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# SINGLE-VALUED KILLING FIELDS OF A MEROMORPHIC AFFINE CONNECTION AND CLASSIFICATION 

ALEXIS GARCIA<br>Université Clermont-Auvergne, Campus des Cézeaux, Aubière (France)


#### Abstract

We give a geometric condition on a meromorphic affine connection for its Killing vector fields to be single valued. More precisely, this condition relies on the pole of the connection and its geodesics, and defines a subcategory. To this end, we use the equivalence between these objects and meromorphic affine Cartan geometries. The proof of the previous result is then a consequence of a more general result linking the distinguished curves of meromorphic Cartan geometries, their poles and their infinitesimal automorphisms. This enables to extend the classification result from [4] to the subcategory of meromorphic affine connection described before.


## 1. Introduction

1.1. Geometric structures and Cartan geometries. A class of smooth geometric structures on real manifolds, or holomorphic geometric structures on complex manifolds (see [19] for a modern definition) is obtained as infinitesimal versions of a model geometry. As an example in the smooth category, the notion of Riemannian metric is obtained as an infinitesimal version of Euclidean geometry, and affine connections as infinitesimal versions of affine geometry. These two classes of geometric structures were intensively studied, in particular by Riemann who initiated with Gauss the Riemannian geometry.

In the two examples above, we remark that the group of global automorphisms of the model geometry, i.e global transformations preserving the caracteristics of this geometry, acts transitively on the base space, namely $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We say that the geometric structure corresponding to the model geometry is homogeneous. This property was later proposed by Klein to give a definition of a geometry, in his famous program aimed at classifying all the geometries. A Klein geometry is a couple $(G, P)$ formed by a Lie group $G$, seen as the group of global automorphisms of the geometry, and a closed Lie subgroup $P$ seen as the subgroup of isotropy at a fixed point of the space $G / P$.

Geometric structures underlying a Klein geometry are of diverse kinds. A general fact is that the model space $G / P$ is endowed with a $Q$-structure where $Q$ is a linear subgroup naturally associated with $P$. The geometric structures obtained in this way are of order one, but some Klein geometries define higher order geometric structures. For example, for the affine Klein geometry, where $G$ is the affine group of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $P$ the linear subgroup, the group $G$ is exactly the group of global automorphisms for the canonical flat affine connection on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. In general, the geometric structure underlying $(G, P)$ is defined using the $P$-principal bundle $G \longrightarrow G / P$ and the Maurer-Cartan form $\omega_{G}$ of $G$.

[^0]In a series of papers, in particular [10], Cartan described affine connections as infinitesimal versions of the affine Klein geometry, and proposed to generalize this principle to any Klein geometry to obtain a Cartan geometry. The formalism used nowadays came, in affine case, from the works of Ehresmann, who gave a purely geometric definition of an affine connection in terms of a principal bundle, a principal connection ([16]), and a soldering form to give a geometric meaning to the principal bundle. There is also an equivariant definition which was proposed by Atiyah in [1, and which is useful to extend some results in the meromorphic setting (see subsection 5.2). The definition of a Cartan geometry on $M$ modelled on ( $G, P$ ) that will be adopted in this article is the following: a couple $(E, \omega)$ formed by a $P$-principal bundle over $M$ and a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued equivariant one form on $E$ mimicking the infinitesimal properties of the Maurer-Cartan form of $G$. It originates from the paper [22].

In this way, the principle constructing a geometric structure on $G / P$ from a Klein geometry $(G, P)$ can be generalized to Cartan geometries, except that it produces non-homogeneous geometric structures in general: the global automorphisms of the Cartan geometry doesn't act transitively on the base manifold.
1.2. Classification of quasi-homogeneous geometric structures on simply connected manifolds. A natural question then, going back to the work of Riemann, Hopf and Killing for Riemannian metrics (see for example [28]), is to classify locally homogenous geometric structures, i.e for which the infinitesimal automorphisms span the tangent space of the base manifold at any point. As an example, it is well-known that any locally homogeneous and complete Riemannian metric on a simply connected manifold is homogeneous.

The above question is more relevant in the holomorphic category, for two principal reasons. First, the existence of a holomorphic geometric structure on a complex compact manifold gives some restrictions on its geometry or its topology. Secondly, local homogeneity is sometimes deduced from the complex geometry of the base manifold, at least on an open dense subset. These two reasons are well illustrated by the holomorphic version of Riemannian metrics, i.e holomorphic fields of nondegenerate bilinear forms on the tangent spaces of a complex manifold (see for example [12, [23]). Indeed, on a general complex manifold, such an object gives a trivialisation of some power of the canonical bundle. On a compact complex surface, the curvature of such a object is a constant function, implying local homogeneity.

In dimension two, we can also mention the work by Inoue, Kobayashi and Ochiai in [21]. Using the vanishing of the first two Chern classes of a complex compact surface in presence of a holomorphic affine connection, and the Enriques-Kodaira classification, they gave a complete classification of such objects. In particular, any compact complex surface admitting a holomorphic affine connection admits a flat holomorphic affine connection, which is thus locally homogeneous.

In [24], McKay showed that the existence of an arbitrary holomorphic Cartan geometry on a complex kähler manifold imposes relations on its Chern classes. In a common paper with Dumitrescu ([3), they proved that a simply connected compact complex manifold, with algebraic dimension zero (i.e whose meromorphic functions are the constants), does not bear any holomorphic affine connection.

Dumitrescu gave in [13] a result in arbitrary dimension which implies that on compact complex manifolds with only constant meromorphic functions, any holomorphic Cartan geometry must be quasi-homogeneous, i.e locally homogeneous on an open dense subset. This was used in [4] by Biswas and the two previous authors to improve the above result:

Theorem 1.1. Compact complex manifolds of algebraic dimension zero bearing a holomorphic Cartan geometry of algebraic type have infinite fundamental group.

Two important facts are used in the proof. First, it is proved that any germ of infinitesimal automorphism of the Cartan geometry is the germ of a global infinitesimal automorphism: this is a generalization of a result by Nomizu ([25]) for analytic Riemannian metrics, and follows from the fact that the former objects form a local system on $M$. Hence, using the result by Dumitrescu mentioned above, there are $n=\operatorname{dim}(M)$ independent germs of infinitesimal automorphisms at some point of $M$, extending as a family of $n$ global holomorphic vector fields which are infinitesimal automorphisms. Next, it is proved that there exists such a family made of commuting vector fields: hence, it integrates to a complex abelian Lie group $L$ with an open dense orbit in $M$. The conclusion follow from detailed study of the geometry of such manifolds $M$, which implies that the Cartan geometry is flat.
1.3. Results of the paper. In this paper, we consider the meromorphic generalization of the holomorphic geometric structures, in particular the meromorphic affine connections. In the meromorphic category, the two above facts no longer stand: infinitesimal automorphisms could be multivalued (see [5], example 3.8). Moreover, meromorphic single valued infinitesimal automorphism may not have a well defined flow at some point of the pole.

We give a sufficient condition on some meromorphic Cartan geometries to recover the first fact. Let's explain briefly the condition. The holomorphic $P$-principal bundle $E$ of a meromorphic Cartan geometry ( $E, \omega_{0}$ ) on a pair $(M, D)$, modelled on a complex Klein geometry $(G, P)$, comes equipped with holomorphic foliations (possibly singular) $\mathcal{T}_{A}$ whose leaves are the $A$-distinguished curves. Such a leaf $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is a 1-dimensional complex submanifold of $E$ whose tangent directions are seen as of constant direction through $\omega_{0}$, i.e in the line $\mathbb{C} A$ spanned by a vector $A \in \mathfrak{g}$. In the case of the affine model, it is natural to consider these leaves because their projections $\Sigma$ on the base manifold $M$ are exactly the spirals of the corresponding meromorphic affine connection (see [27]).

Moreover, there is a well-known result in Riemannian geometry stating that any Killing vector field $X$ for a Riemannian metric $g$ is a Jacobi field, i.e for any geodesic $\Sigma$ of $\nabla$, the scalar product $g\left(\gamma^{\prime}(t), X(\gamma(t))\right)$ is constant along $\gamma$. We can translate the two objects in terms of the Cartan geometry $(E, \omega)$ corresponding to the Levi-Civita connection of $g$. The proof can then be recovered from the fact that $(E, \omega)$ is torsionfree and from the structure of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of the complex euclidean group $G$.

In the meromorphic setting, and for an arbitrary model, we prove the result below, where $\mathcal{V}$ stands for the sheaf of holomorphic functions on $E$ with values in $\mathfrak{g}$ and, for any $A \in \mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{V}_{A}$ the subsheaf of functions with values in $\mathbb{C} A$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $(G, P)$ be a complex Klein geometry, and $(M, D)$ be a pair with $\operatorname{dim}(M)=$ $\operatorname{dim}(G / P)$. Let $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ be a meromorphic $(G, P)$-Cartan geometry on $(M, D)$. Pick a point $x_{0} \in D$ belonging to an unique irreducible component $D_{\alpha}$, and suppose that there exists $A \in \mathfrak{g} \backslash \mathfrak{p}$ and a the projection $\bar{\Sigma}$ of a $A$-distinguished curve for $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ such that $\bar{\Sigma} \cap D_{\alpha}=\left\{x_{0}\right\}$. Then there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $x_{0}$ with the following properties:
(1) Let $s$ be a the image of a Killing vector field through $\omega_{0}$, on an open subset $V \subset p^{-1}(U)$. Then its class in $\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{A}$ extends as a single valued section of this sheaf on $p^{-1}(U \backslash D)$.
(2) The class mentionned in 1. is moreover a section of $\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{A}[\star \tilde{D}]$ on $p^{-1}(U)$.
(3) Suppose moreover that $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ is holomorphic branched on $(M, D)$ and let $s$ be as in 2. Then $s$ is in fact a section of $\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{A}$ on $p^{-1}(U)$.

The meromorphic Cartan geometries satisfying this condition of the above theorem for a generic point $x_{0} \in D$ on the pole are said to be totally geodesic in reference to the affine case. This theorem implies that Killing fields of meromorphic affine connections which are totally geodesic are single valued and meromorphic. If we restrict us to the subcategory of
branched holomorphic affine connections, i.e those arising from branched holomorphic Cartan geometries (see [3]), we obtain that the Killing vector fields may be seen as holomorphic sections of submodule $\mathcal{E} \subset T M[\star D]$ satisfying $T M \subset \mathcal{E}$. Using this fact and some results in complex geomety, we obtained the following partial generalization of Theorem 1.1;
Theorem 5.2. Let $M$ be a compact complex manifold with finite fundamental group, and whose meromorphic functions are constants. Then M doesn't bear any totally geodesic branched holomorphic affine connection.
5.4. Plan of the paper. The plan of the paper is as follow. In section 2, we recall the dictionnary between locally free modules of finite rank and vector bundles, the corresponding meromorphic sections, and recall the definition of Atiyah's exact sequence associated with a principal bundle. In section 3, we introduce meromorphic Cartan geometries and the holomorphic vector bundles naturally associated to these objects. In section 4, we give sufficient conditions for two classes of regular meromorphic parabolic geometries (subsection 4.1) for their infinitesimal automorphisms to be single valued. Insection 5, we prove the equivalence between meromorphic affine Cartan connections and meromorphic affine connections, and we introduce the $\tau$-connections which are always induced by a meromorphic affine Cartan geometry satisfying the sufficient condition described before. We then use the previous results to prove Theorem 5.2. Finally, in the last section, we discuss the genericity of the $\tau$-connections, and illustrate Theorem 5.2 by examples in any dimension.

## 2. Preliminaries and notations

This preliminary section is devoted to recall the notion of meromorphic connections on a locally free module, and the meromorphic version of Atiyah's exact sequence associated with a principal bundle.
2.1. Locally free modules and meromorphic connections. Let $(M, D)$ be a pair, i.e a complex manifold $M$ equipped with a divisor $D$, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_{M}$ the sheaf of holomorphic functions on $M$ and $\mathcal{M}_{M}$ the sheaf of meromorphic functions on it. In order to write statements about meromorphic objects with poles at $D$, we may use the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{M}[\star D]$ of meromorphic functions with poles supported on the irreducible components $D_{\alpha}$ of $D=\sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} D_{\alpha}$ (see [26]). Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{M}$-module. Then we can consider the sheaf:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}[\star D]=\mathcal{O}_{M}[\star D] \underset{\mathcal{O}_{M}}{\otimes} \mathcal{L} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

of meromorphic sections of $\mathcal{L}$ with poles supported at the irreducible components of $D$. The order $\operatorname{ord}_{D}^{\mathcal{L}}(s)$ at $D$ of a section $s$ of $\mathcal{L}[\star D]$ defined on an open subset $U \subset M$ is the greatest integer $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $s$ is also a section of $\mathcal{L}(-d D)$ on $U$.

Definition 2.1. A meromorphic connection on $(M, D)$ is a couple $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla)$ where $\mathcal{V}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{M}$-module of finite rank, and $\nabla$ is a morphism of $\mathbb{C}$-sheaves from $\mathcal{V}[\star D]$ to $\Omega_{M}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{V}[\star D]$ satisfying the Leibniz identity $\nabla(f s)=d(f) s+f \nabla(s)$ for any $s \in \mathcal{V}(U)$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}_{M}[\star D](U)$ ( $U$ is an open subset of $M$ ).

If $(\mathcal{L}, \nabla)$ and $\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}, \nabla\right)$ are two meromorphic connections such that $\mathcal{L}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}_{M} s_{i}, \mathcal{L}^{\prime}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}_{M} t_{i}$ and $t_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{r} q_{j i} s_{j}$ for a meromorphic matrix $Q$ on $M$, then the matrices $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ respectively associated to the basis $\left(s_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, r}$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, r}$ are linked by the gauge-transformation formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime}=Q^{-1} d Q+Q^{-1} A Q \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ stands for the de Rham derivative.
A meromorphic affine connection on $(M, D)$ is a meromorphic connection $\nabla$ on $T M$ with poles supported at $D$. The torsion of a meromorphic affine connection $\nabla$ on $(M, D)$ is the meromorphic section $T_{\nabla}$ of $\Omega_{M}^{1} \otimes \operatorname{End}(T M)$ defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\nabla}(X)(Y)=\nabla_{X}(Y)-\nabla_{Y}(X)-[X, Y]_{T M} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let describe the categories associated with the above objects. Let $M, M^{\prime}$ be two complex manifolds and $f: M \longrightarrow M^{\prime}$ be a holomorphic map. We denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{M^{\prime}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the pullback in the sheaf theoretic sense. This is a sheaf of algebras over the constant sheaf $\mathbb{C}_{M}$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{M}$ is naturally a $f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{M^{\prime}}$-algebra through the $\mathbb{C}_{M^{-}}$-algebras morphism:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
f_{\#} & : f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{M^{\prime}} & \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M}  \tag{5}\\
s & \mapsto & b \circ f
\end{array}
$$

Hence, any $f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{M^{\prime}}$-module defines a $\mathcal{O}_{M^{-}}$-module obtained by tensorizing with $\mathcal{O}_{M}$ in the category of $f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{M^{\prime}}$-modules.

Recall that there is a well-known equivalence between the category of holomorphic vector bundles $V$ of rank $r \geq 1$ over complex manifolds and local free $\mathcal{O}_{M}$-modules of the same rank obtained by considering the sheaf of local holomorphic sections of $V$. The image of a an isomorphism $\hat{\Psi}: V_{1} \longrightarrow V_{2}$ of vector bundles with associated sheaves of sections $\mathcal{E}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{2}$, covering an isomorphism $f: M \longrightarrow M^{\prime}$ of complex manifolds, is the isomorphism $\Phi$ of $\mathcal{O}_{M}$-modules:

$$
\begin{array}{rlcc}
\Phi: \mathcal{E}_{1} & \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M} & \otimes_{{ }^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{M^{\prime}}} f^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{2}  \tag{6}\\
& \longrightarrow & & \hat{\Psi} \circ s \circ \varphi^{-1}
\end{array}
$$

where $U$ is an open subset of $M$.
Definition 2.2. A couple $(\varphi, \Phi)$ as above will be called a isomorphism of vector bundles between $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2}$. More generally, we define a isomorphism of meromorphic bundles by replacing the sheaves of holomorphic sections by the corresponding of meromorphic sections with poles at a divisor $D$ of $M$ and $D^{\prime}$ of $M^{\prime}$.

The following definition of the pullback of a meromorphic connection is a particular case of the construction of inverse images for $D$-modules (see [20]). Let $\nabla^{\prime}$ be a meromorphic connection on the $\mathcal{O}_{M^{\prime}}$-module $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ with poles at $D^{\prime}$ and $f: M \longrightarrow M^{\prime}$ be a morphism of complex manifolds. The dual of the differential $d f: T M \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M} \otimes f^{-1} T M^{\prime}$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_{M}$-modules $(d f)^{*}$ : $\mathcal{O}_{M} \otimes f^{-1} \Omega_{M^{\prime}}^{1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{M}^{1}$.

Now, let $d_{M}$ and $d_{M^{\prime}}$ denote respectively the de Rham differentials on $\mathcal{O}_{M}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{M^{\prime}}$. Since $d_{M} \circ f_{\#}=(d f)^{*} \circ f^{-1} d_{M^{\prime}}$ by definition of $f_{\#}$, we remark that the left composition $\overline{f^{-1} \nabla^{\prime}}:$ $f^{-1} \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \Omega_{M}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{M} \otimes f^{-1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left[\star D^{\prime}\right]\right)$ of the sheaf theoretic pullback $f^{-1} \nabla^{\prime}$ with $(d f)^{*} \otimes I d$ satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{f^{-1} \nabla^{\prime}}\left(f_{\#}\left(g^{\prime}\right) s\right)=d_{M}\left(f_{\#}\left(g^{\prime}\right)\right) \otimes s+g^{\prime} \otimes \overline{f^{-1} \nabla^{\prime}}(s) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any section $s$ of $f^{-1} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime}$ of $f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{M^{\prime}}$. Thus, it extends as a morphism of $\mathbb{C}_{M}$-modules :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\star} \nabla^{\prime}: \mathcal{O}_{M} \otimes f^{-1} \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \Omega_{M}^{1} \otimes\left(\mathcal{O}_{M} \otimes f^{-1} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left[\star D^{\prime}\right]\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the Leibniz rule on $M$.
Definition 2.3. Let $f$ and $\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}, \nabla^{\prime}\right)$ as above. The pullback of $\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}, \nabla^{\prime}\right)$ is the pair $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ where $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{O} M \otimes f^{-1} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ and $\nabla$ is the morphism defined as in (8).

When $\mathcal{O}_{M} \otimes f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{M^{\prime}}\left(D^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{M}(D)$ for some divisor $D$ on $M$, the pullback $\left(\mathcal{E}, f^{\star} \nabla^{\prime}\right)$ is a meromorphic connection on $(M, D)$. This the case for example when $f$ is a submersion, but we may find many counterexamples as the following example :
Exemple 2.1. Let $M^{\prime}=\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and $D^{\prime}=\left\{z_{1}=0\right\}$ Let $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}=\mathcal{O}_{M^{\prime}}^{\oplus 2}$ and $\nabla^{\prime}$ be the meromorphic connection on $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$, with poles at $D^{\prime}$, whose matrix in the canonical basis is $\frac{d z_{2}}{z_{1}} \otimes I d$.

Let $\gamma: \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow M^{\prime}$ be the holomorphic curve defined by $\gamma(t)=(0, t)$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \gamma^{-1} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}{ }^{2}$ and $\gamma^{\star} \nabla^{\prime}$ is the null morphism.
Proof. We have $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \gamma^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{M^{\prime}}\left(D^{\prime}\right)=\underline{\{0\}}{ }_{\mathbb{C}}$ because $\gamma(\mathbb{C}) \subset D^{\prime}$. Now, by definition of $\nabla^{\prime}$, the morphism $\overline{\gamma^{-1} \nabla^{\prime}}$ defined before (77) maps $\gamma^{-1} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ in $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \gamma^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{z_{1}} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)$. By the first remark, this is the trivial module.

We finish with the Riemann-Hilbert correspondance. A flat meromorphic connection $\nabla$ on $\mathcal{E}$ with poles at $D$ is a meromorphic connection such that the subsheaf of horizontal sections $\operatorname{ker}(\nabla)$ on $M \backslash D$ defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall U \subset M \backslash D, \operatorname{ker}(\nabla)(U)=\{s \in \mathcal{E}(U) \text { s.t. } \nabla(s)=0\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a local system (see [11).
We recall that there is an equivalence of categories between the category of local systems of rank $r$ on $M \backslash D$ with arrows being the isomorphisms, and the category of representations $\rho: \pi_{1}(M \backslash D, x) \longrightarrow K$ (for any $x \in M \backslash D$, and $K$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of dimension $r$ ) with arrows being the isomorphisms of representations. Once a point $x \in M \backslash D$ is chosen, this equivalence is obtained by associating to any local system $\mathcal{K}$, the monodromy map $\operatorname{Mon}^{x}(\mathcal{K})$ : $\pi_{1}(M \backslash D, x) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathcal{K}_{x}\right)$ (see [11]).
2.2. Atiyah sequence of the frame bundle. The frame bundle of a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{M}$-module $\mathcal{E}$ of rank $r$ is the holomorphic $G L_{r}(\mathbb{C})$-principal bundle $E \xrightarrow{p} M$ whose fiber at $x \in M$ is the set of isomorphisms $\mathbb{C}^{r} \simeq \mathcal{E}(x)$. Here $\mathcal{E}(x)=\mathcal{E}_{x} / \mathfrak{m}_{x}$ stands for the fiber of $\mathcal{E}$ at $x$.

We recall that for any complex Lie group $P$ and a holomorphic $P$-principal bundle $E \xrightarrow{p} M$, there is a notion of $P$-linearization for a $\mathcal{O}_{E}$-module $\mathcal{V}$ : this is a family $\left(\phi_{b}\right)_{b \in P}$ of isomorphisms $\phi_{b}: \mathcal{V} \simeq r_{b}^{*} \mathcal{V}$ (where $r_{b}$ is the right action of $P$ ) with nice properties (see [17]). A $\mathcal{O}_{E}$-module equipped with a $P$-linearization is said to be $P$-equivariant. In this context, there is an equivalence between the $P$-equivariant locally free $\mathcal{O}_{E}$-modules and the locally free $\mathcal{O}_{M}$-modules, and between the $P$-equivariant morphisms and the morphisms between the corresponding $\mathcal{O}_{M^{-}}$ modules (see [2]). For any representation $\rho: P \longrightarrow G L(\mathbb{V})$, and any holomorphic $P$-principal bundle $E \xrightarrow{p} M$, we denote by $E(\mathbb{V})$ the $\mathcal{O}_{M}$-module associated with the $\mathcal{O}_{E}$-module $\mathcal{O}_{E} \otimes \mathbb{V}$, where the $P$-linearization $\left(\phi_{b}\right)_{b \in P}$ is given by $\phi_{b}=r_{b}^{*} \otimes \rho\left(b^{-1}\right)$. We call it the representation module associated with $E$ and $\mathbb{V}$. For any isomorphism $\Psi: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}$ of holomorphic $P$-principal bundles covering $\varphi: M \longrightarrow M^{\prime}$, the representation isomorphism of associated vector bundles corresponding to $\Psi$ is the isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\mathbb{V}): E(\mathbb{V}) \longrightarrow \varphi^{*} E^{\prime}(\mathbb{V}) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

associated to the $P$-equivariant isomorphism $\Psi^{*} \otimes I d_{\mathbb{V}}$ of trivial $\mathcal{O}_{E}$-modules.
Definition 2.4. Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a representation of a complex Lie group $P$. Let $E \xrightarrow{p_{1}} M$ and $E^{\prime} \xrightarrow{p_{1}} M^{\prime}$ be two holomorphic P-principal bundles, and $D, D^{\prime}$ be respectively two divisors of $M$ and $M^{\prime}$.
(1) An isomorphism $\Psi:\left.\left.E\right|_{M \backslash D} \longrightarrow E^{\prime}\right|_{M^{\prime} \backslash D^{\prime}}$ of holomorphic P-principal bundles is $\mathbb{V}$ meromorphic between $(M, D)$ and $\left(M^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$ iff the representation isomorphism $\Phi=\Psi(\mathbb{V})$ restricts to an isomorphism $\Phi: E(\mathbb{V})[\star D] \longrightarrow \varphi^{*} E^{\prime}(\mathbb{V})\left[\star D^{\prime}\right]$ (see $\mathbb{1 0}$ ).
(2) $A \mathbb{V}$-meromorphic section of a holomorphic P-principal bundle $E \xrightarrow{p} M$ on $U$ with pole at $D$ is a holomorphic section $\sigma: U \backslash D \longrightarrow E$ such that the corresponding trivialisation $\psi_{\sigma}$ of $\left.E(\mathbb{V})\right|_{U \backslash D}$ induces an isomorphism of meromorphic bundles between $E(\mathbb{V})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{U} \otimes \mathbb{V}$.

In particular, mapping holomorphic $G L_{r}(\mathbb{C})$-principal bundles $E$ over $M$ to the associated representation modules $E\left(\mathbb{C}^{r}\right)$ gives an equivalence of categories. A pseudo-inverse is given by mapping a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{M}$-module $\mathcal{E}$ of rank $r$ to its frame bundle $E$.

Consider $\mathfrak{p}=\operatorname{Lie}(P)$ which is the adjoint representation of $P$. Let $\operatorname{At}(E)$ be the $\mathcal{O}_{M}$-module associated with the $P$-equivariant locally free $\mathcal{O}_{E}$-module $T E$ equipped with the $P$-linearization induced by the infinitesimal action of $P$ on $E$ : it is called the Atiyah bundle of $E$, and fits into the short exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow E(\mathfrak{p}) \xrightarrow{\iota} A t(E) \xrightarrow{q} T M \longrightarrow 0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\iota$ is the morphism associated with the $P$-equivariant morphism which to any $A \in \mathcal{O}_{E} \otimes \mathfrak{p}$ associates the corresponding fundamental vector field on $E$, and $q$ is the one associated with the $P$-equivariant morphism $d p: T E \longrightarrow p^{*} T M$.

The previous equivalence implies that $P$-equivariant meromorphic one forms on $E$, with poles at $\tilde{D}=p^{-1}(D)$, and values in $\mathbb{V}$ are in bijection with morphisms $\beta: \operatorname{At}(E)[\star D] \longrightarrow E(\mathbb{V})[\star D]$, or equivalently with sections of $A t(E) \otimes E(\mathbb{V})[* D]$. This correspondance restricts to a bijective correspondance between:

- The set of morphisms $\beta$ as above vanishing on the image of $\iota$ in (11), equivalently sections of $\Omega_{M}^{1}[\star D] \otimes E(\mathbb{V})$
- The set of meromorphic one forms $\tilde{\omega}$ on $(E, \tilde{D})$ with values in $\mathbb{V}$ vanishing on $\operatorname{ker}(d p)$


## 3. Holomorphic branched Cartan geometries and the Killing connection

In this section, we fix a pair $(M, D)$ where $M$ is of complex dimension $n$. We define meromorphic Cartan geometries, and the subcategory of branched holomorphic Cartan geometries. We describe their infinitesimal automorphisms as sections for a meromorphic connection either on a trivial module over the principal bundle of the geometry, or on the corresponding module over the base manifold. We introduce the subcategory of totally geodesic meromorphic Cartan geometries: in the next section, we will see that their infinitesimal automorphisms are single valued, in a sense that will be defined.
3.1. Meromorphic and holomorphic branched Cartan geometries. First, we have to define the models for Cartan geometries:

Definition 3.1. A complex Klein geometry of dimension $n \geq 1$ is a couple $(G, P)$ where $G$ is a complex Lie group, and $P$ is a complex Lie subgroup with $\operatorname{dim}(G)-\operatorname{dim}(P)=n$.

Let $(G, P)$ be as in Definition 3.1 and let $P^{\prime}=\operatorname{ker}(\underline{a d})$ where $\underline{a d}: P \longrightarrow G L(\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{p})$ is the representation induced by the adjoint representation. Then any choice of a basis for $\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{p}$ identifies $Q=P / P^{\prime}$ with a linear complex subgroup, and $T G / P$ with the module $G(\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{p})$ associated to the $P$-principal bundle $E$ and the representation $\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{p}$. Thus, the complex manifold $G / P$ comes equiped with a holomorphic reduction $G \underset{P}{\times} Q$ of its holomorphic frame bundle $R^{1}(G / P)$, i.e a holomorphic $Q$-structure: namely $G / P^{\prime}$.

This is in fact only due to the presence of a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued holomorphic 1-form with special properties on the total space of the holomorphic $P$-principal bundle $G \longrightarrow G / P$, namely the MaurerCartan form $\omega_{G}$ of $G$. We can consider curved versions of theses objects for which the above fact is still true replacing $G$ by a suitable holomorphic $P$-principal bundle (see next subsection).

Authorizing the one form to have poles on the $P$-principal bundle, we obtain their meromorphic analogues:
Definition 3.2. Let $(G, P)$ be a complex Klein geometry with $\operatorname{dim}(G / P)=n$ and $(M, D)$ be a pair. A meromorphic $(G, P)$-Cartan geometry is a couple $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ where $E \xrightarrow{p} M$ is a holomorphic P-principal bundle, and $\omega_{0}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued meromorphic one form on $E$, with poles on $\tilde{D}=p^{-1}(D)$, such that:
(i) For any $x \in M \backslash D$, $\iota_{x}^{\star} \omega_{0}$ coïncides with the Maurer-Cartan form $\omega_{E, x}$ (see above).
(ii) $\omega_{0}$ is $P$-equivariant.
(iii) For any $e \in E \backslash \tilde{D}, \omega_{0}(e)$ is an isomorphism between $T_{e} E$ and $\mathfrak{g}$.

These objects form a category:
Definition 3.3. Let $(G, P)$ be a complex Klein geometry and $(M, D),\left(M^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$ be two pairs with $\operatorname{dim}(M)=\operatorname{dim}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(G / P)$. Let $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ and $\left(E^{\prime}, \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ be respectively two meromorphic $(G, P)$-Cartan geometries on $(M, D)$ and $\left(M^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$. An isomorphism between $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ and $\left(E^{\prime}, \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$-meromorphic P-principal fiber bundles $\Psi: E \backslash \tilde{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} E^{\prime} \backslash \tilde{D}^{\prime}$ (see Definition 2.4) such that $\Psi^{\star} \omega_{0}^{\prime}=\omega_{0}$.

The following object is central in the study of Cartan geometries:
Definition 3.4. Let $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ be a meromorphic $(G, P)$-Cartan geometry on $(M, D)$. Its curvature function (or curvature) is the meromorphic function $k_{\omega_{0}}$ on $E$ with values in $\mathbb{W}=\mathfrak{g}^{*} \wedge \mathfrak{g}^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ and defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\omega_{0}}=d \omega_{0} \circ\left(\omega_{0}^{-1} \wedge \omega_{0}^{-1}\right)+[,]_{\mathfrak{g}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[,]_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the Lie-bracket of $\mathfrak{g}$ identified with an element of $\mathbb{W}$.
Fix a Klein geometry $(G, P)$ and choose a basis $\left(\mathfrak{e}_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, N}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, with $\left(\mathfrak{e}_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, n}$ spanning a subspace $\mathfrak{g}_{-}$complementary to $\mathfrak{p}$. Denote by $\left(\mathfrak{e}_{i}^{*}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, N}$ the dual basis of $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$.
Definition 3.5. Let $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ be a meromorphic $(G, P)$-Cartan geometry on $(M, D)$. The meromorphic functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{i, j}^{k}=\mathfrak{e}_{k}^{*} \circ k_{\omega_{0}}\left(\mathfrak{e}_{i}, \mathfrak{e}_{j}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

are called the structure coefficients (or structure functions) of $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$.
A natural subcategory of the meromorphic $(G, P)$-Cartan geometries on pairs is the following:
Definition 3.6. A branched holomorphic ( $G, P$ )-Cartan geometry on a pair $(M, D)$ is a meromorphic $(G, P)$-Cartan geometry $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ on $(M, D)$ such that $\omega_{0}$ extends as a holomorphic one form on $E$.

An important feature of these objects for the classification is the existence of a holomorphic connection on the adjoint vector bundle. Indeed, let ( $E, \omega_{0}$ ) be a branched holomorphic $(G, P)$ Cartan geometry on $(M, D)$, and $E_{G}=E \underset{P}{\times} G$ the extension of the holomorphic $P$-principal bundle $E$ to the group $G$. By definition, $E_{G}$ is the quotient of the product $E \times G$ by the action of $G$ given by $(e, g) \cdot h=\left(e \cdot h, h^{-1} g\right)$. Consider the $G$-equivariant holomorphic one form $\bar{\omega}$ on $E \times G$ with values in $\mathfrak{g}$ given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\omega}=a d\left(\pi_{2}\right) \circ \pi_{1}^{\star} \omega_{0}+\pi_{2}^{\star} \omega_{G} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}$ are the projections on each factor and $\omega_{G}$ is the Maurer-Cartan form of $G$. It is straightforward to verify that for any $A \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}, \bar{\omega}\left(\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0}(e, h) \cdot \exp _{G}(t A)\right)=0$, i.e the vectors tangent to the fibers of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{G}: E \times G \longrightarrow E_{G} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

are in the kernel of $\bar{\omega}$. Moreover, $\bar{\omega}$ is invariant under the action of $G$ on $E \times G$. Thus, $\bar{\omega}$ induces a holomorphic one form on $E_{G}$.

Definition 3.7. The holomorphic $G$-principal connection $\tilde{\omega}$ on $E_{G}$ induced by $\bar{\omega}$ is the tractorconnection of $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$. We denote by $\nabla^{\omega_{0}}$ the corresponding holomorphic connection on $E_{G}(\mathfrak{g})=$ $E(\mathfrak{g})$ (see Proposition 5.0.1).

The pullback $p^{*} E(\mathfrak{g})$ is the trivial module $\mathcal{V}=\mathcal{O}_{E} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$.
Lemma 3.1. The pullback $p^{\star} \nabla^{\omega_{0}}$ is $d-A d\left(\omega_{0}\right)$ where $d$ is the de Rham diffential on the trivial module $\mathcal{V}, \operatorname{Ad}\left(\omega_{0}\right)$ is the section of $\Omega_{E}^{1} \otimes \operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{g})=\Omega_{E}^{1} \otimes \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V})$ defined by:

$$
X\lrcorner A d\left(\omega_{0}\right)(s)=\left[\omega_{0}(X), s\right]_{\mathfrak{g}}
$$

for any holomorphic vector field $X$ of $E$ and section $s$ of $\mathcal{V}$. In particular, its curvature is $R_{p^{\star} \nabla^{\omega_{0}}}=A d\left(d \omega_{0}\right)+A d\left(\omega_{0} \wedge \omega_{0}\right)$.

Proof. Since the $\omega_{0}$-constant vector fields on $E$ span $T_{e} E$ at any $e \in E \backslash \tilde{D}$, we can choose $\tilde{A}=\omega_{0}^{-1}(A)$ for $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ as a holomorphic vector field on $E \backslash \tilde{D}$. Let $s$ be any section of $\mathcal{V}(U)$, $U \subset E \backslash \tilde{D}$ an open subset. By definition of $\nabla^{\omega_{0}}$ and the remarks preceding Definition 3.7, we have:

$$
\left.\tilde{A}\lrcorner p^{\star} \nabla^{\omega_{0}}(s)=(\bar{A}-\hat{A})\right\lrcorner d(\tilde{s})
$$

where $\tilde{s}$ is the unique $G$-equivariant section of $\mathcal{O}_{E \times G} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ which coïncides with $s$ in restriction to $E \subset E \times G, \bar{A}$ is the unique $G$-invariant meromorphic vector field whose restriction to $E$ coïncides with $\tilde{A}$, and $\hat{A}$ is the holomorphic vector field tangent to the fibers of $E \times G \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}} E$ such that $\pi_{2}^{\star} \omega_{G}(\hat{A})=A$. Indeed, $\bar{A}-\hat{A}$ is the unique vector field which belongs to $\operatorname{ker}(\bar{\omega})$ and projects to $\tilde{A}$ via $\pi_{1}: E \times G \longrightarrow E$. Now, $\left.\bar{A}\right\lrcorner d(\tilde{s})$ coïncides with $\left.\tilde{A}\right\lrcorner d(s)$ in restriction to $E$, while $\hat{A}\lrcorner d(\tilde{s})=[A, s]_{\mathfrak{g}}$ because $\tilde{s}$ is $G$-equivariant. The first formula follows. For the curvature, it corresponds to the classical computation of the curvature in a trivialisation of a vector bundle.
3.2. Meromorphic extension of the tangent sheaf. We now describe an object induced by any meromorphic Cartan geometry, which plays the same role as the tangent bundle of the base manifold in the regular case. It is a particular case of the following objects:

Definition 3.8. Let $(M, D)$ be a pair.
(1) A meromorphic extension of $(M, D)$ is a couple $\left(\phi_{0}, \mathcal{E}\right)$ where $\mathcal{E}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{M^{-}}$ module and $\phi_{0}: T M[\star D] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}[\star D]$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{M}$-modules.
(2) A holomorphic extension of $(M, D)$ is a meromorphic extension $\left(\phi_{0}, \mathcal{E}\right)$ such that $\phi_{0}(T M) \subset$ $\mathcal{E}$.
(3) The category $\mathcal{F}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}^{0}$ ) of meromorphic extensions (resp. holomorphic extensions) over pairs is defined as follow. An arrow between two meromorphic extensions $\left(\phi_{0}, \mathcal{E}\right)$ and $\left(\phi_{0}^{\prime}, \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)$ over $(M, D)$ and $\left(M^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$ is a an isomorphism $(\varphi, \Phi)$ of meromorphic bundles (resp. of vector bundles, see Definition 2.2) between $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

(4) The category obtained by restricting to meromorphic extensions of ( $M, D$ ) and to isomorphisms of meromorphic bundles of the form $\left(I_{M}, \Phi\right)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{M, D}$ (resp. $\left.\mathcal{F}_{M, D}^{0}\right)$.

Meromorphic extensions on $(M, D)$ are thus canonically isomorphic to submodules of maximal rank of the sheaf of tangent vector fields with poles at $D$. The restriction of the corresponding frame bundle to $M \backslash D$ can thus be canonically identified with the frame bundle of $M \backslash D$. This gives the following alternative description:
Definition 3.9. Let $(M, D)$ be a pair.
(1) Let $E \xrightarrow{p} M$ be a holomorphic P-principal bundle and $\tilde{D}=p^{-1}(D)$. A meromorphic solderform on $(E, \tilde{D})$ is a $P$-equivariant $\mathbb{C}^{n}$-valued meromorphic 1-form $\theta_{0}$ on $E$, with poles supported at $\tilde{D}$, vanishing on $\operatorname{ker}(d p)$, and such that $\theta_{0}(e)$ is surjective for any $e \in E \backslash \tilde{D}$. A couple $\left(E, \theta_{0}\right)$ is called a meromorphic solder form over $(M, D)$
(2) An arrow between two meromorphic solderforms $\left(E, \theta_{0}\right)$ and $\left(E^{\prime}, \theta_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ over $(M, D)$ and $\left(M^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism of holomorphic P-principal bundles $\tilde{\Psi}: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}$ such that $\theta_{0}=\tilde{\Psi}^{\star} \theta_{0}^{\prime}$. This defines the category $\mathcal{D}$ of meromorphic solderforms over pairs.
Proposition 3.0.1. The map which to any meromorphic solder form $\left(E, \theta_{0}\right)$ over $(M, D)$ Definition 3.9) associates the meromorphic extension $\left(\phi_{0}, \mathcal{E}\right)$ where $\phi_{0}: T M[\star D] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}[\star D]$ is the isomorphism which corresponds to $\theta_{0}$ (see remarks above), extends to an equivalence of categories $m: \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$.
Proof. If $\tilde{\Psi}: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}$ is an arrow between two objects $\left(E, \theta_{0}\right)$ and ( $E^{\prime}, \theta_{0}^{\prime}$ ) of the category of solderforms over $(M, D)$, we define $m(\tilde{\Psi})=\Phi$ as the image of $\tilde{\Psi}$ through the equivalence of categories described in subsection 2.2. Consider the images $\left(\phi_{0}, \mathcal{E}\right)$ and $\left(\phi_{0}^{\prime}, \mathcal{E}\right)$ of $\left(E, \theta_{0}\right)$ and $\left(E^{\prime}, \theta_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\theta_{0}^{\prime}=\tilde{\Psi}^{\star} \theta_{0}$, by definition, $\Phi \circ \phi_{0}=\phi_{0}^{\prime}$ so $m$ is an essentially surjective functor. Since it is the restriction of the equivalence of categories described in subsection 2.2, it is an equivalence of categories.

Now let $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ be any meromorphic $(G, P)$-Cartan geometry on $(M, D)$. Then the meromorphic one form $\pi_{\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{p}} \circ \omega_{0}$ obtained by projecting $\omega_{0}$ on $\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{p}$ is $P$-equivariant for the quotient adjoint action on $\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{p}$, and pointwise surjective on $E \backslash p^{-1}(D)$. Moreover, its kernel contains $\operatorname{ker}(d p)$. By the subsection 2.2, it thus corresponds to a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_{M}$-modules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{0}: T M[\star D] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}[\star D] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we set $\mathcal{E}=E(\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{p})$. By construction, $\phi_{0}$ is an isomorphism of meromorphic bundles and $\left(\mathcal{E}, \phi_{0}\right)$ is thus a meromorphic extension on $(M, D)$.
Definition 3.10. The meromorphic extension $\left(\mathcal{E}, \phi_{0}\right)$ obtained as above is the meromorphic extension induced by $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$. We denote by $f$ the map from the set of meromorphic $(G, P)$ Cartan geometries on pairs to the set of meromorphic extensions which maps $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ to its induced meromorphic extension ( $\mathcal{E}, \phi_{0}$ ). This extends as a functor $f$ between the corresponding categories.
3.3. Infinitesimal automorphisms as horizontal sections. Important objects in the study of meromorphic Cartan geometries are the following:
Definition 3.11. Let $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ be a meromorphic $(G, P)$-Cartan geometry on $(M, D)$. An infinitesimal automorphism of $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ is a holomorphic vector field $\bar{X}$ on an open subset $U \subset M \backslash D$, lifting to a vector field $X$ on $p^{-1}(U)$ such that $\phi_{X}^{t \star} \omega_{0}=\omega_{0}$. We write $\mathfrak{k i l l}{ }_{M, \omega_{0}}^{\text {loc }}$ for the subsheaf of $T M \backslash D$ whose sections are the local infinitesimal automorphisms, and $\mathfrak{k i l l}{ }_{E, \omega_{0}}{ }^{\text {loc }}$ for the subsheaf of $T E \backslash \tilde{D}$ whose sections are the lifts of sections of $\mathfrak{k i l l}_{M, \omega_{0}}^{l o c}$.

In order to study the sections of $\mathfrak{k i r l}_{M, \omega_{0}}^{l o c}$, it is convenient to identify them with horizontal sections for a meromorphic connection on a trivial module over $E$. This is also a classical approach for general meromorphic parallelisms (see for example [5]). Indeed, let's denote by $T$ the torsion of the flat meromorphic connection $\nabla^{0}$ whose horizontal sections are the $\omega_{0}$-constant vector fields on $E$. Then:

Proposition 3.0.2. Let $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ be a meromorphic ( $G, P$ )-Cartan geometry on ( $M, D$ ).
(1) The sheaf $\mathfrak{k i l l}{ }_{E, \omega_{0}}^{l o c}$ coïncides with the sheaf $\operatorname{ker}\left(\nabla_{\omega_{0}}^{r e c}\right)$ of horizontal sections for the reciprocal connection $\nabla^{r e c}$ defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X}^{r e c}=\nabla_{X}^{0}+T(X, \cdot) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any local vector field $X$.
(2) The connection $\nabla_{\omega_{0}}^{r e c}$ is invariant by the $P$-linearization $\left(d r_{b}\right)_{b \in P}$ corresponding to the action of principal P-bundle.

Proof. (1) See Lemma 3.2 in [5].
(2) This straightforwardly follows from the fact that the torsion of $\nabla_{0}$ is $P$-invariant by definition.

Definition 3.12. The Killing connection of a meromorphic ( $G, P$ )-Cartan geometry $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ on $(M, D)$ is the meromorphic connection $\left(\mathcal{V}, \nabla^{\omega_{0}}\right)$ where $\mathcal{V}=\mathcal{O}_{E} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ and

$$
\nabla^{\omega_{0}}=\Phi_{\omega_{0}}^{-1} \nabla^{r e c}
$$

where $\Phi_{\omega_{0}}$ is the isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{E}[\star \tilde{D}]$-modules between $T E[\star \tilde{D}]$ and $\mathcal{V}[\star \tilde{D}]$.
The sheaves $\mathfrak{k i l l}{ }_{E, \omega_{0}}$ and $\mathfrak{k i l l}_{M, \omega_{0}}$ are respectively local systems on $E \backslash \tilde{D}$ and $M \backslash D$.
As explained in the introduction, our goal is to classify quasi-homogeneous meromorphic Cartan geometries $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$. This hypothesis is satisfied whenever the base manifold $M$ has only constant meromorphic functions (see [13]). In this case, there exists a point $x_{0} \in M$ and $n$ independent germs of Killing vector fields for $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ at $x_{0}$. We seek for a sufficient condition for these germs to come from global Killing vector fields, i.e for the following property to be satisfied:

Definition 3.13. Let $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ be a meromorphic ( $G, P$ )-Cartan geometry on a pair ( $M, D$ ). It satisfies the extension property of infinitesimal automorphisms if and only the local system $\mathfrak{k i l l}_{M, \omega_{0}}$ on $M \backslash D$ extends as a local system $\mathfrak{k} \subset T M$ on $M$.
3.4. Distinguished foliations and totally spiral meromorphic Cartan geometries. We now isolate a subcategory of meromorphic Cartan geometries for which the extension property will be easier to obtain. Let ( $E, \omega_{0}$ ) be a meromorphic ( $G, P$ )-Cartan geometry on ( $M, D$ ), and $A \in \mathfrak{g} \backslash\{0\}$. Since $\omega_{0}$ induces an isomorphism of meromorphic bundles between $T E[\star \tilde{D}]$ and $\mathcal{O}_{E}[\star \tilde{D}] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, there exists a unique distribution of rank one (thus integrable) $\mathcal{T}_{A} \subset T E$ with the following property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}\left(\mathcal{T}_{A}\right) \subset \mathcal{O}_{E}[\star \tilde{D}] A \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will call it the $A$-distinguished foliation of ( $E, \omega_{0}$ ), and a leaf $\tilde{\Sigma}$ will be called a $A$-distinguished curve for $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$.

Let $A \in \mathfrak{g} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}$ a $A$-distinguished curve for $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$. If $A \in \mathfrak{p}$, then $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is tangent to the kernel $\operatorname{ker}(d p)$ of the differential of the bundle map. If $A \notin \mathfrak{p}, \tilde{\Sigma} \cap(E \backslash \tilde{D})$ is transverse to this
distribution. Hence, the restriction of $p$ to $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is a cover map from $\tilde{\Sigma}$ to its image $\Sigma \subset M$. In particular, if $\Sigma$ is simply connected, then it is a biholomorphism.

Definition 3.14. Let $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ a meromorphic ( $G, P$ )-Cartan geometry.
(1) A A-spiral for $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ at $x_{0} \in M$ is a complex smooth curve $\Sigma$ embedded in $M$, containing $x_{0}$ and such that $\Sigma \backslash D$ lifts to a $A$-distinguished curve in $E$.
(2) A holomorphic $A$-spiral is a $A$-spiral $\Sigma$ such that the lift $\tilde{\Sigma}$ as in 1 . extends to a curve $\tilde{\Sigma}$ projecting onto $\Sigma$.

Definition 3.15. A meromorphic $(G, P)$-Cartan geometry $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ on a pair $(M, D)$ satisfies the $\tau$-condition if the following is true. For any irreducible component $D_{\alpha}$ of $D$, there exists $x_{0} \in D_{\alpha}$ and a spiral $\Sigma$ for $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ with $\Sigma \cap D=\left\{x_{0}\right\}$

Recall that for any holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{T}_{A}$ on a complex manifold $E$ admits an analytic subset $\operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{T}_{A}\right)$ of codimension at least 2 s.t. for any $e_{0} \in E \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{T}_{A}\right)$, there is a neighborhood $U$ of $e_{0}$ and a nonvanishing holomorphic vector field $Z \in T E(U)$ with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathcal{T}_{A}\right|_{U}=\mathcal{O}_{U} Z \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, we say that $Z$ defines $\mathcal{T}_{A}$ over $U$, and the leaves of $\mathcal{T}_{A}$ in $U$ are exactly the orbits of the local flow for $Z$. From this remark, we can easily deduce the first part of the following:
Lemma 3.2. Let $E$ and $\mathcal{T}_{A}$ be as above. Let $\tilde{D}$ be any submanifold $E$.
(1) The following assertions are equivalent:
(i) $\tilde{D}$ is a union of leaves for $\mathcal{T}_{A}$.
(ii) Any local vector field $Z$ defining $\mathcal{T}_{A}$ is everywhere tangent to $\tilde{D}$.
(iii) For any local equation $z_{1}$ of $U \cap \tilde{D}$, and local vector field $Z$ defining $\mathcal{T}_{A}$ over $U$, the dimension :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{E, e_{0}} /\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{Z}\left(z_{1}\right), z_{1}\right\rangle_{e_{0}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is never finite for $e_{0} \in \tilde{D} \cap U$.
We say that a submanifold $\tilde{D} \subset E$ is invariant by $\mathcal{T}_{A}$.
(2) If $\tilde{D}$ is not invariant by $\mathcal{T}_{A}$, then there exists an Zariksi-dense subset $\tilde{W} \subset \tilde{D} \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{T}_{A}\right)$ with the following property: for any $e_{0} \in \tilde{W}$, there exists a leaf $\tilde{\Sigma}$ of $\mathcal{T}_{A}$ through $e_{0}$ satisfying $\tilde{\Sigma} \cap \tilde{D}=\left\{e_{0}\right\}$.
Proof. (1) The equivalence between (i) and (ii) is clear from the above remark. The number (21) is the order of tangency of $\mathcal{T}_{A}$ to $\tilde{D}$ at $e_{0}$ (see (6)).
(2) Let $z_{1}$ be a local equation for $\tilde{D}$, defined on an open subset $U \subset E$ where we can find a holomorphic vector field $Z$ defining $\mathcal{T}_{A}$. Then the dimension (21) is zero except for a finite number of points in $\tilde{D} \cap U$ (see [6]). Complete $z_{1}$ into local coordinates $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ on $U$, and decompose $Z=h \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}+Z^{\prime}$ where $h$ is a holomorphic function on $U$ and $Z^{\prime}$ is a holomorphic vector field on $U$ which belongs to the submodule spanned by $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n}}$. Since $\mathcal{L}_{Z^{\prime}}\left(z_{1}\right)=0$, the previous fact implies that $h$ is not a multiple of $z_{1}$. This means that $Z$ is generically transverse to $\tilde{D} \cap U$, and the leaves of $\left.\mathcal{T}_{A}\right|_{U}$ are so, completing the proof of 2 .

Definition 3.16. Let $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ be a meromorphic $(G, P)$-Cartan geometry on a pair $(M, D)$, and let $\left(\tilde{D}_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in I}$ be the irreducible components of the divisor $\tilde{D}=p^{-1}(D)$. We say that $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$
satisfies the strong $\tau$-condition if for any $\alpha \in I$, there exists $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}$ is not invariant by $\mathcal{T}_{A}$.

In what follows, we prove that in the case of a branched holomorphic Cartan geometry (Definition 3.6), the strong $\tau$-condition can be detected in terms of the geometric structures induced by this geometry:

Lemma 3.3. Let $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ be a branched holomorphic ( $G, P$ )-Cartan geometry on $(M, D)$. Let $A \in \mathfrak{g} \backslash \mathfrak{p}$. Then the foliation $\mathcal{T}_{A}$ is transverse to $\operatorname{ker}(d p)$. In particular, for any complementary subspace $\mathfrak{g}_{-}$of $\mathfrak{p}$, we obtain a holomorphic foliation of $E$ which is transverse to $\operatorname{ker}(d p)$.

Proof. Let $e_{0} \in E \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{T}_{A}\right)$, and $U$ be an open neighborhood of $e_{0}$ in $E \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(\mathcal{T}_{A}\right)$ equiped with coordinates $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}\right)$, with the property that $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n+1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{N}}$ are sections of $\operatorname{ker}(d p)$ (i.e vertical vector fields). Fix a basis $\left(\mathfrak{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{e}_{N}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ obtained by completing a basis $\left(\mathfrak{e}_{n+1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{e}_{N}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{p}$.

Since $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ is a branched holomorphic Cartan geometry, the matrix $Q=\left(q_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1, \ldots, N}$ of $\omega_{0}$ in the previous basis takes the form:

$$
Q=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & 0  \tag{22}\\
B & C
\end{array}\right)
$$

where:

- $A, B, C$ are holomorphic matrices on $U$.
- $C^{\prime}=C^{-1}$ is a holomorphic matrix $U$.

Hence, the matrix $Q^{-1}$ of the $\omega_{0}$-constant vector fields associated with $\left(\mathfrak{e}_{j}\right)_{j=1, \ldots, N}$ in $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, N}$ is:

$$
Q^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & 0  \tag{23}\\
B_{1} & C_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with:

$$
C B_{1}+B A_{1}=0
$$

Consider any irreducible component $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}$ of $\tilde{D}=p^{-1}(D)$, and any $j \in\{n+1, \ldots, N\}$. Since $C^{-1}$ is holomorphic on $U$, the above equation implies that for any vector in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ :

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{\tilde{D}_{\alpha} \cap U}\left(B_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1}  \tag{24}\\
\vdots \\
a_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right)_{j} \geq \min _{i=1, \ldots, n} \operatorname{ord}_{\tilde{D}_{\alpha} \cap U}\left(A_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \\
\vdots \\
a_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right)_{i}
$$

where the subscript stands for the $i$-th component.
We now interpret the inequality (24) geometrically. Let $Z$ be a holomorphic vector field defining $\mathcal{T}_{A}$ on $U$. Define $\left(\begin{array}{c}a_{1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{N}\end{array}\right)$ to be the coordinates of $A$ in the basis $\left(\mathfrak{e}_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, N}$. Thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=h \tilde{A} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $h$ a meromorphic function on $U$ satisfying:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ord}_{\tilde{D}_{\alpha} \cap U}(h) & =\quad-\min _{j=1, \ldots, N} \operatorname{ord}_{\tilde{D}_{\alpha} \cap U}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} q_{j i}^{-1} a_{i}\right) \\
& =-\min \left(\min _{i^{\prime}=1, \ldots, n} \operatorname{ord}_{\tilde{D}_{\alpha} \cap U}\left(A_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \\
\vdots \\
a_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right)_{\left.i^{\prime}, \min _{j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, n} \operatorname{ord}_{\tilde{D}_{\alpha} \cap U}\left(B_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \\
\vdots \\
a_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right)_{j^{\prime}}\right)}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (24), we obtain that $\operatorname{ord}_{\tilde{D}_{\alpha} \cap U}(h)=-\min _{i^{\prime}=1, \ldots, n} \operatorname{ord}_{\tilde{D}_{\alpha} \cap U}\left(A_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}a_{1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{n}\end{array}\right)\right)_{i^{\prime}}$.
Decompose $Z=Z^{\prime}+Z^{\prime \prime}$ with $Z^{\prime}$ in the subsheaf of holomorphic vector fields spanned by $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, n}$ and $Z^{\prime \prime}$ in the subsheaf $k e r(d p)$. Then the coordinates of $Z^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.Z^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, n}$ (resp. $\left.\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\right)_{i=n+1, \ldots, N}\right)$ are

$$
h A_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \\
\vdots \\
a_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

respectively:

$$
h B_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \\
\vdots \\
a_{n}
\end{array}\right)+h C_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{n+1} \\
\vdots \\
a_{N}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Using the above remark, and (24) again, we conclude that $Z^{\prime}$ has order zero along $\tilde{D}_{\alpha} \cap U$ since $a_{i_{0}} \neq 0$ for some $i_{0} \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, while $Z^{\prime \prime}$ is holomorphic. Since $Z^{\prime}$ never vanishes on the regular part of $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ (up to restriction of $U$ ), we conclude that $Z$ is nowhere tangent to $k e r(d p)$, concluding the proof.

Proposition 3.0.3. Let $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ be a branched holomorphic ( $G, P$ )-Cartan geometry on ( $M, D$ ). Suppose that no irreducible component $D_{\alpha}$ of $D$ is invariant by the spirals of $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$. Then $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ satisfies the strong $\tau$-condition.

Proof. Pick an irreducible component $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}$ of $\tilde{D}=p^{-1}(D)$. We have to prove that there exists $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ and a $A$-distinguished curve $\tilde{\Sigma}$ transverse to $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}$. But by the hypothesis, there exists a spiral $\Sigma$ of $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ which is transverse to $D_{\alpha}=p\left(\tilde{D}_{\alpha}\right)$. Thus, there exists $A \in \mathfrak{g} \backslash \mathfrak{p}$ and a $A$-distinguished curve $\tilde{\Sigma}$ with $p(\tilde{\Sigma})=\Sigma \backslash D_{\alpha}$.

Remark that $\mathcal{T}_{A}$ is the kernel of an unique holomorphic $P$-principal connection on the restricted bundle $\left.E\right|_{\Sigma \backslash D_{\alpha}}$, and $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is a horizontal section of this connection. By Lemma 3.3, this holomorphic principal connection extends as a holomorphic principal connection on $\left.E\right|_{\Sigma}$. Hence, $\tilde{\Sigma}$ extends as a horizontal section of $\left.E\right|_{\Sigma}$, i.e $p(\tilde{\Sigma})=\Sigma$. Up to restriction of $\Sigma$, we can assume that $\Sigma \cap D_{\alpha}=\left\{x_{0}\right\}$. Thus $\tilde{\Sigma}$ intersects $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}$ in some point in the fiber of $x_{0}$, concluding the proof.

## 4. Infinitesimal automorphisms of meromorphic parabolic geometries

A classical result in Riemannian geometry states that any Killing vector field $X$ for a Riemannian metric $g$ is a Jacobi field: for any geodesic $\gamma$, its scalar product $\left.g\left(X(\gamma(t)), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)$ with the velocity of $\gamma$ is constant. There is a natural generalization of Riemannian metrics to the holomorphic category, and the corresponding objects are equivalent to torsionfree holomorphic
affine connections preserving a holomorphic reduction to the orthogonal group. The holomorphic version of the previous result can be seen as a result on some torsionfree holomorphic affine Cartan geometries (see Corollary 5.1). In this section, we will see a general result for meromorphic Cartan geometries. In particular, this will imply that the local system of infinitesimal automorphisms for any totally geodesic regular meromorphic parabolic geometry extends as a local system on the whole base manifold.
4.1. Regular meromorphic parabolic geometries. A complex parabolic Klein geometry is a complex Klein geometry $(G, P)$ where $G$ is a complex semi-simple Lie group, and $P$ a parabolic subgroup. A meromorphic parabolic geometry is a meromorphic $(G, P)$-Cartan geometry for some complex parabolic Klein geometry. We refer the reader to [9] for a detailed introduction.

With the subgroup $P$ is associated a grading $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\operatorname{Lie}(G)$, meaning $\left[\mathfrak{g}_{i_{1}}, \mathfrak{g}_{i_{2}}\right]_{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{i+j}$ for any indices $i_{1}, i_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. We call it the parabolic filtration associated with $P$. It induces a grading of any representation of $G$, in particular $\mathbb{W}=\left(\bigwedge_{\bigwedge}^{2} \mathfrak{g}_{-}^{*}\right) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is graded by homogeneous degrees $\mathbb{W}_{l}$, and we denote by $\pi_{l}$ the corresponding projections.

The parabolic degree of $(G, P)$ is the smallest positive integer $k \geq 1$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_{i}=\{0\}$ for any $|i|>k$. The subspaces $\mathfrak{p}=\operatorname{Lie}(P)$ and the subspace

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g}_{-}=\bigoplus_{i=-k}^{-1} \mathfrak{g}_{i} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

are clearly subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$. For any $i \in\{-k, \ldots, k\}$, we will denote $\mathfrak{g}^{i}=\underset{i^{\prime} \geq i}{\bigoplus} \mathfrak{g}_{i^{\prime}}$, inducing a filtration $\left(\mathfrak{g}^{i}\right)_{i=-k, \ldots, k}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$.

By a result of C. Chevalley, we can always pick a basis $\left(\mathfrak{e}_{j}^{i}\right)_{\substack{i=-k, \ldots, k \\ j=1, \ldots, n_{i}}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, such that $\left(\mathfrak{e}_{j}^{i}\right)_{j=1, \ldots, n_{i}}$ is a basis for $\mathfrak{g}_{i}$ for any $i \in\{-k, \ldots, k\}$, and $\left[\mathfrak{e}_{j_{1}}^{i_{1}}, \mathfrak{e}_{j_{2}}^{i_{2}}\right]_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a vector in $\mathbb{Z} \mathfrak{e}_{j}^{i_{1}+i_{2}}$ for some $j \in$ $\left\{1, \ldots, n_{i_{1}+i_{2}}\right\}$. We will refer to it as a graded basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ for $(G, P)$.

The homogeneous space $G / P$ associated with a complex parabolic Klein geometry $(G, P)$ bears the following holomorphic geometric structure. Its tangent bundle is filtered by subbundles $\left(T^{-i} G / P\right)_{i=1, \ldots, k}$ where $T^{-i} G / P$ is the projection of $\omega_{G}^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{i}\right)$ through the tangent map $T p_{G / P}$ of the projection $p_{G / P}: G \longrightarrow G / P$. The Lie bracket of holomorphic vector fields on $G / P$ induces a Lie bracket of holomorphic vector bundle on the corresponding graded bundle $\operatorname{gr}(T G / P)$. The Lie algebra bundle thus obtained is locally isomorphic to $\left(U \times \mathfrak{g}_{-},[,]_{\mathfrak{g}_{-}}\right)$.

The regular meromorphic parabolic geometries are the infinitesimal versions of this model. More precisely, these are meromorphic $(G, P)$-Cartan geometries $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ on $(M, D)$ for which the homogeneous component $\pi_{l}\left(k_{\omega_{0}}\right)$ of degree $l$ of the Cartan curvature vanishes identically whenever $l \leq 0$ (see above). This amounts to the following property. Let $T^{-i} M[\star D]$ be the image of $\omega_{0}^{-\overline{1}}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{-i}\right)[\star \tilde{D}]$ through $T p$. This gives a filtration of $T M[\star \tilde{D}]$, and $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ is regular if and only if the Lie bracket of vector fields on $M$ induces a structure of Lie algebras bundle on the graded $\operatorname{gr}(T M \backslash D)$, locally isomorphic to $\left(U \times \mathfrak{g}_{-},[,]_{\mathfrak{g}_{-}}\right)$.
4.2. Bott connections and infinitesimal automorphisms of Cartan geometries. Now, we come back to a general complex Klein geometry $(G, P)$. Let $(M, D)$ be a complex pair of dimension $n \geq 1$, and $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ be a meromorphic $(G, P)$-Cartan geometry on it. Fix $A \in \mathfrak{g} \backslash\{0\}$ and consider the holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{T}_{A}$ from (19). To any such holomorphic foliation is associated a $\mathcal{T}_{A}$-partial holomorphic connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{T}_{A}}$ on $T E / \mathcal{T}_{A}$, the Bott-connection of $\mathcal{T}_{A}$, defined as follow. Let $X$ be a holomorphic vector field on $U \subset E,[X]$ its class in $T E / \mathcal{T}_{A}(U)$, and $Z \in \mathcal{T}_{A}(U)$. Then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z\lrcorner \nabla^{\mathcal{T}_{A}}([X])=\left[[Z, X]_{T E}\right] \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and $V \subset U$ such that the flow $\phi=\phi_{Z}^{t}$ is well defined on $V$. Then clearly $d \phi\left(\mathcal{T}_{A}\right) \subset$ $\phi^{*} \mathcal{T}_{A}$, so $\phi$ induces a morphism $[d \phi]$ of $\mathcal{O}_{V}$-modules defined by the commutative diagram:


By the formula (27), the horizontal sections for $\nabla^{\mathcal{T}_{A}}$ are the $[X]$ which are invariant by the isomorphisms of holomorphic vector bundle ( $\phi,[d \phi]$ ) defined as before.

It will be more convenient to work with the images of meromorphic vector fields on $E$ through the isomorphism $\Phi_{\omega_{0}}$ between $T E[\star \tilde{D}]$ and $\mathcal{V}[\star \tilde{D}]$, where $\mathcal{V}=\mathcal{O}_{E} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$. We will write:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}=\Phi_{\omega_{0}}\left(\mathfrak{k i l l}_{E, \omega_{0}}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the corresponding local system on $E \backslash \tilde{D}$. Clearly, the image of $\mathcal{T}_{A}[\star \tilde{D}]$ is $\mathcal{V}_{A}=\mathcal{O}_{E}[\star \tilde{D}] A$. The class of a section $s$ of $\mathcal{V}[\star \tilde{D}](U)$ (where $U \subset E$ is an open subset) in $\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{A}[\star \tilde{D}]$ will be denoted by $[s]_{\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{A}}$. Since $\Phi_{\omega_{0}}$ induces an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{E}$-modules between $T E / \mathcal{T}_{A}[\star \tilde{D}]$ and $\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{A}[\star \tilde{D}]$ , for any $Z \in \mathcal{T}_{A}(U)$, the morphism $[d \phi]$ defined by (28)) corresponds to an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{d \phi}: \mathcal{V} /\left.\mathcal{V}_{A}[\star \tilde{D}]\right|_{V} \longrightarrow \phi^{*} \mathcal{V} /\left.\mathcal{V}_{A}[\star \tilde{D}]\right|_{\phi(V)} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus an isomorphism $(\phi, \overline{d \phi})$ of meromorphic bundles.
The isomorphism of meromorphic bundles $\Phi_{\omega_{0}}$ (see above) maps $\mathcal{T}_{A}[\star \tilde{D}]$ to $\mathcal{V}_{A}[\star \tilde{D}]$, and we denote by $\bar{\Phi}_{\omega_{0}}: T E / \mathcal{T}_{A}[\star \tilde{D}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{A}[\star \tilde{D}]$ the isomorphism induced by $\Phi_{\omega_{0}}$. Then:
Lemma 4.1. Let $s$ be a section of $\mathcal{K}$ on an open subset $U \subset E \backslash \tilde{D}$. Then its class $[s]_{\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{A}}$ is invariant by any isomorphism of meromorphic bundles $(\phi, \overline{d \phi})$ constructed as above.

Proof. Let $X$ be any holomorphic vector field on $U \subset E$, and $[X]$ its class in $T E / \mathcal{T}_{A}$. By definition, for any $Z_{A}=h \tilde{A}$ (where $h$ is a meromorphic function on $U$ and $\tilde{A}=\omega_{0}^{-1}(A)$ ) we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =[\tilde{A}, X]_{T E} \\
& =\frac{1}{h}\left[Z_{A}, X\right]_{T E} \quad \bmod \quad \mathcal{T}_{A}[\star \tilde{D}](U) \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

In other words, the classes of $d \phi(X)$ and $\phi^{*} X$ in $T E / \mathcal{T}_{A}[\star \tilde{D}]$, well defined on $U \cap \phi(U)$, coïncides i.e $s$ is invariant by $(\phi, \overline{d \phi})$.

Now, we suppose $M$ to be simply connected. We wish to prove the extension property for ( $E, \omega_{0}$ ) (Definition 3.13). We will use the following general fact on meromorphic Cartan geometries:
Theorem 4.1. Let $(G, P)$ be a complex Klein geometry, and $(M, D)$ be a pair with $\operatorname{dim}(M)=$ $\operatorname{dim}(G / P)$. Let $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ be a meromorphic $(G, P)$-Cartan geometry on $(M, D)$. Let $x_{0} \in D$ belonging to the smooth part of an unique irreducible component $D_{\alpha}$, and $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}=p^{-1}\left(D_{\alpha}\right)$. Suppose that there exists $A \in \mathfrak{g} \backslash \mathfrak{p}$ and a A-distinguished curve $\tilde{\Sigma}$ for $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ such that $\tilde{\Sigma} \cap \tilde{D}_{\alpha}=\left\{e_{0}\right\}$ for some point $e_{0}$ in the fiber of $x_{0}$. Then there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $x_{0}$ with the following properties:
(1) Let $s$ be a section of $\mathcal{K}$ on $V \subset p^{-1}(U \backslash D)$. Then the class $[s]_{\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{A}}$ of $s$ in $T E / \mathcal{T}_{A}$ extends as a (univaluated) section of $T E / \mathcal{T}_{A}$ on $p^{-1}(U \backslash D)$.
(2) The section of $\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{A}$ obtained as above is the restriction of a section of $\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{A}[\star D]$ over $p^{-1}(U)$.
(3) Suppose moreover that $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ is holomorphic branched on $(M, D)$. Then the above section lies in $\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{A}\left(p^{-1}(U)\right)$.

Proof. (1) It is a classical result that the image $\mathcal{K}_{A}=\pi_{\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{A}}(\mathcal{K})$ of the local system $\mathcal{K}$ on $E \backslash \tilde{D}$ is a local system on $E \backslash \tilde{D}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{K}$ is $P$-equivariant, and the same remains valid for $\mathcal{K}_{A}$. We thus have to prove that $\mathcal{K}_{A}$ is a constant sheaf on an open subset $\tilde{U} \backslash \tilde{D}$ where $\tilde{U} \subset E$ containing some $e_{0} \in p^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)$. It suffices to prove that there exists a simply connected $\tilde{U}$, with $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{D}$ simply connected, and $e_{0}^{\prime} \in \tilde{U} \cap \tilde{D}$ such that $\mathcal{K}_{A}$ is a constant sheaf in a neighborhood of $e_{0}^{\prime}$.

The hypothesis says that $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}$ is not invariant by $\mathcal{T}_{A}$. By Lemma 3.2 there exists an open neighborhood $\tilde{U}_{0}$ of some point $e_{0} \in p^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ such that a generic leaf of $\left.\mathcal{T}_{A}\right|_{U_{0}}$ intersects $\tilde{D} \cap U_{0}$ in exactly one point. Pick $e_{0}^{\prime}$ such that there exists a leaf $\tilde{\Sigma}$ of $\mathcal{T}_{A}$ with $\tilde{\Sigma} \cap \tilde{D}=\left\{e_{0}^{\prime}\right\}$. Pick $e \in \tilde{\Sigma} \backslash \tilde{D}$, and a simply connected open neighborhood $V$ of $e$ equipped with a basis $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right)$ of $\mathcal{K}$.

By the Lemma 4.1, the family $\overline{d \phi}\left(\left[s_{1}\right]_{\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{A}}\right), \ldots, \overline{d \phi}\left(\left[s_{r}\right]_{\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{A}}\right)$, where $\overline{d \phi}$ is the morphism (30), is a basis of $\phi^{*} \mathcal{K}_{A}(\phi(V) \backslash \tilde{D})$. Then $\mathcal{K}_{A}$ is a constant sheaf when restricted to $\tilde{U} \backslash \tilde{D}$, where $\tilde{U}=\phi(V)$ is a neighborhood of $e_{0}^{\prime}$. By the above remarks, this concludes the proof.
(2) Since(30) is an isomorphism of meromorphic bundles, we have proved in 1. that the local system $\mathcal{K}_{A}=\pi_{\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{A}}(\mathcal{K})$ extends as a constant sheaf, included in $\mathcal{V} /\left.\mathcal{V}_{A}[\star \tilde{D}]\right|_{p^{-1}(U)}$ since $(\phi, \overline{d \phi})$ is an automorphism of meromorphic bundles for $\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{A}$.
(3) The meromorphic Cartan geometry $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ is holomorphic branched on $(M, D)$ if and only if $\Phi_{\omega_{0}}(T E) \subset \mathcal{V}$. Suppose this is the case. Since the automorphism of meromorphic bundles $(\phi,[d \phi])$ of $T E / \mathcal{T}_{A}$ defined before (28) is an automorphism of holomorphic vector bundles. Since $\Phi_{\omega_{0}}(T E)$ and $\mathcal{V}$ coïncides when restricted to $p^{-1}(U \backslash D)$, we obtain that the image of $\mathcal{V} /\left.\mathcal{V}_{A}\right|_{p^{-1}(U \backslash D)}$ through the $\overline{d \phi}$ lies in $\left.\mathcal{V} /\left.\mathcal{V}_{A}\right|_{\phi\left(p^{-1}(U \backslash D)\right.}\right)$, where $\phi\left(p^{-1}(U \backslash D)\right)$ is a neighborhood of $e_{0}^{\prime}$ by construction. This proves the assertion.
4.3. Affine and degree one parabolic models. We now apply Theorem 4.1 to prove the extension property for infinitesimal automorphisms of some holomorphic branched ( $G, P$ )-Cartan geometries which satisfies the $\tau$-condition (Definition 3.15). More precisely, we let $(G, P)$ be:

- A complex parabolic Klein geometry of dimension $n \geq 2$ and degree $k=1$ (seesubsection 4.1), with $G$ a complex simply connected simple Lie group,
- Or the complex affine Klein geometry of dimension $n \geq 2$.

For the first model, we denote by $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{1}$ the parabolic filtration associated with $P$ (see subsection 4.11. For the second one, $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ will stand for the abelian subalgebra of infinitesimal generators for the translations in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.

These two kind of models are of special interest because the Levi subgroup $G_{0}=\exp _{G}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0}\right) \subset P$ acts transitively on the lines in $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$. This is clear for the second one, since the corresponding action is the standard representation of $G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$. For the first one, remark that $P=G_{0} \ltimes$ $\exp _{G}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1}\right)$, and $\left[\mathfrak{g}_{1}, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\right]_{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{0}$. Hence, $\left[\mathfrak{g}_{0}, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\right]_{\mathfrak{g}}$ must be $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$. This implies that the action of $G_{0}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ is open.

By the above remarks, for any $A \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \backslash\{0\}$, there exists a basis $\left(\mathfrak{e}_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, n}$ of the abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}=\operatorname{Lie}(G)$, and $n$ elements $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n} \in P$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a d\left(b_{i}^{-1}\right)[A] \in \mathbb{C}_{e_{i}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ be a holomorphic branched ( $G, P$ )-Cartan geometry on $(M, D)$, and let $x_{0} \in D$ belonging to the smooth part of an unique irreducible component $D_{\alpha}$. Suppose there exists a spiral $\bar{\Sigma}$ for $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ with $\bar{\Sigma} \cap D=\left\{x_{0}\right\}$. Then, for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists a holomorphic $\mathfrak{e}_{i}$-spiral $\bar{\Sigma}_{i}$ for $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ with $\bar{\Sigma}_{i} \cap D=\left\{x_{0}\right\}$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.0.3, $\bar{\Sigma}$ is a $A$-holomorphic geodesic for $A \in \mathfrak{g} \backslash \mathfrak{p}$. Thus, there exists $e_{0} \in p^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and a $A$-distinguished smooth complex curve $\tilde{\Sigma}$ with $p(\tilde{\Sigma})=\bar{\Sigma}$ and $\tilde{\Sigma} \cap \tilde{D}_{\alpha}=\left\{e_{0}\right\}$. Let $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n} \in P$ as in (32). By equivariance of $\omega_{0}$, for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, the $b_{i}$-translated $\tilde{\Sigma}_{i}$ of $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is a $\mathfrak{e}_{i}$-distinguished smooth complex curve, with $\tilde{\Sigma}_{i} \cap \tilde{D}_{\alpha}=\left\{e_{0} \cdot \bar{b}_{i}\right\}$. This means that for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, \tilde{D}_{\alpha}$ is not invariant by $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{e}_{i}}$. By Lemma 3.2, this implies that for any such $i$, there exists a Zariski open-dense subset $W_{i}$ of $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}$ such that, for any $e_{0}^{\prime} \in W_{i}$, there exists a leaf $\tilde{\Sigma}_{i}$ of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{c}_{i}}$ transverse to $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}$ at $e_{0}^{\prime}$.

Consider the open subset $V_{x_{0}}$ of the fiber of $x_{0}$ in $E$ defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{V}_{x_{0}}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} W_{i} \cap p^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since each $W_{i}$ contains a point in the fiber of $x_{0}, \tilde{V}_{x_{0}}$ is a non-empty Zariski-dense open subset of this fiber. Moreover by construction, for any $e_{0}^{\prime} \in \tilde{V}_{x_{0}}$, and any $1 \leq i \leq n$ there is a $\mathfrak{e}_{i^{-}}$ distinguished smooth curve $\tilde{\Sigma}_{i}$ with $\tilde{\Sigma}_{i} \cap \tilde{D}=\left\{e_{0}^{\prime}\right\}$. The corresponding projections $\Sigma_{i}$ throuhg $p$ are holomorphic $\mathfrak{e}_{i}$-spirals for $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$, and the proof is thus achieved.

Corollary 4.1. Let $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ be a holomorphic branched $(G, P)$-Cartan geometry on $(M, D)$, with $M$ simply connected. Suppose it satisfies the $\tau$-condition Definition 3.15). Then:
(1) $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ satisfies the extension property for the infinitesimal automorphisms.
(2) Any section s of $\operatorname{ker}\left(\nabla_{\omega_{0}}^{\kappa}\right)(U)$ (where $\nabla_{\omega_{0}}^{\kappa}$ is the Killing connection, see Definition 3.12, and $U \subset E$ is an open subset of $M)$ is a section of $\mathcal{V}(U)$.

Proof. (1) Since the complement of a codimension 2 subset of $M$ has the same fundamental group as $M$, and in virtue of the equivalence between local systems and representations of the fundamental group, it suffices to find a codimension 1 subset $W$ of $D$, pick a point $x_{0}$ on an unique irreducible component $D_{\alpha}$ of $D \cap W$, and show the existence of a neighborhood $U$ of $x_{0}$ in $M$ such that the restriction of $\operatorname{ker}\left(\nabla_{\omega_{0}}^{\kappa}\right)$ to $p^{-1}(U \backslash D)$ extends as a local system on $p^{-1}(U)$, included in $\left.T E[\star D]\right|_{U}$.

Pick any irreducible component $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}$ of $\tilde{D}$. By Lemma 3.2, there exists $e_{0} \in \tilde{D}_{\alpha}$ and a leaf $\tilde{\Sigma}$ of $\mathcal{T}_{A}$ with $\tilde{\Sigma} \cap \tilde{D}_{\alpha}=\left\{e_{0}\right\}$. Thus, there exists a $A$-spiral $\bar{\Sigma}$ of $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ with $\Sigma \cap D_{\alpha}=\left\{x_{0}\right\}$. By Proposition 3.0.3, we can moreover suppose that $\Sigma$ is a holomorphic $A$-spiral.

We now apply Lemma 4.2 to obtain, for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, a holomorphic $\mathfrak{e}_{i}$-spiral $\Sigma_{i}$ with $\Sigma_{i} \cap D=\left\{x_{0}\right\}$. More precisely, the proof of the lemma implies the existence, for $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ fixed, of $e_{0} \in p^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ such that the $\mathfrak{e}_{i}$-distinguished curve $\tilde{\Sigma}_{i}$ projecting onto $\bar{\Sigma}_{i}$ satisfies $\tilde{\Sigma}_{i} \cap \tilde{D}=\left\{e_{0}\right\}$. Using the Theorem 4.1 for each geodesic, we obtain neighborhoods $U_{i}$ of $x_{0}$ such that the restriction of the local system $\pi_{\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{c}_{i}}}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\nabla_{\omega_{0}}^{\kappa}\right)\right)$ to $p^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ is a constant sheaf.

Let $U=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} U_{i}$. Since $\mathfrak{e}_{1}, \mathfrak{e}_{2}$ are independent vectors of $\mathfrak{g}$, the morphism of $\mathcal{O}_{E}$-modules:

$$
\pi_{\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{c}_{1}}} \oplus \pi_{\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{c}_{2}}}: \mathcal{V}[\star \tilde{D}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{c}_{1}}[\star \tilde{D}] \oplus \mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{c}_{2}}[\star \tilde{D}]
$$

is an isomorphism onto its image. Thus, it restricts to $\operatorname{ker}\left(\nabla_{\omega_{0}}^{\kappa}\right)$ as an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$ sheaves onto its image, a subsheaf of the local system $\pi_{\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{L}_{c_{1}}}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\nabla_{\omega_{0}}^{\kappa}\right)\right) \oplus \pi_{\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{c}_{2}}}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\nabla_{\omega_{0}}^{\kappa}\right)\right)$.

By the above remark, this local system is a constant sheaf when restricted to $p^{-1}(U)$. Thus, the same is true for $\operatorname{ker}\left(\nabla_{\omega_{0}}^{\kappa}\right)$, i.e $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ satisfies the extension property for the infinitesimal automorphisms.
(2) Since $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ is a branched holomorphic Cartan geometry, we can apply the point 3 . of Theorem 4.1 to $A=\mathfrak{e}_{1}$ and $A=\mathfrak{e}_{2}$. We obtain that the image of $\operatorname{ker}\left(\nabla_{\omega_{0}}^{\kappa}\right)$ through $\pi_{\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{v}_{c_{1}}}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{c_{2}}}$ respectively extends as subsheaves of $\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{c}_{1}}$ and $\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{c}_{2}}$ on $E$. Since the morphism (34) clearly restricts to a morphism between $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{e}_{1}} \oplus \mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{c}_{2}}$, this proves the assertion.

Remark 4.1.1. The conclusion of point 1. in Corollary 4.1 remains valid if we consider a meromorphic $(G, P)$-Cartan geometry $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ on ( $M, D$ ), but replacing the $\tau$-condition by the strong $\tau$-condition (Definition 3.16). The conclusion of point 2. remains true if $\mathcal{V}$ is replaced by $\mathcal{V}[\star D]$.
4.4. Parabolic geometries of higher degree. Now, we let $(G, P)$ be a complex parabolic Klein geometry of degree $k>1$, and denote by $\mathfrak{g}_{-k} \oplus \ldots \mathfrak{g}_{0} \oplus \ldots \mathfrak{g}_{k}$ the parabolic filtration. We refer the reader to $[9$ for the definitions and a complete introduction on this subject.

The group $P$ no longer acts transitively on $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{p})$. Instead, we use a result of [8] which implies the following:

Lemma 4.3. Let $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ be a regular meromorphic $(G, P)$-Cartan geometry on a pair $(M, D)$. Then there exists a morphism of $\mathbb{C}$-sheaves:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}: \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{g}_{-k}}[\star \tilde{D}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}[\star \tilde{D}] \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the following properties:
(i) Let $\pi_{-k}: \mathcal{V}[\star \tilde{D}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{g}_{-k}}[\star \tilde{D}]$ be the projection on $\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{g}_{-k}}$ with respect to $\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{g}^{-k+1}}[\star \tilde{D}]$. Then $\pi_{-k} \circ \mathcal{L}=I d \nu_{\mathfrak{g}_{-k}}$.
(ii) The restriction of $\mathcal{L} \circ \pi_{-k}$ to $\operatorname{ker}\left(\nabla_{\omega_{0}}^{\kappa}\right)$ is the identity on $\operatorname{ker}\left(\nabla_{\omega_{0}}^{\kappa}\right)$.

Proof. The Theorem 4 in [8] is exactly the non-singular version of this lemma, i.e when $D$ is empty. Its proof uses only differential operators constructed with the de Rham differential on trivial modules, and morphisms of modules obtained by tensorizing linear map of complex vector spaces with the identity on holomorphic functions. Thus, it straightforwardly extends to the meromorphic category since such operators preserves the sheaves of meromorphic sections.

Corollary 4.2. Let $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ be a regular holomorphic branched $(G, P)$-Cartan geometry on a pair $(M, D)$. Suppose that for any irreducible component $D_{\alpha}$ of $D$, there exists $A \in \mathfrak{g}_{-} \backslash \mathfrak{g}_{-k}$ and a $A$-spiral $\Sigma$ of $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ with $\Sigma \cap D_{\alpha}=\left\{x_{0}\right\}$. Then $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ satisfies the extension property for the infinitesimal automorphisms.

Proof. By Proposition 3.0.3, we can suppose that the curves $\Sigma$ in the statement are holomorphic spirals, i.e. admit lifts to $A$-distinguished curves $\tilde{\Sigma}$ with $\tilde{\Sigma} \cap \tilde{D}=\left\{e_{0}\right\}$, for some $e_{0} \in p^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $A \in \mathfrak{g}_{-} \backslash \mathfrak{g}_{-k}$.

Pick an irreducible component $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}$, let $e_{0}$ be as above and apply the Theorem 4.1. Since $k>1$, $\mathbb{C} A$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-k}$ are independent subspaces in $\mathfrak{g}$. Thus, the projection $\pi_{-k}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\nabla_{\omega_{0}}^{\kappa}\right)\right)$ extends as a constant $\mathbb{C}$-subsheaf of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{g}_{-k}}[\star \tilde{D}]$ on a neighborhood $U$ of $e_{0}$. The image of a constant sheaf by a morphism of $\mathbb{C}$-sheaves is a constant sheaf, so by Lemma 4.3, $\operatorname{ker}\left(\nabla_{\omega_{0}}^{\kappa}\right)$ extends as a constant $\mathbb{C}$-subsheaf of $\mathcal{V}[\star \tilde{D}]$ on $U$. The proof is then achieved.
Remark 4.1.2. Corollary 4.2 admits a meromorphic version as in the degree one case, see Remarque 4.1.1.

## 5. APPLICATION TO THE CLASSIFICATION OF MEROMORPHIC AFFINE CONNECTIONS

5.1. Equivalence between meromorphic principal connections and meromorphic connections. We now prove the equivalence between meromorphic connections on a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{M}$-module $\mathcal{E}$ and meromorphic principal connections on its frame bundle $E$. It straightforwardly restricts as an equivalence between meromorphic connections preserving a holomorphic reduction $E \subset E$ to a subgroup $P \subset G L_{r}(\mathbb{C})$, and meromorphic $P$-principal connections on $E$. In the regular setting, this was first proved by C. Ehresmann ([16]) using the formalism of horizontal lifts for paths, and reformulated in an equivariant way by M. Atiyah (1]). We adopt the point of view of M. Atiyah in order to extend the result to the meromorphic category.

The starting point is that for $P=G L_{r}(\mathbb{C})$, there is a canonical isomorphism ( 1$]$, Proposition 9):

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\mathfrak{p})=\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{E}) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is a bijection between the set of meromorphic connections $\nabla$ on $\mathcal{E}$ and the one of $\mathcal{O}_{M}$-linear splittings $\delta: \mathcal{E}[\star D] \longrightarrow J^{1}(\mathcal{E})[\star D]$ of the exact sequence of $\mathbb{C}$-sheaves:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{M}^{1}[\star D] \otimes \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow J^{1}(\mathcal{E})[\star D] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}[\star D] \longrightarrow 0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J^{1}(\mathcal{E})$ is the jet-module of $\mathcal{E}$ (see [1). Let $\sigma: U \longrightarrow E$ be a holomorphic section of the holomorphic frame bundle. This corresponds to a basis $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right)$ of $\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{U}$, and we denote in the following lines by $d$ the pullback of the de Rham differential through the corresponding isomorphism $\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{U} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{U}^{\oplus r}$. The former equivalence is given by $\nabla=d-\delta$. Indeed, this clearly defines a meromorphic connection, and if $\nabla$ is a meromorphic connection on $\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{U}$, then $\delta_{1}=$ $d-\nabla$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_{U}$-modules from $\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{U}[\star D]$ to $\left.\Omega_{U}^{1}[\star D] \otimes \mathcal{E}\right|_{U}$, and we obtain a splitting $\delta=\left(I d_{\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{U}}, \delta_{1}\right)$ of (377).
Definition 5.1. A meromorphic principal connection on a holomorphic $G L_{r}(\mathbb{C})$-principal bundle $E \xrightarrow{p} M$ with poles at $\tilde{D}=p^{-1}(D)$ (shortly on $(E, \tilde{D})$ ) is a meromorphic one form $\tilde{\omega}$ on $E$ with values in $\mathfrak{p}$, which is $P$-equivariant and such that $\tilde{\omega}$ coincides with the Maurer-Cartan form of $P$ when restricted to any fiber $p^{-1}(x) \subset E$.

Using the correspondance for equivariant one forms as in subsection 2.2, a meromorphic $P$ principal connection on $(E, \tilde{D})$ is equivalent to a morphism $\beta: \operatorname{At}(E)[\star D] \longrightarrow E(\mathfrak{p})[\star D]$ such that $\iota \circ \beta=I d_{A t(E)}$, where $\iota$ is defined in (11). Its kernel defines a splitting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau: T M[\star D] \longrightarrow A t(E)[\star D] \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

of (11), which uniquely determines $\beta$. The following lemma straightforwardly follows from the equivalence described before between equivariant morphisms of modules over principal bundles and morphisms between the corresponding modules over the base manifolds:
Lemma 5.1. Let $(M, D)$ and $\left(M^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$ be two pairs of same dimension. Let $\tilde{\Psi}: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}$ be an isomorphism of holomorphic P-principal bundles over $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ covering a morphism of pairs $\varphi: M \longrightarrow M^{\prime}$ (i.e $\varphi(D)=D^{\prime}$ ). Let $\tilde{\omega}_{2}$ be a meromorphic principal connection on ( $E, \tilde{D}_{1}$ ) where $\tilde{D}_{1}$ is the preimage of $D$ (resp. $\tilde{\omega}_{1}=\tilde{\Psi}^{\star} \tilde{\omega}_{1}$ ), and $\tau_{1}$ (resp. $\tau_{2}$ ) be the splitting as in (38). Then the diagram below is commutative:

where $p_{1}$ is the footmap of $E$.

Denote by $\tilde{d}$ the usual de Rham differential on $\mathcal{O}_{E}[\tilde{D}] \otimes \mathbb{V}$. Since the $P$-linearization $\left(\phi_{b}^{\mathbb{V}}\right)_{b \in P}$ preserves the subsheaf of constant functions with values in $\mathbb{V}$ on $E$, the pushforward $p_{*} \tilde{d}$ restricts to $p_{*} \tilde{d}: \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow p_{*} \Omega_{E}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{E}$. This defines a meromorphic connection $\nabla$ on $\mathcal{E}$ by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla=\tau\lrcorner p_{*} \tilde{d} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{d}$ is defined above and $\lrcorner$ stands for the contraction by a vector field.
Proposition 5.0.1. Mapping a meromorphic principal connection $(E, \tilde{\omega})$ over $(M, D)$ to the meromorphic connection $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ on $(M, D)$ defined by (40) induces an equivalence of categories between:

- The category of principal meromorphic (resp. holomorphic) connections over pairs, where the arrows are the $\mathbb{C}^{r}$-meromorphic isomorphisms (see Definition 2.4) of principal bundles between pairs preserving the principal connections (resp. isomorphisms of holomorphic principal bundles preserving the principal connections)
- The category of meromorphic (resp. holomorphic) connections on ( $M, D$ ) with isomorphisms of meromorphic bundle (resp. holomorphic vector bundles, see Definition 2.2) preserving connections (in the sense of (??)).

Proof. Let's first prove that this map induces a functor. Let $\tilde{\Psi}: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}$ be an isomorphism of meromorphic principal connections between $\left(E, \tilde{\omega}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(E^{\prime}, \tilde{\omega}_{1}\right)$ over $(M, D)$ and $\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}, \nabla_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{E}_{2}, \nabla_{2}\right)$ obtained as in (40). Let $(\varphi, \Phi)$ be the associated isomorphism of vector bundles (see (6)). Fix any open subset $U \subset M$ and a basis $\left(s_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, r}$ of $\left.\mathcal{E}_{1}\right|_{U}$ and denote by $\left(\varphi^{*} t_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, r}$ its image through $\Phi$. Denote by $\left(\tilde{s}_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, r}$ and $\left(\tilde{t}_{i=1, \ldots, r}\right)$ respectively the corresponding equivariant functions on $p_{1}^{-1}(U)$ and $p_{2}^{-1}(\varphi(U))$. Thus $\tilde{t}_{i}=\tilde{s}_{i} \circ \tilde{\Psi}$ by definition of $\Phi$. By definition of $\Phi^{-1} \varphi^{\star} \nabla_{2}$, we can compute:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Phi^{-1} \varphi^{\star} \nabla_{2}\left(s_{i}\right)=\left(I d_{\Omega_{M}^{1}} \otimes \Phi^{-1}\right)[d \varphi\lrcorner\left(\varphi^{*} \nabla_{2}\left(\varphi^{*} t_{i}\right)\right)\right] \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the definition of $\nabla_{1}$ and $\nabla_{2}$, and Lemma 5.1, we get:

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\Phi^{-1} \varphi^{\star} \nabla_{2}\left(s_{i}\right) & = & \left.\left.\left(I d_{\Omega_{M}^{1}} \otimes \Phi^{-1}\right)\left[\left(\varphi^{*} \tau_{2} \circ d \varphi\right)\right\lrcorner \varphi^{*} p_{2 *} \tilde{d}_{2}\left(\tilde{t}_{i}\right)\right)\right] \\
& = & \left.\tau_{1}\right\lrcorner\left(p_{1 *} \tilde{d}_{1} \tilde{t}_{i} \circ \tilde{\Psi}\right)  \tag{42}\\
& = & \nabla_{1}\left(s_{i}\right)
\end{array}
$$

where we denoted by $\tilde{d}_{1}$ and $\tilde{d}_{2}$ the usual de Rham differentials on $\mathcal{O}_{E} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{E^{\prime}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r}$. Hence we can map $\tilde{\Psi}$ to the vector bundle isomorphism $(\varphi, \Phi)$ which preserves the linear meromorphic connections $\nabla_{1}$ and $\nabla_{2}$.

Now, we construct the pseudo-inverse. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ be a meromorphic connection over a pair $(M, D)$. Denote by $E$ its frames bundle. Let $x \in M$ and $U$ be a neighbhoorhood equipped with a holomorphic section $\sigma: U \longrightarrow E$. Denote by $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right)$ the corresponding basis of $\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{U}$. The section $\sigma$ induces a splitting $\left.T E\right|_{p^{-1}(U)}=p^{*} T U \oplus \operatorname{ker}(d p)$ which is $P$-equivariant, hence a splitting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.A t(E)\right|_{U}=\left.T U \oplus E(\mathfrak{p})\right|_{U} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\tau_{0}$ the splitting of the exact sequence (11) restricted to $U$ induced by (43), and by $d$ the pullback of the de Rham differential through the trivialization associated with $\left(s_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, r}$. Let $\delta=\nabla-d$, which vanishes on the image of $E(\mathfrak{p})$ through $\iota$ (see (11)). Its kernel thus define a morphism $\Theta:\left.T U \longrightarrow A t(E)\right|_{U}[\star D]$, and we obtain a splitting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\tau_{0}+\Theta \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

of (11) over $U$. From the remarks above, this is equivalent to a meromorphic principal connection $\tilde{\omega}_{U}$ on $p^{-1}(U)$ with poles at $\tilde{D} \cap p^{-1}(U)$.

Now, let $U, U^{\prime}$ be two open subset and $\left(s_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, r}$ and $\left(s_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, r}$ be two basis of $\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{U}$ and $\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{U^{\prime}}$ corresponding to holomorphic sections $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ of $E$ on $U$ and $U^{\prime}$. Let $d$ and $d^{\prime}$ be the corresponding de Rham differentials, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d-d^{\prime}\left(s_{i}^{\prime}\right)=d\left(s_{i}^{\prime}\right)=d\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} b_{j i}^{-1} s_{j}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{r}\left(b d_{0}\left(b^{-1}\right)\right)_{j i} s_{j}^{\prime} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ is the meromorphic function on $U \cap U^{\prime}$ with values in $P$ such that $\sigma^{\prime}=\sigma \cdot b$, and $d_{0}$ is the usual de Rham differential on $\mathfrak{p}$-valued functions. Denote by $\tau$ and $\tau^{\prime}$ constructed as before. Thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{\prime}-\tau=\left[\left(\sigma, b^{\star} \omega_{P}\right)\right] \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\nabla^{\prime}-\nabla=d^{\prime}-d+\tau^{\prime}-\tau=0$ and the corresponding meromorphic principal connections $\tilde{\omega}$ and $\tilde{\omega}^{\prime}$ coïncide over $p^{-1}\left(U \cap U^{\prime}\right)$. We obtain a global meromorphic principal connection $\tilde{\omega}$ on $(E, \tilde{D})$ inducing $\nabla$ as in (40).

If $\left(\varphi, \Phi_{0}\right)$ is an isomorphism of vector bundles preserving the meromorphic connections $\nabla_{1}, \nabla_{2}$, then from subsection 2.2 it induces an isomorphism $\tilde{\Psi}$ of holomorphic principal bundles between $E$ and $E^{\prime}$. Since the action of $P$ on $\mathbb{C}^{r}$ is free, by definition of $\nabla_{1}$ and $\nabla_{2}$ we get that $\varphi^{*} \tau_{2}=$ $\tau_{1} \circ d \varphi$. By Lemma 5.1 we obtain $\tilde{\Psi}^{\star} \tilde{\omega}_{2}=\tilde{\omega}_{1}$.
5.2. Equivalence between meromorphic affine connections and meromorphic affine Cartan geometries. In this subsection, we consider the complex affine group $G$ of dimension $n \geq 1$, and the complex linear group $P \subset G$. The restricted adjoint representation ad : $P \longrightarrow G L(\mathfrak{g})$ splits as the sum of two irreducible representations $\mathfrak{g}_{-}$, the subalgebra corresponding to the infinitesimal generators for the translations in $\operatorname{Aff}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$, and $\mathfrak{p}=\operatorname{Lie}(P)$. Consequently, if $E \xrightarrow{p} M$ is a holomorphic $P$-principal bundle and $\omega_{0}$ is a meromorphic ( $G, P$ )Cartan connection on $(E, \tilde{D})$, then it splits as the sum:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}=\theta_{0} \oplus \tilde{\omega} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

of a meromorphic solderform $\theta_{0}$ on $(E, \tilde{D})$ (see Definition 3.9) and a meromorphic $P$-principal connection $\tilde{\omega}$ on $(E, \tilde{D})$.

Consider the category $\mathcal{F}_{\text {conn }}$ whose objects are triples $\left(\phi_{0}, \mathcal{E}, \bar{\nabla}\right)$ formed by a meromorphic extension $\left(\phi_{0}, \mathcal{E}\right)$ over a pair $(M, D)$ and a meromorphic connection $(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\nabla})$ on $(M, D)$, and the arrows are the isomorphisms of vector bunlde (see subsection 2.2) preserving the meromorphic connections (see (??)). Define the map $f$ from the category $\mathcal{G}_{\text {aff }}$ of meromorphic $(G, P)$ Cartan geometries on $(M, D)$ to $\mathcal{F}_{\text {conn }}$ as follows. If $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ is an object of $\mathcal{G}_{a f f}$, consider the meromorphic solderform ( $E, \theta_{0}$ ) (see Definition 3.9) defined by (47), and $\bar{\nabla}$ the meromorphic connection on $\mathcal{E}=E\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ associated with $\tilde{\omega}$ (see Proposition 5.0.1).

Now, consider the subcategory $\mathcal{G}_{\text {aff }}^{0}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{\text {aff }}$ whose objects are holomorphic branched ( $G, P$ )Cartan geometries, together with their isomorphisms. Consider a subcategory $\mathcal{F}_{\text {conn }}^{0}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{\text {conn }}$ obtained by intersecting with $\mathcal{F}^{0}$ (Definition 3.8).
Proposition 5.0.2. Let $(M, D)$ be a pair. The map $f$ extends as an equivalence of categories between $\mathcal{G}_{\text {aff }}\left(\right.$ resp. $\mathcal{G}_{\text {aff }}^{0}$ ) and $\mathcal{F}_{\text {conn }}\left(\right.$ resp. $\mathcal{F}_{\text {conn }}^{0}$ ).
Proof. Let $\Psi: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}$ be an arrow between two meromorphic ( $G, P$ )-Cartan geometries $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ and $\left(E^{\prime}, \omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ over $(M, D)$ and $\left(M^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$, and $\left(\phi_{0}, \mathcal{E}, \bar{\nabla}\right)$ and $\left(\phi_{0}^{\prime}, \mathcal{E}^{\prime}, \bar{\nabla}^{\prime}\right)$ their images through $f$. So $\Psi$ is a morphism of holomorphic principal bundles between the frame bundles and
we define $f(\Psi)=(\varphi, \Phi)$ as the image of $\Psi$ through the equivalence described in subsection 2.2. By construction, since $\Psi^{\star} \omega_{0}^{\prime}=\omega_{0}$, we have $\Psi^{\star} \theta_{0}^{\prime}=\theta_{0}$ and $\Psi^{\star} \tilde{\omega}^{\prime}=\tilde{\omega}$. The first condition implies that $(\varphi, \Phi)$ is an arrow of meromorphic extensions (Definition 3.8), while the second one implies that it preserves the meromorphic connections $\nabla$ and $\nabla^{\prime}$ (see Lemma 5.1). Hence, $f$ is a functor. Since it is the restriction of the equivalence of categories from Proposition 3.0.1, we obtain an equivalence of categories.

Let $\left(\phi_{0}, \mathcal{E}, \bar{\nabla}\right)$ be an object of $\mathcal{F}_{\text {conn }}$ on $(M, D)$. Then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla=\phi_{0}^{-1} \bar{\nabla} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines a meromorphic affine connection on $(M, D)$, we will call it the meromorphic affine connection induced by $\left(\phi_{0}, \mathcal{E}, \bar{\nabla}\right)$. Thus, there is a functor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu: \mathcal{F}_{\text {conn }} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

to the category $\mathcal{A}$ of meromorphic affine connections on pairs.
We denote by $T_{\nabla}$ the torsion of $\nabla$ (Equation 3). There is the analogous notion of $\mathfrak{g}_{-}$-torsion for an object $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ of $\mathcal{G}_{a f f}$ on $(M, D)$. It is the $P$-equivariant meromorphic function $\tau_{\omega_{0}}$ on $E$ with values in $\mathbb{W}_{\mathfrak{g}_{-}}=\stackrel{2}{\Lambda}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{-}\right)^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{-}$defined as the projection of the Cartan curvature $k_{\omega_{0}}$ of


Finally, let's remark that for any object $\left(\mathcal{E}, \phi_{0}, \bar{\nabla}\right)$ of $\mathcal{F}_{\text {conn }}^{0}$, the meromorphic affine connection (48) restricts as a holomorphic connection on the submodule $\mathcal{E}$. We then define:

Definition 5.2. The category $\mathcal{A}^{0}$ is the subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ whose objects are the meromorphic affine connections on $(M, D)$ preserving a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{M}$-module $\mathcal{E}$ with $T M \subset \mathcal{E} \subset T M[\star D]$, in the above sense. Its objects are called holomorphic branched affine connections.

Lemma 5.2. Let $\nabla$ be a holomorphic branched affine connection on $(M, D)$. Then the submodule $\mathcal{E} \subset T M[\star D]$ from Definition 5.2 is unique.

Proof. Let $E$ be the bundle of holomorphic frames for $\mathcal{E}$, and $\tilde{\omega}$ be the meromorphic principal connection on $R^{1}(M)$ corresponding to $\nabla$ (Proposition 5.0.1). Suppose there exists another rank $n$ locally free submodule $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ of $T M[\star D]$ such that $\nabla$ restricts to a holomorphic connection on $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$, and let $\tilde{\omega}^{\prime}$ be the corresponding holomorphic princpal connection on its bundle of holomorphic frames $E^{\prime}$.

Pick a point $x \in M$, and a neighbhoorhood $U$ of $x$ in $M$ with two basis $\left(\bar{s}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{s}_{n}\right)$ of $\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{U}$ and $\left(\bar{t}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{t}_{n}\right)$ of $\left.\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right|_{U}$. Denote by $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ the holomorphic sections of $R^{1}(M \backslash D)$ on $U \backslash D$ corresponding respectively to these basis, and $b$ be the unique holomorphic function on $U \backslash D$ with values in $G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\sigma^{\prime}=\sigma \cdot b$. The classical jauge formula implies that $b$ must be a solution of the differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(b)=A b-b A^{\prime} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ (resp. $A^{\prime}$ ) is the matrix of $\nabla$ in the basis $\sigma$ (resp. $\sigma^{\prime}$ ). Since $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ are holomorphic on $U$, we can use the proof of the Proposition II.2.13 in [26] to obtain that $b$ extends on $U$ as a holomorphic funcion. Reversing the roles of $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$, this is also true for $b^{-1}$, so that $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ coïncide over $U$. We get the unicity.

Corollary 5.1. The composition of the equivalence from Proposition 5.0.2 and the map given by (49) gives an equivalence of categories between $\mathcal{G}_{\text {aff }}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{G}_{\text {aff }}^{0}\right)$ and $\mathcal{A}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{A}^{0}\right)$.

Recall that, given any meromorphic affine connection $\nabla$ on $(M, D)$, a local holomorphic vector field $\bar{X}$ on an open subset $U \subset M$ is a Killing field for $\nabla$ iff the pullback of $\nabla$ by its flows is again $\nabla$. We denote by $\mathfrak{k i l l} \nabla$ the subsheaf of $T M \backslash D$ whose sections are the Killing field for $\nabla$. By Corollary 5.1, we obtain:

Lemma 5.3. If $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ is an object of $\mathcal{G}_{\text {aff }}$ on a pair $(M, D)$, and $\nabla$ the corresponding meromorphic affine connection on $(M, D)$, then $\mathfrak{k i l l}_{M, \omega_{0}}=\mathfrak{k i l l}_{\nabla}$.
5.3. Geodesics of holomorphic branched affine connections and $\tau$-affine connections. Let $\nabla$ be a holomorphic affine connection on a complex manifold $M$, and $(E, \omega)$ be a holomorphic affine Cartan geometry inducing it. Recall that geodesics $\Sigma \subset M$ of $\nabla$ are the projections of the $A$-distinguished curve of $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ for $A \in \mathfrak{g}_{-}$. Equivalently, these are the images of holomorphic parametrized curves $\gamma: D(0, \epsilon) \longrightarrow M$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{\star} \nabla\left(d \gamma\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\right)=0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \gamma\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)$ is the image of the canonical vector field on the open disk $D(0, \epsilon) \subset \mathbb{C}$ through the differential $d \gamma: T C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C} \otimes \gamma^{-1} T M$, and $\gamma^{\star} \nabla$ is the pullback (Definition 2.3).

Definition 5.3. Let $\nabla$ be a meromorphic affine connection on a pair ( $M, D$ ). A geodesic of $\nabla$ is a curve $\Sigma \subset M$ whose restriction to $M \backslash D$ is locally the image of a geodesic of the holomorphic affine connection $\left.\nabla\right|_{M \backslash D}$ in the above sense.

Note that such a definition permits any holomorphic curve $\gamma$ with image contained in $D$ to be a geodesic for $\nabla$. This is coherent with the classical definition (51) since the pullback $\gamma^{\star} \nabla$ will often be the zero morphism for such a curve (see Exemple 2.1).

Lemma 5.4. Let $\nabla$ be a holomorphic branched affine connection on a pair (M,D). Let $\Sigma \subset M$ be a curve. Then the following assertions are equivalent:
(i) $\Sigma$ is a geodesic for $\nabla$
(ii) For any non-constant holomorphic curve $\gamma: D(0, \epsilon) \longrightarrow \Sigma, \gamma^{\star} \nabla$ is the null morphism or there exists a holomorphic function $h_{\gamma}$ on $D(0, \epsilon)$, which does'nt identically vanish, and such that:

$$
\gamma^{\star} \nabla\left(\frac{1}{h_{\gamma}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=0
$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and $\gamma^{\star} \nabla$ are defined as above.
Proof. Let $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ be the unique holomorphic branched affine Cartan geometry inducing $\nabla$ through the equivalence of Proposition 5.0.2, and such that $\mathcal{E}=E\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ is the submodule of Definition 5.2, By Lemma 3.3, the $\mathfrak{p}$-component of $\omega_{0}$ defines a holomorphic $P$-principal connection on $E$. We denote by $\theta_{0}$ the $\mathfrak{g}_{-}$-component of $\omega_{0}$.

We first prove that (i) implies (ii). By the above remark, for any choice of a point $e_{0}$ in the fiber of $\gamma(0)$, there exists an unique lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ of $\gamma$ to a holomorphic curve tangent to $\operatorname{ker}(\tilde{\omega})$ and satisfying $\tilde{\gamma}(0)=e_{0}$. Suppose that $\gamma^{\star} \nabla$ is not the null morphism. By definition of $\nabla$, the hypothesis (i) implies that for any $t_{0} \in D(0, \epsilon)$ such that $\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)$ doesn't lie in $D$, there exists a holomorphic function $h$ in a neighborhood of $t_{0}$ with $\tilde{\gamma}^{\star} \theta_{0}\left(h(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)$ constant, that is there exists $A \in \mathfrak{g}_{-}$with $\tilde{\gamma}^{\star} \theta_{0}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=\frac{1}{h(t)} A$ in a neighborhood of $t_{0}$. It is clear that the direction $\mathbb{C} A$ is independent from the point $t_{0}$ as before. Now, since $\omega_{0}$ is a holomorphic branched Cartan connection, $\tilde{\gamma}^{\star} \theta_{0}$ is a holomorphic one form on $D(0, \epsilon)$ with values in $\mathbb{C} A$. In particular, $h_{\gamma}=\tilde{\gamma}^{\star} \theta_{0}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)$ is a holomorphic function on $D(0, \epsilon)$, which is not identically vanishing by the assumption. By definition of $\gamma^{\star} \nabla$ this implies $\gamma^{\star} \nabla\left(\frac{1}{h_{\gamma}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=0$. We have proved (ii).

Now we prove (ii) implies (i). If $\gamma^{\star} \nabla$ is the null morphism, then by the Leibniz identity we must have $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \gamma^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{M}(D)=\underline{\{0\}_{\mathbb{C}}}$. Thus, the image of $\gamma$ must lie in $D$, and $\gamma$ is a geodesic. Suppose $\gamma^{\star} \nabla$ is not the null morphism. Then we can use the above description of $\gamma^{\star} \nabla\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)$ to conclude that $\tilde{\gamma}^{\star} \theta_{0}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)$ is a holomorphic function with values in $\mathbb{C} A$ for some $A \in \mathfrak{g}_{-}$. Since $\tilde{\gamma}$ is tangent to $\operatorname{ker}(\tilde{\omega})$, we recover that its image $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is a $A$-distinguished curve for $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ projecting onto the image of $\gamma$, that is $(i)$ is satisfied.

Definition 5.4. A meromorphic affine connection $\nabla$ on a pair ( $M, D$ ) is said to be a $\tau$ connection if no irreducible component of $D$ is invariant by the geodesics of $\nabla$ in the sense of Definition 5.3.

The (3.0.3) admits the following consequence:
Corollary 5.2. Let $\nabla$ be a holomorphic branched holomorphic $\nabla$ on a pair $(M, D)$. If $\nabla$ is a $\tau$ connection, then any holomorphic branched affine Cartan geometry ( $E, \omega_{0}$ ) inducing $\nabla$ through the equivalence of Corollary 5.1 satisfies the strong $\tau$-condition Definition 3.16.
Proof. In virtue of Lemma 5.2, $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ is isomorphic to the holomorphic branched Cartan geometry where $E=R(\mathcal{E})$ is the frame bundle of the unique submodule $\mathcal{E} \subset T M[\star D]$ on which $\nabla$ restricts as a holomorphic connection, and $\omega_{0}=\theta_{0} \oplus \tilde{\omega}$ where $\tilde{\omega}$ is the corresponding $P$-principal connection and $\theta_{0}$ the meromorphic solderform of $E$ (see Definition 3.9).

The proof of Lemma 5.4 recall the basic fact that the restrictions of geodesics for $\nabla$ to $M \backslash D$ are exactly the projections through $p: E \longrightarrow M$ of the $A$-distinguished curves of $\left(\left.E\right|_{M \backslash D}, \omega_{0}\right)$, for some $A \in \mathfrak{g}_{-}$. These are in particular $A$-spirals for $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$. Thus, using Proposition 3.0.3, we get the desired implication.
5.4. Holomorphic branched $\tau$-connections in algebraic dimension zero. Now, we will give an application of the results of section 4 to the classification of affine meromorphic connections on some simply connected complex compact manifolds $M$. Most of them are adaptations of the arguments used in the proof of the principal theorem in [4], using the results of this article.

Theorem 5.1. Let $(M, D)$ be a pair, with $M$ a simply connected complex compact manifold. If $(M, D)$ bears a quasihomogeneous meromorphic affine $\tau$-connection $\nabla$ (Definition 5.4), then it admits a meromorphic parallelism $\left(\bar{X}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{X}_{n}\right)$, such that $\bar{X}_{i}$ is a Killing vector field for $\nabla$ when restricted to $M \backslash D$.
Proof. Let $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ be the meromorphic affine Cartan geometry on $M$ corresponding to $\nabla$ (see Corollary 5.1). By the Corollary 4.1, it satisfies the extension property of infinitesimal automorphisms, i.e the local system $\mathfrak{k i l l}_{\nabla}$ on $M \backslash D$ extends as a local system $\mathcal{K}$ on $M$, with $\mathcal{K} \subset T M[\star D]$. Since $M$ is simply connected, this is a constant sheaf on $M$. Since $\nabla$ is assumed quasihomogeneous, we can pick $x \in M$ and a $\mathcal{O}_{M, x}$-basis $\bar{X}_{1, x}, \ldots, \bar{X}_{n, x}$ of $(T M)_{x}$ formed by germs of Killing fields for $\nabla$. These germs are thus restrictions of global meromorphic vector fields $\bar{X}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{X}_{n}$ whose restrictions to $M \backslash D$ are elements of $\mathfrak{k i l l}{ }_{\nabla}(M \backslash D)$. Since their germs at $x$ are linearly independent, there exists a Zariski-dense open subset $M \backslash S$ such that the restrictions of $\bar{X}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{X}_{n}$ to any subset $U \subset M \backslash S$ are independent elements of $T M(U)$, i.e $\left(\bar{X}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{X}_{n}\right)$ is a meromorphic parallelism on $M$.

We obtain:
Theorem 5.2. Let $M$ be a compact complex manifold with finite fundamental group, and whose meromorphic functions are constants. Then $M$ doesn't bear any totally geodesic branched holomorphic affine connection.

Proof. Suppose that $\nabla$ is a totally geodesic branched holomorphic affine connection on $(M, D)$, denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the submodule of $T M[\star D]$ from Lemma 5.2. Complete the meromorphic parallelism $\left(\bar{X}_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, n}$ from Theorem 5.1 into a basis $\left(\bar{X}_{j}\right)_{j=1, \ldots, r}$ for the global meromorphic Killing fields of $\nabla$. A meromorphic parallelism is a rigid geometric structure (see [15]), so by the Theorem 2 of [14], the juxtaposition of $\left(\bar{X}_{j}\right)_{j=1, \ldots, r}$ and $\nabla$ is quasihomogeneous. Since $\nabla$ satisfies the extension property for the Killing vector fields (Corollary 4.1) and $M$ is simply connected, we obtain a meromorphic parallelism $\left(\bar{X}_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, n}$ such that the restriction of each $\bar{X}_{i}^{\prime}$ to $M \backslash D$ is a Killing field for $\nabla$ and commutes with each $\bar{X}_{j}$. In particular, each $\bar{X}_{i}^{\prime}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear combination of the $\left(\bar{X}_{j}\right)_{j=1, \ldots, r}$, so $\left(\bar{X}_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, n}$ are commuting meromorphic vector fields.

Now, let pick any Gauduchon metric on $M([18)$ and let's prove that the degree $\operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{E})$ of $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to this metric is zero. Let $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ be the branched holomorphic affine Cartan geometry on $(M, D)$ corresponding to $\nabla$ Corollary 5.1). Then $\mathcal{E}=E(\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{p})=E(\mathfrak{g}) / E(\mathfrak{p})$ by definition of $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$, and since $P=G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, we have $\operatorname{deg}(E(\mathfrak{p}))=0$ (see [3], Corollary 4.2).

We must then prove that $\operatorname{deg}(E(\mathfrak{g}))=0$. For, it is sufficient to prove that $C_{1}\left(R_{\nabla \omega_{0}}\right)$ vanishes identically, where $C_{1}$ is the trace on $\operatorname{End}(E(\mathfrak{g}))$ and $\nabla^{\omega_{0}}$ is the tractor connection (see Definition 3.7). We will prove that the meromorphic one form $\left.\eta_{i}=X_{i}^{\prime}\right\lrcorner C_{1}\left(R_{\nabla} \omega_{0}\right)$ vanishes identically on $M$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. By Lemma 3.1 we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.p^{\star} \eta_{i}=\tilde{X}_{i}^{\prime}\right\lrcorner C_{1}\left(R_{p^{\star} \nabla \omega_{0}}\right)=\underbrace{d C_{1}\left(A d\left(s_{i}\right)\right)}_{\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{0}}+\underbrace{\left.\tilde{X}_{i}^{\prime}\right\lrcorner A d\left(\omega_{0} \wedge \omega_{0}\right)}_{\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{1}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{X}_{i}^{\prime}$ is the lifting of $X_{i}^{\prime}$ to $E$ and $s_{i}=\omega_{0}\left(X_{i}^{\prime}\right)$.
The meromorphic one form $\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{0}$ is exact and $P$-equivariant. By a classical result on exact invariant forms on connected Lie groups, the restriction of $\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{0}$ to any fiber of $E \xrightarrow{p} M$ corresponds to a homomorphism $\chi: P \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Because $P=G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, any such homomorphism is trivial, so that $\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{0}$ vanishes on the fibers of $E \xrightarrow{p} M$. Thus, it is the pullback of a meromorphic exact one form $\eta_{i}^{0}$ on $M$. Moreover, by Corollary 4.1, $s_{i}$ is a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{V}$ on $E$, so that $\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{0}$ is a holomorphic one form. Thus, $\eta_{i}^{0}$ is an exact holomorphic one form on a simply connected compact complex manifold, i.e vanishes everywhere.

Now, let's prove that $\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{1}=p^{\star} \eta_{i}$ vanishes everywhere. Consider $E_{G}=E \times G \xrightarrow{p i_{G}} E$ and the holomorphic tractor connection $\tilde{\omega}$ on it (Definition 3.7). Using the splitting $T E_{G}=\operatorname{ker}(\tilde{\omega}) \oplus$ $\operatorname{ker}\left(d \pi_{G}\right)$, the pullback $\hat{\eta}_{i}^{1}=\pi_{G}^{\star} \tilde{\eta}_{i}^{1}$ uniquely decomposes as a sum:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\eta}_{i}^{1}=\hat{\eta}_{i}^{H} \oplus \hat{\eta}_{i} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\hat{\eta}_{i}^{H}$ a $G$-invariant meromorphic one form on $E_{G}$, vanishing on $\operatorname{ker}(\tilde{\omega})$, and $\hat{\eta}_{i}^{V}$ vanishing on $\operatorname{ker}(\tilde{\omega})$. In particular, $\hat{\eta}_{i}^{H}$ is the pullback of $\eta_{i}$ through the composition $p_{G}=p \circ \pi_{G}$, so that $\hat{\eta}_{i}^{V}$ vanishes everywhere. Now, using Corollary 4.1, $\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{1}$ is a holomorphic one form, so that $\eta_{i}$ is a holomorphic one form on $M$. Using the Lie-Cartan formula, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \eta_{i}\left(X_{j}^{\prime}, X_{k}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{L}_{X_{j}^{\prime}} \eta_{i}\left(X_{k}^{\prime}\right)-\mathcal{L}_{X_{k}^{\prime}} \eta_{i}\left(X_{j}^{\prime}\right)-\eta_{i}\left(\left[X_{j}^{\prime}, X_{k}^{\prime}\right]_{T M}\right) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the only meromorphic functions on $M$ are the constants, we obtain $\mathcal{L}_{X_{j}^{\prime}} \eta_{i}\left(X_{k}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{L}_{X_{k}^{\prime}} \eta_{i}\left(X_{j}^{\prime}\right)=$ 0 , and since the meromorphic vector fields $\left(X_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, n}$ commute, $\eta_{i}$ is a closed holomorphic one form. Since $M$ is simply connected and compact, $\eta_{i}$ vanishes everywhere. This proves that $C_{1}\left(R_{\nabla \omega_{0}}\right)$ vanishes everywhere, i.e $\operatorname{deg}(E(\mathfrak{g}))=0$.

Hence, $\operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{E})=0$. Let $\bar{s}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{s}_{n}$ be the images of $X_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{n}^{\prime}$ through the morphism $\phi_{0}$, where $\left(\phi_{0}, \mathcal{E}\right)$ is the holomorphic extension image of $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ as in Proposition 5.0.2. Since $s_{i}=\omega_{0}\left(\tilde{X}_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is a section of $\mathcal{V}(E)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ from Corollary 4.1, each $\bar{s}_{i}$ is a section of
$\mathcal{E}(M)$. Since they are independent, the holomorphic section $\stackrel{i=1}{n} \bigwedge_{i}$ of $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{E})$ is not identically vanishing, thus $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{E})$ is trivial and $\bigwedge^{i=1} n \bar{s}_{i}$ never vanishes. It therefore forms a basis of $\mathcal{E}$ on $M$, and the dual sections $\bar{s}_{1}^{*}, \ldots, \bar{s}_{n}^{*}$ are holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{E}^{*}$ on $M$. We obtain a branched holomorphic $\left(\mathbb{C}^{n},\{0\}\right)$-Cartan geometry $(M, \eta)$ on $(M, D)$, where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n}\left(\bar{s}_{i}^{*} \circ \phi_{0}\right) \otimes \mathfrak{e}_{i} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\mathfrak{e}_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, n}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Because the $\eta$-constant vector fields $\left(X_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, n}$ commute, it is a flat branched holomorphic Cartan geometry. Since $M$ is simply connected and compact, there is a holomorphic submersion dev:M $\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$. This is impossible by the maximum principle, so $M$ cannot bear any totally geodesic branched holomorphic affine connection.

## 6. GEnERICITY OF THE AFFINE $\tau$-CONDITION ON SURFACES

In this section, we fix a pair $(M, D)$ where $M$ is a complex surfaces and $D$ an effective divisor of $M$ with irreducible and reduced components $\left(D_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in I}$. We fix a submodule $\mathcal{E} \subset T M[\star D]$ with $T M \subset \mathcal{E}$.

Definition 6.1. In the above setting, we denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{0}$ the set of holomorphic branched affine connections on $(M, D)$ whose associated submodule is $\mathcal{E}$ Definition 5.2). We denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}, \tau}^{0}$ the subset of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{0}$ consisting of $\tau$-connection Definition 5.4).

We prove a result of genericity for $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}, \tau}^{0}$ (Theorem 6.1). We also give examples of flat holomorphic branched affine $\tau$-connections on compact complex manifolds of arbitrary dimension, and one example of a non-flat holomorphic branched affine $\tau$-connection on a complex compact threefold.
6.1. Consequence of the existence of a $\tau$-connection on the submodule. We begin with a necessary condition on $\mathcal{E}$ for $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}, \tau}^{0}$ not being empty.

Proposition 6.0.1. Suppose the exitence of a $\tau$-connection $\nabla$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}, \tau}^{0}$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ satisfies the following property. Let $D_{\alpha}$ be an irreducible component of $D$, and $x \in D_{\alpha}$. Let $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ be local coordinates on an open neighborhood $U$ of $x$ with

$$
D_{\alpha} \cap U=\left\{z_{1}=0\right\}
$$

The matrix of any element $\varphi \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{E})(U)$, identified with an element of $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{E})[\star D](U)$, in $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}}\right)$ takes the form:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
* & z_{1} f_{1}  \tag{56}\\
* & f_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are holomorphic functions on $U$.
Proof. Let $\nabla, D_{\alpha}, x$ and $U$ as in the statement. Let $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ be the unique holomorphic branched affine Cartan geometry inducing $\nabla$ and such that $E$ is the frame bundle of $\mathcal{E}$. The hypothesis on $\nabla$ implies that the pullback $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}$ of $D_{\alpha}$ to $E$ is invariant through the $A$-distinguished foliation $\mathcal{T}_{A}$ (see Equation 19), for any $A \in \mathfrak{g}_{-}$. Using the proof Lemma 3.3, and denoting by $\left(\frac{\tilde{\partial}}{\partial z_{1}}, \frac{\tilde{\partial}}{\partial z_{2}}\right)$
two $P$-invariant holomorphic vector fields on $p^{-1}(U)$ projecting on $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}}\right)$, we get that the $\omega_{0}$-constant vector fields $Y_{1}, Y_{2}$ associated with $\mathfrak{e}_{1}, \mathfrak{e}_{2}$ decompose as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i}=z_{1}^{n_{i}} g_{i} \frac{\tilde{\partial}}{\partial z_{1}}+z_{1}^{m_{i}} g_{i}^{\prime} \frac{\tilde{\partial}}{\partial z_{2}}+Y_{i}^{\prime} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{i}^{\prime}$ is an element of $\operatorname{ker}(d p)\left(p^{-1}(U)\right), g_{i}, g_{i}^{\prime}$ are invertible or identically vanishing holomorphic functions, and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq n_{i}>m_{i} \quad \text { or } \quad g_{i}=0 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, fix any holomorphic section $\sigma$ of $E$ on $U$ and denote by $\left(\bar{Y}_{1}, \bar{Y}_{2}\right)$ the corresponding basis of $\mathcal{E}$ on $U$. These are the projections of $Y_{1} \circ \sigma$ and $Y_{2} \circ \sigma$ through $T p$, so that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{Y}_{i}=z_{1}^{n_{i}} g_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}+z_{1}^{m_{i}} g_{i}^{\prime} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (58) implies that, up to replacing $\sigma$ by $\sigma \cdot b$ for some holomorphic function $b: U \longrightarrow P$, we can suppose that $g_{1}=0$, i.e the matrix $Q$ of $\left(\bar{Y}_{1}, \bar{Y}_{2}\right)$ in $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}}\right)$ is:

$$
Q=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & z_{1}^{n_{2}} g_{2}  \tag{60}\\
z_{1}^{m_{1}} g_{1}^{\prime} & z_{1}^{m_{2}} g_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $g_{2}, g_{1}^{\prime}, g_{2}^{\prime}, n_{2}$ and $m_{2}$ as before. Since $\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{U}$ contains $T U$, the inverse of this matrix must be a holomorphic matrix on $U$.

This implies the following identities:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
n_{2}+m_{2}-m_{1} & \geq 0  \tag{61}\\
n_{2} & <0 \\
m_{1} & <0 \\
m_{2} & \leq 0
\end{array}
$$

Let $\varphi \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{E})(U)$ with matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\alpha & \gamma \\ \beta & \delta\end{array}\right)$ in $\left(\bar{Y}_{1}, \bar{Y}_{2}\right)$. Then the matrix of the same section in the basis $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}}\right)$ is:

$$
Q\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha & \gamma  \tag{62}\\
\beta & \delta
\end{array}\right) Q^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
* & g z_{1}^{n_{2}-m_{2}} \\
* & g^{\prime} z_{1}^{m_{2}-m_{1}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for some holomorphic functions $g, g^{\prime}$ on $U$. Using (61), we get that this matrix has the desired form.
6.2. Intermediate condition and local characterization. We continue by introducing a subset $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}, 1}^{0} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{0}$, containing the complement of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}, \tau}^{0}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{0}$. This subset has the advantage that its elements $\nabla$ can be described by their local Christoffel symbols.
Definition 6.2. The set $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}, 1}^{0}$ is the subset of elements $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{0}$, with the following property. For any $x \in D_{\alpha}$, where $D_{\alpha}$ is some irreducible component of $D$, there exists a non-constant geodesic $\gamma: D(0, \epsilon) \longrightarrow M$ for $\nabla$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(0)=x \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Im}(\gamma) \subset D_{\alpha} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6.1. Let $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{0}$. The following properties are equvialent:
(i) $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}, 1}^{0}$
(ii) For any irreducible component $D_{\alpha}$ of $D$, any $x \in D_{\alpha} \backslash \bigcup_{\beta \neq \alpha} D_{\beta}$, and any open neighborhood $U$ of $x$ with local coordinates $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ as in Proposition 6.0.1, the matrix of $\nabla$ in $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}}\right)$ is of the form:

$$
\sum_{i=1,2} d z_{i} \otimes\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{i} & c_{i}  \tag{64}\\
b_{i} & d_{i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
c_{2} & \text { vanishing on } D_{\alpha} \cap U  \tag{65}\\
d_{2} & \text { holomorphic }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. We first prove (i) implies (ii). Suppose that $\nabla$ is as in $(i)$, and let $\gamma$ be a non constant geodesic at $x \in D_{\alpha}$ with $\operatorname{Im}(\gamma) \subset D_{\alpha}$. Let $U$ and $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ as in $(i i)$ and denote by $\gamma_{i}=z_{i} \circ \gamma$, so that $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ is identically vanishing on $D(0, \epsilon)$ by the hypothesis on $\gamma$. The first line of the generalized geodesic equation from Lemma 5.4 implies that $c_{2} \circ \gamma$ must be identically vanishing, since $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ is not identically vanishing by hypothesis. In particular, since $D_{\alpha} \cap U$ is irreducible, $c_{2}$ must be identically vanishing on this divisor. Moreover, the second line of the same equation implies that $y=\frac{1}{h_{\gamma}} \gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ is a solution of the differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=\left(d_{2} \circ \gamma\right) y \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be the lifting of $\gamma$ to a $A$-distinguished curve of the unique holomorphic branched affine Cartan connection $\left(E, \omega_{0}\right)$ inducing $\nabla$ and with $E=R(\mathcal{E})$. Then $\tilde{\gamma}^{\star} \omega_{0}$ is of constant rank. Recall that the holomorphic function $h_{\gamma}$ in Lemma 5.4 is defined by $\tilde{\gamma}^{\star} \omega_{0}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=h_{\gamma} A$. But $\omega_{0}$ has constant rank on the pullback $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}$ of $D_{\alpha}$, by definition of $D$. Thus, $h_{\gamma}$ must be an invertible holomorphic function on $D(0, \epsilon)$, so that $y$ is a holomorphic function. Then $d_{2} \circ \gamma$ must be holomorphic, and since $\gamma^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$, this implies that $d_{2}$ has no pole along $D_{\alpha} \cap U$. But the poles of $\nabla$ are contained in $D$, so that $d_{2}$ is a holomorphic function on the whole $U$.

Now we prove (ii) implies $(i)$. Let $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{0}$ and suppose (ii) is satisfied. Let $x \in D_{\alpha}$, and $\left(U,\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)$ as above, and suppose moreover that $z_{1}(x)=z_{2}(x)=0$. Define $\gamma_{1}=0$, and $\gamma_{2}$ to be a solution of (66) on $D(0, \epsilon)(\epsilon>0)$ with $\gamma_{2}(0)=0$. Such a solution exists because $d_{2}$ is holomorphic. Then the unique holomorphic curve $\gamma: D(0, \epsilon)$ with $\gamma_{i}=z_{i} \circ \gamma$ is a geodesic of $\nabla$ by Lemma 5.4. By construction, $\operatorname{Im}(\gamma) \subset D_{\alpha}$, i.e. $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}, 1}^{0}$.
6.3. Genericity result. The set $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{0}$ has the structure of an affine space directed by $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{E})(M)$. Indeed, suppose there exists $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{0}$. Let $\nabla^{\prime}$ be any meromorphic connection on $(M, D)$, and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta=\nabla^{\prime}-\nabla \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an element of $\Omega_{M}^{1} \otimes \operatorname{End}(T M)[\star D](M)=\Omega_{M}^{1} \otimes \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{E})[\star D]$. Then it is immediate that $\nabla^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{0}$ exactly when $\Theta \in \Omega_{M}^{1} \otimes \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{E})(M)$.

Using the above remark and Lemma 6.1, we get the following result:
Theorem 6.1. Let $(M, D)$ be a pair and $\mathcal{E} \subset T M[\star D]$ a submodule containing $T M$. Then one and only one of the following assertions is true:
(a) $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}, \tau}^{0}=\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{0}$
(b) $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}, 1}^{0}=\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{0}$

Proof. Suppose ( $a$ ) to be false and let's prove (b). By hypothesis, there exists an element $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{0}$ which is not a $\tau$-connection. Fix any element $\nabla^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{0}$. Fix an irreducible component $D_{\alpha}$ of $D$, a point $x \in D_{\alpha} \backslash \bigcup_{\beta \neq \alpha} D_{\beta}$ and an open neighborhood $U$ of $x$ with coordinates $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ such that $U \cap D_{\alpha}=\left\{z_{1}=0\right\}$. The matrix of $\Theta$ from (67) in $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}}\right)$ is the difference between the
matrices of $\nabla^{\prime}$ and $\nabla$. It has the form (56) by Proposition 6.0.1. By Lemma 6.1, and since $\nabla$ is already an element of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}, 1}^{0}$ we get that $\nabla^{\prime}$ is again an element of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}, 1}^{0}$, that is (b) is true.

In particular, in order to prove that a complex manifold $M$ admits a holomorphic branched $\tau$-connection with poles at $D$, and with associated submodule $\mathcal{E}$, it is sufficient to prove that there exists a holomorphic connection $\nabla$ on $\mathcal{E}$ which does'nt belong to $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}, 1}^{0}$, i.e such that for any irreducible component $D_{\alpha}$ of $D$, there exists $x \in D_{\alpha}$ such that no geodesic $\gamma$ of $\nabla$ at $x$ is contained in $D_{\alpha}$.
6.4. Example in any dimension. We now construct an example, for any $n \geq 1$, of a compact complex manifold $M$ of dimension $n$, equipped with a submodule $\mathcal{E} \subset T M[\star D]$ with $T M \subset \mathcal{E}$ and an object $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}, \tau}^{0}$. Namely, $M$ are Hopf manifolds and $\nabla$ is constructed from branched coverings between these manifolds and from the holomorphic affine structure coming from their universal covering.

Pick any $\lambda \in] 0,1\left[\right.$, and define $\Gamma^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma$ to be respectively the abelian groups spanned by the linear automorphisms $\lambda^{2} I d_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}$ and $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(\lambda z_{1}, \lambda^{2} z_{2}, \ldots, \lambda^{2} z_{n}\right)$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Let $\tilde{M}=$ $\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$, and let $M=\Gamma \backslash \tilde{M}$ and $M^{\prime}=\Gamma^{\prime} \backslash \tilde{M} . M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are Hopf manifolds associated with $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$, so these are complex compact manifolds. Since $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is a subgroup of the affine group of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, the canonical affine structure $\nabla_{0}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ (restricted to $\tilde{M}$ ) descends as a holomorphic affine connection $\nabla^{\prime}$ on $M^{\prime}$.

The map $\tilde{f}: \tilde{M} \longrightarrow \tilde{M}$ given by $\tilde{f}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)=\left(z_{1}^{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ is equivariant for the actions of $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$. Thus we obtain a map $f: M \longrightarrow M^{\prime}$ defined by the commutative diagram:


By construction, $f$ is a double covering with ramification locus the divisor $D$ of $M$ obtained as the quotient of $\tilde{D}=\left\{z_{1}=0\right\}$ by the action of $\Gamma$. The pullback $\nabla=f^{\star} \nabla^{\prime}$ is an object of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}, \tau}^{0}$ where $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{O}_{M} \underset{f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{M^{\prime}}}{\otimes} f^{-1} T M^{\prime}$. Indeed, by construction, $\nabla$ pulls back to $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ (first to $\tilde{M}$, then to $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ by the Hartog's extension theorem) as the $\Gamma$-invariant meromorphic affine connection $\tilde{\nabla}=\tilde{f} \star \nabla_{0}$. Now, the curve $\tilde{\Sigma}=\left\{z_{2}=\ldots=z_{n}=0\right\}$ projects onto itslef through $\tilde{f}$, and is a geodesic for $\nabla_{0}$. Thus, its projection $\Sigma$ on $M$ is a geodesic for $\nabla$, and by construction $\Sigma$ intersects $D$ exactly at one point, namely the class of $(0, \ldots, 0)$.

Unfortunately, the examples just constructed are flat, i.e they are obtained from a branched developping map $\tilde{f}: \tilde{M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ from the universal cover of the manifold $M$ to the affine model $\mathbb{C}^{n}=\operatorname{Aff}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) / G L_{n}(\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, there are no non-flat examples on the Hopf manifolds $M$ : this can be recovered using that $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{E})$ is the trivial module on $M$, that $\Omega_{M}^{1}$ has no non-trivial global sections, and the parametrization of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{0}$ as in Equation 67.

However, we mention the existence of non-flat examples due to the following construction, by S. Cantat in [7, of a complex compact manifold $M$, equipped with a holomorphic parallelism with non-trivial structure constants, and with a multiple branched cover $f: M \longrightarrow M$ as a self-map.

Let $H_{3}$ be the complex Heisenberg group, that is the subgroup of $S L_{3}(\mathbb{C})$ of upper-triangular matrices with ones on the diagonal. The subgroup $\Gamma:=H_{3}(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ of elements with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a cocompact lattice of $H_{3}$. The quotient $M=H_{3} / \Gamma$ is then a complex compact manifold.

It is equipped with the holomorphic parallelism $\left(\bar{Z}_{1}, \bar{Z}_{2}, \bar{Z}_{3}\right)$ obtained from any basis of righinvariant holomorphic vector fields on $H_{3}(\mathbb{C})$. We denote by $\nabla^{\prime}$ the unique holomorphic affine connection on $M^{\prime}=M$ such that $\nabla^{\prime}\left(\bar{Z}_{i}\right)=0$. By construction, the torsion of $\nabla^{\prime}$ is not zero. It is proved in [7] (Exemple 5.3) that there exists a finite surjective morphism $f: M \longrightarrow M^{\prime}$ with ramification locus a non-trivial divisor $D$ of $M$. We can reproduce the constructions used with the Hopf manifolds to obtain an object $\nabla=f^{\star} \nabla^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{0}$ where $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{O}_{M} \underset{f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{M^{\prime}}}{\otimes} f^{-1} T M^{\prime}$. Then we use the:

Lemma 6.2. Let $f: M \longrightarrow M^{\prime}$ be a branched cover between two complex manifolds $M, M^{\prime}$, with ramification locus $D \subset M$ (we denote $D^{\prime}=f_{*}(D)$ ), and $\nabla^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}^{\prime}, \tau}^{0}$ where $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ is any submodule of $T M^{\prime}\left[\star D^{\prime}\right]$ as in Definition 5.2. Then the pullback $\nabla=f^{\star} \nabla^{\prime}$ is an object of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}, \tau}^{0}$ where $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{O}_{M} \underset{f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{M^{\prime}}}{\otimes} f^{-1} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$.

Proof. The fact that $\nabla$ is an object of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{0}$ is clear from the equivalence Corollary 5.1 and the definition of a holomorphic branched Cartan geometry. Now, let $D_{\alpha}$ be any irreducible component of $D, D_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ its projection through $f$. Since $\nabla^{\prime}$ is a $\tau$-connection, there exists $x_{0}^{\prime} \in D_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ and a geodesic $\Sigma^{\prime}$ for $\nabla^{\prime}$ such that $\Sigma^{\prime} \cap D_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\left\{x_{0}^{\prime}\right\}$. Let $x_{0}$ be any point of the fiber $f^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right) \subset D_{\alpha}$, and $\Sigma=f^{-1}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)$. Using the characterization of Lemma 5.4, and the definition of the pullback, we obtain that $\Sigma$ is a geodesic of $\nabla$. By construction $\Sigma \cap D_{\alpha}$ is the finite set of points $f^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, so we can consider a neighborhood $U$ of $x_{0}$ such that $\Sigma \cap U$ is a geodesic of $\nabla$, intersecting $D_{\alpha}$ exactly at $x_{0}$. So $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}, \tau}^{0}$.
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