

A Two-Stage Biogas Desulfurization Process Using Cellular Concrete Filtration and an Anoxic Biotrickling Filter

Morgane Poser, Luis Rodolfo Duarte E. Silva, Pascal Peu, Eric Dumont, Annabelle Couvert

▶ To cite this version:

Morgane Poser, Luis Rodolfo Duarte E. Silva, Pascal Peu, Eric Dumont, Annabelle Couvert. A Two-Stage Biogas Desulfurization Process Using Cellular Concrete Filtration and an Anoxic Biotrickling Filter. Energies, 2022, 15 (10), pp.3762. 10.3390/en15103762. hal-03675283

HAL Id: hal-03675283 https://hal.science/hal-03675283

Submitted on 22 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License





Article A Two-Stage Biogas Desulfurization Process Using Cellular Concrete Filtration and an Anoxic Biotrickling Filter

Morgane Poser^{1,2}, Luis Rodolfo Duarte E. Silva¹, Pascal Peu³, Éric Dumont² and Annabelle Couvert^{1,*}

- ¹ Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Rennes, CNRS, Université Rennes, ISCR—UMR 6226, 35000 Rennes, France; morgane.poser@ensc-rennes.fr (M.P.); lrodolfo1997@gmail.com (L.R.D.E.S.)
- ² IMT Atlantique, CNRS, GEPEA, Nantes Université, UMR 6144, 44000 Nantes, France; eric.dumont@imt-atlantique.fr
- ³ INRAe, 17, Avenue de Cucillé—CS 64427, 35044 Rennes, France; pascal.peu@inrae.fr
- * Correspondence: annabelle.couvert@ensc-rennes.fr; Tel.: +33-(0)2-23-23-80-48

Abstract: A two-stage desulfurization process including an abiotic filtration using cellular concrete waste (first stage) and an anoxic biotrickling filter filling with an inoculated expanded schist material (second stage) was investigated to remove H₂S in mimic biogas with limited O₂ amount (ranged from 0.5 to 0.8%). The two-stage process was able to satisfactorily remove H₂S for all experimental conditions (RE > 97%; H₂S concentration = 1500 mg m⁻³; total Empty Bed Residence Time (EBRT) = 200 s; removal capacity (RC) = 26 g m⁻³ h⁻¹). Moreover, at a total EBRT = 360 s (i.e., 180 s for each stage), the H₂S loading rate (LR) was almost treated by the bed of cellular concrete alone, indicating that abiotic filtration could be applied to satisfactorily remove H₂S contained in the gas. According to the H₂S concentration entering the biotrickling filter, the majority end-product was either elemental sulfur (S⁰) or sulfate (SO₄²⁻). Thus, the ability of the abiotic filter to remove a significant part of H₂S would avoid the clogging of the biotrickling filter due to the deposit of S⁰. Consequently, this two-stage desulfurization process is a promising technology for efficient and economical biogas cleaning adapted to biogas containing limited O₂ amounts, such as landfill biogas.

Keywords: H₂S; cellular concrete; biotrickling filter; biogas; desulfurization

1. Introduction

According to the European directives, such as the Directive (EU) 2018/2001, the energy from renewable sources constitutes an important part of the package of measures needed to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and comply with the 2015 Paris Agreement on Climate Change (Union 2030 energy and climate framework). Moreover, the increased use of energy from renewable sources also has a fundamental part to play in promoting the security of energy supply and sustainable energy at affordable prices [1]. The most important renewable energy sources are wind power, solar photovoltaics and biomass energy [2]. Among the biomass energy sources, biogas from anaerobic digestion is largely used both on European and global scales. Depending on its production origin (anaerobic digestion, landfills), methane (CH_4) and carbon dioxide (CO_2) are the major constituents of biogas. However, trace amounts of other components such as nitrogen (N_2) , water vapor (H₂O), ammonia (NH₃), oxygen (O₂), hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) and other sulfur compounds are also found [3,4]. In order to avoid SO₂ formation during biogas combustion, protect combined heat and power and avoid H₂S injection in natural gas network, H₂S removal is needed. Indeed, H₂S is known as a corrosive and hazardous pollutant whose gaseous concentrations in biogas can vary significantly from some ppm to several thousands of ppm [3,5]. According to the fields of biogas utilization, different purification stages are applied to remove unwanted gases and substances [6]. The first stage involves the removal of H_2S (<1000 ppmv [7]), which is sufficient for the production of heat and steam in boilers, as well as combustion in cogeneration engines. The second stage of purification includes



Citation: Poser, M.; Silva, L.R.D.E.; Peu, P.; Dumont, É.; Couvert, A. A Two-Stage Biogas Desulfurization Process Using Cellular Concrete Filtration and an Anoxic Biotrickling Filter. *Energies* **2022**, *15*, 3762. https://doi.org/10.3390/en15103762

Academic Editor: Attilio Converti

Received: 21 April 2022 Accepted: 19 May 2022 Published: 20 May 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). the removal of CO₂. The third stage involves the removal of various components and pollutants (biogas to biomethane) to levels required for the injection into the natural gas grid and uses as a vehicle fuel [8–11]. The present paper is dedicated to the first stage of biogas purification. H₂S can be removed using physical–chemical methods (scrubbing, adsorption processes, etc.) and biotechnological ones [3,4,12,13]. By considering these latter, it was established that biofiltration, mainly biofilters and biotrickling filters, is a mature technology to efficiently remove H_2S in the air, whereas biological desulfurization of biogas is currently considered a promising technology [14]. In the air, i.e., in the presence of atmospheric oxygen acting as an electron acceptor, the ability of bioreactors to remove H_2S is largely reported in the literature, even under extremely acidic conditions [15]. However, for biogas desulfurization in aerobic conditions, i.e., using atmospheric oxygen from air flow rate additions, significant efforts have to be still placed on developing control strategies to avoid biogas dilution [16]. In the absence of atmospheric oxygen, solutions based on alternative electron acceptors, such as nitrates, exist to biologically remove H₂S under anoxic conditions. Consequently, anaerobic biotrickling filtration appears a suitable solution to overcome the main drawbacks of aerobic bioprocesses, i.e., biogas dilution with nitrogen and safety problems due to potential explosive mixtures of oxygen/methane. In both cases, H₂S must be first absorbed in the aqueous phase in which sulfide oxidizing bacteria carry out the substrate oxidation. The products of the oxidation are either elemental sulfur (H₂S + 0.5O₂ \rightarrow S⁰ + H₂O) or sulfate (H₂S + 2O₂ \rightarrow SO₄²⁻ + 2H⁺) according to the H₂S/electron acceptor ratio. Between the air addition way and the anoxic way, a third way consisting of taking advantage of the possible presence of oxygen in the raw gas also has to be considered since oxygen concentrations up to 1% are reported in landfill gases [3]. The amount of oxygen is usually lower in biogas produced through anaerobic digestion since preventive treatment based on a simple micro-aeration of the digester headspace allows the development of aerobic *thiobacteria* oxidizing H_2S into elemental sulfur S^0 [5,7,17]. According to the stoichiometric equations abovementioned, the first product of the H₂S oxidation is elemental sulfur S^0 , which can be converted into sulfate SO_4^{2-} in the case of excess oxygen amounts (S⁰ + 1.5O₂ + H₂O \rightarrow SO₄²⁻ + 2H⁺). It was admitted that the accumulation of S^0 in a biotrickling filter leading to bed clogging is the main drawback of these bioreactors [18,19]. As the washing of the filter bed is difficult, and as S⁰ is insoluble in the recirculating liquid, it is preferable to operate in oxygen excess to oxidize S^0 into SO_4^{2-} [20,21]. Moreover, the literature reports that H_2S removal efficiency (RE) depends on the O_2/H_2S molar ratio. Thus, RE of 95% was obtained for an O_2/H_2S ratio of 2:1, against 63% and 50% for 1:1 and 1:2 ratios, respectively [22]. As a result, according to the H₂S and O₂ concentrations characterizing the biogas, efficient desulfurization could be directly achieved in a biotrickling filter without any electron acceptor addition, atmospheric oxygen or nitrates. The objective of this study was consequently to study the feasibility of a biotrickling filter filled with expanded schist as packing material to removed H₂S from a biogas with trace amounts of oxygen. Among the materials commonly used in H₂S biofiltration (peat, pouzzolane, wood bark, etc.), expanded schist has widely proved to be a good carrier material, showing high removal H_2S performances both in aerobic and anoxic conditions, but also good lifetime due to its mechanical resistance and its nonalteration during chemical or biological reactions [15,23,24]. However, as biotrickling filters are sensitive to H₂S loading rate changes due to concentration or flow rate fluctuations, a basic filtration using cellular concrete, an inexpensive waste material from construction, was used beforehand to buffer the shock loads and avoid the inhibition of the bacterial community. Indeed, cellular concrete waste was demonstrated to be efficient for partial H₂S removal in abiotic conditions, i.e., without any microbial population [25,26]. Chemical reactions involving the components of the cellular concrete (mainly CaO, CaCO₃ and Fe₂O₃) would explain the ability of this material to react with H₂S. The H₂S removal was therefore investigated using a two-stage desulfurization process for different operating conditions of H₂S concentrations and Empty Bed Residence Time (EBRT).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Both of the materials, expanded schist and cellular concrete waste, were described in previous studies [25,26]. Expanded schist is an inorganic material provided by the Granulex company (France), and cellular concrete waste, called "copolight", is a mineral material provided by the Florentaise company (France). Properties and pictures of both materials are given in Table 1 and Figure 1, respectively. The ability of biotrickling filters filled with expanded schist to remove H₂S was demonstrated in aerobic and anoxic conditions, as well as under extremely acidic conditions in relation to the production of large amounts of SO_4^{2-} [15]. Maximum removal capacity RC_{max} of 30.3 g m⁻³ h⁻¹ was recorded for H₂S concentrations up to 1100 ppmv (EBRT of 300 s) [23]. Moreover, compared with other biofiltration materials, the good mechanical stability of the expanded schist observed over time is a great advantage in avoiding technical maintenance. As no bed compaction was observed during long-running periods, bed pressure drops are limited to some Pa per meter of material [15].

Table 1. Physico-chemical properties and composition of materials (Adapted with permission from Refs. [23,25]).

Properties	Cellular Concrete Waste	Expanded Schist		
Density (kg m $^{-3}$)	547 ± 5	1248 ± 12		
Porosity (%)	64 47			
pH of surface	9.0 ± 0.1 7.0 ± 0.1			
Composition (weight %)				
SiO ₂	50.5	56.4		
Fe ₂ O ₃	1.3	12.4		
Al_2O_3	2.2 20.5			
CaO	24.6	0.9		
K ₂ O	0.2	5		
SO ₃	19.7	1.6		
TiO ₂	(nd)	(nd)		
P_2O_5	1.4	12.4		



(a)



Figure 1. Materials used in this study; (a) cellular concrete waste; (b) expanded schist.

The ability of cellular concrete waste to react with H_2S in air and in abiotic conditions was recently highlighted [26]. It was reported that in wet conditions, reactions occurring between H_2S and calcium carbonate lead to gypsum formation (CaSO₄·2H₂O). This abiotic H_2S filtration could thus be beneficially used as a first step of biofiltration systems, e.g., to soften the change in H_2S loading rate. To date, cellular concrete waste has not yet been studied for H_2S biogas filtration.

2.2. Experimental Setup

The experimental setup is described in Figure 2. The pilot plant consisted of 2 PVC cylindrical columns (internal diameter of 100 mm) filled with 7.8 L of material (1 m in height). The "abiotic filter" was filled with cellular concrete waste (Figure 1), and the "biotrickling filter" was filled with expanded schist inoculated with 4 L of activated sludge from a wastewater treatment plant (Procanar, Lauzach, France). Prior to inoculation, sludge was not H₂S acclimatized. For safety reasons, mimic biogas was used, and CH₄ was replaced by N_2 . The use of mimic biogas without CH_4 and CO_2 was successfully considered in several studies, with the presence or absence of methane having no effect on the microorganisms using H₂S as substrate [22]. A nitrogen generator BrezzaNiGen LC-MS (40-1) (purity up to 99.9%) from Gengaz Company (Wasquehal, France) was used to supply N_2 continuously into the columns. In spite of the ability of the generator to efficiently purify N₂ from the air, a weak fraction of oxygen ranging from 0.5 to 0.8% was always measured in the nitrogen gas. The N_2 flow rate entering the two-stages process was controlled and measured by a mass flowmeter (Model 58500, Brooks Instruments, Hatfield, MA, USA). A stream of H_2S (99.7% purity) controlled by another mass flow meter (Model 5850S, Brooks Instruments, Hatfield, PA, USA) was mixed with the N_2 flow rate before entering the abiotic filter. As a result, the gas entering the two-stage process was a mixture of N_2 -H₂S-O₂ mimicking raw biogas. Thermocouples (K type) were installed on each column to measure temperatures. In order to maintain optimal bed humidity, both columns were fed with tap water. For the abiotic filter, cellular concrete waste was humidified by a drop-by-drop system. For the biotrickling filter, expanded schist was sprinkled (water flow rate of 60 mL min⁻¹) by water recirculating in the column. The water was discharged once a week to prevent its electrical conductivity from exceeding 10 mS cm^{-1} . As a result, all experiments were carried out in wet conditions controlled by humidity sensors (Model EE08, E + E Electronik, Sevres, France) located at the top of columns. Relative humidity measurements of the gas at the outlet of the first stage were always higher than 94%. H₂S and O₂ concentrations were measured by an electrochemical analyzer (Biogas 5000, QED Environmental Systems Ltd., Coventry, UK) along all the columns, which were equipped with 6 sampling ports located at 0, 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 cm from the bottom. These sampling ports were also used to measure the pressure drops (pressure sensor Setra, Setra Systems, Inc, Boxborough, MA, USA; 0–700 Pa). No nutritive solution was added to the tap water for the inoculated biotrickling filter. Indeed, it was assumed that the minerals contained in the tap water would be sufficient for the growth of autotrophic biomass.

The biotrickling filter washing liquid parameters, such as (i) temperature, (ii) pH and (iii) electrical conductivity, were measured daily using a multi-channel analyzer consort C834 (Consort bvba, Turnhout, Belgium) with temperature correction. The sulfate concentration was measured daily using a High-Pressure Ion Chromatography (940 Professional IC Vario, Metrohm, detection by conductivity, eluent: $3.2 \text{ mM Na}_2\text{CO}_3 + 1 \text{ mM Na}\text{HCO}_3$, column: metrosep A supp 5 150/4.0). For this study, no specific measurement were made on the abiotic filter washing liquid.

The cellular concrete composition over time (at days 0 and 43) was determined using an Energy Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence Spectrometer (EDX-800HS, Shimadzu Company, Kyoto, Japan).

2.3. Operating Parameters

The parameters used to determine the performance of the two-stage process are detailed in Table 2. Two parameters influencing the H_2S loading rate were studied during the 68 days of operation: (i) the influence of pollutant concentration change at a constant EBRT; (ii) the influence of the change in EBRT for a given H_2S concentration. The H_2S concentrations selected for the study (up to 1500 mg m⁻³) were chosen according to the concentrations usually encountered in raw biogas. The operating conditions of the study are summarized in Table 3. Temperatures of gas and materials were measured, not controlled. For the running period, recorded temperatures ranged from 17 to 27 °C according to

the climatic conditions (June to August 2021). Before the beginning of this measurement campaign (June 2021), the two-stage process was operated continuously for several months in order to fix the different problems encountered. Consequently, it can be considered that the biomass inoculating the biotrickling filter was H_2S acclimatized.



Figure 2. Experimental setup; (**a**) flow sheet; (**b**) picture of experimental columns (left: first stage, abiotic filter; right: second stage, biotrickling filter).

Table 2. Parameters used in this study.

Parameter	Definition	Nomenclature		
Loading Rate LR (g m ^{-3} h ^{-1})	$\frac{Q}{V}C_{G}^{in}$	$C_{\rm G}^{\rm in}$: Inlet concentration (g m ⁻³)		
Removal Capacity RC (g m ^{-3} h ^{-1})	$\frac{Q}{V}\left(C_{G}^{in}-C_{G}^{out} ight)$	C_G^{out} : Outlet concentration (g m ⁻³)		
Empty Bed Residence Time EBRT (s)	$\frac{V}{Q}$	Q: Gas flow rate $(m^3 s^{-1})$		
Removal Efficiency RE (%)	$100 \; \frac{C_{\rm G}^{\rm in} - C_{\rm G}^{\rm out}}{C_{\rm G}^{\rm in}}$	V: Packing bed volume (m ³)		

Duration (Day)	Inlet H ₂ S Concentration (mg m ⁻³)	Abiotic Filter EBRT (s)	Biotrickling Filter EBRT (s)	Total EBRT (s)	Loading Rate LR (g m ⁻³ h ⁻¹)
8	350				3.5
8	500				5.0
8	900	180	180	360	9.0
6	1200				12.0
8	1500				14.5
11		150	150	300	18.0
10	1500	120	120	240	22.5
10		100	100	200	27.0

2.4. Analysis of Microbial Community

Biofilm samples were taken on day 0 (after acclimatization) and after 55 days in operation. They were centrifuged at $3000 \times g$ for 10 min, the supernatant was removed, and the pellet was stored at -20 °C until DNA extraction.

DNA was extracted from 200 mg of pellet using the Macherey Nagel NucleoSpin Soil kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. The extracted DNA was eluted in 100 μ L of sterile water and stored at -20 °C for further analysis. Microbial community dynamics were investigated by high throughput DNA sequencing using Ion Torrent Personal Genome Machine methods and technologies (ThermoFisher Scientific, MA, USA) as described in Madigou et al. [27] with a few adaptations. The analysis targeted the V4-V5 hypervariable regions of the bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA genes using PCR amplification (Platinium SuperFi PCR protocol from Life Technologies) and fusion primers 515F (5'-Ion A adapter–Barcode–GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTA-3') and 928R (5'-Ion trP1 adapter– CCCCGYCAATTCMTTTRAGT-3') [28], which includes a barcode and sequencing adapters. The resulting amplicons were purified and quantified according to the manufacturer's instructions using, respectively, the Agencourt AMPure XP magnetic beads (Beckman Coulter, Lane Cove West, NSW, Australia), DNA 1000 kit and 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Les Ulis, France). Template preparation for emulsion PCR and subsequent sequencing were performed using the Ion PGM Hi-Q View OT2 Kit and Ion PGM Hi-Q View Sequencing kit (Life Technologies, West Sacramento, CA, USA) as described in [27]. The high-throughput DNA sequencing produced an average of 3369/10071 + -2291 sequence reads of about 380 base pairs length for each sample.

These sequences were processed with the FROGS pipeline [29], following the authors' recommendations on the MIGALE Galaxy instance (INRAE, Jouy-en-Josas, France). Operational Taxonomic Unit (OTUs) abundance and microbial community diversity indices calculations were performed using Easy16S (https://shiny.migale.inrae.fr/app/easy16S (accessed on 7 April 2022)), a shiny web interface based on the phyloseq R package [30].

3. Results and Discussion

Results of the two-stage process are reported in Figure 3. The two-stage process was able to satisfactorily remove H_2S (RE > 97%) for all experimental conditions. Basically, the measured H₂S outlet concentration was never higher than 14 mg m⁻³. At EBRT = 360 s, H₂S removal was mainly achieved by the abiotic filter, whereas at lower EBRT, most of the removal was performed by the biotrickling filter. Figure 4a shows that the removal capacity (RC) was always close to the loading rate (LR) for the two-stage process, in agreement with the high RE value. Therefore, it can be concluded that a total EBRT of 200 s is sufficient for the complete H₂S removal. Moreover, it can be argued that lower EBRT would make it possible to efficiently treat H_2S concentrations higher than 1500 mg m⁻³. It is interesting to note that RC of 26 g m⁻³ h⁻¹ was achieved by the abiotic filter alone at EBRT of 180 s (Figure 4b). In this case, RE ranged from 75 to 100%. These results are significantly higher than those reported in air by Lebrun et al. [26] (RC = 7.8 g m⁻³ h⁻¹ at EBRT of 56 s, C_G^{in} = 70 mg m⁻³) and Ben Jaber et al. [25] (RC = 5.6 g m⁻³ h⁻¹ at EBRT of 63 s, $C_{C}^{\text{in}} = 140 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$). Indeed, in these previous studies, the humidity of the material was not controlled, and consequently, recorded performances were not optimized. It can thus be concluded that an efficient H₂S filtration through only a bed of cellular concrete waste is possible at moderate H_2S concentrations. In other words, this abiotic H_2S filtration could be used instead of biofiltration for many H₂S gas treatment applications with equal performance. For LR > 30 g m⁻³ h⁻¹, the removal efficiency dropped to values lower than 50% in relation to the decrease in EBRT (Figure 4b). Consequently, it can be suggested that EBRT seems to be the main parameter governing H_2S removal in the abiotic filter, rather than H₂S concentration. However, investigations must be continued to refine the parameters influencing H₂S removal.



Figure 3. H₂S removal efficiency of the two-stage process.



Figure 4. RC vs. LR: (a) two-stage process (LR and RC calculated using both the abiotic filter bed and the biotrickling filter bed); (b) abiotic filter only (EBRT, LR and RC calculated using the abiotic filter bed volume only).

By considering results achieved in the biotrickling filter alone during the first 37 days of operation (Figure 5), the H_2S inlet concentration was lower than 200 mg m⁻³ because part of H₂S was previously removed by the cellular concrete filter. As a result, the loading rate to be treated was limited to 5 g m⁻³ h⁻¹. However, for days 37 to 68, corresponding to a decrease in the total EBRT, the H_2S inlet concentration reached up to 1000 mg m⁻³, and consequently, LR increased to 35 g m⁻³ h⁻¹. As observed in Figure 5, the removal capacity was always close to LR, confirming the ability of the biotrickling filter to remove H₂S. In terms of RE and RC values, these results are in the same order of magnitude as those reported in the recent literature dedicated to the anoxic removal of H_2S using conventional biotrickling filters [31–34]. Bearing in mind that the maximal RC of the two-stage process was not reached, these results can be compared with those obtained by means of others technologies. For instance, using an anoxic bioscrubber (absorption column + stirred tank),

RE (biotrickling filter filled with expanded schist) ERE (abiotic filter filled with cellular concrete)

Quijano et al. [35] reported a maximum RC of 35.7 ± 2.0 g m⁻³ h⁻¹ (RE from 92 to 99%). Using a 3D-printed honeycomb monolith as a biotrickling filter, Qiu and Deshusses [22] obtained for the first time an RC of 122 g m⁻³ h⁻¹ (RE 95%). However, after the cleaning procedure, the performance of the system decreases significantly (RC = 63 g m⁻³ h⁻¹ and RE = 49%) to reach some values close to RC obtained in this study. Anoxic desulfurization was also performed by González-Cortés et al. in a 3 L inner loop jacketed gas-lift bioreactor fed with mimic biogas ($N_2 + H_2S$) and nitrogen species (NO_2^- , NO_3^-) as the electron acceptor [36]. A maximum RC of 141.18 g m⁻³ h⁻¹ (RE = 95.0%) was achieved. However, these authors indicated that the high operating costs of this technology, due to the high requirements of nitrite or nitrate, make its full-scale application difficult. Thus, the major difference between the results of the present study and the literature data lies in the fact that H₂S removal under anoxic conditions was obtained without any addition of electron acceptors such as nitrates or nitrites. Thus, it can be reasonably suggested that the amount of oxygen present in the mimic biogas is sufficient for H_2S oxidation (it is unlikely that oxygen comes from reactions occurring between H_2S and the cellular concrete during the abiotic filtration [26]). EBRT applied in the biotrickling filter was high enough to provide both the mass transfer of H₂S and oxygen from the gas phase to the liquid phase and the H_2S biodegradation by microorganisms, as revealed by high RE values. However, the oxygen availability in relation to the H₂S concentration to be treated determines the degradation products (S⁰ or SO₄²⁻). Elemental sulfur S⁰, the first product of the H₂S degradation, is transformed into SO_4^{2-} if O_2 is in excess. The oxygen fraction in the mimic biogas entering the biotrickling filter ranged from 0.5 to 0.8%, i.e., from 5000 to 8000 ppm. According to the H₂S concentration entering the biotrickling filter, it can then be argued that the O_2/H_2S ratio value was around 50–100 at the bottom for low H_2S concentrations (some ppm) and close to 5-10 for high concentrations (some hundreds of ppm). As O_2 is progressively consumed along all the biotrickling filter, values lower than five can be reasonably assumed at the outlet, especially as oxygen is 80 times less soluble in water than H_2S [31]. According to the literature, half of H_2S degraded is converted into S⁰ for an O_2/H_2S ratio of 6 [21], and complete H_2S oxidation to SO_4^{2-} is achieved for an O_2/H_2S ratio of 23.6 [37]. The sulfate production, expressed as the ratio (S–SO₄²⁻ accumulated in the leachate/S-H₂S removed from the biogas) as a function of the H₂S concentration entering the biotrickling filter is displayed in Figure 6. As observed, SO_4^{2-} production decreased with the increase in the H₂S concentrations and the removal capacity, in agreement with the literature data. $\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$ production, ranged between 70% and 100% for H_2S concentrations lower than 100 mg m⁻³ (corresponding to RC lower than 2 g m⁻³ h⁻¹), tended to a plateau of around 25–30% for H_2S concentrations reaching 1000 mg m⁻³ (RC tending toward 40 g m⁻³ h⁻¹). In parallel of these findings, it can be added that the concentration of sulfate in the leachate, measured from ion chromatography, can also be deduced from the measurement of the electrical conductivity of water, on the basis that SO_4^{2-} is the majority ionic species in water since other sulfur ionic species, which could derive from H_2S oxidation, such as sulfide (S_2^{-}) , sulfite (SO_3^{2-}) and thiosulfate $(S_2O_3^{2-})$, are insignificant in water. Indeed, Qiu and Deshusses [22] measured that sulfide produced by H₂S oxidation was less than 1% of the total species detected in the liquid. Moreover, formation of thiosulfate $(S_2O_3^{2-})$ is unfavorable at pH lower than 8 [38]. Thus, in acidic conditions (pH = 1.8), Rodriguez et al. [21] observed that the presence of sulfite and thiosulfate was below the detection limit of the analyzer. In the present study, the pH value ranged between 1.5 and 3 during the operating conditions. As a result, it can be claimed that the change in the electrical conductivity of water was due to change in the sulfate concentration in water (SO₄²⁻($_{gS/L}$) = 0.058 × electrical conductivity($_{mS/cm}$); $R^2 = 0.98$; not shown). Note that a part of leachate was periodically removed and replaced by fresh water in order to keep the pH higher than 1.5; such a condition avoiding a performance decay. Acidic conditions also influenced the microbial communities in the biotrickling filter. Figure 7 exhibits genus level NGS (next-generation sequencing) analysis results for microbial diversity in the biofilm harvested at the surface of the expanded

schist sampled in the middle of the biotrickling filter. After the acclimatization period, Illumina Miseq sequencing revealed that the microbial community at the beginning of experiment (day 0) contained high abundance of H_2S -affinity genera including mainly Alicyclobacillus, Thionomas, Acidithiobacillus and Metallibacterium. The total proportion of these four genera exceeded 95%, which indicated a microbial community with low diversity, but high enrichment of functional bacteria proving that the activated sludge used to inoculate the biotrickling filter was well H₂S acclimatized. All these OTUs are involved in the sulfur cycle and were previously described in acid mining environments as sulfuroxidizing bacteria [39]. Amongst the most common genera are acidophiles which exhibit mesophilic growth optima. These bacteria possess chemolithotrophic metabolism, by which they are able to use sulfur under oxic conditions. Therefore, high H_2S concentrations and low pH helped shape a microbial community well adapted to H_2S degradation, as reported in the literature [40,41]. After 55 days in operation under severe acidic conditions (pH 1.5–3), the microbial diversity was simplified to extremophile Acidithiobacillus spp. and Metallibacterium spp., with Acidithiobacillus being the most abundant bacterial group (95%), confirming that this genus dominates for this range of acidic pH [42]. These two genera are acidophile bacteria with optimal growth at pH 2–3 and autotrophic metabolism.

Pictures of the two packing materials extracted from columns at the end of the experiment are displayed in Figure 8. The yellow color suggests a sulfur deposit, which was confirmed by the analysis of cellular concrete composition over time. For this material, the mass percentage of sulfur was 6.8% at the beginning of the study and increased progressively to reach 15.4% on day 43. For the biotrickling filter, a sulfur deposit was clearly observed on the material pieces extracted at the bottom of the bed (Figure 8b), whereas the material pieces extracted at the top were cleaner. This finding is consistent with the explanation given above for Figure 6 concerning the predominant S⁰ production at high loading rates due to high H₂S inlet concentration. Despite the sulfur deposits, no clogging was observed on both bed materials, as illustrated by the measurement of pressure drops. Thus, at the end of the experiment, pressure drops were below 20 Pa m⁻¹ for the biotrickling filter and below 30 Pa m⁻¹ for the abiotic filter, respectively. These results are in agreement with those reported by Ben Jaber et al. [25] and Lebrun et al. [26], where pressure drops were less than 40 Pa m⁻¹ and around 12 Pa m⁻¹, respectively.



Figure 5. Loading rate (LR) and removal capacity (RC) of the biotrickling filter alone at different EBRT and H₂S inlet concentrations.



Figure 6. Biotrickling filter results: sulfate production and removal capacity according to the H₂S concentration at the inlet of the second stage.



Figure 7. Genus level NGS analysis results for microbial diversity in biotrickling filter using the prokaryotic universal primer. The bar charts show taxonomic profiles obtained at the beginning of experiment (day 0) and after 55 days in operation.

Moreover, given that the sulfate production by the biotrickling filter mainly depends on the H₂S concentration at the inlet of the column, i.e., at the outlet of the abiotic filter filled with cellular concrete, it is possible to consider that the ability of the abiotic filter to remove a significant part of H₂S would allow reducing the clogging of the biotrickling filter. Indeed, Rodriguez et al. [21] demonstrated that it is possible to partially remove the deposited S⁰ by bio-oxidation (40.3%) when the biotrickling filter is not fed by biogas. In other words, the expanded schist filling the biotrickling filter could be partially cleaned, thus regenerated, during phases when the abiotic filter would be highly effective, depending on the fluctuations of the H₂S loading rate of the two-stage process.



Figure 8. Change in aspect of both packing materials over time; (**a**) Cellular concrete waste (left to right: blank material, extracted from the top of the abiotic filter, extracted from the bottom); (**b**) expanded schist (left to right: blank material, extracted from the bottom of the biotrickling filter, extracted from the top).

EBRT is one of the critical parameters for the mass transfer of H₂S required for high removal efficiency. The objective is always to find a good compromise between short EBRT in order to limit the size of the equipment and high RE. At a total EBRT of 200 s (100 s for each stage), the present study demonstrated that the two-stage process was efficient in treating H₂S concentration of 1500 mg m⁻³ (RE > 97%; Figure 3). Consequently, future works will be performed to reduce the total EBRT. However, for these operating conditions, Figure 3 reveals that the part of H₂S removed by the abiotic filtration stage was around 30%. Considering the ability of the cellular concrete to react with H₂S, it could be emphasized to adapt the EBRT of the first stage in order to reduce the EBRT of the second stage. Indeed, the design of the two stages is significantly different since the first one is based on chemical reactions, whereas the second one is based on biological reactions. Thus, the first stage could be designed as a compact horizontal mode system allowing to easily adjust the EBRT to operating changes, while the second stage would be designed as a more classical biofiltration system governed by a given EBRT value imposed by the height of expanded schist filling the system.

The two-stage process could be an interesting way to overcome current difficulties with typical desulfurization bioprocesses of landfill gas. Bioprocesses such as BTF are simple, environmentally benign, cost-effective and ease scalability [4], but some issues can be encountered, such as (i) handling bacteria during high inlet H_2S concentration or stops, (ii) controlling the chemicals nutrients feeding and (iii) avoiding the premature clogging due to sulfur accumulation. Therefore, the cellular concrete as a first-stage abiotic filter could be a solution to protect the biotrickling filter from H₂S shock loads and reduce the premature clogging risk of the second-stage BTF concomitantly. Moreover, as expanded schist has widely proved to be a good carrier material with a long lifetime due to its mechanical resistance during biological reactions, the management of the BTF is limited, and the possible handling of the saturated or degraded media will be avoided. Admittedly, the cellular concrete should be changed periodically, but the management of the first abiotic stage is largely easier than the BTF management. Currently, this two-stage desulfurization process allows reaching the maximal H₂S concentration for numerous applications, i.e., fuel cells, heating and Stirling engines, internal combustion engine, turbines and microturbines [3]. Moreover, the process probably reduces the amount of oxygen in the biogas. Consequently, it can be considered an alternative to biogas purification based on activated carbon. Pragmatically, the process should be tested in situ

on real biogas to assess the efficiency of the process, to evaluate its ability to remove other elements such as NH₃ and CO₂, and to carry out an economic study.

4. Conclusions

It was demonstrated that a two-stage desulfurization process including an abiotic filtration using cellular concrete waste and an anoxic biotrickling filter using an inoculated expanded schist material is efficient in removing H₂S in mimic biogas without any addition of electron acceptors such as nitrite or nitrate (RE > 97%; H₂S concentration = 1500 mg m⁻³). Bio-oxidation of H₂S is achieved provided that the biogas to be treated contains a small fraction of oxygen (up to 0.8% in the present study). The drop in pH in the biotrickling filter (1.5–3) simplified the microbial diversity mainly to extremophile *Acidithiobacillus* spp. At EBRT = 200 s, the removal capacity of the two-stage process was 26 g m⁻³ h^{-1,} and consequently, it appears as a promising technology for efficient and economical biogas cleaning adapted to biogas containing limited O₂ amounts, such as landfill biogas. Moreover, at H₂S concentrations up to 1200 mg m⁻³ and longer EBRT (180 s for the first stage only), the abiotic H₂S filtration alone using cellular concrete waste was shown to be equally efficient. Therefore, this abiotic filtration could be used instead of biofiltration for many H₂S gas treatment applications (not limited to biogas) in aerobic or anoxic conditions.

This promising two-stage desulfurization process has to be now investigated in situ in real conditions for the treatment of real biogas containing CH_4 , CO_2 , NH_3 , O_2 and H_2S . Only the use in real conditions will allow studying the influence of EBRT and H_2S concentration, the main operating parameters.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, É.D. and A.C.; methodology, É.D. and M.P.; software, M.P. and L.R.D.E.S.; validation, É.D., A.C., P.P. and M.P.; formal analysis, M.P. and L.R.D.E.S.; investigation, M.P. and L.R.D.E.S.; data curation, M.P. and L.R.D.E.S.; writing—original draft preparation, É.D. and M.P.; writing—review and editing, É.D., A.C., P.P. and M.P.; supervision, É.D., A.C. and P.P.; project administration, A.C.; funding acquisition, A.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the French governmental agency ADEME (N°TEZ19-041) and the Region of Brittany (N°1169).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article.

Acknowledgments: To the Département Systèmes Energétiques et Environnement of IMT Atlantique (Nantes, France) and also special thanks to Yvan Gouriou for his continuous support in process management.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Directive (EU) 2018/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2018 on the Promotion of the Use of Energy from Renewable Sources 2018. Available online: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2018/2001/oj/eng (accessed on 6 May 2022).
- Miltner, M.; Makaruk, A.; Harasek, M. Review on Available Biogas Upgrading Technologies and Innovations towards Advanced Solutions. J. Clean. Prod. 2017, 161, 1329–1337. [CrossRef]
- Andriani, D.; Rajani, A.; Kusnadi; Santosa, A.; Saepudin, A.; Wresta, A.; Atmaja, T.D. A Review on Biogas Purification through Hydrogen Sulphide Removal. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* 2020, 483, 012034. [CrossRef]
- 4. Golmakani, A.; Ali Nabavi, S.; Wadi, B.; Manovic, V. Advances, Challenges, and Perspectives of Biogas Cleaning, Upgrading, and Utilisation. *Fuel* **2022**, *317*, 123085. [CrossRef]
- 5. Peu, P.; Picard, S.; Diara, A.; Girault, R.; Béline, F.; Bridoux, G.; Dabert, P. Prediction of Hydrogen Sulphide Production during Anaerobic Digestion of Organic Substrates. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2012**, *121*, 419–424. [CrossRef]
- 6. Piechota, G.; Igliński, B. Biomethane in Poland—Current Status, Potential, Perspective and Development. *Energies* **2021**, *14*, 1517. [CrossRef]

- Choudhury, A.; Shelford, T.; Felton, G.; Gooch, C.; Lansing, S. Evaluation of Hydrogen Sulfide Scrubbing Systems for Anaerobic Digesters on Two U.S. Dairy Farms. *Energies* 2019, 12, 4605. [CrossRef]
- 8. Piechota, G. Removal of Siloxanes from Biogas Upgraded to Biomethane by Cryogenic Temperature Condensation System. J. Clean. Prod. 2021, 308, 127404. [CrossRef]
- Piechota, G. Multi-Step Biogas Quality Improving by Adsorptive Packed Column System as Application to Biomethane Upgrading. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 2021, 9, 105944. [CrossRef]
- Abanades, S.; Abbaspour, H.; Ahmadi, A.; Das, B.; Ehyaei, M.A.; Esmaeilion, F.; El Haj Assad, M.; Hajilounezhad, T.; Jamali, D.H.; Hmida, A.; et al. A Critical Review of Biogas Production and Usage with Legislations Framework across the Globe. *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2022, *19*, 3377–3400. [CrossRef]
- 11. Mulu, E.; M'Arimi, M.M.; Ramkat, R.C. A Review of Recent Developments in Application of Low Cost Natural Materials in Purification and Upgrade of Biogas. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2021**, *145*, 111081. [CrossRef]
- 12. Abatzoglou, N.; Boivin, S. A Review of Biogas Purification Processes. Biofuels Bioprod. Bioref. 2009, 3, 42–71. [CrossRef]
- Awe, O.W.; Zhao, Y.; Nzihou, A.; Minh, D.P.; Lyczko, N. A Review of Biogas Utilisation, Purification and Upgrading Technologies. Waste Biomass Valor 2017, 8, 267–283. [CrossRef]
- 14. Gabriel, D.; Colón, J.; Ramírez, M. Life Cycle Assessment of Biofiltration. In *From Biofiltration to Promising Options in Gaseous Fluxes Biotreatment*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2020; pp. 89–108, ISBN 978-0-12-819064-7.
- 15. Ben Jaber, M.; Couvert, A.; Amrane, A.; Rouxel, F.; Le Cloirec, P.; Dumont, E. Biofiltration of High Concentration of H₂S in Waste Air under Extreme Acidic Conditions. *New Biotechnol.* **2016**, *33*, 136–143. [CrossRef]
- López, L.R.; Mora, M.; Van der Heyden, C.; Baeza, J.A.; Volcke, E.; Gabriel, D. Model-Based Analysis of Feedback Control Strategies in Aerobic Biotrickling Filters for Biogas Desulfurization. *Processes* 2021, 9, 208. [CrossRef]
- 17. Krayzelova, L.; Bartacek, J.; Díaz, I.; Jeison, D.; Volcke, E.I.P.; Jenicek, P. Microaeration for Hydrogen Sulfide Removal during Anaerobic Treatment: A Review. *Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol.* **2015**, *14*, 703–725. [CrossRef]
- Mannucci, A.; Munz, G.; Mori, G.; Lubello, C. Biomass Accumulation Modelling in a Highly Loaded Biotrickling Filter for Hydrogen Sulphide Removal. *Chemosphere* 2012, *88*, 712–717. [CrossRef]
- Gabaldón, C.; San-Valero, P.; Quijano, G. Current Challenges and Perspectives in Gas Fluxes Biotreatment. In From Biofiltration to Promising Options in Gaseous Fluxes Biotreatment; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2020; pp. 5–27, ISBN 978-0-12-819064-7.
- 20. Montebello, A.M.; Baeza, M.; Lafuente, J.; Gabriel, D. Monitoring and Performance of a Desulphurizing Biotrickling Filter with an Integrated Continuous Gas/Liquid Flow Analyser. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2010, *165*, 500–507. [CrossRef]
- Rodriguez, G.; Dorado, A.D.; Fortuny, M.; Gabriel, D.; Gamisans, X. Biotrickling Filters for Biogas Sweetening: Oxygen Transfer Improvement for a Reliable Operation. *Process Saf. Environ. Prot.* 2014, 92, 261–268. [CrossRef]
- Qiu, X.; Deshusses, M.A. Performance of a Monolith Biotrickling Filter Treating High Concentrations of H₂S from Mimic Biogas and Elemental Sulfur Plugging Control Using Pigging. *Chemosphere* 2017, 186, 790–797. [CrossRef]
- 23. Ben Jaber, M.; Couvert, A.; Amrane, A.; Le Cloirec, P.; Dumont, E. Hydrogen Sulfide Removal from a Biogas Mimic by Biofiltration under Anoxic Conditions. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 2017, *5*, 5617–5623. [CrossRef]
- 24. Ben Jaber, M.; Couvert, A.; Amrane, A.; Rouxel, F.; Le Cloirec, P.; Dumont, E. Biofiltration of H₂S in Air—Experimental Comparisons of Original Packing Materials and Modeling. *Biochem. Eng. J.* **2016**, *112*, 153–160. [CrossRef]
- Ben Jaber, M.; Couvert, A.; Amrane, A.; Le Cloirec, P.; Dumont, E. Removal of Hydrogen Sulfide in Air Using Cellular Concrete Waste: Biotic and Abiotic Filtrations. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2017, 319, 268–278. [CrossRef]
- Lebrun, G.; Couvert, A.; Dumont, É. H₂S Removal Using Cellular Concrete Waste as Filtering Material: Reactions Identification and Performance Assessment. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 2019, 7, 102967. [CrossRef]
- 27. Madigou, C.; Lê Cao, K.-A.; Bureau, C.; Mazéas, L.; Déjean, S.; Chapleur, O. Ecological Consequences of Abrupt Temperature Changes in Anaerobic Digesters. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2019**, *361*, 266–277. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Y.; Qian, P.-Y. Conservative Fragments in Bacterial 16S RRNA Genes and Primer Design for 16S Ribosomal DNA Amplicons in Metagenomic Studies. *PLoS ONE* 2009, 4, e7401. [CrossRef]
- 29. Escudié, F.; Auer, L.; Bernard, M.; Mariadassou, M.; Cauquil, L.; Vidal, K.; Maman, S.; Hernandez-Raquet, G.; Combes, S.; Pascal, G. FROGS: Find, Rapidly, OTUs with Galaxy Solution. *Bioinformatics* **2018**, *34*, 1287–1294. [CrossRef]
- McMurdie, P.J.; Holmes, S. Phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. PLoS ONE 2013, 8, e61217. [CrossRef]
- Huynh Nhut, H.; Le Thi Thanh, V.; Tran Le, L. Removal of H₂S in Biogas Using Biotrickling Filter: Recent Development. *Process Saf. Environ. Prot.* 2020, 144, 297–309. [CrossRef]
- Khanongnuch, R.; Di Capua, F.; Lakaniemi, A.-M.; Rene, E.R.; Lens, P.N.L. H₂S Removal and Microbial Community Composition in an Anoxic Biotrickling Filter under Autotrophic and Mixotrophic Conditions. J. Hazard. Mater. 2019, 367, 397–406. [CrossRef]
- Valdebenito-Rolack, E.; Díaz, R.; Marín, F.; Gómez, D.; Hansen, F. Markers for the Comparison of the Performances of Anoxic Biotrickling Filters in Biogas Desulphurisation: A Critical Review. *Processes* 2021, 9, 567. [CrossRef]
- 34. Zeng, Y.; Luo, Y.; Huan, C.; Shuai, Y.; Liu, Y.; Xu, L.; Ji, G.; Yan, Z. Anoxic Biodesulfurization Using Biogas Digestion Slurry in Biotrickling Filters. J. Clean. Prod. 2019, 224, 88–99. [CrossRef]
- 35. Quijano, G.; Valenzuela, E.I.; Cantero, D.; Ramírez, M.; Figueroa-González, I. Impact of an Anoxic Desulfurization Process on Methane Content of the Purified Biogas. *Fuel* **2021**, *303*, 121256. [CrossRef]

- González-Cortés, J.J.; Almenglo, F.; Ramírez, M.; Cantero, D. Simultaneous Removal of Ammonium from Landfill Leachate and Hydrogen Sulfide from Biogas Using a Novel Two-Stage Oxic-Anoxic System. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2021, 750, 141664. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fortuny, M.; Guisasola, A.; Casas, C.; Gamisans, X.; Lafuente, J.; Gabriel, D. Oxidation of Biologically Produced Elemental Sulfur under Neutrophilic Conditions. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 2010, 85, 378–386. [CrossRef]
- Van den Bosch, P.L.F.; Sorokin, D.Y.; Buisman, C.J.N.; Janssen, A.J.H. The Effect of PH on Thiosulfate Formation in a Biotechnological Process for the Removal of Hydrogen Sulfide from Gas Streams. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2008, 42, 2637–2642. [CrossRef]
- Mendez-Garcia, C.; Pelaez, A.I.; Mesa, V.; Sanchez, J.; Golyshina, O.V.; Ferrer, M. Microbial Diversity and Metabolic Networks in Acid Mine Drainage Habitats. Front. Microbiol. 2015, 6, 475. [CrossRef]
- 40. Tu, X.; Li, J.; Feng, R.; Sun, G.; Guo, J. Comparison of Removal Behavior of Two Biotrickling Filters under Transient Condition and Effect of PH on the Bacterial Communities. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0155593. [CrossRef]
- Sun, S.; Jia, T.; Chen, K.; Peng, Y.; Zhang, L. Simultaneous Removal of Hydrogen Sulfide and Volatile Organic Sulfur Compounds in Off-Gas Mixture from a Wastewater Treatment Plant Using a Two-Stage Bio-Trickling Filter System. *Front. Environ. Sci. Eng.* 2019, 13, 60. [CrossRef]
- 42. de Arespacochaga, N.; Valderrama, C.; Mesa, C.; Bouchy, L.; Cortina, J.L. Biogas Biological Desulphurisation under Extremely Acidic Conditions for Energetic Valorisation in Solid Oxide Fuel Cells. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2014**, 255, 677–685. [CrossRef]