



Is boundary extension emotionally selective?

Emmanuelle Ménétrier, André Didierjean, Sandrine Vieillard

► To cite this version:

Emmanuelle Ménétrier, André Didierjean, Sandrine Vieillard. Is boundary extension emotionally selective?. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2013, 66 (4), pp.635-641. 10.1080/17470218.2013.764332 . hal-03683874

HAL Id: hal-03683874

<https://hal.science/hal-03683874>

Submitted on 1 Jun 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Is Boundary Extension Emotionally Selective?

Emmanuelle Ménétrier
Université de Franche-Comté

André Didierjean
Université de Franche-Comté & Institut Universitaire de France

Sandrine Vieillard
Université de Franche-Comté

To cite this paper:

Ménétrier, E., Didierjean, A., & Vieillard, S. (2013). Is boundary extension emotionally selective? *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 66(4), 635-641.

Abstract

When they have to memorize a picture people usually build a memory trace including more extensive boundaries than the original picture, a phenomenon known as boundary extension or BE (e.g., Intraub & Richardson, 1989). This article looks at whether the emotion category expressed (i.e., happiness, pleasure, irritation, or anger) by actors in short films could have an influence on the BE effect. The results showed that positively-valenced emotions (happiness, pleasure) led to an extension effect, while the negatively-valenced ones (anger, irritation) did not produce any significant memory distortion. The arousal dimension of emotions had no significant effect on BE. The current results were discussed in the light of previous studies on the links between BE and emotions.

Keywords: visual perception, boundary extension, emotions

Is Boundary Extension Emotionally Selective?

When we observe a visual scene, the memory of it that we create often differs in various ways from the scene initially observed. While this frequently shows up as a loss of some informations (e.g., Simons & Levin, 1997), sometimes the reverse is true: the information memorized is richer than in the original scene. One of the manifestations of this potential enrichment of the memory trace with additional information that might have been just outside the scene's actual boundaries is a phenomenon known as boundary extension, or BE (Intraub & Richardson, 1989; e.g., Intraub, 2010, for a review). BE refers to a distortion of visual-spatial memory which occurs when observers trying to recall a picture tend to remember scene layout that was not in the original photograph but could be present just beyond the picture's actual boundaries (e.g., Gottesman & Intraub, 2002).

While there is a large body of research demonstrating that the BE phenomenon is robust (e.g., Dickinson & Intraub, 2008), there are a number of factors that seem likely to modulate this effect (e.g., Intraub, Hoffman, Wetherhold, & Stoebs, 2006), or even to make it disappear (e.g. Gottesman & Intraub, 2002). One such factor is the emotional nature of the stimulus (e.g., Candel, Merckelbach, Houben, & Vandyck, 2004; Candel, Merckelbach, & Zandbergen, 2003; Mathews & Mackintosh, 2004; Safer, Christianson, Autry, & Österlund, 1998), although few studies have dealt with the impact of emotions on BE, and the ones that have been conducted offer discrepant conclusions. Some have shown a BE effect following the presentation of stimuli with a negative valence (Candel et al., 2003, Experiment 1; Candel et al., 2004), whereas others have demonstrated the opposite effect: a shrinking of the remembered visual impression of the scene for negative-valence elements (Safer et al., 1998¹). While these previous researches were limited to examining the effects of negatively-valenced stimuli on BE, a study by Mathews and Mackintosh (2004) tested the effects of positively-valenced stimuli, too. These authors also attempted to determine the impact of arousal on the phenomenon. Their results indicated a BE effect, regardless of the stimuli's valence. Moreover, the BE effect observed by these authors was weaker for negative and highly arousing stimuli.

Our study explores a different aspect of the impact of emotions on BE. In the current work, we tested participants on facial and body expressions of emotion acted out by another person and not, like on most studies, on effects of emotional induction. Static stimuli depicting expression of facial emotions present a limited ecological validity, we therefore used dynamic stimuli (short films) showing actors expressing emotions with different valences (positive, negative) and arousal levels (low, high): anger, happiness, irritation, and

¹ The conclusions drawn from this study however probably need to be taken with caution. Indeed, the principal objective of this research was not to study BE, and the method employed was unusual for BE studies (e.g. only two pictures tested).

pleasure. All of the filmed sequences we used were taken from the GEMEP corpus (Bänziger, Mortillaro, & Scherer, 2012), which contains stimuli presenting both facial expressions and postural and gestural attitudes. These stimuli have been found to be powerful in terms of social communication (Bänziger, et al., 2012; Vieillard & Guidetti, 2009). Their dynamic nature is another aspect that makes them different from the static stimuli (photographs) used in most BE studies (see however DeLucia & Maldia, 2006). Since BE is a process thought to be involved in the visual integration of scenes perceived in succession by the eye (e.g., Dickinson & Intraub, 2008), it seemed useful to test BE with such dynamic stimuli.

We used a standard BE task, called *camera distance paradigm* (e.g., Intraub, Daniels, Horowitz, & Wolfe, 2008), that we adapted to filmed stimuli. The participants underwent two experimental phases: a film-memorization phase, followed by a recognition test. In this second phase, they saw the *exact* same items as seen in the first phase, but they were told, incorrectly, that while some of the items would be exactly the same, others would be shown from farther away or from closer up. The participants used a five-point scale to rate each film as to whether it was a more close-up view, a more wide-angle, or the same view as in the initial film. With this type of task, the BE effect will show up as a consistent tendency to judge the scenes as being filmed from closer up than they were in the first presentation.

Our objective was to find out if the BE effect was likely to depend upon the valence and the arousal level of the emotions the actors' displayed in the films. On the basis of previous data, it seems difficult to predict the nature of the effects likely to be observed, that is, whether there will be boundary extension (Mathews & Mackintosh, 2004) or conversely, boundary restriction (BR; Safer et al., 1998). However, research on memory for central and peripheral details reported that material depicting positive emotions enhances memory both for central and peripheral elements (i.e., memory broadening effect, e.g., Yegiyan & Yonelinas, 2011), whereas material depicting negative emotions promotes only central elements of an event (i.e., memory narrowing effect; e.g., Levine & Edelstein, 2009, for a review). On the basis of these observations, BE could be expected when the expressed emotions are positively-valenced and BR could be expected in the case of negatively-valenced emotions. In this sense, BE could be seen as one example of these general memory mechanisms². It is also likely that arousal modulates emotional valence effects on BE. Mathews and Mackintosh (2004) observed a significant interaction between these two variables, corresponding to a BE reduction for scenes which were at once very negative and highly arousing. Even if in our study the emotions were acted out by the actors and not induced by an emotional induction paradigm, a similar interaction is expected, with more BR for anger movies than for irritation ones.

² This idea was suggested by an anonymous reviewer in the first version of this article.

Method

Participants

The participants were 40 students from the University of Franche-Comté (32 women, 8 men), with a mean age of 21 years 2 months ($SD = 2$ years 11 months). All had normal or corrected-to-normal vision. None had knowledge of the experimental objectives.

Experimental Device

The experiment was presented on a MacBook Pro portable computer with a 15-inch screen, and was run by Psyscope software. During the test session, the participants were seated at a distance of about 50 cm from the screen.

Stimuli

We used sixteen short (1-second) silent film clips taken from the GEMEP corpus (Bänziger et al., 2012) featuring actors expressing emotions controlled on their valence and arousal (Vieillard & Guidetti, 2009). Four actors expressed each of the following emotions in turn: anger, happiness, irritation, and pleasure. Two of the emotions had a positive valence (happiness, pleasure) and two had a negative valence (anger, irritation). Likewise, two emotions involved a high degree of arousal (anger, happiness) and the other two, a low degree of arousal (irritation, pleasure).

As with the photographs typically used in BE tasks, the actors were located in the approximate center of the screen, filmed against a plain background consisting of a blue curtain. The framing was done so that their bodies would be visible down to the mid-thigh or knee level, with the lower part of the body off-screen (cf., Figure 1). During display, the films were framed with a white border and filled the entire screen. An additional film clip (neutral emotion) from the GEMEP corpus was presented to the participants in the familiarization phase.



Figure 1. Photographs a, b, c, and d show one of the actors expressing one of the four emotions used in the experiment.

Procedure

The participants were tested individually. Prior to the experiment, they were asked to fill out the STAI-Y (State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, Form Y, Spielberger, 1983/1993). This was aimed at eliminating from the sample those participants who exhibited anxiety levels (state or trait) that were too high at the time of the experiment. The BE task proposed was an adaptation of the camera distance paradigm to film sequences. It was preceded by a familiarization phase during which the experimenter used a sample film that was emotionally neutral in order to explain the general principle of the study. Then the experiment proper began, in two successive phases: a memorization phase, followed immediately by a recognition test.

Memorization phase. The participants were asked to memorize each of the sixteen filmed sequences to the best of their ability, while paying attention both to the actor and to the manner in which he/she was framed. Each stimulus was preceded by a mid-screen fixation point lasting 600 ms that warned the observer of the imminent arrival of the film clip to memorize. The stimuli were displayed in random order, lasted one second each, and were followed by a blank screen.

Recognition phase. The participants were told that each of the films previously memorized was going to reappear on the screen in random order, but that some of them had been modified with regard to the camera perspective from which it had been filmed. The participants' task was to use a five-point scale (ranging from -2 to 2) to rate each film

presented as to whether it seemed identical to the original (a value of 0 on the scale), just a little closer (-1), much closer (-2), a little farther away (1), or much farther away (2). Contrary to the experimenter's statement, the film clips shown the second time were all exactly the same as those presented in the first phase of the experiment³. No time limit was set for responding.

Results

The mean score distributions on the state-trait anxiety measure (Spielberger, 1983/1993) did not contain any extreme values (± 3 standard deviations), so none of the participants were excluded from the analysis.

The mean boundary ratings for each expression category are shown in Figure 2. To determine whether each mean rating differed significantly from zero, .95 confidence intervals were computed for each one (presented with error bars in Figure 2). If a rating did not differ significantly from zero ("same"), neither BE nor BR occurred. By contrast, a significant deviation from zero indicated a distortion of the remembered size of the film. A negative rating indicated that the viewer remembered the film as having more background than it actually had (a BE effect); a positive rating indicated that the viewer remembered the film as having less background. For all emotions taken together, the confidence intervals revealed an overall BE effect (mean = -0.17, $SD = 0.47$). However, as the error bars in Figure 2 show, there was a significant BE effect for only two of the emotions tested: happiness and pleasure. Anger and irritation, by contrast, did not produce a significant memory distortion.

³ The use of a pre-existing corpus of experimentally validated films (Vieillard & Guidetti, 2009) did not allow us to include distractor films shot from closer up or farther away, as is generally done in studies based on this paradigm (e.g., Intraub et al., 2008). However, the studies which, like ours, did not employ distractors did not fail to observe a BE effect (e.g., Munger, Owens, & Conway, 2005).




Figure 2. Mean boundary ratings for each emotion. Error bars indicate the .95 confidence interval around the means.

We conducted an ANOVA on the boundary ratings for each participant, with valence (positive, negative) and arousal (high, low) as within-subject factors. The results indicated a main effect of valence, $F(1, 39) = 14.91$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2 p = .277$, suggesting that participants extrapolated the spatial structure more from stimuli depicting positively-valenced emotions than from those showing negatively-valenced emotions (mean positive valence = -0.29 , $SD = 0.56$; mean negative valence = -0.04 , $SD = 0.58$). There was no effect of arousal, $F(1, 39) < 1$, nor a significant interaction between valence and arousal, $F(1, 39) < 1$.

In order to control that the effect we observed was due to the emotional valence and not to the spatial expanse of the gestures⁴, we compared (1) the average distances in pixels between the actor's arms and the boundaries (horizontal, vertical), and (2) the minimal distances in pixels between the actor's arms and the boundaries (horizontal, vertical) for negative and positive valences stimuli. In all the cases, no significant difference was observed, with (1) $t(14) = 1.049$ and $t(14) = .505$, for horizontal and vertical average distances respectively, and (2) with $t(14) = .21$, and $t(14) = .397$, for horizontal and vertical minimal distances respectively.

⁴ The more an object fills a stimulus, the greater BE we will observe (e.g., Gottesman & Intraub, 2002).

Discussion

The present study was aimed at determining whether emotions such as happiness, pleasure, irritation, and anger expressed by actors in short films were likely to affect the boundary extension phenomenon. The results indicated a BE effect only for positively-valenced stimuli (i.e., happiness, pleasure), while negatively-valenced ones (i.e., anger, irritation) did not lead to memory distortion. Moreover, there was no observed effect of arousal, nor an interaction between valence and arousal.

Firstly, these results show that the BE effect, which to date has been observed almost exclusively with photographs, can also be obtained when films are presented (DeLucia & Maldia, 2006). This finding is important in the sense that BE, so far mainly studied by having participants memorize still photographs, seems to be involved in the visual integration of scenes perceived in succession by the eye (e.g., Dickinson & Intraub, 2008).

Concerning the influence of the emotions expressed by the actors, our results support only partially previous observations on the links between BE and emotions. Like Mathews and Mackintosh (2004), we found a BE effect for positive-valence stimuli, but contrary to these authors (but see Safer et al., 1998), we did not observe a BE effect with negative-valence films. Although the previous researches showed discrepant findings on the effects of emotional stimuli on BE, our results support the observations made by researches on the effects of emotion on memory (e.g., Levine & Edelstein, 2009). Indeed, it was shown that emotional valence involves a different treatment as stimuli represent a positive or a negative valence. As positive stimuli broaden attentional focus and facilitate the Memory Broadening phenomenon (e.g., Fenske & Eastwood, 2003; Yeghyan & Yonelinas, 2011), it is likely that these mechanisms could be the cause of the BE phenomenon observed for happiness and pleasure. On the other hand, negative stimuli classically involve narrowing of attentional focus and memory (e.g., Fenske & Eastwood, 2003; Levine & Edelstein, 2009), which could be the cause of the absence of significant memory distortion we observed for anger and irritation. One hypothesis, which would need to be tested in the future, is that the results could be due to a differing attention level or amount of attention directed to a photograph, depending on the valence of the emotions expressed in the films.

Whereas arousal is traditionally described as a fundamental component of emotional stimuli (e.g., Levine & Edelstein, 2009), we observed no significant effect of this variable on BE. If these results seem surprising, in particular as Mathews and Mackintosh (2004) observed an effect of arousal on BE, it is possible that the nature of the presented stimuli had an incidence on our observations. Contrary to previous studies, arousal was not being designed to induce, but to *convey* emotions through the portrayal of the actors. On this matter, it has been shown that emotion recognition (i.e., perception) and experience (i.e., induction) refer to independent processes (Ille et al., 2011). Moreover, the sequences presented during our study are characterized by their dynamic aspect and have the particularity to simulate social interactions. Indeed, emotional expressions transmit socio-communicative signals

relative to the internal state of an individual, from which the observer will infer behavioral intentions (Scherer & Bänziger, 2010).

In summary, our results showed that positively-valenced emotions led to an extension effect while the negatively-valenced ones did not produce BE. If different hypotheses can account for the discrepant findings observed in the literature on BE and emotions, one must notice that these studies have a high heterogeneity in the methodology used across studies: drawing tasks (e.g., Candel et al., 2003, 2004), camera distance paradigm (e.g., Candel et al., 2003 ; Safer et al., 1998 ; our study), forced-recognition choice test (e.g. Mathews & Mackintosh, 2004 ; Safer et al., 1998). For a better understanding of the influence of emotion on the BE effect, further researches would have to examine if the observed differences are mainly linked to the different methodologies used, and if so, why.

References

- Bänziger, T., Mortillaro, M., & Scherer, K. R. (2012). Introducing the Geneva Multimodal Expression Corpus for Experimental Research on Emotion Perception. *Emotion, 12*, 1161-1179.
- Candel, I., Merckelbach, H., Houben, K., & Vandyck, I. (2004). How children remember neutral and emotional pictures: Boundary extension in children's scene memories. *American Journal of Psychology, 117*, 249-257.
- Candel, I., Merckelbach, H., & Zandbergen, M. (2003). Boundary distortions for neutral and emotional pictures. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 10*, 691-695.
- DeLucia, P.R., & Mardia, M.M. (2006). Visual memory for moving scenes. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 59*, 440-360.
- Dickinson, C.A., & Intraub, H. (2008). Transsaccadic representation of layout: What is the time course of boundary extension? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 34*, 543-555.
- Fenske, M.J., & Eastwood, J.D. (2003). Modulation of focused attention by faces expressing emotion: Evidence from flanker tasks. *Emotion, 3*, 327-343.
- Gottesman, C.V., & Intraub, H. (2002). Surface construal and the mental representation of scenes. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 28*, 589-599.
- Ille, R., Holl, A.K., Kapfhammer, H.-S., Reisinger, K., Schäfer, A., & Schienle, A. (2011). Emotion recognition and experience in Huntington's disease: Is there a differential impairment? *Psychiatry Research, 188*, 377-382.
- Intraub, H. (2010). Rethinking Scene Perception: A Multisource Model. In B. Ross (Ed.), *Psychology of Learning and Motivation, 52*, (pp.231-264). Burlington: Academic Press.
- Intraub, H., Daniels, K.K., Horowitz, T.S., & Wolfe, J.M. (2008). Looking at scenes while searching for numbers: Dividing attention multiplies space. *Perception & Psychophysics, 70*, 1337-1349.
- Intraub, H., Hoffman, J.E., Wetherhold, C.J., & Stoehs, S.A. (2006). More than meets the eye: The effect of planned fixations on scene representation. *Perception and Psychophysics, 68*, 759-769.
- Intraub, H., & Richardson, M. (1989). Wide-angle memories of close-up scenes. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory and Cognition, 15*, 179-196.
- Levine, L.J., & Edelman, R.S. (2009). Emotion and memory narrowing: A review and goal-relevance approach. *Cognition and Emotion, 23*, 833-875.

- Mathews, A., & Mackintosh, B. (2004). Take a closer look: Emotion modifies the boundary extension effect. *Emotion*, 4, 36-45.
- Munger, M.P., Owens, R.T., & Conway, J.E. (2005). Are boundary extension and representational momentum related? *Visual Cognition*, 12, 1041-1056.
- Safer, M.A., Christianson, S.-A., Autry, M.W., & Österlund, K. (1998). Tunnel memory for traumatic events. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 12, 99-117.
- Simons, D.J., & Levin, D.T. (1997). Change blindness. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 1, 261-267.
- Spielberger, C.D. (1993). *Inventaire d'anxiété état-trait forme Y*. Paris: Editions du Centre de Psychologie Appliquée (original published in 1983).
- Vieillard, S., & Guidetti, M. (2009). Children's perception and understanding of (dis)similarities among dynamic bodily/ facial expressions of happiness, pleasure, anger and irritation. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 102, 78-95.
- Yegiyan, N.S. & Yonelinas, A.P. (2011). Encoding details: Positive emotion leads to memory broadening. *Cognition and Emotion*, 25, 1255-1262.

Authors' Notes

Emmanuelle Ménétrier, Laboratoire de Psychologie EA 3188, Université de Franche-Comté, Besançon, France; André Didierjean (1) Laboratoire de Psychologie EA 3188, Université de Franche-Comté, Besançon, France and (2) Institut Universitaire de France ; Sandrine Vieillard, Laboratoire de Psychologie EA 3188, Université de Franche-Comté, Besançon, France. Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Emmanuelle Ménétrier or André Didierjean, Laboratoire de psychologie EA 3188, Université de Franche-Comté, 30-32 rue Mégevand, 25000 Besançon, France. Electronic mail may be sent to menetrier_emmanuelle@yahoo.fr or andre.didierjean@univ-fcomte.fr.

We express our gratitude to T. Bänziger, M. Mortillaro, and K.R. Scherer for granting us permission to use the GEMEP corpus.