallagher, F. J., Pechmann, I., Bogden, J. D., Grabosky, J. & Weis, P. Soil metal concentrations and vegetative assemblage structure in an urban brownfield. *Environ. Pollut.* **153**, 351–361 (2008).
18. Gallagher, F. J., Pechmann, I., Holzapfel, C. & Grabosky, J. Altered vegetative assemblage trajectories within an urban brownfield. *Environ. Pollut.* **159**, 1159–1166 (2011).
19. Heckenroth, A. *et al.* Selection of native plants with phytoremediation potential for highly contaminated Mediterranean soil restoration: Tools for a non-destructive and integrative approach. *J. Environ. Manag.* **183**, 850–863 (2016).
20. Dickinson, N. M., Turner, A. P. & Lepp, N. W. How do trees and other long-lived plants survive in polluted environments?. *Funct. Ecol.* **5**, 5 (1991).
21. Partida-Martínez, L. P. & Heil, M. The microbe-free plant: Fact or artifact?. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2**, 100 (2011).
22. Giller, K. E., Witter, E. & Mcgrath, S. P. Toxicity of heavy metals to microorganisms and microbial processes in agricultural soils: A review. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **30**, 1389–1414 (1998).
23. Kabata-Pendias, A. & Pendias, H. *Trace Elements in Soils and Plants* (CRC Press, 2001).
24. Tyler, G. Heavy metal pollution and mineralisation of nitrogen in forest soils. *Nature* **255**, 701–702 (1975).
25. Seshadri, B., Bolan, N. S. & Naidu, R. Rhizosphere-induced heavy metal(loid) transformation in relation to bioavailability and remediation. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-95162015005000043> (2015).
26. Kidd, P. *et al.* Trace element behaviour at the root–soil interface: Implications in phytoremediation. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **67**, 243–259 (2009).
27. Rivera-Becerril, F. Cadmium accumulation and buffering of cadmium-induced stress by arbuscular mycorrhiza in three *Pisum sativum* L. genotypes. *J. Exp. Bot.* **53**, 1177–1185 (2002).
28. Krupa, P. & Kozdrój, J. Ectomycorrhizal fungi and associated bacteria provide protection against heavy metals in inoculated pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) seedlings. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* **182**, 83–90 (2007).
29. Janoušková, M., Pavlíková, D. & Vosátka, M. Potential contribution of arbuscular mycorrhiza to cadmium immobilisation in soil. *Chemosphere* **65**, 1959–1965 (2006).
30. Leyval, C., Turnau, K. & Haselwandter, K. Effect of heavy metal pollution on mycorrhizal colonization and function: Physiological, ecological and applied aspects. *Mycorrhiza* **7**, 139–153 (1997).
31. Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y., Liu, M., Shi, X. & Zhao, Z. Dark septate endophyte (DSE) fungi isolated from metal polluted soils: Their taxonomic position, tolerance, and accumulation of heavy metals in vitro. *J. Microbiol.* **46**, 624–632 (2008).
32. Krumins, J. A., Goodey, N. M. & Gallagher, F. Plant–soil interactions in metal contaminated soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **80**, 224–231 (2015).
33. Glick, B. R. Phytoremediation: Synergistic use of plants and bacteria to clean up the environment. *Biotechnol. Adv.* **21**, 383–393 (2003).
34. Heckenroth, A. *et al.* What are the potential environmental solutions for diffuse pollution ? In *Pollution of Marseille's Industrial Calanques—The Impact of the Past on the Present* 291–328 (REF2C, 2016).
35. Li, M. S. Ecological restoration of mineland with particular reference to the metalliferous mine wasteland in China: A review of research and practice. *Sci. Total Environ.* **357**, 38–53 (2006).
36. Mendez, M. O. & Maier, R. M. Phytoremediation of mine tailings in temperate and arid environments. *Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol.* **7**, 47–59 (2008).
37. Yaalon, D. H. Soils in the Mediterranean region: What makes them different?. *CATENA* **28**, 157–169 (1997).
38. Li, S. *et al.* A comprehensive survey on the horizontal and vertical distribution of heavy metals and microorganisms in soils of a Pb/Zn smelter. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **400**, 123255 (2020).
39. Pérez-de-Mora, A. *et al.* Microbial community structure and function in a soil contaminated by heavy metals: Effects of plant growth and different amendments. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **38**, 327–341 (2006).
40. Keller, C. *et al.* Root development and heavy metal phytoextraction efficiency: Comparison of different plant species in the field. *Plant Soil* **249**, 67–81 (2003).
41. Lambrechts, T. *et al.* Comparative analysis of Cd and Zn impacts on root distribution and morphology of *Lolium perenne* and *Trifolium repens*: Implications for phytostabilization. *Plant Soil* **376**, 229–244 (2014).
42. Pauwels, M., Frérot, H., Bonnin, I. & Saumitou-Laprade, P. A broad-scale analysis of population differentiation for Zn tolerance in an emerging model species for tolerance study: *Arabidopsis halleri* (Brassicaceae). *J. Evol. Biol.* **19**, 1838–1850 (2006).
43. Padilla, F. M. & Pugnaire, F. I. The role of nurse plants in the restoration of degraded environments. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* **4**, 196–202 (2006).
44. Robles, A. B., Allegretti, L. I. & Passera, C. B. *Coronilla juncea* is both a nutritive fodder shrub and useful in the rehabilitation of abandoned Mediterranean marginal farmland. *J. Arid Environ.* **50**, 381–392 (2002).
45. Grime, J. P. *Plant Strategies and Vegetation Processes* (Wiley, 1979).
46. Laffont-Schwob, I. *et al.* Diffuse and widespread present-day pollution. In *Pollution of Marseille's industrial Calanques—The Impact of the Past on the Future* 204–249 (REF2C, 2016).
47. Gelly, R. *et al.* Lead, zinc, and copper redistributions in soils along a deposition gradient from emissions of a Pb-Ag smelter decommissioned 100 years ago. *Sci. Total Environ.* **665**, 502–512 (2019).
48. Tóth, G. *et al.* Soils of the European Union. *JRC Scientific and Technical Reports* 85 (2008).
49. IUSS Working Group WRB. *Base de référence mondiale pour les ressources en sols 2014, Mise à jour 2015. Système international de classification des sols pour nommer les sols et élaborer des légendes de cartes pédologiques. Rapport sur les ressources en sols du monde*. Vol. 106 (2015).

50. Dias, T. *et al.* Ammonium as a driving force of plant diversity and ecosystem functioning: Observations based on 5 years' manipulation of n dose and form in a Mediterranean ecosystem. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e92517 (2014).
51. Remon, E. *et al.* Soil characteristics, heavy metal availability and vegetation recovery at a former metallurgical landfill: Implications in risk assessment and site restoration. *Environ. Pollut.* **137**, 316–323 (2005).
52. Baumberger, T. *et al.* Plant community changes as ecological indicator of seabird colonies' impacts on Mediterranean Islands. *Ecol. Ind.* **15**, 76–84 (2012).
53. Navas, M.-L., Roumet, C., Bellmann, A., Laurent, G. & Garnier, E. Suites of plant traits in species from different stages of a Mediterranean secondary succession: Plant traits and succession. *Plant Biol.* **12**, 183–196 (2010).
54. Guillaumot, F., Calvert, V., Millot, M.-V. & Criquet, S. Does antimony affect microbial respiration in Mediterranean soils? A microcosm experiment. *Pedobiologia* **57**, 119–121 (2014).
55. Wang, A., He, M., Ouyang, W., Lin, C. & Liu, X. Effects of antimony (III/V) on microbial activities and bacterial community structure in soil. *Sci. Total Environ.* **789**, 148073 (2021).
56. Oleńska, E. *et al.* *Trifolium repens*-associated bacteria as a potential tool to facilitate phytostabilization of zinc and lead polluted waste heaps. *Plants* **9**, 1002 (2020).
57. Stambulska, U. Y., Bayliak, M. M. & Lushchak, V. I. Chromium(VI) toxicity in legume plants: Modulation effects of rhizobial symbiosis. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2018**, 1–13 (2018).
58. Karthika, K. S., Rashmi, I. & Parvathi, M. S. Biological functions, uptake and transport of essential nutrients in relation to plant growth. In *Plant Nutrients and Abiotic Stress Tolerance* 1–49 (Springer Singapore, 2018). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-9044-8\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-9044-8_1).
59. Dary, M., Chamber-Pérez, M. A., Palomares, A. J. & Pajuelo, E. “In situ” phytostabilisation of heavy metal polluted soils using *Lupinus luteus* inoculated with metal resistant plant-growth promoting rhizobacteria. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **177**, 323–330 (2010).
60. Reichman, S. M. The potential use of the legume–rhizobium symbiosis for the remediation of arsenic contaminated sites. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **39**, 2587–2593 (2007).
61. Parraga-Aguado, I., Querejeta, J.-I., González-Alcaraz, M.-N., Jiménez-Cárceles, F. J. & Conesa, H. M. Usefulness of pioneer vegetation for the phytomanagement of metal(loid)s enriched tailings: Grasses vs. shrubs vs. trees. *J. Environ. Manag.* **133**, 51–58 (2014).
62. Jones, C. G., Lawton, J. H. & Shachak, M. Organisms as ecosystem engineers. *Oikos* **69**, 373 (1994).
63. Carrasco, L., Azcón, R., Kohler, J., Roldán, A. & Caravaca, F. Comparative effects of native filamentous and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in the establishment of an autochthonous, leguminous shrub growing in a metal-contaminated soil. *Sci. Total Environ.* **409**, 1205–1209 (2011).
64. Padilla, F. M., Ortega, R., Sánchez, J. & Pugnaire, F. I. Rethinking species selection for restoration of arid shrublands. *Basic Appl. Ecol.* **10**, 640–647 (2009).
65. Ilunga wa Ilunga, E. *et al.* Plant functional traits as a promising tool for the ecological restoration of degraded tropical metal-rich habitats and revegetation of metal-rich bare soils: A case study in copper vegetation of Katanga, DRC. *Ecol. Eng.* **82**, 214–221 (2015).
66. Salducci, M.-D. *et al.* How can a rare protected plant cope with the metal and metalloid soil pollution resulting from past industrial activities? Phytometabolites, antioxidant activities and root symbiosis involved in the metal tolerance of *Astragalus tragacantha*. *Chemosphere* **217**, 887–896 (2019).
67. Kachout, S. S. *et al.* Accumulation of Cu, Pb, Ni and Zn in the halophyte plant *Atriplex* grown on polluted soil. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **92**, 336–342 (2012).
68. Schaeffer, A. *et al.* The impact of chemical pollution on the resilience of soils under multiple stresses: A conceptual framework for future research. *Sci. Total Environ.* **568**, 1076–1085 (2016).
69. Tosini, L. *et al.* Gain in biodiversity but not in phytostabilization after 3 years of ecological restoration of contaminated Mediterranean soils. *Ecol. Eng.* **157**, 105998 (2020).
70. Michelaki, C. *et al.* An integrated phenotypic trait-network in thermo-Mediterranean vegetation describing alternative, coexisting resource-use strategies. *Sci. Total Environ.* **672**, 583–592 (2019).
71. Affholder, M.-C. *et al.* Transfer of metals and metalloids from soil to shoots in wild rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis* L.) growing on a former lead smelter site: Human exposure risk. *Sci. Total Environ.* **454–455**, 219–229 (2013).
72. Affholder, M.-C. *et al.* As, Pb, Sb, and Zn transfer from soil to root of wild rosemary: Do native symbionts matter?. *Plant Soil* **382**, 219–236 (2014).
73. Ellili, A. *et al.* Decision-making criteria for plant-species selection for phytostabilization: Issues of biodiversity and functionality. *J. Environ. Manag.* **201**, 215–226 (2017).
74. Lafont-Schwob, I. *et al.* Insights on metal-tolerance and symbionts of the rare species *Astragalus tragacantha* aiming at phytostabilization of polluted soils and plant conservation. *ecmed* **37**, 57–62 (2011).
75. Rabier, J. *et al.* Heavy metal and arsenic resistance of the halophyte *Atriplex halimus* L. along a gradient of contamination in a French Mediterranean spray zone. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* **225**, 1993 (2014).
76. Quevauviller, Ph. *et al.* Interlaboratory comparison of EDTA and DTPA procedures prior to certification of extractable trace elements in calcareous soil. *Sci. Total Environ.* **178**, 127–132 (1996).
77. Anderson, J. P. E. & Domsch, K. H. A physiological method for the quantitative measurement of microbial biomass in soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **10**, 215–221 (1978).
78. R Development Core Team.pdf.
79. Dray, S., Dufour, A. B. & Chessel, D. The ade4 package—II: Two-table and K-table methods. *R News* **7**, 6 (2007).

## Acknowledgements

This work has been carried out thanks to the support of the Marséco project (ANR Marséco 2008 CESA 018) and the A\*MIDEX project (n° ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02), funded by the Investissements d'Avenir French Government programme, managed by the French National Research Agency (ANR). This research was supported by a CIFRE grant (no. 2012/0175) for Alma Heckenroth PhD, from the Association Nationale de la Recherche et de la Technologie and the ORTEC Générale de Dépollution company. Many thanks to the Calanques National Park, particularly to Lidwine Le Mire-Pecheux, for advice and authorization for plant and soil harvesting (authorization: di\_2015\_046\_prlv-sol\_coronilla-juncea\_lafont\_imbe). Many thanks to OT-MED scientific network for improving reflection about global change in the context of management of polluted Mediterranean soils. A. Heckenroth and H. Folzer thank the Fondation ECCOREV for funding the ECCOREV RISQ 2018 project on crossed risks of pollution and fire in the Calanques hills. Many thanks to Carine Demelas and Maxime Verlande for their technical help and to Jean-François Mauffrey who did the internal review of this paper with valuable suggestions.



### Author contributions

A.H., P.P., H.F., J.R. and I.L.S. contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by A.H., P.P., H.F., J.R., S.C., M.D.S., L.V. and I.L.S. The first draft of the manuscript was written by A.H. and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. Funding acquisition was achieved by A.H., H.F. and I.L.S.

### Funding

This work has been carried out thanks to the support of the Marséco project (ANR Marséco 2008 CESA 018) and the A\*MIDEX project (n° ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02), funded by the Investissements d'Avenir French Government programme, managed by the French National Research Agency (ANR). This research was supported by a CIFRE grant (no. 2012/0175) for Alma Heckenroth PhD, from the Association Nationale de la Recherche et de la Technologie and the ORTEC Générale de Dépollution company. A. Heckenroth and H. Folzer thank the Fondation ECCOREV for funding the ECCOREV RISQ 2018 project on crossed risks of pollution and fire in the Calanques hills.

### Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

### Additional information

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to I.L.-S.

**Reprints and permissions information** is available at [www.nature.com/reprints](http://www.nature.com/reprints).

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2022