

Transformations of the Mediterranean glass supply in medieval Mazara del Vallo (Sicily)

Nadine Schibille, Francesca Colangeli

▶ To cite this version:

Nadine Schibille, Francesca Colangeli. Transformations of the Mediterranean glass supply in medieval Mazara del Vallo (Sicily). Alessandra Molinari; Antonino Meo. Mazara/Māzar: nel ventre della città medievale (secoli VII-XV) Edizione critica degli scavi (1997) in via Tenente Gaspare Romano, 2021. hal-03679254

HAL Id: hal-03679254 https://hal.science/hal-03679254

Submitted on 26 May 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Mazara/Māzar: nel ventre della città medievale (secoli VII-XV)

Edizione critica degli scavi (1997) in via Tenente Gaspare Romano

Alessandra Molinari, Antonino Meo





Mazara/Māzar: nel ventre della città medievale (secoli VII-XV)

Edizione critica degli scavi (1997) in via Tenente Gaspare Romano

Alessandra Molinari, Antonino Meo

con contributi di Michelle Alexander, Veronica Aniceti, Roberto Cabella, Claudio Capelli, Martin O.H. Carver, Pierre Charrey, Francesca Colangeli, Oliver E. Craig, Léa Drieu, Girolamo Fiorentino, Derek Hamilton, Jasmine Lundy, Giuseppina Mammina, Paola Orecchioni, Michele Piazza, Marianna Porta, Vivien Prigent, Milena Primavera, Nadine Schibille, Arianna Sellitto, Helen Talbot, Alice Ughi



In copertina: Mazzara, Tiburcio Spanoqui, *Descripción de las marinas de todo el reino de Sicilia*, disegno su carta, 1596 ca., riel. da Biblioteca Naçional de Madrid, ms. 788, 55v.

Il volume è stato sottoposto alla double-blind peer review.

Pubblicazione autorizzata dalla Soprintendenza per i Beni Culturali e Ambientali di Trapani (Protocollo n. 8603/4ª del 17/06/2021).

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement n. 693600).



SICILY IN TRANSITION The archaeology of regime change ERC Project 693600

http://martincarver.com/projects htpps://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/693600





European Research Council Established by the European Commission



Regione Siciliana

Assessorato dei Beni Culturali e dell'Identità Siciliana Dipartimento dei Beni Culturali e dell'Identità Siciliana **Soprintendenza per i Beni Culturali e Ambientali di Trapani**

ISSN 2035-5319 ISBN 978-88-9285-045-3 e-ISBN 978-88-9285-046-0 © 2021 All'Insegna del Giglio s.a.s.

OPEN ACCESS (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) Attribuzione - Non commerciale Non opere derivate 4.0 Internazionale

All'Insegna del Giglio s.a.s. via Arrigo Boito, 50-52 50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI) www.insegnadelgiglio.it

Stampato a Sesto Fiorentino (FI) Dicembre 2021, MDFprint

INDICE

Presentazioni, di Rosalia Camerata Scovazzo, Martin O.H. Carver	7
. La città di Mazara e gli scavi	
Alessandra Molinari I.1 Per una storia socio-economica e culturale di Mazara tra la tarda Antichità e il Medioevo	13
Antonino Meo I.2 Le indagini archeologiche di via tenente Gaspare Romano. Stratigrafia e interpretazione delle evidenze	31
Veronica Aniceti, Francesca Colangeli, Girolamo Fiorentino, Antonino Meo, Alessandra Molinari, Milena Primavera Paola Orecchioni I.3 Il contenuto dei principali pozzi e delle latrine: ricomposizione dei contesti	
Derek Hamilton I.4 <i>Radiocarbon dating</i>	83
Alessandra Molinari I.5 Pozzi, latrine e fornaci. Interpretazione e commento dei principali elementi strutturali	87

MANUFATTI, ECOFATTI E ANALISI SCIENTIFICHE

II. Le ceramiche

Antonino Meo, Paola Orecchioni II.1 <i>Metodi di classificazione e di presentazione delle ceramiche dei secoli VII-XIV</i>	.03
Antonino Meo II.2 Al crepuscolo della tarda Antichità: i consumi ceramici di Mazara nella prima età tematica (fine VII/inizi VIII secolo)	113
Antonino Meo II.3 "Non ha pari né simile, se si guardi alla magnificenza del vivere". I consumi ceramici di Mazara in età islamica (X-XI secolo)	.59
Paola Orecchioni II.4 "Non invidio a Dio il paradiso perché son ben soddisfatto di vivere in Sicilia". I consumi ceramici di Mazara nel basso Medioevo tra Sicilia citra Salsum e Mediterraneo	37
Claudio Capelli, Roberto Cabella, Michele Piazza II.5 <i>Analisi petrografiche delle ceramiche</i>	33
Léa Drieu II.6 Study of the content of amphorae from Mazara by organic residue analysis 4	55
Jasmine Lundy, Léa Drieu, Helen Talbot, Oliver E. Craig II.7 <i>Organic residue analysis of domestic containers from 10th-14th century contexts in Mazara</i>	59

III. I vetri

Francesca Colangeli III.1 Produzione, consumo e commercio di vetro a Mazara nel Medioevo. Lo studio del sito di via Romano	
per un inquadramento diacronico dei cambiamenti tipologici e tecnologici)
Nadine Schibille, Francesca Colangeli III.2 Transformations of the Mediterranean glass supply in medieval Mazara del Vallo (Sicily)	1

IV. I metalli

Francesca Colangeli			
IV.1 Tra assenza e riciclo. I cambiamenti nella difj dei consumi e della gestione del metallo tra età biza			erti metallici di via Romano per la ricostruzione nese
V. I reperti numismatici			
Giuseppina Mammina V.1 <i>I rinvenimenti numismatici</i>	••••		
Pierre Charrey, Vivien Prigent V.2 Note à propos du poids de verre byzantin de N	Iazara		
VI. La fauna			
Veronica Aniceti VI.1 Le risorse animali nella dieta e nella vita deg tra religione ed incremento produttivo	li abita	nti di vid	via Romano (Mazara):
Alice Ughi, Michelle Alexander VI.2 <i>Stable isotope analysis of animal remains from</i>	m Maza	ara	
VII. I reperti archeobotanici			
Girolamo Fiorentino, Marianna Porta, Milena Prima VII.1 <i>Mazara tra innovazione e continuità: il con</i> <i>dei sistemi agricoli e delle abitudini alimentari tra</i>	tributo	dell'arch	
,	*	*	*

VIII. Sintesi

Veronica Aniceti, Francesca Colangeli, Girolamo Fiorentino, Antonino Meo, Paola Orecchioni, Milena Primavera VIII.1 <i>Gli scavi in via Romano: le principali acquisizioni dai contesti dei secoli VII-XV</i>	595
Alessandra Molinari VIII.2 <i>Da</i> vicus a madīna a civitas. <i>Mazara, la Sicilia, il Mediterraneo attraverso i cambiamenti di regime</i>	613
English summaries	623

III.2 TRANSFORMATIONS OF THE MEDITERRANEAN GLASS SUPPLY IN MEDIEVAL MAZARA DEL VALLO (SICILY)

INTRODUCTION

An increasingly complex picture of the transformation of the Mediterranean glass industry in the latter part of the first millennium CE emerges from an expanding archaeological record and the multiplication of analytical studies of glass assemblages. Prior to the eighth century, the primary glassmaking industries on the Levantine coast and in Egypt supplied the glass market of the entire Mediterranean with soda-lime-silica raw glass produced from a mixture of mineral soda from Egypt (so-called natron) and quartz-rich sand as the source of silica (Degryse 2014; Freestone 2005, 2006; Freestone et al. 2018; FREESTONE et al. 2000). The production capacities of Roman and late antique primary production centres in the Levant and Egypt were enormous, giving rise to relatively homogeneous compositional groups that allow glass from consumer sites to be traced back to their place of origin in the eastern Mediterranean (FREESTONE et al. 2000; FREESTONE et al. 2008; TAL et al. 2004; GORIN-ROSEN 1995; GORIN-ROSEN 2000; NENNA et al. 2000; THIRION-MERLE et al. 2003; NENNA et al. 2005; NENNA 2015; JACKSON et al. 2018). A shift in the distribution pattern of natron glass can be observed in the western Mediterranean in the second half of the sixth century, when the previously dominant Egyptian glass groups (Roman Sb, HIMT, Foy 2.1) are gradually supplanted by the Levantine I compositional group that matches the remains of the primary glassmaking furnaces excavated at Apollonia (Arsuf, Israel) (FREESTONE et al. 2008; BREMS et al. 2018). Declining imports of fresh glass in the seventh and eighth centuries led to an intensification of recycling practices and ultimately to the development of new local glassmaking traditions in the western Mediterranean.

The rate of this transformation and the adopted strategies differ from region to region. In the Iberian Peninsula, for example, new glassmaking recipes were developed in the second half of the eighth or first quarter of the ninth century CE with lead oxide as the main ingredient (SCHIBILLE et al. 2020), followed by a soda ash lead glass in the ninth and soda ash silica glass possibly in the tenth century (CARMONA et al. 2009; DUCKWORTH et al. 2015; DE JUAN ARES, SCHIBILLE 2017; DE JUAN ARES et al. 2021). In Carolingian Europe the use of potash rich wood ash instead of mineral natron or soda-rich plant ash as the main fluxing agent was introduced as early as at the end of the eighth century (VAN WERSCH et al. 2016; VELDE 2009; WEDEPOHL, SIMON 2010). The use of wood ash was firmly established by the tenth century throughout central Europe (WEDEPOHL, SIMON 2010; WEDEPOHL et al. 2011). Surprisingly little is known about the evolution of glass compositions in Italy in the last quarter of the first millennium CE. The earliest soda ash glass found in Italy date to the ninth century, but they most certainly represent imports from the Islamic east (CAGNO et al. 2012b; NERI et al. 2019; SILVESTRI et al. 2005; VERITÀ 2013). While there is some

evidence of regional variations in the compositional make-up of medieval Italian glass assemblages between the north and the south of the peninsula, glass recycling appears to have been widespread until the twelfth century (BERTINI *et al.* 2020; NERI *et al.* 2019; VERITÀ 2013). In the twelfth or thirteenth century, Venice began the primary production of glass using soda-rich plant ash imported from the Levant (VERITÀ *et al.* 2002).

To date, glass assemblages from early medieval Sicily remain largely unexplored. Mosaic tesserae dating to the late Roman period from the Villa del Casale near Piazza Armerina have been analysed and are all of the natron type (CROVERI et al. 2010; DI BELLA et al. 2014; VERITÀ 2017). Similarly, Roman glass compositions were identified among the glass finds from the church of St. Agata la Vetere in Catania, broadly dated to the second to eighth century CE (BARBERA et al. 2012), while some fourth- to seventh-century glass fragments from Ganzirri north of Messina are a mixture of different late antique natron glass groups (ARLETTI et al. 2010). The majority of samples recovered from the late antique secondary glass workshop in the amphitheatre of Catania belongs to the high iron, manganese and titanium (HIMT) compositional group (DI BELLA et al. 2015). A study examining the compositional features of a fourteenth-century glass assemblage from Poggio Diana Castle near Agrigento is thus far the only one to investigate soda-rich plant ash glasses from Sicily (PANIGHELLO et al. 2013). Even though none of these published datasets coincides chronologically with the glass finds from Mazara, they provide contextual information and help tracing temporal developments.

The investigation of the vitreous material from Mazara del Vallo that spans the seventh to the thirteenth century represents the first comprehensive analytical study of Sicilian glass at a time when the production and trade of glass in the Mediterranean underwent fundamental changes. The importance of Sicily as a whole and of Mazara del Vallo located on the west coast of the island is its strategic position connecting the western and eastern Mediterranean. In the tenth and eleventh century, Mazara was an important commercial hub and integrated in interregional trade links with Tunisia and Egypt, featuring repeatedly in the Cairo Geniza (infra MOLINARI). Although the absolute number of glass finds is comparatively limited (a total of 79 objects), the analysis of the majority of these samples (n = 50) allowed us to assess the variability of the chemical composition of the assemblage within three distinct periods: a Byzantine phase in the seventh to eighth centuries, followed by tenth- to eleventh-century Islamic Sicily and the thirteenth-century Swabian occupation. The chronology of the finds was determined on the basis of associated ceramic finds, coins, some ¹⁴C data and stratigraphic relations (supra MEO, cap. I.2). The glass finds from Mazara are thus ideally suited to explore the transformational mechanisms underlying the Mediterranean glass industry as a function of wider socio-economic developments.

This paper evaluates the analytical data of the Mazara glass finds in light of recent advances in the classification of soda ash glasses from the Near East and the Mediterranean region in the late first and early second millennium CE. The overall objective is to investigate the possible beginnings of a Sicilian glassmaking industry and to evaluate the role of geopolitical changes in the production and consumption of glass in Sicily. It has long been assumed that soda-rich plant ash glassmaking did not commence in Italy before the twelfth century in Venice (VERITÀ 2013). As will become clear in the following, the distinct compositional features of the glass from Mazara suggest an alternative scenario for Sicily. Here, soda ash glasses prevail from the tenth century on and at least some, if not all may be the output of a Sicilian primary glassmaking industry.

1. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fragments of 50 individual glass objects including 3 dual colour samples were selected for laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS). Small samples from each fragment were embedded in epoxy resin and polished to remove any residues and surface alterations. For the analyses, a Thermo Fisher ELEMENT XR mass spectrometer was used together with a Resonetics M50E excimer laser operating at 193 nm at 5 mJ and a frequency of 10 Hz. The analyses were carried out in the spot mode with a beam diameter of 100 µm for glass with low manganese concentrations (MnO < 0.5%). For samples with higher manganese and/or elevated copper oxide contents, the diameter was reduced to 80 µm and occasionally to 60 µm to avoid saturation. Following a pre-ablation time of 20 s to eliminate the transient part of the signal, the average counts per second of 58 elements were acquired during 30 s. To calibrate the system and to allow for a full quantification of the results, blanks as well as five different standard reference materials were run every 10 to 13 samples. The standards (NIST 610, Corning B, C and D, and APL1, an in-house standard for the quantification of chlorine) are used to determine the average response coefficient (K_v) for each element, while ²⁸Si is used as an internal standard for normalisation to obtain fully quantitative data. The analytical protocol and calculation procedures have been described before (GRATUZE 2014, 2016). To monitor the analytical precision and accuracy of the results, Corning reference standards A, B, C and D and NIST 612 were systematically analysed throughout the sequence. Both, precision and accuracy are generally within 5% to 10% of the published values, depending on the absolute abundance of the element.

2. RESULTS

The full compositional data of the Mazara glass assemblage are given in *tab.* 1. All the glass finds are consistent with the category of soda-lime-silica glass that can be separated on the basis of the alkali sources into natron and plant ash glass types (*fig.* 1a). The samples dating to the seventh and eighth centuries correspond exclusively to natron type glass produced from a mineral soda with low potash, magnesia (both < 0.6%) and phosphorus contents ($P_2O_5 < 0.2\%$). Both later groups are high-magnesia and high-potash glasses with elevated phosphate, produced from a soda-rich plant ash as the principal fluxing agent. The lime and soda concentrations do not differ significantly between the natron and plant ash glass groups (*fig.* 1b). Hence, the glass assemblage from Mazara as a whole reflects the transformation in glassmaking recipes at the end of the first millennium CE. While the earlier glasses adhere to the Roman and late antique glassmaking technology, utilising mineral soda as flux, the tenth- and eleventh-century finds represent relatively early examples of plant ash glass in the western Mediterranean.

Seventh- to eighth-century natron glass

The natron type glass from Mazara is characterised by moderate soda (Na₂O - 14.7%) and lime concentrations (CaO -8.9%), and fairly high alumina contents (Al₂O₂ \sim 3.2%) (*tab.* 1). All other elements related to the silica source such as titanium (TiO₂ ~ 0.07%), iron (Fe₂O₃ ~ 0.41%) and zirconium (Zr ~ 37 ppm) remain very low, while manganese is consistently at levels that can be attributed to the natural impurities of the sand raw material (Mn < 200 ppm). These features are compatible with glass produced on the Levantine coast, and more precisely with the compositional group originally called Levantine I. This group was defined on the basis of the glass remains from the primary glassmaking installations at Apollonia (Arsuf, Israel) dating to the sixth and seventh century CE (FREESTONE et al. 2000; FREESTONE et al. 2008; BREMS et al. 2018). The affiliation with Levantine glass becomes clear when comparing the Mazara samples to different known seventh- to eighth century production groups from the Levantine coast and Egypt (*fig.* 2a). Levantine glass tends to have considerably lower titanium to aluminium oxide ratios compared to Egypt 1 (eighth century) and Egypt 2 (eighth to ninth century) while the alumina to silica ratios occupy an intermediate range.

Classifying the various Levantine glass categories is more complex. Broadly speaking, four chronologically different Levantine glass groups can be distinguished: Roman manganese and naturally blue-green coloured glass, glass from the fourth-century workshop at Jalame, Apollonia type Levantine I glass dating to the sixth and seventh centuries, and finally Bet Eli'ezer type Levantine II, probably of an eighth-century date (FREESTONE et al. 2000; PHELPS et al. 2016; BREMS et al. 2018). The Roman and Jalame groups can be excluded on chronological as well as compositional grounds, as they tend to have higher soda and lower lime and alumina levels (PHELPS et al. 2016). Apollonia type Levantine I differs from Bet Eli'ezer type Levantine II in terms of the carbonate and feldspar fractions of the sand source as well as the soda to silica ratios (fig. 2b). Bet Eli'ezer Levantine II glass has the lowest soda levels of all the natron type glasses, indicating differences in the recipes and the relative proportions of fluxing agent to silica source (FREESTONE et al. 2000). The lime to alumina and soda to silica ratios of the seventh- to eighth-century fragments from Mazara clearly correspond more closely to Apollonia Levantine I than to Bet Eli'ezer Levantine II glass (fig. 2b). Moreover, the sum of the elements typically associated with the colouration and/ or opacification of glass that can serve as markers of recycling in colourless glass remains well below 100 ppm (fig. 2c). This means that the seventh- and eighth-century glass from Mazara represents essentially pristine Apollonia type Levantine I glass and may have been imported either as raw glass chunks and worked locally or imported in the form of finished objects.

From a typological point of view, all the seventh and eighth-century objects are small goblets classified as Isings 111 (*fig.* 4; *supra* COLANGELI). These types of goblets are relatively common and widespread throughout Italy and the Mediterranean. Examples are known from the Levantine coast, Egypt, Tunisia and the south of France, dating to the second half of the sixth century (Foy *et al.* 2003; WINTER 2019). In Italy, where a drastic decline in typologies has been observed in parallel with a general decrease in the amount of vitreous material from the sixth century onwards, these goblet types are among the few that




fig. 1 – Separation of the Mazara assemblage into chronologically distinct groups. (a) Potash versus magnesia levels separate the seventh- and eighth-century mineral soda glass from the later soda-rich plant ash glass; (b) lime and soda concentrations are relatively constant across the majority of samples.




fig. 2 – Compositional characteristics of the natron type glass from Mazara. (a) TiO_2/Al_2O_3 and Al_2O_3/SiO_2 ratios represent the heavy mineral and felspar fractions relative to the silica source, and identify the Mazara samples clearly as Levantine glasses; (b) ratios of lime to alumina and soda to silica separate Apollonia type Levantine I glasses from the Bet Eli'ezer Levantine II group, underscoring the similarities between the Mazara finds and Levantine I; (c) elements associated with colouring and/or opacifying as markers of recycling in colourless glass remain below 100 ppm, implying that the Mazara glasses have not been extensively recycled. Data sources: for Apollonia type Levantine I and Bet'Eliezer Levantine II (PHELPS *et al.* 2016; BREMS *et al.* 2018); for Egypt 1A, 1B and 2 (SCHIBILLE *et al.* 2019).




fig. 3 – Chemical properties of the plant ash component of the two chronological groups from Mazara. (a) Strontium and lime ratios are similar for the majority of glass samples, with the exception of some eleventh-century samples with higher concentrations probably caused by fuel ash contaminations. A sub-group dating to the thirteenth century has overall lower alkaline earth metals; (b) lithium and boron concentrations are elevated and positively correlated.



fig. 4 – Goblets (Isings 111) of the seventh- and eighth-century contexts.

survived into the eighth century (SAGUÌ, LEPRI 2016). The same trend is noticeable in Sicily, where small goblets are the only glass objects recovered not only from Mazara del Vallo but also at Mount Kassar (CARVER *et al.* 2019). There is no conclusive archaeological evidence of secondary glass working in Sicily at the time, and given the ubiquity of these goblets, the typology gives no further indication as to where the objects were made or in what shape and form the glass arrived at Mazara.

Soda-rich plant ash glass

Turning to the soda-rich plant ash glass, the samples from the tenth and eleventh centuries and the majority of the finds attributed to the thirteenth century largely overlap with respect to both the plant ash component as well as the silica source. With very few exceptions (e.g. MZ 002), magnesium and potassium oxides range between 1.5% and 3.5% (fig. 1a), which are generally considered to be the result of using ashes of halophytic plants as the primary fluxing agent (FREESTONE 2006; LILYQUIST, BRILL 1993; SAYRE, SMITH 1961). Three of the tenth- and eleventh- century samples have notably higher potash levels (MA 011, 012, 247) relative to magnesia, which may have been caused by contaminations through fuel ash that has also augmented the strontium and lime levels of these samples (fig. 3a). A sub-group of the thirteenth-century fragments have on average lower alkaline earth metals, and include two of the dual colour samples (MZ 263, 269) as well as three of the prunted beakers (MZ 005, 251, 268; tab. 1). The alkali source is furthermore characterised by elevated boron and lithium contents that are positively correlated (*fig.* 3b). Except for some individual samples, no systematic differences in the plant ash component between the tenth-/eleventh- and the thirteenth-century glass could be identified.

Similarities between the plant ash glasses also extend to elements associated with the silica source. Aluminium, iron and titanium contents vary within relatively narrow limits (*fig.* 5a, b). In most samples, alumina ranges from about 1.5% to 2.5%, iron oxide from 0.5% to 1.5% and titanium oxide from about 0.11% to 0.18% (average TiO₂ ~ 0.14%). The bulk of the plant ash glass has relatively high heavy element concentrations such as zirconium, hafnium, tantalum and thorium (*fig.* 5c). Two of the dual colour fragments (MZ 263, 269) have markedly different titanium to zirconium ratios, suggesting the use of different silica sources and thus different origins (*fig.* 5b). One sample dating to the first half of the eleventh-century (MZ 247), and one thirteenth-century fragment (MZ 002) stand out for their distinctive trace element profiles (*fig.* 5c). MZ 247 has considerably lower heavy elements relative to the rare earth elements (REEs), while MZ 002 has the lowest levels across all trace elements and REEs, alongside some of the highest soda and magnesia concentrations (*tab.* 1).

The most significant compositional difference between the two chronological groups is the amount of manganese oxide. Whereas the thirteenth-century glass contains on average only 0.6% MnO within a relatively narrow range (fig. 6a), the earlier plant ash glass has clearly higher levels of manganese (MnO -2%) that are overall positively correlated with iron oxide, indicative of the use of a manganiferous material for decolouring (or colouring) purposes. The presence of considerable amounts of manganese (MnO > 0.5%) as decolouring agent is known from early Islamic glass assemblages in Mesopotamia, the Levant and Egypt. Its addition is related to the primary production stage as documented by the data from the primary glassmaking furnaces at Tyre (FREESTONE 2002), the workshop at Banias (FREESTONE et al. 2000), as well as Ragga (HENDERSON et al. 2004). The absence of manganese in most of the thirteenth-century glass finds from Mazara therefore suggests a change in the primary production of these plant ash glasses. An excess of manganese (MnO > 4%) in two samples (MZ 006, 015) archaeologically dated to the thirteenth century is responsible for their deep purple colour (fig. 6a).

Only a handful of glass finds have been intentionally coloured with either manganese, copper or cobalt (tab. 1). Two eleventh-century finds (MZ 009, 260) are copper blue fragments, and three thirteenth-century vessels have cobalt blue decorations (fig. 6b, c). The cobalt colourant is associated with elevated zinc, indium and lead concentrations. The rest of the plant ash glass finds is weakly coloured (greenish or bluish aqua) to colourless. Many of the tenth- to eleventh-century samples still contain considerable levels of colourants (> 100 ppm), reflecting the addition of coloured cullet at some point during the life cycle of these glasses (fig. 6b). Recycling markers are not as pronounced in the thirteenth-century glass, suggesting that either recycling was not as common during the later period or that the incorporation of coloured material was more limited. This is in keeping with our previous observation that primary glassmaking changed sometime between the eleventh and the thirteenth centuries, even though the base glass composition (silica and plant ash constituents) remains largely unchanged.

In parallel, the typology of the objects changes significantly between the eleventh and the thirteenth century, reflecting different cultural forces at work in Mazara. The most representative type of objects in the Islamic period (tenth and eleventh century) are small flasks for pharmaceutical or medical use such




fig. 5 – Elements associated with the silica source of the plant ash glasses from Mazara separated into chronological groups. (a) Aluminium and iron oxides are overall positively correlated with the possible exception of the cobalt blue fragments; (b) titanium and zirconium also show a positive correlation. Two dual colour samples are highlighted (MZ 263, 269, 2 measurements per object); (c) average trace element profile of the two chronological groups and two individual samples (MZ 002 and MZ 247). Note that samples MZ 263, 269 were excluded from the means of the thirteenth-century group; error bars indicate the standard deviation (SD).




fig. 6 – Colouring and decolouring agents in the plant ash glasses from Mazara. (a) Manganese and iron concentrations separate the tenth- and eleventh-century samples clearly from the thirteenth century group; (b) copper and lead contents are elevated particularly in the tenth- and eleventh-century plant ash group, implying a certain degree of recycling, while they are associated with the colouring process in the cobalt blue samples; (c) the cobalt colourant in three samples is associated with elevated zinc (and indium, *tab.* 1), identifying a European cobalt source.

as small conical bottles with a long tubular neck, square flasks with pinched sides, globular shapes, and what may have been an alembic (*fig.* 7; *supra* COLANGELI, type VI.1). These typologies are very common both in other Sicilian contexts of the same period (COLANGELI 2021; OTTATI 2012) as well as in the Maghreb, for example in Ṣabra al-Manṣūriya (Qayrawān) (*supra* Colangeli) (Foy 2012, 2020; Scalon, Pinder-Wilson 2001). Only about 25% of the Islamic assemblage represent tableware in the form of mould blown bottles and beakers. Interestingly, sample MZ 247 that has a Levantine plant ash glass composition




fig. 7 – Vessel types from the Islamic period.

Mp Mp<				WL70											mdd						
Monor is to be the transformed of the proper and the proproper and the proper and the proper and the proper and the prop					CaO	τi0 ²	MnO	Fe ₂ 03									As	å	sr	~	Zr
ML No S No S No S No S No	MZ014				8.44	0.06	0.01	0.38		56.6 6	.92 5	.48 1.	21 5.				1.60	8.69	440	6.61	36.0
ML ML<	MZ021				8.95	0.07	0.01	0.39	3.47	56.3 7	.15 6	.03 1.	24 3.	40 3.9			Ì	7.94	471	7.11	39.1
MARP IS G5 S1 G5 S1 G5 S1 G5 S1 S	MZ238				9.00	0.06	0.02	0.36	3.32	70.6 6	.71 1	5.9 1.	11 3.	36 3.5			Ì	9.70	465	6.77	35.4
R0 01<					8.64	0.06	0.02	0.37	3.17	69.6 6	.41 1	5.5 1.	29 3.	23 6.5				7.99	469	6.66	33.1
Model in the constrained of the constrained o					7.93	0.09	0.02	0.51	3.37	62.1 8								6.64	403	6.56	44.5
MARA N.Y. MARA N.Y. MARA N.Y. MARA N.Y. MARA M.Y. MARA M.Y. MARA M.Y. M.Y. <th< td=""><td>MZ243</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>9.61</td><td>0.07</td><td>0.02</td><td>0.40</td><td>3.59</td><td>59.7 7</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>7.81</td><td>486</td><td>6.91</td><td>36.6</td></th<>	MZ243				9.61	0.07	0.02	0.40	3.59	59.7 7		-						7.81	486	6.91	36.6
MAD MAD <td>MZ244a</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>7.11.7</td> <td>0.08</td> <td>0.02</td> <td>0.53</td> <td>4.16</td> <td>85.4 9 01 F</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.21</td> <td>0.70</td> <td>479</td> <td>10.7</td> <td>41.2</td>	MZ244a				7.11.7	0.08	0.02	0.53	4.16	85.4 9 01 F							1.21	0.70	479	10.7	41.2
Mode: 190 21: 191 21: 191 22: 101 23: 013 23: 101 23: 102 33: 114 13: 116 177 13: 123 13: 113 13	M7245				8.76	0.05	0.02	6.0	2.51	60.2 5							1.14	8.30	474	6.82	31.4
00000 10 25 10 25 10 25	MZ007				8.71	0.13	2.58	66.0	25.7	92.2 2	Ľ	Ŀ	Ŀ		Ŀ		7.79	13.4	501	7.30	100
00011 15 16 14 15 56 15	MZ009				9.72	0.16	3.10	0.71	33.8					`				7.00	1060	6.87	175
QUID Lie Lie <thlie< th=""> <thlie< th=""></thlie<></thlie<>	MZ011				7.01	0.13	2.81	0.65	10.3									12.1	602	4.65	117
Monori 16, 2, 25, 21, 64, 20, 27, 26, 54, 70, 12, 45, 64, 70, 13, 76, 76, 75, 75, 75, 75, 75, 75, 75, 75, 75, 75	MZ012				9.32	0.16	3.00	1.56	12.6			1						9.94	1080	7.84	176
M0016 H6	MZ013				8.54	0.17	2.24	0.93	53.7									9.02	563	7.07	147
M007	MZ016				7.51	0.16	2.31	0.86	53.4								4.60	9.04	466	6.65	160
W000 117 127 226 63 017 135 136 137 136 017 135 136 137 136 136 137 136 136 137 136 <td>MZ017</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5.94</td> <td>0.14</td> <td>1.18</td> <td>0.36</td> <td>70.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.20</td> <td>4.80</td> <td>350</td> <td>4.85</td> <td>116</td>	MZ017				5.94	0.14	1.18	0.36	70.0								1.20	4.80	350	4.85	116
MIX20	MZ019				8.51	0.15	2.42	1.19	36.9								10.9	14.1	485	7.25	126
Mi 2 211 19 61 721 19 61 63 03 03 03 131 739 01 05 135 73 15 15 73 61 63 13 193 64 23 33 11 72 15 80 64 0 Mi 233 13 22 39 63 04 09 231 739 04 102 132 63 04 133 132 63 144 63 63 64 Mi 233 132 23 63 04 09 231 739 04 13 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	MZ020				8.72	0.14	2.28	0.87	46.5								9.51	9.91	501	6.32	121
Mi 230 14, 239 2.06 50, 03 03 137 239 013 210 43 03 153 550 153 550 155 307 659 549 130 232 55 050 50 42 60 132 55 50 55 500 55 500 55 50 153 50 153 55 50 50					9.41	0.09	1.65	0.55	3.95								1.27	11.6	806	6.40	39.9
137 230 653 050 051 137 230 653 135 346 739 353 346 739 353 346 739 353 355 346 739 353 355 355 346 739 343 556 555 346 739 343 556 555 346 739 343 556 555 346 739 343 556 555 346 739 343 556 555 346 739 713 556 557 346 739 713 556 553 346 739 713 556 553 345 553 516 573 537 546 539 714 539 535 536 539 535 536 539 535 536 539 536 539 536 539 536 539 536 539 536 536 539 536 536 536 536 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>7.59</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>2.00</td> <td>0.83</td> <td>49.4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>8.22</td> <td>449</td> <td>6.40</td> <td>149</td>					7.59	0.15	2.00	0.83	49.4									8.22	449	6.40	149
135 230 630 630 132 131 235 540 540 442 446 538 448 531 541 533 <td>MZ255</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>7.87</td> <td>0.14</td> <td>2.28</td> <td>0.90</td> <td>35.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>10.3</td> <td>562</td> <td>6.71</td> <td>118</td>	MZ255				7.87	0.14	2.28	0.90	35.0									10.3	562	6.71	118
130 231 234 660 0.04 0.03 236 0.04 0.04 0.03 236 0.04 0.05 136 236 236 136 237 236 0.05 136 236 0.05 136 237 0.06 0.13 0.06 113 0.05 136 236 0.05 136 0.05 136 0.05 136 0.05 136 0.05 136 0.05 136 0.05 0.05 136 0.05 0.0	MZ256				8.30	0.13	2.12	0.84									14.6	9.38	583	6.50	112
135 238 210 661 034 038 234 014 155 235 2460 78 759 153 556 175 751 750 556 175 750 567 141 750 556 175 714 759 317 750 317 317 520 323 321 325 323 323 323 323 323 323 323 323 323 323 323 323 323 323 323 323 323 323 323 <td>MZ258</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>8.48</td> <td>0.14</td> <td>1.40</td> <td>1.03</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>14.4</td> <td>436</td> <td>6.36</td> <td>122</td>	MZ258				8.48	0.14	1.40	1.03										14.4	436	6.36	122
13.6 3.40 2.14 6.24 0.57 13.6 3.57 15.6 3.57 3.66 13.7 10.9 3.47 10.5 3.47 10.5 3.57 3.66 11.7 3.68 3.17 3.69 3.57 3.66 3.74 3.76 3.61 3.65 3.64 3.75 3.66 3.71 3.66 3.57 3.66 3.57 3.66 3.57 3.66 3.53 3.76 3.95 3.75 3.65 3.35 3.51 3.53 3.51 <th< td=""><td>MZ259</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>8.44</td><td>0.14</td><td>1.94</td><td>0.81</td><td>32.6</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>10.5</td><td>585</td><td>6.76</td><td>111</td></th<>	MZ259				8.44	0.14	1.94	0.81	32.6									10.5	585	6.76	111
D2 2.22 1.33 0.64 0.54 0.47 0.54 0.47 0.54 0.47 0.54 0.47 0.54 0.47 0.54 0.47 0.54 0.47 0.54 0.47 0.54 0.47 0.54 0.47 0.54 0.47 0.54 0.57 0.44 0.55 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.55 0.54 0.55 0.54 0.55 0.54 0.55 0.54 0.55 0.54 0.55 0.54 0.55 0.54 0.55 0.54 0.55 0.54 0.55 0.55 0.54 0.55 0	MZ260				8.43	0.16	2.31	0.97	59.0								68.8	8.55	516	6.75	155
Ha Tor Fin	1077W				8 29	0.17	00.0	9C.U	0.UC								6.03	7 88	581	00.4 7 00	CUI
N 3.17 1.75 6.1 0.62 0.96 2.77 0.12 2.95 0.66 1.25 7.71 0.12 2.95 0.60 9.17 1.75 6.1 0.52 0.53 1.35 0.53 1.35 0.53 1.35 0.53 1.35 0.55 1.35 0.55 0.51 1.15 0.55	MZ265				7.19	0.14	2.63	0.74	103								3.15	7.14	456	5.94	152
183 4.26 1.85 0.26 0.50 1.12 1.89 7.95 0.83 4.35 1.30 4.12 6.59 2.41 1.40 0.33 12.3 3.32 2.16 6.75 0.36 1.77 9.16 9.17 9.13 9.13 0.13 0.14 0.27 5.34 7.46 5.39 3.41 1.00 5.38 12.3 131 2.07 6.40 0.49 0.14 0.04 6.50 1.51 1.13 1.23 8.14 4.46 9.87 3.14 1.01 5.24 5.34 2.36 5.03 1.36 5.35 5.61 5.93 5.61 5.93 5.61 5.93 5.61 4.93 5.61 4.93 5.61 4.93 5.61 4.93 5.61 4.93 5.61 4.93 5.61 4.93 5.61 4.93 5.61 4.93 5.61 4.93 5.61 4.93 5.61 4.93 5.61 4.93 5.61 6.93 <td>MZ266</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>7.71</td> <td>0.12</td> <td>2.95</td> <td>0.60</td> <td>51.1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6.79</td> <td>9.53</td> <td>521</td> <td>5.85</td> <td>112</td>	MZ266				7.71	0.12	2.95	0.60	51.1								6.79	9.53	521	5.85	112
123 332 213 679 053 089 177 919 014 070 032 680 133 130 619 773 354 513 310 112 506 533 12.2 013 030 030 136 010 030 103 057 039 101 545 533 301 136 523 533 301 136 523 533 503 301 549 539 500 509	MZ002				7.99	0.09	0.47	0.78	44.4									6.39	441	4.00	25.2
12.0 315 2.07 684 0.54 0.90 1.75 0.91 5.19 5.13 5.13 5.13 5.14 5.11 5.16 5.47 5.44 5.16 5.65 5.71 5.25 5.71 5.16 6.87 5.31 1.65 5.93 3.05 5.65 5.93 5.65 5.93 5.65 5.93 5.65 5.93 5.65 5.73 6.66 5.91 6.66 6.67 5.91 6.65 5.91 6.65 5.91 6.65 5.91 6.65 5.91 6.65 5.91 6.65 5.91 6.65 5.91 7.13 7.13 7	MZ003				9.19	0.14	0.70	0.92	79.0							.		11.2	506	5.38	141
	MZ004				9.13	0.13	0.75	0.95	68.0									10.8	509	5.28	133
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MZ005				7.41	0.14	0.69	1.00				`				7 3.56	3.02	13.6	432	5.63	159
	MZ006				8.42	0.12	4.18	0.90									6.57	9.99	501	6.09	115
	MZ015				8.12	0.13	5.40	0.73	115								6.79	6.68	383	8.66	142
147 2.75 2.51 67.8 0.54 0.97 2.64 1.07 2.73 1.27 6.00 4.13 3.31 1.79 3.47 6.35 14.7 2.86 2.59 0.93 1.49 7.72 0.17 2.03 0.93 1.41 1.52 5.91 2.35 6.10 9.35 1.33 1.78 3.49 6.51 14.7 2.28 0.53 0.93 1.64 1.55 6.1 9.86 4.71 1.86 5.4 3.20 2.33 1.78 3.49 6.5 14.6 2.86 0.33 0.37 0.41 0.33 1.64 1.77 3.66 0.40 5.57 5.74 16.1 1.96 1.57 6.53 0.37 0.41 0.37 0.33 1.33 1.33 1.35 1.12 1.18 5.74 2.11 1.65 2.37 2.31 1.35 5.74 11.9 3.32 1.56 6.3 0.17 <	MZ018				9.22	0.13	0.74	1.00	78.5								3.09	9.56	519	4.93	126
14.6 2.86 2.52 66.1 0.39 0.95 14.9 7.72 0.14 2.03 0.93 15.1 17.4 15.2 30.4 6.11 9.88 93.6 53.5 5.31 10.8 6.50 0.33 17.3 17.8 17.3 16.6 2.35 5.31 10.4 0.55 0.33 17.3 16.6 2.33 17.3 16.6 17.3 16.6 2.33 17.3 16.6 17.3 30.6 2.33 17.3 16.6 2.33 17.3 16.6 17.3 30.6 2.36 17.1 16.6 2.37 2.01 0.15 0.37 13.3 13.3 13.3 13.4 5.3 <td>MZ240</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6.00</td> <td>0.14</td> <td>0.64</td> <td>1.07</td> <td>32.4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>3.31</td> <td>17.9</td> <td>347</td> <td>6.35</td> <td>88.0</td>	MZ240				6.00	0.14	0.64	1.07	32.4								3.31	17.9	347	6.35	88.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1427M				1.12	0.1/	2.03	0.93	1.85								1.08	0.50	401	19.9	149
	M7249				9 5.8	0.15	0.59	1 00	50.3								00.0	17.5	538	6 14	116
10.1 1.36 1.67 66.5 0.53 1.25 7.07 0.16 0.55 0.53 1.25 7.07 8.59 3.3.4 7.95 2.74 2.11 1.65 2.97 5.33 11.9 3.32 1.70 66.3 0.36 0.87 2.80 10.7 0.15 0.97 0.64 10.2 101 11.5 8.52 7.92 10.3 231 37.0 3.13 2.31 13.0 14.1 503 4.05 13.4 3.39 0.81 6.6 0.31 0.88 0.61 0.37 0.31 0.87 2.30 2.31 37.0 3.13 13.0 6.45 0.31 13.9 50.2 6.34 0.35 6.45 0.31 13.9 50.2 6.34 0.35 6.39 0.21 0.31 13.0 8.7 4.7 6.11 12.2 14.1 50 13.1 12.2 13.1 10.1 13.0 8.7 13.1 12.1 13.1	MZ250				6.73	0.17	0.21	0.60	48.5									5.97	372	5.74	183
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MZ251				7.07	0.16	0.55	0.53	13.3	135 1	1.2 1							16.5	297	5.32	138
13.4 3.39 0.81 67.6 0.31 0.88 2.98 9.63 0.11 0.37 0.41 10.3 108 7.63 8.73 4.27 6.13 12.2 35.1 1.72 1.36 14.1 503 4.05 6 16.9 1.73 3.66 64.8 0.41 0.94 2.36 5.97 0.22 0.49 1.99 12.5 75.2 75.2 75.0 19.5 137 16.0 1107 428 5.19 6.40 20.2 350 6.56 17.7 184 3.80 64.9 0.39 1.24 2.40 5.17 0.23 0.41 1.75 8.45 7.28 17.7 19.5 13.0 55.0 3.30 6.47 3.32 6.45 15.8 1.75 1.91 6.97 0.43 1.07 2.19 0.71 0.19 56.1 14.1 7.8 14.2 14.2 14.2 14.2 14.2 14.2 14.2	MZ252				10.7	0.15	0.97	0.64	10.2	101 1								18.9	522	6.34	241
169 1.73 3.66 64.8 0.41 0.94 2.36 5.97 0.22 0.49 1.99 2.25 79.2 27.0 19.5 137 16.0 1107 428 5.19 6.40 20.2 350 6.56 17.7 1.84 3.80 64.9 0.39 1.24 2.40 5.17 0.23 0.41 1.75 8.45 7.2.8 27.7 19.2 6.02 12.9 19.5 37.0 5.18 0.91 13.0 302 6.45 15.3 2.17 1.07 5.19 7.71 0.19 0.57 0.91 13.0 302 6.45 5.32 5.19 7.71 14.7 5.0 5.62 13.3 10.2 14.4 2.10 5.0 5.51 3.12 5.18 14.4 0.21 5.97 5.20 5.51 14.3 13.0 5.01 5.10 5.10 5.12 5.12 5.11 4.21 5.32 5.10 5.10 5.10	MZ254				9.63	0.11	0.37	0.41	10.3	108 7								14.1	503	4.05	158
17.7 1.84 3.80 64.9 0.39 1.24 2.40 5.17 0.23 0.41 1.75 8.45 72.8 27.7 19.2 6.02 12.9 19.5 37.0 5.18 0.91 13.0 302 6.45 13.8 1.75 1.91 69.7 0.43 1.07 2.19 7.70 0.99 0.54 0.67 44.5 98.1 10.8 11.8 2.91 5.47 23.4 42.5 2.88 1.69 8.12 475 4.73 15.3 2.17 2.05 6.74 0.40 1.07 2.19 7.71 0.15 0.54 0.65 416 14.5 16.8 11.8 20.7 55.0 3.35 19.9 11.4 421 5.32 16.6 1.50 1.24 0.24 0.57 1.97 9.28 69.5 2.22 20.2 2.97 19.2 14.2 14.2 16.6 14.3 2.66 11.4 2.06 11.4	MZ263blue				5.97	0.22	0.49	1.99	22.5	79.2 2	7.0 1							20.2	350	6.56	60.0
13.8 1.75 1.91 69.7 0.43 1.07 2.19 7.70 0.09 0.54 0.67 44.5 98.1 10.8 11.8 2.91 5.47 23.4 42.5 2.85 1.69 8.12 475 4.73 15.3 2.17 2.05 67.4 0.40 1.05 2.19 7.71 0.15 0.51 0.94 56.4 16.6 7.83 30.7 55.0 3.35 1.99 11.4 421 5.32 16.6 1.50 1.94 6.76 0.32 1.20 2.44 0.57 0.97 29.9 76.3 16.3 14.5 8.01 8.04 22.6 4.31 3.62 5.90 10.1 17.8 1.60 2.33 1.20 1.83 4.44 0.24 0.67 1.37 807 80.1 20.4 1.41 205 6.18 0.18 0.04 1.28 180 1.16 1.12 18.5 1.14 205 6.18	MZ263col				5.17	0.23	0.41	1.75	8.45	72.8 2	1.7 1				5	5	0.91	13.0	302	6.45	56.6
15.3 2.17 2.05 67.4 0.40 1.05 2.19 7.71 0.15 0.51 0.94 56.4 116 14.2 14.0 10.6 7.43 30.7 55.0 3.35 1.99 11.4 421 5.32 16.6 1.50 1.94 67.6 0.32 1.20 2.45 6.55 0.18 0.52 0.97 29.9 76.3 16.3 14.5 8.01 8.04 22.6 43.1 3.28 1.58 11.6 362 5.90 hue 17.8 1.60 2.61 66.8 0.33 1.28 1.80 4.44 0.24 0.67 1.97 8.07 8.01 8.04 22.6 43.1 3.02 5.90 6.90 6.95 5.12 2.12 2.14 205 6.18 2.00 1.90 11.4 205 6.18 2.00 1.90 11.4 205 6.18 2.00 1.90 11.4 205 6.19 2.10 2.14	MZ264				7.70	0.09	0.54	0.67		`							1.69	8.12	475	4.73	66.5
16.6 1.50 1.94 67.6 0.32 1.20 2.45 6.55 0.18 0.52 0.97 76.3 16.3 14.5 8.01 8.04 22.6 43.1 3.28 1.58 11.6 362 5.90 Alue 17.8 1.60 2.61 66.8 0.33 1.02 1.83 4.44 0.24 0.67 1.97 9.28 69.5 22.5 20.2 566 21.1 2.33 4.68 10.5 11.4 205 6.18 ol 17.9 1.63 2.49 68.0 0.33 1.28 1.80 4.25 0.23 0.67 1.37 8.07 73.8 21.7 18.5 12.0 12.0 13.4 205 6.19 6.00 Alue 11.4 3.05 1.95 69.0 0.33 0.24 8.70 0.58 13.5 19.4 10.7 19.0 6.00 7.3 10.4 15.8 19.1 4.00 19.0 6.00	MZ267				7.71	0.15	0.51	0.94	56.4	116 1							1.99	11.4	421	5.32	104
Nue 1/8 1.00 2.01 6.0.8 0.35 1.02 1.83 4.44 0.24 0.60 1.97 9.28 69.5 2.2.5 20.1 2.1.2 2.132 1.033 4.08 10.5 11.4 205 6.1.8 0.033 1.28 1.80 4.25 0.23 0.67 1.37 8.07 73.8 21.7 18.5 12.0 12.0 1.14 2.09 8.07 1.33 8.07 73.8 21.7 18.5 12.0 12.0 12.0 13.9 13.9 10.4 1.29 8.79 191 6.00 Ile 11.4 3.05 1.95 6.0 0.33 1.28 1.30 0.58 1.31 8.07 73.8 21.7 18.5 12.0 12.0 13.0 13.0 10.4 1.29 8.79 191 6.00 Ile 11.4 3.05 1.95 6.0 0.33 1.74 8.70 0.13 6.61 12.4 14.8 5.06 <td>MZ268</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6.55</td> <td>0.18</td> <td>0.52</td> <td>0.97</td> <td>29.9</td> <td>76.3 1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>01 8.</td> <td>04 22.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.58</td> <td>11.6</td> <td>362</td> <td>5.90</td> <td>205</td>	MZ268				6.55	0.18	0.52	0.97	29.9	76.3 1			01 8.	04 22.			1.58	11.6	362	5.90	205
01 17.9 1.05 2.49 68.0 0.33 1.28 1.80 4.25 0.23 0.67 1.37 8.07 7.3.8 21.7 18.5 1.20 1.20 2.5.1 41.1 4.04 1.29 8.79 1.91 6.00 Alue 11.4 3.05 1.95 6.9.0 0.53 0.82 1.83 8.81 0.13 0.58 1.35 91.4 140 12.2 15.0 4.44 15.8 892 896 3.73 10.4 16.9 4.88 5.19 11.18 3.10 1.94 6.9.5 0.56 0.93 1.74 8.70 0.13 0.61 0.82 64.0 156 12.4 14.8 5.06 7.67 16.5 54.3 3.51 2.14 10.1 4.88 5.35 1 4.5 0.05 13.98 72.5 0.04 0.38 4.51 0.88 0.15 0.07 2.76 28.2 12.2 1.30 1.27 0.40 0.27 6.35 117 27.4 3.54 129 2.6 64.5	MZ269DIUE				4.44	0.24	0.0/	76.1	87.6	2 6.60	7 0.7						10.5	1.4	CU2	0.18	91./
111 3.10 1.94 9.5 0.56 9.33 1.24 8.70 0.13 0.50 1.56 1.56 1.57 1.57 1.57 1.57 1.57 1.57 1.57 1.57	MZ269C01 M7270hhite				4.25 8.81	0.23	0.58	1.3/	8.0/ 01 4	/3.8 2	1						10.4	8./9	191	6.00 5 19	90.6 144
4.5 0.05 13.98 72.5 0.04 0.38 4.51 0.88 0.15 0.07 2.76 28.2 12.2 1.30 1.27 0.40 0.27 6.35 117 27.4 3.54 129 26 64.5	MZ270col				8.70	0.13	0.61	0.82	64.0	156 1	2.4	-					2.14	10.1	488	5.35	147
	MZ008				0.88	0.15	0.07	2.76	28.2	12.2	.30					L	3.54	129	26	64.5	386

tab. 1 - LA-ICP-MS data of the glass finds from Mazara del Vallo, oxides and chlorine are given in [wt%], elements in [ppm] (continued).

					1							ſ				1	F	d	-		F	5			F		-	F	1	Г
	447014		000	49 1 2 1	5		1 , 1, 1		500	Pd Pd					C.0	112	017	λų,	0			10		E	1000					
	4107W	0001	67.0 0.70	10.1	20.0			71.0	00.00		1 (7)	0.0	7.0 0.2	2.1 2	10.0	1 24	0.10	1 1 2	77.0	0.00	0.00	1.04	1					/ 0 0 0	2.7	
	MZ238	1.18	0.25	0.05	70.0	0.00	0.75	0.27	0.07	260 6	11 1		13 6.2	4 1.30	0.40	1.24	0.18	1.1	0.24	0.66	60.0		0.08	0.89).0 00.0).0 00.7	0.7	4 0.1	
	MZ239	1.16	0.22	0.07	0.01	0.00			0.05	236 5	94 1	1.0 1.3	39 6.1	6 1.15	5 0.39	1.19	0.18	1.12	0.22	0.62	0.09		0.09	0.86			3.3 0.0	0.7		~
Byzantine 7 th to 8 th c.		1.45	0.31	0.06		0.00	1.54		0.04	218 6	.20 1	1.5 1.4	14 6.1	6 1.20		1.16	0.18	1.05	0.23	0.61	0.09		0.09	1.14 (1.9 0.0	0.8		10
	MZ243	1.29	0.28	0.04		0.00			0.04	235 6	.30 1	1.8 1.4	17 6.3	9 1.3		1.23	0.19	1.12	0.24	0.63	0.08		0.09	0.95 (48 0.(0.0		9
	MZ244a	1.26	0.42	0.06		0.00	0.77	0.02	0.04	226 6 221 5	76 11	2.2 1.3	53 6.6	21 1.3.		1.23	0.19	1.09	0.26	0.65			0.09	1.09 (71 0.0	0.8		+ -
	MZ245	1.10	0.13	0.05		0.00	0.62		0.00	238 6	.11 1	1.3 1.4	12 6.0	1.2		1.16	0.18	1.09	0.23	0.63	0.09		0.08	0.84			84 0.0	0.7		- 9
	MZ007	2.54	7.40	0.83	0.11				0.20	504 8	8.92 16	5.3 1.5	1.7			1.30	0.20	1.14	0.24	0.66			0.10 2	2.31 0		ľ.	1019 0.7	0 1.6		~
	MZ009	2.74	6.61	9.43	0.06	0.00			0.08 7	76.8 9	.16 1(5.7 1.8	36 7.4			1.35	0.20	1.13	0.23	0.65	_		0.11 4	4.10			22 1.4	1.8		~
	MZ011	2.46	8.45	0.13	0.04	0.00			0.18	522 7	55 1	3.5 1.4	t6 5.59		-	0.99	0.13	0.72	0.16	0.45	.		0.07 2	2.80		1	48.9 0.0	1 1.7		
	MZ012	2.72	4.36	0.32	0.12	0.00			0.10	478 9	<u>`</u>	7.5 2.0	12 7.9	-		1.52	0.22	1.24	0.27				0.12 4	4.13 1			16 0.(1.8		-+ 1
	MZ013	2.96	4.81	1.57	0.08	0.00	30.6	71 1	0.14 1	152 9 130 0	9.35 16 0 06 15	16.8 1.9	33 7.7	5 1.49 15 1.55	9 0.34 5 0.34	1.46	0.21	1.10	0.25	0.67	0.10	0.69 (0.11	3.45 0	0.18 0		323 0.7 510 0.7	1.81	1 1.00	
	MZ017	2.18	4.22	0.18	0.05	0.00			0.08 6	7 7.55		1.5 1.6	10 0.4	- -		1.72	0.18	0.87	0.17				0.08	2.82		00. 11	1.0 0.00			
	MZ019	2.72	7.01	69.0	0.11	0.01		7.23	0.23 2	275 8	Ľ	5.4 1.5	12 8.0	-		2.10	0.23	1.21	0.25				0.11 3							. +
	MZ020	2.53	6.35	0.35	0.12			6.53	0.15	574 8	`	15.6 1.8	31 7.3			1.71	0.20	1.08	0.22	0.63							051 0.24			6
Islamic 10 th to 11 th c	MZ247	1.68	2.54	0.10				0.02		259 6	`	2.1 1.5	54 6.6			1.28	0.20	1.09	0.23	0.56			0.08	1.04 (_			~		4
	MZ253	2.75	7.32	0.81	0.02	0.00	29.1	13.0			`	1.18	36 7.3			1.19	0.18	1.03	0.21	0.61					_					~
	MZ255 M7756	2.54	5.69	1.46	0.07	0.00	24.6 65.6	49.6 6 07		192 8 180 7	8.26 15 7.67 14	15.3 1.8	84 7.58 75 6.03	58 1.43 32 1.45	3 0.27 5 0.26	1.29	0.19	1.04	0.23	0.61		0.63			0.17 0		660 0.08 676 0.37			5
	M7758	36.0	5 17	0 00	0 13	0.00	118					58 15	37 75			113	0 19	18	12.0	0.61			60.0	78 (
	MZ259	2.52	4.65	1.84	0.15	0.03	67.9		0.13	201 7	.96	4.5 1.7	77 7.0	<u> </u>		1.27	0.20	1.05	0.23	0.61			60.0	2.60 (02 0.	19 1.5		
	MZ260	3.03	10.0	12.2	0.24	0.12	169		0.07	407 5	.35 1	7.8 1.9	5	Ì		1.25	0.19	1.07	0.22	_			0.11	3.51 (711 3.	60 2.2		5
	MZ261	2.08	2.75	0.26	0.04				0.13	209 6		8.1 1.4	13 5.5			0.81	0.14	0.77	0.16				0.07 2	2.41 0						~
	MZ262	2.87	4.89	0.69	0.11				0.09	146 8		5.3 1.7	2 7.4			1.19	0.21	1.13	0.23				0.09	3.19 0	0.18 0		70 0.09			~
	MZ265		4.60	9.09	0.26				~		` `	1.1	14 6.7			1.09	0.15	0.88	0.21											
	MZ266		4.74	2.32	0.07	0.00			· ·		· ·	3.6 1.5	59 6.3			0.98	0.15	0.91	0.18											
	MZ003	00.1	032	0.39	20.0	05.0	2.63	0.45	1	c col	1	1.1 0.0	79 0.			1 77	0.19	0.04	02.0	0.54				0 00 2	0 18 0	`	0.0 0.0			
	MZ004	3.08	0.27	0.09	0.07				0		`	5.5 1.7	13 6.7			1.04	0.16	0.91	0.18							' `				
	MZ005	3.30	0.64	0.38	0.08				0.41	118 8	.78 1t	5.3 1.8	31 7.07			1.46	0.18	1.00	0.20	_							5.9 0.0)4 2.1		~
	MZ006	2.42	8.15	0.36	0.14				0.19 1	1294 8	.58 1(5.3 1.8	_			1.52	0.18	1.04	0.21								33 0.	1.7		6
	MZ015	2.29	14.7	0.22	0.26	0.00	3.36		0.16	756 1	0.00	6.3 2.(07 8.4			2.08	0.24	1.35	0.28	0.79			0.11	3.34 (.	23.2 0.0	1.6		~ -
	M7240	3 00	0.79	0.06	0.10	0.07	7.72 1.46	0.60	0.55 ,	94 / 139 G	_ `	14./ 1.0 18.5 2.0	02 0.3U 08 813	1.20		1 70	0.19	1 11	0.18	0,60			0.09	010	0.18 0		74 0.0	1.00 1.00 1.00		
	MZ241	3.40	9.26	0.26		0.00			200	5 66	ľ.	7.5 2.0	15 8.4			1.32	0.21	1.18	0.24	0.67			0.09	3.64 (.4 0.0	0 2.2		
	MZ246	3.02	0.30	0.06	0.10				0.54 1	136 9	.75 18.	3.2 2.0	7. 7.9	`		1.23	0.19	1.12	0.21	0.58			0.09	2.10 0	0.18 0		9.98 0.0	3 2.3		
	MZ249	3.58	0.28	0.04	0.05	0.24	2.94	0.45	0.42	123 9	<u> </u>	8.5 2.6)7 8.07 r 7.7	` `		1.27	0.19	1.05	0.22	0.58			0.09	2.59 0			0.0)4 2.3		
Swabian13 th c.	MZ251	2.57	1.03	0.07	0.03	0.04		0.77	0.32	^{4,7} c 185 6	- 64	1.3 1.3	3 5.3	0.98 0.98		0.80	0.14	0.78	0.18	0.48			0.08 3	3.27 (0.18 0	21 CO.	0.0	1.7 V	8 0.67	+ ~
	MZ252	2.91	0.80	0.23	0.04	0.09			0.28 2	269 7	.69 1	3.8 1.6	52 6.5	0 1.4(0 0.25	1.14	0.18	1.06	0.22	0.61			0.10 5	5.43 (0.43 2	3.3 0.(94 1.7	0 0.62	~
	MZ254 MZ 262blus	2.24	0.86	0.12	0.05	0.12 5 75	3.13	0.22	0.30	123 5	.98 1	15 1.	25 4.9	1 0.9	5 0.19	0.74	0.11	0.65	0.14	0.40	0.00	0.40	0.06	3.57 (.25 54 7	1.2 0.0 ⁷)1 1.2 NF 1.6	8 0.4 0.6	
	M 7762 col	10.0	0.01	00	0.12	C/.C			0.71	1 CU	- 10		07 0.01 ED 6 56	10 I J	2 0.42	1 17	77.0	1 10	CC.0	0.00	60.0	10.0	0.09	1 27	0 0 0	+C: C / C	24 U.V	0.1	0.0	
	M776A	0/.c	0.95	0.05	0.04	0.04			0.16	150 6	.01 .23	1 1 1 2 2	0.0 70	1.0 0	10.0	0.81	17.0	0.70	0.10	0.48	0.07	0 2 0	0.08	1 22	+ _	1 17	5.7 0.1	9.1 1 1 9	0.0 0 0 0	<u> </u>
	MZ267	3.37	0.34	0.06	0.08	0.73	4.07		0.32	112 8	1 16.1	5.3 1.7	7.0 7.0	1.30	5 0.26	0.97	0.16	0.88	0.17	0.52	0.07	0.53	0.08	2.37 (0.18 0	.27 20	6.0 0.1	04 2.0	3 0.7	~ ∞
	MZ268		0.27	0.09	0.09	0.43	5.83		0.36	111 8	.62 1	6.0 1.8	83 7.3	31 1.3	8 0.29	1.13	0.18	1.04	0.21	0.58	0.08	0.57	, 60.0	4.51 (0.20 0	.30 18	18.5 0.1	1.1.5	7 0.69	6
	MZ 269blue	_	0.34	0.62	0.16	69.1	78.2		0.32	130 8	3.17 1.	5.9 1.	75 7.0)3 1.4	1 0.34	1.16	0.19	1.06	0.23	0.57	0.08	0.57	0.09	2.13	0.19 0	.42 5	07 0.	79 1.7	7 0.5	5
	MZ269col		0.28		0.04	0.55		0.18	0.16	118	.58 1	4.2 1.	64 6.(54 1.3	9 0.30	1.12	0.20	1.05	0.21	0.57	0.08	0.57	0.09	2.11 (0.19 0	1.28 5.	.06		4 0.5	~
	MZ 2/Ublue	3.04	0.41	0.31	80.0	04.6	1.00	14./	15.0	88 /	.98	0.5 1.6	C.0 C.	1.2	0.26	1.04	0.15	/8/	0.19	0.48	0.08	0.54	. 80.0	2.33	0.1/	.3/ 4(104 10	9.1 - 90 0.1 - 0.0	0.00 2	
Oheidian	MZ008	2.70 05.8	07.5	0.44	cu.u	0.10		0.15	1 40 8	0 CO	7.5 1	11 C.0 17 80	7 47	10 8 10 8	7 1 11	CU.1 73.11	1 77	10.54	0.10	UC.U	1.00	0 20 8	1 20 02	0 85 6	0 1 1 1 1	47 DC.	CU 0 CU 9	0.7 CI	1 U.Y.	
UDDINIGIO	INITANO	0.00	21.0	FF-5		2.12		r.v	<u>></u>	700		71 07	F		F	3.1	7/1	10.01	10.7			0.0			17.0	÷.			2	2

tab. 1 - LA-ICP-MS data of the glass finds from Mazara del Vallo, oxides and chlorine are given in [wt%], elements in [ppm].

is a truncated cone beaker with engraved decorations showing columns and arches similar to fragments retrieved from the Serçe Limani shipwreck (*supra* COLANGELI, type 1.2).

The thirteenth-century glass typologies instead consist exclusively of tableware, mostly beakers and bottles, but also some goblets (fig. 8). Prunted beakers are particularly numerous. Prunted beakers were popular during the thirteenth century throughout Europe and the Mediterranean, and their comprehensive typological study has revealed that they must have been produced in different workshops (Foy 2014). The Mazara finds include seven prunted beakers with some typological as well as compositional variations (tab. 1). Two samples were too fragmented to determine a precise typology (MZ 240, MZ 249), but their prunts are clearly different from the other samples. Four prunted beakers (type A) have large barrel-shaped bodies with a flared upper part and several rows of prunts. Trails are applied around the body just below the flared upper part as well as at the base. Type A beakers are the most common type known in Italy, from Tuscany (MENDERA 1996, 2004), Rome (RICCI 2002) and Puglia (WHITEHOUSE 1966), and have previously been recorded in Sicily at Segesta, Gela and Palermo (supra COLANGELI, type I.4a; FIORILLA 2012; FOY 2014; MOLINARI 1997). One prunted beaker (MZ 251) corresponds to Foy type A1 (Foy 2014) and has a narrow cylindrical body, an extensively flared rim and a pinched trail at the base. This type is less common in Italy, but examples are known from Palermo (supra COLANGELI, type I.4b; Foy 2014). Other forms identified among the Mazara assemblage are thick, mould-blown beakers with vertical ribs (MZ 267), and a large cylindrical beaker (MZ 252) that flares near the top and that has a foot ring attached around the base (supra COLANGELI, type I.3 and type I.5, respectively). The latter shape has been found in some southern Italian, Egyptian as well as Syrian contexts, and is usually painted or enamelled (supra COLANGELI, type I.5). The sample from Mazara is plain and thus a cheaper variant of the same typology. Finally, three objects, two bottle necks (MZ 269, MZ 270) and a beaker (MZ 263), are decorated with applied blue trails, similar to some objects from France (Foy 2014, p. 128, fig. 1; NEWBY 1991, p. 40).

3. DISCUSSION

The analytical data have established that the vitreous finds from Mazara dating to the tenth and eleventh centuries as well as those from the thirteenth century are consistent with the use of a soda plant ash recipe, and that the two chronological groups are compositionally intimately related. No clear sub-classification of the plant ash glass groups was possible, neither with regard to the plant ash component nor to the silica source. With few exceptions, all plant ash glasses have approximately the same base glass composition. The key characteristics include moderate alumina, alkali and alkaline earth metals, high heavy element concentrations as well as high lithium compared to most other plant ash glass groups known from this period. This raises questions about the provenance of the Mazara glass and whether there are comparable glass compositions from other early Islamic sites. The question of the origin of the Mazara glass ties into the broader issue of the development of the soda ash glass industry in the western Mediterranean.

Compositional likeness to other soda ash glass types

Trying to establish compositional similarities between glass assemblages is a rather complex issue, but by way of elimination some possibilities can at least be excluded with relative certainty. We compared the compositions of the Mazara plant

ash glass to published data from plant ash glass assemblages of Levantine, Egyptian and Iberian origins, particularly in relation to elements that are related to the silica source such as titanium and zirconium. Titanium and zirconium are usually highly correlated, and eastern Mediterranean as well as early Islamic Iberian plant ash glasses distribute along a similar zirconium to titanium regression line (fig. 9a). In contrast, the samples from Mazara clearly deviate, exhibiting higher zirconium relative to titanium concentrations that reflect the use of different raw materials and by extension a different geological and geographical source of the silica component. The singularity of the Mazara glass finds is evident when comparing the ratios of thorium to zirconium and lanthanum to titanium that separate different regional plant ash glass groups (fig. 9b). Due to the relatively high concentrations of zirconium, the majority of the Mazara samples has the lowest Th/Zr ratios setting them apart from all other regional groups, in spite of overlapping La/TiO₂ ratios. The output from Tuscan workshops dating to the thirteenth and fourteenth century do not provide a match for the Mazara samples either, as the Tuscan glasses have much higher thorium to zirconium ratios (CAGNO et al. 2012a; CAGNO et al. 2012b; CAGNO et al. 2008; POSEDI et al. 2019).

Since the exact combination of compositional features of the Mazara plant ash glass has not been encountered anywhere else in the Mediterranean region, the raw materials must have originated from a yet unknown primary production location. It is therefore reasonable to assume that the glass derived from a regional, perhaps Sicilian silica source that is characterised by a high zirconium content relative to the other traces and REEs. A regional production is also supported by the fact that there are no marked differences between the two chronological groups from Mazara, which suggests a certain continuity in the supply and by extension in the manufacture of glass, in spite of fundamental geopolitical changes that are reflected in the different typological repertoires. Some modifications occurred with respect to the addition of manganese and the degree of recycling, both of which are significantly lower in the thirteenth-century group. Notable manganese contents are usually present in early Islamic glass finds (SwAN et al. 2017, and references therein). The decrease in manganese concentrations in the thirteenth century may thus reflect a changing socio-economic landscape in Sicily that had since come under Swabian occupation.

There are some exceptional objects among the plant ash glasses from Mazara. As mentioned before, sample MZ 247 exhibits very different trace element patterns with significantly lower heavy element concentrations relative to the REEs. This fragment has a compositional signature very close to the glass from the primary production site of Tyre (FREESTONE 2002) and may have been imported from the Levantine coast as finished object. Examples of early Islamic Levantine plant ash glass have turned up around the entire Mediterranean littoral, in Spain (DE JUAN ARES et al. 2021), southern France (unpublished), and the Italian Peninsula (BERTINI et al. 2020; NERI et al. 2019). Large shipments of Levantine plant ash glass arrived in Egypt (SCHIBILLE et al. 2019) as well as Asia Minor (BRILL 2009), indicating that Levantine plant ash glass was traded widely during the tenth and eleventh centuries. Another of the Mazara samples (MZ 002) has very high thorium to zirconium ratios, coupled with relatively high soda and magnesia values. This may be a fragment of Iberian glass (DE JUAN ARES, SCHIBILLE 2017; DE JUAN ARES, SCHIBILLE under review). Two of the thirteenth century cobalt blue samples also exhibit different base glass compositions. Their cobalt signature corresponds to a European cobalt source, possibly located in the area of the Erzgebirge in central Europe that was exploited since




fig. 8 – Some examples of Swabian context glasses.




fig. 9 – Comparison of the Mazara plant ash glass with published data of early Islamic glass assemblages from the Levant, Egypt and the Iberian Peninsula. (a) Zirconium and titanium contents reflect the silica source and highlight the compositional differences of the Mazara samples; (b) thorium to zirconium ratios clearly separate the Mazara glass from all other regional groups, while they overlap to a certain extent in terms of their lanthanum to titanium ratios; (c) lithium and boron normalised to soda levels demonstrate the peculiar nature of the plant ash component of the Mazara samples that closely resembles that of early Islamic glasses from the Iberian Peninsula; (d) Spanish plant ash glass has on average higher magnesia levels than all other early Islamic soda-rich plant ash glasses. Data sources: (SCHIBILLE *et al.* 2019) (Egyptian); (PHELPS 2017, PHELPS 2018) (Levantine); (DE JUAN ARES, SCHIBILLE 2017) (Spain).




fig. 10 - Comparison of the seventhand eighth-century glass from Mazara with published data of Roman and late antique natron type glass finds from other Sicilian sites. Data sources: (ARLETTI et al. 2010; BARBERA et al. 2012; DI BELLA et al. 2015) (Sicilian); (CEGLIA et al. 2019; DE JUAN ARES et al. 2019b; FOSTER, JACKSON 2009; FREESTONE et al. 2018) (HIMT); (CEGLIA et al. 2019; Foy et al. 2003; SCHIBILLE et al. 2016) (Foy 2.1); (BALVANOVIĆ et al. 2018; CHOLAKOVA, REHREN 2018, FOY et al. 2003; GALLO et al. 2014; MALTONI et al. 2015) (Foy 3.2). Error bars illustrate the standard deviations where means are given.

the thirteenth century CE (BIDEGARAY, POLLARD 2018; GRATUZE *et al.* 2018; GRATUZE *et al.* 1992; GRATUZE *et al.* 1996). These two beakers may have been imported as finished objects, however, no close match has yet been identified. The third fragment decorated with a cobalt blue glass is of the Mazara plant ash composition, whereas the cobalt colourant is again associated with an increase

in zinc, indium and lead akin to a European cobalt source. This implies that the cobalt colourant may have been traded separately to be used in Sicilian workshops.

Raw materials for glass production are known to have frequently been traded over long distances. For instance, soda ash used for the production of glass in Italy from the twelfth or thirteenth century onwards was imported from either the Levantine coast or the Iberian Peninsula (CAGNO et al. 2008; POSEDI et al. 2019). Plant ash from these two regions typically results in different glass compositions. Most notably, Spanish *barilla* is said to be richer in potassium oxide ($K_2O > 4.5\%$) than Levantine plant ash (K,O < 3.5%) (CAGNO *et al.* 2008; FREESTONE 2006; Posedi et al. 2019; Schibille et al. 2018). There is some mention of the trade of plant ash for the use in soaps in Iberia as early as the ninth century (Góмеz 1957), and plant ash glassmaking appears to be fully established in Spain by the tenth century (DE JUAN ARES, SCHIBILLE 2017; SCHIBILLE et al. 2020). Early Islamic plant ash glasses from Iberia have distinct compositional characteristics, including a peculiar plant ash component with elevated lithium and boron similar to the glass from Mazara (fig. 9c). However, Spanish plant ash glasses tend to have higher magnesia and soda concentrations (fig. 9d). This means that the import of soda-rich plant ash from the Iberian Peninsula to Sicily cannot be confirmed.

Concerning the sub-category of the thirteenth-century Mazara glass that contains lower alkaline earth metals, the plant ash may have undergone a purification process to remove the less soluble compounds such as magnesium, calcium and phosphorus (CAGNO et al. 2012a; MCCRAY 1998; POSEDI et al. 2019; VERITÀ, ZECCHIN 2009). Purification of plant ash is thought to have been practiced in the production of glass in northern Italy in the thirteenth century and later, certainly in Venice, but also in Liguria and Tuscany (CAGNO et al. 2012a; CAGNO et al. 2012b). It is interesting to note that the glass from the Islamic period of Mazara does not show any signs of purification and only the plant ash for some of the thirteenth-century samples may have undergone some treatment. This suggests a chronological shift in the preparation of the raw materials destined for specialised glass productions. Given the enormous variability of plant ashes (BARKOUDAH, HENDERSON 2006), however, it is difficult to estimate the real extent of ash purification and/or what impact different purification techniques may have had on the final glass composition.

TEMPORAL AND REGIONAL DEVELOPMENTS

The compositional structure of the Mazara assemblage highlights temporal developments in the supply of glass to Sicily. The comparison with published data of Roman and late antique glass assemblages from other Sicilian sites (fig. 10) provides a glimpse into shifting trade networks between the fifth/ sixth century and the late seventh century. Previous studies on Sicilian natron type glass assemblages identified predominantly Egyptian glass groups. Fourth- to fifth-century HIMT glass, for example, prevailed in the secondary glass workshop in the Roman amphitheatre of Catania (DI BELLA et al. 2015) as well as at the village of Ganzirri north of Messina (ARLETTI et al. 2010). The slightly later Foy 2.1 compositional group (second half of fifth and sixth century), also of Egyptian origin, was discovered in Ganzirri and at the church of St Agata la Vetere in Catania, where some Egyptian Foy 3.2 specimens were also found (BARBERA et al. 2012). Only three Roman samples of a likely Levantine origin were among the finds from the workshop at Catania; they appear to correspond to the Roman manganese decoloured and Roman naturally coloured category (DI BELLA et al. 2015). Altogether, three Apollonia type Levantine I compositions were identified among the finds from Ganzirri and the church of St Agata, the latter sample dating to the late eighth century CE.

Even if the currently available data of glass assemblages from late antique and medieval Sicily remain limited, it can be concluded that most of the glass consumed on the island in the fourth to sixth century was Egyptian. Judging from the Mazara glass finds the only glass group in the late seventh and eighth century is Apollonia type Levantine I. By the late seventh century, raw glass produced on the Levantine coast has seemingly replaced Egyptian glass as the main source of supply in Sicily. Similar observations have been made with respect to glass assemblages from Visigothic Spain, where there is evidence that this shift took place in the second half of the sixth century CE (DE JUAN ARES et al. 2019a). What motivated this development is difficult to explain. The reason could be at the source itself, insofar as the extent of primary glass production in Egypt during the seventh century remains uncertain. Alternatively, maritime trade networks may have changed on a regional as well as interregional scale at the time. In the eighth century, Egypt once again exported large quantities of glass, this time almost exclusively to the Levant (ADLINGTON, RITTER, SCHIBILLE 2020; PHELPS et al. 2016). The eighth- and ninth-century glass groups known as Egypt 1 and Egypt 2 have only sporadically been found in the western Mediterranean (NERI et al. 2019), suggesting that the long-distance bulk exchange of vitreous materials by that time was severely reduced.

The most important outcome of our study is that the composition of the tenth- to thirteenth-century plant ash glasses from Mazara does not correspond to any known eastern Mediterranean, Iberian or in fact Italian assemblage, whereas a substantial number of contemporary glass finds from other Sicilian sites like Castello della Pietra, Castronovo area, the Islamic village built on the remains of the Villa del Casale and six contexts located in different neighbourhoods of Palermo exhibit the same distinct compositional characteristics, suggesting a new, possibly local/regional primary production group¹. The compositional makeup of a single tenth-century glass fragment from Utica (Tunisia) seems to be almost identical to the Mazara plant ash glass both in terms of the silica as well as the compositional features of the plant ash component (fig. 9). Typologically, the Islamic finds from Mazara bear some resemblance with the glass from Şabra al-Manşūriya (Qayrawān, Tunisia), where archaeological remains point to the primary production of raw glass (Foy 2012, 2017, 2020). Qayrawan was an important cultural and political centre in the ninth to eleventh centuries, and it may therefore be argued that raw glass was more likely manufactured in north Africa rather than in Sicily. In line with this interpretation, the excavations at Mazara yielded Tunisian ceramic ware from tenth-century as well as thirteenth-century contexts. Furthermore, none of the sand sources from Sicily analysed by Degryse (2014) have compositions suitable for producing glass with the characteristics of the Mazara plant ash glass. However, together with Tunisian imports local ceramics and ware from Palermo have also been found. Recent investigations of pottery from Palermo have identified local ceramic productions that show close similarities with some Tunisian ones, such as the yellow Raqqāda and yellow Palermo pottery attested by the end of the ninth or first decades of the tenth century. The absence of experimentation and the presence of locally produced glazed ceramics of high quality from the very beginning in the ninth century points to the arrival in Sicily of skilled craftsmen (SACCO 2017). It is quite conceivable that this

¹ These contexts were studied from both typologicaly and archaeometric point of view within the PhD research "*The reflection of glass and metals: beyond the pottery for the understanding of cultural and economic changes. The case of Sicily in the 6th-13th centuries*", conducted by F. Colangeli at the University of Rome "Tor Vergata" with the collaboration of ERC projects "*Sicily in transition*" and "*GlassRoutes*".

also applies to the development of glass production in Sicily. Written sources from the fourteenth century show that Sicily was known for both good quality sand that was exported to Venice as well as halophytic plants (D'ANGELO 1991). Finally, the fact that the typological repertoire of the glass assemblage from Mazara changed radically from the eleventh to the thirteenth century, while the base glass composition remained largely unchanged, favours a Sicilian production.

CONCLUSION

The analytical investigation of the archaeological glass assemblage from Mazara reveals broad temporal developments in economic and technological activities over the long term, highlighting periods of transformation and differences between regional traditions. Byzantine natron type glass was imported from the Levantine coast, as was generally the case in the western Mediterranean, which proves that the long-distance maritime connections were still intact. For the Islamic period, the analytical data suggest a marked contrast between Sicily and the Italian Peninsula, where recycling of old natron glass was the main source of supply during the latter part of the first millennium CE. Towards the end of the first millennium CE, glass is not a very common material in the archaeological record of Italy and its presence is usually associated with ecclesiastical, monastic or aristocratic contexts (supra Colangeli; Castelli 2020; Ferri 2018; Di Muro 2017; Del Vecchio 2002). In contrast, Sicily appears firmly integrated into the Islamic sphere of influence, which is mirrored in the circulation and possibly even the production of soda-rich plant ash glasses in line with the Islamic tradition. The glass assemblage from Mazara reflects technological and typological features common elsewhere in the Islamic world. However, the glass itself exhibits distinct compositional features that indicate a regional glass production, possibly in Sicily itself.

Ultimately, our compositional and typological study of the Mazara glass supports a model for the transformation of the supply of glass to the island of Sicily and the possible establishment of a new indigenous primary glass production for a predominantly local or regional market. Soda-rich plant ash glass first appears in the archaeological context of Mazara during the Islamic period, at a time when the production of glass using soda ash as principle fluxing agent was firmly established in the Islamic world from Central Asia to the Iberian Peninsula. It is thus highly probable that this Islamic glassmaking technology was transferred to Sicily from other Mediterranean areas. Our data fit seamlessly into general observations about the adoption of plant ash glassmaking in the medieval Mediterranean that imply a reduced scale of production and thus a greater diversity of glass compositions. Once established, this glassmaking tradition survived political and cultural upheavals and Sicily's integration into the European fold (the spätzle connection). In the absence of more precise geological and/or archaeological evidence for a local or regional glass production in Sicily, however, this model remains tentative.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Parco Archeologico di Selinunte e Cave di Cusa that authorized sampling and the analyses of the glass fragments from Mazara del Vallo. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 647315 to NS). The funding organisation had no influence in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- ADLINGTON L., RITTER M., SCHIBILLE N., 2020, Production and provenance of architectural glass from the Umayyad period, «PLoS ONE», 15, e0239732.
- ARLETTI et al. 2010 = ARLETTI R., GIACOBBE C., QUARTIERI S., SABA-TINO G., TIGANO G., TRISCARI M., VEZZALINI G., Archaeometrical Investigation of Sicilian Early Byzantine Glass: Chemical and Spectroscopic Data, «Archaeometry», 52, pp. 99-114.
- BALVANOVIĆ R., STOJANOVIĆ M. M., ŠMIT Ž., 2018, Exploring the unknown Balkans: Early Byzantine glass from Jelica Mt. in Serbia and its contemporary neighbours, «Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry», 317, pp. 1175-1189.
- BARBERA et al. 2012 = BARBERA G., BARONE G., CRUPI V., LONGO F., MAJOLINO D., MAZZOLENI P., SABATINO G., TANASI D., VENUTI V., Study of Late Roman and Byzantine glass by the combined use of analytical techniques, «Journal of non-crystalline solids», 358, pp. 1554-1561.
- BARKOUDAH Y., HENDERSON J., 2006, *Plant ashes from Syria and the* manufacture of ancient glass: ethnographic and scientific aspects, «Journal of Glass Studies», 48, pp. 297-321.
- BERTINI C., HENDERSON J., CHENERY S., 2020, Seventh to eleventh century CE glass from Northern Italy: between continuity and innovation. «Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences», 12, 120.
- BIDEGARAY A.I., POLLARD A., 2018, Tesserae Recycling in the Production of Medieval Blue Window Glass, «Archaeometry», 60, 4, pp. 784-796.
- BREMS et al. 2018 = BREMS D., FREESTONE I. C., GORIN-ROSEN Y., SCOTT R., DEVULDER V., VANHAECKE F., DEGRYSE P., Characterisation of Byzantine and early Islamic primary tank furnace glass. «Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports», 20, pp. 722-735.
- BRILL R. H., 2009, The chemistry of the Glass in G.F. BASS, B. LLEDO, S. MATTHEWS, R.H. BRILL (eds.) Serçe Limant, Vol 2: The Glass of an Eleventh-Century Shipwreck, Lewis St.
- CAGNO S., JANSSENS K., MENDERA M., 2008, Compositional analysis of Tuscan glass samples: in search of raw material fingerprints, «Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry», 391, pp. 1389-1395.
- CAGNO et al. 2012a = CAGNO S., BADANO M.B., MATHIS F., STRIVAY D., JANSSENS K., Study of medieval glass fragments from Savona (Italy) and their relation with the glass produced in Altare, «Journal of Archaeological Science», 39, pp. 2191-2197.
- CAGNO et al. 2012b = CAGNO S., FAVARETTO L., MENDERA M., IZMER A., VANHAECKE F., JANSSENS K., Evidence of early medieval soda ash glass in the archaeological site of San Genesio (Tuscany), «Journal of Archaeological Science», 39, pp. 1540-1552.
- CARMONA et al. 2009 = CARMONA N., VILLEGAS M. A., JIMÉNEZ P., NAVARRO J., GARCÍA-HERAS M., Islamic glasses from Al-Andalus. Characterisation of materials from a Murcian workshop (12th century AD, Spain), «Journal of Cultural Heritage», 10, pp. 439-445.
- CARVER et al. 2019 = CARVER M.O.H., MOLINARI A., ANICETI V., CAPELLI C., COLANGELI F., DRIEU L., FIORENTINO G., GIOVANNINI F., HUMMLER M., LUNDY J. L., 2019, *Sicily in transition: new research* on early medieval Sicily, 2017-2018, «FOLD&R Italy», 437, www. fastionline.org/docs/FOLDER-it-2019-437.pdf
- CASTELLI L., 2020, Glass Artefacts From The Site Of Vetricella (Scarlino, Grosseto), in G. BIANCHI, R. HODGES (eds.), The nEU-Med project: Vetricella an Early Medieval royal property on Tuscany's Mediterranean, Biblioteca di Archeologia Medievale 28, Firenze, pp. 69-78.
- CEGLIA et al. 2019 = CEGLIA A., COSYNS P., SCHIBILLE N., MEULEBRO-ECK W., Unravelling provenance and recycling of late antique glass from Cyprus with trace elements, «Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences», 11, pp. 279-291.
- CHOLAKOVA A., REHREN T., 2018, A late antique manganese decolourised glass composition: Interpreting patterns and mechanisms of distribution, in D. ROSENOW, M. PHELPS, A. MEEK, I. FREESTONE (eds.), Things that Travelled: Mediterranean Glass in the First Millennium AD, London, pp. 46-71.
- COLANGELI F., 2021, La Sicilia in transizione (VI-XIII secolo): riflessi nella produzione vetraria, in A. COSCARELLA, E. NERI, G. NOYÉ (a cura di), Il vetro in transizione (IV-XII secolo). Produzione e commercio in Italia meridionale e nell'Adriatico, Bari, pp. 215-224.
- Coscarella A. (a cura di), 2012, Il vetro in Italia. Testimonianze, produzioni e commerci in età basso-medievale. Il vetro il Calabria:

vecchie scoperte, nuove acquisizioni, Atti delle XV Giornate Nazionali di Studio sul vetro AIHV (Università della Calabria, 9-11 giugno 2011), Ricerche, VII, Rossano.

- CROVERI P., FRAGALÀ I., CILIBERTO E., 2010, Analysis of glass tesserae from the mosaics of the 'Villa del Casale'near Piazza Armerina (Enna, Italy). Chemical composition, state of preservation and production technology, «Applied Physics A», 100, pp. 927-935.
- D'ANGELO F. 1991. La produzione del vetro a Palermo. Materie prime locali e maestranze toscane, in M. MENDERA (a cura di), Archeologia e Storia della produzione del vetro preindustriale, Firenze, pp. 107-116.
- DE JUAN ARES J., SCHIBILLE N., 2017, Glass import and production in Hispania during the early medieval period: The glass from Ciudad de Vascos (Toledo), «PLoS ONE», 12, e0182129.
- DE JUAN ARES J. et al. 2019a = DE JUAN ARES J., GUIRADO A. V.-E., CÁCERES GUTIÉRREZ Y., SCHIBILLE N., Changes in the supply of eastern Mediterranean glasses to Visigothic Spain, «Journal of Archaeological Science», 107, pp. 23-31.
- DE JUAN ARES J. *et al.* 2019b = DE JUAN ARES J., SCHIBILLE N., VIDAL J. M., SÁNCHEZ DE PRADO M.D., *The supply of glass at Portus Ilicitanus* (*Alicante, Spain*): A meta-analysis of HIMT glasses, «Archaeometry», 61, pp. 647-662.
- DE JUAN ARES, J., CÁCERES GUTIÉRREZ, Y., MORENO ALMENARA, M. et al. 2021, Composition and origins of decorated glass from Umayyad Cordoba (Spain), «Heritage Science», 9, p. 31.
- DE JUAN ARES J., SCHIBILLE N., under review, *El taller de Pechina y el inicio de la producción de vidrio en Al-Andalus. El alfar de cerámica vidriada de Pechina (siglo IX)*, Jaén.
- DEGRYSE P. 2014, Glass Making in the Greco-Roman World: Results of the ARCHGLASS project, Leuven
- DEL VECCHIO F., 2002, *I vetri di IX-XII secolo dalla domus porticata del foro di Nerva* in D. FERRARI (a cura di), *Il vetro nell'alto Medio-evo*, Atti delle VIII Giornate Nazionali di studio AIHV-Comitato nazionale italiano (Spoleto, 20-21 aprile 2002), Imola, pp. 45-48.
- DI BELLA *et al.* 2014 = DI BELLA M., QUARTIERI S., SABATINO G., SAN-TALUCIA F., TRISCARI M., *The glass mosaics tesserae of "Villa del Casale"* (*Piazza Armerina, Italy*): *a multi-technique archaeometric study*, «Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences», 6, pp. 345-362.
- DI BELLA et al. 2015 = DI BELLA M., GIACOBBE C., QUARTIERI S., SABATINO G., SPIGO U., Archaeometric characterization of Proto-Byzantine glass workshop from the Roman amphitheatre of Catania (Sicily, Italy), «European Journal of Mineralogy», 27, pp. 353-363.
- DI MURO A., 2017, Vivere e morire in un centro di pellegrinaggio longobardo: San Michele di Olevano sul Tusciano (secc. VIII-IX), «Hortus Artium Medievalium», 23, pp. 395-402.
- DUCKWORTH et al. 2015 = DUCKWORTH C. N., CÓRDOBA DE LA LLAVE R., FABER E.W., GOVANTES EDWARDS D. J., HENDERSON J., Electron microprobe analysis of 9th-12th century Islamic glass from Córdoba, Spain, «Archaeometry», 57, pp. 27-50.
- FERRI M., 2018, I reperti vitrei in S. GELICHI, M. LIBRENTI, A. CIANCIOSI (a cura di), Nonantola 6 – Monaci e contadini. Abati e re. Il monastero di Nonantola attraverso l'archeologia (2002-2009), Firenze, pp. 215-226.
- FIORILLA S., 2012, *Nuovi dati sui manufatti vitrei di Gela medievale*, in Coscarella 2012, pp. 721-726.
- FOSTER H.E., JACKSON C. M., 2009, *The composition of 'naturally coloured'late Roman vessel glass from Britain and the implications for models of glass production and supply*, «Journal of Archaeological Science», 36, pp. 189-204.
- Foy et al. 2003 = Foy D., PICON M., VICHY M., THIRION-MERLE V., Caractérisation des verres de la fin de l'Antiquité en Méditerranée occidentale: l'émergence de nouveaux courants commerciaux in D. Foy& M.-D. NENNA (éd.), Échanges et commerce du verre dans le monde antique (Actes du colloque de l'AFAV, Aix-en-Provence et Marseille, 7-9 juin 2001). Montagnac, pp. 41-86.
- Fox D., 2012, Un ensemble de verres de la seconde moitié du Xe siècle à *Şabra al-Mansūriya (Kairouan, Tunisie)*, «Journal of Glass Studies», 54, pp. 97-118.
- Fox D., 2014, Verres médiévaux (XIIIe-XIVe siècle) à décor de gouttes rapportées. Les témoignages du midi de la France et leurs parallèles: variantes, chronologie et filiations, «Archéologie médiévale», pp. 125-154.


- Fox D., 2017, Entre orient et occident, le verre islamique (VIIIe-XIIIe siècle): apports récents et réflexions sur les échanges et les influences, «Annales du 20e Congrès de l'Association Internationale pour l'Histoire du Verre (Fribourg/Romon 2015)», 20, pp. 10-34.
- For D., 2020, Le verre de Sabra al-Mansuriya (Kairouan, Tunisie) milieu X^e-milieu X^e siècle, Oxford.
- FREESTONE I. C., GORIN-ROSEN Y., HUGHES M. J., 2000, Primary glass from Israel and the production of glass in late antiquity and the Early Islamic period, in M.-D. NENNA (ed.), La route du verre. Ateliers primaires et secondaires du second millénaire av. J.-C. au Moyen Âge. Colloque organisé en 1989 par l'Association française pour l'Archéologie du Verre (AFAV), Lyon, Travaux de la Maison de l'Orient, 33, pp. 65-83.
- FREESTONE I. C., 2002, Composition and affinities of glass from the furnaces on the Island Site, Tyre, «Journal of Glass Studies», 44, pp. 67-77.
- FREESTONE I. C., 2005, The provenance of ancient glass through compositional analysis, «Materials Research Society Symposium Proceedings», 852, pp. 195-208.
- FREESTONE I. C., 2006, Glass production in Late Antiquity and the Early Islamic period: a geochemical perspective, in M. MAGGETTI, B. MESSI-GA (eds.), Geomaterials in Cultural Heritage, London, pp. 201-2016.
- FREESTONE I. C., JACKSON-TAL R. E., TAL O., 2008, Raw glass and the production of glass vessels at late Byzantine Apollonia-Arsuf, Israel. «Journal of Glass Studies», 50, pp. 67-80.
- FREESTONE et al. 2018 = FREESTONE I. C., DEGRYSE P., LANKTON J., GRATUZE B., SCHNEIDER J., HIMT, glass composition and commodity branding in the primary glass industry, in D. ROSENOW, M. PHELPS, A. MEEK, I.C. FREESTONE (eds.) Things that Travelled: Mediterranean Glass in the First Millennium CE. London, pp. 159-190.
- GALLO et al. 2014 = GALLO F., MARCANTE A., SILVESTRI A., MOLIN G., *The glass of the "Casa delle Bestie Ferite": a first systematic ar-chaeometric study on late Roman vessels from Aquileia*, «Journal of Archaeological Science», 41, pp. 7-20.
- GÓMEZ E.G., 1957, Unas" Ordenanzas del zoco" del siglo IX: Traducción del más antiguo antecedente de los tratados andaluces de" hisba" por un autor andaluz, «Al-Andalus», 22, 253.
- GORIN-ROSEN, Y. 1995. *Hadera, Bet Eli'ezer*, «Excavations and Surveys in Israel», 13, pp. 42-43.
- GORIN-ROSEN Y., 2000, The ancient glass industry in Israel summary of the finds and new discoveries, in M.-D. NENNA (ed.), La Route du verre. Ateliers primaires et secondaires du second millénaire av. J.-C. au Moyen Âge. Colloque organisé en 1989 par l'Association française pour l'Archéologie du Verre (AFAV), Lyon, pp. 49-63.
- GRATUZE *et al.* 1992 = GRATUZE B., SOULIER I., BARRANDON J.-N., ROY D., *De l'origine du cobalt dans les verres*, «Revue d'archéométrie», 16, pp. 97-108.
- GRATUZE *et al.* 1996 = GRATUZE B., SOULIER I., BLET M., VAL-LAURI L., *De l'origine du cobalt: du verre à la céramique*, «Revue d'archéométrie», 20, pp. 77-94.
- GRATUZE B. 2014, Application de la spectrométrie de masse à plasma avec prélèvement par ablation laser (LA-ICP-MS) à l'étude des recettes de fabrication et de la circulation des verres anciens in P. DILLMANN, L. BELLOT-GURLET (eds.) Circulation des matériaux et des objets dans les sociétés anciennes, Collection Sciences Archéologiques, Paris, pp. 243-272.
- GRATUZE B., 2016, Glass characterization using laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry methods in L. DUSSUBIEUX, M. GOLITKO, B. GRATUZE (eds.) Recent Advances in Laser Ablation ICP-MS for Archaeology, Series: Natural Science in Archaeology, Berlin, pp. 179-196.
- GRATUZE B., PACTAT I., SCHIBILLE N., 2018, Changes in the signature of cobalt colorants in late antique and early Islamic glass production, «Minerals», 8, 225.
- HENDERSON J., MCLOUGHLIN S. D., MCPHAIL D. S., 2004, Radical changes in Islamic glass technology: Evidence for conservatism and experimentation with new glass recipes from early and middle Islamic Raqqa, Syria, «Archaeometry», 46, pp. 439-468.
- JACKSON et al. 2018 = JACKSON C., PAYNTER S., NENNA, M.-D., DE-GRYSE P., Glassmaking using natron from El-Barnugi (Egypt); Pliny and the Roman glass industry, «Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences», 10, pp. 1179-1191.

LILYQUIST C., BRILL R.H., 1993, Studies in early Egyptian glass, New York.

- MALTONI *et al.* 2015 = MALTONI S., CHINNI T., VANDINI M., CIRELLI E., SILVESTRI A., MOLIN G., *Archaeological and archaeometric study of the glass finds from the ancient harbour of Classe (Ravenna – Italy): new evidence*, «Heritage Science», 3, 13.
- McCray W. P., 1998, *Glassmaking in renaissance Italy: The innovation of venetian cristallo*, «The Journal of The Minerals, Metals, Materials Society (TMS)», 50, pp. 14-19.
- MENDERA, M. 1996. Il materiale vitreo in M. VALENTI (a cura di), Poggio Imperiale a Poggibonsi: dal villaggio di capanne al castello di pietra. Diagnostica archeologica e campagne di scavo 1991-1994, Firenze, pp. 291-314.
- MENDERA M., 2004, Il materiale vitreo in G. BIANCHI (a cura di), Campiglia. Un castello e il suo territorio. II. Indagine archeologica, Firenze, pp. 362-381.
- MOLINARI A., 1997, I vetri, in A. MOLINARI, Segesta II. Il castello e la moschea (scavi 1989-1995), Palermo, pp. 159-165.
- NENNA M.-D., PICON M., VICHY M., 2000, L'atelier primaires et secondaires en Egypte a l'epoque Greco-Romaine in M.-D. NENNA (éd.) La Route du verre. Ateliers primaires et secondaires du second millénaire av. J.-C. au Moyen Âge. Colloque organisé en 1989 par l'Association française pour l'Archéologie du Verre (AFAV), Lyon, pp. 97-112.
- NENNA et al. 2005 = NENNA M.-D., PICON M., VICHY M., THIRI-ON-MERLE V., Ateliers primaires du Wadi Natrum: nouvelles découvertes, «Annales du 16e Congrès de l'Association Internationale pour l'Histoire du Verre (London 2003)», 16, pp. 56-63.
- NENNA M.-D., 2015, Primary glass workshops in Graeco-Roman Egypt: preliminary report on the excavations of the site of Beni Salama, Wadi Natrun (2003, 2005-9), in J. BAYLEY, I.C. FREESTONE, C. JACKSON (eds.), Glass of the Roman World, Oxford.
- NERI *et al.* 2019 = NERI E., SCHIBILLE N., PELLEGRINO M., NUZZO D., *A Byzantine connection: Eastern Mediterranean glasses in medieval Bari*, «Journal of Cultural Heritage», 38, pp. 253-260.
- NEWBY M.S., 1991, The glass from Farfa Abbey: an interim report, «Journal of Glass Studies», 33, pp. 32-41.
- OTTATI A., 2012, Vetri medievali dalla Villa del Casale di Piazza Armerina, in Coscarella 2012, pp. 271-286.
- PANIGHELLO S., PARELLO M. C., ORSEGA E.F., 2013, Investigation on medieval glass from Poggio Diana Castle (Ribera, Agrigento, Sicily) by LA-ICP-MS and UV-VIS reflectance spectroscopy in L. BOMBAR-DIERI, A. D'AGOSTINO, G. GUARDUCCI, V. ORSI, S. VALENTINI (eds.), SOMA 2012. Identity and Connectivity, Proceedings of the 16th Symposium on Mediterranean Archaeology (Florence, Italy, 1-3 March 2012), BAR International Series, 2581 (II), Oxford, pp. 1187-1195.
- PHELPS et al. 2016 = PHELPS M., FREESTONE I.C., GORIN-ROSEN Y., GRATUZE B., Natron glass production and supply in the late antique and early medieval Near East: The effect of the Byzantine-Islamic transition, «Journal of Archaeological Science», 75, pp. 57-71.
- PHELPS M., 2017, An investigation into technological change and organisational developments in glass production between the Byzantine and Early Islamic periods (7th-12th centuries) focussing on evidence from Israel, London.
- PHELPS M., 2018, Glass supply and trade in early Islamic Ramla: An investigation of the plant ash glass, in D. ROSENOW, M. PHELPS, A. MEEK, I.C. FREESTONE (eds.), Things that Travelled: Mediterranean Glass in the First Millennium CE. London: UCL Press, pp. 236-282.
- Posedi *et al.* 2019 = Posedi I., Kertész Z., Barrulas P., Fronza V., Schiavon N., Mirão J., *Medieval Tuscan glasses from Miranduolo, Italy: A multi-disciplinary study*, «Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports», 26, 101878.
- RICCI M., 2002, I materiali in vetro in R. REA (a cura di), Rota Colisei. La valle del Colosseo attraverso i secoli, Milano, pp. 394-400.
- SACCO V., 2017, Le ceramiche invetriate di età islamica a Palermo: nuovi dati dalle sequenze del quartiere della Kalsa, «Archeologia Medievale», XLIV, pp. 337-366.
- SAGUÌ L., LEPRI B., 2016, La produzione del vetro a Roma: Continuità e discontinuità fr tardoantico e altomedioevo in A. MOLINARI, R.

SANTANGELI VALENZANI, L. SPERA (a cura di), *L'archeologia della produzione a Roma (secoli V-XV)*, Atti del convegno internazionale di studi (Roma, 27-29 marzo 2014), Collection de l'Ecole française de Rome, 516, Bari pp. 225-241.

- SAYRE E.V., SMITH R. W., 1961, Compositional categories of ancient glass. «Science», 133, pp. 1824-1826.
- SCALON G. T., PINDER-WILSON R.H., 2001, Fustat Glass of the Early Islamic Period: Finds Excavated by the American Research Center in Egypt, 1964-1980, London.
- SCHIBILLE et al. 2016 = SCHIBILLE N., MEEK A., TOBIAS B., ENTWISTLE C., AVISSEAU-BROUSTET M., DA MOTA H., GRATUZE B., Comprehensive chemical characterisation of Byzantine glass weights, «PloS One», 11, e0168289.
- SCHIBILLE et al. 2018 = SCHIBILLE N., MEEK A., WYPYSKI M.T., KRÖGER J., ROSSER-OWEN M., HADDON R.W., The glass walls of Samarra (Iraq): Ninth-century Abbasid glass production and imports, «PloS One», 13, e0201749.
- SCHIBILLE et al. 2019 = SCHIBILLE N., GRATUZE B., OLLIVIER E., BLONDEAU É., Chronology of early Islamic glass compositions from Egypt, «Journal of Archaeological Science», 104, pp. 10-18.
- SCHIBILLE et al. 2020 = SCHIBILLE N., DE JUAN ARES J., CASAL GARCÍA M.T., GUERROT C., Ex novo development of lead glassmaking in early Umayyad Spain, «Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences Jul 2020», 117 (28), pp. 16243-16249.
- SILVESTRI A., MOLIN G., SALVIULO G., 2005, Roman and medieval glass from the Italian area: bulk characterization and relationships with production technologies, «Archaeometry», 47, pp. 797-816.
- SWAN et al. 2017 = SWAN C. M., REHREN T., LANKTON J., GRATUZE B., BRILL R.H., Compositional observations for Islamic glass from Sirāf, Iran, in the Corning Museum of Glass collection, «Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports», 16, pp. 102-116.
- TAL O., JACKSON-TAL R.E., FREESTONE I.C., 2004, New evidence of the production of raw glass at late Byzantine Apollonia-Arsuf, Israel, «Journal of Glass Studies», 46, pp. 51-66.
- THIRION-MERLE et al. 2003 = THIRION-MERLE V., NENNA M.-D., PICON M., VICHY M., Un nouvel atelier primaire dans le Wadi Natrun (Égypte), et les compositions des verres produits dans cette region, «Bulletin de l'Association Française pour l'Archéologie du Verre», pp. 21-24.
- VAN WERSCH et al. 2016 = VAN WERSCH L., LOISEL C., MATHIS F., STRIVAY D., BULLY S., Analyses of early medieval stained window glass from the monastery of Baume-Les-Messieurs (Jura, France), «Archaeometry», 58, pp. 930-946.
- VELDE B., 2009, *Composition des vitraux en France du VIIIe au XIIe siècle*, in S. BALCON-BERRY, F. PERROT, C. SAPIN (éd.), *Vitrail, verre et archéologie entre le Ve et le XIIe siècle*, Actes de la table ronde tenue à Auxerre (15-16 juin 2006), Paris.
- VERITÀ M., RENIER A., ZECCHIN S., 2002, *Chemical analyses of ancient glass findings excavated in the Venetian lagoon*, «Journal of Cultural Heritage», 3, pp. 261-271.
- VERITÀ M., ZECCHIN S., 2009, *Thousand years of Venetian glass: the evolution of chemical composition from the origins to the 18th century*, «Annales du 17e Congrès de l'Association Internationale pour l'Histoire du Verre (Antwerp, 2006)», 17, pp. 602-613.
- VERITÀ M., 2013, Venetian soda glass, in K. JANSSENS (ed.), Modern Methods for Analysing Archaeological and Historical Glass, Chichester, pp. 515-533.
- WEDEPOHL K.H., SIMON K., 2010, The chemical composition of medieval wood ash glass from Central Europe, «Chemie Der Erde-Geochemistry», 70, pp. 89-97.
- WEDEPOHL K.H., SIMON K., KRONZ A., 2011, Data on 61 chemical elements for the characterization of three major glass compositions in Late Antiquity and the Middle Ages, «Archaeometry», 53, pp. 81-102.
- WHITEHOUSE D., 1966, Ceramiche e vetri medioevali provenienti dal castello di Lucera. «Bollettino d'Arte», 4a serie, 45, pp. 171-178.
- WINTER T., 2019, Lucid Transformations: The Byzantine-Islamic Transition as Reflected in Glass Assemblages from Jerusalem and Its Environs, 450-800 CE, BAR International Series, 2946, Oxford.



Il Progetto The Archaeology of Regime Change. Sicily in Transition. 6th-13th centuries (SICTRANSIT - ERC advanced grant 693600) ha consentito di studiare con un'ampia gamma di analisi archeologiche e scientifiche il prezioso contenuto di fosse, pozzi, latrine e silos scavati nel 1997 nel centro storico della città di Mazara in Sicilia. La ricchezza e diversità dei materiali ritrovati, l'integrità dei contesti di rinvenimento e la loro scansione cronologica (dalla fine del VII al XVI secolo) si sono rivelate preziose per ricostruire consumi, scambi, produzioni, abitudini alimentari, tabù religiosi in relazione a importanti cambiamenti sociali e culturali che hanno interessato la Sicilia col passare delle dominazioni bizantina, musulmana, normanna, sveva e aragonese. Le vicende materiali di Mazara, seconda città dopo Palermo per importanza in età islamica e influente sede vescovile con i Normanni, acquistano nuova luce con una lettura dal basso, a partire dai più umili resti della vita quotidiana. La rilevanza dei risultati ottenuti per questa importante città, ha consentito di allargare, rivedere e completare la conoscenza non solo della Sicilia medievale, ma per molti versi anche dell'area mediterranea centrale tra la fine dell'antichità e quella del medioevo.

The project The Archaeology of Regime Change. Sicily in Transition. 6th-13th centuries (SICTRANSIT - ERC advanced grant 693600) has made it possible to study with a wide range of archaeological and scientific analyses the contents of pits, wells, latrines and silos excavated in 1997 in the historic centre of the city of Mazara in Sicily. The richness and diversity of the materials found, the integrity of the contexts in which they were unearthed and their chronological span (from the end of the 7th to the 16th century) proved invaluable in reconstructing consumption, trade, production, food habits and religious taboos in relation to the important social and cultural changes that affected Sicily through the periods of consecutive Byzantine, Muslim, Norman, Swabian and Aragonese government. The material history of Mazara, the second most important city after Palermo in the Islamic period and an influential bishopric under the Normans, is here illuminated from the bottom up, starting from the most humble remains of daily life. The relevance of the results obtained for this important city has made it possible to broaden, revise and enhance our knowledge not only of medieval Sicily, but in many ways also of the central Mediterranean area between the end of antiquity and the later Middle Ages.

> € 100,00 ISSN 2035-5319 ISBN 978-88-9285-045-3 e-ISBN 978-88-9285-046-0



32