

Solving transient coupled conductive and radiative transfers in porous media with a Monte Carlo Method: Characterization of thermal conductivity of foams using a numerical Flash Method

Morgan Sans, Olivier Farges, Vincent Schick, Gilles Parent

▶ To cite this version:

Morgan Sans, Olivier Farges, Vincent Schick, Gilles Parent. Solving transient coupled conductive and radiative transfers in porous media with a Monte Carlo Method: Characterization of thermal conductivity of foams using a numerical Flash Method. International Journal of Thermal Sciences, 2022, 179, pp.107656. 10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2022.107656. hal-03681436v1

HAL Id: hal-03681436 https://hal.science/hal-03681436v1

Submitted on 1 Jun 2022 (v1), last revised 2 Jun 2022 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Solving transient coupled conductive and radiative transfers in porous media with a Monte Carlo Method: characterization of thermal conductivity of foams using a numerical Flash method.

Morgan Sans^a, Olivier Farges^a, Vincent Schick^a, Gilles Parent^{a,*}

^aUniversité de Lorraine, CNRS, LEMTA, F-54000 Nancy, France

6 Abstract

5

This paper presents a single Monte-Carlo algorithm used to solve transient conductive and radiative heat transfers in three-dimensional porous media. The complete methodology presented step by step herein enables practical and efficient study of geometrical and multiphysical complexities. The code was validated against results obtained by commercial software, analytical and semi-analytical solutions. Computation times were found to be greatly reduced when radiative transfer is predominant compared with those obtained using a deterministic solver. This kind of approach allows a probe calculation in the frame of linear thermal transfers and is well suited for the numerical characterization of heterogeneous media. In this work a numerical flash method was reproduced and enabled us to evaluate the effective total conductivity of the equivalent homogenized medium. The influence of various parameters such as porosity, size of the unit cell, bulk conductivity of the solid phase, reference temperature and emissivity was studied for a stack of Kelvin cells. This tool enables the parametric investigation of geometric and thermal properties. The results are in good agreement with those of the literature.

7 Keywords: Monte-Carlo Method, Heat conduction, Thermal radiation, Flash Method, Equivalent thermal

8 conductivity, Porous media

9 1. Introduction

Ceramic and metallic foams are commonly used in many engineering applications because of their interesting 10 thermal, mechanical and optical properties[1]. Improved manufacturing processes enable the production of porous 11 media with a very wide range of structural parameters such as porosity, cell size distribution, geometries or materials 12 used. These can thus be designed and used for several applications such as catalysis, volumetric solar absorbers, 13 insulators, heat exchangers or gas-phase heat recovery. The foam structural and thermal parameters play a key role 14 in the efficiency of the studied systems because of the coupling between the different physical phenomena involved 15 such as conduction in the fluid/solid phase, convection, transport, radiation or chemical reaction. Their optimization 16 necessarily requires better knowledge of the link between the porous heterogeneous medium and the coupled heat 17 transfer mechanisms and this can be achieved by using numerical and experimental tools. 18

19

Nowadays, combined heat transfers can be solved directly on complex geometry at the sample scale, i.e. at a scale equal to a few (dozen of) size of pores, but this becomes difficult at the scale of the system itself. Therefore, it is very common for the thermal problem to be simplified by considering foam as a homogeneous medium with equivalent thermal properties, which represents the overall thermal transport through the medium and has to be characterized. In this framework, a unique Equivalent Thermal Conductivity (ETC), which can include conduction, convection and radiation has been widely considered. Interested readers can refer to [2], which gives a broad overview of the studied geometries in different scopes of validity. Analytical solutions or numerical tools have been provided for the simplest

*Corresponding author. *Email address:* gilles.parent@univ-lorraine.fr (Gilles Parent)

Preprint submitted to Int. J. Therm. Sci.

	Nomenc	lature	
Greek	Symbols	$J_{ m i}$	Number of excitation with the excited su
α	Thermal diffusivity $(m^2 \cdot s^{-1})$		face of the i^{th} realization (–)
δ	Space jump (m)	N _{mc}	Number of Monte Carlo realization (-)
$\delta_{ m b}$	Space jump at the boundary (m)	$p_{\rm X}$	probability density function of a rando
δ_{w}	Space jump to the boundary (m)		variable $X(-)$
ϵ	Emissivity (–)	$p_{\rm cond}$	Probability of following a conductive path
$\lambda_{ m s}$	Bulk thermal conductivity $(W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1})$	-)
Φ	Transient heat excitation $(W \cdot m^{-2})$	$p_{\rm rad}$	Probability of following a radiative path (-
ho'	directional-hemispherical reflectivity (-)	Q	Constant heat flux deposited ($W \cdot m^{-2}$)
σ	Stefan-Boltzmann constant $(5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}^{-2})$	· ᡌ ⁻⁴)	Surface (m ²)
au	Time decrement (s)	Si	Source term of the i^{th} realization (K)
θ	Polar angle (rad)	Т	Temperature (K)
θ_{i}	<i>i</i> th realization of the MC algorithm (K)	t	Time (s)
ε	Porosity (–)	$T_{\rm cold}$	Imposed cold temperature (K)
φ	Azimuthal angle (rad)	$T_{\rm hot}$	Imposed hot temperature (K)
		$T_{\rm rad}$	Averaged radiative temperature (K)
.		$T_{\rm ref}$	Reference temperature (K)
Latin	Symbols		• · · ·
\mathcal{D}_{s}	Domain (–)		
8	Expectation of a random variable (–)	Subsc	ripts
'A al	Heaviside function (–)	Г	Relating to the space of the paths (-)
SH DO	Heaviside step function (–)	γ	Relating to a given path $(-)$
$\partial \mathcal{D}_{\Phi}$	Contour with neat excitation $(-)$	Í	Relating to the initial condition (–)
$\partial D_{\rm fs}$	Fluid/solid contour (diffusive wall) (–)	b	Relating to the boundary $(-)$
$\partial \mathcal{D}_{p}$	Contour with periodic condition (–)	cond	Relating to conductive heat transfer (–)
$\partial D_{\rm rf}$	Contour of the rear face (-)	eff	Relating to effective heat transfer (–)
$\partial D_{\rm s}$	Contour (–)	eq	Relating to total equivalent heat transfer (-
n	Unitary outward normal vector (–)	i	Relating to the intersection (–)
u	Direction of conductive propagation (–)	max	Relating to the maximum value (–)
V	Direction of incident intensity (–)	obs	Relating to the probe computation location
v _r	Leasting ()		
X D'	Location (–)	rad	Relating to radiative heat transfer (–)
Bl	Radiative Biot number (–)	rf	Relating to the rear face $(-)$
D_{cell}	Size of a unit cell (m)		
F	cumulative density function of the azimuthal		
G	align $(-)$	Super	rscripts
U	gle ()	*	Relating to dimensionless quantities (–)
и	git (-) Cumulative density function of the time ()	0	Blackbody (–)
11 h.	Radiative exchange coefficient ($W \cdot m^{-2} \cdot K^{-1}$)	-	
rad I	Radiant intensity (W, m^{-2} , sr^{-1})		
,	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	041	Course has he
i	1^{m} regularization of the MIC supprisem ([]ITDOP	^o Sympole
i i	i^{th} realization of the MC algorithm (-) i^{th} interaction with the excited face ()	$\frac{\text{Other}}{\overline{X}}$	Symbols Estimator of the quantity $Y(\cdot)$

Г

geometries [3] while numerical or experimental characterization procedures have been developed to study 3D complex
 porous geometries. The thermal behavior of metallic foams at ambient temperature were studied by Coquard and Bail-

lis [4] or by R. Wulf et al. [5] who used a numerical hot guarded plate method from tomographic images. Zhao et al.
 [6], Fend et al.[7] and Coquard et al. [8] used respectively an experimental guarded hot plane, a transient plan source
 and a flash method. Such studies can be used to explore the validity of the theoretical models described above, validate employed characterization procedures and highlight the main thermal or geometrical properties in heat transport.

Ceramic and metallic foams are particularly interesting for high-temperature applications in which radiation trans-34 fer plays a major role. The description of the radiative behavior in such media through the identification of equivalent 35 optical properties has mostly been studied independently of the other modes of heat transport. Authors like Tancrez 36 and Taine [9], Guévelou et al [10] or Loretz et al. [11] proposed numerical methods based on ray-tracing approaches 37 and provided correlations from tomographic images while authors like Hendricks and Howell [12] or Baillis et al.[13] 38 performed a spectral estimation of equivalent radiative properties from spectrometer measurements. Characterization 39 following an entirely numerical procedure requires efficient modelling of the coupled thermal transfers directly on the 40 complex and 3D heterogeneous medium. Solving this problem can remain quite tricky and time-consuming because 41 of the different nature of the two modes of heat transfer. Indeed, sufficiently finely resolved and realistic geometry and optimized solvers for each of the transport modes are required to achieve this. To our knowledge, very few 43 studies have focused on the numerical identification of equivalent properties of foams with coupled conductive and 44 radiative transfer. Some authors like Mendes et al. [14] have proposed using a numerical hot guarded plate applied 45 to metallic foams at high temperature and demonstrated the limits of using the Rosseland approximation to describe 46 the equivalent radiative conductivity. Subsequently, these same authors developed a complete numerical study [14] to 47 determine the possible bias generated by simplified radiative modeling of the medium (heterogeneous, homogeneous, 48 Rosseland...). Empirical laws were then provided to make modeling these heterogeneous environments easier. Very 49 recently, Vignoles and Ortona [15] proposed an original stochastic method to model both conduction and radiation in 50 ceramic/metallic foams and fibers and identified total equivalent properties. 51

52

68

Experimental measurements of the conductivity of foams at high temperature are not common at all. The charac-53 terization procedure requires the use of a fast and accurate coupled model. Zhao et al. [6], Coquard and Baillis [16] 54 and Mendes et al. [17] used the method involving a hot guarded plate while Coquard et al. [18] used the hot-wire 55 to study coupling heat transfers in expanded polystyrene foams. Niezgoda et al. [19] applied the flash method to the 56 study of a silica aerogel and other thermal insulators such as low density foams. However, due to a lack of sensitivity 57 or to correlations between parameters, the identification of equivalent radiative properties was difficult and was performed separately. For the very first time, Coquard et al.[8] simultaneously characterized an equivalent conductivity 59 and equivalent absorbing and scattering coefficients for metallic and ceramic foams (Zirconia, FeCr alloy, Mullite 60 and NiCrAl) over a temperature range between 296 K and 673 K. The authors of the present paper recently reported 61 this kind of characterization at temperatures up to 1000 K applied to SiC and SiSiC foams taking into account a 62 wide variety of influential parameters such as the geometry of cells, pore diameter, bulk conductivity, the reference 63 temperature and so on [20]. Nevertheless, this kind of experimental characterization is always time-consuming and 64 costly, especially when aiming high temperatures. Moreover, the large number of possible combinations of intrinsic 65 parameters makes a systemic parametric exploration difficult as this requires the right samples to be available. A 66 numerical approach is thus necessary to assist with experimental characterization and anticipate needs. 67

As explained above, the numerical resolution of coupled heat transfers directly on complex geometry remains 69 tricky and requires efficient numerical tools. To our knowledge, deterministic methods are commonly used such as 70 the Finite Element Method (FEM) for conduction and the Discrete Ordinate Method for radiation [14, 5, 21, 22]. 71 Recently, Fournier et al. [23] suggested the idea of solving multi-physical phenomena with a single Monte-Carlo al-72 gorithm. They also showed that this kind of algorithm can be constructed if the set of considered equations can be 73 written as a Fredholm equation of the second kind corresponding to the linear heat transfer framework. Based on an 74 integral formulation, a recursive (backward in time) algorithm computes the temperature at a given time and at a given 75 location (probe calculation) of a complex 3D geometry. The different heat transfer modes are solved at once so there is 76 77 no need to couple two independent solvers. As this method requires only a surface mesh, it is very practical to use and convenient for dealing with geometric and multiphysical complexities. Moreover, coupling this with tools developed 78 by the image synthesis community (such as hierarchical grids) means performance levels can be increased by reducing 79 computation times and through a demonstrated insensitivity to the mesh refinement [24]. Caliot et al. [25] applied 80

this algorithm in a stationary case involving a structured foam made of Kelvin cells. This approach has been validated 81 for conductive and radiative transfers by comparison with a deterministic method. Ibarrart et al.[26] extended this 82 to consider coupled conductive, advective and radiative heat transfers while Penazzi et al. [27] studied the case of a 83 semi-transparent medium. Assuming the linearity of heat transfers is a not limiting factor because only a small in-84 crease of the equilibrium temperature of the medium is required in most of the characterization methods, including the 85 flash method. Moreover, recent studies have shown that solutions can be found to manage nonlinear problems [28]. 86 Finally, the Monte-Carlo algorithm and in particular its probe calculation aspect as proposed by Fournier et al.[23] 87 appears highly suitable to use in the field of thermal characterization as it provides the solution to the direct model on 88 the complex geometry. In this paper, we propose to apply the algorithm to the particular case of the flash method and 89 to demonstrate the high potential of this approach for the study of coupled transfers in a heterogeneous medium. 90 91

In the following, we shall first describe the thermal problem and governing equations concerned by our work. 92 Secondly, we shall go on to describe the generic principle of the Monte-Carlo algorithm, which allows geometrical 93 and multiphysical complexities to be dealt with in a very practical and efficient way. The complete methodology and 94 the particular configuration of the flash method are detailed. Compared to previous studies [25, 26, 27], the present 95 problem requires a transient solution. Thus, a validation case is provided for a 3D geometry which could be useful as 96 a benchmark case for further algorithmic improvements. A comparison with a calculation performed by a commercial 97 software based on deterministic methods also helps highlight the interests of our approach. Next the construction of 98 the geometry of foams is presented and the identification procedure based on the numerically obtained thermograms 99 is briefly described. Finally, a parametric study is presented. The influence of main parameters is studied including 100 porosity, size of the cells, bulk conductivity of the foam struts, the bulk emissivity and the reference temperature on 101 the total heat transfer. 102

103 2. METHODS



(a) Thermal problem associated with the flash method without convection/radiation at the wall

(b) Graphical representation of the path construction: moving to higher dimensions

Figure 1: Principle of the flash method and walking technique for conduction

As explained previously, the flash method is an efficient method for measuring the total equivalent conductivity 104 of a semi-transparent medium. In addition, the transient nature of this characterization method means it is possible 105 to separate different contributions and the authors successfully achieved this to characterize experimentally silicon 106 carbide foams at high temperature [20]. The idea of the present paper is to replace an experimental flash method 107 by an entirely numerical one. Although the guarded hot plate or hot wire methods appear as references and are also 108 well suited for such an identification of thermal properties, only the flash method is discussed here to benefit from the 109 110 previous experience developed and allow comparison. However, there is no limit to the extension of the methodology described in this section to other characterization techniques. 111

In the experimental flash method (cf. fig. 1(a)), a sample at thermal equilibrium $T_{\rm I}$ is excited with a short duration heat flux $\Phi(t)$ on its front face. The transient evolution of the mean surface temperature of the rear face $T(\mathbf{x}_{\rm obs}, t)$ is

measured with an infrared camera. It is common to place the sample between two soleplates or to cover each face 114 with a black coating [8, 20] Here this is necessary because of the semi-transparent nature of the sample and because of 115 the experimental configuration used. The first soleplate absorbs the incident radiative heat flux and avoids the direct 116 transmission of the laser excitation to the detector. The second is useful to avoid measuring volumetric emission. 117 This means that a proper spatially averaged temperature can be measured. Finally, the porous medium is considered 118 under vacuum, such that no natural convection or conduction through the fluid phase can occur. This choice was only 119 made to isolate and identify conduction through the solid phase but no experimental or numerical limitations prevent 120 such study of the influence of the fluid phase. After a presentation of the context of the study, the following section is 121 dedicated to the description of the methodology used to provide the coupled direct model describing the heat transfer 122 through the heterogeneous medium. 123

124 2.1. Monte-Carlo Method: General case

Fundamentally, the Monte Carlo (MC) method is a method that estimates integrals (and discrete sums) by interpreting them as expectations. Any quantity that can be expressed in an integral form can be estimated using a MC algorithm, including differential problems that are initially formulated outside the framework of stochastic processes [29, 30]. In this paper, our work aimed to compute the temperature at location \mathbf{x}_{obs} and at time *t*, by following a large number of paths crossing the complex geometry. The temperature $T(\mathbf{x}_{obs}, t)$ is then the expectation of a random variable $\Theta(\mathbf{x}_{obs}, t)$:

$$\overline{T}(\mathbf{x}_{\text{obs}}, t) = \mathcal{E}[\Theta(\mathbf{x}_{\text{obs}}, t)] \approx \frac{1}{N_{\text{mc}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{mc}}} \theta_i$$
(1)

with $\overline{T}(\mathbf{x}_{obs}, t)$ the Monte-Carlo estimator of $T(\mathbf{x}_{obs}, t)$, Θ a random variable and θ_i the *i*th realization of this variable, which is determined from the *i*th path inside the calculation domain. A path starts at the location \mathbf{x}_{obs} and at the time *t* where and when the temperature needs to be computed. A path stops when a known temperature such as the initial condition or a Dirichlet boundary condition is reached. The achieved temperature is retained as the weight of the *i*th realization of the algorithm.

¹³⁶ 2.2. Monte-Carlo Method: Conductive path

¹³⁷ Concerning the conduction, the transient Energy Balance Equation (EBE) is:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \alpha \nabla^2 T \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{s}}$$
⁽²⁾

One way to solve the given thermal problem is to use the finite difference method. In the case of a unidirectional problem, using an explicit Euler scheme (order 1) to approximate the time derivative, and a centered scheme (order 2) for the spatial derivative, the EBE (cf. eq. (1)) becomes :

$$\frac{T(x,t) - T(x,t-\tau)}{\tau} = \frac{\alpha}{\delta^2} \left[T(x+\delta,t-\tau) - 2T(x,t-\tau) + T(x-\delta,t-\tau) \right]$$
(3)

with δ the spatial discretization step and τ the temporal discretization step. The temperature at position *x* and time *t* is thus expressed as:

$$T(x,t) = \mu T(x+\delta, t-\tau) + (1-2\mu)T(x,t-\tau) + \mu T(x-\delta,t-\tau)$$
(4)

with $\mu = \alpha \tau / \delta^2$. For this example, the Courant-Friedrichs-Lewis (CFL) condition requires $\mu \le 1/2$ for the explicit scheme to be stable. Following this condition, eq. (4) allows a probabilistic interpretation and is used to construct propagation paths:

$$T(x,t) = p_{\rm r} T(x+\delta,t-\tau) + p_{\rm c} T(x,t-\tau) + p_{\rm l} T(x-\delta,t-\tau)$$
(5)

It is then possible to construct a walk based on the corresponding 1D regular mesh of step δ , with p_r , p_c and p_1 the probabilities associated with a computation of the temperatures $T(x + \delta, t - \tau)$ (on the right), $T(x, t - \tau)$ (in the center) and $T(x - \delta, t - \tau)$ (on the left), respectively. Each move δ requires a time decrement τ . If the temperature at a

new location at time $t - \tau$ is unknown, the process is thus continued recursively until a known temperature such as a 149 boundary (Dirichlet) temperature or the temperature at the initial time is reached. 150

The transition from this kind of walking technique to a three-dimensional grid is straightforward. It was initially 151 proposed by Haji-Sheikh and Sparrow [31] and allow to simulate a Brownian motion process. However, the previous 152 example is described so that the purpose of the walk building process can be better understood. This type of walk is 153 actually not practical when dealing with boundary conditions which are different from Dirichlet in complex geometry 154

because of the derivative terms with respect to the normal. Therefore the same authors proposed a walk based on a 155 sphere. In a spherical coordinate system, the formal solution of eq. (1) at a given position x (here x is the position in

156

3D and has thus 3 coordinates) and at time t is: 157

$$T(\mathbf{x},t) = \int_{F=0}^{1} \int_{G=0}^{1} \int_{\tau=0}^{t} T(\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{u}, t - \tau) dF(\varphi) dG(\theta) dH(\tau)$$
(6)

158

$$\begin{cases} F(\varphi) = \varphi/2\pi, \ G(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos(\theta)) \\ H(\tau) = 1 + 2\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (-1)^p \exp\left(-ap^2\pi^2\tau/\delta^2\right) \end{cases}$$
(7)

with δ the radius of a sphere centered in **x**, θ the polar angle and φ the azimuthal angle. As in the previous example, eq. (6) and eq. (7) also admit a probabilistic interpretation. The quantities F, G and H can be regarded as cumulative density functions of the azimutal angle φ , the polar angle θ and the time decrement τ , respectively. The latter expression can be thus rewritten:

$$T(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int_{4\pi} p_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u} \int_{0}^{\infty} p_{\mathrm{T}}(\tau) d\tau \left[\mathcal{H}(t - \tau \le 0) T_{\mathrm{I}} + \mathcal{H}(t - \tau > 0) T(\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{u}, t - \tau) \right]$$
(8)

with $p_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{u})$ and $p_{\mathrm{T}}(\tau)$ the probability densities associated with the sampling of a direction **u** and a time τ , respectively. 159

 $\mathcal H$ is the Heaviside function. Three random numbers uniformly distributed between 0 and 1 allow us to sample a 160 direction **u** and a time τ . In the case of an infinite medium, the corresponding algorithm to eq. (8) is described in 161 algorithm 1: 162

Algorithm 1 Sampling of a conductive path (infinite medium)

Sample a direction of travel **u** according to $p_{\rm U}$ Sample a time τ according to $p_{\rm T}$ if $t \leq \tau$ then $T(\mathbf{x}, t) = T_{\rm I}$ (initial condition) else $T(\mathbf{x}, t) = T(\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{u}, t - \tau)$ (recursivity) end if

Hence, if the initial condition is not reached, the path is placed in $\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{u}$ and the time t associated with the path 163 is decremented by the quantity τ . A random walk based on the construction of a sphere is generated through iteration 164 as shown in fig. 1(b). In the case of a finite medium, the conductive path stops if the initial condition or if a boundary 165 where temperature is known is reached. 166

2.3. Monte-Carlo Method: radiative path 167

This paper only deals with the case of an opaque medium and a transparent void phase to simulate experimental 168 conditions of our previous study [20]. However, the present method is not only limited to this type of interface 169 condition and can be extended, for example, to the case of a semi-transparent medium or to a convective exchange. 170

In our case, the radiative transfer is thus limited to a surface-to-surface exchange. The coupling between conduction 171 and radiation is thus carried out at the solid/void interface ∂D_{sf} . The balance of the conductive and the radiative fluxes 172 is written as follows: 173

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \lambda_{s} \nabla T = \int_{2\pi} |\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}| \, \epsilon \left(I^{0}(\mathbf{x}_{b}) - I(\mathbf{x}_{b}, -\mathbf{v}) \right) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}$$
⁽⁹⁾



Figure 2: Conductive-radiative coupling, case of opaque surfaces: ray-tracing within the fluid phase (vacuum)

with ϵ the emissivity of the surface (uniform and hemispherical), **n** the unitary outward vector normal to the surface, λ_s the thermal conductivity of the solid, \mathbf{x}_b the position at the solid/void boundary, **v** the direction, I^0 the blackbody intensity and *I* the intensity. For the sake of simplicity, radiative quantities averaged on the whole spectrum are considered.

As described in [25], the wall temperature is non-linearly dependent on the blackbody intensity which means the latter must be linearized around a reference temperature T_{ref} :

$$I^{0}(\mathbf{x}_{b}) = \frac{\sigma}{\pi} T^{4}(\mathbf{x}_{b})$$

$$\approx \frac{\sigma}{\pi} T^{4}_{ref} + 4 \frac{\sigma}{\pi} T^{3}_{ref}(\mathbf{x}_{b}) \left(T(\mathbf{x}_{b}) - T_{ref} \right)$$
(10)

with σ the Stefan-Boltzmann constant. As previously discussed, this assumption does not pose particular problems in the case of the thermal characterization methods used because of the low temperature elevation of the sample (just a few Kelvin) relative to the equilibrium temperature. As the void phase is transparent, the incident intensity at the wall $I(\mathbf{x}_b, -\mathbf{v})$ is equal to the intensity leaving from \mathbf{x}_i in the direction $-\mathbf{v}$:

$$I(\mathbf{x}_{b}, -\mathbf{v}) = I(\mathbf{x}_{i}, -\mathbf{v})$$
$$= \epsilon I^{0}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) + \int_{2\pi} p_{R}(\mathbf{x}_{i})\rho' |\mathbf{v}_{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i}| I(\mathbf{x}_{i}, -\mathbf{v}_{r})d\mathbf{v}_{r}$$
(11)

with $p_{\rm R}(\mathbf{x}_i)\rho'$ the Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function, which is the product of a probability density over 174 the reflected directions \mathbf{v}_r and the directional-hemispherical reflectivity. \mathbf{n}_i is the outward normal at the location 175 \mathbf{x}_i . According to eq. (11), the incident intensity is therefore decomposed into an emission term and a reflection term 176 integrated over the directions \mathbf{v}_r . In this expression, the incident intensity $I(\mathbf{x}_i, -\mathbf{v}_r)$ can also be decomposed according 177 to eq. (11), which gives rise to nested integrals and leads to an iterative algorithm. Finally, we can express the incoming 178 intensity as a function of an intensity emitted from an unknown position \mathbf{x}_{γ} . The latter intensity can reach the location 179 \mathbf{x}_{b} in the direction $-\mathbf{v}$ after zero, one or multiple reflections. For the sake of clarity, the corresponding nested multiple 180 integrals are simply represented by one integral on the space of optical paths Γ : 181

$$I(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{b}}, -\mathbf{v}) = \int_{\Gamma} p_{\Gamma}(\gamma) I^{0}(\mathbf{x}_{\gamma}) \mathrm{d}\gamma$$
(12)

with $p_{\Gamma}(\gamma)$ the probability density associated with an optical path γ . By injecting eq. (10) and eq. (12) (linearized) in eq. (9), we can write:

$$-\mathbf{n} \cdot \lambda_{s} \nabla T = 4\epsilon \sigma T_{ref}^{3} \left(T(\mathbf{x}_{b}) - \int_{2\pi} \frac{|\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}|}{\pi} d\mathbf{v} \int_{\Gamma} p_{\Gamma} d\gamma T(\mathbf{x}_{\gamma}) \right)$$
$$= h_{rad} \left(T(\mathbf{x}_{b}) - \int_{2\pi} p_{V}(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} \int_{\Gamma} p_{\Gamma} d\gamma T(\mathbf{x}_{\gamma}) \right)$$
$$= h_{rad} \left(T(\mathbf{x}_{b}) - T_{rad}(\mathbf{x}_{b}) \right)$$
(13)

with h_{rad} a radiative exchange coefficient. T_{rad} represents an average radiative temperature seen at the interface and due

- to the radiative exchanges through the void phase. The latter quantity can be estimated with the following algorithm
- (cf. algorithm 2), which is the corresponding algorithmic interpretation of eq. (12) and eq. (13):

Algorithm 2 Sampling of a radiative path: estimate $T_{rad}(\mathbf{x}_b)$ Sample a direction of travel **v** according to p_V Evaluate a first intersection \mathbf{x}_i Sample canonically a random number r in [0, 1] if $r \le \epsilon$ then $T_{rad}(\mathbf{x}_b) = T(\mathbf{x}_i)$ (absorbed) else Sample a new direction \mathbf{v}_r according to p_R $T_{rad}(\mathbf{x}_b) = T_{rad}(\mathbf{x}_i)$ (recursivity) end if

Hence, an emission direction obeying a lambertian law is sampled when evaluating the radiative temperature.
 Then, until an absorption event is achieved, the path jumps through the void phase as represented in fig. 2. At each new reflection, a new direction according to the BRDF is sampled. Each jump does not imply time decrementation
 because of the very high velocity of radiative transfers compared to other heat transfer modes such as conduction in
 the solid phase.

¹⁹⁰ 2.4. Monte-Carlo Method: Computation of the wall temperature

As was the case for the EBE, a finite difference scheme (order 1) requiring the introduction of an infinitesimal length δ_b is used. The conductive heat flux in eq. (13) is therefore approximated as:

$$-\mathbf{n} \cdot \lambda_{s} \nabla T \approx -\frac{\lambda_{s}}{\delta_{b}} \left(T(\mathbf{x}_{b}) - T(\mathbf{x}_{b} - \delta_{b} \mathbf{n}) \right)$$
(14)

¹⁹³ By injecting eq. (14) in eq. (13), the wall temperature is expressed:

$$T(\mathbf{x}_{b}) = \frac{h_{rad}}{\lambda_{s}/\delta_{b} + h_{rad}} T_{rad}(\mathbf{x}_{b}) + \frac{\lambda_{s}/\delta_{b}}{\lambda_{s}/\delta_{b} + h_{rad}} T(\mathbf{x}_{b} - \delta_{b}\mathbf{n})$$
(15)

Hence, the temperature at the interface in eq. (15) can be interpreted as the average of two temperatures weighed by two associated probabilities p_{rad} and p_{cond} :

$$p_{\rm rad} = \frac{h_{\rm rad}}{\lambda_{\rm s}/\delta_{\rm b} + h_{\rm rad}}$$
(16a)

$$p_{\rm cond} = \frac{\lambda_{\rm s}/\delta_{\rm b}}{\lambda_{\rm s}/\delta_{\rm b} + h_{\rm rad}}$$
(16b)

¹⁹⁴ The corresponding Monte-Carlo algorithm is straightforward as described in algorithm 3:

Algorithm 3 Computation of the wall temperature $T(\mathbf{x}_b)$
Sample canonically a random number <i>r</i> in [0, 1]
if $r \leq P_{\text{cond}}$ then
$T(\mathbf{x}_{b}) = T(\mathbf{x}_{b} - \delta_{b}\mathbf{n}) = T(\mathbf{x}, t)$ (conductive path cf. algorithm 1)
else
$T(\mathbf{x}_{b}) = T_{rad}(\mathbf{x}_{b})$ (radiative path cf. algorithm 2)
end if



Figure 3: Random walks techniques : a) Fixed random walk b) Floating random walk

¹⁹⁵ 2.5. Monte-Carlo Method: Computation of the wall temperature (non diffusive wall)

¹⁹⁶ Considering a given non-emitting ($\epsilon = 0$) wall ∂D_{Φ} , excited by a transient heat flux $\Phi(t)$, the balance at the ¹⁹⁷ interface is:

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \nabla T = \Phi(t) \tag{17}$$

¹⁹⁸ As with the finite difference scheme described earlier, the wall temperature can be expressed as:

$$T(\mathbf{x}_{b}, t) = T(\mathbf{x}_{b} - \delta_{b}\mathbf{n}, t) + \Phi(t)\delta_{b}/\lambda_{s}$$
(18)

¹⁹⁹ This equation can be interpreted with a Monte-Carlo algorithm. If the path reaches an interface with $\mathbf{x}_b \in \partial \mathcal{D}_{\Phi}$, it is ²⁰⁰ put back at the location $\mathbf{x}_b - \delta_b \mathbf{n}$ and the Monte-Carlo weight is increased by a source term equal to $\Phi(t)\delta_b/\lambda_s$. This ²⁰¹ kind of procedure is repeated at each contact with the excited surface. In the same manner, if the impacted wall is ²⁰² non-diffusive and adiabatic ($\Phi(t) = 0$), the path is simply put back at the location $\mathbf{x}_b - \delta_b \mathbf{n}$ and the Monte-Carlo weight ²⁰³ is not increased.

204 2.6. Monte-Carlo Method: Random walk techniques

As discussed previously, the generation of the random walk requires the introduction of two arbitrary lengths δ and δ_b which respectively correspond to a displacement within the solid volume and a replacement at the interface. As for the deterministic methods, the computed solution with the MC algorithm converges to the exact solution for $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta_b \rightarrow 0$. However, as the calculation time increases greatly when the displacement steps are decreased, a compromise is therefore required between the computing cost and precision of the solution.

Moreover, several walking techniques can be employed to mimic the brownian motion [31]. The values of displace-210 ment steps δ and $\delta_{\rm b}$ can be alternately fixed or floating. These two different techniques are represented in fig. 3. The 211 first approach consists of setting a fixed value for displacement step δ as shown in fig. 3 a). At each iteration, a direc-212 tion of travel **u** is sampled according to $p_{\rm U}$ and the distance $\delta_{\rm w}$ between the considered position **x** and the boundary 213 $\partial \mathcal{D}_s$ in the direction **u** is computed. If $\delta < \delta_w$, the associated boundary condition is processed and the wall temperature 214 $T(\mathbf{x}_b)$ is computed. If the path has to be put back in the solid, a fixed value of δ_b is used. If $\delta > \delta_w$, the path is simply 215 placed in $\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{u}$ with the associated time decrement. Hence, the chosen displacement is computed in the form of 216 $\delta = \min(\delta, \delta_{\rm w}).$ 217

As fig. 3 shows, the second technique is based on a floating value of the displacement step
$$\delta$$
. The idea is to compute
the radius δ_s corresponding to the inner circle. The displacement step is chosen in the form of $\delta = \min(\delta_a, \delta_s)$ with δ_a
being an arbitrary value chosen to prevent the displacement being too large. Then, a direction of travel **u** is sampled
and the jump is performed. If the considered position **x** is located between the closest position **x**_b to the boundary ∂D_s

and $\mathbf{x}_{b} - \delta_{b}\mathbf{n}$, the associated boundary condition is treated. If the path has to be put back in the solid, the same value

of δ_b is used and the path is placed in $\mathbf{x} - \delta_b \mathbf{n}$. Finally, each floating displacement δ in the volume also implies a time

decrement according to the chosen value of δ .

Between these two described walking techniques, the floating random walk was selected and was used to perform our modeling. Even though the calculation of the inner sphere can be more time-consuming than a fixed walk, it means we can avoid the introduction of a bias due to a truncated sphere (represented in green in fig. 3 a)). The fixed walk is also suitable for small values of δ and $\delta_{\rm b}$.

229 2.7. Monte-Carlo Method: Complete formulation of the algorithm to the calculation of the flash thermogram

All the different elements required to solve the considered problem and the construction of the coupled walk in 230 conduction and radiation were described previously. This section is dedicated to the description of the general archi-231 tecture of the algorithm as implemented. Details are given of certain specific elements to make these easier to under-232 stand. The aimed configuration to solve is represented in fig. 4. The solid volume \mathcal{D}_s is delimited by the surface $\partial \mathcal{D}_s$, 233 which is broken down by the surfaces ∂D_{fs} , ∂D_{rf} , ∂D_{Φ} and ∂D_{p} respectively corresponding to the fluid(void)/solid 234 surfaces (diffusive walls), the rear face surface, the excited surface and the adiabatic surfaces (periodicity condition). 235 Periodicity is also applied in the void phase. Hence, each path reaching this virtual wall (represented in blue) is simply 236 specularly reflected. 237





Figure 4: Detailed description of the computation of one realization of the transient conductive-radiative MC algorithm : application to the flash thermogram

239 2.7.1. Initialization

We aim to compute the transient mean surface temperature $T_{\rm rf}(t)$ of the rear face, which is defined by:

$$T_{\rm rf}(t) = \frac{1}{S} \int_{S} T(\mathbf{x}_{\rm obs}, t) \, \mathrm{d}S \quad \forall \mathbf{x}_{\rm obs} \in \partial \mathcal{D}_{\rm rf}$$
(19)

with S the surface of the rear face. The latter expression is rewritten to allow a probabilistic interpretation:

$$T_{\rm rf}(t) = \frac{1}{l_x l_y} \int_{x=0}^{l_x} \int_{y=0}^{l_y} T(\mathbf{x}_{\rm obs}, t) \, dx dy$$

= $\int_{x=0}^{l_x} p_{\rm X}(x) dx \int_{y=0}^{l_y} p_{\rm Y}(y) dy \, T(\mathbf{x}_{\rm obs}, t)$ (20)

with l_x , l_y , $p_X(x) = 1/l_x$ and $p_Y(y) = 1/l_y$ respectively the two lengths of the defined rectangular surface and the two corresponding probability densities. The initialization can then be easily performed following algorithm 4:

Algorithm 4 Sampling of a spatially averaged temperature: Initialization

Sample location *x* according to $p_X(x)$ Sample location *y* according to $p_Y(y)$ Set position \mathbf{x}_{obs} $T_{rf}(t) = T(\mathbf{x}_{obs}, t)$ (recursivity)

243 2.7.2. Computation of the Monte-Carlo weight

All paths start at the time of interest *t* and at the position \mathbf{x}_{obs} sampled uniformly on the rear face (cf. section 2.7.1). Let us define γ , a given path that reaches the location $\mathbf{x}_{\gamma}^{(j)} \in \partial \mathcal{D}_{\Phi}$ (excited surface) for the *j*th times. This path γ , after a given number of jumps, has an associated time $t - \tau_{\gamma}^{(j)}$ and the probability density associated with sampling such a path is $p_{\Gamma}^{(j)}(\mathbf{x}_{obs})$. Hence, in the space of the paths D_{Γ} , the rear face temperature calculation can be summarized as:

$$T_{\rm rf}(t) = \mathcal{H}(t - \tau_{\gamma}^{(1)} \le 0) \times T_{\rm I} + \mathcal{H}(t - \tau_{\gamma}^{(1)} > 0) \times \int_{{\rm D}_{\Gamma}^{(1)}} p_{\Gamma}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}_{\gamma}^{(1)}) d\mathbf{x}_{\gamma}^{(1)} \left[T(\mathbf{x}_{\gamma}^{(1)} - \delta_{\rm b}\mathbf{n}, t - \tau_{\gamma}^{(1)}) + \frac{\Phi(t - \tau_{\gamma}^{(1)})\delta_{\rm b}}{\lambda_{\rm s}} \right]$$
(21)

²⁴⁴ The present algorithm developed for describing the flash method has two important characteristics.

• A path stops only when the initial condition $T_{\rm I}$ (the only known temperature) is reached.

- As described in section 2.5, the Monte-Carlo weight is incremented when the path reaches the excited face. After this kind of interaction, the walk continues as a conductive walk within the solid phase.
- Hence, the Monte-Carlo weight associated with the i^{th} realization of the algorithm can be simply written as:

$$\theta_{i} = T_{I} + \sum_{j=1}^{J_{i}} \underbrace{\mathcal{H}(t - \tau_{\gamma}^{(j)} > 0)}_{S_{ii}} \underbrace{\frac{\Phi(t - \tau_{\gamma}^{(j)})\delta_{b}}{\lambda_{s}}}_{S_{ii}}$$
(22)

with J_i the number of interactions with the excited face of the *i*th realization of the MC algorithm. Thus, the MC estimator $\overline{T}_{rf}(t)$ at a given time *t* is:

$$\overline{T}_{\rm rf}(t) \approx \frac{1}{N_{\rm mc}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\rm mc}} \theta_i$$

$$= \frac{1}{N_{\rm mc}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\rm mc}} \left(T_{\rm I} + \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} S_{ij} \right)$$

$$= T_{\rm I} + \frac{1}{N_{\rm mc}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\rm mc}} S_i \qquad (23)$$

with:

$$S_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{J_{i}} S_{ij}$$
 (24)

- the source term for the i^{th} realization of the MC algorithm. In other words, the temperature of the rear face at a time t
- is simply the temperature at the initial condition (t = 0) increased by a given amount due to the energy the flash brings

251 to the front face.

- ²⁵² It should be noted that the computation is performed for a given and a unique value of t. Computing an entire
- thermogram thus requires time discretization in N_t values in the $[0, t_{max}]$ interval and the calculation of the temperature

performed for each of these times. Efficient and correct storage of the information generated thus far would mean computing the entire thermogram using only the largest time t_{max} could be carried out with great rapidity but the results obtained for different times but computed from the same paths would not be statistically independent. Hence, a Monte-Carlo calculation composed of N_{mc} paths for each value of the time interval is preferable and thus was carried out in this work.

259 2.7.3. Complete formulation of the algorithm

The complete formulation of the algorithm for one realization of the algorithm is fully described in fig. 5. It summarizes all the previous described steps of the procedure. The interactions with walls (identified by a surface mesh) are added and ensure the transition between conductive and radiative paths.

- The starting point is to sample the starting position on the rear face and to set the starting time (Input, cf. section 2.7.1). Then, the Monte-Carlo weight and estimator of the source are set at 0.
- The loop starts with a test which consists in determining if the considered position is on a boundary (TB). If this test is negative, the conductive path is performed (C1-C5, cf.section 2.2). Otherwise, the algorithm determines which boundary is reached (TB1 and TB2). If the path is located on the excited face, the estimator of the source *S* is increased (B1 and B2, cf.section 2.5).
- If the path is located on a diffusive wall, a Bernoulli test is performed (B4-B5) to determine whether the path follows a conductive path in the solid or a radiative path through the void phase (cf. section 2.4).
- If the path follows a conductive path, it is simply put back (B3) within the solid phase at a distance δ_b from the boundary. Otherwise, the walk continues as a radiative path (R1-R5, cf. section 2.3)
- The path is stopped when the associated time becomes negative (cf. section 2.7.2). The resulting Monte-Carlo weight is stored for this realization of the algorithm and a new path is initialized.

3. Validation on combined conductive and radiative transfers: 3D heterogeneous geometry, benchmark con figuration

The purpose of this section is to validate the methodology described above as a direct model for its application in 277 the framework of an inversion procedure to identify equivalent thermophysical properties. The interest of the method 278 is highlighted, a step by step development of the coupled thermal problems to be treated is described and benchmark 279 results are proposed. Firstly, in the case of a flash method configuration, a purely conductive medium is considered. 280 Then, both conductive and radiative transfers are solved on a one-dimensional problem and an effective thermal 281 diffusivity is identified. For the sake of brevity, both validation cases are provided in section 7 of the appendices. 282 Finally, a verification of the solution by comparing with a commercial software like Comsol® is provided on a 3D 283 284 heterogeneous geometry.

To validate the MC algorithm to compute the transient solution in the case of a 3D complex geometry, solutions obtained by the MC method are compared with more common approaches like the deterministic methods used in commercial resolution software. As represented in fig. 6(a), a heterogeneous 3D honeycomb geometry was considered. This geometry was chosen to be simple enough to be easily reproduced and used as a benchmark for further studies, but also complex enough to be comparable to a porous structure made of solid struts which is commonly studied in engineering science. It is demonstrated in both section 7.1 and section 7.2 that taking a transient heat flux or periodic boundary conditions into account does not cause any particular difficulties. This kind of study can be easily reproduced in future works on the development of the Monte-Carlo method for combined transfers.

The thermal problem is represented in fig. 6(b). z_{max} and $x_{max} = y_{max}$ are the dimensions of the structure. Square channels with side $2 \times D_s$ define the void phase while square channels with side D_s represent the struts (solid phase). The solid is assumed to be opaque with a unit emissivity. As described in section 2, conduction only occurs in the solid phase while radiative transfer only occurs in the void phase.

As represented in fig. 6(b), the thermal boundary conditions are T_{hot} , the temperature imposed on the upper boundary, T_{cold} , the temperature imposed on the lower boundary and T_{∞} the ambient radiative temperature (infinitely,



Figure 5: Detailed description of the computation of one realization of the transient conductive-radiative MC algorithm : application to the flash thermogram



Figure 6: Studied benchmark case

far from the geometry). The structure is under vacuum so that no natural convection can occur. Surfaces can ex-



Figure 7: Transient temperature along the z centerline for different values of Biot number and for low temperature gradient $\Delta T^* = 0.1$

change with the environment through radiation. The reference temperature and the ambient temperature are set so that $T_{\text{ref}} = T_{\infty} = (T_{\text{hot}} + T_{\text{cold}})/2$, the average between the two imposed temperatures. At the initial time, the entire structure is in equilibrium at T_{cold} .

Dimensionless temperature differences, radiative Biot number and dimensionless temperature are defined as:

$$\Delta T^* = \frac{T_{\text{hot}} - T_{\text{cold}}}{T_{\text{ref}}}; \quad Bi = \frac{h_{\text{rad}} z_{\text{max}}}{\lambda_s}$$
$$T^* = \frac{T(x_{\text{obs}}, t) - T_{\text{cold}}}{T_{\text{hot}} - T_{\text{cold}}}$$
(25)



Figure 8: Dimensionless temperature as a function of the dimensionless position for different radiative Biot numbers at steady-state

The dimensionless lengths and time are defined such as $z^* = z/z_{\text{max}}$, $y^* = y/y_{\text{max}}$, $x^* = x/x_{\text{max}}$ and $t^* = (\alpha_s t)/z_{\text{max}}^2$. 285 This problem was solved both by the present Monte Carlo method and by COMSOL multiphysics[®]. In the case of the 286 latter, conduction is solved by a finite element method and radiation by the radiosity method, which is well suited to 287 this problem involving surface-to-surface radiative exchanges. Comsol simulation was carried out using 1900 mesh 28 points forming 4563 tetrahedrons and 4008 triangles and automatic time step was used 289

In the case of the Monte-Carlo method, the associated algorithm to solve such a configuration is easily deduced from

290 the methodology described in section 2. All paths started at the time and position of interest and then a combined 291

conductive and radiative random walk was performed. A path stopped when a known temperature such as T_{cold} , T_{hot}

292 or T_{∞} was reached and this temperature was retained as a realization of the MC algorithm. Then, a new path was 293

initialized. A low dimensionless temperature gradient was deliberately chosen ($\Delta T^* = 0.1$) to ensure the assumption 294

of linearity of the radiative heat exchanges to be valid. 295

Transient results obtained with the stochastic and deterministic methods for different positions $z^* = [0.039, 0.27, 0.5, 0.75, 0.96]$ 296 for $x^* = y^* = 0.5$ (centerline position cf.fig. 6(b)) are drawn in fig. 7. Each sub-figure corresponds to:

297

• Figure 7(a), $Bi = 7.4 \ 10^{-3}$ conduction is dominant 298

• Figure 7(b), Bi = 1.6 conduction and radiation have a similar influence 299

• Figure 7(c), Bi = 102 radiation is dominant 300

The dimensionless temperature as a function of the dimensionless time is represented for each radiative Biot number 301 and position in Figure 8. This figure shows a comparison between the Monte-Carlo method and the deterministic 302 method at the steady state. The dimensionless temperature as a function of the dimensionless position along the 303 centerline is drawn. 304

In all cases, a good level of agreement between the two methods is observed, which validates the use of the stochastic 305 method described to provide a precise solution for a 3D complex geometry and for the thermal problem targeted. The 306 transient variation of the temperature (thermogram) is correctly calculated with the MC algorithm. We observe an 307 increase in the rate of heat transfer (it should be noted that the scale of time axis is significantly different for each sub-308

graph) with the increase in radiation transfer, i.e. an increase in the total equivalent diffusivity. Moreover, a decrease 309

in the temperature gradients in the volume due to the increase of radiative exchanges is also noticed. These results are 310

311 consistent with the literature on radiative transfer in semi-transparent media.

Figure 9 shows one of the main interests of the Monte-Carlo method in our present case. In section 2 we showed 312 that the geometric complexity can be easily handled with tools provided by the computer graphics community (com-313 putation of the intersection between a path and the surface mesh) while the complexity of the problem can be easily 314



(a) Computing time and dimensionless computing time as a function of the scaled position for the Monte-Carlo method at the steady state



(b) Comparison of the computing time between the Monte-Carlo method and the deterministic method at the steady state

Figure 9: Computing time

managed thanks to the formulation of this kind of algorithm (multiple heat transfer modes with a single path). Here,

a reduction of the computational time is observed when the role played by radiation increases. For simple problems,

the effort to perform a MC simulation is more important than a deterministic one. Nevertheless, MC becomes advan-

tageous with the increase of radiative propagation through the void phase.

This can be explained at the scale of one path. The calculation time is only linked to the capacity of a given path 319 to reach a known temperature or heat sources and thus to end the random walk. Hence, it is linked to the speed 32 of propagation for a given time t of the heat transfer between the source and the position of interest (probe compu-321 tation). Assuming there is pure conduction, a path can only walk through the solid following a Brownian motion 322 until it reaches T_{hot} or T_{cold} . This could be quite tricky, especially with thin struts, and could require a great deal of 323 computation time. In the case of coupled conductive and radiative heat transfer, the paths can follow a radiative path 324 through the void phase, which allows them to travel along a straight line between two interfaces. The distance traveled 325 in one step of the algorithm is very large, especially through large void channels, compared to the distance traveled 326 during one step of the conductive random walks. Hence, a path can reach T_{hot} , T_{cold} or even T_{∞} in a smaller number 327 of iterations of the MC algorithm. Moreover, this kind of phenomenon increases with the increase of the probability 328 to follow a radiative path. 329

Figure 9 represents the computing time and the dimensionless computing time according to the position z^* to perform a given number of realizations of the Monte-Carlo algorithm and for three different radiative Biot numbers on a single CPU Intel Xeon X5650. We note that:

- The computation time for a position at the center $z^* = 0.5$ logically corresponds to a maximum. This position is indeed the furthest from the known temperatures.
- The computation times decrease when the radiative transfer increases. This can be explained by the increase of the number of sampled radiative paths as described previously.

• The dimensionless computation times become insensitive to the starting position with the increase of radiative transfers. This can also be explained by the increase of the number of sampled radiative paths. Paths would evolve preferentially in the void phase and reach a known temperature with a low number of steps, whatever the starting point.

Figure 9(b) shows the computing time required to compute the temperature for all the 11 positions for the same 341 radiative Biot numbers in fig. 9(a) with the MC algorithm. It is compared to the computing time required with the 342 deterministic method (Comsol ®) to obtain the whole field of temperature. The number of realizations of the MC 343 algorithm was deliberately set to obtain a similar computing time between both methods for $Bi = 7.4 \times 10^{-3}$. As 344 we have explained, it can be noted that the computing time needed with the MC method strongly decreases with 345 the increase of the radiative transfers thus demonstrating better performances in this case. The access of the whole 346 temperature field is not limiting as long as only a probe computation is required in the case of a characterization 347 procedure. This study thus provides advice on choosing a method for a possible user. The deterministic method is 348 preferable for high porosity media and purely conductive transfer while the Monte-Carlo method described was found 349 to give better performances with coupled transfers. 350

4. Application to the characterization of porous media

The purpose of this section is to apply the proposed procedure to a numerical thermophysical characterization of heterogeneous structures. Firstly, the construction of the 3D surface mesh and the inversion procedure are detailed. Then, a parametric investigation of the different data of the problem is performed on Kelvin cells, which are commonly used as the geometry of reference in the frame of foams.

356 4.1. Studied geometries and construction

3D foam geometries are constructed using 3D images defined by structured grids of $V_x \times V_y \times V_z$ voxels, which can 357 be obtained numerically (with a specific software) or experimentally with a scanning method like X-ray tomography. 358 This article deals with the case of purely numerically generated foams with a structured matrix. They are based on a 359 repetition of a unitary tetrakaidecahedron (Kelvin cell) or of a cubic-shaped cell as used in the validation section (cf. 360 section 3. Nevertheless, as highlighted, the proposed method is easily expandable to a wide range of geometries such 361 as randomly distributed matrix foams, fibers, packed spheres or even real x-ray tomographied foams. The initial raw 362 data were obtained from a foam generator software named GenMat (R), which was developed by LTEN (Heat Transfer 363 and Energy Laboratory at Nantes - UMR CNRS 6607) and IUSTI (Institut Universitaire des Systèmes Thermiques et 364 Industriels - UMR CNRS 7343). Based on a watershed marker-based method, foams numerically generated by this 365 software were validated by comparing with a reference SiC foam [10]. 366

GenMat (® provides a stack of grayscale images corresponding to the desired foams. Two stacks of plain material corresponding to the two soleplates (cf. section 1) were added. Then, the surface mesh was generated with a robust marching-cube method available in 3DSlicer (© (open-source software). Smoothing algorithms (surface preserved) or

- decimation algorithms (reducing the mesh refinement) can be also used and are available in Meshlab (R) and Blender
- (open-source softwares). Finally, a good quality closed mesh was obtained as shown in fig. 10.



Figure 10: Example of the studied geometry: four Kelvin cell sandwiched between two soleplates

372 4.2. Inversion of the thermogram - equivalent properties of the medium

The transient evolution of the temperature on the rear face is treated exactly as if it were an experimental thermogram. As the thermogram was obtained using a stochastic approach, the temperature calculated at any time is affected by a random error. It should also be noted that the standard deviation associated to each point is easy to obtain, which is one of the strengths of the Monte Carlo methods [32]. This standard deviation is proportional to the inverse of the square root of the number of Monte Carlo realizations (or of the computation time, this one being proportional to the number of calculated random walks in the medium). From the inversion point of view, this error is similar to the noise observed in an experimental thermogram obtained with a flash method (cf. section 7.1).

The inversion of the thermogram requires a direct model of the equivalent homogeneous media like any kind of in-380 verse problem. Here the direct model aims to calculate the thermogram at the rear face of a homogeneous sample sandwiched between two soleplates. This thermogram is indeed the quantity provided by the Monte-Carlo algo-382 rithm. The thickness and thermal properties of the two soleplates are known and correspond to the values used in the 383 Monte-Carlo code. The homogeneous medium representing the foam is characterized by its total equivalent thermal 384 conductivity and equivalent specific heat. The direct model used for the inversion procedure is a 1D three-layer purely 385 conductive model. The thermal problem is solved with a quadrupole method [33], which provides a simple semi-386 analytical solution. The equivalent total thermal diffusivity of the foam can be identified using this inverse method. 387 The total equivalent conductivity can be deduced from the knowledge of the specific heat of the foam and its porosity. 388 A Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm [34] was used to obtain the best match between the thermogram calculated on the 38 actual sample structure (foam struts) with the Monte Carlo algorithm presented above and the thermogram calculated 390 with the equivalent homogeneous medium. More details about inversion procedure can be found in the authors' paper 391 devoted to characterization of foams at high temperature by using the Flash method [20]. 392

393 4.3. Parametric study and discussions

³⁹⁴ *4.3.1. Influence of the reference temperature*

The algorithm described in section 2 and validated herein above was applied to a porous medium composed of a stack of five Kelvin cells between two soleplates as depicted in fig. 10. Periodic boundary conditions were applied along the *x* and *y* directions such that an infinite medium was actually considered along these two directions (cf. fig. 4).

The conductivity of the solid phase was fixed at $\lambda_s = 50 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$ (which is close to the thermal conductivity 399 of SiC at 1000 K), the diameter of the cell was $D_{cell} = 10 \text{ mm}$, the porosity of the foam was $\varepsilon = 80 \%$, the investi-400 gated range of the reference temperature was between 300 K and 2500 K. The specific heat of the solid was set at 401 $10^6 \text{ J} \cdot \text{m}^{-3} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$ and the duration of the heat flux flash was set so that $t_d = t_{\text{max}}/50$ (cf. eq. (27)). Let us note that 402 taking constant thermal properties of the strut material along the whole temperature range from ambient to 2500 K is 403 nonphysical. However, the goal of this study was not to describe the variation of the total equivalent conductivity of a 404 real foam but to conduct a parametric study aiming at separating the influence of the different parameters (temperature, 405 porosity, cell size, bulk conductivity and emissivity), that involves rightly to keep all parameters constant except the 406 parameter of interest. Such study is easily feasible numerically whereas it is difficult to be carried out experimentally 407 due to sample availability or due to correlations between parameters and reference temperature. 408

Figure 11 shows the different numerical thermograms obtained with the MC algorithm and corresponding best fits after the estimation procedure based on the three-layer purely conductive and homogeneous model. A good level of agreement is observed. This figure shows clearly the acceleration of the thermograms when the reference temperature increases because of the increasing role of radiation. It should be noted that the temperature reached at the steady state is the same for all reference temperatures because the deposited energy was the same. Each thermogram was computed using 2000 Monte-Carlo realizations per time interval and 50 time intervals (thus 10⁵ total random walks were computed in total).

The right part and left parts of fig. 11 show an example of random walk in the structure at a low reference temperature (for which heat transfer is almost purely conductive) and at a high reference temperature ($T_{ref} = 2500 \text{ K}$) (for

⁴¹⁸ which radiation plays a significant role), respectively. As previously stated, when the reference temperature increases, ⁴¹⁹ the probability of following a radiative path increases. Thus an increasing number of paths (blue lines in insets in

fig. 11) cross the void phase. This travel is quasi instantaneous (due to the high velocity of light), so the mean celerity

 $\frac{1}{421}$ (*i.e.* the total equivalent thermal diffusivity) of the heat transfer increases. Hence, the increasing role played by the

radiative transfer means the steady state is reached more quickly. This means the starting time of the paths can be decreased which causes a strong decrease in computation time as explained in section 3. With a single CPU Intel Xeon X5650, a gain of a factor of 50 is observed between a pure conduction calculation and a calculation performed with $T_{ref} = 2500$ K. Hence, the MC algorithm is of interest when radiative heat transfer plays an important role, as was

⁴²⁶ already explained in the previous section. An opposite trend is generally observed following deterministic methods

⁴²⁷ for conduction-radiation algorithms which slow down when the radiation contribution increases due to error control.

For each reference temperature value, fitting the computed thermogram makes it possible to obtain a total equivalent

diffusivity of the porous medium. In the following subsections, the influence of the foam's morphological (number

⁴³⁰ of cells, porosity, cell size) and thermophysical (bulk thermal conductivity, emissivity) properties on the equivalent ⁴³¹ diffusivity are presented. For the sake of brevity, the thermograms will no longer be shown.

diffusivity are presented. For the sake of brevity, the thermograms will no longer be s



Figure 11: Numerical thermograms (symbols) and corresponding thermogram fits (plain lines) obtained with the three-layer purely conductive and homogeneous model (cp). Right and left insets: representation of random walk paths (blue lines) inside the structure. Right inset: 300K temperature, radiation is negligible most of the random walk stays inside the struts. Left inset: 2500 K, the random walk can jump straightly from one strut to another strut

432 4.3.2. Influence of the number of pores in the thickness

The variation of the pore number in the thickness allows us to address the question of the validity of the diffusion approximation to describe the radiative transfer. This assumption is based on the idea that radiative transfer is comparable to a diffusive transfer such as conduction. This means the radiative transfer can be described by the simple definition of a "radiative" conductivity λ_{rad} . In the case of an optically thick participating medium, Rosseland's law [35] is commonly used:

$$\lambda_{\rm rad} = \frac{16\sigma T_{\rm ref}^3}{3\beta_{\rm eff}} \tag{26}$$

The effect of increasing the number of pores in the thickness is that the equivalent optical thickness of the porous medium also increases and thus, the diffusion approximation according to Rosseland becomes valid.

Five foams were generated which were exactly identical in terms of porosity and pore size. These foams differ simply 440 by the number of patterns present in the thickness such as represented in fig. 12. The conductivity of the solid was 441 decreased to $1 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$ to increase the role of the radiative transfer. For these five foams, the total equivalent 442 estimated conductivity was drawn according to the reference temperature. First, when the reference temperature is 443 low, the equivalent total conductivity is identical between the different structures. This is due to the fact that in pure 444 conduction the equivalent conductivity is independent of the number of patterns. A single cell is sufficient to be 445 representative of the transfers. Secondly, with the increase of the radiative transfer, the total equivalent conductivity 446 447 increases with the number of pores.

The Rosseland's law given in eq. (26) predicts that the equivalent "radiative" conductivity, for a given reference temperature, does not vary with the thickness. Thus, if the medium is optically thick, the transfer by radiation only

depends on the equivalent extinction coefficient and the reference temperature. We can observe graphically that the

equivalent total conductivity for a foam with 3 cells and 4 cells are very close to that of a foam with 5 cells. This

452 suggests, at least graphically, the validity of the diffusion approximation and a radiative behavior in agreement with the

453 Rosseland prediction for the chosen set of parameters and investigated range of reference temperature. The proposed 454 tool enables the quantification of an equivalent radiative conductivity, an exploration of the validity of the diffusion

454 tool enables the quantification of an equivalent radiative conductivity, an exploration of the validity of the diffusion 455 approximation and a simplification in the modeling. In the following, only foams with at least 4 cells along the

456 thickness are considered.



Figure 12: Influence of the number of pores in the thickness – $\lambda_s = 1 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$, $\varepsilon = 80 \%$, $D_{cell} = 10 \text{ mm}$

457 4.3.3. The influence of the porosity

In this section the influence of the porosity and shape of the solid matrix on the coupled heat transfer is presented. Two kinds of cell geometries were considered: Kelvin cell and honeycomb cell (cubic-shaped cell) as represented in fig. 13. Cell size is set to 2 mm. A stack of 4 cells along the heat transfer axis was considered. For each structure, the porosity value of both structures varied from 0.2 to 0.8. The evolution of the total equivalent conductivity as a function of the reference temperature is drawn.

For a given porosity, a logical linear increase in the total equivalent conductivity with the third power of the ref-463 erence temperature is observed (cf. Rosseland relation eq. (26) or according to other laws dedicated to radiative 464 conductivity [36]). At a low temperature, heat transfer arises from conduction only and a very well-known result 465 is observed[2], *i.e.* the higher the porosity, the smaller the conductivity. Conversely at high temperature, the higher 466 the porosity, the higher the conductivity is because the emptier the structure, the easier radiation can take place and 467 transfer heat. We notice that the conductivity depends little on the porosity at a given temperature near 1200 K ($4\sigma T^3$ 468 = 400 W \cdot m⁻² \cdot K⁻¹) in this example, except for the lowest porosities. Moreover, the influence of the shape of the 469 matrix is highlighted. For the same set of parameters, the total effective conductivity of the cubic-shaped cell is greater 470 than the Kelvin cell. This leads to the idea that a cubic shaped foam is more transparent than a Kelvin cell foam, *i.e.* 471 it has a lower effective extinction coefficient. The slope of the curve presented in fig. 13 means a radiative coefficient 472 equivalent to the porous medium could be extracted following a judicious choice of an equivalent radiative law. 473

These results seem logical and expected. The proposed procedure involves retrieving the classic results from the literature to explore the influence of parameters like porosity and carry out a better quantification of the major heat transfer mechanism for a given structure. In the case of pure conduction, the estimated conductivity is compared to
the one proposed by Pabst *et al.* [37] for a Kelvin cell with the same morphological parameters. As presented in
fig. 14, a good level of agreement is found which meant the result could be validated with a high level of confidence
in the proposed method.

 $D'_{\text{cell}} = 2 \text{ mm}$ = 0.5= 0.7 $\epsilon = 0.8$ $\epsilon = 0.4$ $\epsilon = 0.8$ 1800 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 $4\sigma T_{\rm ref}^3 \, ({\rm W.m}^{-2}.{\rm K}^{-1})$ (a) Kelvin cell $(D_{cell} = 2 \text{ mm})$ $\varepsilon = 0.2$ $D_{\text{cell}} = 2 \text{ mm}$ $\varepsilon = 0.4$ $\varepsilon = 0.5$ $\epsilon = 0.7$ $\prec^{\rm s}$ = 0.8 $\lambda_{
m eq}$ ε=0.8 ε=0.4 $4\sigma T_{\rm ref}^{300} \, ({\rm W.m}^{-2}.{\rm K}^{-1})$ 1400 1600 1800 200 400 (b) Cubic-shaped cell cell $(D_{cell} = 2 \text{ mm})$

Figure 13: Influence of the porosity on the total heat transfer $-\lambda_s = 1 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$, $D_{cell} = 2 \text{ mm}$



Figure 14: Equivalent thermal conductivity of Kelvin cell according to porosity: pure conduction

480 4.3.4. The influence of cell size

This section focuses on the influence of cell size. The geometry studied was composed of four Kelvin cells with a fixed porosity equal to 80%. We varied the cell size between 1 mm and 10 mm. The equivalent conductivity as a function of the third power of reference temperature is represented in fig. 15. In pure conduction (low temperature), the equivalent conductivity does not depend on cell size. The solid matrix is organized in exactly the same way and the amount of material (porosity) is the same which means there is no reason to observe an influence of cell size. This is a well-known result in foam literature [2]. When the temperature increases, radiation transfers increase too and we observe that the larger the cell size, the higher the total equivalent conductivity of the homogeneous medium. As noted in the previous section, this result is logical. The mean free radiative path is indeed greater for larger cells,

which corresponds to a lower extinction coefficient. It should be noted that, in theory, the equivalent optical thickness

⁴⁹⁰ is the same for all these different structures. However, as the sample thickness increases when the size of the cell

⁴⁹¹ increases, this corresponds to a decrease in the extinction coefficient β (the optical thickness being defined by $\tau = \beta e$)

⁴⁹² and thus an increase in radiative conductivity as predicted, for example, by the Rosseland model.



Figure 15: Influence of cell size on the total heat transfer: Kelvin cell $-\lambda_s = 1 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$, $\varepsilon = 80 \%$

493 4.3.5. Influence of the bulk conductivity of struts

In fig. 16, the results obtained for a stack of 4 Kelvin cells with a porosity equal to 0.8 and bulk conductivity of the struts varying from $0.1 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$ to $100 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$ are described. This study illustrates how conduction and radiation through the porous medium are in a competition to become the major heat transfer mechanism.

⁴⁹⁷ The ratio λ_{eq}/λ_s is presented in fig. 16(a). If the temperature is low enough for heat transfer to be due to conduction ⁴⁹⁸ alone, then the equivalent conductivity is proportional to the bulk conductivity and consequently the ratio λ_{eq}/λ_s is ⁴⁹⁹ logically independent of the thermal conductivity of the solid. This ratio only depends on structural properties such ⁵⁰⁰ as porosity and the shape of the solid matrix. When the temperature increases, the ratio is no longer independent on ⁵⁰¹ the bulk conductivity because of the increasing role of radiation transfer, which becomes quickly the main transport ⁵⁰² mode when the thermal conductivity is low.

Figure 16(b) presents the ratio of the radiative thermal conductivity by the total equivalent conductivity (percentage) as a function of the reference temperature for different conductivities of the bulk is represented. For a low bulk conductivity (*e.g.* $\lambda_s = 0.1 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$), radiation transfer very quickly becomes the main transfer method, even for low reference temperatures. For example, for $T_{\text{ref}} = 500 \text{ K}$, radiation accounts for already almost 60% of the total transfer. On the other hand, for $\lambda_s = 100 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$, conduction transfer remains predominant over radiation transfer even at high temperatures.

These observations can be understood through the scope of the stochastic method developed in this paper. Indeed, the competition between the two heat transfer modes appears because of the probability of following a radiative path P_{rad} . If the conduction term λ_s/δ_b is very large compared to the radiative term h_{rad} , then the problem is purely conductive and it is weakly sensitive to radiative transfer and thus to temperature. On the other hand, considering an extreme case in which the radiation is very large in comparison to the conduction, the total heat transfer does not depend on the conductivity of the solid.

515 4.3.6. The influence of emissivity

In this section, the influence of the emissivity of struts on the total equivalent heat transfer is studied. In all previous cases, the emission of radiation was hemispherical (lambertian or isotropic on the hemisphere) and the emissivity was chosen equal to 1. On a structure composed of a stack of five Kelvin cells along the direction of heat transfer, simulations were performed for an emissivity and a reference temperature varying between 0 to 1 and



Figure 16: Influence of the bulk conductivity for a structure composed of 4 Kelvin cells – ε = 70 %, D_{cell} = 2 mm

⁵²⁰ 300 K to 2500 K, respectively. fig. 17 shows the ratio λ_{eq}/λ_s as a function of the reference temperature. In this work, ⁵²¹ perfectly diffuse reflection was implemented. Nevertheless, it is possible to take specular reflection or combined ⁵²² specular/diffuse reflection into account using the proposed stochastic approach.

⁵²³ When transfers by radiation are weak, the emissivity logically has no influence on the equivalent total conductivity

because conduction is the main mode of transfer. Then, with the increase of the reference temperature (*i.e.* radiation), 524 an increase of the equivalent conductivity with the emissivity and, logically, with the temperature considered, is 525 observed. For the asymptotic case $\varepsilon = 0$, no radiative transfer can occur. Then, a rapid increase in total equivalent 526 conductivity between $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\varepsilon = 0.25$ is observed and reaches its maximum for $\varepsilon = 1$. In the case of an optically 527 thick medium, this kind of phenomenon can not be explained by the influence of the emission of the intern part of the 528 two soleplates alone. An effective optical thickness of the porous medium, which can be seen as the statistical mean 529 free paths traveled within the fluid phase and thus depends only on the morphological properties of the foam, appears 530 here to depend on the emissivity. Evidence on this kind of result was also given by Vignoles [15], Patel et al. [21] or 531

Li and Wang [38]. The latter also showed that the specular/diffuse mode of reflection has a major role on the effective

extinction coefficient. This aspect could usefully be explored in more detail in further studies.



Figure 17: Influence of the emissivity of struts – $\lambda_s = 50 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$, $\varepsilon = 70 \%$, $D_{cell} = 2 \text{ mm}$

534 5. Conclusion

In this paper, a new tool for modeling and characterizing coupled transfers in complex geometric media such as 535 porous media was proposed. The recent developments of the Monte-Carlo method make it possible to imagine, in 536 the framework of linear thermal transfers, to solve coupled and multiphysics transfers with a single algorithm. This 537 method is very interesting for the characterization of complex media for two reasons. Firstly its capacity to han-538 dle the increasing complexity of the solved physics (directional dependency of emission, semi-transparent medium, 539 frequency dependence, anisotropy of the solid phase, etc.) without an increase in computation time. Secondly the 540 calculation performances allowed by using tools from the graphic community (insensitivity of the refinement of the 541 mesh, parallelization, etc.) make it an effective option as well. Moreover, the probe computation aspect, the statistical 542 interpretation of the space of paths and the approach's capacity to simulate the combined heat propagation are very appropriate in the context of study and understanding of coupled mechanisms. For the first time, a numerical repro-544 duction of the flash method in the case of coupled conductive and radiative transfers (opaque surfaces) was performed. 545 Firstly, the methodology was fully described. This highlighted the proposed formulation's various positive aspects 546 such as its practical implementation and the understanding it provides of the intrinsic thermophysical properties 547 through probabilities. However, the method requires the introduction of arbitrary lengths to generate the walk. Future 548 studies will focus precisely on determining a time/accuracy trade-off for these parameters. 549

⁵⁵⁰ Next the proposed MC algorithm and its use as a numerical measuring instrument were validated by comparison with

deterministic solvers such as FlexPde ®, Comsol ® or a semi-analytical solution provided by the quadrupole method. A validation of the transient solution was provided on a 3D heterogeneous benchmark case. The results showed a very good level of agreement with deterministic solvers and highlighted the interest of using the present method in

the case of an increasing influence of the radiative transfer. New transport modes such as advection, conduction in the

⁵⁵⁵ fluid phase and participating solid phase can be added.

Finally, this code was used to reproduce the usual flash method applied to experimentally determine the diffusivity of 556 foams, as was recently performed by the authors. The thermal response of a foam sample sandwiched between two 557 soleplates and submitted to a heat radiative flux flash was computed. The thermogram obtained numerically were fitted 55 against the thermogram obtained with a direct model involving a homogeneous medium between the same soleplates. 559 A total equivalent diffusivity (conduction+radiation) for the foam was thus obtained. The proposed procedure and its 560 coupling with recently developed tools such as GenMat ® (foam generator) enabled us to propose a parametric study 561 of how morphological and thermophysical parameters influence the equivalent total conductivity of the foam. Our 562 results showed a good level of agreement with the associated literature. The proposed method can be adapted to new 563 geometries and to new characterization configuration. Further studies could focus on new heterogeneous structures 564

such as X-ray tomographied foams, numerical foams, packed sphere or fibers and new characterization methods such

as the hot-guarded plate or the hot-wire method used to study combined heat transfer. Hence, correlations of effective

equivalent properties of such media could be provided in parallel of experimental developments.

568 6. Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge Benoit Rousseau (LTEN - UMR CNRS 6607) for providing and support regarding the use of GenMat. We also wish to acknowledge Cyril Caliot (LMAP - UMR CNRS 5142), Mouna El Hafi (RAPSODEE - UMR CNRS 5302), Richard Fournier and Stephane Blanco (LAPLACE - UMR CNRS 5213) for their motivating advice and the fruitful discussions. We would like to thank the Meso-Star start-up and the EDStar platform for making their libraries *Star-Engine* available to us and for the support provided. High Performance Computing resources were partially provided by the EXPLOR centre hosted by the Université de Lorraine

575 References

- [1] A. Sommers, Q. Wang, X. Han, C. T'Joen, Y. Park, and A. Jacobi. Ceramics and ceramic matrix composites for heat exchangers in advanced
 thermal systems—A review. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 30(11-12):1277–1291, 2010.
- Paola Ranut. On the effective thermal conductivity of aluminum metal foams: Review and improvement of the available empirical and
 analytical models. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 101:496–524, May 2016.
- [3] Rolf Landauer. The Electrical Resistance of Binary Metallic Mixtures. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 23(7):779–784, July 1952. ISSN 0021-8979, 1089-7550. doi: 10.1063/1.1702301.

- [4] R. Coquard, D. Baillis, and E. Maire. Numerical investigation of the radiative properties of polymeric foams from tomographic images.
 Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer, 24(3):647–658, 2010.
 - [5] R. Wulf, M. A. A. Mendes, V. Skibina, A. Al-Zoubi, D. Trimis, S. Ray, and U. Gross. Experimental and numerical determination of effective thermal conductivity of open cell fecral-alloy metal foams. *International Journal of Thermal Sciences*, 86:95–103, 2014.

584

585 586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

- [6] C. Y. Zhao, T. J. Lu, H. P. Hodson, and J. D. Jackson. The temperature dependence of effective thermal conductivity of open-celled steel alloy foams. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 367(1-2):123–131, February 2004. ISSN 09215093. doi: 10.1016/j.msea.2003.10.241.
- [7] T. Fend, B. Hoffschmidt, R. Pitz-Paal, O. Reutter, and P. Rietbrock. Porous materials as open volumetric solar receivers: Experimental determination of thermophysical and heat transfer properties. *Energy*, 29(5-6):823–833, April 2004. ISSN 03605442. doi: 10.1016/S0360-5442(03)00188-9.
- [8] R. Coquard, D. Rochais, and D. Baillis. Experimental investigations of the coupled conductive and radiative heat transfer in metallic/ceramic foams. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 52(21-22):4907–4918, October 2009. ISSN 00179310. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2009.05.015.
- [9] M. Tancrez and J. Taine. Direct identification of absorption and scattering coefficients and phase function of a porous medium by a Monte Carlo technique. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 47(2):373–383, January 2004. ISSN 00179310. doi: 10.1016/S0017-9310(03)00146-7.
- Simon Guévelou, Benoit Rousseau, Gilberto Domingues, Jérôme Vicente, and Cyril Caliot. Representative elementary volumes required to
 characterize the normal spectral emittance of silicon carbide foams used as volumetric solar absorbers. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 93:118–129, February 2016. ISSN 00179310. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2015.09.069.
- [11] M. Loretz, R. Coquard, D. Baillis, and E. Maire. Metallic foams: Radiative properties/comparison between different models. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, 109(1):16–27, 2008.
- [12] T. J. Hendricks and J. R. Howell. Absorption/scattering coefficients and scattering phase functions in reticulated porous ceramics. *Journal of Heat Transfer*, 118(1):79–87, 1996.
- 604 [13] D. Baillis, M. Arduini-Schuster, and J-F. Sacadura. Identification of spectral radiative properties of polyurethane foam from hemispherical 605 and bi-directional transmittance and reflectance measurements. In *ICHMT Digital Library Online*. Begel House Inc., 2001.
- [14] M. A. A. Mendes, P. Talukdar, S. Ray, and D. Trimis. Detailed and simplified models for evaluation of effective thermal conductivity of
 open-cell porous foams at high temperatures in presence of thermal radiation. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 68:612–624,
 2014.
- [15] Gerard L Vignoles. A hybrid random walk method for the simulation of coupled conduction and linearized radiation transfer at local scale in
 porous media with opaque solid phases. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 93:707–719, 2016.
- [16] R. Coquard and D. Baillis. Modeling of heat transfer in low-density eps foams. Journal of heat transfer, 128(6):538–549, 2006.
- [17] M. A. A. Mendes, V. Skibina, P. Talukdar, R. Wulf, U. Gross, D. Trimis, and S. Ray. Experimental validation of simplified conduction–
 radiation models for evaluation of effective thermal conductivity of open-cell metal foams at high temperatures. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 78:112–120, 2014.
- [18] R Coquard, D Baillis, and D Quenard. Experimental and theoretical study of the hot-wire method applied to low-density thermal insulators.
 International journal of heat and mass transfer, 49(23-24):4511–4524, 2006.
- [19] M. Niezgoda, D. Rochais, F. Enguehard, P. Echegut, and B. Rousseau. Modeling of time-resolved coupled radiative and conductive heat
 transfer in multilayer semitransparent materials up to very high temperatures. *Applied Physics Letters*, 99(22):224101, 2011.
- ⁶¹⁹ [20] Morgan Sans, Vincent Schick, Olivier Farges, and Gilles Parent. Experimental characterization of the coupled conductive and radiative heat transfer in ceramic foams at high temperature. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, page article number 119077, 2020.
- [21] V. M. Patel, M. A. A. Mendes, P. Talukdar, and S. Ray. Development of correlations for effective thermal conductivity of a tetrakaidecahedra structure in presence of combined conduction and radiation heat transfer. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 127:843–856, 2018.
- [22] MA Badri, Pierre Jolivet, B Rousseau, Steven Le Corre, H Digonnet, and Y Favennec. Vectorial finite elements for solving the radiative transfer equation. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, 212:59–74, 2018.
- Richard Fournier, Stéphane Blanco, Vincent Eymet, Mouna El Hafi, and Christophe Spiesser. Radiative, conductive and convective heat transfers in a single monte carlo algorithm. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, volume 676, page 012007. IOP Publishing, 2016.
- [24] Najda Villefranque, Richard Fournier, Fleur Couvreux, Stéphane Blanco, Céline Cornet, Vincent Eymet, Vincent Forest, and Jean-Marc
 Tregan. A path-tracing monte carlo library for 3-d radiative transfer in highly resolved cloudy atmospheres. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 11(8):2449–2473, 2019.
- [25] Cyril Caliot, Stephane Blanco, Christophe Coustet, Mouna El-Hafi, Vincent Eymet, Vincent Forest, Richard Fournier, and Benjamin
 Piaud. Combined conductive-radiative heat transfer analysis in complex geometry using the monte carlo method. In CTRPM-VI 6th Computational Thermal Radiation in Participating Media VI, EUROTHERM 110, Cascais, Portugal, April 2018. URL https:
 //hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02096305.
- [26] L. Ibarrart, C. Caliot, M. El Hafi, R. Fournier, S. Blanco, S. Dutour, J. Dauchet, J-M. Tregan, V. Eymet, and V. Forest. Combined conductiveconvective-radiative heat transfer in complex geometry using the monte carlo method : Application to solar receivers. In *International Heat Transfer Conference 16*, pages 8135–8142, Beijing, China, 2018. Begellhouse. doi: 10.1615/IHTC16.pma.023662.
- [27] Léa Penazzi, Stephane Blanco, Cyril Caliot, Christophe Coustet, Mouna El Hafi, Richard Fournier, Mathieu Galtier, Loris Ibarrart, and
 Maxime Roger. Toward the use of symbolic monte carlo for conduction-radiation coupling in complex geometries. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Symposium on Radiative Transfer, RAD-19.* Begel House Inc., 2019.
- [28] J-M. Tregan, S. Blanco, C. Caliot, J. Dauchet, M. El Hafi, V. Eymet, R. Fourner, O. Farges, J. Gautrais, and M. Roger. Transient conductoradiative heat transfer in a single monte-carlo algorithm: Handling the nonlinearity. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Symposium on Radiative Transfer, RAD-19.* Begel House Inc., 2019.
- [29] Richard P Feynman, Albert R Hibbs, and Daniel F Styer. *Quantum mechanics and path integrals*. Courier Corporation, 2010.
- [30] Mark Kac. On distributions of certain wiener functionals. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 65(1):1–13, 1949.
- 646 [31] A. Haji-Sheikh and E. M. Sparrow. The Floating Random Walk and Its Application to Monte Carlo Solutions of Heat Equations. SIAM

- Journal on Applied Mathematics, 14(2):370–389, March 1966. ISSN 0036-1399, 1095-712X. doi: 10.1137/0114031. URL http://epubs.
 siam.org/doi/10.1137/0114031.
- [32] Hammersley J. M. and Handscomb D. C. *Monte Carlo Methods*. Chapman and Hall, 1969.
- [33] Denis Maillet. Thermal quadrupoles: solving the heat equation through integral transforms. John Wiley & Sons Inc, 2000.
- [34] Jorge J Moré. The levenberg-marquardt algorithm: implementation and theory. In *Numerical analysis*, pages 105–116. Springer, 1978.
- [35] Rémi Coquard, Denis Rochais, and Dominique Baillis. Conductive and radiative heat transfer in ceramic and metal foams at fire temperatures.
 Fire technology, 48(3):699–732, 2012.
- [36] H Poltz and R Jugel. The thermal conductivity of liquids—iv: Temperature dependence of thermal conductivity. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 10(8):1075–1088, 1967.
- [37] W. Pabst, E. Uhlířová, T.and Gregorová, and A. Wiegmann. Young's modulus and thermal conductivity of closed-cell, open-cell and inverse ceramic foams-model-based predictions, cross-property predictions and numerical calculations. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, 38(6):2570–2578, 2018.
- [38] Jun E Li and Baolin Wang. Equivalent thermal conductivity of open-cell ceramic foams at high temperatures. *International Journal of Thermophysics*, 35(1):105–122, 2014.
- [39] Gerard L Vignoles and Alberto Ortona. Numerical study of effective heat conductivities of foams by coupled conduction and radiation.
 International Journal of Thermal Sciences, 109:270–278, 2016.

663 7. Appendices





(a) On a square (2D) with convective loss at the front and rear face. Thermogram computed thanks to 10⁵ realizations of the Monte-Carlo algorithm per time



Figure 18: Comparison of the rear face thermograms on a Flash configuration computed with the Monte Carlo method and the finite element method (commercial software)

664 7.1. Purely conductive case: Flash configuration

For this first case, a purely conductive homogeneous solid medium (no radiation) was considered. A transient heat flux was applied on the front face such that:

$$\Phi(t) = Q \left(\mathcal{H}(t > 0) - \mathcal{H}(t - t_{p} > 0) \right)$$
(27)

with t_p the time duration of the excitation and Q the constant heat flux deposited. The parameters were set as $\lambda_s = 1$ W · m⁻¹ · K⁻¹, $\alpha = 1$ mm² · s⁻¹ and $t_p = 10$ s. Studied geometries were simply a square and a cube whose sides had a length of 10 cm. fig. 18 shows thermograms computed with the described MC method and with the finite elements method (commercial software Flexpde R). A dimensionless temperature such as $T^* = (T_{rf}(t) - T_I)/(T_{max} - T_I)$ was introduced with T_{max} the maximum temperature of the thermogram.

In fig. 18(a), on a square 2D geometry, the thermogram was discretized in 1000 time intervals and 10^5 realizations of the MC algorithm were performed for each time value. Convective losses on the front and rear face were considered ($h = 5 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{K}$) with a fluid temperature set to the initial temperature T_{I} . The other boundaries were adiabatic.

Thus, the heat transfer was unidirectional. A good level of agreement was observed between the two methods and the 675 well-known shape of the rear face thermogram for the flash configuration was successfully obtained. In fig. 18(b), on 676 a cube 3D geometry, the thermogram was also discretized in 1000 time intervals but only 5000 realizations of the MC 677 algorithm were performed. Convective losses were removed so the system reached a new temperature equilibrium 678 at steady-state. In that case, a good level of agreement was also found between the two methods. Nevertheless, the 679 solution computed with the Monte-Carlo method appears noisier than in the previous case due to a low number of 680 realizations of the MC algorithm per time. 681 We would like to simply stress at this point the fact that the uncertainty associated with a MC computation is dependent 682 on the number of realizations performed. The solution computed by the Monte Carlo algorithm and its associated 683

error can be compared to the thermogram obtained by a measuring instrument. For the Monte Carlo algorithm, the associated uncertainty simply depends on the number of paths followed and, therefore, on the calculation time. The solution obtained by Monte Carlo tends towards the solution obtained by the deterministic solver with the increase of the number of realizations. This noise is independent and centered on the solution which means it can be taken into account during the inversion procedure (like during an experimental measurement) and implies an error in the estimated parameters. It is therefore possible to reduce computation time with the present approach but with reduced precision in the estimated parameters.

7.2. Coupled conductive and radiative transfers: 1D Flash configuration, opaque parallel plates separated by void
 phase



(b) Equivalent scaled thermal diffusivity as a function of the Biot number

The previous example only dealt with the simple case of pure conduction in a homogeneous medium. The more complex case of coupling between conduction and radiation is considered here. This academic case was studied by Vignoles [15] to validate his coupled conductive and radiative stochastic method and to identify the equivalent thermal diffusivity of foams [39]. The problem is defined by solid plates of thickness L_1 which are homogeneous, parallel and opaque with a given emissivity ϵ . Each plate is separated by a void phase of thickness $L_{tot} - L_1$ and are assumed infinite in the other two directions. Thus, the considered thermal problem is unidirectional.

⁶⁹⁹ This thermal problem has an analytical solution. By writing the balance of the conductive and radiative fluxes (cf.

Figure 19: Comparison of the analytical solution and the solution estimated by inversion of the thermogram generated by MC

eq. (9)) at the interface, the equivalent diffusivity between two separated plates can be written as: 700

$$\alpha_{\rm eff} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm s}}{(1-\Pi)^2} \left(1 + \frac{2-\epsilon}{Bi}\right)^{-1} \tag{28}$$

with α_{eff} the equivalent diffusivity, $\Pi = 1 - L_1/L_{\text{tot}}$ the volume fraction and Bi the radiative Biot number defined such 701 as: 702

$$Bi = \frac{h_{\rm rad}L_1}{\lambda_{\rm s}} = \frac{4\epsilon\sigma T_{\rm ref}^3 L_1}{\lambda_{\rm s}}$$
(29)

The simulation with the MC algorithm was performed on the geometry represented in fig. 19(a). The simulation of 703 the coupled heat transfer of the equivalent homogeneous medium was based on the quadrupole method [33], which is 704 very convenient for simulation of multi-layer and one dimensional heat transfer. A bi-layer medium was thus modeled. 705 The first layer was defined with a thermal diffusivity α_{eff} , which accounts for the first soleplate and the void phase 706 while the second, defined with α_s , accounts only for the second soleplate. The inverse procedure aims to identify the 707 value $\alpha_{\rm eff}$ of the homogeneous model that allows the best match with the thermogram obtained with the 3D simulation 708 computed with MC. 709 710

In fig. 19(b), the estimated values of the equivalent diffusivity are represented as a function of the radiative Biot number for a given value of volume fraction Π . These values and their associated uncertainties are compared with the 711 analytical solution given in eq. (28). For Bi > 0.1, a good level of agreement was found between our estimated equiv-712 alent diffusivity and the analytical solution. Given the associated uncertainty, the results are statistically compatible. 713 This means we can have great confidence in the described procedure involving direct modeling with combined MC

algorithm and inversion based on the use of a homogeneous equivalent model. 715

Computation with the MC algorithm becomes difficult in the case of a low radiative Biot number (little body assump-716 tion Bi < 0.1) which constitutes a limit of the present MC algorithm. For low value of h_{rad} , the probability of a path 717 located at the boundary to jump through the void phase is very low and therefore many attempts are required to allow 718

a given path to reach the front face, location of thermal excitation. This means the computation time needed to build a 719

usable thermogram is increased too much. Convergence issues are highlighted even in this simple 1D case. Solutions 720

exist like the introduction of arbitrary probabilities but have not been considered in the case of the described MC 721

algorithm and could be the subject of further studies. 722

714