

Editorial: Plant Programmed Cell Death Revisited

Joanna Kacprzyk, Arunika Gunawardena, François Bouteau, Paul Mccabe

▶ To cite this version:

Joanna Kacprzyk, Arunika Gunawardena, François Bouteau, Paul Mccabe. Editorial: Plant Programmed Cell Death Revisited. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2021, 12, pp.672465. 10.3389/fpls.2021.672465. hal-03681299

HAL Id: hal-03681299 https://hal.science/hal-03681299v1

Submitted on 30 May 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Editorial: Plant Programmed Cell Death Revisited

Joanna Kacprzyk^{1*}, Arunika H. L. A. N. Gunawardena², Francois Bouteau³ and Paul F. McCabe¹

¹ School of Biology and Environmental Science, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland, ² Biology Department, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada, ³ Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire des Énergies de Demain, Université de Paris, Paris, France

Keywords: plant programmed cell death, abiotic stress, plant development, cell death proteases, transcription factors

Editorial on the Research Topic

Plant Programmed Cell Death Revisited

Plant life cannot exist without programmed cell death (PCD). Both plant developmental processes and responses to environmental factors are modulated by highly controlled, localized cell death events (Kacprzyk et al., 2011; Locato and De Gara, 2018). A detailed understanding of these essential pathways and their regulation is therefore required, especially in the light of challenges imposed on plant health and productivity by an increasingly volatile climate. Reassuringly, this Research Topic gathers contributions underscoring that the plant PCD research is coming out of age and indeed holds the potential to drive the development of novel stress tolerant crop cultivars.

The two review articles of this Topic discuss critical aspects of plant cell death pathway: its transcriptional control (Burke et al.) and the proteases involved in execution of cell death process (Balakireva and Zamyatnin). Burke et al. highlights the role of transcription factors (TFs) in the regulation of plant PCD occurring in response to abiotic and biotic environmental triggers, complementing a recent publication by Cubría-Radío and Nowack (2019), who focused on TFs involvement during developmental PCD. Burke et al. surveyed TFs from NAC, ERF and WKRY families that are involved in life-death decisions in response to environmental perturbations, including those modulating mitochondrial stress signaling. This work provides a starting point for integrative analysis of gene regulatory networks involved in PCD induced by abiotic and biotic stresses, and further elucidation of core transcriptional mechanisms driving the cell death processes in plants. Following PCD activation, proteolytic cascades are important elements of cell death pathways in animals, responsible for signal transduction (initiation caspases) and degradation of cellular components (effector caspases) (Crawford and Wells, 2011; Galluzzi et al., 2018). While canonical caspases are absent in plant genomes, numerous proteases have been shown to play a role in plant PCD, often displaying caspase-like specificity (Salvesen et al., 2016). In this Research Topic, Balakireva and Zamyatnin compare the key characteristics of protease function during cell death in animal and plant cells. The authors propose that proteolytic death-inducing cascades also exist in plant cells, although their participants are different in origin, but similar in function, to those described in animals (see Figure 1 in Balakireva and Zamyatnin). We expect that the application of increasingly accessible proteomics-based approaches will test this hypothesis in the near future.

The Original Research Articles from this topic uncover different aspects of both developmentally regulated and environmentally induced PCD modulation.

Dauphinee et al. used lace plant leaf morphogenesis, a unique model system for studying developmentally regulated PCD, to study the cross talk between cell death and autophagy. Authors demonstrate through the chemical modulation of autophagy, that the process does

1

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Abidur Rahman, Iwate University, Japan

*Correspondence:

Joanna Kacprzyk joanna.kacprzyk@ucd.ie

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Cell Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 25 February 2021 Accepted: 02 March 2021 Published: 25 March 2021

Citation:

Kacprzyk J, Gunawardena AHLAN, Bouteau F and McCabe PF (2021) Editorial: Plant Programmed Cell Death Revisited. Front. Plant Sci. 12:672465. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.672465 not have a direct role in the induction of developmental PCD during lace plant leaf morphogenesis and primarily contributes to cell survival. These findings are an important contribution to the ongoing debate on the role of autophagy in plant cell death (Bozhkov, 2018).

The Earth's changing climate is leading to increased frequency of extreme weather events that may lead to severe crop damage. Thankfully, plants have developed diverse strategies to deal with environmental stresses, and PCD is part of this defense repertoire (Kacprzyk et al., 2011; Locato and De Gara, 2018). In this Research Topic, Pegg et al. provide novel insights into PCD-mediated formation of aerenchyma, a tissue comprising empty spaces that facilitates the gas exchange and consequently a key morphological adaptation for waterlogging tolerance (Mustroph, 2018). The study characterized the flooding-induced aerenchyma formation patterns and the associated cell wall carbohydrate modifications within the vascular stele of three Fabacea species (pea, chickpea, and runner bean). The authors identified a localized (and likely carefully controlled) pectin de-methyl-esterification as a putative mechanism paving the way for enzymatic degradation of cell walls and cell death in the aerenchyma forming tissues. Efforts to improve the flooding tolerance in legumes are likely to significantly benefit from this work. The theme of PCD in plant stress tolerance is continued by Chua et al. who explored the effect of the cyanobacteria Nostoc muscorum exometabolites on the heat-induced PCD in Arabidopsis root hairs. In their elegantly designed study, the authors demonstrate the suppression of stress-induced plant PCD due to uptake of cyanobacteria-derived proline. The findings suggest a novel mechanism for increased plant stress tolerance when Nostoc is used as a biofertilizer, and may therefore stimulate development of more effective strategies where Nostoc strains with increased proline secretion are generated. The rates of PCD in root hairs were also studied in this Research Topic as a potential marker for the variety stress tolerance (Chua et al.). These authors used the root hair assay (Kacprzyk et al., 2014) to score the PCD rates in barley and wheat seedlings and estimate their basal-, induced- and cross-stress tolerance. The stressed-induced PCD responses were an effective biomarker for preliminary identification of stress tolerant cereal varieties prior to large scale field testing, making the study highly relevant from an agricultural point of view.

Collectively, the contributions from the Research Topic emphasize the progress in the field of PCD research and its potential to deliver solutions from the laboratory to the farm. They also highlight the still unanswered questions about the death of plant cell—and hence the exciting opportunities for further research!

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct and intellectual contribution to the work, and approved it for publication.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Frontiers editorial team for their help in making this Research Topic possible.

REFERENCES

Bozhkov, P. V. (2018). Plant autophagy: mechanisms and functions. *J. Exp. Bot.* 69, 1281–1285. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ery070

Crawford, E. D., and Wells, J. A. (2011). Caspase substrates and cellular remodeling. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 80, 1055–1087. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-061809-121639

Cubría-Radío, M., and Nowack, M. K. (2019). Transcriptional networks orchestrating programmed cell death during plant development. Curr. Top. Dev. Biol. 131, 161–184. doi: 10.1016/bs.ctdb.2018. 10.006

Galluzzi, L., Vitale, I., Aaronson, S. A., Abrams, J. M., Adam, D., Agostinis, P., et al. (2018). Molecular mechanisms of cell death: recommendations of the Nomenclature Committee on Cell Death 2018. Cell Death Differ. 25, 486–541. doi: 10.1038/s41418-017-0012-4

Kacprzyk, J., Daly, C. T., and McCabe, P. F. (2011). "Chapter 4-the botanical dance of death: programmed cell death in plants," in Advances in Botanical Research, Vol. 60, eds J. C. Kader and M. Delseny (Burlington, VT: Academic Press), 169–261. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-385851-1. 00004-4

Kacprzyk, J., Devine, A., and McCabe, P. F. (2014). The root hair assay facilitates the use of genetic and pharmacological tools in order to dissect multiple

signalling pathways that lead to programmed cell death. PLoS ONE 9:e94898. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0094898

Locato, V., and De Gara, L. (Eds.). (2018). "Programmed cell death in plants: an overview," in *Plant Programmed Cell Death: Methods and Protocols* (New York, NY: Springer New York), 1–8. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-7668-3_1

Mustroph, A. (2018). Improving Flooding Tolerance of Crop Plants. Agronomy, 8, 160. doi: 10.3390/agronomy8090160

Salvesen, G. S., Hempel, A., and Coll, N. S. (2016). Protease signaling in animal and plant-regulated cell death. FEBS J. 283, 2577–2598. doi: 10.1111/febs.13616

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Kacprzyk, Gunawardena, Bouteau and McCabe. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.