

Risk factors of de novo malignancies after liver transplantation: a French national study on 11004 adult patients

Mario Altieri, Olivier Sérée, Thierry Lobbedez, Philippe Segol, Armand Abergel, Xavier Blaizot, Olivier Boillot, Karim Boudjema, Audrey Coilly, Filomena Conti, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Mario Altieri, Olivier Sérée, Thierry Lobbedez, Philippe Segol, Armand Abergel, et al.. Risk factors of de novo malignancies after liver transplantation: a French national study on 11004 adult patients. Clinics and Research in Hepatology and Gastroenterology, 2021, 45 (4), pp.101514. 10.1016/j.clinre.2020.07.019. hal-03671648

HAL Id: hal-03671648

https://hal.science/hal-03671648

Submitted on 15 Mar 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Risk factors of *de novo* malignancies after liver transplantation:

a French national study on 11004 adult patients

Mario Altieri^{1,2}, Olivier Sérée³, Thierry Lobbedez⁴, Philippe Segol⁵, Armand Abergel⁶,

Xavier Blaizot³, Olivier Boillot⁷, Karim Boudjema⁸, Audrey Coilly⁹, Filomena Conti¹⁰,

Olivier Chazouillères¹¹, Maryline Debette-Gratien¹², Sébastien Dharancy¹³, François Durand¹⁴,

Christophe Duvoux¹⁵, Claire Francoz¹⁴, Jean Gugenheim¹⁶, Jean Hardwigsen¹⁷,

Pauline Houssel-Debry⁸, Nassim Kamar¹⁸, Marianne Latournerie¹⁹, Pascal Lebray¹⁰,

Vincent Leroy²⁰, Martine Neau-Cransac²¹, Georges-Philippe Pageaux²², Sylvie Radenne²³,

Ephrem Salamé²⁴, Faouzi Saliba⁹, Didier Samuel⁹, Claire Vanlemmens²⁵,

Camille Besch²⁶, Guy Launoy², Jérôme Dumortier⁷

¹ Hôpital Côte de Nacre, Service d'Hépato-Gastroentérologie, Nutrition et Oncologie Digestive, Caen, France ;

² UFR Santé Caen France: U1086 INSERM- "ANTICIPE", Caen, France;

³ Réseau Régional de Cancérologie OncoBasseNormandie, Caen, France;

⁴ Hôpital Côte de Nacre, Néphrologie ; CUMR CAEN CEDEX, France, Normandie Université, Unicaen UFR de médecine, RDPLF, Caen, France ;

⁵ Hôpital Côte de Nacre, Service de chirurgie digestive et générale, Caen, France ;

⁶ CHU Estaing, Médecine digestive, Institut Pascal., UMR 6602 UCA CNRS SIGMA, Clermont-Ferrand, France;

⁷ Hospices civils de Lyon, Hôpital Edouard Herriot, Unité de transplantation hépatique, et Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, France

⁸ Hôpital Universitaire de Pontchaillou, Service d'Hépatologie et Transplantation hépatique, Rennes, France ;

⁹ AP-HP, Hôpital Paul Brousse, Centre Hépato-Biliaire, INSERM, Unité 1193, Villejuif, France;

- ¹⁰ APHP Hôpital de la Pitié Salpétrière, Service d'hépatologie et transplantation hépatique, Paris, France;
- ¹¹ AP-HP, Hôpital Saint-Antoine, Service d'Hépatologie, INSERM UMR S 938, CDR Saint-Antoine, Centre de référence « Maladies inflammatoire des voies biliaires et hépatite auto-immune », Filière FILFOIE, Université Paris 6, UMR_S 938, CDR Saint-Antoine, Paris, France;
- ¹² CHU Limoges, Service d'hépato-Gastroentérologie,; INSERM, U850, Université Limoges, Limoges, France;
- ¹³ CHRU Lille, Hôpital Claude Huriez, Service d'hépatologie, Lille, France;
- ¹⁴ APHP, Hôpital Beaujon, Service d'Hépatologie et Transplantation Hépatique Université Paris Diderot - INSERM U1149, Clichy, France;
- ¹⁵ APHP, Hôpital Henri Mondor, Service d'hépatologie, Créteil, France;
- ¹⁶ Hôpital universitaire de Nice, service de Chirurgie Digestive et de Transplantation Hépatique Université de Nice-Sophia-Antipolis, Nice, France;
- ¹⁷ APHM, Hôpital La Timone, Service chirurgie générale et transplantation hépatique Marseille, France ;
- ¹⁸ CHU Rangueil, Département de Néphrologie et Transplantation d'Organes, Toulouse, France;
- ¹⁹ CHU Dijon, Service d'Hépato-gastroentérologie et oncologie digestive, Inserm EPICAD LNC-UMR1231, Université de Bourgogne-Franche Comté, Dijon, France;
- $^{20}\,CHU\,Grenoble\text{-}Alpes,\,Service\,\,d'h\'epato\text{-}gastroent\'erologie,\,La\,\,Tronche,\,France;$
- ²¹ CHU de Bordeaux, Hôpital Haut Lévêque, Service de Chirurgie hépatobiliaire et de transplantation hépatique, Bordeaux, France ;
- $^{22}\,CHU\,Saint\,Eloi,\,D\'{e}partement\,d'h\'{e}patologie\,\,et\,\,transplantation\,\,h\'{e}patique,\,Montpellier,\,France\,\,;$
- ²³ Hospices civils de Lyon, Hôpital de la Croix-Rousse, Service d'Hépato-Gastroentérologie, Lyon, France ;
- ²⁴ CHU Tours, Hôpital Trousseau Service de chirurgie digestive, oncologique et endocrinienne, Transplantation hépatique, Tours, France;

²⁵ Hôpital Jean Minjoz, Service d'Hépatologie et Soins Intensifs Digestifs, Besançon, France;

²⁶ CHRU Hautepierre, Service de chirurgie hépato-bilio-pancréatique et transplantation hépatique,

Strasbourg, France;

Address for correspondence:

Jérôme Dumortier, MD, PhD,

pavillons D et L, Hôpital Edouard Herriot,

69437 Lyon Cedex 03, France

fax number: (33) 4 72 11 01 47

phone number: (33) 4 72 11 01 11

E-mail: jerome.dumortier@chu-lyon.fr

Keywords: liver transplantation; de novo malignancies; competing risk.

Word count = 2388

Abbreviations:

ALD: Alcohol-related Liver Disease

CI: Confidence Interval

HCC: Hepato Cellular Carcinoma

LT: Liver Transplantation

PSC: Primary Sclerosing Cholangitis

SIR: Standardized Incidence Ratio

SHR: SubHazard Ratio

Conflict of interest statement: no conflict of interest to declare.

Financial support statement: Chiesi gave financial support for the analysis of data.

Authors contributions:

Mario Altieri and Jérôme Dumortier had the idea of the project and participated in analysis and interpretation of data.

Olivier Sérée, Thierry Lobbedez, Xavier Blaizot and Guy Launoy performed methodology and statistical analysis of data.

Mario Altieri, Olivier Sérée and Jérôme Dumortier participated in writing of the manuscript.

Mario Altieri, Philippe Segol, Armand Abergel, Olivier Boillot, Karim Boudjema, Filomena Conti, Olivier Chazouillères, Audrey Coilly, Maryline Debette-Gratien, Sébastien Dharancy, François Durand, Christophe Duvoux, Claire Francoz, Jean Gugenheim, Jean Hardwigsen, Pauline Houssel-Debry, Nassim Kamar, Marianne Latournerie, Pascal Lebray, Vincent Leroy, Martine Neau-Cransac, Georges-Philippe Pageaux, Sylvie Radenne, Ephrem Salamé, Faouzi Saliba, Didier Samuel, Claire Vanlemmens, Camille Besch and Jérôme Dumortier were liver transplant centers medical managers and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Abstract

<u>Background</u>: After liver transplantation (LT), *de novo* malignancies are one of the leading causes of late mortality. The aim of the present retrospective study was to identify the risk factors of *de novo* malignancies in a large cohort of LT recipients in France, using Fine and Gray competing risks regression analysis.

Methods: The study population consisted in 11004 adults transplanted between 2000 and 2013, who had no history of pre-transplant malignancy, except primary liver tumor. A Cox model adapted to the identification of prognostic factors (competitive risks) was used.

Results: From the entire cohort, one (or more) *de novo* malignancy was reported in 1480 LT recipients (13.45%). The probability to develop a *de novo* malignancy after LT was 2.07% at 1 year, 13.30% at 5 years, and 28.01% at 10 years. Of the known reported malignancies, the most common malignancies were hematological malignancy (22.36%), non-melanoma skin cancer (19.53%) and lung cancer (12.36%). According to Fine and Gray competing risks regression multivariate analysis, were significant risk factors for post-LT *de novo* malignancy: recipient age (Subdistribution Hazard Ratio (SHR)=1.03 95%CI 1.03-1.04), male gender (SHR=1.45 95%CI 1.27-1.67), non-living donor (SHR=1.67 95%CI 1.14-2.38), a first LT (SHR=1.35 95%CI 1.09-1.69) and the type of initial liver disease (alcohol-related liver disease (SHR=1.63 95%CI 1.22-2.17), primary sclerosing cholangitis (SHR=1.98 95%CI 1.34-2.91), and primary liver tumor (SHR=1.88 95%CI 1.41-2.54)). Initial immunosuppressive regimen had no significant impact.

<u>Conclusion</u>: The present study confirms that LT recipient characteristics are associated with the risk of *de novo* malignancy and this underlines the need for personalized screening in order to improve survival.

Introduction

Liver transplantation (LT) is the treatment of end-stage chronic liver disease and severe acute liver failure. During the past 3 decades, progresses in reanimation, surgery, medical management including immunosuppression, led to improved survival (1). In France, for the 1993-2016 period, the survival of LT patients (n=19942) was 85.2% at one year, 73.6% at five years, and 62.6% at ten years. During this period, there was a significant improvement in early post-operative survival, but survival after the first year remained similar (2). This can be explained by the occurrence of late complications such as sepsis, recurrence of initial disease (mainly hepatitis C), *de novo* malignancies, and cardiovascular diseases that are known to be the main causes of late mortality (3-5), *de novo* malignancies accounting for approximately 20% of deaths (6).

Compared with the general population, the excess risk of post-LT *de novo* malignancies occurrence is well known with an estimated risk 1.4 to 11.55 times greater (7-19). Risk factors for *de novo* malignancies include immunosuppression therapy, but also a number of patient-related factors including age, gender, oncogenic viral infections, history of tobacco and alcohol use, and initial liver disease. Recently, using extensive data from the French national Agence de la Biomédecine database, we quantified the risk of solid organ *de novo* malignancies (excluding non-melanoma skin cancers) after LT: the incidence of *de novo* malignancies among all LT patients between 1993 and 2012 was compared to that observed in the French population, standardized on age, gender, and calendar period (standardized incidence ratio, SIR) (20). Among the 11226 LT patients included in the study, 1200 *de novo* malignancies were diagnosed (10.7%). The risk of death was approximately two times higher in patients with *de novo* malignancy (48.8% *vs.* 24.3%). The SIR for all *de novo* solid organ malignancies was 2.20. Following this first analysis, the aim of the present study was to identify the risk factors of *de novo* malignancies in a part of the same large cohort of LT recipients in France, using Fine and Gray competing risks regression analysis.

Materials and methods

Study Population

Adult patients who underwent a LT between January 2000 and December 2013 were identified from the national database of the French *Agence de la Biomédecine*, whose main purpose is the allocation of grafts, identification of biological and clinical information about transplantation, and follow-up of patients transplanted in France. Patients who died within 30 days (because these deaths are mainly related to post-operative complications), as well as those diagnosed with cancer within 30 days after LT (because these cancers were considered as independent from transplantation), were excluded. Patients who presented a primary liver tumor were included, but those who presented recurrence of the primary liver tumor after LT were excluded.

The data on post-LT malignancies were extracted from the Cristal database, which is completed once a year for each patient from the clinical charts of each transplant center. The Cristal data base is locally implemented by an independent clinical study technician, from the *Agence de la Biomédecine*. The presence of cancer is identified, together with the date of diagnosis, and is coded using a thesaurus, with the possibility to add free text. The thesaurus used was mapped to the International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10). The vital status is also specified in the Cristal register.

Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics among LT recipients were computed. These characteristics were described using proportions for categorical variables and means with associated standard deviations for continuous variables. An omnibus $\chi 2$ tests were performed for comparison of categorical variables and 2-sample t tests were performed for continuous variables.

Multivariate analysis using competing risks regression was performed to examine the association between clinical characteristics and post-transplant malignancies. The primary outcome of interest was time to the first report of post-transplant cancer. Because patients who died during the follow-up were no longer able to experience the outcome of interest, death event was treated as a competing event. The first time to cancer was modeled with Fine and Gray competing risk methods (21). All

patients were censored at the end of the study period on December 31, 2013. Subgroup analyses were then performed to evaluate the risk of specific subgroups on post-transplant malignancy. Risk factors included in the multivariate model were recipient characteristics, donor characteristics, initial immunosuppressive regimen, and etiology of initial liver disease. Results of the regression analysis were expressed in subhazard ratio (SHR) and confidence intervals. All reported p values were 2-tailed, and a p value at or less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. We used 95% confidence intervals. Statistical analyzes were performed with R 3.3.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing), and survival and emprsk packages.

Results

Study population

The study population consisted in 11004 adult LT recipients (2000-2013), from whom 1480 (14.45%) presented at least one post-transplant *de novo* malignancy (Figure 1). The median duration of follow-up was 3.55 years for patients without post-transplant *de novo* malignancy diagnosis and 3.71 years for patients with. The probability to develop a *de novo* malignancy after LT was 2.07% at 1 year, 13.30% at 5 years, and 28.01% at 10 years (Figure 2). Of the known reported malignancies, the most common malignancies were hematological malignancy, non-melanoma skin cancer and lung cancer (Table 1). Out of 11004 included LT recipients, 2552 had died by December 31, 2013, including 619 who had presented a *de novo* malignancy. Significant clinical characteristics associated with post-LT *de novo* malignancy included: age at LT, gender, type of initial liver disease, number of LT, donor age and type of initial immunosuppressive therapy (calcineurin inhibitor (CNI) and antimetabolite types) (Table 2).

Cox regression analysis

According to Cox regression multivariate analysis (Table 3), were significant risk factors for post-LT $de\ novo$ malignancy: age, male gender, non-living donor, main type of initial liver disease (alcohol-related liver disease (ALD), primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC), primary liver tumor and other liver disease) and initial use of mycophenolate. Were significant factors for post-LT better survival: female gender, type of initial liver disease (hepatitis B, PSC, other cirrhosis, congenital liver disease). Were significant factors for post-LT worse survival: recipient age, a number of LT ≥ 2 and use of antilymphocyte antibodies. Finally, were significant risk factors for post-LT $de\ novo$ malignancy or death: recipient age, donor age, a number of LT ≥ 2 , initial liver disease (all protective: hepatitis B, PSC, congenital liver disease), initial use of mycophenolate and of anti-lymphocyte antibodies.

Fine and Gray competing risks regression analysis

According to Fine and Gray competing risks regression multivariate analysis (Table 4), were significant risk factors for post-LT *de novo* malignancy: recipient age, male gender, non-living donor,

a first LT, and the type of initial liver disease (ALD, PSC and primary liver tumor). The type of initial immunosuppressive regimen had no significant impact.

Discussion

Summarizing the impact of *de novo* malignancies after LT, Daniel *et al.* (2017) reported long-term outcome of almost 90000 LT recipients and found that early deaths (within 1 year post-transplant) were related to infections and cardiovascular events, whereas malignancy-related deaths increased after year 1, *de novo* malignancies being more frequent than recurrent cancers, mainly hepatocellular carcinoma (71% vs. 28%) (22). We conducted the present retrospective study with the aim to identify the risk factors of *de novo* malignancies in a large cohort (>11000) of LT recipients in France. We used both Cox and Fine and Gray regression analysis because different insights can be learned from each analysis. Nevertheless, Fine–Gray is a regression model for the cumulative incidence function and it should be used when prediction is the focus (23, 24).

The overall incidence of *de novo* malignancies has been reported to range from 3.1 and 14.4%, with a cumulative risk that progressively increases with post-transplant time, rising from 20% at 10 years to 55% at 15 years (25). This is in accordance with our results: the probability to develop a *de novo* malignancy after LT was 2.07% at 1 year, 13.30% at 5 years, and 28.01% at 10 years. Nevertheless, interpretation of available data must take into account the variability of patient-related factors able to influence malignancy type and incidence, including age, gender, geographical considerations, and the predominant initial liver disease and its associated morbidities. In addition, immunosuppressive strategies can significantly differ from a cohort to another. Finally, all kinds of *de novo* malignancies are not systematically captured in all large cohort studies, according to available (and missing) data. We confirm herein that non-melanoma skin cancers and post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorders (PTLD) are the most common *de novo* malignancies after LT (in our population are identified all hematological malignancies and not only PTLD), as reported recently by Rademacher *et al.*, skin tumors and PTLD representing 26% and 15% of *de novo* malignancies, respectively, after a mean follow-up of 28 years (26).

We also confirm herein that patient-related factors are the strongest determinants of post-LT *de novo* malignancies, including age, gender and initial liver disease (and associated morbidities). We did not investigate in the present study specific risk factors for each type of malignancies; nevertheless we

previously reported the role of age, sex and initial ALD on the risk of different types of de novo malignancies after LT (20). Sinking an open door, older age is a well-described risk factor for cancer, with the exception in the field of organ transplantation of PTLD which preferentially occur in young recipients, with a strong correlation with EBV infection (9). The most relevant risk factor of de novo malignancies in our population was the initial liver disease, recalling the analysis of the American Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR) data. HCV-, ALD- and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)- related liver diseases were associated with the occurrence of de novo malignancies (19). This suggests the impact of synergistic risk factors, the most important being probably tobacco and alcohol consumption, obesity and diabetes. In our national database, as many others previously, such seminal data are not available and cannot been included in analysis. In France, NASH-related liver disease was a rare indication for LT until recent years and are included in the item "other cirrhosis" and cannot been analyzed separately. More interestingly, HCV-related liver disease was the second more frequent initial liver disease in our population, but was not associated with de novo malignancies. Not surprisingly, ALD as a leading cause for LT in France, was associated with the highest risk of de novo malignancies. It can be hypothesized that a vast majority of patients transplanted for primary liver tumor had underlying ALD and therefore SHR associated with ALD and primary liver tumor are very close. History of ALD probably explains the high incidence of alcohol/tobacco-related malignancies, such as lung, lip-mouth-pharynx-larynx, esophagus. In addition, similarly to ALD patients, patients undergoing LT for PSC were exposed to a greater risk of de novo malignancies as previously reported (5). It may be explained by the association with inflammatory bowel disease, and therefore an increased risk of colorectal cancer, but also of skin, renal, hematological and pancreatic cancer (27, 28). Interestingly, Rademacher et al. (2017) reported a surprising lower incidence of de novo malignancies after LT for PSC, possibly influenced by the low rate of smokers recipients, and a different prevalence of IBD or pre-liver transplant colectomy (26). Regarding gender, de novo malignancies are more frequent in men. Even if ALD and PSC LT recipients are predominantly males, the impact of gender remains significant in multivariate analysis; we hypothesize that this is probably due to the deleterious impact of alcohol, tobacco, metabolic

syndrome in men transplanted for non-ALD non-PSC indications. Finally, we confirm herein the protective impact of re-transplantation on *de novo* malignancies occurrence (19); it can be hypothesized that this is due to a strong selection of patients, erasing the weight of time spent under immunosuppressive therapy, in case of late re-transplantation.

Immunosuppressive therapy precisely! We did not identify any role for initial immunosuppressive regimen on occurrence of de novo malignancies. Analysis of maintenance immunosuppression should be more relevant, but hard to perform as such data were not available in our data base. It can be underline that interpretation of such data is highly questionable regarding frequent and multiple changes of drugs during years of post-transplantation follow-up. Nevertheless, in a single center report on 368 LT recipients with initial ALD, we noticed that tobacco consumption (both past and current) was associated with a significant increased risk of de novo solid organ malignancy, whereas maintenance immunosuppressive regimen including mTOR inhibitors was associated with a decreased risk (29). Very recently, Bhat et al. (2019) analyzed data from the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients database comprising all 108412 adult LT recipients across the United States between 1987 and March 2015 (median follow-up of 6.95 years) (30). Potential risk factors for malignancies after LT were assessed using Cox regression analysis for the outcome of time to first malignancy. De novo malignancies occurred in 9783 patients (9.02%), including 41.3% of skin, 14.0% of hematological and 44.7% of solid organ. The 10-year probability of de novo malignancy was 11.5%. On multivariable analysis, age, male gender, white race, multiorgan transplantation, previous malignancy and initial liver disease (ALD, autoimmune, NASH and PSC) were associated with higher risk of post-LT de novo malignancy, but type of immunosuppression was not, strongly recalling our main results.

Undoubtedly, the increased risk and mortality associated with *de novo* malignancies underlines the need for surveillance strategies to detect tumors at earlier stages, leading to more effective treatments and better survival. Screening protocols proposal for the detection of de novo *de novo* malignancies in LT population include an annual skin examination (for skin cancers), an annual CT scan in active tobacco smokers (for lung cancers), an annual colonoscopy in PSC patients with inflammatory bowel disease and a colonoscopy 2 years after LT in patients above 50 years (for colorectal cancers), an

annual clinical ears, nose, throat examination in smokers (for lip-mouth-pharynx-larynx cancers), an annual ultrasound examination (for renal cancers) and a compliance monitoring of routine health maintenance screening (for breast and prostate cancers) (13, 31-37).

In conclusion, the present study performed on a large cohort with long follow-up, despite some limitations (lack of data on maintenance immunosuppressive regimen, tobacco consumption, comorbidities such as diabetes and obesity), confirms that LT recipients characteristics are associated with the risk of *de novo* malignancy (age, gender, and initial liver disease) and this underlines the need for personalized screening in order to improve survival.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all French liver transplant centers and the *Agence de la Biomédecine* for having authorized access to data.

References

- 1. Adam R, McMaster P, O'Grady JG, Castaing D, Klempnauer JL, Jamieson N, et al. Evolution of liver transplantation in Europe: report of the European Liver Transplant Registry. Liver Transpl 2003;9:1231-43.
- 2. Survie globale du receveur après greffe hépatique (1993-2014). Available from: https://www.agence-biomedecine.fr/annexes/bilan2015/donnees/organes/2005-foie/synthese.htm (consulted the June 10, 2019).
- 3. Fung JJ, Jain A, Kwak EJ, Kusne S, Dvorchik I, Eghtesad B. De novo malignancies after liver transplantation: a major cause of late death. Liver Transpl 2001;7:S109-18.
- 4. Na R, Grulich AE, Meagher NS, McCaughan GW, Keogh AM, Vajdic CM. De novo cancer-related death in Australian liver and cardiothoracic transplant recipients. Am J Transplant 2013;13:1296-304.
- 5. Watt KD, Pedersen RA, Kremers WK, Heimbach JK, Sanchez W, Gores GJ. Long-term probability of and mortality from de novo malignancy after liver transplantation. Gastroenterology 2009;137:2010-7.
- 6. Watt KD, Pedersen RA, Kremers WK, Heimbach JK, Charlton MR. Evolution of causes and risk factors for mortality post-liver transplant: results of the NIDDK long-term follow-up study. Am J Transplant 2010;10:1420-7.
- 7. Adami J, Gabel H, Lindelof B, Ekstrom K, Rydh B, Glimelius B, et al. Cancer risk following organ transplantation: a nationwide cohort study in Sweden. Br J Cancer 2003;89:1221-7.
- 8. Jiang Y, Villeneuve PJ, Fenton SS, Schaubel DE, Lilly L, Mao Y. Liver transplantation and subsequent risk of cancer: findings from a Canadian cohort study. Liver Transpl 2008;14:1588-97.
- 9. Engels EA, Pfeiffer RM, Fraumeni JF, Jr., Kasiske BL, Israni AK, Snyder JJ, et al. Spectrum of cancer risk among US solid organ transplant recipients. JAMA 2011;306:1891-901.
- 10. Collett D, Mumford L, Banner NR, Neuberger J, Watson C. Comparison of the incidence of malignancy in recipients of different types of organ: a UK Registry audit. Am J Transplant 2010;10:1889-96.
- 11. Lee KF, Tsai YT, Lin CY, Hsieh CB, Wu ST, Ke HY, et al. Cancer Incidence among Heart, Kidney, and Liver Transplant Recipients in Taiwan. PLoS One 2016;11:e0155602.
- 12. Aberg F, Pukkala E, Hockerstedt K, Sankila R, Isoniemi H. Risk of malignant neoplasms after liver transplantation: a population-based study. Liver Transpl 2008;14:1428-36.
- 13. Finkenstedt A, Graziadei IW, Oberaigner W, Hilbe W, Nachbaur K, Mark W, et al. Extensive surveillance promotes early diagnosis and improved survival of de novo malignancies in liver transplant recipients. Am J Transplant 2009;9:2355-61.
- 14. Baccarani U, Piselli P, Serraino D, Adani GL, Lorenzin D, Gambato M, et al. Comparison of de novo tumours after liver transplantation with incidence rates from Italian cancer registries. Dig Liver Dis 2010;42:55-60.
- 15. Ettorre GM, Piselli P, Galatioto L, Rendina M, Nudo F, Sforza D, et al. De novo malignancies following liver transplantation: results from a multicentric study in central and southern Italy, 1990-2008. Transplant Proc 2013;45:2729-32.
- 16. Chatrath H, Berman K, Vuppalanchi R, Slaven J, Kwo P, Tector AJ, et al. De novo malignancy post-liver transplantation: a single center, population controlled study. Clin Transplant 2013;27:582-90.

- 17. Schrem H, Kurok M, Kaltenborn A, Vogel A, Walter U, Zachau L, et al. Incidence and long-term risk of de novo malignancies after liver transplantation with implications for prevention and detection. Liver Transpl 2013;19:1252-61.
- 18. Carenco C, Faure S, Herrero A, Assenat E, Duny Y, Danan G, et al. Incidence of solid organ cancers after liver transplantation: comparison with regional cancer incidence rates and risk factors. Liver Int 2015;35:1748-55.
- 19. Zhou J, Hu Z, Zhang Q, Li Z, Xiang J, Yan S, et al. Spectrum of de novo cancers and predictors in liver transplantation: analysis of the scientific registry of transplant recipients database. PLoS One 2016;11:e0155179.
- 20. Sérée O, Altieri M, Guillaume E, De Mil R, Lobbedez T, Robinson P, et al. Long-term risk of solid organ de novo malignancies after liver transplantation: a French national study on 11226 patients. Liver Transpl 2018.
- 21. Fine JP, Gray RJ. A proportional hazards model for the subdistribution of a competing risk. J Am Stat Asso 1999;94:496-509.
- 22. Daniel KE, Eickhoff J, Lucey MR. Why do patients die after a liver transplantation? Clin Transplant 2017;31.
- 23. Grambauer N, Schumacher M, Dettenkofer M, Beyersmann J. Incidence densities in a competing events analysis. Am J Epidemiol 2010;172:1077-84.
- 24. Latouche A, Allignol A, Beyersmann J, Labopin M, Fine JP. A competing risks analysis should report results on all cause-specific hazards and cumulative incidence functions. J Clin Epidemiol 2013;66:648-53.
- 25. Burra P, Rodriguez-Castro KI. Neoplastic disease after liver transplantation: Focus on de novo neoplasms. World J Gastroenterol 2015;21:8753-68.
- 26. Rademacher S, Seehofer D, Eurich D, Schoening W, Neuhaus R, Oellinger R, et al. 28-year incidence of de novo malignancies after liver transplantation: A single-center analysis of risk factors and mortality in 1616 patients. Liver Transpl 2017;23:1404-14.
- 27. Mouchli MA, Singh S, Loftus EV, Jr., Boardman L, Talwalkar J, Rosen CB, et al. Risk factors and outcomes of de novo cancers (excluding nonmelanoma skin cancer) after liver transplantation for primary sclerosing cholangitis. Transplantation 2017;101:1859-18.
- 28. Mithoefer AB, Supran S, Freeman RB. Risk factors associated with the development of skin cancer after liver transplantation. Liver Transpl 2002;8:939-44.
- 29. Dumortier J, Maucort-Boulch D, Poinsot D, Thimonier E, Chambon-Augoyard C, Ducroux E, et al. Immunosuppressive regimen and risk for de novo malignancies after liver transplantation for alcoholic liver disease. Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol 2018;42:427-35.
- 30. Bhat M, Mara K, Dierkhising R, Watt KD. Gender, race and disease etiology predict de novo malignancy risk after liver transplantation: insights for future individualized cancer screening guidance. Transplantation 2019;103:91-100.
- 31. Johnson EE, Leverson GE, Pirsch JD, Heise CP. A 30-year analysis of colorectal adenocarcinoma in transplant recipients and proposal for altered screening. J Gastrointest Surg 2007;11:272-9.

- 32. Ducroux E, Boillot O, Ocampo MA, Decullier E, Roux A, Dumortier J, et al. Skin cancers after liver transplantation: retrospective single-center study on 371 recipients. Transplantation 2014;98:335-40.
- 33. Chandok N, Watt KD. Burden of de novo malignancy in the liver transplant recipient. Liver Transpl 2012;18:1277-89.
- 34. Zhu X, Wang JZ, Zhang Y, Xu M, Chen P, Wang CZ. Risk of renal cancer in liver transplant recipients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Surg 2016;25:128-33.
- 35. Renaud L, Hilleret MN, Thimonier E, Guillaud O, Arbib F, Ferretti G, et al. De novo malignancies screening after liver transplantation for alcoholic liver disease: a comparative opportunistic study. Liver Transpl 2018;24:1690-8.
- 36. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: Liver transplantation. J Hepatol 2016;64:433-85.
- 37. Fung BM, Lindor KD, Tabibian JH. Cancer risk in primary sclerosing cholangitis: Epidemiology, prevention, and surveillance strategies. World J Gastroenterol 2019;25:659-71.

Table 1. Type of post-LT *de novo* malignancies

Malignancies	n	(%)
Hematological	331	(22.36%)
Skin	289	(19.53%)
Lung	183	(12.36%)
Lip-Mouth Pharynx and Larynx	108	(7.30%)
Prostate	74	(5.00%)
Colorectal	73	(4.93%)
Esophagus	48	(3.24%)
Breast	34	(2.30%)
Kidney	21	(1.42%)
Pancreas	19	(1.28%)
Bladder	16	(1.08%)
Kaposi sarcoma	12	(0.81%)
Melanoma	8	(0.54%)
Stomach	7	(0.47%)
Central Nervous System	7	(0.47%)
Thyroid	7	(0.47%)
Others	114	(7.70%)
Unknown site	129	(8.72%)
Total	1480	(100.00%)

Table 2 : Characteristics of the study population (n=11004)

		No de novo malignano	-	malignancy	<i>p</i> *
		(n=9524)	•	1480)	
Follow-up (years)	Q1 / Med / Q3	1.04 / 3.55 / 7.18	1.76 / 3	.71 / 6.29	< .001
Age at LT (years)	Q1 / Med / Q3	46.08 / 53.81 / 60.02	50.13 / 55	5.99 / 61.06	< .001
Gender	Male	6 768 (71,1%)	1 174	(79,3%)	< .001
	Female	2 756 (28,9%)	306	(20,7%)	₹ .001
Nb of LT	1	8 820 (92,6%)	1395	(94,3%)	
	2	652 (6,8%)	82	(5,5%)	0.05
	3	48 (0,5%)	2	(0,1%)	0.05
	4	4 (0,0%)	1	(0,1%)	
Гуре of initial liver disease	Metabolic disease	394 (4,1%)	30	(2,0%)	
	Alcohol-related	3 024 (31,8%)		(38,2%)	
	Viral hepatitis B	384 (4,0%)		(3,2%)	
	Viral hepatitis C	1 420 (14,9%)		(11,4%)	
	Primary sclerosing cholangitis	257 (2,7%)		(3,1%)	< .001
	Other cirrhosis	761 (8,0%)	85	(5,7%)	₹ .001
	Acute liver failure	466 (4,9%)	36	(2,4%)	
	Congenital liver disease	201 (2,1%)	20	(1,4%)	
	Other liver disease	336 (3,5%)	43	(2,9%)	
	Primary liver tumor	2 281 (24,0%)	438	(29,6%)	
Donor age (years)	Q1 / Med / Q3	36.00 / 50.00 / 63.00	33.00 / 4	7.00 / 59.00	< .001
Oonor type	Living	228 (2,4%)	28	(1,9%)	
	Death	9 126 (95,8%)	1431	(96,7%)	0.26
	NA	170 (1,8%)	21	(1,4%)	
nitial immunosuppressive treatr	nent after LT				
CNI	Tacrolimus	8 069 (84,7%)	1233	(83,3%)	
	Ciclosporine	1 381 (14,5%)	245	(16,6%)	< .001
	NA	74 (0,8%)	2	(0,1%)	
antimetabolite	Azathioprine	99 (1,0%)	21	(1,4%)	
	Mycophenolate	6 798 (71,4%)	825	(55,7%)	< .001
	None	2 543 (26,7%)	631	(42,6%)	₹ .001
	NA	84 (0,9%)	21	(1,4%)	
corticosteroids	yes	9 106 (95,6%)	1430	(96,6%)	<u> </u>
	no	328 (3,4%)	48	(3,2%)	0.71
	NA	90 (0,9%)	2	(0,1%)	
anti-lymphocytes antibodies	yes	467 (4,9%)		(4,4%)	
	no	8 975 (94,2%)		(95,5%)	0.40
	NA	82 (0,9%)	2	(0,1%)	

^{*} p of heterogeneity (khi2 test)

Table 3: Impact of potential risks factors for malignancy occurrence and death: results of Cox regression analysis

		Cancer HR (95% CI)		Death HR (95% CI)		Cancer or Death HR (95% CI)	
		Bivariate	Multivariate	Bivariate	Multivariate	Bivariate	Multivariate
Age (years)		1.04 (1.04-1.05)	1.03 (1.03-1.04)	1.02 (1.02-1.02)	1.02 (1.01-1.02)	1.02 (1.02-1.03)	1.02 (1.02-1.03)
Gender	M vs. F	1.67 (1.47-1.89)	1.47 (1.30-1.69)	1.33 (1.22-1.47)	1.22 (1.10-1.33)	1.35 (1.25-1.45)	1.23 (1.14-1.35)
Nb of LT	>1 vs. 1	0.77 (0.62-0.96)	0.85 (0.68-1.07)	1.74 (1.55-1.96)	1.79 (1.58-2.03)	1.48 (1.33-1.66)	1.53 (1.36-1.72)
Donor age (year)		1.00 (1.00-1.01)	1.00 (1.00-1.01)	1.01 (1.01-1.01)	1.01 (1.00-1.01)	1.01 (1.01-1.01)	1.01 (1.00-1.01)
Donor type	Living vs. Death	0.52 (0.36-0.76)	0.72 (0.49-1.06)	0.77 (0.60-0.99)	0.80 (0.61-1.04)	0.71 (0.57-0.89)	0.79 (0.62-1.00)
Type of initial liver disease							
	Metabolic disease	1 (reference)	1 (reference)				
	Alcohol-related	2.32 (1.61-3.35)	1.55 (1.07-2.25)	0.97 (0.80-1.19)	0.86 (0.69-1.06)	1.15 (0.95-1.38)	0.94 (0.77-1.14)
	Viral hepatitis B	1.20 (0.76-1.89)	0.98 (0.62-1.56)	0.50 (0.37-0.68)	0.46 (0.34-0.63)	0.62 (0.48-0.82)	0.56 (0.42-0.73)
	Viral hepatitis C	1.54 (1.04-2.27)	1.19 (0.80-1.76)	1.36 (1.10-1.67)	1.18 (0.95-1.46)	1.41 (1.16-1.71)	1.19 (0.98-1.46)
	Primary sclerosing cholangitis	1.88 (1.19-2.99)	1.82 (1.14-2.91)	0.47 (0.33-0.68)	0.48 (0.33-0.69)	0.71 (0.53-0.95)	0.69 (0.51-0.94)
	Other cirrhosis	1.45 (0.96-2.20)	1.25 (0.80-1.94)	0.91 (0.68-1.21)	0.62 (0.47-0.83)	0.81 (0.65-1.02)	0.76 (0.59-0.97)
	Acute liver failure	1.04 (0.64-1.69)	1.23 (0.75-2.01)	0.76 (0.57-1.00)	0.83 (0.62-1.11)	0.88 (0.68-1.13)	0.98 (0.76-1.27)
	Congenital liver disease	1.21 (0.68-2.14)	1.28 (0.72-2.28)	0.35 (0.22-0.57)	0.39 (0.24-0.64)	0.56 (0.39-0.81)	0.60 (0.41-0.88)
	Other liver disease	1.59 (1.00-2.53)	1.62 (1.01-2.61)	0.91 (0.68-1.21)	0.98 (0.73-1.32)	1.05 (0.81-1.36)	1.12 (0.86-1.45)
	Primary liver tumor	3.03 (2.10-4.39)	1.99 (1.36-2.91)	1.12 (0.91-1.38)	0.92 (0.74-1.14)	1.39 (1.15-1.68)	1.07 (0.88-1.31)
Initial immunosuppressive treatm	ent after LT						
CNI	Ciclo vs. Tacro	0.98 (0.85-1.12)	0.94 (0.80-1.10)	1.09 (0.98-1.21)	1.04 (0.93-1.17)	1.05 (0.96-1.15)	1.02 (0.92-1.12)
antimetabolite	None	1 (reference)	1 (reference)				
	Mycophenolate	1.13 (1.02-1.26)	1.20 (1.06-1.35)	1.03 (0.95-1.12)	1.01 (0.92-1.11)	1.11 (1.03-1.19)	1.11 (1.03-1.21)
	Azathioprine	0.77 (0.50-1.20)	1.02 (0.70-1.48)	1.12 (0.83-1.52)	1.28 (0.99-1.64)	1.01 (0.77-1.32)	1.12 (0.89-1.41)
corticosteroids	yes vs. no	0.96 (0.72-1.28)	1.05 (0.78-1.43)	0.84 (0.68-1.03)	0.84 (0.68-1.04)	0.88 (0.73-1.06)	0.91 (0.75-1.10)
anti-lymphocytes antibodies	yes vs. no	0.86 (0.67-1.10)	0.97 (0.75-1.25)	1.34 (1.14-1.57)	1.34 (1.14-1.58)	1.21 (1.05-1.40)	1.25 (1.08-1.44)

Table 4. Impact of potential risks factors for malignancy occurrence: results of the adjusted Fine and Gray model

		risks factors for malignancy SHR* (95% CI)		
		Bivariate	Multivariate	
Age (years)		1.04 (1.03-1.04)	1.03 (1.03-1.04)	
Gender	M vs. F	1.61 (1.41-1.82)	1.45 (1.27-1.67)	
Nb of LT	1 vs. >1	1.30 (1.04-1.61)	1.35 (1.09-1.69)	
Donor age (years)		1.00 (1.00-1.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	
Donor type	Death vs. Living	1.79 (1.23-2.56)	1.67 (1.14-2.38)	
Type of initial liver disease	C			
	Metabolic disease	1 (reference)	1 (reference)	
	Alcohol-related	2.14 (1.61-2.86)	1.63 (1.22-2.17)	
	Viral hepatitis B	1.22 (0.82-1.81)	1.05 (0.71-1.55)	
	Viral hepatitis C	1.25 (0.91-1.71)	1.03 (0.75-1.41)	
	Primary sclerosing cholangitis	1.94 (1.32-2.86)	1.98 (1.34-2.91)	
	Other cirrhosis	1.41 (0.97-2.04)	1.31 (0.91-1.89)	
	Acute liver failure	0.95 (0.62-1.45)	1.11 (0.72-1.70)	
	Congenital liver disease	1.23 (0.74-2.05)	1.36 (0.82-2.25)	
	Other liver disease	1.42 (0.95-2.13)	1.48 (0.98-2.23)	
	Primary liver tumor	2.61 (1.95-3.49)	1.88 (1.41-2.54)	
Initial immunosuppressive treatment	after LT			
CNI	Ciclo vs. Tacro	0.98 (0.86-1.13)	0.92 (0.80-1.06)	
antimetabolite	None	1 (reference)	1 (reference)	
	Mycophenolate	0.86 (0.61-1.21)	0.92 (0.65-1.31)	
	Azathioprine	1.00 (0.90-1.10)	0.96 (0.87-1.07)	
corticosteroids	yes vs. no	1.01 (0.76-1.34)	1.04 (0.78-1.39)	
anti-lymphocytes antibodies	yes vs. no	0.80 (0.62-1.02)	0.88 (0.68-1.14)	
		•		

^{*} Subdistribution Hazard Ratio with death as competing risks outcomes

Legends for figures

Figure 1: Flow chart of the patients included in the study.

Figure 2: Cumulative probability of *de novo* malignancy after LT (Kaplan-Meier estimates)



