Université Gustave Eiffel



12th ISCTSC in Portugal (20-25 March 2022) **#146 - Comparison of Respondent's profile of Computer-Aided Web Interviewing and Computer-assisted personal interviewing:** The case of Ile-de-France mobility Surveys

Jimmy Armoogum¹; Barbara Christian²;Christelle Paulo³; Anne-Eole Meret-Conti³ ¹ DEST/IFSTTAR/Université Gustave Eiffel (France); ² CEREMA (France); ³ IDFM (France)

Introduction

For the current Global Travel Survey for the Paris region we have a very rich sampling database in order to draw the sample.

Our sampling database is from French national Institute of statistic and made with different administrative data such as: tax data (with demographic information on individuals; household structure and household incomes) and additional contextual data to enrich the tax information with variables to better describe the addresses where people live.

Experimental description

We took this opportunity to test the administration of this survey by two media first a Computer-assisted personal interviewing (CAPI) and second a Computer-Aided Web Interviewing (CAWI) in order to study the effect of both media on the **response mechanism**.



Response Rate	7.3%	7.0%
nesponse nate	1.370	1.070

For both media we compute a logit model to model the response mechanism.

Methodologies and results

Nonresponse mecanism

		CAPI		CAWI	
Effect	DL	Wald Chi- Square	Pr > ChiSq	Wald Chi- Square	Pr > ChiSq
Annual household Income	4	174.2	<.0001	49.0	<.0001
Age band of the household head	4	67.9	<.0001	25.2	<.0001
Occupancy status of the house	1	63.6	<.0001	16.2	<.0001
Household type	5	27.5	<.0001	39.6	<.0001
Department of residence	7	23.2	0.0016	27.7	0.0002
Number of person in the household	4	21.0	0.0003		
Type of housing	1	16.0	<.0001		
Housing surface	4	12.4	0.0146		
Low rental housing	1	11.3	0.0008		
Priority city district (QVP)	1	7.0	0.0082		

A two stages weighting strategies

	CAPI Adjustement	CAWI Adjustement
` hiSa	Calibration on Fideli	Calibration on Fideli
puisd	 Annual household Income (5 margins) 	 Annual household Income (5 margins)
0001	 Age band of the household head (5 margins) 	 Age band of the household head (5 margins)
	 Occupancy status of the house (2 margins) 	 Occupancy status of the house (2 margins)
0001	 Household type (6 margins) 	 Household type (6 margins)
001	 Department of residence (8 margins) 	 Department of residence (8 margins)
JUUT	 Number of person in the household (4 	 Day of the week (5 margins)
0001	margins)	
002	 Type of housing (2 margins) 	
002	 Housing superficie (5 margins) 	
	 Low rental housing (2 margins) 	
	 Priority city district (QVP) (2 margins) 	

		CCID	45

- Department of residence (8 margins)
- Household size (4 margins)
- Motorization of the household (3 margins)
- Gender*age band (16 margins)
- Day of the week (5 margins)

- Department of residence (8 margins)
- Household size (4 margins)
- Motorization of the household (3 margins) •
- Gender*age band (16 margins)
- Day of the week (5 margins)

The characteristics of people with the largest CAPI-CATI discrepancies are as follows: CAWI CAPI Immobility - Male - 15-24 years old and 35-54 years old Immobility rate 7.8% 11,4% - Tuesday respondents Daily number of trips 3.63 4.16 Living in Yvelines - With temporary impairment Daily number of round trips 1.34 1.54 Living in a household with one person or 3 persons HH or 4 persons HH **Daily number of trips** 55-64 years old 96 Daily travel time (mn) 104 - Tuesday respondents Living in Val de Marne and Essonne Daily travelled distance (km) 26.3 20.3 With temporary impairment **Daily travel time** - 15-24 years old after CAWI respondents (including Saturday respondents

Estimation results

adjustment):

- Say they are more immobile (+46%)
- Underestimate :
 - the number of trips (-13%),
 - the daily travel time (-8%)
 - the distance traveled daily (-23%)

Daily distance traveled

- Living in Seine-Saint-Denis - With temporary impairment
- 05-14 years old
- Wednesday respondents
- Living in Hauts-de-Seine, Seine-Saint-Denis and Val-de-Marne
- With temporary and permanent impairment
- Living in a household with one person

Conclusions

Even adjusting the samples with response mechanism and variables of interest, we find large (and significant) discrepancies. The cause may depend on two factors:

- A non-ignorable non-response => Find other variables (that are link with the interest variables) to weight the surveys).(
- Measurement errors => Improve the design in order to interest people to give full answers).(