



HAL
open science

Road safety assessment in curves based on a road embedded tire to road friction sensor

Alex Coiret, Pierre Olivier Vandanjon

► **To cite this version:**

Alex Coiret, Pierre Olivier Vandanjon. Road safety assessment in curves based on a road embedded tire to road friction sensor. CETRA 2022, 7th International Conference on Road and Rail Infrastructure, May 2022, pula, France. 8p, 10.5592/CO/CETRA.2022.1426 . hal-03675707


HAL Id: hal-03675707

<https://hal.science/hal-03675707>

Submitted on 23 May 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Road safety assessment in curves based on a road embedded tire to road friction sensor

Alex Coiret¹ & Pierre-Olivier Vandanjon²

¹ Univ. Gustave Eiffel, COSYS/SII, IFSTTAR, F-44344 Bouguenais, France

² Univ. Gustave Eiffel, COSYS/SPLOTT, IFSTTAR, F-44344 Bouguenais, France

Abstract

Road safety relies mostly on available tire to road grip regarding to the forces required by a vehicle in a given dynamic situation. For example safety issues can occur when deceleration required forces exceeding the tire/road grip potential. Issues are much critical while traveling in a curve with a coupled longitudinal/transverse grip demand.

Instrumented vehicles can be used in order to evaluate the available tire to road grip in rolling situations, but it remains linked to a dedicated vehicle and models have to be use to extrapolate these evaluations to various vehicles.

The aim of this work is to propose a sensor embedded in the road infrastructure to evaluate the longitudinal and transverse grip demand of any traveling vehicle.

A 6 axis sensor is embedded in a road test track, under a piece of the real road upper layer. Measurement are made at a acquisition rate of 2500 Hz and forces measuring ranges are of 2500 daN (vertical axis) and 750 daN (tangential). Measurements are compared to on-board measurement with a vehicle instrumented with dynamometric wheels. Three situations are experienced in a 100 meters curve: traveling at a given speed and traveling while accelerating or braking moderately, and for three vehicle speeds of 40, 60 and 80 km/h.

Force evaluation from the two systems are differing of only 5% for the vertical force and 10% for the tangential forces. The differences are lower for the higher grip demands. For example moderate braking from 70 km/h in curve lead to 0.23 and 0.25 longitudinal friction coefficients (LFC) for road sensor and dynamometric wheel, and to 0.17 and 0.19 transversal friction coefficients (TFC).

In perspectives, evaluations of an excessive grip demand could result in solutions as lowering the designed speed or improving the road surface layer.

Keywords: road infrastructure, energy moderation, ecodriving, speed policy

1 Introduction

Road safety relies on passive and active techniques, with respective relevance before and after an accident occurrence. Passive safety devices as airbags are important but the active safety is in the first line to avoid an accident and its consequences. Tire to road grip level is one of the most important factor of the road active safety factors, since this grip represents the only mean to develop decelerating or steering forces.

For example safety issues can occur when deceleration required forces exceeding the tire/road grip potential. Issues are much critical while traveling in a curve with a coupled longitudinal/transverse grip demand. In [1] a large review is proposed to qualify the tire to road grip depending on surfaces conditions such as wetting state, pollutants and temperature, and depending on vehicle and driving conditions. [1] points out the combined importance of tires, which can be performance-labeled, and pavement classification.

In [2] it is again stated that grip level is difficult to estimate under standard driving conditions. Therefore a lot of estimation methods can be distinguished such as sensor-based methods, non-parametric methods using generally black-box models and parametric methods including physical models. One of the most popular learning model is also presented, the Pacejka's model, combining likelihood and Monte Carlo Markov Chain.

From a driving task point of view, the knowledge of this grip level is of relevance to adapt the driving behavior, which could involve an experienced or inexperienced driver or even no driver at all in the case of the autonomous vehicles. It is an important information for the road manager in the process of road maintenance.

As a technical solution [3] developed an approach to estimate the grip level in real time with on-board sensors, with the aim to detect slip variation of 1 to 1000. This work shown the possibility to distinguish a wet roadway from a dry one, but without achieving a fine grip estimation.

In the research field instrumented vehicles can be used in order to evaluate the available tire to road grip in rolling situations. In [4] it is reminded that for the forces acting of the wheels should be estimated for the real operating conditions and not only for only the vehicle weight and load. In this study the wheel weight is considered as an important factor thus being unsprung masses and dynamometric wheels of the same weight as standard wheels are proposed in order to estimated the wheel load in given driving situations. Such wheels remains too expensive to be largely deployed on vehicles (Fig. 1).

In [5] a strain-based intelligent tire is developed to estimate the situations of loss of control, by calculating the grip level. Authors are underlining that the limit of friction is not known and that it depends on road properties, wetting states, tire wear and so on. In this work an intelligent algorithm is proposed to estimate this limit of adherence, by the integration of the LuGre model. For that the transition between stick/slip and full slip is evaluated by the means of the force sensor. According to [5] the early detection of grip level by this type of intelligent tire could

help the control systems to react against vehicle's loss of control. This approach is promising but the road conditions remains difficult to estimate by the on-board systems.



Figure 1. Dynamometrical wheel (source: kistler.com)

Force sensing bearings have been experimented to evaluate the grip in order to enhance the vehicle control systems [6] or to investigate the potential of estimation of vehicle sideslip [7] but these systems still lack of road conditions estimation in order to detect the maximum limit of the grip potential.

Road sensors approaches exist to estimate grip level, such as Stress-In-Motion system (SIM) developed since 1994 [8, 9] for capturing tri-axial tire to road interaction. It consists in a measuring pad with a transverse array of 21 sensing elements (Fig. 2), but road texture and conditions are not taken into account.




Figure 1. Stress-In-Motion (SIM) system (source: [9], fair use)

The aim of this work is to propose a sensor embedded in the road infrastructure to evaluate the longitudinal and transverse grip demand of any traveling vehicle. This sensor will not be more able to determine the grip limit of a given vehicle compared to on-board systems, but while measuring applied forces for all traveling vehicles it should allow the determination of a statistical grip limit.

This sensor consists of a 3D load cell placed underneath a portion of the road surface. The knowledge of the actual grip demand for a whole traffic, and its evolution in time, and facing environmental conditions as wetting state and temperature will allow a statistical and dynamical determination of grip level. Thus a road benefiting of such a sensor could propose a dynamical speed

enforcement, adapted to road wear, wetting state and seasonal temperature. Moreover it could be a decision making tool for road surface management and infrastructure works.

2 Experimental setup

A 6 axis sensor, forces and moments, is embedded in a road test track, under a piece of the real road upper layer (Fig.1). Measurement are made at a acquisition rate of 2500 Hz and forces measuring ranges are of 2500 daN (vertical axis) and 750 daN (tangential). Measurements are compared to on-board measurement with a vehicle instrumented with a dynamometric wheel. Three situations are experienced in a 100 meters curve (Fig.2): traveling at a given speed and traveling while accelerating or braking moderately, and for several vehicle speeds of about 40, 60 and 80 km/h.




Figure 1. Road sensor: sensor detailed view (left), and final installation in the road pavement (right)




Figure 2. left: Sensor location in the curved test track; right: sensor under the vehicle instrumented wheel

3 Results from road sensor and instrumented vehicle

Forces and moments are recorded simultaneously by the road sensor and the dynamometric wheel. Several speeds are experienced, while driving at constant

speed, or accelerating or braking moderately. The speed is determined by the means of an optical on-board system (correvit). In this section measurements from the two systems are compared in the perspective to use the road sensor alone as a long-term grip monitoring system on open roads.

3.1 Vehicle traveling at constant speed

The Fig.3 presents the forces and moments evaluated by the road sensor for the 40km/h speed case. The left rise and right lowering parts of each signal correspond to the entrance and leaving of the tire inside and outside the measuring area. Signals are selected within this area to establish mean values that are given in Table 1.




Figure 3. Road sensor measurements (DaN and N.m) in function of time (s); V40 speed case

Means values are indicating that the vertical force F_z is given similarly by the two systems, with a difference of about 5%. F_x values are low for this constant speed case, especially for the 40km/h speed for which systems are underestimating the probably higher value. Nevertheless F_x and F_y values are differing from 5, 7 and 15% (Table 2.), which is quite satisfying if considering that each system errors are added together.

Table 1. Measuring results; constant speed cases

Speed label	sensor	$V_{x_{ont}}$	$V_{y_{ont}}$	F_x (daN)	F_y (daN)	F_z (daN)
V40	road			4	42	435
V40	wheel	41.6	-0.4	-1	35	460
V60	road			55	86	420
V60	wheel	59.7	-0.8	44	69	470
V80	road			93	194	490
V80	wheel	81.9	-2	98	227	526

Table 2. Force ratio between the wheel and road systems

Speed label	D_{F_x}	D_{F_y}	D_{F_z}
V40	125,00	16,67	-5,43
V60	20,00	19,77	-10,64
V80	-5,10	-14,54	-6,84

3.2 Vehicle traveling while accelerating moderately

With a slight acceleration, for two speed cases, the differential error is only about 3 and 6% for F_z , and about 12 and 13% for F_x and F_y at the 50km/h speed case. The low speed case of 30km/h is showing out large differential errors of more than 30%. It should be remembered that the road system is dimensioned for 750kN and so this speed case is only using one tenth of the sensor full scale.

Table 3. Measuring results; acceleration cases

Speed label	sensor	$V_{x_{opt}}$	$V_{y_{opt}}$	F_x (daN)	F_y (daN)	F_z (daN)
A30	road			47	40	423
A30	wheel	36	-0.2	30	25	437
A50	road			54	84	438
A50	wheel	53.8	-0.7	47	74	467

Table 4. Force ratio between the wheel and road systems

speed label	D_{F_x}	D_{F_y}	D_{F_z}
A30	36,17	37,50	-3,20
A50	12,96	11,90	-6,21

3.3 Vehicle traveling while braking moderately

With a moderate braking maneuver, for two speed cases, the differential error is only about 4% / 5% for F_z , and between 10 / 14% for F_x and F_y at the 47 and 56km/h speed cases (Table 5. and 6.). Intended test speed were of 60 and 70 km/h but by braking the vehicle reached the road sensor at sensible lower speeds. Road sensor signals are given in Fig.4 to show the negative braking F_x force and positive F_y transverse force.

Table 5. [Table caption] Measuring results; braking cases

Speed label	sensor	$V_{x_{opt}}$	$V_{y_{opt}}$	F_x (daN)	F_y (daN)	F_z (daN)
B60	road			-120	50	475
B60	wheel	46.8	-0.7	-135	57	494
B70	road			-113	82	486
B70	wheel	55.6	-0.6	-126	95	513

Table 6. absolute and relative differences; braking cases

Speed label	D Fx	D Fv	D Fz
B60	11,11	-12,28	-3,85
B70	10,32	-13,68	-5,26




Figure 4. Road sensor measurements (DaN and N.m) in function of time (s); B70 speed case

3.4 Tire to road grip estimation from the two measurement systems

Usually for road grip estimation the longitudinal and transverse friction coefficient LFC and TFC are considered. For the two braking cases these coefficient are computed and are given in Table 7. Road and wheel sensors are differing only about 10%, for nonetheless low grip solicitations, since grip values are usually of 1 for dry conditions and 0.5 for wet conditions.

Such results are confirming that the road sensor is able to continuously measure the LFC and TFC mobilized by any vehicle traveling on it, on a given road. If the manager has the aim to rebuilt the road surface at a 0.3 LFC value for example, the road can be considered in good condition as long as LFC values over 0.5 are regularly recorded. Mean values of mobilized grip could be useful to estimate environmental impact as rain or low temperatures.

Speed label	Sensor	LFC	TFC
B60	road	-0,25	0,11
	wheel	-0,27	0,12
B70	road	-0,23	0,17
	wheel	-0,25	0,19

Table 7. longitudinal and transversal coefficients

4 Conclusion

Road safety is very sensitive to potential tire to road grip. The maximum grip level can be estimated by on-board systems or road systems but these systems are often very complex and are dedicated to research purposes. This work is about the use of a quite simple and robust system embedded in a road and able to estimate the grip demand of any vehicle. This road sensor is compared to a high-end dynamometric wheel and results are confirming a quite good correlation between the measurement from the two systems.

Indeed tangential forces differences are lower than 10% to 15% for moderate speeds and for rolling, accelerating or braking situations. Moreover measurement differences are lowering when speeds are increasing, so that differences should be very low for critical high speeds, since large forces are more in agreement with the sensors full scale. As a result longitudinal and transverse friction coefficient estimated from the two systems are rather close, in a 10% confidence interval. In perspective a road embedded sensor could be use in a given road to record all vehicle grip demand and to statistically verify is the road is still able to offer a satisfying grip level. Environmental grip variations could be monitored too in order to take temporary management decisions as speed limitations.

References

- [1] Apuzzo M. et al., An exploratory step for a general unified approach to labelling of road surface and tyre wet friction, *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, Volume 138, 2020.
- [2] Mussot V. et al, Model learning of the tire–road friction slip dependency under standard driving conditions, *Control Engineering Practice*, Volume 121, 2022.
- [3] Andrieux A., Lengellé R., Beuseroy P., Chabanon C., A Novel Approach to Real Time Tire-Road Grip and Slip Monitoring, *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, Volume 41, Issue 2, Pages 7104-7109, 2008.
- [4] Brüggemann J.-P. et al, Structural optimization of a wheel force transducer component for more realistic acquisition of vehicle load data and fracture mechanical evaluation, *Applications in Engineering Science*, Volume 5, 2021.
- [5] Mendoza-Petit M., Garcia-Pozuelo D., Diaz V., Garrosa M., Characterization of the loss of grip condition in the Strain-Based Intelligent Tire at severe maneuvers, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, Volume 168, 2022.
- [6] Zuurbier J., Van Leeuwen B., Vehicle dynamics control based on force-sensing wheel bearings, *vehicle dynamics 2007*, Stuttgart, 8-10 mai 2007.
- [7] Madhusudhanan A.K., Corno M., Holweg E., Vehicle sideslip estimator using load sensing bearings, *Control Engineering Practice*, Volume 54, Pages 46-57, 2016.
- [8] De Beer M., Fisher C., Jooste F.J., Evaluation of non-uniform tyre contact stresses on thin asphalt pavements, *ISAP2002*, Copenhagen, Denmark, 2002.
- [9] De Beer M., Fisher C., Stress-In-Motion (SIM) system for capturing tri-axial tyre–road interaction in the contact patch, *Measurement*, Volume 46, Issue 7, Pages 2155-2173, 2013.