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#### Abstract

Carbon dioxide capture transportation and storage is one of the technologies that can be employed to reduce $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions from power plants. Unfortunately, in the post combustion capture process, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is not pure and contains impurities like $\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{NO}_{x}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and Ar for example. In this paper, Vapour-Liquid-Equilibrium (VLE) of a binary system composed of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} / \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ have been investigated. The equipment used is based on the "staticsynthetic" method with a variable cell to determine the bubble pressure or saturated pressure of the system. The setup was used to obtain bubble point data at four isotherms (253.43, $273.43,293.43,303.43$ ) K and pressures up to 7.3 MPa . The accuracies of the measured temperature and pressure were estimated to be 0.03 K and 0.12 kPa , respectively. The PengRobinson equation of state (PR78 EoS) is used to represent the isothermal $P, x$ data.
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## 1. Introduction

To reduce greenhouse gas emissions in the atmosphere, carbon dioxide emissions must decrease as they are mainly responsible for global warming [1]. Most of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions come from the sectors producing electricity and heat [2]. One of the solutions envisaged to cut emissions is Carbon Capture Utilization and/or Storage (CCUS). It consists of the capture of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ fumes that come out of the combustion plant before their emissions to the atmosphere and to store them underground or reuse them. One of the issues with CCUS is the presence of impurities in the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ fumes, like nitrogen, oxygen, water, sulphur oxides, nitrogen oxides $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}, \mathrm{NO}\right)$, etc... To be able to treat the fumes correctly, the thermodynamical properties of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ binary system must be known. Another application for this study is the rise of the use of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ as a supercritical green solvent in the chemical industry [3]. For example, for the oxidation of a certain type of cellulose, nitrogen dioxide is used as an oxidant in supercritical $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. In the context of the JIP called "Phase Behaviour and Thermophysical Properties of Acid Gases and Related Fluid Systems" started in 2012, Vapour Liquid Equilibrium of several binary systems were investigated (Coquelet et al. [4] and Chapoy et al. [5]). In the paper published by Coquelet et al. [4], it was shown that there is a lack of data concerning binary systems involving $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$. The only available data on $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ binary system are published in the paper by Camy et al. [6]. One reason concerning the fact that there is no VLE data with $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ in the context of CCS is that its concentration is very low in fumes. The concentration of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ in the fumes after a combustion plant are at most 400 ppm (mole) according to ISO Technical Report 27921 [7]. Another reason can be the high toxicity of nitrous dioxide. And finally, the chemical analysis of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ is difficult, because nitrous dioxide is reactive. Nitrogen tetraoxide is formed by a monomer dimer association in
the vapour phase from nitrogen dioxide, following the reaction $2 \mathrm{NO}_{2} \stackrel{K_{N 2 O 4}}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. Taking into account temperature and pressure, we have examined which species is the predominant one at ambient and low temperature conditions. This reaction occurs in gas phaseand we have assumed ideal gas conditions.

Considering the expression of the chemical potential in the ideal gas condition, the equilibrium constant of this reaction can be expressed following Eq. 1 with $\mathrm{P}^{0}$ the reference pressure (1 atm in general):
$K_{N 2 \mathrm{O} 4}=\frac{P_{N_{2} O_{4}} / P^{0}}{\left({ }^{P_{N O}}{ }_{2} P^{0}\right)^{2}}$
This equilibrium constant is also called $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$ relative to the partial pressures. The equilibrium constant of a reaction is related to its free reaction enthalpy following Eq. 2:
$K_{N 2 O 4}=e^{\frac{-\Delta_{r} G_{a s s o c i a t i o n}^{o}}{S * T}}$
The Gibbs free energy of formation of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and the Gibbs free energy of reaction are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Gibbs free energy of formation of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and Gibbs free energy of reaction of association.

| $\mathbf{T} / \mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{G}^{\circ} / \mathbf{J . m o l}^{\mathbf{- 1}}$ |  | $\mathbf{r G}^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}{ }_{\text {association }} / \mathbf{J . m o l}^{\mathbf{1}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{2 ( g )}{ }^{\mathbf{a}}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{4 ( g )}}^{\mathbf{b}}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | 45422 | 68860 | -21984 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | 48355 | 83513 | -13197 |
| $\mathbf{2 9 8}$ | 51258 | 97787 | -4729 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ | 51371 | 98338 | -4404 |
| $\mathbf{3 5 0}$ | 54445 | 113251 | 4361 |
| $\mathbf{4 0 0}$ | 57560 | 128204 | 13084 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data from Chase et al. (1964a) [8]
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Data from Chase et al. (1964b) [9]

From the data in Table 1, the equilibrium constant $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{O} 4}$ can be correlated using Eq. 3:
$\log _{10}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{N 2 O 4}\right)=\frac{2982}{\mathrm{~T}}-9.169$
The value calculated at 298 K is $\mathrm{K}_{N 2 O 4}=6.88$ bar $^{-1}$. Yoshino et al. [10] have published a value of $\mathrm{K}_{N 204}=6.667 \mathrm{bar}^{-1}$ at 298.54 K considering absorption cross section measurement of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ in the UV and visible region. To evaluate the accuracy of Eq. 1, we have compared our results with literature results. Srivastava and Barua [11] have studied this chemical reaction and published experimental values of dissociation constant of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \leftrightarrow 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}$. Powell and Adams [12] have also published some values of the dissociation constant at 298.15, 308.15 and 413.15 K. Vosper [13] in 1970 has also proposed a temperature dependant correlation for the dissociation constant $\left(\log _{10}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\text {dissociation }} / \mathrm{atm}\right)=9.0179-\frac{2947.4}{\mathrm{~T}}\right)$ very similar to Equation 3. Hurtmans et al. [14] have also given a correlation to estimate the dissociation constant $\quad\left(\log _{10}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\text {dissociation }} /\right.\right.$ atm $)=22.482-0.010469 \mathrm{~T}+4.9033 \times$ $\left.10^{-5} T^{2}+3.2255 \times 10^{-7} T^{3}-4.2181 \times 10^{-10} T^{4}\right)$. It can be also noted that the reference 1 in Powell and Adams also gives a range of $\mathrm{K}_{\text {dissociation }}$ at $298.15,308.15$ and $318.15 \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{K}_{N 204}$, varies between 9.039 and $6.865 \mathrm{bar}^{-1}$ at $298.15 \mathrm{~K}, 3.832$ and $3.183 \mathrm{bar}^{-1}$ at 308.15 K and 1.820 and 1.496 bar $^{-1}$ at 318.15 K. Comparisons are shown on Figure 1.


Figure 1: Variation of $\log _{10}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{O} 4}\right)$ as a function of inverse of temperature. Dotted line: Equation 1. Symbols (values from literature: ( $\triangle$ ):Srivastava and Barua [11], ( $\times$ ): Reference 2 in Powell and Adams [12], ( $O$ ): Reference 1 in Powell and Adams [12], $(\diamond)$ :Powell and Adams [12], $(\odot)$ : Calculated values from correlation from Hurtmans et al. [14]).

One important piece of information required for our work is the dissociation fraction. In Table 2, the dissociation fraction of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (x see Table 3), from Pascal and Baud [15] and Pascal [16] are presented at different temperatures. The dissociation fraction of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ is expressed following the reaction presented in Table 3.

Table 2: The dissociation fraction of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{x})$ at different temperatures

| T /K | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ <br> from our <br> equilibrium constant <br> (Eq.4) | $\mathbf{X}$ <br> fequilibrium (onsper <br> equation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pascal and Baud[15] |  |  |  |
| 299.85 | 0.1995 | 0.2005 | 0.1927 |
| 312.95 | 0.2923 | 0.3137 | 0.3008 |
| 333.35 | 0.5284 | 0.543 | 0.5222 |
| 353.75 | 0.7991 | 0.7605 | 0.7404 |
| 373.25 | 0.8923 | 0.8893 | 0.8762 |
| 394.65 | 0.9623 | 0.9545 | 0.9479 |
| 413.15 | 1 | 0.9783 | 0.9748 |
| Pascal [16] |  |  |  |
| 313.15 | 0.2944 | 0.3157 | 0.3027 |
| 333.15 | 0.5266 | 0.5406 | 0.5199 |
| 353.15 | 0.7589 | 0.7551 | 0.7349 |
| 363.15 | 0.9269 | 0.833 | 0.816 |
| 373.15 | 0.892 | 0.8888 | 0.8757 |
| 393.15 | 0.9588 | 0.9516 | 0.9447 |
| 413.15 | 1 | 0.9783 | 0.9748 |

Table 3: Reaction of dissociation of $\mathbf{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ balance ( x is the dissociation fraction)

| Reaction: | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\longrightarrow$ | $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{t}=0$ | 1 | 0 |  |
| t | $1-\mathrm{x}$ |  | 2 x |
| Partial pressure | $\frac{1-x}{1+x} P$ | $\frac{2 x}{1+x} P$ |  |

From Table 3, we obtained Eq 4 (with $\mathrm{P}^{\circ}=1$ bar).
$K_{\text {dissociation }}=\frac{\left(P_{N_{2}} / P^{0}\right)^{2}}{P_{N_{2} O_{4}} / P^{0}}=\frac{4 x^{2}}{1-x^{2}} \times \frac{P}{P^{0}}$

To obtain the dissociation fraction, Eq. 4 has to be solved. Considering a total pressure of 1 atm, the dissociation fraction of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ was calculated using our equilibrium constant and the one from Vosper. The results are presented in Table 2. An increase of pressure of the reaction [17] works in favour of the production of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, or a reduction in pressure shifts the reaction to the production of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$. To quantify this affirmation, the dissociation fraction at 298 K is presented as a function of pressure in Figure 22, the data used are estimated from Eq. 4. As can be seen, the dissociation fraction of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ is low indicating that the majority compound remains $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$.


Figure 2: The dissociation fraction of $\mathbf{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ as a function of the pressure at 298 K estimated from Eq. 4; red curve: minimum pressure of the study

The experimental conditions of our study are high pressure (between 0.3 and 7 MPa ) and low temperature (between 217 and 303 K ). At these conditions, the ideal gas assumption is not valid and the fugacities have to be included. The dissociation constant can be calculated as:
$K_{\text {dissociation }}=\frac{\left(f_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}} / P^{0}\right)^{2}}{f_{N_{2} O_{4} / P^{0}}}=\frac{\left({ }^{P_{N O_{2}} / P^{0}}\right)^{2}}{P_{N_{2} O_{4}} / P^{0}} \times \frac{\varphi_{N_{2}}^{2}}{\varphi_{N_{2} O_{4}}}$ with $\varphi$ the fugacity coefficient (lower than 1 when the pressure increase).

Consequently, in comparison to the case where ideal gas state is considered, the reaction will compensate and increase the dissociation fraction of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, not so much than that pressure effect which decrease the dissociation fraction. Also, we have considered that only $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ is present in the liquid and solid phases [18] as the density is very important and it favours the formation of dimer. The analysis previously done also indicates that it will be difficult to quantify the real composition of mixtures composed of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ due to the association/dissociation reaction, especially using gas chromatography.

Consequently, we have decided to measure the bubble pressure using a "static synthetic" method (i.e. a PVT cell /variable volume cell). The bubble pressure data are correlated with the Peng Robinson Equation of State (PR78 EoS) [19]. For the data analysis of the vapour phase of our experimental data, we have made the approximation that the mixture $\mathrm{NO}_{2}-\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ is always under $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ form since in our conditions the dissociation fraction seems to be low.

Also, we have estimated by variation of temperature (synthetic-isochoric method) the appearance and disappearance of the solid phase at fixed feed composition. The Solid-Liquid -Vapour (SLV) phase diagram of the binary system is estimated with the same model. Our experimental conditions are from 253 to 303 K for the bubble pressure measurements and at a minimum temperature of 217 K for the SLVE data. The pressure range goes from 0.3 to 7 MPa.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Materials

The chemicals used in this study are listed in Table 4. No further purification of the chemical products was required.

|  | Table 4: Purities and suppliers of the chemicals used |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemicals | CAS number | Purity $\mathbf{G C}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Supplier |  |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | $124-38-9$ | $99.995 \mathrm{vol} \%$ | Messer |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | $10544-72-6$ | $99.95 \mathrm{vol} \%$ | Air Liquide |  |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Gas Chromatography |  |  |  |  |

### 2.2 Experimental set-up

The experiment equipment used was previously presented and described by Coquelet et al. [20] and the schematic diagram of the apparatus is presented in Figure 3.


Figure 3: Flow diagram of the apparatus. DAU: Data Acquisition Unit; DDD: Digital Displacement Display; DT: Displacement Transducer; GC: Gas Cylinder; LB: Liquid Bath; LVi: Loading Valve; P: Piston; PD: Piston Monitoring; PN: Pressurized Nitrogen;

PP: Platinum Probe; PT: Pressure Transducer; PV (VP): Vacuum Pump; R: Gas
Reservoir; SD: Stirring Device; SB: Stirring Bar; ST: Sapphire Tube; TR: Thermal Regulator; Vi: Valve; VVCM: Variable Volume Cell.

A variable volume cell based on a static-synthetic method is used. The equilibrium cell consists of a sapphire tube, held between two titanium flanges with suitable O-rings. The bottom flange contained two valves for loading and cleaning the cell. A magnetic stirrer is installed at the bottom of the cell. The stirring assembly is protected with a suitable corrosionresistant cover to withstand a corrosive environment. The agitation assembly is magnetically coupled to an external agitation motor, which is capable of producing the desired level of agitation inside the cell. One calibrated $100 \Omega$ platinum resistance thermometer sensor (Pt100 ) is used to measure equilibrium temperature at the lower part of the cell. The platinum probe was calibrated by comparison with a $25 \Omega$ reference platinum probe (Tinsley, France). The accuracy of the probe is estimated to be $\pm 0.03 \mathrm{~K}$. The equilibrium pressure is measured thanks to a cryogenic miniature ruggedized pressure transducer from Kulite ${ }^{\circledR}$ located at the bottom of the cell. A numerical standard (Desgranges \& Huot, n ${ }^{\circ} 5202$ S CP, France) is used
for the calibration of the pressure transducer at each test temperature. The accuracy was estimated to be $\pm 12$ mbar after calibration. Both temperature and pressure signals from the sensors are transmitted to a computer for the record, via software developed especially for this equipment called PVT Cell Controller. The volume of the cell is modified with a piston. The pressure vs the piston height in the cell is recorded. The display at the breaking point is used to determine the bubble pressure of the mixture. As presented in Figure, the piston is situated at the top of the cell. It is set in motion with a motorized lead screw actuator from Thomson ${ }^{\circledR}$. A Kollmorgen controller is used to precisely control the downward climb of the piston in the cell. A Tamson TLV 25 thermostatic bath is used to impose and control the temperature of the cell. This bath is equipped with a window to be able to observe the phase change and the descent of the piston during an experiment. A picture of the equipment with a liquid-vapour mixture of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at low temperature is presented in Figure. In this picture, the liquid phase, the gas phase, and the position of the piston in the cell can be seen.


Figure 4: Picture of the cell with the $\mathrm{CO}_{\mathbf{2}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{4}}$ mixture at low temperature

Each studied mixture is prepared in a variable volume cell, each component is introduced separately and weighed using an Metler Toledo XP2004S mass comparator with an uncertainty of 0.1 mg . The mass composition of the variable volume cell is known by weighting. The variable volume cell is pressurized up to the pressure for the mixture to be
under liquid form and then introduced in the static-synthetic cell. All fractions reported in this paper for L-V equilibrium are in mole fraction. The determination of the bubble pressure is obtained by varying the cell volume. The appearance or disappearance of a gas bubble modifies the compressibility curve (evolution of pressure versus volume). At the break point, i.e. at the change of compressibility curve of liquid/vapour condition and all liquid, it is then possible to determine the bubble pressure.

At equilibrium conditions, each bubble pressure was determined between 2 and 3 times, average values are reported, along with relative standard deviation $\sigma$.

## 3. Results

### 3.1 Bubble pressure of the $\mathbf{C O}_{\mathbf{2}}+\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{4}}$ binary system

Bubble point (BP) data of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ system have been measured at 253.43, 273.43, 293.43 and 303.43 K . The results are reported in Table 5 with the associated calculated uncertainties.

Table 5: Experimental and calculated isothermal bubble pressure data for the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (1) + $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(2)$ mixture system according to the mole fraction of $\mathrm{CO}_{2} x_{1}$ and their standard uncertainties.

| $\mathbf{T} / \mathbf{K}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 5 3 . 4 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 7 3 . 4 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 9 3 . 4 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{3 0 3 . 4 3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ | $\mathbf{P} / \mathbf{M P a}$ | $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{P})$ | $\mathbf{P} / \mathbf{M P a}$ | $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{P})$ | $\mathbf{P} / \mathbf{M P a}$ | $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{P})$ | $\mathbf{P} / \mathbf{M P a}$ | $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{P})$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 2 5 4}$ | 0.004 | 0.726 | 0.005 | 1.095 | 0.005 | 1.608 | 0.003 | 1.916 | 0.006 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 5 4 5}$ | 0.002 | 1.165 | 0.006 | 1.952 | 0.000 | 3.026 | 0.002 | 3.685 | 0.001 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 7 1 6}$ | 0.004 | 1.469 | 0.002 | 2.490 | 0.003 | 3.891 | 0.004 | 4.741 | 0.004 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 8 3 3}$ | 0.003 | 1.756 | 0.010 | 2.925 | 0.008 | 4.622 | 0.013 | 5.659 | 0.013 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 9 4 2}$ | 0.005 | 1.872 | 0.004 | 3.274 | 0.002 | 5.274 | 0.006 | 6.518 | 0.007 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00002 | 1.997 | 0.001 | 3.519 | 0.009 | 5.751 | 0.007 | 7.301 | 0.012 |

Expanded uncertainties $(\mathrm{k}=2) \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{T})=0.03 \mathrm{~K}$

The experimental bubble points of the system were correlated using the Peng Robinson equation of state (PR78 EoS) Eq. 5 [19] with the Mathias-Copeman alpha function (Eq. 6) [21] and the classical van der Waals mixing rules (Eq. 7).
$P=\frac{R T}{v-b}-\frac{a}{v(v+b)+b(v-b)}$

With $a=a_{C} \alpha\left(T_{R}, \omega\right)$; and $a_{C i}=0.45724 \frac{R^{2} T_{C i}^{2}}{P_{C i}}, b_{i}=0.07780 \frac{R T_{C i}}{P_{C i}}$
$\alpha(T)=\left[1+c_{1}\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{T}{T_{C}}}\right)+c_{2}\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{T}{T_{C}}}\right)^{2}+c_{3}\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{T}{T_{C}}}\right)^{3}\right]^{2}$
$a=\sum_{i} \sum_{j} z_{i} z_{j} a_{i j}$, with $a_{i j}=\sqrt{a_{i} a_{j}}\left(1-k_{i j}\right)$ and $b=\sum_{i} z_{i} b_{i}$

The properties of each component are reported in Table 6.

| Compound | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{K}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{c}} \\ \mathbf{M P a} \end{gathered}$ | MC |  |  | $\omega$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}} / \mathrm{K}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{t}} \\ / \mathbf{M P a} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{S}_{3} \mathrm{~L}}^{\mathrm{L}} \\ / \mathrm{J} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | 304.13 | 7.373 | 0.7138 | -0.4422 | 2.4364 | 0.224 | 216.58 | 0.518 | 8652.3 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 431.4 | 10.1 | 2.0690 | -3.7228 | 3.1108 | 0.834 | 261.85 | 0.019 | 14650 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data from DIPPR 801 database


Figure 5: Vapour pressure of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ as a function of the temperature from 261.9 to 430 K , $\checkmark$ data from [22]; $\leqslant$ data from [26]; $\Delta:$ data from [27]; $\bullet$ data from [28]; *: data from [24]; : data from [29]; : data from [30]; red line: calculated with PR78 EoS. Green line: Calculated with PR78 EoS with the original alpha function.

The experimental and modelling results for each different isothermal condition are plotted in Figure 6.


Figure 6: Bubble point of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(1)+\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (2) binary system as a function of the $\mathrm{CO}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (1) mole fraction between 253 and $303 \mathrm{~K} . \triangle: 253.43 \mathrm{~K}$; $*: 273.43 \mathrm{~K} ; \diamond: 293.43 \mathrm{~K}$; •: 303 K ; Full line: bubble point calculated with the PR78 and $k_{i j}$ from Eq. 9. Dashed line: dew point calculated with the PR78 and $k_{i j}$ from Eq. 9.

The binary interaction parameters between carbon dioxide and Nitrogen tetraoxide were adjusted directly to VLE data through a modified Simplex algorithm using an objective function based on bubble pressure calculations given in Eq. 8 .
$F=\frac{100}{N}\left[\sum_{1}^{N}\left(P_{\exp }-P_{\text {cal }}\right)^{2}\right]$

The values of the adjusted binary interaction parameter, $k_{i j}$, for each individual experimental isotherm are plotted in Figure 7 and listed in Table 8. The binary interaction parameters, $k_{i j}$, can be correlated as a function of the temperature using the following Eq. 9 .
$k_{i j}=4.8282 \times 10^{-6} T^{2}-3.2244 \times 10^{-3} T+4.6345 \times 10^{-1}$
1 Table 9 presents the AAD (Average Absolute Deviation) and Bias on bubble pressure 2 calculation, estimated from, respectively, Eqs. 10 and 11. In Table 9 the deviations between 3 the model and the data from Camy et al. [6] are also presented.
$A A D=\frac{100}{N} \sum\left|\frac{P_{\text {cal }}-P_{\exp }}{P_{\exp }}\right|$

Bias $=\frac{100}{N} \sum \frac{P_{\exp }-P_{\text {cal }}}{P_{\exp }}$

Table 8: $\boldsymbol{k}_{i \mathrm{j}}$ parameter and F function

| $\mathbf{T} / \mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{i j}}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 253.43 | -0.043 | 0.299 |
| 273.42 | -0.058 | 0.245 |
| 293.43 | -0.067 | 0.452 |
| 303.43 | -0.071 | 0.624 |
| ALL T | -0.064 | 0.808 |

Table 9: Deviations in Pressure using the Peng Robinson Equation of State with the Mathias Copeman Alpha function and the classical mixing rules.

|  | T/K | BIASP /\% | AADP /\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Camy and al. [6] | 298.15 | 7.90 | 7.90 |
|  | 313 | 3.18 | 5.70 |
|  | 328.45 | -3.65 | 3.65 |
|  | All T | 2.25 | 5.46 |
| This work | 253.43 | -0.36 | 3.14 |
|  | 273.42 | 0.14 | 2.04 |
|  | 293.43 | 0.23 | 1.86 |
|  | 303.43 | 0.46 | 1.66 |
|  | All T | 0.12 | 2.17 |



Figure 7: $\boldsymbol{k}_{i j}$ parameter as a function of the temperature

4 The only available literature data for comparison are from Camy et al. [6]. They have studied 5 this binary system using a similar experimental technique. The data are presented in Figure 8 6 and are predicted with the PR78 EoS with $k_{\mathrm{ji}}$ estimated from Eq. 9.


Figure 8: Bubble point of the $\mathrm{CO}_{\mathbf{2}}+\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{4}}$ binary system as a function of the $\mathrm{CO}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (1) mole fraction between 253 and 303 K. Experimental data from Camy et al. [6]: $\boldsymbol{:} 298$ K ; O: 313 K ; $\triangle: 323 \mathrm{~K}$; Dashed line: calculated from PR78 EoS with $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ calculated using Eq. 9.

As seen from Figure 8, a fair agreement between our work and the literature data can be observed. We can also note that the data of Camy et al. [6] are showing larger scattering.

### 3.2 Solid-Liquid-Vapour (SLV) study of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathbf{N}_{2} \mathbf{O}_{4}$ binary system

For each composition of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ mixture studied, the temperature of appearance and disappearance of a solid phase was experimentally determined. Considering the melting temperatures (Table 5) of each component, considerate is assumed that solid phase is mainly composed of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. The temperature of the system was decreased until the solidification was noticeable to the eye, as presented in Figure 9. This decrease in temperature was stepwise.

Then the temperature was increased stepwise until the $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ solid disappeared. Those steps were repeated several times until the temperatures between freezing and melting were around 2 K apart.

Figure 9: Picture of the cell with SLV of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ mixture.

With this experimental apparatus, the lowest achievable temperature is around 213 K , so we only determine the melting point above this temperature. The experiments were conducted for three different compositions. The results are presented in Table 9.

Table 10: Experimental melting point data on the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(1)+\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(2)$ at three mole fraction in $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{1}$ binary system

| $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{K})$ | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ | $\mathbf{P} / \mathbf{M P a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 249.83 | 0.254 | 0.004 | 0.56 |
| 230.15 | 0.545 | 0.002 | 0.53 |
| 217.05 | 0.716 | 0.004 | 0.44 |

Expanded uncertainties $(\mathrm{k}=2) \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{T})=2 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{P})=0.002 \mathrm{MPa}$

The chosen approach for modelling the Solid-Liquid (SL) phase diagram of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ binary system, is the fugacity ( $\mathrm{f}^{1}$ ) equality, following Eq. 10. It is assumed that the solid phases stay pure.
$f_{S}^{i}=f_{L}^{i}$
The fugacity of the solid phase can be estimated, with Eq. 11, according to Prausnitz et al. [31]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{S}^{i}=f_{L}^{*} \times e^{\left(\frac{\Delta H_{S \rightarrow L}}{R T} \times\left(\frac{T}{T_{m}}-1\right)\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }_{\mathrm{L}}$ : the fugacity of the pure compound, $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{S}_{\rightarrow}}$ : the melting enthalpy, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ : temperature of the melting point (see Table 6).

The experimental SLV measurements were calculated using PR-EoS and the binary interaction parameters tuned on VLE measurements (Eq 9). The predictions of the model are in fair agreement with the measured melting points. As seen in Figure 10, the PR78 EoS estimations are in relatively fair agreement at 250 K , but they deviate from the experimental values at lower temperatures. This mixture is predicted to present an eutectic point with a molar composition in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ close of 0.8 ( 0.68 mas fraction) with a temperature close to 208 K .


Figure 10: Melting temperature as a function of the $\mathrm{CO}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{~ m o l}$ fraction; $\boldsymbol{\bullet}$ : experimental data; dashed line: calculation based on fugacity estimated from $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ as pure solid phase with $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ from Eq. 8; dotted line: calculation based on fugacity estimated from $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ as pure solid phase with $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ from Eq. 8

Additional measurements are required to have a better characterisation of the region close to the eutectic point.


Figure 11: Pressure-temperature equilibrium behaviour for the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}-\mathbf{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ system ( $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ : solid $\mathrm{CO}_{2} ; \mathrm{S}_{2}$ : solid $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$; VP: vapour pressure; $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{L}$ : vapour-liquid critical locus).

The pressure - temperature equilibrium behaviour of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ binary system is qualitatively illustrated in Figure 11. The thermodynamic model considered in this paper did not allow for a complete description of the actual behaviour of the system, i.e., the dissociation degree of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ was not measured. In 2008, Belkadi et al. [32] have applied the crossover soft-Saft model to describe the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{NO}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ system. The authors have considered $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ has a self-associating molecule. The authors have shown that the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ is a strong associating fluid and a relatively good agreement was observed with the data from Camy et al. but with values of deviation increasing with the pressure and the temperature. With this model, they have shown that the liquid phase is mainly composed of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ at low temperature $(\mathrm{T}<300 \mathrm{~K})$. An alternative method would be to use the approach developed by Anderko [33]. The author has considered the chemical theory approach where the compressibility factor is the sum of two contribution (physical and chemical) $Z=Z^{p h}+$
$Z^{c h}-1$. The Peng Robinson Eos can be considered to calculate the physical part of the compressibility factor. For the chemical part, the Mecke-Kempter assumption (Eq. 12 with $\mathrm{P}^{0}$ the standard pressure 0.101 MPa , v the molar volume and K the equilibrium constant) can be considered with the equilibrium constant given by Eq. 3.

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{c h}=\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{1+\left(4 R T K / P^{0} v\right)}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using all the new data presented in this paper, such a model can be developed.

## 4. Conclusion

The bubble pressures of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ binary system were experimentally measured between 253 and 303 K using a static-synthetic apparatus. The new data were compared to literature data and good agreement was found. Binary interaction parameters $k_{i j}$ of PR78 EoS were adjusted assuming that only nitrogen tetraoxide was present in the liquid phase, and a temperature dependency of the $k_{i j}$ was found. The same equipment was also used to measure the melting temperature of three mixtures rich in $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. Based on our experimental data, it appears that the binary system presents a eutectic point. However, further measurements are required for a final validation.
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