



HAL
open science

Portal fibroblasts with mesenchymal stem cell features form a reservoir of proliferative myofibroblasts in liver fibrosis

Lin Lei, Alix Bruneau, Haquima El Mourabit, Justine Guégan, Trine Folseraas, Sara Lemoine, Tom Hemming Karlsen, Bénédicte Hoareau, Romain Morichon, Ester Gonzalez-sanchez, et al.

► To cite this version:

Lin Lei, Alix Bruneau, Haquima El Mourabit, Justine Guégan, Trine Folseraas, et al.. Portal fibroblasts with mesenchymal stem cell features form a reservoir of proliferative myofibroblasts in liver fibrosis. *Hepatology*, In press, 10.1002/hep.32456 . hal-03667547

HAL Id: hal-03667547

<https://hal.science/hal-03667547v1>

Submitted on 13 May 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Portal fibroblasts with mesenchymal stem cell features form a reservoir of proliferative myofibroblasts in liver fibrosis

Journal:	<i>Hepatology</i>
Manuscript ID	HEP-21-2383.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Original
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	<p>Lei, Lin; Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Centre de Recherche Saint-Antoine (CRSA) Bruneau, Alix; Charité University Medicine Berlin, Department of Hepatology and Gastroenterology El Mourabit, Haquima; Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Centre de Recherche Saint-Antoine (CRSA) Guégan, Justine; Sorbonne Université Folseraas, Trine; Norwegian PSC Research Center, Clinic for Specialized Surgery and Medicine, Oslo University Hospital, Rikshospitalet, Research Institute for Internal Medicine Lemoine, Sara; Saint Antoine Hospital, Hepatology Karlsen, Tom; Oslo University Hospital Rikshospitalet, Norwegian PSC Research Center, Clinic for Specialized Medicine and Surgery Hoareau, Bénédicte; Sorbonne Université, INSERM, UMS Production et Analyse de Données en Sciences de la Vie et en Santé (PASS), Cytométrie Pitié-Salpêtrière (CyPS) Morichon, Romain; Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Centre de Recherche Saint-Antoine (CRSA) Gonzalez-Sanchez, Ester; Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Centre de Recherche Saint-Antoine (CRSA); Instituto de Salud Carlos III, National Biomedical Research Institute on Liver and Gastrointestinal Diseases; Bellvitge Institute for Biomedical Research, Oncobell Program Goumard, Claire; Hopital Universitaire Pitie Salpetriere, Department of Hepato-Biliary and Pancreatic Surgery and Liver Transplantation, AP-HP Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital; Sorbonne Université, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France; Saint Antoine Research Centre, Centre de Recherche de Saint-Antoine (CRSA), INSERM, UMRS-938, Paris, France Ratziu, Vlad; Hôpital Pitié Salpêtrière and Université Pierre et Marie Curie Charbord, Pierre; Sorbonne Université Gautheron, Jérémie Tacke, Frank; Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Hepatology & Gastroenterology; Jaffredo, Thierry; Sorbonne Université Cadoret, Axelle; Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Centre de Recherche Saint-Antoine (CRSA) Housset, Chantal; Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Centre de Recherche Saint-Antoine (CRSA); Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), Department of Hepatology</p>
Keywords:	Cirrhosis, Hepatic stellate cells, Perivascular, Single-cell RNA-sequencing, SLIT2

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Note: The following files were submitted by the author for peer review, but cannot be converted to PDF. You must view these files (e.g. movies) online.

PMSC video.mp4
HSC video.mp4



1
2
3 **Portal fibroblasts with mesenchymal stem cell features form a reservoir of**
4 **proliferative myofibroblasts in liver fibrosis**
5
6
7

8 Lin Lei,¹ Alix Bruneau,² Haquima El Mourabit,¹ Justine Guégan,³ Trine Folseraas,⁴
9 Sara Lemoine,^{1,5} Tom Hemming Karlsen,⁴ Bénédicte Hoareau,⁶ Romain Morichon,¹
10 Ester Gonzalez-Sanchez,¹ Claire Goumard,^{1,7} Vlad Ratziu,⁷ Pierre Charbord,⁸ Jérémie
11 Gautheron,¹ Frank Tacke,² Thierry Jaffredo,⁸ Axelle Cadoret,^{1*} Chantal Housset^{1,5*}
12
13
14
15

16
17 ¹Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Centre de Recherche Saint-Antoine (CRSA), Institute
18 of Cardiometabolism and Nutrition (ICAN), Paris, France;

19 ²Charité University Medicine Berlin, Department of Hepatology and
20 Gastroenterology, Berlin, Germany;

21 ³Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Institut du Cerveau (ICM), Bioinformatics/Biostatistics
22 iCONICS Facility, Paris, France;

23 ⁴Oslo University Hospital Rikshospitalet, Norwegian PSC Research Center, Research
24 Institute of Internal Medicine, Division of Surgery, Inflammatory Medicine and
25 Transplantation, Oslo, Norway;

26 ⁵Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), Department of Hepatology,
27 Reference Center for Inflammatory Biliary Diseases and Autoimmune Hepatitis
28 (CRMR MIVB-H, ERN RARE-LIVER), Saint-Antoine Hospital, Paris, France;

29 ⁶Sorbonne Université, INSERM, UMS Production et Analyse de Données en Sciences
30 de la Vie et en Santé (PASS), Cytométrie Pitié-Salpêtrière (CyPS), Paris, France ;

31 ⁷AP-HP, Sorbonne Université, ICAN, Departments of Hepatology, Hepatobiliary
32 surgery and liver transplantation, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris, France ;

33 ⁸Sorbonne Université, CNRS, INSERM, Institut de Biologie Paris Seine (IBPS),
34 Laboratoire de Biologie du Développement, Paris, France.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 *These authors share senior authorship
51
52
53
54
55

56 **Keywords:** Angiogenesis; Cirrhosis; Hepatic stellate cell; Single-cell RNA-
57 sequencing; SLIT2.
58
59
60

Corresponding authors:

Chantal Housset, M.D., Ph.D.

Sorbonne Université, Faculté de Médecine, Site Saint-Antoine, 27 rue Chaligny, 75571 Paris cedex 12, France.

E-mail: chantal.housset@inserm.fr; Phone: (33) 1-40-01-13-59

Axelle Cadoret, Ph.D.

Sorbonne Université, Faculté de Médecine, Site Saint-Antoine, 27 rue Chaligny, 75571 Paris cedex 12, France.

E-mail: axelle.cadoret@inserm.fr; Phone: (33) 1-40-01-13-53

Abbreviations: ABCB4, ATP-binding cassette B4; α -SMA, alpha-smooth muscle actin; CK19, cytokeratin 19; CCl₄, carbon tetrachloride; CDAA, choline-deficient, L-amino acid-defined; CFU-F, colony forming unit-fibroblast; CSAA, choline-sufficient, L-amino acid-defined; DDC, 3,5-diethoxycarbonyl-1,4-dihydrocollidine; DEG, differentially expressed gene; EC, endothelial cell; ECM, extracellular matrix; FISH, fluorescent *in situ* hybridization; GO, gene ontology; HSC, hepatic stellate cell; HUVEC, human umbilical vein endothelial cell; LSEC, liver sinusoidal endothelial cell; MSC, mesenchymal stem cell; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; NCD, normal chow diet; PCA, principal component analysis; PMSC, portal fibroblast with mesenchymal stem cell features; PSC, primary sclerosing cholangitis; ROBO, Roundabout; RT-qPCR, Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR; scRNAseq, single-cell RNA-sequencing; *t*-SNE, *t*-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding; VSMC, vascular smooth muscle cell.

Electronic word count: / 6037 words; 5 Figures

Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

1
2
3 **Financial support:** This work was supported by funding from ANRS (ECTZ35217),
4
5 FRM (EQU202003010517) and the Microbiome Foundation. Lin Lei received a
6
7 fellowship from the China Scholarship Council (CSC).
8
9

10
11
12 **Authors contributions:** L.L., T.J., A.C., C.H. designed the study; L.L., A.B., H.E.M.,
13
14 J.Gu., T.F., T.H.K., B.H., R.M., E.G-S., C.G., V.R., J.Ga., T.J. acquired experimental
15
16 and clinical data; L.L., A.B., H.E.M., J.Gu., S.L., P.C., F.T., T.J., C.H., A.C. analyzed
17
18 and interpreted the data; L.L., S.L., A.C., C.H. drafted the manuscript, with critical
19
20 revision from all authors.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Background & Aims: In liver fibrosis, myofibroblasts derive from hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) and as yet undefined mesenchymal cells. We aimed to identify portal mesenchymal progenitors of myofibroblasts. **Approach & Results:** Portal mesenchymal cells were isolated from mouse bilio-vascular tree and analyzed by scRNAseq. Thereby, we uncovered the landscape of portal mesenchymal cells in homeostatic mouse liver. Trajectory analysis enabled inferring a small cell population further defined by surface markers used to isolate it. This population consisted of portal fibroblasts with mesenchymal stem cell features (PMSCs), *i.e.*, high clonogenicity and tri-lineage differentiation potential, that generated proliferative myofibroblasts, contrasting with non-proliferative HSC-derived myofibroblasts (-MFs). Using bulk RNAseq, we built oligogene signatures of the two cell populations, that remained discriminant across myofibroblastic differentiation. SLIT2, a prototypical gene of PMSC/PMSC-MF signature, mediated pro-fibrotic and angiogenic effects of these cells, whose conditioned medium promoted HSC survival and endothelial cell tubulogenesis. Using PMSC/PMSC-MF 7-gene signature and *SLIT2* FISH, we showed that PMSCs display a perivascular portal distribution in homeostatic liver and largely expand with fibrosis progression, contributing to the myofibroblast populations that form fibrotic septa, preferentially along neo-vessels, in murine and human liver disorders, irrespective of aetiology. We also unraveled a 6-gene expression signature of HSCs/HSC-MFs that did not vary in these disorders, consistent with their low proliferation rate. **Conclusions:** PMSCs form a small reservoir of expansive myofibroblasts, which in interaction with neo-vessels and HSC-MFs that mainly arise *via* differentiation from a preexisting pool, underlie the formation of fibrotic septa in all types of liver diseases.

1
2
3
4
5 Mesenchymal cells are key players in tissue homeostasis and wound healing. In the
6
7 liver, mesenchymal cells comprise hepatic stellate cells (HSCs), that reside in
8
9 sinusoids, and perivascular cells including vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs) and
10
11 fibroblasts, that reside in the portal tracts and around central veins. Genetic-based
12
13 lineage-tracing analyses have demonstrated that HSCs and perivascular
14
15 mesenchymal cells as well as mesothelial cells all derive from the septum transversum
16
17 during liver development (1). Over the past 30 years, HSCs have been extensively
18
19 investigated for their capacity to undergo myofibroblastic differentiation, and have been
20
21 identified as a major source of myofibroblasts in liver fibrosis (2). However, the other
22
23 liver mesenchymal cells, particularly portal mesenchymal cells have gained increasing
24
25 interest as evidence has accumulated that indicates they could also generate
26
27 myofibroblasts (3-6). The portal tracts contain three main structures referred to as the
28
29 portal triad, *i.e.*, the portal vein, hepatic artery and bile duct, surrounded by a
30
31 mesenchyme, which has remained poorly defined so far. Little is known regarding the
32
33 identity, spatial distribution or functions of portal mesenchymal cells and how they
34
35 contribute to fibrosis. A major limitation has been the lack of markers, especially
36
37 surface markers that would allow to isolate portal mesenchymal cells or track them *in*
38
39 *vivo*. Using a model of outgrowth from fragments of the bilio-vascular tree isolated from
40
41 rat liver, we previously showed that portal cells distinct from HSCs could generate
42
43 myofibroblasts, that we referred to as portal myofibroblasts, characterized by
44
45 COL15A1 expression, a high proliferation rate and pro-angiogenic properties (4, 7, 8).
46
47 However, the precursors of portal myofibroblasts have not been truly identified in these
48
49 studies. In the present study, we isolated portal mesenchymal cells from mouse liver
50
51 as a single-cell preparation and analyzed their diversity using single-cell RNA-
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 sequencing (scRNAseq). A minimal set of surface markers enabled us to isolate a
4 subset of portal fibroblasts with mesenchymal stem cell features (PMSCs) and the
5 ability to generate myofibroblasts. Markers of PMSCs were discovered and used to
6 examine the expansion potential of these cells in murine and human liver fibrosis.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

14 **Experimental procedures**

15
16 Details are provided in Supplementary material.

17
18 **Animals.** Male mice of C57BL/6J or FVB.129 genetic background, were used at the
19 age of 8-12 weeks for cell isolation and liver fibrosis models, in compliance with
20 ARRIVE guidelines.
21
22
23
24

25
26 **Cell isolation and culture.** The bilio-vascular tree was isolated from mouse liver, by
27 adapting a procedure we previously described in rat (7), and further processed using
28 cell sorting and flow cytometry analysis to collect and analyze mesenchymal cells.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
RNAseq. ScRNAseq of the bilio-vascular tree Lin-negative cells (gated as Epcam⁺
CD31⁻CD45⁻CD11b⁻ cells), was performed using the 10X Genomics 3' v3 kit (10×
Genomics). NextSeq500 (Illumina) device was used for single-cell and bulk RNAseq.
ScRNAseq analyses were performed using CellRanger, Seurat and Monocle, and bulk
RNAseq analyses, using STAR, RSEM and edgeR R package.

Human liver samples. Fresh and frozen samples of normal and pathological liver
tissue were collected with the approval of ethical committees, from patients who gave
written informed consent.

1
2
3 **Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR), Immunofluorescence and**
4 **fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH).** Primers, antibodies and probes are listed in
5
6 Table S1-3.
7

8
9
10 **Microarray analyses.** Pangenomic analysis of frozen liver tissue samples, was
11 performed using the Affymetrix human gene 1.0 st microarray.
12

13
14 **SLIT2-mediated functions analyses.** The conditioned medium of PMSC-derived
15 myofibroblasts (PMSC-MFs) was tested for potential effects on the viability of the LX-
16 2 HSC cells, and the tubulogenesis of human umbilical vein endothelial cells
17 (HUVECs). In these experiments, SLIT2 signaling was blocked using siRNA,
18 CRISPR/Cas9, anti-ROBO1 antibody or a recombinant ROBO1/Fc chimera.
19
20
21
22
23
24

25
26 **Statistical analyses.** Unless otherwise stated, statistical analyses were performed
27 using Graphpad Prism v6.0 and R. Unpaired two-sided Wilcoxon test and ANOVA with
28 a Scheffe post-hoc test were used to compare differences between two groups, and
29 more than two groups, respectively. A significant difference was defined as $p < 0.05$.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 **Results**

39 **Landscape of the portal mesenchyme revealed by scRNAseq**

40 Portal mesenchymal cells represent a small cell population. They are tightly bound to
41 the portal triad, relying on basement membranes. This makes them more difficult to
42 isolate than hepatocytes or sinusoidal cells, which reside in a loose, basement
43 membrane-free, extracellular matrix (ECM). In the present study, we set up a specific
44 procedure to isolate portal mesenchymal cells, for scRNAseq analysis. We adapted a
45 method we previously established for the culture of rat portal myofibroblasts (7), to
46 isolate fragments of the bilio-vascular tree from mouse liver. The bilio-vascular tree
47 fragments were submitted to enzymatic digestion, resulting in a single-cell suspension,
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 which was depleted in cells expressing the lineage (Lin) markers of cholangiocytes
4 (EpCAM), endothelial cells (CD31), and hematopoietic cells (CD45 and CD11b) using
5 cell sorting. Lin-negative single-cell suspension was then processed to generate a
6 scRNAseq cDNA library (Fig. 1A). We captured 4,976 sequenced cells that met quality
7 control metrics (Fig. S1A) from healthy mouse liver. Unsupervised clustering identified
8 16 distinct clusters that were assigned to fibroblast (5 clusters), VSMC (5 clusters),
9 endothelial (4 clusters), HSC (1 cluster) and mesothelial (1 cluster) identities (Fig. 1B).
10 The hierarchical clustering of gene expression profiles supported this classification
11 except for the very small cluster of VSMC-5 (Fig. 1C). The most selective expressed
12 genes that allowed to segregate cell clusters are shown in Fig. 1D, Fig. S2 and Table
13 S4. In all clusters, the expression of cell cycle-related genes, e.g., *Mki67*, was at the
14 limit of detection, as expected from resting cells in homeostatic liver (Fig. S3).
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

The non-mesenchymal components: mesothelial and endothelial cells

33 Mesothelial cells display an intermediate phenotype between epithelial and
34 mesenchymal cells and form a single layer that covers the liver surface (9). The liver
35 capsule also covers the intrahepatic parenchyma surrounding the Glissonian pedicles
36 including bile ducts (10), which can explain the presence of mesothelial cells in our cell
37 suspension. Genes expressed in these cells included previously reported mesothelial
38 markers, among which the most specific were *Msln* and *Upk1b* as well as epithelial
39 markers, such as *Krt8* and *Krt19*, and mesenchymal markers, such as *Vim* but almost
40 no *Pdgfrb*. Additional putative markers restricted to this cluster included *Fxyd3*, *Myl7*
41 and *Slpi* (Fig. S4A).
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 We identified four clusters of endothelial cells (ECs), enriched in markers such as
56 *Pecam1*, *Cdh5*, *Kdr* and *Egfl7* (Fig. S4B). The PECAM1 protein (alias CD31) has been
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 shown to be intracellular in liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSECs) (11), which
4 explains, at least partly, why ECs escaped our negative selection according to the
5 membrane antigen CD31. Moreover, even though cells from the bilio-vascular tree
6 were preferentially retrieved by our method, basement membrane-free LSECs adhere
7 to other cell types and are prone to be collected during isolation procedures, as
8 previously reported (12). As shown in Fig. S5, we found markers of EC zonation in the
9 liver, *i.e.*, of peri-central LSECs in EC-1, of peri-portal LSECs and/or portal vascular
10 ECs in EC-2, of lymphatic ECs in EC-3, and of hepatic arterial ECs in EC-4 (12, 13).
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

The mesenchymal components: fibroblasts, HSCs and VSMCs

24
25 Our single-cell analysis revealed five clusters of fibroblasts (Fig. 1B-D), which
26 predominantly expressed genes involved in vascular ECM such as *Mmp19*, *Col6a5*,
27 *Col6a6* or *Col15a1*, and also expressed genes of interstitial collagens such as *Col1a1*,
28 *Col1a2*, *Col3a1* at higher levels than other clusters. Both fibroblasts and HSCs
29 expressed *Pdgfra*, whereas *Eln* previously reported as a marker of portal fibroblasts
30 (5), was expressed in many other clusters (Fig. S4C). Fib-3 highly expressed *Ptn* (Fig.
31 1D), a pericyte-derived trophic factor for ECs (14), suggesting a particular interaction
32 of this cluster with portal vessels, whereas Fib-5 was enriched in HSC markers, such
33 as *Lrat* (2) and *Reln* (5) (Fig. S4D), which was not driven by doublets (Fig. S1B), and
34 may thus represent an intermediate population between fibroblasts and HSCs. All five
35 fibroblast clusters were enriched in the gene ontology (GO) terms “ECM organization”
36 and “Wound healing” (Fig. S6, Table S5). Individual clusters were also enriched in
37 terms related to cell (including leucocyte) chemotaxis (Fib-1), epithelial cell proliferation
38 and migration (Fib-2), tissue development or morphogenesis (Fib-3, Fib-4, Fib-5).
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Cells of cluster 8 were recognized as HSCs. They were enriched in GO terms related
4 to immunity and cell differentiation (Fig. S6). Among classical markers of HSCs, *Lrat*
5 and *Reln* (2, 5) appeared to be not fully specific, being expressed notably in Fib-2 and
6 Fib-5 (Fig. S4D). Like others (2, 15), we found virtually no expression of *Gfap*, whereas
7 *Des* and *Cygb* (2, 3), were expressed at variable levels in virtually all clusters. Among
8 HSC markers newly identified by scRNAseq (15-18) or bulk RNAseq (19), our analysis
9 confirmed that some of them were restricted to HSCs, such as *Tmem56*, *Colec10*,
10 *Mapt* or *Bco1*. Others such as *Fcna* or *Angptl6*, although not fully specific, were largely
11 overexpressed in HSCs, whereas yet others such as *Rgs5*, *Pcdh7*, *Adamtsl2*,
12 *Gucy1a1/b1* or *Colec11* were expressed at relatively high levels in several other
13 mesenchymal cells, in addition to HSCs. Both *Ngfr* and *I134* on one hand, *Vipr1* and
14 *Pth1r* on the other one, previously reported to preferentially mark peri-portal and peri-
15 central HSCs, respectively (15), were expressed in the HSC cluster, indicating that this
16 cluster was representative of all HSCs (Fig. S4D).

17
18 We identified 5 clusters of VSMCs that expressed at highest levels markers such as
19 *Myh11*, *Cnn1*, *Acta2* or *Tagln* (13, 15) (Fig. S4E). VSMC clusters were enriched in GO
20 terms related to muscle functions but also to “Inflammatory response” (Fig. S6).

21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 **Identification of PMSCs**

46
47 As a first approach to assess differentiation potency of the different clusters, we
48 computed single-cell entropy, an approximation of single-cell differentiation potency
49 based on predicted signalling promiscuity (20). Of all clusters, Fib-3 displayed the
50 highest differentiation potency as suggested by the highest entropy value (Fig. 2A).
51
52 We also examined the differentiation dynamics among mesenchymal cells, and
53 analyzed all cells except mesothelial and endothelial cells, using Monocle 2 (21). This
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 analysis showed a common trajectory of mesenchymal cells with one bifurcation and
4 three branches defining states (Fig. 2B). On the basis of entropy analysis, the branch
5 containing Fib-3 was defined as the root state. As shown in Fig. 2B, the root state was
6 mainly populated by Fib-3 and Fib-4 cells, which were consequently assumed to be
7 progenitor cells, contrasting with the two other states populated by VSMCs, notably
8 VSMC-1, and HSCs, both well-differentiated cell types with high pseudotime values.
9 Consistent with this assumption, GO terms related to development were concentrated
10 in Fib-3 and Fib-4, suggesting multilineage potential of these two clusters (Fig. 2C).
11 Genes previously reported to demarcate universal fibroblasts in mouse tissues, *i.e.*
12 *Pi16* and *Col15a1* (22), or portal fibroblasts/myofibroblasts, *i.e.*, *Col15a1* (4), *Cd34* (6,
13 15), *Thy1* alias CD90 (6, 23, 24), *Gli1* (19, 25), *Clec3b* (15), *Fbln2* (26) and *Entpd2*
14 (27), were mainly expressed in these two clusters (Fig. 2D). *Pi16*⁺ cells, were recently
15 shown to serve as resource fibroblasts that would pass through *Col15a1*⁺ fibroblasts
16 able to secrete basement membrane proteins, before developing into specialized
17 fibroblasts, in all steady-state mouse tissues (22). In addition, CD34, Thy1 and Gli1
18 are all considered as stem cell markers. To further analyze Fib-3 and Fib-4 clusters,
19 we defined a minimal set of surface markers enabling to isolate them together. As
20 shown in Fig. 2E, a high expression of *Pdgfra*, *Cd34* and *Cd9* combined with a low
21 expression of *Cd200* appeared to discriminate Fib-3 and Fib-4 from other Lin-negative
22 cells. We FACS-sorted Lin (Epcam/CD31/CD45/CD11b)-negative,
23 PDGFR α /CD34/CD9-positive, and CD200-low cells (Gate 4 in Fig. 2F) and examined
24 this population for stem cell features. The percentage of cells recovered was
25 approximately 0.03 % of total liver cells. The cells of this population were highly
26 clonogenic (80 colony forming unit-fibroblast (CFU-F) per 2,000 cells) (Fig. 2G)
27 irrespective of whether they were Thy1-positive or negative (Fig. S7). They also
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 displayed the expression of classical mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) markers, *i.e.*,
4 CD105, Sca-1, CD29 (Fig. 2H), and the ability to undergo trilineage (adipogenic,
5 osteogenic and chondrogenic) differentiation, in culture (Fig. 2I). Taken together, the
6 cells isolated by our gating strategy displayed MSC attributes and were designated
7 PMSCs. When cultured on a stiff substratum (Fig. 2J, bottom panels), PMSCs
8 proliferated and gave rise to cells phenotypically similar to portal myofibroblasts
9 expressing COL15A1 (4), here referred to as PMSC-MFs. This phenotypic change was
10 accompanied by the expected up-regulation of *Acta2*, the gene encoding alpha-
11 smooth muscle actin (α -SMA), no change in *Col1a1* expression, and a down-regulation
12 of *Col15a1* (Fig. 2K). Despite such down-regulation, *Col15a1* demarcates both PMSCs
13 and portal myofibroblasts (4). It was possible to maintain PMSCs in a resting state by
14 cultivating them in spheroids, on ultra-low attachment plates, in which case the
15 expression of α -SMA was not induced and that of Col15a1, less reduced (Fig. 2J-K).
16 Overall, these data indicate that our screening strategy and isolation procedure
17 enabled identifying portal fibroblasts with MSC properties and high collagen expression
18 as precursors of portal myofibroblasts.

19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60

Specific phenotypes and molecular profiles of PMSCs, HSCs and derived myofibroblasts

The isolation of PMSCs provided a unique opportunity to compare them with HSCs, and uncover specific markers of the two cell populations and of their myofibroblastic progenies. We performed transcriptomic analyses using bulk RNAseq, to compare PMSCs with ultrapure HSCs (28), as ascertained by vitamin A fluorescence (Fig. S8A). We identified 3,273 genes expressed at higher levels in PMSCs, and 3,122, in HSCs (Fig. 3A, upper panel). Projections onto *t*-SNE plot (Fig. 3A, lower panel), showed that

1
2
3 the top 10 differentially expressed genes in PMSCs included common markers of portal
4 fibroblasts, as expected from a comparison with HSCs, but were expressed at highest
5 levels in Fib-3/Fib-4, indicating that PMSCs comprised mainly if not exclusively Fib-
6 3/Fib-4 fibroblasts. The top 10 differentially expressed genes of HSCs included
7 common markers with ECs, but none with the other mesenchymal cells. Consistent
8 with the GO analyses of scRNAseq (Fig. S6, Table S5), bulk RNAseq analysis showed
9 enrichment in pathways related to “Extracellular matrix organization” in PMSCs and
10 “Immunity” in HSCs, and further highlighted pathways related to “Angiogenesis” in
11 PMSCs and to “Metabolism of fat-soluble vitamins” in HSCs (Fig. 3B).

12 Both PMSCs (Fig. 2J-K), and HSCs (3, 4), undergo phenotypic changes into
13 myofibroblasts in primary culture. Phenotypically, the major difference we observed
14 between the two cell populations, was an intense proliferation in PMSCs as they
15 became myofibroblastic, whereas HSCs differentiated into myofibroblasts without
16 dividing (Fig. S8A, D-E including videomicroscopy). We extended bulk RNAseq
17 analyses to the myofibroblasts derived from both cell populations in culture, including
18 an early and late stage of differentiation for PMSC-MFs (Table S6). Principal
19 component analysis (PCA) showed a clear discrimination of PMSCs and HSCs from
20 their myofibroblastic progenies according to PC1, while PMSC-MFs were
21 discriminated from HSC-derived myofibroblasts (HSC-MFs) according to PC2 (Fig.
22 3C). The molecular profiles of PMSCs and HSCs became more similar as they
23 differentiated into myofibroblasts. Yet, they retained specificities at the stage of
24 myofibroblasts as confirmed by GO analysis (Fig. 3D). When fully differentiated into
25 myofibroblasts, *i.e.*, after 7 days of culture, both cell populations were enriched in
26 themes related to muscle differentiation, whereas additionally, PMSC-MFs were
27 enriched in themes related to axonogenesis and ECM organization. To a large extent,
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 PMSCs/PMSC-MFs overexpressed genes encoding fibrillar collagens (*Col1a1*,
4 *Col1a2*, *Col3a1*), *Timp1* and *Acta2*, the hallmarks of liver fibrosis, whereas *Col4a1*, a
5 major component of sinusoidal ECM was overexpressed in HSC-MFs (Fig. S9). At an
6 early stage of myofibroblastic differentiation, *i.e.*, after 3 days of culture, PMSC
7 transcriptome was highly enriched in pathways related to cell proliferation (Fig. 3D). At
8 a later stage of myofibroblastic differentiation, *i.e.*, after 7 days of culture, PMSC-MFs
9 compared to HSC-MFs still markedly overexpressed genes involved in cell
10 proliferation, consistent with videomicroscopy and CFU-F assays, showing that only
11 PMSCs as opposed to HSCs were clonogenic and divided in culture (Fig. S8B-E).

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24 Next, we looked for gene expressions that would demarcate the two cell populations
25 both in their resting and myofibroblastic states, and that could thus be used in fibrotic
26 liver tissue analyses to assess if PMSCs/PMSC-MFs were more expansive than
27 HSCs/HSC-MFs, also *in vivo*. Altogether, comparative analyses of gene expressions
28 indicated that 100 genes in PMSCs (or Fib-3/Fib-4) and 112 genes in HSCs, i) were
29 overexpressed according to both scRNAseq and bulk RNAseq analyses, and ii)
30 remained differentially expressed in these cells throughout myofibroblastic
31 differentiation (Fig. 3E). Among such genes, we selected those that combined the
32 highest differential expression (>3.5-fold), a relatively high expression (CPM>40) in the
33 demarcated cell population, limited variation with myofibroblastic differentiation, and
34 no or little expression in the other liver cell types, to build an oligogene expression
35 signature of PMSCs/PMSC-MFs (7 genes) and HSCs/HSC-MFs (6 genes) (Fig. 3F-
36 G). Projection of the two signatures onto current (Fig. 1B) and previous scRNAseq
37 datasets (15, 18) showed that the PMSC/PMSC-MF signature demarcated liver
38 fibroblasts, with highest intensity in Fib-3/Fib-4 (Fig. S10A) and in liver fibroblasts
39 accumulating in liver fibrosis induced either by carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) or bile duct
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 ligation (BDL) (Fig. S10B-C), with little or no signal in other liver cell types. The
4
5 HSC/HSC-MF signature demarcated the HSC cluster (Fig. S10A), as well as the vast
6
7 majority of HSCs in mouse liver, including in CCl₄- and BDL-induced liver fibrosis, and
8
9 virtually no other liver cell populations except a small portion of ECs (Fig. S10B-C).
10
11
12
13

14 **Implication of PMSCs and PMSC-MFs in liver fibrosis**

15
16 Our transcriptome analyses highlighted *Slit2* as a prototypical gene of PMSC/PMSC-
17
18 MF signature. Its expression was particularly stable in PMSCs throughout their
19
20 myofibroblastic differentiation and virtually absent from all other liver cell types
21
22 including HSCs/HSC-MFs (Fig. 4A, left panel). Using FISH, we confirmed that PMSC-
23
24 MFs in culture were distinguishable from HSC-MFs by *Slit2* expression (Fig. 4A, right
25
26 panel). SLIT2 is an axon guidance molecule, which was previously shown to trigger
27
28 HSC activation and fibrosis (29-31) as well as angiogenesis, in the liver (32). However,
29
30 so far, the endogenous source of SLIT2 in the liver, had not been accurately identified.
31
32
33
34
35 Our scRNAseq data showed that *Slit2* expression was concentrated in Fib-3/Fib-4,
36
37 whereas its roundabout (ROBO) receptors were mainly expressed in the HSC and EC
38
39 clusters, and to a lesser extent in the fibroblast clusters (Fig. 4B). Therefore, previous
40
41 and current findings suggested that PMSCs had the potential to signal towards HSCs
42
43 and ECs via *Slit2*, to promote their pro-fibrotic and pro-angiogenic activity, respectively.
44
45 Supporting such mechanisms, PMSC-MF conditioned medium prevented the
46
47 decrease in cell viability induced by an oxidative stress in the LX-2 HSC cell line and
48
49 this effect was abolished by silencing *Slit2* via SiRNA in PMSC-MFs (Fig. 4C). PMSC-
50
51 MF conditioned medium also increased tubulogenesis in HUVECs and this effect was
52
53 largely SLIT2-dependent. It was prevented in the presence of anti-ROBO1 antibody or
54
55 recombinant ROBO1/Fc chimera that entraps soluble SLIT2 and it was reduced by
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Slit2 depletion via CRISPR/Cas9 in PMSC-MFs (Fig. 4D). We used SLIT2 FISH to
4 demarcate PMSCs/PMSC-MFs and address their connections with other cell types in
5 normal and cirrhotic human liver. In normal liver, SLIT2⁺ cells were scarce, although
6 more abundant in large portal tracts than in the small ones. They were virtually
7 restricted to portal tracts and displayed a perivascular distribution (Fig. 4E, left panel,
8 Fig. S11, upper panel). In cirrhotic liver, irrespective of aetiology (i.e., alcoholic liver
9 disease, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) or chronic hepatitis C), SLIT2⁺ cells
10 were much more abundant than in normal liver (Fig. 4E, middle and right panels). They
11 were mainly located in the fibrotic septa, displayed α -SMA expression and were thus
12 identified as PMSC-MFs. Within fibrotic septa, SLIT2⁺/ α -SMA⁺ PMSC-MFs were
13 intermingled with, and outnumbered by SLIT2⁻/ α -SMA⁺ myofibroblasts, and were
14 frequently located in the surrounding of vascular lumens (Fig. 4E, middle panel).
15 Consistent with PMSC/PMSC-MF interacting with HSCs/HSC-MFs and ECs, we found
16 that in cirrhosis, SLIT2⁺ PMSC-MFs often lined up along CD31⁺ neo-vessels, and thus
17 appeared as a scaffold for the accumulation of abundant SLIT2⁻/ α -SMA⁺
18 myofibroblasts, most likely largely derived from HSCs (Fig. 4F). Both in normal and
19 cirrhotic human livers, SLIT2⁺ cells were clearly distinct from cytokeratin 19 (CK19)-
20 labeled cholangiocytes (Fig. S11).

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
To further ascertain that PMSCs/PMSC-MFs accumulated in liver fibrosis, we measured SLIT2 mRNA levels in mouse and human injured livers. We found that the hepatic expression of *Slit2* increased in mouse models of either cholestatic diseases, i.e., 3,5-diethoxycarbonyl-1,4-dihydrocollidine (DDC), *Abcb4*^{-/-}, or NASH, i.e., choline-deficient, L-amino acid-defined (CDAA) and cytotoxic injury, i.e., CCl₄ (Fig. S12), along with those of fibrosis markers (*Acta2*, *Col1a1*) in all models, and of an angiogenesis marker (*vWF*) in the cholestatic models (Fig. 5A and S13). The complete

PMSC/PMSC-MF 7-gene signature was increased in the DDC, *Abcb4*^{-/-} and CDAA models, whereas the HSC/HSC-MF 6-gene signature that was tested in the DDC and CDAA models, was not (Fig. 5A and [S13](#)). The analysis of a cohort of patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) showed that the expression of *SLIT2* was increased in the group with advanced fibrosis, *i.e.* F3-F4 according to the SAF score (33) (Fig. 5B). We also examined the microarray data of liver tissue samples from patients with NASH, primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) and other chronic liver diseases (alcoholic liver disease, haemochromatosis, primary biliary cholangitis, autoimmune hepatitis), for *SLIT2* expression and the oligogene expression signatures of PMSCs/PMSC-MFs and of HSCs/HSC-MFs. This analysis showed that *SLIT2* expression and the 7-gene expression signature of PMSCs/PMSC-MFs were both significantly increased in the liver of patients with all types of chronic liver diseases, as compared to normal liver (Fig. 5C-D, left panels and [S14A](#)). *SLIT2* expression and the 7-gene expression signature were both similarly correlated with *ACTA2*, *COL1A1* and *vWF* expression in these samples (Fig. 5C-D). The analysis of the microarray data also indicated that in contrast to the PMSC/PMSC-MF 7-gene signature, the 6-gene expression signature of HSCs/HSC-MFs was not significantly different between any group of diseased livers and normal livers (Fig. 5E and [S14B](#)). This finding is consistent with a low proliferation rate of HSCs and further supports the assumption that HSC-MFs would primarily derive from myofibroblastic differentiation of the HSC preexisting pool. We concluded from these results that PMSCs give rise to a highly expansive population of myofibroblasts in liver fibrosis irrespective of the cause.

Discussion

1
2
3 In the present study, we provide a detailed atlas of portal mesenchymal cells, that were
4 obtained *via* dissociation of the bilio-vascular tree. While being consistent with previous
5 scRNAseq studies of the liver, notably from a *Pdgfrb*-GFP reporter mouse (15), our
6 analysis revealed the existence of several clusters of fibroblasts. Among them, the Fib-
7 3 and Fib-4 clusters, displayed relatively low levels of *Pdgfrb* expression compared to
8 the other clusters of liver mesenchymal cells (Fig. S4A), and thus may have been
9 underestimated in the study of PDGFR β ⁺ cells (15). Fib-3 and Fib-4 were highlighted
10 as potential progenitor cells on the basis of entropy, GO and trajectory analyses as
11 well as the expression of stem cell markers. Thus, Fib-3 and Fib-4 appeared as the
12 most primitive of liver mesenchymal cells, which form a continuum of cells with
13 overlapping markers, along a gradient of differentiation that bifurcates towards mature
14 VSMCs on one hand and HSCs on the other one. In this context, PMSCs were isolated
15 as a cell population, that primarily comprised Fib-3 and Fib-4. MSCs were first
16 identified in the bone marrow as clonogenic, multipotent cells, able to differentiate into
17 lineages of mesenchymal tissues including osteoblasts, chondrocytes and adipocytes
18 in culture (34). Subsequently, cells with similar properties were identified in multiple
19 organs and also referred to as MSCs (35), although a controversy over the MSC
20 terminology has been fueled by studies showing that MSCs from different tissues
21 exhibited different differentiation capacities (36). Yet, MSCs from different tissues
22 share common features including a small cell population, clonogenicity, the ability to
23 differentiate into the afore-mentioned mesenchymal cell lineages *in vitro* and a frequent
24 perivascular distribution (35, 36). PMSCs displayed all these characteristics. Thy1 and
25 Gli1, two stem cell markers predominantly expressed in Fib-3, as well as fibulin-2 and
26 ENTPD2, predominantly expressed in Fib-4, were previously immunolocalized in the
27 portal tracts of homeostatic liver, with a perivascular or periductal distribution (19, 23-
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 25, 27, 37-39). We found that the sub-populations of Thy1⁺ and Thy1⁻ PMSCs were
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
equally clonogenic (Fig. S7), implying that both Fib-3 and Fib-4 are resource fibroblasts
in the liver. COL15A1 (4) and SLIT2, that we identified as PMSC markers, are
expressed in both clusters, and also display a perivascular or periductal distribution in
the homeostatic liver. As formerly proposed for other MSCs, e.g., in the skeletal muscle
(36), we suggest that Fib3 and Fib4 represent subsets of the same original population,
recruited to the surface of nascent portal blood vessels or later, of developing bile
ducts.

The lack of markers that would enable to differentiate HSC-derived from non-HSC-
derived myofibroblasts has been a major hurdle so far, to gain insight into the
specificities of the different types of liver myofibroblasts and their contributions to liver
fibrosis. The isolation of PMSCs allowed us to gain such insight and seek invaluable
markers of the two populations. The comparison of transcriptional profiles indicated
that in their resting state, PMSCs primarily ensure ECM organization and vasculature
integrity whereas HSCs are mainly involved in immunity and the metabolism of vitamin
A. A striking difference between the two cell populations as they transform into
myofibroblasts *in vitro*, is that PMSCs intensely proliferate whereas HSCs do not
divide. In addition, whereas the expression of α -SMA is induced in both cell types, that
of ECM genes such as *Col1a1*, is up-regulated in HSCs but not in PMSCs, so that they
both converge towards more similar phenotypes. As a result, most of gene expressions
that could demarcate the two cell populations in their resting state are lost or no longer
discriminant between their myofibroblastic progenies. Thus, among markers of
PMSCs, the expression of *Gli1* or *Entpd2* is totally suppressed in PMSC-MFs, whereas
that of *Fbln2* expression is induced in HSC-MFs (Fig. S15). Our strategy was to build

1
2
3 oligogene expression signatures, which included only markers of the two cell
4
5 populations that maintained high differential expression, with limited variation (average
6
7 fold-change of approximately one), throughout their myofibroblastic differentiation.
8
9
10 Specificity of the cell signatures for liver fibroblasts and HSCs *in vivo*, was attested by
11
12 their projection onto previous scRNAseq datasets of mouse liver fibrosis (15, 18).
13
14 PMSC gene signature was predominantly expressed in Fib-3/Fib-4, but also to a lesser
15
16 extent in other fibroblast clusters (Fig. S10A), which therefore could also proliferate in
17
18 liver injury.
19
20

21
22
23
24 Slit2, known as a profibrotic and proangiogenic factor in the liver (29-32), is a
25
26 prototypical gene of PMSC/PMSC-MF signature. From the present data, we may
27
28 postulate that following liver injury, SLIT2, produced by proliferating PMSCs/PMSC-
29
30 MFs, promotes the formation of new vessels and the survival of HSC-MFs. Supporting
31
32 this view, FISH analyses showed that SLIT2⁺ PMSCs/PMSC-MFs accumulated along
33
34 neo-vessels in the fibrotic septa of cirrhotic livers, surrounded by SLIT2
35
36 myofibroblasts, likely mostly HSC-derived. As we previously showed for *COL15A1* (4),
37
38 the hepatic expression of *SLIT2* increased in injured liver in correlation with that of
39
40 *COL1A1* and *vWF*, in all types of liver fibrosis. Akin to *SLIT2*, the complete
41
42 PMSC/PMSC-MF 7-gene signature increased, indicating that this cell population
43
44 expanded in mouse and human liver fibrosis of multiple causes. As they expand,
45
46 PMSCs/PMSC-MFs may promote liver fibrosis *via* several mechanisms including the
47
48 SLIT2/ROBO axis, but also the production of *COL15A1*, a multiplexin that anchors
49
50 interstitial collagen to basement membranes forming a scaffold for fibrosis progression
51
52 (4, 40), the overproduction of *COL1A1* and *COL1A2*, that form the major interstitial
53
54 collagen in liver fibrosis, and of *LOXL1*, which promotes elastin cross-linking, among
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 others. ScRNAseq analysis of human liver mesenchymal cells in cirrhosis (13),
4
5 previously identified a cluster distinguished by PDGFRA expression that expanded in
6
7 cirrhotic livers and was annotated scar-associated mesenchymal cells. We found that
8
9 the expression of all PMSC/PMSC-MF signature genes is largely predominant in this
10
11 population (Fig. S16), supporting the clinical relevance of our findings and our
12
13 conclusion regarding the expansion of PMSC-MFs in liver fibrosis. In a previous study,
14
15 a 122-gene HSC signature was shown to be increased in experimental and human
16
17 liver fibrosis (41). However, this latter signature was designed in comparison with other
18
19 liver cell types that did not include other liver mesenchymal cells, and highlighted
20
21 genes such as *Pcdh7* that were herein found to be expressed not only in HSCs/HSC-
22
23 MFs but also in PMSCs/PMSC-MFs (Fig. S4D and S15). Our HSC/HSC-MF 6-gene
24
25 expression signature did not increase in human fibrosis, which is consistent with a low
26
27 proliferation rate of HSCs/HSC-MFs *in vitro*, as shown here and *in vivo*, in recent
28
29 scRNAseq analysis of HSCs/HSC-MFs in mouse models of liver fibrosis (17, 18).
30
31 Despite little or no proliferation, HSC-MFs that derive from the large preexisting pool
32
33 of HSCs, likely form the major part of liver myofibroblasts. However, as they proliferate
34
35 and expand within the lobule, PMSC-MFs may develop interactions with ECs and
36
37 HSCs anticipated to play crucial roles in fibrosis progression.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46
47 **Acknowledgements:** The authors acknowledge Tatiana Ledent (CRSA Animal
48
49 Facility), Yannick Marie and Delphine Bouteiller (ICM Sequencing Facility), Pierre-
50
51 Antoine Soret (CRSA), Filomena Conti, Lynda Aoudjehane (Human HepCell) and
52
53 Pierre-Emmanuel Rautou (CRI, Université de Paris) for their contributions.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Data availability: All sequencing data are deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus
4 (GEO) with the accession numbers GSE163777 (scRNAseq), GSE164037 (bulk
5 RNAseq) and GSE159676 (microarrays).
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

15 References

- 16 1. Asahina K, Zhou B, Pu WT, Tsukamoto H. Septum transversum-derived mesothelium
17 gives rise to hepatic stellate cells and perivascular mesenchymal cells in developing mouse
18 liver. *Hepatology* 2011;53:983-995.
- 19 2. Mederacke I, Hsu CC, Troeger JS, Huebener P, Mu X, Dapito DH, Pradere JP, et al. Fate
20 tracing reveals hepatic stellate cells as dominant contributors to liver fibrosis independent of
21 its aetiology. *Nat Commun* 2013;4:2823.
- 22 3. Bosselut N, Housset C, Marcelo P, Rey C, Burmester T, Vinh J, Vaubourdolle M, et al.
23 Distinct proteomic features of two fibrogenic liver cell populations: hepatic stellate cells and
24 portal myofibroblasts. *Proteomics* 2010;10:1017-1028.
- 25 4. Lemoine S, Cadoret A, Rautou PE, El Mourabit H, Ratziu V, Corpechot C, Rey C, et al.
26 Portal myofibroblasts promote vascular remodeling underlying cirrhosis formation through
27 the release of microparticles. *Hepatology* 2015;61:1041-1055.
- 28 5. Lua I, Li Y, Zagory JA, Wang KS, French SW, Sevigny J, Asahina K. Characterization of
29 hepatic stellate cells, portal fibroblasts, and mesothelial cells in normal and fibrotic livers. *J*
30 *Hepatol* 2016;64:1137-1146.
- 31 6. Nishio T, Hu R, Koyama Y, Liang S, Rosenthal SB, Yamamoto G, Karin D, et al. Activated
32 hepatic stellate cells and portal fibroblasts contribute to cholestatic liver fibrosis in MDR2
33 knockout mice. *J Hepatol* 2019;71:573-585.
- 34 7. El Mourabit H, Loeuillard E, Lemoine S, Cadoret A, Housset C. Culture Model of Rat
35 Portal Myofibroblasts. *Front Physiol* 2016;7:120.
- 36 8. Loeuillard E, El Mourabit H, Lei L, Lemoine S, Housset C, Cadoret A. Endoplasmic
37 reticulum stress induces inverse regulations of major functions in portal myofibroblasts during
38 liver fibrosis progression. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis* 2018;1864:3688-3696.
- 39 9. Lua I, Asahina K. The Role of Mesothelial Cells in Liver Development, Injury, and
40 Regeneration. *Gut Liver* 2016;10:166-176.
- 41 10. Sugioka A, Kato Y, Tanahashi Y. Systematic extrahepatic Glissonian pedicle isolation
42 for anatomical liver resection based on Laennec's capsule: proposal of a novel comprehensive
43 surgical anatomy of the liver. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci* 2017;24:17-23.
- 44 11. **Poisson J, Lemoine S, Boulanger C, Durand F, Moreau R, Valla D, Rautou PE.** Liver
45 sinusoidal endothelial cells: Physiology and role in liver diseases. *J Hepatol* 2017;66:212-227.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

12. **Halpern KB, Shenhav R**, Massalha H, Toth B, Egozi A, Massasa EE, Medgalia C, et al. Paired-cell sequencing enables spatial gene expression mapping of liver endothelial cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 2018;36:962-970.
13. Ramachandran P, Dobie R, Wilson-Kanamori JR, Dora EF, Henderson BEP, Luu NT, Portman JR, et al. Resolving the fibrotic niche of human liver cirrhosis at single-cell level. *Nature* 2019;575:512-518.
14. Copes F, Ramella M, Fusaro L, Mantovani D, Cannas M, Boccafoschi F. Pleiotrophin: Analysis of the endothelialisation potential. *Adv Med Sci* 2019;64:144-151.
15. Dobie R, Wilson-Kanamori JR, Henderson BEP, Smith JR, Matchett KP, Portman JR, Wallenborg K, et al. Single-Cell Transcriptomics Uncovers Zonation of Function in the Mesenchyme during Liver Fibrosis. *Cell Rep* 2019;29:1832-1847 e1838.
16. Krenkel O, Hundertmark J, Ritz TP, Weiskirchen R, Tacke F. Single Cell RNA Sequencing Identifies Subsets of Hepatic Stellate Cells and Myofibroblasts in Liver Fibrosis. *Cells* 2019;8:503.
17. Rosenthal SB, Liu X, Ganguly S, Dhar D, Pasillas MP, Ricciardelli E, Li RZ, et al. Heterogeneity of HSCs in a Mouse Model of NASH. *Hepatology* 2021;74:667-685.
18. **Yang W, He H**, Wang T, Su N, Zhang F, Jiang K, Zhu J, et al. Single-Cell Transcriptomic Analysis Reveals a Hepatic Stellate Cell-Activation Roadmap and Myofibroblast Origin During Liver Fibrosis in Mice. *Hepatology* 2021;74:2774-2790.
19. Gupta V, Gupta I, Park J, Bram Y, Schwartz RE. Hedgehog Signaling Demarcates a Niche of Fibrogenic Peribiliary Mesenchymal Cells. *Gastroenterology* 2020;159:624-638 e629.
20. Chen W, Teschendorff AE. Estimating Differentiation Potency of Single Cells Using Single-Cell Entropy (SCENT). *Methods Mol Biol* 2019;1935:125-139.
21. Trapnell C, Cacchiarelli D, Grimsby J, Pokharel P, Li S, Morse M, Lennon NJ, et al. The dynamics and regulators of cell fate decisions are revealed by pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 2014;32:381-386.
22. **Buechler MB, Pradhan RN**, Krishnamurty AT, Cox C, Calviello AK, Wang AW, Yang YA, et al. Cross-tissue organization of the fibroblast lineage. *Nature* 2021;593:575-579.
23. Dudas J, Mansuroglu T, Batusic D, Ramadori G. Thy-1 is expressed in myofibroblasts but not found in hepatic stellate cells following liver injury. *Histochemistry and cell biology* 2009;131:115-127.
24. Katsumata LW, Miyajima A, Itoh T. Portal fibroblasts marked by the surface antigen Thy1 contribute to fibrosis in mouse models of cholestatic liver injury. *Hepatol Commun* 2017;1:198-214.
25. Kramann R, Schneider RK, DiRocco DP, Machado F, Fleig S, Bondzie PA, Henderson JM, et al. Perivascular Gli1+ progenitors are key contributors to injury-induced organ fibrosis. *Cell Stem Cell* 2015;16:51-66.
26. Knittel T, Kobold D, Saile B, Grundmann A, Neubauer K, Piscaglia F, Ramadori G. Rat liver myofibroblasts and hepatic stellate cells: different cell populations of the fibroblast lineage with fibrogenic potential. *Gastroenterology* 1999;117:1205-1221.

- 1
 - 2
 - 3
 - 4
 - 5
 - 6
 - 7
 - 8
 - 9
 - 10
 - 11
 - 12
 - 13
 - 14
 - 15
 - 16
 - 17
 - 18
 - 19
 - 20
 - 21
 - 22
 - 23
 - 24
 - 25
 - 26
 - 27
 - 28
 - 29
 - 30
 - 31
 - 32
 - 33
 - 34
 - 35
 - 36
 - 37
 - 38
 - 39
 - 40
 - 41
 - 42
 - 43
 - 44
 - 45
 - 46
 - 47
 - 48
 - 49
 - 50
 - 51
 - 52
 - 53
 - 54
 - 55
 - 56
 - 57
 - 58
 - 59
 - 60
27. Dranoff JA, Kruglov EA, Robson SC, Braun N, Zimmermann H, Seigny J. The ectonucleoside triphosphate diphosphohydrolase NTPDase2/CD39L1 is expressed in a novel functional compartment within the liver. *Hepatology* 2002;36:1135-1144.
28. **Mederacke I, Dapito DH**, Affo S, Uchinami H, Schwabe RF. High-yield and high-purity isolation of hepatic stellate cells from normal and fibrotic mouse livers. *Nat Protoc* 2015;10:305-315.
29. **Chang J, Lan T**, Li C, Ji X, Zheng L, Gou H, Ou Y, et al. Activation of Slit2-Robo1 signaling promotes liver fibrosis. *J Hepatol* 2015;63:1413-1420.
30. Zeng Z, Wu Y, Cao Y, Yuan Z, Zhang Y, Zhang DY, Hasegawa D, et al. Slit2-Robo2 signaling modulates the fibrogenic activity and migration of hepatic stellate cells. *Life Sci* 2018;203:39-47.
31. **Li C, Yang G, Lin L**, Xuan Y, Yan S, Ji X, Song F, et al. Slit2 signaling contributes to cholestatic fibrosis in mice by activation of hepatic stellate cells. *Exp Cell Res* 2019;385:111626.
32. Coll M, Arino S, Martinez-Sanchez C, Garcia-Pras E, Gallego J, Moles A, Aguilar-Bravo B, et al. Ductular Reaction Promotes Intrahepatic Angiogenesis Via Slit2-Robo1 Signaling. *Hepatology* 2021.
33. Bedossa P, Consortium FP. Utility and appropriateness of the fatty liver inhibition of progression (FLIP) algorithm and steatosis, activity, and fibrosis (SAF) score in the evaluation of biopsies of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* 2014;60:565-575.
34. Pittenger MF, Mackay AM, Beck SC, Jaiswal RK, Douglas R, Mosca JD, Moorman MA, et al. Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells. *Science* 1999;284:143-147.
35. Crisan M, Yap S, Casteilla L, Chen CW, Corselli M, Park TS, Andriolo G, et al. A perivascular origin for mesenchymal stem cells in multiple human organs. *Cell Stem Cell* 2008;3:301-313.
36. **Sacchetti B, Funari A**, Remoli C, Giannicola G, Kogler G, Liedtke S, Cossu G, et al. No Identical "Mesenchymal Stem Cells" at Different Times and Sites: Human Committed Progenitors of Distinct Origin and Differentiation Potential Are Incorporated as Adventitial Cells in Microvessels. *Stem Cell Reports* 2016;6:897-913.
37. Knittel T, Kobold D, Piscaglia F, Saile B, Neubauer K, Mehde M, Timpl R, et al. Localization of liver myofibroblasts and hepatic stellate cells in normal and diseased rat livers: distinct roles of (myo-)fibroblast subpopulations in hepatic tissue repair. *Histochem Cell Biol* 1999;112:387-401.
38. Tateaki Y, Ogawa T, Kawada N, Kohashi T, Arihiro K, Tateno C, Obara M, et al. Typing of hepatic nonparenchymal cells using fibulin-2 and cytoglobin/STAP as liver fibrogenesis-related markers. *Histochem Cell Biol* 2004;122:41-49.
39. Dezsó K, Jelnes P, Laszlo V, Baghy K, Bodor C, Paku S, Tygstrup N, et al. Thy-1 is expressed in hepatic myofibroblasts and not oval cells in stem cell-mediated liver regeneration. *Am J Pathol* 2007;171:1529-1537.
40. Lemoine S, Thabut D, Housset C. Portal myofibroblasts connect angiogenesis and fibrosis in liver. *Cell Tissue Res* 2016;365:583-589.

1
2
3 41. Zhang DY, Goossens N, Guo J, Tsai MC, Chou HI, Altunkaynak C, Sangiovanni A, et al. A
4 hepatic stellate cell gene expression signature associated with outcomes in hepatitis C
5 cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma after curative resection. *Gut* 2016;65:1754-1764.
6
7

8 Author names in bold designate shared co-first authorship
9
10

11 12 13 **Figure legends**

14 15 **Fig. 1. ScRNAseq profiling of mesenchymal cells from the bilio-vascular tree.** (A)

16 Outline of the experimental procedure for preparation of Lin
17 (EpCam,CD31,CD45,CD11b)-negative cells from the mouse bilio-vascular tree for
18 scRNAseq analysis. (B) *t*-SNE projection of 4,976 single cells from one healthy liver,
19 revealing 16 clusters (color-coded), identified by their expression profiles and ordered
20 according to the number of cells they comprise. (C) Dendrogram showing the
21 relationships of clusters. (D) Dot plot of two selective differentially expressed genes in
22 each cluster.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **Fig. 2. Characterization of PMSCs.** (A) Single-cell entropy of all clusters. (B)

37 Inference of sequenced mesenchymal cells by Monocle 2 reverse graph embedding
38 (pseudotime along differentiation trajectory in inset). (C) Heatmap of GO enrichment
39 related to development. (D) Dot plot of genes previously reported to demarcate
40 progenitor fibroblasts (red frame) or portal (myo)fibroblasts (black frame). (E) Dot plot
41 of genes encoding surface markers *Pdgfra/Cd34/Cd9* and *Cd200*. (F) FACS plot
42 showing the gating strategy for Fib-3/Fib-4 cell sorting (Gate 4). (G) CFU-F formed by
43 sorted cells from gates as defined in F (n=3-9). (H) Flow cytometry analysis of MSC
44 surface markers in cells from gate 4 (n=4-10). (I) Trilineage differentiation of cells from
45 gate 4 (PMSCs), towards adipocytes (Oil red O), osteoblasts (Alizarin red S) and
46 chondrocytes (Alcian blue). (J) Immunofluorescence of Col15A1 and α -SMA in PMSC
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

spheroids (upper panel) or grown into PMSC-MFs on stiff substratum (lower panel). (K) *Col15a1*, *Acta2* and *Col1a1* expression in PMSCs (freshly isolated or cultured as in J) (n=3). Means \pm SEM; ** p <0.01; *** p <0.001; **** p <0.0001 (Wilcoxon test); Scale bars=50 μ m.

Fig. 3. Transcriptomic features of PMSCs/PMSC-MFs compared to HSCs/HSC-MFs. (A,B) Bulk RNAseq analysis of PMSCs and HSCs, A) Volcano plot (upper panel) showing 3,273 and 3,122 DEGs (FDR \leq 0.05, fold-difference \geq 2) in PMSCs and HSCs, respectively. Top 10 DEGs are labeled and their average expression, projected on *t*-SNE plot of scRNAseq (lower panel); (B) Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) of all genes overexpressed in PMSCs or HSCs. (C) Overview of cell preparations (n=4 per condition), analyzed by bulk RNAseq and PCA of variance of read counts (ellipses: 95% CI). (D) K-means clustering of 5,000 genes with highest variance showing enriched GO terms. (E) Venn diagram showing DEGs in PMSCs (or Fib-3/Fib-4) and in HSCs, both in bulk RNAseq and scRNAseq and maintaining high differential expression after myofibroblastic differentiation (-MFs-7d). (F-G) Heatmaps of PMSC and HSC oligogene expression signatures assessed by F) bulk RNAseq or G) RT-qPCR analyses of PMSCs, HSCs, derived myofibroblasts (-MFs-7d), and other liver cell types. KCs, Kupffer cells.


Fig. 4. Slit2 marker in PMSCs/PMSC-MFs and its functional analysis. (A) *Slit2* RT-qPCR in PMSCs, HSCs, their derived myofibroblasts (-MFs-d7), and other liver cell types (n=3) (left panel); Slit2 FISH and α -SMA immunofluorescence in PMSC-MFs-d7 and HSC-MFs-d7 (right panel). (B) *t*-SNE plot of *Slit2* and its Robo receptors in scRNAseq clusters. (C) PMSC-MFs that were transfected with *Slit2* or scramble (Scr)

1
2
3 siRNA, were examined for *Slit2* and *Acta2* expression by RT-qPCR, and their
4 conditioned medium (CM) tested towards the cell viability, assessed by MTT, of LX-2
5 cells exposed to H₂O₂ (n=5-8). (D) Tube formation was evaluated in HUVECs
6 incubated with PMSC-MF CM in the absence (n=6) or presence of ROBO1/Fc (n=5)
7 or anti-ROBO1 antibody (n=5), or with control (CTL) serum-free medium (n=9); and in
8 HUVECs incubated with the CM of PMSC-MFs infected with a lentivirus expressing
9 Cas9 and a gRNA targeting the first exon of *Slit2* (LV*Slit2*, n=8) or a control lentivirus
10 expressing Cas9 and a scramble gRNA (LV, n=8), or with CTL serum-free medium
11 (n=3). After 6 hours, pictures were taken and the number of junctions, counted, using
12 the Angiogenesis Analyzer tool Fiji. (E, F) *SLIT2* FISH and α -SMA or CD31
13 immunofluorescence in normal and cirrhotic human liver; E) In normal liver (left panel),
14 a small number of *SLIT2*⁺ PMSCs (arrowheads) are visible in portal tracts (perivascular
15 *SLIT2*⁺ PMSCs, distinct from α -SMA⁺ VSMCs, shown in insets), representative of n=2
16 (CV, central vein; PV, portal vein). In cirrhosis (middle panel), *SLIT2*⁺ are intermingled
17 with *SLIT2*⁻ myofibroblasts in fibrotic septa (FS) (*SLIT2*⁺, α -SMA⁺ PMSC-MF, shown in
18 inset); right panel: quantification of labeled areas; F) *SLIT2*⁺ PMSC-MFs (red
19 arrowheads) line up along CD31⁺ neo-vessels (white arrowheads), surrounded by
20 several layers of α -SMA⁺ myofibroblasts that populate the entire fibrotic septa (dashed
21 green line) (merge shown in inset), representative of cirrhosis of alcoholic (n=3), NASH
22 (n=1) or hepatitis C (n=1) origins (Scale bars=50 μ m). Means \pm SEM; * p <0.05;
23 ** p <0.01; **** p <0.0001 (One-way ANOVA in A, Wilcoxon test in C and D).

24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55 **Fig. 5. Expression of *SLIT2*, PMSC/PMSC-MF and HSC/PMSC-MF oligogene**
56 **signatures in liver fibrosis.** Hepatic expression of *SLIT2*, *ACTA2*, *COL1A1*, *vWF*,
57 PMSC/PMSC-MF or HSC/HSC-MF oligogene signatures assessed by (A,B) RT-qPCR,
58
59
60


1
2
3 A) in liver tissue from mice fed normal chow diet (NCD, n=12) or DDC (n= 8) for 4
4 weeks (upper panels), or fed CSAA (n=5) or CDAA (n=17) for 8 weeks (lower panels),
5
6
7 B) in liver biopsy from patients with NAFLD, at stages of fibrosis F0 (n=26), F1 (n=15),
8
9
10 F2 (n=12), F3-F4 (n=7). (C) by Affymetrix microarray analysis of normal human liver
11 (n=5 patients) and of liver tissue samples from patients with NASH (n=7 patients), PSC
12 (n=6 patients, 2 biopsies/patient) or other liver diseases (n=8 patients) (left panel); (D)
13
14
15 PMSC/PMSC-MF and (E) HSC/HSC-MF oligogene signatures assessed by Affymetrix
16
17
18 microarray analysis of human liver tissue samples as in C. Correlations between *SLIT2*
19
20
21 or PMSC 7-gene signature and *ACTA2*, *COL1A1*, or *vWF* expression are shown in C
22
23
24 and D right panels. Individual values and means \pm SEM are shown; * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$;
25
26 *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0.0001$; ns, non-significant (Wilcoxon test in A, C-E; one-way
27
28
29 ANOVA in B); r values are Pearson correlation coefficients.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure 1




183x270mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Figure 2




175x250mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Figure 3




204x291mm (300 x 300 DPI)




200x292mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Figure 5



199x290mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



291x187mm (300 x 300 DPI)

**Portal fibroblasts with mesenchymal stem cell features form a reservoir
of proliferative myofibroblasts in liver fibrosis**

Lin Lei, Alix Bruneau, Haquima El Mourabit, Justine Guégan, Trine Folseraas, Sara Lemoine, Tom Hemming Karlsen, Bénédicte Hoareau, Romain Morichon, Ester Gonzalez-Sanchez, Claire Goumard, Vlad Ratziu, Pierre Charbord, Jérémie Gautheron, Frank Tacke, Thierry Jaffredo, Axelle Cadoret*, Chantal Housset* (*co-senior authorship).

Table of contents

Supplementary Materials & methods	2
Fig. S1	10
Fig. S2	11
Fig. S3	11
Fig. S4	12
Fig. S5	14
Fig. S6	15
Fig. S7	16
Fig. S8	17
Fig. S9	18
Fig. S10	19
Fig. S11	20
Fig. S12	21
Fig. S13	21
Fig. S14	22
Fig. S15	23
Fig. S16	24
Table S1	25
Table S2	26
Table S3	27
Table S4	28
Table S5	28
Table S6	28
Supplementary References	29

Supplementary Experimental procedures

Animal experiments.

Animal experiments were conducted in the CRSA animal facility (DDPP agreement No. C 75-12-01) and were approved under Nos. 2018060418401070v2, 2018102211507258, 2019090307246392v3 and 2018072719352187v1 by the Ethics Committee of Animal Experiments, Charles Darwin No. 5, Paris. Animals, all males, were housed in a temperature-controlled, specific pathogen-free environment, on a 12-hour light-dark cycle, with free access to chow and water. *Abcb4*^{-/-} mice and their wildtype *Abcb4*^{+/+} littermates were bred, using *Abcb4*^{+/-} heterozygous mice on an FVB/N genetic background (FVB.129P2-*Abcb4*tm1Bor/J) provided by Sanofi R&D (Chilly-Mazarin, France). Liver fibrosis was also induced in C57BL/6J male mice, by 3 methods: 1) 10-week-old mice were fed a diet containing 0.1% DDC (Sigma, 137030) or a normal control diet (NCD), for 4 weeks; 2) 8-week-old mice were fed a CDAA or CSAA diet (Ssniff spezialdiäten GmbH, Soest, Germany), for 8 weeks; 3) 8-week-old mice received i.p. injections of CCl₄ (1 μL/g body weight) diluted at 50% (V/V) in mineral oil or mineral oil (vehicle), twice a week for 6 weeks.

Cell isolation.

Cell collection from the bilio-vascular tree. *In situ* retrograde perfusion of the liver was performed through the inferior vena cava with Ca²⁺,Mg²⁺-free HBSS (Gibco, 14170-088)/1% EDTA (Sigma, 03690) for 5 minutes at 37°C, and then with HBSS containing Ca²⁺,Mg²⁺ (Gibco, 24020117)/0.15 mg/mL collagenase P (Sigma, 11213873001) for 20 minutes at 37°C. Next, the liver was collected and placed in L15 Leibovitz medium (Sigma, L5520) at 4°C. The liver parenchyma was mechanically detached and discarded. The remaining bilio-vascular tree was minced and incubated in MEM (Gibco, 21090-022) containing 0.075 mg/mL collagenase P, 0.02 mg/mL DNase (Sigma, DN25), 3% FBS (FBS; Gibco, 10270-098), 1 mg/mL BSA (Sigma, A7030), 1% HEPES (Gibco, 15630) and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (Gibco, 15140-122), under agitation for 15 minutes at 37°C. The bilio-vascular fragments were collected on top of a 40-μm cell strainer and centrifuged at 1,500 rpm, for 5 minutes at 4°C. The cell pellet was resuspended in 0.05% Trypsin-EDTA (Invitrogen, 25300-054) with 0.02 mg/mL DNase and incubated under agitation for 15 minutes at 37°C, and the dissociated cells were filtered three times through a 20-μm cell strainer. Following red blood cell lysis with ACK lysis buffer, the cell suspension was centrifuged at 1,500 rpm, for 5 minutes at 4°C, and the cell pellet was resuspended in a FACS buffer composed of PBS with 2% FBS, 1% HEPES and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin, at a concentration of 1 × 10⁷ cells/mL for cell sorting. For cholangiocyte isolation, cells were incubated with an anti-Epcam-FITC antibody (BioLegend, Clone: G8.8) for 30 minutes at 4°C and cells were sorted using a FACSAria II cell sorter (BD Biosciences).

Hepatocyte, Kupffer cell, LSEC and HSC collection. Hepatocytes, LSECs and Kupffer cells were isolated as previously described (1), with modifications. For

3

hepatocyte isolation, the liver was perfused *in situ* with HBSS containing 0.15 mg/mL collagenase P for 20 minutes at 37°C. The cell suspension was filtered through a 70- μ m strainer and centrifuged twice at 400 rpm, for 5 minutes at 4°C, to eliminate non-parenchymal cells. LSEC and Kupffer cell isolation was performed by *ex situ* dissociation of the liver using a gentleMACs dissociator (Miltenyi) and magnetic selection using CD146 microbeads (Miltenyi, 130-092-007) and anti-F4/80 microbeads (Miltenyi, 130-110-443), respectively. For HSC isolation, the liver was perfused *in situ*, with HBSS containing 0.4 mg/mL pronase (Sigma, 10165921001) for 5 minutes at 37°C, and then with 0.05 mg/mL collagenase P for 15 min at 37°C. The liver was collected, minced and further digested in HBSS containing 0.044 mg/mL collagenase P, 0.5 mg/mL pronase and 0.02 mg/mL DNase, under agitation for 15 minutes at 37°C. The resulting cell suspension was submitted to density gradient-centrifugation at 1,380 g for 17 minutes, in GBSS (Gibco)/Histodenz (Sigma, D2158) at 4°C. HSCs were collected from the interface and resuspended in FACS buffer for further purification by cell sorting based on retinoid autofluorescence, as previously described (2).

Cell sorting and flow cytometry analysis

Cells isolated from the bilio-vascular tree were incubated with 1% anti-mouse CD16/CD32 antibody (BD Pharmingen, 553141) for 10 minutes on ice, to block Fc receptors before incubation with anti-Epcam-FITC, anti-CD31-FITC, anti-CD45-FITC and anti-CD11b-FITC antibodies, all at concentrations of 1:100, for 30 minutes at 4°C. Dead cells were stained with 7-aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD; BD Biosciences, 559925) immediately before cell sorting was performed, using a FACSAria II cell sorter (BD Biosciences). Lin-negative cells, gated as Epcam⁺CD31⁺CD45⁺CD11b⁻ cells, were collected and subjected to scRNAseq analysis. To isolate PMSCs from the bilio-vascular tree, cells were labeled with anti-Epcam-, anti-CD31-, anti-CD45- and anti-CD11b-FITC antibodies as above, and anti-PDGFR α -PE, anti-CD34-APC, anti-CD9-BV421 and anti-CD200-APC-R700, all at concentrations of 1:100 except for anti-PDGFR α -PE (1:50). PMSCs were gated as Lin⁻PDGFR α ⁺CD34⁺CD9⁺CD200^{low} cells. To sort Thy1⁻, Thy1^{low} and Thy1^{high} PMSCs, cells from the bilio-vascular tree were labeled with anti-Epcam-FITC, anti-CD31-FITC, anti-CD45-FITC, anti-CD11b-FITC, anti-PDGFR α -PE, anti-CD34-APC, anti-CD9-BV421, anti-CD200-APC-R700 antibodies as above, and anti-Thy1-PE-Cy7 antibody at a concentration of 1:100. For flow cytometry analysis, freshly isolated PMSCs were labeled either with anti-CD105-BV510, anti-Sca1-BV510 or anti-CD29-PE/Cy7, all at concentrations of 1:100. Analyses were performed using BD FACSDiva™ and FlowJo (Tree Star) software. All antibodies are listed in [Table S1](#).

Cell culture.

PMSCs and HSCs were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of 20,000 cells/cm² and cultured in DMEM containing 20% FBS, 1% HEPES and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin to obtain PMSC-MFs and HSC-MFs, respectively. To maintain PMSCs in a resting state, cells were seeded in 96-well ultra-low attachment

(ULA) round-bottomed plates. The LX-2 human HSC cell line (provided by Human HepCell, IHU-ICAN) was cultured in DMEM containing 10% FBS, 1% HEPES and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin. HUVECs were grown in EndoGRO™-VEGF complete medium (Millipore).

Cell clonogenicity and differentiation assays.

Cell clonogenicity was examined by CFU-F assay, as follows: 2,000 sorted cells were plated onto a 10-cm plastic dish and maintained in DMEM/20% FBS. The presence of more than 50 cells in a cluster after 14 days in culture, was counted as a colony. The capacity of PMSCs to differentiate towards adipogenic, osteogenic or chondrogenic lineages was analyzed using specific protocols. For adipogenic and osteogenic differentiation, sorted PMSCs were plated in 48-well plates coated with matrigel (Corning, 356231) at a density of 5,000 cells/cm² in DMEM/20% FBS until subconfluence. Then, the culture medium was changed for adipogenic (R&D Systems, CCM011) or osteogenic (R&D Systems, CCM009) differentiation medium. After 21 days, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and stained using Oil Red O (Sigma) or Alizarin Red solution (Sigma), respectively. For chondrogenic differentiation, 50,000 sorted PMSCs were plated in ULA plate in chondrogenic differentiation medium (R&D Systems, CCM006) to form spheroids. After 21 days, the spheroids were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded in OCT (Sakura Finetek) and cryosections (8 μm) were stained with Alcian blue (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and counterstained with nuclear fast red (Vector).

Videomicroscopy.

PMSCs and HSCs were seeded in 24-well plates at densities of 5,000 and 50,000 per well, respectively, and cultured in standard conditions. Twenty-four hours after seeding, the cells were placed in the CellVivo Incubation System (Pecon GmbH, Ernah, Germany) driven by a high-end inverted microscope IX83 (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). One picture has been taken every hour, using a 10X UPLFN 0.3NA PH1 objective lens and ORCA-Flash 4 LT digital (Hamamatsu Photonics KK, Tokyo, Japan) driven by Cellsens dimension 1.16 (Olympus). The movies have been built using the open source software Fiji (3).

ScRNAseq.

Cells were loaded onto a GemCode instrument (10x Genomics) to generate single-cell barcoded droplets, *i.e.*, gel beads in emulsion (GEMs). Sequencing libraries were constructed using the Chromium Single-cell 3' Library Kit (10x Genomics) according to the manufacturer's protocol and sequenced on a NextSeq 500 device (Illumina). Average read depth of the sample was 79,199 reads/cell. Reads were then aligned to the mouse genome mm10/Grcm38 using the Cell Ranger 3.0.2 software. Subsequent analysis was performed in R using the filtered barcode and count matrices produced by Cell Ranger. The data were analyzed using Seurat 3.6.1 (4). Genes expressed in less than 6 cells, as well as cells with less than 500 or more than 25,000 unique molecular identifiers (UMIs), were filtered out. Any single-cell with more than 10% UMIs

5

1 mapped to mitochondrial genes was also removed. Seurat SCTransform
2 function (5) was used to normalize and scale the data
3 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE163777>).

4 Dimensionality reduction was performed through PCA on the gene expression
5 matrix and using the first 30 PCs for clustering and visualization. Unsupervised
6 shared nearest neighbor clustering was performed using Seurat FindClusters
7 function at the resolution of 0.6 and visualization was achieved using spectral
8 t -SNE of the principal components as implemented in Seurat. Cluster
9 dendrogram was constructed using BuildClustertree built-in function of the R
10 package Seurat which used cluster averaged PCs for calculating a PC distance
11 matrix. The cell clusters identified were evaluated for differential genes
12 expression (DGE), using Seurat FindAllMarkers function. All genes considered
13 for cell-type classification were determined with p value < 0.01 and log (fold-
14 change) > 0.25 as cutoff by performing DGE analysis between the clusters
15 using Wilcoxon rank sum test and Benjamini and Hochberg procedure for p -
16 values adjustment. Single-cell entropy was calculated using LandSCENT v.
17 0.99.3, as previously described. Doublet analysis was performed, using two
18 methods, *i.e.*, DoubletFinder, R package v 2.0 and Scrublet, python library v0.1.
19 Thereby, we identified 334 and 103 potential doublets, respectively. They
20 included 66 doublets in common, that were plotted on t -SNE projection (Fig.
21 S1B). The Monocle version 2.14.0 R package (6) was used to organize cells in
22 pseudotime and infer cell trajectories from the Seurat dataset. We ran
23 reduceDimension with t -SNE as the reduction method, num_dim=12,
24 norm_method="log" and max_components = 2. Cells were clustered with the
25 density peak clustering algorithm by setting P to 2 and Δ to 4 (and
26 skip_rho_sigma = T to facilitate the computation). The top 1000 significantly
27 differentially expressed genes between clusters were selected as the ordering
28 genes and used in Monocle for clustering and ordering cells using the DDRTree
29 method and reverse graph embedding.

40 **Bulk RNAseq.**

41 RNA was extracted using Rneasy Micro Kit (Qiagen). Libraries were generated
42 from total RNA and paired-end sequencing was performed on a NextSeq 500
43 device (Illumina). Raw sequencing data were quality-controlled with the FastQC
44 program. Paired reads were aligned to the mouse reference genome (mm10
45 build) with the STAR software v2.5.3a (option for no multihits). Mapping results
46 were quality-checked using RNASEQC. Gene counts were obtained by using
47 RSEM tools v1.2.28 (rsem-calculate-expression, option for paired-end and
48 stranded). Expected gene counts were first normalized using TMM method in
49 the edgeR R package and genes with a count per million (CPM) < 1 in 20% of
50 samples, were removed

51 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE164037>).

52 Differential gene expression analysis was performed using DEseq2. A \log_2 of
53 fold change of 1 and a Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p value cutoff < 0.05 (FDR)
54 was set to determine significant differentially expressed genes.
55
56
57
58
59
60

GO enrichment and Gene Set Enrichment Analysis.

Symbol gene IDs were first converted to Entrez gene IDs using the clusterProfiler R package (7). Functional enrichment in GO biological processes of differentially expressed genes was performed using EnrichGO built-in function of the clusterProfiler version 3.14 with default parameters. The comparison of enriched functional enrichment among mesenchymal cell populations was performed using clusterProfiler CompareCluster function (7). Heatmap of enriched term was generated in R. GSEA was implemented using the R package ReactomePA with default parameters (8).

Import of previously published data sets.

We downloaded the raw scRNAseq data of i) 23,291 Pdgfrb-GFP+ mesenchymal cells from uninjured and fibrotic (6 weeks CCl₄) mouse livers published and deposited in the GEO by Dobie *et al.* (GSE137720), ii) 47,752 liver cells of all types from uninjured oil-treated (10,636) and fibrotic (CCl₄ 3 weeks, 18,185; BDL 10 days, 18,931) mouse livers published and deposited in the GEO by Yang *et al.* (GSE171904).

Slit2 silencing by siRNA and LX-2 survival.

Slit2 and scramble siRNA (SMARTpool: ON-TARGETplus *Slit2* siRNA L-058235-00-0005, ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting Pool D-001810-10-05) were purchased from Dharmacon. PMSC-MFs at passage 1 in 6-well plates were transfected with siRNA (50 nmol/L) using Dharmafect1. Forty-eight hours after transfection, the cells were incubated with serum-free DMEM for 24 hours to generate conditioned medium. LX-2 cells were seeded in 96-well plates (7,000/well) and 24 hours later, were incubated in serum-free DMEM containing H₂O₂ (200 μmol/L) for 30 min. Thereafter, the medium was replaced by serum-free DMEM or conditioned medium from transfected PMSC-MFs and 24 hours later, cell viability was assessed using MMT assay. Absorbance was quantified at 540 nm (TECAN).

Slit2 deletion by CRISPR/Cas9.

The lentiviral plasmid plentiCRISPRv2, a gift from Zhang lab (Addgene, MA, USA; plasmid #52961), contains hSpCas9, a guide RNA (gRNA), and a puromycin resistance sequence. The gRNA targeting exon 1 of *Slit2* was designed using <http://cistrome.org/SSC>, that ensures specificity and high cleavage efficiency.

Its sequence was sense, 5' CTTGAACAAGGTGGCGCCGC 3', antisense, 5' GCGGCGCCACCTTGTTCAAG 3'. The web-based tool, CRISPOR (<http://crispor.tefor.net>) that predicts the risk of off-target sequences by providing a cutting frequency determination (CFD) specificity score ranging from 1 to 100, was used to avoid off-target sequences. Guides with a CFD specificity score > 50 are recommended by Doench JG *et al.* (9). The gRNA we used to target *Slit2* exon 1 has a CFD score of 98. This gRNA did not perfectly match any other genomic region. One off-target with three mismatches was found in an intronic region of *Mapk4* and 40 off-targets were found with four

7

mismatches, making unlikely that these off-targets were involved in the effect caused by *Slit2* ablation. Lentiviruses dedicated to *Slit2* knockout (LV*Slit2*) and a control lentivirus (LV) expressing Cas9 and a scramble gRNA were produced by the VVTG platform (Federative Research Institute, Necker, France). Sub-confluent PMSC-MFs at day 7 of primary culture, were infected with viral particles at a minimal titer of 10^8 units per mL. Forty-eight hours post-infection, the culture medium was discarded and conditioned medium was prepared by incubating the cells with serum-free medium for 24 hours.

Tube assay.

HUVECs (15,000 cells/well) were plated in 15-well μ -slide angiogenesis Ibidi plates (Clinisciences) previously coated with 50 μ l of growth factor-reduced Matrigel (BD Biosciences) and incubated in the presence of PMSC-MF conditioned medium (harvested from cells incubated with serum-free medium for 24 hours) or serum-free medium as a control. To assess the contribution of SLIT2 secreted by PMSC-MFs in angiogenesis, HUVECs were incubated with PMSC-MF conditioned medium a) in the presence of 100 ng/mL of recombinant rat ROBO1/Fc chimera protein (R&D Systems, #1749B) (10), b) after they had been preincubated for 1 hour with 10 μ g/mL of anti-human ROBO1 antibody (R&D Systems, #AF7118), or c) with the conditioned medium from PMSC-MFs infected with a lentivirus expressing Cas9 and a gRNA targeting the first exon of *Slit2*, dedicated to *Slit2* knockout (LV*Slit2*) or a control lentivirus (LV) expressing Cas9 and a scramble gRNA. HUVECs were placed in the CellVivo Incubation System as for videomicroscopy (described above) and tube formation was evaluated after 6 hours of incubation using the Angiogenesis Analyzer tool (Fiji software).

Human liver tissue samples.

Samples of normal liver from patients undergoing liver resections for focal lesions (n=2 patients), and of cirrhotic livers from patients with NASH (n=1), chronic hepatitis C (n=1) or alcoholic liver disease (n=3) undergoing liver resection or transplantation, were provided by Human HepCell platform (IHU-ICAN, Declaration No. AC-2020-3861) for FISH. Frozen samples of liver biopsy from subjects with a suspicion of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease at stages of fibrosis F0 (n=26), F1 (n=15), F2 (n=12), F3-F4 (n=7), were provided by the Biological Resource Center, BIO-ICAN, Paris, France, with ethical approval from the Persons Protection Committee (CPP Ile de France VI) for RT-qPCR analyses. The RNA used for microarray experiments was extracted from frozen tissue obtained from explanted livers or diagnostic liver biopsies from i) normal human liver tissue (tumor-free tissue from livers with colorectal cancer metastasis) (n=5 patients) and ii) liver tissue from patients with chronic liver diseases, including PSC (n=6 patients, 2 biopsies/patient), NASH (n=7 patients) and other liver diseases including autoimmune hepatitis (n=3), primary biliary cholangitis (n=2), alcoholic liver disease (n=1), haemochromatosis (n=1) and sarcoidosis (n=1). The liver specimens were provided by the Norwegian biobank for primary sclerosing cholangitis, Oslo, Norway with ethical approval

1 from the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research ethics of South
2 East Norway. All subjects gave written informed consent before to allow the use
3 of the samples.
4
5

6 **RT-qPCR.**

7 Total RNA was extracted from frozen liver tissue samples or harvested cells
8 using the RNeasy Mini Kit or Micro Kit (Qiagen), respectively. The cDNA was
9 synthesized using the MMLV-RT (Invitrogen, 28025013) or SuperScript™ II
10 (Invitrogen, 18064014) and real-time PCR was performed using the LightCycler
11 480 SYBR Green I Master Kit on an LC480 device (Roche Diagnostics). Target
12 gene mRNA levels were normalized using HPRT or 18S as a reference gene
13 and expressed as relative levels ($2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method). Normalized values (ΔCt)
14 were used for oligogene signature analysis and presented as $0-\Delta Ct$, so that
15 higher values indicate higher expression levels. The primers (Table S2) were
16 designed using the primer software from Roche Diagnostics.
17
18
19
20
21

22 **Immunofluorescence and FISH.**

23 For immunofluorescence, cell preparations were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde
24 at 4°C for 15 minutes, and incubated with primary antibodies against COL15A1
25 (Abcam, ab58717, 1:200) or α -SMA (Agilent, M085129-2, 1:100) at 4°C
26 overnight. Nuclear staining was performed using Draq5 (Abcam). Cells were
27 examined with a SP2 confocal microscope (Leica, Bannockburn, IL, USA).
28

29 For co-labeling by FISH and immunofluorescence, cell preparations and tissue
30 samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C for 20 minutes and 4 hours,
31 respectively. Tissue samples were then transferred to 30% sucrose in PBS at
32 4°C until sinking and then placed in a plastic mold filled with O.C.T. that was
33 immersed in 2 methyl-butane maintained at -70°C, and stored at -80°C. Tissue
34 sectioning was performed at -25°C and 10- μ m cryosections were mounted on
35 SuperFrostPlus™ slides that were kept on dry ice. Before processing, tissue
36 sections were thawed in 100% ethanol for 5 minutes, followed by 1 minute in
37 TBS buffer. Cell preparations or tissue sections were then transferred to pre-
38 warmed hybridization buffer (5X SSC / 1% BSA / 10% Formamid / 0,1% (w/v)
39 SDS) at 75°C for 20 minutes. The probes, directed at *SLIT2* or Scramble (Table
40 S3), conjugated to Fam-6 or Atto-633, respectively, were diluted at 50 ng/ μ L
41 and denatured at 85°C for 5 minutes while the samples were dried and
42 denatured on a preheated plate at 85°C for 2 minutes. The probes (100 μ L) were
43 added to tissue sections then covered with a coverslip and sealed with glue. In
44 the following steps the samples were kept in the dark. They were incubated at
45 75°C overnight in a dark wet chamber. After removal of the coverslip, the slides
46 were transferred to freshly prepared hybridization buffer at 75°C for 15 minutes.
47 The slides were washed with TBS for 5 minutes, and then with TBS-Triton x100
48 0.3% for 15 minutes, at room temperature. After a 5-minute TBS wash at room
49 temperature, the slides were blocked for 60 minutes with 2% Normal Goat
50 Serum (Thermo Fisher) in TBS-T (0.1%). Tissue sections were incubated with
51 anti- α -SMA (1:100, M085129-2, Agilent) in blocking buffer, overnight at 4°C.
52 Slides were washed with TBS 3 times for 5 minutes at room temperature and
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

9

1 incubated with secondary antibody (Goat anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 555
2 Conjugate, CellSignaling #4409, 1:500) and DAPI 2 μ g/mL (Sigma-Aldrich).
3 Large field imaging acquisition was performed on a Zeiss Axio Observer 7. For
4 image processing, the large field images were stitched and background was
5 subtracted, using the default settings of ZEISS software ZEN 3.1 (blue edition).
6 Exported single channel grayscale in .tiff were later processed in Fiji. The
7 background due to FISH staining was assessed using the scramble probe and
8 this autofluorescence was subtracted from the *SLIT2* channel using image
9 calculator module. For quantification, binary masks of at least two independent
10 experiments were generated using the trainable classification software Ilastik
11 (v 1.3.3). Marked areas were then measured using Fiji. The plugin Color pixel
12 counter was used to count the number of pixels on the image of a specific color.
13 Results are expressed as a percentage of pixels in the Region of Interest.
14
15
16
17
18

19 **Microarray analyses.**

20 Pangenomic analysis of frozen liver tissue samples, was performed using the
21 Affymetrix human gene 1.0 st microarray. Analysis was conducted using
22 R. *oligo* bioconductor package to import raw data CEL files in an ExpressionSet
23 object and *rma* function to normalize the data. After normalization,
24 summarization was performed because transcripts are represented by multiple
25 probes, on the Affymetrix platform. For each gene, the background-adjusted
26 and normalized intensities of all probes were summarized into one estimated
27 amount proportional to the amount of RNA transcripts. Summarized data have
28 been annotated with *hugene10sttranscriptcluster.db* bioconductor package
29 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE159676>).
30 Statistical analysis was performed using non-parametric Wilcoxon test.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

A

	nCounts (transcripts)	nFeatures (genes)	% mitochondrial genes
Mean per cell	10539	2951	3.14 %

B




Fig. S1: scRNAseq (A) Quality control metrics; (B) Analysis of doublets (plotted on *t*-SNE).




Fig. S2: Heatmap of the top 10 differentially expressed genes in scRNAseq clusters. (see also Table S4).





Fig. S3: Violin plots showing the expression of the proliferation marker *Mki67* across all scRNAseq clusters.






Fig. S4: *t*-SNE and Violin plots across all scRNAseq clusters of gene expressions, that were previously or herein reported to demarcate the following cell types: (A) mesothelial cells (Meso), (B) endothelial cells (EC), (C) fibroblasts (Fib), (D) hepatic stellate cells (HSC), (E) vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMC).




Fig. S5: Heatmap depicting representative genes expressed in the endothelial cell (EC) clusters of the scRNAseq.

Color scale represents the average expression level across all cells within each cluster. LSECs, liver sinusoidal endothelial cells.




Fig. S6: GO enrichment of genes significantly overexpressed in the different mesenchymal cell clusters of the scRNAseq. (see also Table S5).




Fig. S7: Clonogenicity of PMSCs according to Thy1 expression.

Left panel: Representative flow cytogram of Thy1 expression on PMSCs. Right panel: CFU-F formed by Thy1^{neg}, Thy1^{low} and Thy1^{high} PMSCs. Data represent means \pm SEM (n=3). Statistical significance was evaluated using one-way ANOVA; ns, non-significant.

1




Fig. S8: Phenotypic comparison of PMSCs/PMSC-MFs and HSCs/HSC-MFs.

(A) Microscopic appearance: Cells were observed under phase-contrast microscopy (fluorescence microscopy under 328-nm ultraviolet excitation in insets) (a,e) immediately after cell sorting, (b-d, f-h) at the indicated days (d) of primary culture. (B-E) Proliferative properties: B) Proliferation-related gene expressions in PMSC-MFs vs. HSC-MFs. Radar plot showing the log₂ fold-difference in the expression of cell proliferation-related genes in bulk RNAseq analysis of PMSC-MFs (red line) and HSC-MFs (blue line) after 7 days in culture. Statistical significance was evaluated using Wilcoxon test; ****p<0.0001; C) CFU-F formed by sorted PMSCs and HSCs (Means ± SEM, n=8); Videomicroscopy (provided separately) of D) PMSCs and E) HSCs between day 1 and day 5 of primary culture (representative of 2 random fields).




Fig. S9: Expression of pro-fibrotic genes in PMSCs and HSCs, in resting or myofibroblastic states after 3 days (-MFs-3d) or 7 days (-MFs-7d) in primary culture. CPM, counts per million.

1




Fig. S10: PMSC/PMSC-MF and HSC/HSC-MF oligogene signatures projected onto (A) current and (B, C) previous scRNAseq data sets.

A) Clustering of portal mesenchymal cells from uninjured mouse liver as in Fig. 1B; B) Clustering of *Pdgfrb*-GFP⁺ mesenchymal cells from uninjured and fibrotic (6 weeks CCl₄) mouse livers from *Dobie et al.* (GSE137720); C) Clustering of all liver cells from uninjured (oil) and fibrotic (3 weeks CCl₄, 10 days BDL) mouse livers from *Yang et al.* (GSE171904). Chol, cholangiocytes.




Fig. S11: Distinct localization of *SLIT2*⁺ cells and cholangiocytes.

SLIT2 FISH and α -SMA or CK19 immunofluorescence were performed on normal and cirrhotic human liver tissue sections. In normal liver (upper panel), perivascular *SLIT2*⁺ cells (arrowheads) in a large portal tract are distinct from α -SMA⁺ VSMCs and from CK19⁺ cholangiocytes (higher magnification in inset), representative of n=2 (PV, portal vein). In cirrhotic liver (lower panel), *SLIT2*⁺ intermingled with *SLIT2*⁻ myofibroblasts in fibrotic septa, are distinct from CK19⁺ cholangiocytes (higher magnification in inset), representative of cirrhosis of alcoholic (n=3), NASH (n=1) or hepatitis C (n=1) origins (Scale bars=50 μ m).

2




Fig. S12: Mouse models of liver fibrosis.

Sirius red staining of the liver from (A) mice fed NCD or DDC diet for 4 weeks; (B) mice fed CSAA or CDAA diet for 8 weeks; (C) *Abcb4*^{+/+} and *Abcb4*^{-/-} mice at the age of 8 weeks; (D) vehicle- or CCl₄-i.p.-injected mice for 6 weeks. NCD, normal chow diet; DDC, 3,5-diethoxycarbonyl-1,4-dihydrocollidine; CSAA, choline-sufficient L-amino acid-defined; CDAA, choline-deficient L-amino acid-defined diet. Representative of 5 to 17 animals per group. Scale bars=50 μ m.





Fig. S13: Expression of SLIT2 and PMSC 7-gene signature in the *Abcb4*^{-/-} and CCl₄ mouse models of liver fibrosis.

Hepatic expression of *Slit2*, *Acta2*, *Col1a1*, *vWF* and of PMSC 7-gene signature was measured by RT-qPCR in liver tissue from (A) *Abcb4*^{+/+} (n=9) and *Abcb4*^{-/-} (n=5) mice at the age of 8 weeks, (B) vehicle- or CCl₄-i.p.-injected mice for 6 weeks (n=5/group). Data represent individual values and means \pm SEM. **p*<0.05; ***p*<0.01; ****p*<0.001 (Wilcoxon test).

A



B




Fig. S14: Individual expression of the PMSC and HSC signature genes in human liver fibrosis.

Hepatic expression of the genes of (A) PMSC/PMSC-MF, and (B) HSC/HSC-MF signatures, was assessed by Affymetrix microarray analysis of normal human liver (n=5 patients) and of liver tissue samples from patients with NASH (n=7 patients), PSC (n=6 patients, 2 biopsies/patient) or other liver diseases (n=8 patients). Data represent individual values and means \pm SEM. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; ns, non-significant; statistical significance was evaluated using non-parametric Wilcoxon test.

2




Fig. S15: *Gli1*, *Entpd2*, *Fbln2* and *Pcdh7* expression in the bulk RNAseq analysis of PMSCs and HSCs, in resting or myofibroblastic states after 3 days (-MFs-3d) or 7 days (-MFs-7d) in primary culture. CPM, counts per million.




Fig. S16: Comparison of PMSCs/PMSC-MFs with the previously annotated scar-associated mesenchymal cells.

t-SNE plot of PMSC/PMSC-MF signature genes in human mesenchymal cells from Ramachandran et al. (<https://www.livercellatlas.ed.ac.uk/>).

Table S1: Antibodies used for cell sorting, flow cytometry and immunofluorescence.

Antibody	Company	Cat no.	Clone no.
Cell sorting & Flow cytometry			
rat anti-mouse CD9-BV421	BD Biosciences	564235	KMC8
rat anti-mouse CD11b-FITC	BioLegend	101245	M1/70
hamster anti-mouse CD29-PE/Cy7	BioLegend	102222	HM β 1-1
rat anti-mouse CD31-FITC	BD Biosciences	561813	MEC 13.3
rat anti-mouse CD34-APC	BioLegend	119310	MEC14.7
rat anti-mouse CD45-FITC	BioLegend	103137	30-F11
rat anti-mouse CD105-BV510	BD Biosciences	740188	MJ7/18
rat anti-mouse CD200-APC-R700	BD Biosciences	565546	OX-90
rat anti-mouse Epcam-FITC	BioLegend	118207	G8.8
rat anti-mouse PDGFRa-PE	eBioscience	12-1401-81	APA5
rat anti-mouse Sca1-BV510	BD Biosciences	565507	D7
rat anti-mouse Thy1-PE-Cy7	eBioscience	25-0902-81	53-2.1
Immunofluorescence			
mouse anti-human α -SMA	Agilent	M085129-2	1A4
rabbit anti-human Col15a1	Abcam	ab58717	N/A

Table S2: Primers used for qPCR.

Gene	GenBank	Forward primer	Reverse primer
Mouse			
<i>Acta2</i>	NM_007392.3	CTGTCAGGAACCCTGA	TACTCCCTGATGTCT
<i>Bmp10</i>	NM_009756.3	CGGAGCTTCAAGAACG	TGGTGAGGGATAGAC
<i>Col1a1</i>	NM_007742.4	GCTCCTCTTAGGGGCC	CCACGTCTCACCATT
<i>Col1a2</i>	NM_007743.3	CAAGCATGTCTGGTTA	AGGACACCCCTTCTA
<i>Col15a1</i>	NM_009928.3	AGATTTACGGGTTCCA	CAACGTGTGATTCTTT
<i>Hgf</i>	NM_001289458.1	CACCCCTTGGGAGTAT	GGGACATCAGTCTCA
<i>Hprt</i>	NM_013556.2	TCAAATCCCTGAAGTA	AGGACCTCTCGAAGT
<i>Igfbp6</i>	NM_008344.3	GGGCTCTATGTGCCAA	CCTGCGAGGAACGAC
<i>Loxl1</i>	NM_010729.3	TATGCCTGCACCTCTC	TGTCCGCATTGTATG
<i>Masp1</i>	NM_001359083.1	CCTACAGAGCGGCAG	GGCTCGATTTTCCCG
<i>Megf9</i>	NM_172694.2	ACAACCGGTCTGATAG	TTTGCATTCTTCACAG
<i>Mgp</i>	NM_008597.4	TCACGAAAGCATGGAG	GCTGAGGGGACATAA
<i>Nt5e</i>	NM_001204813	CCATTGATGAGAAGAA	GTCAAATGTCCCTCC
<i>Plac8</i>	NM_139198.3	TGTGTGCCAACTCAAG	GTTGAGCTTCTTCAG
<i>Slit2</i>	NM_178804.5	ATCTGCCTGAGACCAT	CGTCTAAGCTTTTTGT
<i>Thy1</i>	NM_009382.3	GAAAACCTGCGGGCTTC	CCAAGAGTTCCGACT
<i>vWf</i>	NM_011708.4	CTACCTAGAACGCGAG	CATCGATTCTGGCCG
<i>18S</i>	NR_003278.3	GAGCGAAAGCATTTC	GGCATCGTTTATGGT
Human			
<i>ACTA2</i>	NM_001613.4	GACAATGGCTCTGGGC	CTGTGCTTCGTCACC
<i>COL1A</i>	NM_000088.4	GTGCGATGACGTGATC	CGGTGGTTTCTTGGT
<i>HPRT</i>	NM_000194.3	TAATTGGTGGAGATGA	TGCCTGACCAAGGAA
<i>SLIT2</i>	NM_004787.4	GTGTTTCGTGCCAGCTA	TTCCATCATTGATTGT
<i>vWF</i>	NM_000552.5	CTCCCACGCCTACATC	GCGGTGATCTTGCT

Table S3: Probes used for FISH.

Probe name	Sequence
Scrambled	5' TAGCGAATCTCAGGCAAG – AT 633 3'
SLIT2-1	5' GGACCACGTCTCGCAGCGGTTCTGCGGC – 6 Fam 3'
SLIT2-2	5' CACGGGTCCTTATAGGGGGCGTTGTGGC – 6 Fam 3'
SLIT2-3	5' CTTACGGTTGTTCTATTTGACGGAAGC – 6 Fam 3'
SLIT2-4	5' CACCTGCTCCAACCTCTTTCACCACTTCACGC – 6 Fam 3'

For Peer Review

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table S4: Differentially expressed genes in each scRNAseq cluster compared to all others.

Tab 1: All differentially expressed genes classified according to Seurat R toolkit;
Tab 2: Top 10 differentially expressed genes classified according to average log Fold-Change (avg_logFC).
(Provided separately).

Table S5: GO enrichment of differentially expressed genes in the scRNAseq clusters of Fibroblasts (Fib), Hepatic stellate cells (HSCs), and Vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs).

(Provided separately).

Table S6: Differential gene expressions in bulk RNAseq analyses, between PMSCs or HSCs and their myofibroblastic progenies after 3 days (-MFs-3d) or 7 days (-MFs-7d) in primary culture.

Genes (ID) are classified according to average log Fold-Change (logFC) from 4 replicates per condition.
(Provided separately)

Supplementary references

1. Gonzalez-Sanchez E, Firrincieli D, Housset C, Chignard N. Expression patterns of nuclear receptors in parenchymal and non-parenchymal mouse liver cells and their modulation in cholestasis. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis* 2017;1863:1699-1708.
2. Mederacke I, Dapito DH, Affo S, Uchinami H, Schwabe RF. High-yield and high-purity isolation of hepatic stellate cells from normal and fibrotic mouse livers. *Nat Protoc* 2015;10:305-315.
3. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* 2012;9:676-682.
4. Stuart T, Butler A, Hoffman P, Hafemeister C, Papalexi E, Mauck WM, 3rd, Hao Y, et al. Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. *Cell* 2019;177:1888-1902 e1821.
5. Hafemeister C, Satija R. Normalization and variance stabilization of single-cell RNA-seq data using regularized negative binomial regression. *Genome Biol* 2019;20:296.
6. Trapnell C, Cacchiarelli D, Grimsby J, Pokharel P, Li S, Morse M, Lennon NJ, et al. The dynamics and regulators of cell fate decisions are revealed by pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 2014;32:381-386.
7. Yu G, Wang LG, Han Y, He QY. clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. *OMICS* 2012;16:284-287.
8. Yu G, He QY. ReactomePA: an R/Bioconductor package for reactome pathway analysis and visualization. *Mol Biosyst* 2016;12:477-479.
9. Doench JG, Fusi N, Sullender M, Hegde M, Vaimberg EW, Donovan KF, Smith I, et al. Optimized sgRNA design to maximize activity and minimize off-target effects of CRISPR-Cas9. *Nat Biotechnol* 2016;34:184-191.
10. Ricano-Cornejo I, Altick AL, Garcia-Pena CM, Nural HF, Echevarria D, Miquelajauregui A, Mastick GS, et al. Slit-Robo signals regulate pioneer axon pathfinding of the tract of the postoptic commissure in the mammalian forebrain. *J Neurosci Res* 2011;89:1531-1541.

1
2
3 **Portal fibroblasts with mesenchymal stem cell features form a reservoir of**
4 **proliferative myofibroblasts in liver fibrosis**
5
6
7

8 Lin Lei,¹ Alix Bruneau,² Haquima El Mourabit,¹ Justine Guégan,³ Trine Folseraas,⁴
9 Sara Lemoine,^{1,5} Tom Hemming Karlsen,⁴ Bénédicte Hoareau,⁶ Romain Morichon,¹
10 Ester Gonzalez-Sanchez,¹ Claire Goumard,^{1,7} Vlad Ratziu,⁷ Pierre Charbord,⁸ Jérémie
11 Gautheron,¹ Frank Tacke,² Thierry Jaffredo,⁸ Axelle Cadoret,^{1*} Chantal Housset^{1,5*}
12
13
14
15

16
17 ¹Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Centre de Recherche Saint-Antoine (CRSA), Institute
18 of Cardiometabolism and Nutrition (ICAN), Paris, France;

19
20 ²Charité University Medicine Berlin, Department of Hepatology and
21 Gastroenterology, Berlin, Germany;

22
23 ³Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Institut du Cerveau (ICM), Bioinformatics/Biostatistics
24 iCONICS Facility, Paris, France;

25
26 ⁴Oslo University Hospital Rikshospitalet, Norwegian PSC Research Center, Research
27 Institute of Internal Medicine, Division of Surgery, Inflammatory Medicine and
28 Transplantation, Oslo, Norway;

29
30 ⁵Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), Department of Hepatology,
31 Reference Center for Inflammatory Biliary Diseases and Autoimmune Hepatitis
32 (CRMR MIVB-H, ERN RARE-LIVER), Saint-Antoine Hospital, Paris, France;

33
34 ⁶Sorbonne Université, INSERM, UMS Production et Analyse de Données en Sciences
35 de la Vie et en Santé (PASS), Cytométrie Pitié-Salpêtrière (CyPS), Paris, France ;

36
37 ⁷AP-HP, Sorbonne Université, ICAN, Departments of Hepatology, Hepatobiliary
38 surgery and liver transplantation, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris, France ;

39
40 ⁸Sorbonne Université, CNRS, INSERM, Institut de Biologie Paris Seine (IBPS),
41 Laboratoire de Biologie du Développement, Paris, France.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 *These authors share senior authorship
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 **Keywords:** Angiogenesis; Cirrhosis; Hepatic stellate cell; Single-cell RNA-
57 sequencing; SLIT2.
58
59
60

Corresponding authors:

Chantal Housset, M.D., Ph.D.

Sorbonne Université, Faculté de Médecine, Site Saint-Antoine, 27 rue Chaligny, 75571
Paris cedex 12, France.

E-mail: chantal.housset@inserm.fr; Phone: (33) 1-40-01-13-59

Axelle Cadoret, Ph.D.

Sorbonne Université, Faculté de Médecine, Site Saint-Antoine, 27 rue Chaligny, 75571
Paris cedex 12, France.

E-mail: axelle.cadoret@inserm.fr; Phone: (33) 1-40-01-13-53

Abbreviations: ABCB4, ATP-binding cassette B4; α -SMA, alpha-smooth muscle actin; CK19, cytokeratin 19; CCl₄, carbon tetrachloride; CDAA, choline-deficient, L-amino acid-defined; CFU-F, colony forming unit-fibroblast; CSAA, choline-sufficient, L-amino acid-defined; DDC, 3,5-diethoxycarbonyl-1,4-dihydrocollidine; DEG, differentially expressed gene; EC, endothelial cell; ECM, extracellular matrix; FISH, fluorescent *in situ* hybridization; GO, gene ontology; HSC, hepatic stellate cell; HUVEC, human umbilical vein endothelial cell; LSEC, liver sinusoidal endothelial cell; MSC, mesenchymal stem cell; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; NCD, normal chow diet; PCA, principal component analysis; PMSC, portal fibroblast with mesenchymal stem cell features; PSC, primary sclerosing cholangitis; ROBO, Roundabout; RT-qPCR, Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR; scRNAseq, single-cell RNA-sequencing; *t*-SNE, *t*-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding; VSMC, vascular smooth muscle cell.

Electronic word count: / 6037 words; 5 Figures

Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

1
2
3 **Financial support:** This work was supported by funding from ANRS (ECTZ35217),
4
5 FRM (EQU202003010517) and the Microbiome Foundation. Lin Lei received a
6
7 fellowship from the China Scholarship Council (CSC).
8
9

10
11
12 **Authors contributions:** L.L., T.J., A.C., C.H. designed the study; L.L., A.B., H.E.M.,
13
14 J.Gu., T.F., T.H.K., B.H., R.M., E.G-S., C.G., V.R., J.Ga., T.J. acquired experimental
15
16 and clinical data; L.L., A.B., H.E.M., J.Gu., S.L., P.C., F.T., T.J., C.H., A.C. analyzed
17
18 and interpreted the data; L.L., S.L., A.C., C.H. drafted the manuscript, with critical
19
20 revision from all authors.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Background & Aims: In liver fibrosis, myofibroblasts derive from hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) and as yet undefined mesenchymal cells. We aimed to identify portal mesenchymal progenitors of myofibroblasts. **Approach & Results:** Portal mesenchymal cells were isolated from mouse bilio-vascular tree and analyzed by scRNAseq. Thereby, we uncovered the landscape of portal mesenchymal cells in homeostatic mouse liver. Trajectory analysis enabled inferring a small cell population further defined by surface markers used to isolate it. This population consisted of portal fibroblasts with mesenchymal stem cell features (PMSCs), *i.e.*, high clonogenicity and tri-lineage differentiation potential, that generated proliferative myofibroblasts, contrasting with non-proliferative HSC-derived myofibroblasts (-MFs). Using bulk RNAseq, we built oligogene signatures of the two cell populations, that remained discriminant across myofibroblastic differentiation. *SLIT2*, a prototypical gene of PMSC/PMSC-MF signature, mediated pro-fibrotic and angiogenic effects of these cells, whose conditioned medium promoted HSC survival and endothelial cell tubulogenesis. Using PMSC/PMSC-MF 7-gene signature and *SLIT2* FISH, we showed that PMSCs display a perivascular portal distribution in homeostatic liver and largely expand with fibrosis progression, contributing to the myofibroblast populations that form fibrotic septa, preferentially along neo-vessels, in murine and human liver disorders, irrespective of aetiology. We also unraveled a 6-gene expression signature of HSCs/HSC-MFs that did not vary in these disorders, consistent with their low proliferation rate. **Conclusions:** PMSCs form a small reservoir of expansive myofibroblasts, which in interaction with neo-vessels and HSC-MFs that mainly arise *via* differentiation from a preexisting pool, underlie the formation of fibrotic septa in all types of liver diseases.

1
2
3
4
5 Mesenchymal cells are key players in tissue homeostasis and wound healing. In the
6
7 liver, mesenchymal cells comprise hepatic stellate cells (HSCs), that reside in
8
9 sinusoids, and perivascular cells including vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs) and
10
11 fibroblasts, that reside in the portal tracts and around central veins. Genetic-based
12
13 lineage-tracing analyses have demonstrated that HSCs and perivascular
14
15 mesenchymal cells as well as mesothelial cells all derive from the septum transversum
16
17 during liver development (1). Over the past 30 years, HSCs have been extensively
18
19 investigated for their capacity to undergo myofibroblastic differentiation, and have been
20
21 identified as a major source of myofibroblasts in liver fibrosis (2). However, the other
22
23 liver mesenchymal cells, particularly portal mesenchymal cells have gained increasing
24
25 interest as evidence has accumulated that indicates they could also generate
26
27 myofibroblasts (3-6). The portal tracts contain three main structures referred to as the
28
29 portal triad, *i.e.*, the portal vein, hepatic artery and bile duct, surrounded by a
30
31 mesenchyme, which has remained poorly defined so far. Little is known regarding the
32
33 identity, spatial distribution or functions of portal mesenchymal cells and how they
34
35 contribute to fibrosis. A major limitation has been the lack of markers, especially
36
37 surface markers that would allow to isolate portal mesenchymal cells or track them *in*
38
39 *vivo*. Using a model of outgrowth from fragments of the bilio-vascular tree isolated from
40
41 rat liver, we previously showed that portal cells distinct from HSCs could generate
42
43 myofibroblasts, that we referred to as portal myofibroblasts, characterized by
44
45 COL15A1 expression, a high proliferation rate and pro-angiogenic properties (4, 7, 8).
46
47 However, the precursors of portal myofibroblasts have not been truly identified in these
48
49 studies. In the present study, we isolated portal mesenchymal cells from mouse liver
50
51 as a single-cell preparation and analyzed their diversity using single-cell RNA-
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 sequencing (scRNAseq). A minimal set of surface markers enabled us to isolate a
4 subset of portal fibroblasts with mesenchymal stem cell features (PMSCs) and the
5 ability to generate myofibroblasts. Markers of PMSCs were discovered and used to
6 examine the expansion potential of these cells in murine and human liver fibrosis.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

14 **Experimental procedures**

15
16 Details are provided in Supplementary material.

17
18 **Animals.** Male mice of C57BL/6J or FVB.129 genetic background, were used at the
19 age of 8-12 weeks for cell isolation and liver fibrosis models, in compliance with
20 ARRIVE guidelines.
21
22
23
24

25
26 **Cell isolation and culture.** The bilio-vascular tree was isolated from mouse liver, by
27 adapting a procedure we previously described in rat (7), and further processed using
28 cell sorting and flow cytometry analysis to collect and analyze mesenchymal cells.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
RNAseq. ScRNAseq of the bilio-vascular tree Lin-negative cells (gated as Epcam⁺
CD31⁻CD45⁻CD11b⁻ cells), was performed using the 10X Genomics 3' v3 kit (10×
Genomics). NextSeq500 (Illumina) device was used for single-cell and bulk RNAseq.
ScRNAseq analyses were performed using CellRanger, Seurat and Monocle, and bulk
RNAseq analyses, using STAR, RSEM and edgeR R package.

Human liver samples. Fresh and frozen samples of normal and pathological liver
tissue were collected with the approval of ethical committees, from patients who gave
written informed consent.

1
2
3 **Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR), Immunofluorescence and**
4 **fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH).** Primers, antibodies and probes are listed in
5
6 Table S1-3.
7
8

9
10 **Microarray analyses.** Pangenomic analysis of frozen liver tissue samples, was
11 performed using the Affymetrix human gene 1.0 st microarray.
12
13

14 **SLIT2-mediated functions analyses.** The conditioned medium of PMSC-derived
15 myofibroblasts (PMSC-MFs) was tested for potential effects on the viability of the LX-
16
17 2 HSC cells, and the tubulogenesis of human umbilical vein endothelial cells
18 (HUVECs). In these experiments, SLIT2 signaling was blocked using siRNA,
19
20 CRISPR/Cas9, anti-ROBO1 antibody or a recombinant ROBO1/Fc chimera.
21
22
23

24 **Statistical analyses.** Unless otherwise stated, statistical analyses were performed
25 using Graphpad Prism v6.0 and R. Unpaired two-sided Wilcoxon test and ANOVA with
26
27 a Scheffe post-hoc test were used to compare differences between two groups, and
28
29 more than two groups, respectively. A significant difference was defined as $p < 0.05$.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 **Results**

39 **Landscape of the portal mesenchyme revealed by scRNAseq**

40 Portal mesenchymal cells represent a small cell population. They are tightly bound to
41
42 the portal triad, relying on basement membranes. This makes them more difficult to
43
44 isolate than hepatocytes or sinusoidal cells, which reside in a loose, basement
45
46 membrane-free, extracellular matrix (ECM). In the present study, we set up a specific
47
48 procedure to isolate portal mesenchymal cells, for scRNAseq analysis. We adapted a
49
50 method we previously established for the culture of rat portal myofibroblasts (7), to
51
52 isolate fragments of the bilio-vascular tree from mouse liver. The bilio-vascular tree
53
54 fragments were submitted to enzymatic digestion, resulting in a single-cell suspension,
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 which was depleted in cells expressing the lineage (Lin) markers of cholangiocytes
4 (EpCAM), endothelial cells (CD31), and hematopoietic cells (CD45 and CD11b) using
5 cell sorting. Lin-negative single-cell suspension was then processed to generate a
6 scRNAseq cDNA library (Fig. 1A). We captured 4,976 sequenced cells that met quality
7 control metrics (Fig. S1A) from healthy mouse liver. Unsupervised clustering identified
8 16 distinct clusters that were assigned to fibroblast (5 clusters), VSMC (5 clusters),
9 endothelial (4 clusters), HSC (1 cluster) and mesothelial (1 cluster) identities (Fig. 1B).
10 The hierarchical clustering of gene expression profiles supported this classification
11 except for the very small cluster of VSMC-5 (Fig. 1C). The most selective expressed
12 genes that allowed to segregate cell clusters are shown in Fig. 1D, Fig. S2 and Table
13 S4. In all clusters, the expression of cell cycle-related genes, e.g., *Mki67*, was at the
14 limit of detection, as expected from resting cells in homeostatic liver (Fig. S3).

32 ***The non-mesenchymal components: mesothelial and endothelial cells***

33 Mesothelial cells display an intermediate phenotype between epithelial and
34 mesenchymal cells and form a single layer that covers the liver surface (9). The liver
35 capsule also covers the intrahepatic parenchyma surrounding the Glissonian pedicles
36 including bile ducts (10), which can explain the presence of mesothelial cells in our cell
37 suspension. Genes expressed in these cells included previously reported mesothelial
38 markers, among which the most specific were *Msln* and *Upk1b* as well as epithelial
39 markers, such as *Krt8* and *Krt19*, and mesenchymal markers, such as *Vim* but almost
40 no *Pdgfrb*. Additional putative markers restricted to this cluster included *Fxyd3*, *Myl7*
41 and *Slpi* (Fig. S4A).

42 We identified four clusters of endothelial cells (ECs), enriched in markers such as
43 *Pecam1*, *Cdh5*, *Kdr* and *Egfl7* (Fig. S4B). The PECAM1 protein (alias CD31) has been
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 shown to be intracellular in liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSECs) (11), which
4 explains, at least partly, why ECs escaped our negative selection according to the
5 membrane antigen CD31. Moreover, even though cells from the bilio-vascular tree
6 were preferentially retrieved by our method, basement membrane-free LSECs adhere
7 to other cell types and are prone to be collected during isolation procedures, as
8 previously reported (12). As shown in Fig. S5, we found markers of EC zonation in the
9 liver, *i.e.*, of peri-central LSECs in EC-1, of peri-portal LSECs and/or portal vascular
10 ECs in EC-2, of lymphatic ECs in EC-3, and of hepatic arterial ECs in EC-4 (12, 13).
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 ***The mesenchymal components: fibroblasts, HSCs and VSMCs***

25
26 Our single-cell analysis revealed five clusters of fibroblasts (Fig. 1B-D), which
27 predominantly expressed genes involved in vascular ECM such as *Mmp19*, *Col6a5*,
28 *Col6a6* or *Col15a1*, and also expressed genes of interstitial collagens such as *Col1a1*,
29 *Col1a2*, *Col3a1* at higher levels than other clusters. Both fibroblasts and HSCs
30 expressed *Pdgfra*, whereas *Eln* previously reported as a marker of portal fibroblasts
31 (5), was expressed in many other clusters (Fig. S4C). Fib-3 highly expressed *Ptn* (Fig.
32 1D), a pericyte-derived trophic factor for ECs (14), suggesting a particular interaction
33 of this cluster with portal vessels, whereas Fib-5 was enriched in HSC markers, such
34 as *Lrat* (2) and *Reln* (5) (Fig. S4D), which was not driven by doublets (Fig. S1B), and
35 may thus represent an intermediate population between fibroblasts and HSCs. All five
36 fibroblast clusters were enriched in the gene ontology (GO) terms “ECM organization”
37 and “Wound healing” (Fig. S6, Table S5). Individual clusters were also enriched in
38 terms related to cell (including leucocyte) chemotaxis (Fib-1), epithelial cell proliferation
39 and migration (Fib-2), tissue development or morphogenesis (Fib-3, Fib-4, Fib-5).
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Cells of cluster 8 were recognized as HSCs. They were enriched in GO terms related to immunity and cell differentiation (Fig. S6). Among classical markers of HSCs, *Lrat* and *Reln* (2, 5) appeared to be not fully specific, being expressed notably in Fib-2 and Fib-5 (Fig. S4D). Like others (2, 15), we found virtually no expression of *Gfap*, whereas *Des* and *Cygb* (2, 3), were expressed at variable levels in virtually all clusters. Among HSC markers newly identified by scRNAseq (15-18) or bulk RNAseq (19), our analysis confirmed that some of them were restricted to HSCs, such as *Tmem56*, *Colec10*, *Mapt* or *Bco1*. Others such as *Fcna* or *Angptl6*, although not fully specific, were largely overexpressed in HSCs, whereas yet others such as *Rgs5*, *Pcdh7*, *Adamtsl2*, *Gucy1a1/b1* or *Colec11* were expressed at relatively high levels in several other mesenchymal cells, in addition to HSCs. Both *Ngfr* and *I134* on one hand, *Vipr1* and *Pth1r* on the other one, previously reported to preferentially mark peri-portal and peri-central HSCs, respectively (15), were expressed in the HSC cluster, indicating that this cluster was representative of all HSCs (Fig. S4D).

We identified 5 clusters of VSMCs that expressed at highest levels markers such as *Myh11*, *Cnn1*, *Acta2* or *Tagln* (13, 15) (Fig. S4E). VSMC clusters were enriched in GO terms related to muscle functions but also to “Inflammatory response” (Fig. S6).

Identification of PMSCs

As a first approach to assess differentiation potency of the different clusters, we computed single-cell entropy, an approximation of single-cell differentiation potency based on predicted signalling promiscuity (20). Of all clusters, Fib-3 displayed the highest differentiation potency as suggested by the highest entropy value (Fig. 2A). We also examined the differentiation dynamics among mesenchymal cells, and analyzed all cells except mesothelial and endothelial cells, using Monocle 2 (21). This

1
2
3 analysis showed a common trajectory of mesenchymal cells with one bifurcation and
4 three branches defining states (Fig. 2B). On the basis of entropy analysis, the branch
5 containing Fib-3 was defined as the root state. As shown in Fig. 2B, the root state was
6 mainly populated by Fib-3 and Fib-4 cells, which were consequently assumed to be
7 progenitor cells, contrasting with the two other states populated by VSMCs, notably
8 VSMC-1, and HSCs, both well-differentiated cell types with high pseudotime values.
9 Consistent with this assumption, GO terms related to development were concentrated
10 in Fib-3 and Fib-4, suggesting multilineage potential of these two clusters (Fig. 2C).
11 Genes previously reported to demarcate universal fibroblasts in mouse tissues, *i.e.*
12 *Pi16* and *Col15a1* (22), or portal fibroblasts/myofibroblasts, *i.e.*, *Col15a1* (4), *Cd34* (6,
13 15), *Thy1* alias CD90 (6, 23, 24), *Gli1* (19, 25), *Clec3b* (15), *Fbln2* (26) and *Entpd2*
14 (27), were mainly expressed in these two clusters (Fig. 2D). *Pi16*⁺ cells, were recently
15 shown to serve as resource fibroblasts that would pass through *Col15a1*⁺ fibroblasts
16 able to secrete basement membrane proteins, before developing into specialized
17 fibroblasts, in all steady-state mouse tissues (22). In addition, CD34, Thy1 and Gli1
18 are all considered as stem cell markers. To further analyze Fib-3 and Fib-4 clusters,
19 we defined a minimal set of surface markers enabling to isolate them together. As
20 shown in Fig. 2E, a high expression of *Pdgfra*, *Cd34* and *Cd9* combined with a low
21 expression of *Cd200* appeared to discriminate Fib-3 and Fib-4 from other Lin-negative
22 cells. We FACS-sorted Lin (Epcam/CD31/CD45/CD11b)-negative,
23 PDGFR α /CD34/CD9-positive, and CD200-low cells (Gate 4 in Fig. 2F) and examined
24 this population for stem cell features. The percentage of cells recovered was
25 approximately 0.03 % of total liver cells. The cells of this population were highly
26 clonogenic (80 colony forming unit-fibroblast (CFU-F) per 2,000 cells) (Fig. 2G)
27 irrespective of whether they were Thy1-positive or negative (Fig. S7). They also
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1:

1
2
3 displayed the expression of classical mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) markers, *i.e.*,
4 CD105, Sca-1, CD29 (Fig. 2H), and the ability to undergo trilineage (adipogenic,
5 osteogenic and chondrogenic) differentiation, in culture (Fig. 2I). Taken together, the
6 cells isolated by our gating strategy displayed MSC attributes and were designated
7 PMSCs. When cultured on a stiff substratum (Fig. 2J, bottom panels), PMSCs
8 proliferated and gave rise to cells phenotypically similar to portal myofibroblasts
9 expressing COL15A1 (4), here referred to as PMSC-MFs. This phenotypic change was
10 accompanied by the expected up-regulation of *Acta2*, the gene encoding alpha-
11 smooth muscle actin (α -SMA), no change in *Col1a1* expression, and a down-regulation
12 of *Col15a1* (Fig. 2K). Despite such down-regulation, *Col15a1* demarcates both PMSCs
13 and portal myofibroblasts (4). It was possible to maintain PMSCs in a resting state by
14 cultivating them in spheroids, on ultra-low attachment plates, in which case the
15 expression of α -SMA was not induced and that of *Col15a1*, less reduced (Fig. 2J-K).
16 Overall, these data indicate that our screening strategy and isolation procedure
17 enabled identifying portal fibroblasts with MSC properties and high collagen expression
18 as precursors of portal myofibroblasts.

19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60

Specific phenotypes and molecular profiles of PMSCs, HSCs and derived myofibroblasts

The isolation of PMSCs provided a unique opportunity to compare them with HSCs, and uncover specific markers of the two cell populations and of their myofibroblastic progenies. We performed transcriptomic analyses using bulk RNAseq, to compare PMSCs with ultrapure HSCs (28), as ascertained by vitamin A fluorescence (Fig. S8A). We identified 3,273 genes expressed at higher levels in PMSCs, and 3,122, in HSCs (Fig. 3A, upper panel). Projections onto *t*-SNE plot (Fig. 3A, lower panel), showed that

1
2
3 the top 10 differentially expressed genes in PMSCs included common markers of portal
4 fibroblasts, as expected from a comparison with HSCs, but were expressed at highest
5 levels in Fib-3/Fib-4, indicating that PMSCs comprised mainly if not exclusively Fib-
6 3/Fib-4 fibroblasts. The top 10 differentially expressed genes of HSCs included
7 common markers with ECs, but none with the other mesenchymal cells. Consistent
8 with the GO analyses of scRNAseq (Fig. S6, Table S5), bulk RNAseq analysis showed
9 enrichment in pathways related to “Extracellular matrix organization” in PMSCs and
10 “Immunity” in HSCs, and further highlighted pathways related to “Angiogenesis” in
11 PMSCs and to “Metabolism of fat-soluble vitamins” in HSCs (Fig. 3B).

12 Both PMSCs (Fig. 2J-K), and HSCs (3, 4), undergo phenotypic changes into
13 myofibroblasts in primary culture. Phenotypically, the major difference we observed
14 between the two cell populations, was an intense proliferation in PMSCs as they
15 became myofibroblastic, whereas HSCs differentiated into myofibroblasts without
16 dividing (Fig. S8A, D-E including videomicroscopy). We extended bulk RNAseq
17 analyses to the myofibroblasts derived from both cell populations in culture, including
18 an early and late stage of differentiation for PMSC-MFs (Table S6). Principal
19 component analysis (PCA) showed a clear discrimination of PMSCs and HSCs from
20 their myofibroblastic progenies according to PC1, while PMSC-MFs were
21 discriminated from HSC-derived myofibroblasts (HSC-MFs) according to PC2 (Fig.
22 3C). The molecular profiles of PMSCs and HSCs became more similar as they
23 differentiated into myofibroblasts. Yet, they retained specificities at the stage of
24 myofibroblasts as confirmed by GO analysis (Fig. 3D). When fully differentiated into
25 myofibroblasts, *i.e.*, after 7 days of culture, both cell populations were enriched in
26 themes related to muscle differentiation, whereas additionally, PMSC-MFs were
27 enriched in themes related to axonogenesis and ECM organization. To a large extent,
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 PMSCs/PMSC-MFs overexpressed genes encoding fibrillar collagens (*Col1a1*,
4 *Col1a2*, *Col3a1*), *Timp1* and *Acta2*, the hallmarks of liver fibrosis, whereas *Col4a1*, a
5 major component of sinusoidal ECM was overexpressed in HSC-MFs (Fig. S9). At an
6 early stage of myofibroblastic differentiation, *i.e.*, after 3 days of culture, PMSC
7 transcriptome was highly enriched in pathways related to cell proliferation (Fig. 3D). At
8 a later stage of myofibroblastic differentiation, *i.e.*, after 7 days of culture, PMSC-MFs
9 compared to HSC-MFs still markedly overexpressed genes involved in cell
10 proliferation, consistent with videomicroscopy and CFU-F assays, showing that only
11 PMSCs as opposed to HSCs were clonogenic and divided in culture (Fig. S8B-E).

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Next, we looked for gene expressions that would demarcate the two cell populations both in their resting and myofibroblastic states, and that could thus be used in fibrotic liver tissue analyses to assess if PMSCs/PMSC-MFs were more expansive than HSCs/HSC-MFs, also *in vivo*. Altogether, comparative analyses of gene expressions indicated that 100 genes in PMSCs (or Fib-3/Fib-4) and 112 genes in HSCs, i) were overexpressed according to both scRNAseq and bulk RNAseq analyses, and ii) remained differentially expressed in these cells throughout myofibroblastic differentiation (Fig. 3E). Among such genes, we selected those that combined the highest differential expression (>3.5-fold), a relatively high expression (CPM>40) in the demarcated cell population, limited variation with myofibroblastic differentiation, and no or little expression in the other liver cell types, to build an oligogene expression signature of PMSCs/PMSC-MFs (7 genes) and HSCs/HSC-MFs (6 genes) (Fig. 3F-G). Projection of the two signatures onto current (Fig. 1B) and previous scRNAseq datasets (15, 18) showed that the PMSC/PMSC-MF signature demarcated liver fibroblasts, with highest intensity in Fib-3/Fib-4 (Fig. S10A) and in liver fibroblasts accumulating in liver fibrosis induced either by carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) or bile duct

1
2
3 ligation (BDL) (Fig. S10B-C), with little or no signal in other liver cell types. The
4
5 HSC/HSC-MF signature demarcated the HSC cluster (Fig. S10A), as well as the vast
6
7 majority of HSCs in mouse liver, including in CCl₄- and BDL-induced liver fibrosis, and
8
9 virtually no other liver cell populations except a small portion of ECs (Fig. S10B-C).
10
11
12
13

14 **Implication of PMSCs and PMSC-MFs in liver fibrosis**

15
16 Our transcriptome analyses highlighted *Slit2* as a prototypical gene of PMSC/PMSC-
17
18 MF signature. Its expression was particularly stable in PMSCs throughout their
19
20 myofibroblastic differentiation and virtually absent from all other liver cell types
21
22 including HSCs/HSC-MFs (Fig. 4A, left panel). Using FISH, we confirmed that PMSC-
23
24 MFs in culture were distinguishable from HSC-MFs by *Slit2* expression (Fig. 4A, right
25
26 panel). SLIT2 is an axon guidance molecule, which was previously shown to trigger
27
28 HSC activation and fibrosis (29-31) as well as angiogenesis, in the liver (32). However,
29
30 so far, the endogenous source of SLIT2 in the liver, had not been accurately identified.
31
32 Our scRNAseq data showed that *Slit2* expression was concentrated in Fib-3/Fib-4,
33
34 whereas its roundabout (ROBO) receptors were mainly expressed in the HSC and EC
35
36 clusters, and to a lesser extent in the fibroblast clusters (Fig. 4B). Therefore, previous
37
38 and current findings suggested that PMSCs had the potential to signal towards HSCs
39
40 and ECs via *Slit2*, to promote their pro-fibrotic and pro-angiogenic activity, respectively.
41
42 Supporting such mechanisms, PMSC-MF conditioned medium prevented the
43
44 decrease in cell viability induced by an oxidative stress in the LX-2 HSC cell line and
45
46 this effect was abolished by silencing *Slit2* via SiRNA in PMSC-MFs (Fig. 4C). PMSC-
47
48 MF conditioned medium also increased tubulogenesis in HUVECs and this effect was
49
50 largely SLIT2-dependent. It was prevented in the presence of anti-ROBO1 antibody or
51
52 recombinant ROBO1/Fc chimera that entraps soluble SLIT2 and it was reduced by
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 *Slit2* depletion *via* CRISPR/Cas9 in PMSC-MFs (Fig. 4D). We used *SLIT2* FISH to
4 demarcate PMSCs/PMSC-MFs and address their connections with other cell types in
5 normal and cirrhotic human liver. In normal liver, *SLIT2*⁺ cells were scarce, although
6 more abundant in large portal tracts than in the small ones. They were virtually
7 restricted to portal tracts and displayed a perivascular distribution (Fig. 4E, left panel,
8 Fig. S11, upper panel). In cirrhotic liver, irrespective of aetiology (*i.e.*, alcoholic liver
9 disease, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) or chronic hepatitis C), *SLIT2*⁺ cells
10 were much more abundant than in normal liver (Fig. 4E, middle and right panels). They
11 were mainly located in the fibrotic septa, displayed α -SMA expression and were thus
12 identified as PMSC-MFs. Within fibrotic septa, *SLIT2*⁺/ α -SMA⁺ PMSC-MFs were
13 intermingled with, and outnumbered by *SLIT2*⁻/ α -SMA⁺ myofibroblasts, and were
14 frequently located in the surrounding of vascular lumens (Fig. 4E, middle panel).
15 Consistent with PMSC/PMSC-MF interacting with HSCs/HSC-MFs and ECs, we found
16 that in cirrhosis, *SLIT2*⁺ PMSC-MFs often lined up along CD31⁺ neo-vessels, and thus
17 appeared as a scaffold for the accumulation of abundant *SLIT2*⁻/ α -SMA⁺
18 myofibroblasts, most likely largely derived from HSCs (Fig. 4F). Both in normal and
19 cirrhotic human livers, *SLIT2*⁺ cells were clearly distinct from cytokeratin 19 (CK19)-
20 labeled cholangiocytes (Fig. S11).

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45 To further ascertain that PMSCs/PMSC-MFs accumulated in liver fibrosis, we
46 measured *SLIT2* mRNA levels in mouse and human injured livers. We found that the
47 hepatic expression of *Slit2* increased in mouse models of either cholestatic diseases,
48 *i.e.*, 3,5-diethoxycarbonyl-1,4-dihydrocollidine (DDC), *Abcb4*^{-/-}, or NASH, *i.e.*, choline-
49 deficient, L-amino acid-defined (CDAA) and cytotoxic injury, *i.e.*, CCl₄ (Fig. S12), along
50 with those of fibrosis markers (*Acta2*, *Col1a1*) in all models, and of an angiogenesis
51 marker (*vWF*) in the cholestatic models (Fig. 5A and S13). The complete
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 PMSC/PMSC-MF 7-gene signature was increased in the DDC, *Abcb4*^{-/-} and CDAA
4 models, whereas the HSC/HSC-MF 6-gene signature that was tested in the DDC and
5
6
7 CDAA models, was not (Fig. 5A and S13). The analysis of a cohort of patients with
8
9
10 non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) showed that the expression of *SLIT2* was
11
12 increased in the group with advanced fibrosis, *i.e.* F3-F4 according to the SAF score
13
14 (33) (Fig. 5B). We also examined the microarray data of liver tissue samples from
15
16 patients with NASH, primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) and other chronic liver
17
18 diseases (alcoholic liver disease, haemochromatosis, primary biliary cholangitis,
19
20 autoimmune hepatitis), for *SLIT2* expression and the oligogene expression signatures
21
22 of PMSCs/PMSC-MFs and of HSCs/HSC-MFs. This analysis showed that *SLIT2*
23
24 expression and the 7-gene expression signature of PMSCs/PMSC-MFs were both
25
26 significantly increased in the liver of patients with all types of chronic liver diseases, as
27
28 compared to normal liver (Fig. 5C-D, left panels and S14A). *SLIT2* expression and the
29
30 7-gene expression signature were both similarly correlated with *ACTA2*, *COL1A1* and
31
32 *vWF* expression in these samples (Fig. 5C-D). The analysis of the microarray data also
33
34 indicated that in contrast to the PMSC/PMSC-MF 7-gene signature, the 6-gene
35
36 expression signature of HSCs/HSC-MFs was not significantly different between any
37
38 group of diseased livers and normal livers (Fig. 5E and S14B). This finding is consistent
39
40 with a low proliferation rate of HSCs and further supports the assumption that HSC-
41
42 MFs would primarily derive from myofibroblastic differentiation of the HSC preexisting
43
44 pool. We concluded from these results that PMSCs give rise to a highly expansive
45
46 population of myofibroblasts in liver fibrosis irrespective of the cause.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 Discussion

57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In the present study, we provide a detailed atlas of portal mesenchymal cells, that were
4 obtained *via* dissociation of the bilio-vascular tree. While being consistent with previous
5 scRNAseq studies of the liver, notably from a *Pdgfrb*-GFP reporter mouse (15), our
6 analysis revealed the existence of several clusters of fibroblasts. Among them, the Fib-
7 3 and Fib-4 clusters, displayed relatively low levels of *Pdgfrb* expression compared to
8 the other clusters of liver mesenchymal cells (Fig. S4A), and thus may have been
9 underestimated in the study of PDGFR β ⁺ cells (15). Fib-3 and Fib-4 were highlighted
10 as potential progenitor cells on the basis of entropy, GO and trajectory analyses as
11 well as the expression of stem cell markers. Thus, Fib-3 and Fib-4 appeared as the
12 most primitive of liver mesenchymal cells, which form a continuum of cells with
13 overlapping markers, along a gradient of differentiation that bifurcates towards mature
14 VSMCs on one hand and HSCs on the other one. In this context, PMSCs were isolated
15 as a cell population, that primarily comprised Fib-3 and Fib-4. MSCs were first
16 identified in the bone marrow as clonogenic, multipotent cells, able to differentiate into
17 lineages of mesenchymal tissues including osteoblasts, chondrocytes and adipocytes
18 in culture (34). Subsequently, cells with similar properties were identified in multiple
19 organs and also referred to as MSCs (35), although a controversy over the MSC
20 terminology has been fueled by studies showing that MSCs from different tissues
21 exhibited different differentiation capacities (36). Yet, MSCs from different tissues
22 share common features including a small cell population, clonogenicity, the ability to
23 differentiate into the afore-mentioned mesenchymal cell lineages *in vitro* and a frequent
24 perivascular distribution (35, 36). PMSCs displayed all these characteristics. Thy1 and
25 Gli1, two stem cell markers predominantly expressed in Fib-3, as well as fibulin-2 and
26 ENTPD2, predominantly expressed in Fib-4, were previously immunolocalized in the
27 portal tracts of homeostatic liver, with a perivascular or periductal distribution (19, 23-
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 25, 27, 37-39). We found that the sub-populations of Thy1⁺ and Thy1⁻ PMSCs were
4
5 equally clonogenic (Fig. S7), implying that both Fib-3 and Fib-4 are resource fibroblasts
6
7 in the liver. COL15A1 (4) and SLIT2, that we identified as PMSC markers, are
8
9 expressed in both clusters, and also display a perivascular or periductal distribution in
10
11 the homeostatic liver. As formerly proposed for other MSCs, e.g., in the skeletal muscle
12
13 (36), we suggest that Fib3 and Fib4 represent subsets of the same original population,
14
15 recruited to the surface of nascent portal blood vessels or later, of developing bile
16
17 ducts.
18
19
20
21
22

23
24 The lack of markers that would enable to differentiate HSC-derived from non-HSC-
25
26 derived myofibroblasts has been a major hurdle so far, to gain insight into the
27
28 specificities of the different types of liver myofibroblasts and their contributions to liver
29
30 fibrosis. The isolation of PMSCs allowed us to gain such insight and seek invaluable
31
32 markers of the two populations. The comparison of transcriptional profiles indicated
33
34 that in their resting state, PMSCs primarily ensure ECM organization and vasculature
35
36 integrity whereas HSCs are mainly involved in immunity and the metabolism of vitamin
37
38 A. A striking difference between the two cell populations as they transform into
39
40 myofibroblasts *in vitro*, is that PMSCs intensely proliferate whereas HSCs do not
41
42 divide. In addition, whereas the expression of α -SMA is induced in both cell types, that
43
44 of ECM genes such as *Col1a1*, is up-regulated in HSCs but not in PMSCs, so that they
45
46 both converge towards more similar phenotypes. As a result, most of gene expressions
47
48 that could demarcate the two cell populations in their resting state are lost or no longer
49
50 discriminant between their myofibroblastic progenies. Thus, among markers of
51
52 PMSCs, the expression of *Gli1* or *Entpd2* is totally suppressed in PMSC-MFs, whereas
53
54 that of *Fbln2* expression is induced in HSC-MFs (Fig. S15). Our strategy was to build
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 oligogene expression signatures, which included only markers of the two cell
4
5 populations that maintained high differential expression, with limited variation (average
6
7 fold-change of approximately one), throughout their myofibroblastic differentiation.
8
9 Specificity of the cell signatures for liver fibroblasts and HSCs *in vivo*, was attested by
10
11 their projection onto previous scRNAseq datasets of mouse liver fibrosis (15, 18).
12
13 PMSC gene signature was predominantly expressed in Fib-3/Fib-4, but also to a lesser
14
15 extent in other fibroblast clusters (Fig. S10A), which therefore could also proliferate in
16
17 liver injury.
18
19
20
21
22

23
24 *Slit2*, known as a profibrotic and proangiogenic factor in the liver (29-32), is a
25
26 prototypical gene of PMSC/PMSC-MF signature. From the present data, we may
27
28 postulate that following liver injury, SLIT2, produced by proliferating PMSCs/PMSC-
29
30 MFs, promotes the formation of new vessels and the survival of HSC-MFs. Supporting
31
32 this view, FISH analyses showed that *SLIT2*⁺ PMSCs/PMSC-MFs accumulated along
33
34 neo-vessels in the fibrotic septa of cirrhotic livers, surrounded by *SLIT2*⁺
35
36 myofibroblasts, likely mostly HSC-derived. As we previously showed for *COL15A1* (4),
37
38 the hepatic expression of *SLIT2* increased in injured liver in correlation with that of
39
40 *COL1A1* and *vWF*, in all types of liver fibrosis. Akin to *SLIT2*, the complete
41
42 PMSC/PMSC-MF 7-gene signature increased, indicating that this cell population
43
44 expanded in mouse and human liver fibrosis of multiple causes. As they expand,
45
46 PMSCs/PMSC-MFs may promote liver fibrosis *via* several mechanisms including the
47
48 SLIT2/ROBO axis, but also the production of COL15A1, a multiplexin that anchors
49
50 interstitial collagen to basement membranes forming a scaffold for fibrosis progression
51
52 (4, 40), the overproduction of COL1A1 and COL1A2, that form the major interstitial
53
54 collagen in liver fibrosis, and of LOXL1, which promotes elastin cross-linking, among
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 others. ScRNAseq analysis of human liver mesenchymal cells in cirrhosis (13),
4
5 previously identified a cluster distinguished by PDGFRA expression that expanded in
6
7 cirrhotic livers and was annotated scar-associated mesenchymal cells. We found that
8
9 the expression of all PMSC/PMSC-MF signature genes is largely predominant in this
10
11 population (Fig. S16), supporting the clinical relevance of our findings and our
12
13 conclusion regarding the expansion of PMSC-MFs in liver fibrosis. In a previous study,
14
15 a 122-gene HSC signature was shown to be increased in experimental and human
16
17 liver fibrosis (41). However, this latter signature was designed in comparison with other
18
19 liver cell types that did not include other liver mesenchymal cells, and highlighted
20
21 genes such as *Pcdh7* that were herein found to be expressed not only in HSCs/HSC-
22
23 MFs but also in PMSCs/PMSC-MFs (Fig. S4D and S15). Our HSC/HSC-MF 6-gene
24
25 expression signature did not increase in human fibrosis, which is consistent with a low
26
27 proliferation rate of HSCs/HSC-MFs *in vitro*, as shown here and *in vivo*, in recent
28
29 scRNAseq analysis of HSCs/HSC-MFs in mouse models of liver fibrosis (17, 18).
30
31 Despite little or no proliferation, HSC-MFs that derive from the large preexisting pool
32
33 of HSCs, likely form the major part of liver myofibroblasts. However, as they proliferate
34
35 and expand within the lobule, PMSC-MFs may develop interactions with ECs and
36
37 HSCs anticipated to play crucial roles in fibrosis progression.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

Acknowledgements: The authors acknowledge Tatiana Ledent (CRSA Animal
47
48 Facility), Yannick Marie and Delphine Bouteiller (ICM Sequencing Facility), Pierre-
49
50 Antoine Soret (CRSA), Filomena Conti, Lynda Aoudjehane (Human HepCell) and
51
52 Pierre-Emmanuel Rautou (CRI, Université de Paris) for their contributions.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Data availability: All sequencing data are deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus
4 (GEO) with the accession numbers GSE163777 (scRNAseq), GSE164037 (bulk
5 RNAseq) and GSE159676 (microarrays).
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

14 References

- 16 1. Asahina K, Zhou B, Pu WT, Tsukamoto H. Septum transversum-derived mesothelium
17 gives rise to hepatic stellate cells and perivascular mesenchymal cells in developing mouse
18 liver. *Hepatology* 2011;53:983-995.
- 20 2. Mederacke I, Hsu CC, Troeger JS, Huebener P, Mu X, Dapito DH, Pradere JP, et al. Fate
21 tracing reveals hepatic stellate cells as dominant contributors to liver fibrosis independent of
22 its aetiology. *Nat Commun* 2013;4:2823.
- 24 3. Bosselut N, Housset C, Marcelo P, Rey C, Burmester T, Vinh J, Vaubourdolle M, et al.
25 Distinct proteomic features of two fibrogenic liver cell populations: hepatic stellate cells and
26 portal myofibroblasts. *Proteomics* 2010;10:1017-1028.
- 28 4. Lemoine S, Cadoret A, Rautou PE, El Mourabit H, Ratzu V, Corpechot C, Rey C, et al.
29 Portal myofibroblasts promote vascular remodeling underlying cirrhosis formation through
30 the release of microparticles. *Hepatology* 2015;61:1041-1055.
- 32 5. Lua I, Li Y, Zagory JA, Wang KS, French SW, Seigny J, Asahina K. Characterization of
33 hepatic stellate cells, portal fibroblasts, and mesothelial cells in normal and fibrotic livers. *J*
34 *Hepatol* 2016;64:1137-1146.
- 36 6. Nishio T, Hu R, Koyama Y, Liang S, Rosenthal SB, Yamamoto G, Karin D, et al. Activated
37 hepatic stellate cells and portal fibroblasts contribute to cholestatic liver fibrosis in MDR2
38 knockout mice. *J Hepatol* 2019;71:573-585.
- 40 7. El Mourabit H, Loeuillard E, Lemoine S, Cadoret A, Housset C. Culture Model of Rat
41 Portal Myofibroblasts. *Front Physiol* 2016;7:120.
- 43 8. Loeuillard E, El Mourabit H, Lei L, Lemoine S, Housset C, Cadoret A. Endoplasmic
44 reticulum stress induces inverse regulations of major functions in portal myofibroblasts during
45 liver fibrosis progression. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis* 2018;1864:3688-3696.
- 47 9. Lua I, Asahina K. The Role of Mesothelial Cells in Liver Development, Injury, and
48 Regeneration. *Gut Liver* 2016;10:166-176.
- 50 10. Sugioka A, Kato Y, Tanahashi Y. Systematic extrahepatic Glissonian pedicle isolation
51 for anatomical liver resection based on Laennec's capsule: proposal of a novel comprehensive
52 surgical anatomy of the liver. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci* 2017;24:17-23.
- 54 11. **Poisson J, Lemoine S, Boulanger C, Durand F, Moreau R, Valla D, Rautou PE.** Liver
55 sinusoidal endothelial cells: Physiology and role in liver diseases. *J Hepatol* 2017;66:212-227.
56
57
58
59
60

12. **Halpern KB, Shenhav R**, Massalha H, Toth B, Egozi A, Massasa EE, Medgalia C, et al. Paired-cell sequencing enables spatial gene expression mapping of liver endothelial cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 2018;36:962-970.
13. Ramachandran P, Dobie R, Wilson-Kanamori JR, Dora EF, Henderson BEP, Luu NT, Portman JR, et al. Resolving the fibrotic niche of human liver cirrhosis at single-cell level. *Nature* 2019;575:512-518.
14. Copes F, Ramella M, Fusaro L, Mantovani D, Cannas M, Boccafoschi F. Pleiotrophin: Analysis of the endothelialisation potential. *Adv Med Sci* 2019;64:144-151.
15. Dobie R, Wilson-Kanamori JR, Henderson BEP, Smith JR, Matchett KP, Portman JR, Wallenborg K, et al. Single-Cell Transcriptomics Uncovers Zonation of Function in the Mesenchyme during Liver Fibrosis. *Cell Rep* 2019;29:1832-1847 e1838.
16. Krenkel O, Hundertmark J, Ritz TP, Weiskirchen R, Tacke F. Single Cell RNA Sequencing Identifies Subsets of Hepatic Stellate Cells and Myofibroblasts in Liver Fibrosis. *Cells* 2019;8:503.
17. Rosenthal SB, Liu X, Ganguly S, Dhar D, Pasillas MP, Ricciardelli E, Li RZ, et al. Heterogeneity of HSCs in a Mouse Model of NASH. *Hepatology* 2021;74:667-685.
18. **Yang W, He H**, Wang T, Su N, Zhang F, Jiang K, Zhu J, et al. Single-Cell Transcriptomic Analysis Reveals a Hepatic Stellate Cell-Activation Roadmap and Myofibroblast Origin During Liver Fibrosis in Mice. *Hepatology* 2021;74:2774-2790.
19. Gupta V, Gupta I, Park J, Bram Y, Schwartz RE. Hedgehog Signaling Demarcates a Niche of Fibrogenic Peribiliary Mesenchymal Cells. *Gastroenterology* 2020;159:624-638 e629.
20. Chen W, Teschendorff AE. Estimating Differentiation Potency of Single Cells Using Single-Cell Entropy (SCENT). *Methods Mol Biol* 2019;1935:125-139.
21. Trapnell C, Cacchiarelli D, Grimsby J, Pokharel P, Li S, Morse M, Lennon NJ, et al. The dynamics and regulators of cell fate decisions are revealed by pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 2014;32:381-386.
22. **Buechler MB, Pradhan RN**, Krishnamurty AT, Cox C, Calviello AK, Wang AW, Yang YA, et al. Cross-tissue organization of the fibroblast lineage. *Nature* 2021;593:575-579.
23. Dudas J, Mansuroglu T, Batusic D, Ramadori G. Thy-1 is expressed in myofibroblasts but not found in hepatic stellate cells following liver injury. *Histochemistry and cell biology* 2009;131:115-127.
24. Katsumata LW, Miyajima A, Itoh T. Portal fibroblasts marked by the surface antigen Thy1 contribute to fibrosis in mouse models of cholestatic liver injury. *Hepatol Commun* 2017;1:198-214.
25. Kramann R, Schneider RK, DiRocco DP, Machado F, Fleig S, Bondzie PA, Henderson JM, et al. Perivascular Gli1+ progenitors are key contributors to injury-induced organ fibrosis. *Cell Stem Cell* 2015;16:51-66.
26. Knittel T, Kobold D, Saile B, Grundmann A, Neubauer K, Piscaglia F, Ramadori G. Rat liver myofibroblasts and hepatic stellate cells: different cell populations of the fibroblast lineage with fibrogenic potential. *Gastroenterology* 1999;117:1205-1221.

27. Dranoff JA, Kruglov EA, Robson SC, Braun N, Zimmermann H, Sevigny J. The ectonucleoside triphosphate diphosphohydrolase NTPDase2/CD39L1 is expressed in a novel functional compartment within the liver. *Hepatology* 2002;36:1135-1144.
28. **Mederacke I, Dapito DH**, Affo S, Uchinami H, Schwabe RF. High-yield and high-purity isolation of hepatic stellate cells from normal and fibrotic mouse livers. *Nat Protoc* 2015;10:305-315.
29. **Chang J, Lan T**, Li C, Ji X, Zheng L, Gou H, Ou Y, et al. Activation of Slit2-Robo1 signaling promotes liver fibrosis. *J Hepatol* 2015;63:1413-1420.
30. Zeng Z, Wu Y, Cao Y, Yuan Z, Zhang Y, Zhang DY, Hasegawa D, et al. Slit2-Robo2 signaling modulates the fibrogenic activity and migration of hepatic stellate cells. *Life Sci* 2018;203:39-47.
31. **Li C, Yang G, Lin L**, Xuan Y, Yan S, Ji X, Song F, et al. Slit2 signaling contributes to cholestatic fibrosis in mice by activation of hepatic stellate cells. *Exp Cell Res* 2019;385:111626.
32. Coll M, Arino S, Martinez-Sanchez C, Garcia-Pras E, Gallego J, Moles A, Aguilar-Bravo B, et al. Ductular Reaction Promotes Intrahepatic Angiogenesis Via Slit2-Robo1 Signaling. *Hepatology* 2021.
33. Bedossa P, Consortium FP. Utility and appropriateness of the fatty liver inhibition of progression (FLIP) algorithm and steatosis, activity, and fibrosis (SAF) score in the evaluation of biopsies of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* 2014;60:565-575.
34. Pittenger MF, Mackay AM, Beck SC, Jaiswal RK, Douglas R, Mosca JD, Moorman MA, et al. Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells. *Science* 1999;284:143-147.
35. Crisan M, Yap S, Casteilla L, Chen CW, Corselli M, Park TS, Andriolo G, et al. A perivascular origin for mesenchymal stem cells in multiple human organs. *Cell Stem Cell* 2008;3:301-313.
36. **Sacchetti B, Funari A**, Remoli C, Giannicola G, Kogler G, Liedtke S, Cossu G, et al. No Identical "Mesenchymal Stem Cells" at Different Times and Sites: Human Committed Progenitors of Distinct Origin and Differentiation Potential Are Incorporated as Adventitial Cells in Microvessels. *Stem Cell Reports* 2016;6:897-913.
37. Knittel T, Kobold D, Piscaglia F, Saile B, Neubauer K, Mehde M, Timpl R, et al. Localization of liver myofibroblasts and hepatic stellate cells in normal and diseased rat livers: distinct roles of (myo-)fibroblast subpopulations in hepatic tissue repair. *Histochem Cell Biol* 1999;112:387-401.
38. Tateaki Y, Ogawa T, Kawada N, Kohashi T, Arihiro K, Tateno C, Obara M, et al. Typing of hepatic nonparenchymal cells using fibulin-2 and cytoglobin/STAP as liver fibrogenesis-related markers. *Histochem Cell Biol* 2004;122:41-49.
39. Dezsó K, Jelnes P, Laszlo V, Baghy K, Bodor C, Paku S, Tygstrup N, et al. Thy-1 is expressed in hepatic myofibroblasts and not oval cells in stem cell-mediated liver regeneration. *Am J Pathol* 2007;171:1529-1537.
40. Lemoine S, Thabut D, Housset C. Portal myofibroblasts connect angiogenesis and fibrosis in liver. *Cell Tissue Res* 2016;365:583-589.

1
2
3 41. Zhang DY, Goossens N, Guo J, Tsai MC, Chou HI, Altunkaynak C, Sangiovanni A, et al. A
4 hepatic stellate cell gene expression signature associated with outcomes in hepatitis C
5 cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma after curative resection. *Gut* 2016;65:1754-1764.
6
7

8 Author names in bold designate shared co-first authorship
9
10

11 12 13 **Figure legends**

14 15 **Fig. 1. ScRNAseq profiling of mesenchymal cells from the bilio-vascular tree.** (A)

16 Outline of the experimental procedure for preparation of Lin
17 (EpCam,CD31,CD45,CD11b)-negative cells from the mouse bilio-vascular tree for
18 scRNAseq analysis. (B) *t*-SNE projection of 4,976 single cells from one healthy liver,
19 revealing 16 clusters (color-coded), identified by their expression profiles and ordered
20 according to the number of cells they comprise. (C) Dendrogram showing the
21 relationships of clusters. (D) Dot plot of two selective differentially expressed genes in
22 each cluster.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **Fig. 2. Characterization of PMSCs.** (A) Single-cell entropy of all clusters. (B)

37 Inference of sequenced mesenchymal cells by Monocle 2 reverse graph embedding
38 (pseudotime along differentiation trajectory in inset). (C) Heatmap of GO enrichment
39 related to development. (D) Dot plot of genes previously reported to demarcate
40 progenitor fibroblasts (red frame) or portal (myo)fibroblasts (black frame). (E) Dot plot
41 of genes encoding surface markers *Pdgfra/Cd34/Cd9* and *Cd200*. (F) FACS plot
42 showing the gating strategy for Fib-3/Fib-4 cell sorting (Gate 4). (G) CFU-F formed by
43 sorted cells from gates as defined in F (n=3-9). (H) Flow cytometry analysis of MSC
44 surface markers in cells from gate 4 (n=4-10). (I) Trilineage differentiation of cells from
45 gate 4 (PMSCs), towards adipocytes (Oil red O), osteoblasts (Alizarin red S) and
46 chondrocytes (Alcian blue). (J) Immunofluorescence of Col15A1 and α -SMA in PMSC
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

spheroids (upper panel) or grown into PMSC-MFs on stiff substratum (lower panel). (K) *Col15a1*, *Acta2* and *Col1a1* expression in PMSCs (freshly isolated or cultured as in J) (n=3). Means \pm SEM; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0.0001$ (Wilcoxon test); Scale bars=50 μ m.

Fig. 3. Transcriptomic features of PMSCs/PMSC-MFs compared to HSCs/HSC-MFs. (A,B) Bulk RNAseq analysis of PMSCs and HSCs, A) Volcano plot (upper panel) showing 3,273 and 3,122 DEGs (FDR \leq 0.05, fold-difference \geq 2) in PMSCs and HSCs, respectively. Top 10 DEGs are labeled and their average expression, projected on *t*-SNE plot of scRNAseq (lower panel); (B) Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) of all genes overexpressed in PMSCs or HSCs. (C) Overview of cell preparations (n=4 per condition), analyzed by bulk RNAseq and PCA of variance of read counts (ellipses: 95% CI). (D) K-means clustering of 5,000 genes with highest variance showing enriched GO terms. (E) Venn diagram showing DEGs in PMSCs (or Fib-3/Fib-4) and in HSCs, both in bulk RNAseq and scRNAseq and maintaining high differential expression after myofibroblastic differentiation (-MFs-7d). (F-G) Heatmaps of PMSC and HSC oligogene expression signatures assessed by F) bulk RNAseq or G) RT-qPCR analyses of PMSCs, HSCs, derived myofibroblasts (-MFs-7d), and other liver cell types. KCs, Kupffer cells.

Fig. 4. *Slit2* marker in PMSCs/PMSC-MFs and its functional analysis. (A) *Slit2* RT-qPCR in PMSCs, HSCs, their derived myofibroblasts (-MFs-d7), and other liver cell types (n=3) (left panel); *Slit2* FISH and α -SMA immunofluorescence in PMSC-MFs-d7 and HSC-MFs-d7 (right panel). (B) *t*-SNE plot of *Slit2* and its *Robo* receptors in scRNAseq clusters. (C) PMSC-MFs that were transfected with *Slit2* or scramble (Scr)

1
2
3 siRNA, were examined for *Slit2* and *Acta2* expression by RT-qPCR, and their
4 conditioned medium (CM) tested towards the cell viability, assessed by MTT, of LX-2
5 cells exposed to H₂O₂ (n=5-8). (D) Tube formation was evaluated in HUVECs
6 incubated with PMSC-MF CM in the absence (n=6) or presence of ROBO1/Fc (n=5)
7 or anti-ROBO1 antibody (n=5), or with control (CTL) serum-free medium (n=9); and in
8 HUVECs incubated with the CM of PMSC-MFs infected with a lentivirus expressing
9 Cas9 and a gRNA targeting the first exon of *Slit2* (LV*Slit2*, n=8) or a control lentivirus
10 expressing Cas9 and a scramble gRNA (LV, n=8), or with CTL serum-free medium
11 (n=3). After 6 hours, pictures were taken and the number of junctions, counted, using
12 the Angiogenesis Analyzer tool Fiji. (E, F) *SLIT2* FISH and α -SMA or CD31
13 immunofluorescence in normal and cirrhotic human liver; E) In normal liver (left panel),
14 a small number of *SLIT2*⁺ PMSCs (arrowheads) are visible in portal tracts (perivascular
15 *SLIT2*⁺ PMSCs, distinct from α -SMA⁺ VSMCs, shown in insets), representative of n=2
16 (CV, central vein; PV, portal vein). In cirrhosis (middle panel), *SLIT2*⁺ are intermingled
17 with *SLIT2*⁻ myofibroblasts in fibrotic septa (FS) (*SLIT2*⁺, α -SMA⁺ PMSC-MF, shown in
18 inset); right panel: quantification of labeled areas; F) *SLIT2*⁺ PMSC-MFs (red
19 arrowheads) line up along CD31⁺ neo-vessels (white arrowheads), surrounded by
20 several layers of α -SMA⁺ myofibroblasts that populate the entire fibrotic septa (dashed
21 green line) (merge shown in inset), representative of cirrhosis of alcoholic (n=3), NASH
22 (n=1) or hepatitis C (n=1) origins (Scale bars=50 μ m). Means \pm SEM; **p*<0.05;
23 ***p*<0.01; *****p*<0.0001 (One-way ANOVA in A, Wilcoxon test in C and D).
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 **Fig. 5. Expression of *SLIT2*, PMSC/PMSC-MF and HSC/PMSC-MF oligogene**
55 **signatures in liver fibrosis.** Hepatic expression of *SLIT2*, *ACTA2*, *COL1A1*, *vWF*,
56 PMSC/PMSC-MF or HSC/HSC-MF oligogene signatures assessed by (A,B) RT-qPCR,
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 A) in liver tissue from mice fed normal chow diet (NCD, n=12) or DDC (n= 8) for 4
4 weeks (upper panels), or fed CSAA (n=5) or CDAA (n=17) for 8 weeks (lower panels),
5
6
7 B) in liver biopsy from patients with NAFLD, at stages of fibrosis F0 (n=26), F1 (n=15),
8
9
10 F2 (n=12), F3-F4 (n=7). (C) by Affymetrix microarray analysis of normal human liver
11
12 (n=5 patients) and of liver tissue samples from patients with NASH (n=7 patients), PSC
13
14 (n=6 patients, 2 biopsies/patient) or other liver diseases (n=8 patients) (left panel); (D)
15
16 PMSC/PMSC-MF and (E) HSC/HSC-MF oligogene signatures assessed by Affymetrix
17
18 microarray analysis of human liver tissue samples as in C. Correlations between *SLIT2*
19
20 or PMSC 7-gene signature and *ACTA2*, *COL1A1*, or *vWF* expression are shown in C
21
22 and D right panels. Individual values and means \pm SEM are shown; * p <0.05; ** p <0.01;
23
24 *** p <0.001; **** p <0.0001; ns, non-significant (Wilcoxon test in A, C-E; one-way
25
26 ANOVA in B); r values are Pearson correlation coefficients.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

**Portal fibroblasts with mesenchymal stem cell features form a reservoir
of proliferative myofibroblasts in liver fibrosis**

Lin Lei, Alix Bruneau, Haquima El Mourabit, Justine Guégan, Trine Folseraas, Sara Lemoine, Tom Hemming Karlsen, Bénédicte Hoareau, Romain Morichon, Ester Gonzalez-Sanchez, Claire Goumard, Vlad Ratziu, Pierre Charbord, Jérémie Gautheron, Frank Tacke, Thierry Jaffredo, Axelle Cadoret*, Chantal Housset* (*co-senior authorship).

Table of contents

Supplementary Materials & methods	2
Fig. S1	10
Fig. S2	11
Fig. S3	11
Fig. S4	12
Fig. S5	14
Fig. S6	15
Fig. S7	16
Fig. S8	17
Fig. S9	18
Fig. S10	19
Fig. S11	20
Fig. S12	21
Fig. S13	21
Fig. S14	22
Fig. S15	23
Fig. S16	24
Table S1	25
Table S2	26
Table S3	27
Table S4	28
Table S5	28
Table S6	28
Supplementary References	29

Supplementary Experimental procedures

Animal experiments.

Animal experiments were conducted in the CRSA animal facility (DDPP agreement No. C 75-12-01) and were approved under Nos. 2018060418401070v2, 2018102211507258, 2019090307246392v3 and 2018072719352187v1 by the Ethics Committee of Animal Experiments, Charles Darwin No. 5, Paris. Animals, all males, were housed in a temperature-controlled, specific pathogen-free environment, on a 12-hour light-dark cycle, with free access to chow and water. *Abcb4*^{-/-} mice and their wildtype *Abcb4*^{+/+} littermates were bred, using *Abcb4*^{+/-} heterozygous mice on an FVB/N genetic background (FVB.129P2-*Abcb4*^{tm1Bor/J}) provided by Sanofi R&D (Chilly-Mazarin, France). Liver fibrosis was also induced in C57BL/6J male mice, by 3 methods: 1) 10-week-old mice were fed a diet containing 0.1% DDC (Sigma, 137030) or a normal control diet (NCD), for 4 weeks; 2) 8-week-old mice were fed a CDAA or CSAA diet (Ssniff spezialdiäten GmbH, Soest, Germany), for 8 weeks; 3) 8-week-old mice received i.p. injections of CCl₄ (1 μL/g body weight) diluted at 50% (V/V) in mineral oil or mineral oil (vehicle), twice a week for 6 weeks.

Cell isolation.

Cell collection from the bilio-vascular tree. *In situ* retrograde perfusion of the liver was performed through the inferior vena cava with Ca²⁺,Mg²⁺-free HBSS (Gibco, 14170-088)/1% EDTA (Sigma, 03690) for 5 minutes at 37°C, and then with HBSS containing Ca²⁺,Mg²⁺ (Gibco, 24020117)/0.15 mg/mL collagenase P (Sigma, 11213873001) for 20 minutes at 37°C. Next, the liver was collected and placed in L15 Leibovitz medium (Sigma, L5520) at 4°C. The liver parenchyma was mechanically detached and discarded. The remaining bilio-vascular tree was minced and incubated in MEM (Gibco, 21090-022) containing 0.075 mg/mL collagenase P, 0.02 mg/mL DNase (Sigma, DN25), 3% FBS (FBS; Gibco, 10270-098), 1 mg/mL BSA (Sigma, A7030), 1% HEPES (Gibco, 15630) and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (Gibco, 15140-122), under agitation for 15 minutes at 37°C. The bilio-vascular fragments were collected on top of a 40-μm cell strainer and centrifuged at 1,500 rpm, for 5 minutes at 4°C. The cell pellet was resuspended in 0.05% Trypsin-EDTA (Invitrogen, 25300-054) with 0.02 mg/mL DNase and incubated under agitation for 15 minutes at 37°C, and the dissociated cells were filtered three times through a 20-μm cell strainer. Following red blood cell lysis with ACK lysis buffer, the cell suspension was centrifuged at 1,500 rpm, for 5 minutes at 4°C, and the cell pellet was resuspended in a FACS buffer composed of PBS with 2% FBS, 1% HEPES and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin, at a concentration of 1 × 10⁷ cells/mL for cell sorting. For cholangiocyte isolation, cells were incubated with an anti-Epcam-FITC antibody (BioLegend, Clone: G8.8) for 30 minutes at 4°C and cells were sorted using a FACS Aria II cell sorter (BD Biosciences).

Hepatocyte, Kupffer cell, LSEC and HSC collection. Hepatocytes, LSECs and Kupffer cells were isolated as previously described (1), with modifications. For

hepatocyte isolation, the liver was perfused *in situ* with HBSS containing 0.15 mg/mL collagenase P for 20 minutes at 37°C. The cell suspension was filtered through a 70- μ m strainer and centrifuged twice at 400 rpm, for 5 minutes at 4°C, to eliminate non-parenchymal cells. LSEC and Kupffer cell isolation was performed by *ex situ* dissociation of the liver using a gentleMACs dissociator (Miltenyi) and magnetic selection using CD146 microbeads (Miltenyi, 130-092-007) and anti-F4/80 microbeads (Miltenyi, 130-110-443), respectively. For HSC isolation, the liver was perfused *in situ*, with HBSS containing 0.4 mg/mL pronase (Sigma, 10165921001) for 5 minutes at 37°C, and then with 0.05 mg/mL collagenase P for 15 min at 37°C. The liver was collected, minced and further digested in HBSS containing 0.044 mg/mL collagenase P, 0.5 mg/mL pronase and 0.02 mg/mL DNase, under agitation for 15 minutes at 37°C. The resulting cell suspension was submitted to density gradient-centrifugation at 1,380 g for 17 minutes, in GBSS (Gibco)/Histodenz (Sigma, D2158) at 4°C. HSCs were collected from the interface and resuspended in FACS buffer for further purification by cell sorting based on retinoid autofluorescence, as previously described (2).

Cell sorting and flow cytometry analysis

Cells isolated from the bilio-vascular tree were incubated with 1% anti-mouse CD16/CD32 antibody (BD Pharmingen, 553141) for 10 minutes on ice, to block Fc receptors before incubation with anti-Epcam-FITC, anti-CD31-FITC, anti-CD45-FITC and anti-CD11b-FITC antibodies, all at concentrations of 1:100, for 30 minutes at 4°C. Dead cells were stained with 7-aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD; BD Biosciences, 559925) immediately before cell sorting was performed, using a FACSAria II cell sorter (BD Biosciences). Lin-negative cells, gated as Epcam⁺CD31⁺CD45⁺CD11b⁻ cells, were collected and subjected to scRNAseq analysis. To isolate PMSCs from the bilio-vascular tree, cells were labeled with anti-Epcam-, anti-CD31-, anti-CD45- and anti-CD11b-FITC antibodies as above, and anti-PDGFR α -PE, anti-CD34-APC, anti-CD9-BV421 and anti-CD200-APC-R700, all at concentrations of 1:100 except for anti-PDGFR α -PE (1:50). PMSCs were gated as Lin⁻PDGFR α ⁺CD34⁺CD9⁺CD200^{low} cells. To sort Thy1⁻, Thy1^{low} and Thy1^{high} PMSCs, cells from the bilio-vascular tree were labeled with anti-Epcam-FITC, anti-CD31-FITC, anti-CD45-FITC, anti-CD11b-FITC, anti-PDGFR α -PE, anti-CD34-APC, anti-CD9-BV421, anti-CD200-APC-R700 antibodies as above, and anti-Thy1-PE-Cy7 antibody at a concentration of 1:100. For flow cytometry analysis, freshly isolated PMSCs were labeled either with anti-CD105-BV510, anti-Sca1-BV510 or anti-CD29-PE/Cy7, all at concentrations of 1:100. Analyses were performed using BD FACSDiva™ and FlowJo (Tree Star) software. All antibodies are listed in Table S1.

Cell culture.

PMSCs and HSCs were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of 20,000 cells/cm² and cultured in DMEM containing 20% FBS, 1% HEPES and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin to obtain PMSC-MFs and HSC-MFs, respectively. To maintain PMSCs in a resting state, cells were seeded in 96-well ultra-low attachment

4

(ULA) round-bottomed plates. The LX-2 human HSC cell line (provided by Human HepCell, IHU-ICAN) was cultured in DMEM containing 10% FBS, 1% HEPES and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin. HUVECs were grown in EndoGRO™-VEGF complete medium (Millipore).

Cell clonogenicity and differentiation assays.

Cell clonogenicity was examined by CFU-F assay, as follows: 2,000 sorted cells were plated onto a 10-cm plastic dish and maintained in DMEM/20% FBS. The presence of more than 50 cells in a cluster after 14 days in culture, was counted as a colony. The capacity of PMSCs to differentiate towards adipogenic, osteogenic or chondrogenic lineages was analyzed using specific protocols. For adipogenic and osteogenic differentiation, sorted PMSCs were plated in 48-well plates coated with matrigel (Corning, 356231) at a density of 5,000 cells/cm² in DMEM/20% FBS until subconfluence. Then, the culture medium was changed for adipogenic (R&D Systems, CCM011) or osteogenic (R&D Systems, CCM009) differentiation medium. After 21 days, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and stained using Oil Red O (Sigma) or Alizarin Red solution (Sigma), respectively. For chondrogenic differentiation, 50,000 sorted PMSCs were plated in ULA plate in chondrogenic differentiation medium (R&D Systems, CCM006) to form spheroids. After 21 days, the spheroids were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded in OCT (Sakura Finetek) and cryosections (8 μm) were stained with Alcian blue (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and counterstained with nuclear fast red (Vector).

Videomicroscopy.

PMSCs and HSCs were seeded in 24-well plates at densities of 5,000 and 50,000 per well, respectively, and cultured in standard conditions. Twenty-four hours after seeding, the cells were placed in the CellVivo Incubation System (Pecon GmbH, Ernah, Germany) driven by a high-end inverted microscope IX83 (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). One picture has been taken every hour, using a 10X UPLFN 0.3NA PH1 objective lens and ORCA-Flash 4 LT digital (Hamamatsu Photonics KK, Tokyo, Japan) driven by Cellsens dimension 1.16 (Olympus). The movies have been built using the open source software Fiji (3).

ScRNAseq.

Cells were loaded onto a GemCode instrument (10x Genomics) to generate single-cell barcoded droplets, *i.e.*, gel beads in emulsion (GEMs). Sequencing libraries were constructed using the Chromium Single-cell 3' Library Kit (10x Genomics) according to the manufacturer's protocol and sequenced on a NextSeq 500 device (Illumina). Average read depth of the sample was 79,199 reads/cell. Reads were then aligned to the mouse genome mm10/Grcm38 using the Cell Ranger 3.0.2 software. Subsequent analysis was performed in R using the filtered barcode and count matrices produced by Cell Ranger. The data were analyzed using Seurat 3.6.1 (4). Genes expressed in less than 6 cells, as well as cells with less than 500 or more than 25,000 unique molecular identifiers (UMIs), were filtered out. Any single-cell with more than 10% UMIs

mapped to mitochondrial genes was also removed. Seurat SCTransform function (5) was used to normalize and scale the data (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE163777>).

Dimensionality reduction was performed through PCA on the gene expression matrix and using the first 30 PCs for clustering and visualization. Unsupervised shared nearest neighbor clustering was performed using Seurat FindClusters function at the resolution of 0.6 and visualization was achieved using spectral *t*-SNE of the principal components as implemented in Seurat. Cluster dendrogram was constructed using BuildClusterTree built-in function of the R package Seurat which used cluster averaged PCs for calculating a PC distance matrix. The cell clusters identified were evaluated for differential genes expression (DGE), using Seurat FindAllMarkers function. All genes considered for cell-type classification were determined with p value < 0.01 and \log (fold-change) > 0.25 as cutoff by performing DGE analysis between the clusters using Wilcoxon rank sum test and Benjamini and Hochberg procedure for p -values adjustment. Single-cell entropy was calculated using LandSCENT v. 0.99.3, as previously described. Doublet analysis was performed, using two methods, *i.e.*, DoubletFinder, R package v 2.0 and Scrublet, python library v0.1. Thereby, we identified 334 and 103 potential doublets, respectively. They included 66 doublets in common, that were plotted on *t*-SNE projection (Fig. S1B). The Monocle version 2.14.0 R package (6) was used to organize cells in pseudotime and infer cell trajectories from the Seurat dataset. We ran reduceDimension with *t*-SNE as the reduction method, num_dim=12, norm_method="log" and max_components = 2. Cells were clustered with the density peak clustering algorithm by setting P to 2 and Δ to 4 (and skip_rho_sigma = T to facilitate the computation). The top 1000 significantly differentially expressed genes between clusters were selected as the ordering genes and used in Monocle for clustering and ordering cells using the DDRTree method and reverse graph embedding.

Bulk RNAseq.

RNA was extracted using Rneasy Micro Kit (Qiagen). Libraries were generated from total RNA and paired-end sequencing was performed on a NextSeq 500 device (Illumina). Raw sequencing data were quality-controlled with the FastQC program. Paired reads were aligned to the mouse reference genome (mm10 build) with the STAR software v2.5.3a (option for no multihits). Mapping results were quality-checked using RNASEQC. Gene counts were obtained by using RSEM tools v1.2.28 (rsem-calculate-expression, option for paired-end and stranded). Expected gene counts were first normalized using TMM method in the edgeR R package and genes with a count per million (CPM) < 1 in 20% of samples, were removed

(<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE164037>).

Differential gene expression analysis was performed using DEseq2. A \log_2 of fold change of 1 and a Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p value cutoff < 0.05 (FDR) was set to determine significant differentially expressed genes.

GO enrichment and Gene Set Enrichment Analysis.

Symbol gene IDs were first converted to Entrez gene IDs using the clusterProfiler R package (7). Functional enrichment in GO biological processes of differentially expressed genes was performed using EnrichGO built-in function of the clusterProfiler version 3.14 with default parameters. The comparison of enriched functional enrichment among mesenchymal cell populations was performed using clusterProfiler CompareCluster function (7). Heatmap of enriched term was generated in R. GSEA was implemented using the R package ReactomePA with default parameters (8).

Import of previously published data sets.

We downloaded the raw scRNAseq data of i) 23,291 Pdgfrb-GFP+ mesenchymal cells from uninjured and fibrotic (6 weeks CCl₄) mouse livers published and deposited in the GEO by Dobie *et al.* (GSE137720), ii) 47,752 liver cells of all types from uninjured oil-treated (10,636) and fibrotic (CCl₄ 3 weeks, 18,185; BDL 10 days, 18,931) mouse livers published and deposited in the GEO by Yang *et al.* (GSE171904).

Slit2 silencing by siRNA and LX-2 survival.

Slit2 and scramble siRNA (SMARTpool: ON-TARGETplus *Slit2* siRNA L-058235-00-0005, ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting Pool D-001810-10-05) were purchased from Dharmacon. PMSC-MFs at passage 1 in 6-well plates were transfected with siRNA (50 nmol/L) using Dharmafect1. Forty-eight hours after transfection, the cells were incubated with serum-free DMEM for 24 hours to generate conditioned medium. LX-2 cells were seeded in 96-well plates (7,000/well) and 24 hours later, were incubated in serum-free DMEM containing H₂O₂ (200 μmol/L) for 30 min. Thereafter, the medium was replaced by serum-free DMEM or conditioned medium from transfected PMSC-MFs and 24 hours later, cell viability was assessed using MMT assay. Absorbance was quantified at 540 nm (TECAN).

Slit2 deletion by CRISPR/Cas9.

The lentiviral plasmid plentiCRISPRv2, a gift from Zhang lab (Addgene, MA, USA; plasmid #52961), contains hSpCas9, a guide RNA (gRNA), and a puromycin resistance sequence. The gRNA targeting exon 1 of *Slit2* was designed using <http://cistrome.org/SSC>, that ensures specificity and high cleavage efficiency.

Its sequence was sense, 5' CTTGAACAAGGTGGCGCCGC 3', antisense, 5' GCGGCGCCACCTTGTTCAAG 3'. The web-based tool, CRISPOR (<http://crispor.tefor.net>) that predicts the risk of off-target sequences by providing a cutting frequency determination (CFD) specificity score ranging from 1 to 100, was used to avoid off-target sequences. Guides with a CFD specificity score > 50 are recommended by Doench JG *et al.* (9). The gRNA we used to target *Slit2* exon 1 has a CFD score of 98. This gRNA did not perfectly match any other genomic region. One off-target with three mismatches was found in an intronic region of *Mapk4* and 40 off-targets were found with four

mismatches, making unlikely that these off-targets were involved in the effect caused by *Slit2* ablation. Lentiviruses dedicated to *Slit2* knockout (LV*Slit2*) and a control lentivirus (LV) expressing Cas9 and a scramble gRNA were produced by the VVTG platform (Federative Research Institute, Necker, France). Sub-confluent PMSC-MFs at day 7 of primary culture, were infected with viral particles at a minimal titer of 10^8 units per mL. Forty-eight hours post-infection, the culture medium was discarded and conditioned medium was prepared by incubating the cells with serum-free medium for 24 hours.

Tube assay.

HUVECs (15,000 cells/well) were plated in 15-well μ -slide angiogenesis Ibidi plates (Clinisciences) previously coated with 50 μ l of growth factor-reduced Matrigel (BD Biosciences) and incubated in the presence of PMSC-MF conditioned medium (harvested from cells incubated with serum-free medium for 24 hours) or serum-free medium as a control. To assess the contribution of SLIT2 secreted by PMSC-MFs in angiogenesis, HUVECs were incubated with PMSC-MF conditioned medium a) in the presence of 100 ng/mL of recombinant rat ROBO1/Fc chimera protein (R&D Systems, #1749B) (10), b) after they had been preincubated for 1 hour with 10 μ g/mL of anti-human ROBO1 antibody (R&D Systems, #AF7118), or c) with the conditioned medium from PMSC-MFs infected with a lentivirus expressing Cas9 and a gRNA targeting the first exon of *Slit2*, dedicated to *Slit2* knockout (LV*Slit2*) or a control lentivirus (LV) expressing Cas9 and a scramble gRNA. HUVECs were placed in the CellVivo Incubation System as for videomicroscopy (described above) and tube formation was evaluated after 6 hours of incubation using the Angiogenesis Analyzer tool (Fiji software).

Human liver tissue samples.

Samples of normal liver from patients undergoing liver resections for focal lesions (n=2 patients), and of cirrhotic livers from patients with NASH (n=1), chronic hepatitis C (n=1) or alcoholic liver disease (n=3) undergoing liver resection or transplantation, were provided by Human HepCell platform (IHU-ICAN, Declaration No. AC-2020-3861) for FISH. Frozen samples of liver biopsy from subjects with a suspicion of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease at stages of fibrosis F0 (n=26), F1 (n=15), F2 (n=12), F3-F4 (n=7), were provided by the Biological Resource Center, BIO-ICAN, Paris, France, with ethical approval from the Persons Protection Committee (CPP Ile de France VI) for RT-qPCR analyses. The RNA used for microarray experiments was extracted from frozen tissue obtained from explanted livers or diagnostic liver biopsies from i) normal human liver tissue (tumor-free tissue from livers with colorectal cancer metastasis) (n=5 patients) and ii) liver tissue from patients with chronic liver diseases, including PSC (n=6 patients, 2 biopsies/patient), NASH (n=7 patients) and other liver diseases including autoimmune hepatitis (n=3), primary biliary cholangitis (n=2), alcoholic liver disease (n=1), haemochromatosis (n=1) and sarcoidosis (n=1). The liver specimens were provided by the Norwegian biobank for primary sclerosing cholangitis, Oslo, Norway with ethical approval

1 from the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research ethics of South
2 East Norway. All subjects gave written informed consent before to allow the use
3 of the samples.
4
5

6 **RT-qPCR.**

7 Total RNA was extracted from frozen liver tissue samples or harvested cells
8 using the RNeasy Mini Kit or Micro Kit (Qiagen), respectively. The cDNA was
9 synthesized using the MMLV-RT (Invitrogen, 28025013) or SuperScript™ II
10 (Invitrogen, 18064014) and real-time PCR was performed using the LightCycler
11 480 SYBR Green I Master Kit on an LC480 device (Roche Diagnostics). Target
12 gene mRNA levels were normalized using HPRT or 18S as a reference gene
13 and expressed as relative levels ($2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method). Normalized values (ΔCt)
14 were used for oligogene signature analysis and presented as $0-\Delta Ct$, so that
15 higher values indicate higher expression levels. The primers (Table S2) were
16 designed using the primer software from Roche Diagnostics.
17
18
19
20
21

22 **Immunofluorescence and FISH.**

23 For immunofluorescence, cell preparations were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde
24 at 4°C for 15 minutes, and incubated with primary antibodies against COL15A1
25 (Abcam, ab58717, 1:200) or α -SMA (Agilent, M085129-2, 1:100) at 4°C
26 overnight. Nuclear staining was performed using Draq5 (Abcam). Cells were
27 examined with a SP2 confocal microscope (Leica, Bannockburn, IL, USA).
28

29 For co-labeling by FISH and immunofluorescence, cell preparations and tissue
30 samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C for 20 minutes and 4 hours,
31 respectively. Tissue samples were then transferred to 30% sucrose in PBS at
32 4°C until sinking and then placed in a plastic mold filled with O.C.T. that was
33 immersed in 2 methyl-butane maintained at -70°C, and stored at -80°C. Tissue
34 sectioning was performed at -25°C and 10- μ m cryosections were mounted on
35 SuperFrostPlus™ slides that were kept on dry ice. Before processing, tissue
36 sections were thawed in 100% ethanol for 5 minutes, followed by 1 minute in
37 TBS buffer. Cell preparations or tissue sections were then transferred to pre-
38 warmed hybridization buffer (5X SSC / 1% BSA / 10% Formamid / 0,1% (w/v)
39 SDS) at 75°C for 20 minutes. The probes, directed at *SLIT2* or Scramble (Table
40 S3), conjugated to Fam-6 or Atto-633, respectively, were diluted at 50 ng/ μ L
41 and denatured at 85°C for 5 minutes while the samples were dried and
42 denatured on a preheated plate at 85°C for 2 minutes. The probes (100 μ L) were
43 added to tissue sections then covered with a coverslip and sealed with glue. In
44 the following steps the samples were kept in the dark. They were incubated at
45 75°C overnight in a dark wet chamber. After removal of the coverslip, the slides
46 were transferred to freshly prepared hybridization buffer at 75°C for 15 minutes.
47 The slides were washed with TBS for 5 minutes, and then with TBS-Triton x100
48 0.3% for 15 minutes, at room temperature. After a 5-minute TBS wash at room
49 temperature, the slides were blocked for 60 minutes with 2% Normal Goat
50 Serum (Thermo Fisher) in TBS-T (0.1%). Tissue sections were incubated with
51 anti- α -SMA (1:100, M085129-2, Agilent) in blocking buffer, overnight at 4°C.
52 Slides were washed with TBS 3 times for 5 minutes at room temperature and
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 incubated with secondary antibody (Goat anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 555
2 Conjugate, CellSignaling #4409, 1:500) and DAPI 2 μ g/mL (Sigma-Aldrich).
3 Large field imaging acquisition was performed on a Zeiss Axio Observer 7. For
4 image processing, the large field images were stitched and background was
5 subtracted, using the default settings of ZEISS software ZEN 3.1 (blue edition).
6 Exported single channel grayscale in .tiff were later processed in Fiji. The
7 background due to FISH staining was assessed using the scramble probe and
8 this autofluorescence was subtracted from the *SLIT2* channel using image
9 calculator module. For quantification, binary masks of at least two independent
10 experiments were generated using the trainable classification software Ilastik
11 (v 1.3.3). Marked areas were then measured using Fiji. The plugin Color pixel
12 counter was used to count the number of pixels on the image of a specific color.
13 Results are expressed as a percentage of pixels in the Region of Interest.
14
15
16
17
18

19 **Microarray analyses.**

20 Pangenomic analysis of frozen liver tissue samples, was performed using the
21 Affymetrix human gene 1.0 st microarray. Analysis was conducted using
22 R. *oligo* bioconductor package to import raw data CEL files in an ExpressionSet
23 object and *rma* function to normalize the data. After normalization,
24 summarization was performed because transcripts are represented by multiple
25 probes, on the Affymetrix platform. For each gene, the background-adjusted
26 and normalized intensities of all probes were summarized into one estimated
27 amount proportional to the amount of RNA transcripts. Summarized data have
28 been annotated with *hugene10sttranscriptcluster.db* bioconductor package
29 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE159676>).
30 Statistical analysis was performed using non-parametric Wilcoxon test.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1

A

	nCounts (transcripts)	nFeatures (genes)	% mitochondrial genes
Mean per cell	10539	2951	3.14 %

B




Fig. S1: scRNAseq (A) Quality control metrics; (B) Analysis of doublets (plotted on *t*-SNE).




Fig. S2: Heatmap of the top 10 differentially expressed genes in scRNAseq clusters. (see also Table S4).






Fig. S3: Violin plots showing the expression of the proliferation marker Mki67 across all scRNAseq clusters.

1.





1.




Fig. S5: Heatmap depicting representative genes expressed in the endothelial cell (EC) clusters of the scRNAseq.

Color scale represents the average expression level across all cells within each cluster. LSECs, liver sinusoidal endothelial cells.




Fig. S6: GO enrichment of genes significantly overexpressed in the different mesenchymal cell clusters of the scRNAseq. (see also Table S5).




Fig. S7: Clonogenicity of PMSCs according to Thy1 expression.

Left panel: Representative flow cytogram of Thy1 expression on PMSCs. Right panel: CFU-F formed by Thy1^{neg}, Thy1^{low} and Thy1^{high} PMSCs. Data represent means \pm SEM (n=3). Statistical significance was evaluated using one-way ANOVA; ns, non-significant.




Fig. S8: Phenotypic comparison of PMSCs/PMSC-MFs and HSCs/HSC-MFs.

(A) Microscopic appearance: Cells were observed under phase-contrast microscopy (fluorescence microscopy under 328-nm ultraviolet excitation in insets) (a,e) immediately after cell sorting, (b-d, f-h) at the indicated days (d) of primary culture. (B-E) Proliferative properties: B) Proliferation-related gene expressions in PMSC-MFs vs. HSC-MFs. Radar plot showing the log₂ fold-difference in the expression of cell proliferation-related genes in bulk RNAseq analysis of PMSC-MFs (red line) and HSC-MFs (blue line) after 7 days in culture. Statistical significance was evaluated using Wilcoxon test; *****p*<0.0001; C) CFU-F formed by sorted PMSCs and HSCs (Means \pm SEM, *n*=8); Videomicroscopy (provided separately) of D) PMSCs and E) HSCs between day 1 and day 5 of primary culture (representative of 2 random fields).




Fig. S9: Expression of pro-fibrotic genes in PMSCs and HSCs, in resting or myofibroblastic states after 3 days (-MFs-3d) or 7 days (-MFs-7d) in primary culture. CPM, counts per million.




Fig. S10: PMSC/PMSC-MF and HSC/HSC-MF oligogene signatures projected onto (A) current and (B, C) previous scRNAseq data sets.

A) Clustering of portal mesenchymal cells from uninjured mouse liver as in Fig. 1B; B) Clustering of *Pdgfrb*-GFP⁺ mesenchymal cells from uninjured and fibrotic (6 weeks CCl₄) mouse livers from Dobie *et al.* (GSE137720); C) Clustering of all liver cells from uninjured (oil) and fibrotic (3 weeks CCl₄, 10 days BDL) mouse livers from Yang *et al.* (GSE171904). Chol, cholangiocytes.




Fig. S11: Distinct localization of *SLIT2*⁺ cells and cholangiocytes.

SLIT2 FISH and α -SMA or CK19 immunofluorescence were performed on normal and cirrhotic human liver tissue sections. In normal liver (upper panel), perivascular *SLIT2*⁺ cells (arrowheads) in a large portal tract are distinct from α -SMA⁺ VSMCs and from CK19⁺ cholangiocytes (higher magnification in inset), representative of n=2 (PV, portal vein). In cirrhotic liver (lower panel), *SLIT2*⁺ intermingled with *SLIT2*⁻ myofibroblasts in fibrotic septa, are distinct from CK19⁺ cholangiocytes (higher magnification in inset), representative of cirrhosis of alcoholic (n=3), NASH (n=1) or hepatitis C (n=1) origins (Scale bars=50 μ m).




Fig. S12: Mouse models of liver fibrosis.

Sirius red staining of the liver from (A) mice fed NCD or DDC diet for 4 weeks; (B) mice fed CSAA or CDAA diet for 8 weeks; (C) *Abcb4*^{+/+} and *Abcb4*^{-/-} mice at the age of 8 weeks; (D) vehicle- or CCl₄-i.p.-injected mice for 6 weeks. NCD, normal chow diet; DDC, 3,5-diethoxycarbonyl-1,4-dihydrocollidine; CSAA, choline-sufficient L-amino acid-defined; CDAA, choline-deficient L-amino acid-defined diet. Representative of 5 to 17 animals per group. Scale bars=50 μ m.





Fig. S13: Expression of SLIT2 and PMSC 7-gene signature in the *Abcb4*^{-/-} and CCl₄ mouse models of liver fibrosis.

Hepatic expression of *Slit2*, *Acta2*, *Col1a1*, *vWF* and of PMSC 7-gene signature was measured by RT-qPCR in liver tissue from (A) *Abcb4*^{+/+} (n=9) and *Abcb4*^{-/-} (n=5) mice at the age of 8 weeks, (B) vehicle- or CCl₄-i.p.-injected mice for 6 weeks (n=5/group). Data represent individual values and means \pm SEM. **p*<0.05; ***p*<0.01; ****p*<0.001 (Wilcoxon test).

2

A



B




Fig. S14: Individual expression of the PMSC and HSC signature genes in human liver fibrosis.

Hepatic expression of the genes of (A) PMSC/PMSC-MF, and (B) HSC/HSC-MF signatures, was assessed by Affymetrix microarray analysis of normal human liver (n=5 patients) and of liver tissue samples from patients with NASH (n=7 patients), PSC (n=6 patients, 2 biopsies/patient) or other liver diseases (n=8 patients). Data represent individual values and means \pm SEM. * p <0.05; ** p <0.01; *** p <0.001; ns, non-significant; statistical significance was evaluated using non-parametric Wilcoxon test.




Fig. S15: *Gli1*, *Entpd2*, *Fbln2* and *Pcdh7* expression in the bulk RNAseq analysis of PMSCs and HSCs, in resting or myofibroblastic states after 3 days (-MFs-3d) or 7 days (-MFs-7d) in primary culture. CPM, counts per million.

2




Fig. S16: Comparison of PMSCs/PMSC-MFs with the previously annotated scar-associated mesenchymal cells.

t-SNE plot of PMSC/PMSC-MF signature genes in human mesenchymal cells from Ramachandran et al. (<https://www.livercellatlas.ed.ac.uk/>).

Table S1: Antibodies used for cell sorting, flow cytometry and immunofluorescence.

Antibody	Company	Cat no.	Clone no.
Cell sorting & Flow cytometry			
rat anti-mouse CD9-BV421	BD Biosciences	564235	KMC8
rat anti-mouse CD11b-FITC	BioLegend	101245	M1/70
hamster anti-mouse CD29-PE/Cy7	BioLegend	102222	HM β 1-1
rat anti-mouse CD31-FITC	BD Biosciences	561813	MEC 13.3
rat anti-mouse CD34-APC	BioLegend	119310	MEC14.7
rat anti-mouse CD45-FITC	BioLegend	103137	30-F11
rat anti-mouse CD105-BV510	BD Biosciences	740188	MJ7/18
rat anti-mouse CD200-APC-R700	BD Biosciences	565546	OX-90
rat anti-mouse Epcam-FITC	BioLegend	118207	G8.8
rat anti-mouse PDGFRa-PE	eBioscience	12-1401-81	APA5
rat anti-mouse Sca1-BV510	BD Biosciences	565507	D7
rat anti-mouse Thy1-PE-Cy7	eBioscience	25-0902-81	53-2.1
Immunofluorescence			
mouse anti-human α -SMA	Agilent	M085129-2	1A4
rabbit anti-human Col15a1	Abcam	ab58717	N/A

Table S2: Primers used for qPCR.

Gene	GenBank	Forward primer	Reverse primer
Mouse			
<i>Acta2</i>	NM_007392.3	CTGTCAGGAACCCTGA	TACTCCCTGATGTCT
<i>Bmp10</i>	NM_009756.3	CGGAGCTTCAAGAACG	TGGTGAGGGATAGAC
<i>Col1a1</i>	NM_007742.4	GCTCCTCTTAGGGGCC	CCACGTCTCACCATT
<i>Col1a2</i>	NM_007743.3	CAAGCATGTCTGGTTA	AGGACACCCCTTCTA
<i>Col15a1</i>	NM_009928.3	AGATTTACGGGTTCCA	CAACGTGTGATTCTTT
<i>Hgf</i>	NM_001289458.1	CACCCCTTGGGAGTAT	GGGACATCAGTCTCA
<i>Hprt</i>	NM_013556.2	TCAAATCCCTGAAGTA	AGGACCTCTCGAAGT
<i>Igfbp6</i>	NM_008344.3	GGGCTCTATGTGCCAA	CCTGCGAGGAACGAC
<i>Loxl1</i>	NM_010729.3	TATGCCTGCACCTCTC	TGTCCGCATTGTATG
<i>Masp1</i>	NM_001359083.1	CCTACAGAGCGGCAG	GGCTCGATTTTCCCG
<i>Megf9</i>	NM_172694.2	ACAACCGGTCTGATAG	TTTGCATTCTTCACAG
<i>Mgp</i>	NM_008597.4	TCACGAAAGCATGGAG	GCTGAGGGGACATAA
<i>Nt5e</i>	NM_001204813	CCATTGATGAGAAGAA	GTCAAATGTCCCTCC
<i>Plac8</i>	NM_139198.3	TGTGTGCCAACTCAAG	GTTGAGCTTCTTCAG
<i>Slit2</i>	NM_178804.5	ATCTGCCTGAGACCAT	CGTCTAAGCTTTTTGT
<i>Thy1</i>	NM_009382.3	GAAAACCTGCGGGCTTC	CCAAGAGTTCCGACT
<i>vWf</i>	NM_011708.4	CTACCTAGAACGCGAG	CATCGATTCTGGCCG
<i>18S</i>	NR_003278.3	GAGCGAAAGCATTTC	GGCATCGTTTATGGT
Human			
<i>ACTA2</i>	NM_001613.4	GACAATGGCTCTGGGC	CTGTGCTTCGTCACC
<i>COL1A</i>	NM_000088.4	GTGCGATGACGTGATC	CGGTGGTTTCTTGGT
<i>HPRT</i>	NM_000194.3	TAATTGGTGGAGATGA	TGCCTGACCAAGGAA
<i>SLIT2</i>	NM_004787.4	GTGTTTCGTGCCAGCTA	TTCCATCATTGATTGT
<i>vWF</i>	NM_000552.5	CTCCCACGCCTACATC	GCGGTGATCTTGCT

Table S3: Probes used for FISH.

Probe name	Sequence
Scrambled	5' TAGCGAATCTCAGGCAAG – AT 633 3'
SLIT2-1	5' GGACCACGTCTCGCAGCGGTTCTGCGGC – 6 Fam 3'
SLIT2-2	5' CACGGGTCCTTATAGGGGGCGTTGTGGC – 6 Fam 3'
SLIT2-3	5' CTTACGGTTGTTCTATTTGACGGAAGC – 6 Fam 3'
SLIT2-4	5' CACCTGCTCCAACCTCTTTCACCACTTCACGC – 6 Fam 3'

For Peer Review

2

Table S4: Differentially expressed genes in each scRNAseq cluster compared to all others.

Tab 1: All differentially expressed genes classified according to Seurat R toolkit;

Tab 2: Top 10 differentially expressed genes classified according to average log Fold-Change (avg_logFC).

(Provided separately).

Table S5: GO enrichment of differentially expressed genes in the scRNAseq clusters of Fibroblasts (Fib), Hepatic stellate cells (HSCs), and Vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs).

(Provided separately).

Table S6: Differential gene expressions in bulk RNAseq analyses, between PMSCs or HSCs and their myofibroblastic progenies after 3 days (-MFs-3d) or 7 days (-MFs-7d) in primary culture.

Genes (ID) are classified according to average log Fold-Change (logFC) from 4 replicates per condition.

(Provided separately)

Supplementary references

1. Gonzalez-Sanchez E, Firrincieli D, Housset C, Chignard N. Expression patterns of nuclear receptors in parenchymal and non-parenchymal mouse liver cells and their modulation in cholestasis. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis* 2017;1863:1699-1708.
2. Mederacke I, Dapito DH, Affo S, Uchinami H, Schwabe RF. High-yield and high-purity isolation of hepatic stellate cells from normal and fibrotic mouse livers. *Nat Protoc* 2015;10:305-315.
3. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* 2012;9:676-682.
4. Stuart T, Butler A, Hoffman P, Hafemeister C, Papalexi E, Mauck WM, 3rd, Hao Y, et al. Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. *Cell* 2019;177:1888-1902 e1821.
5. Hafemeister C, Satija R. Normalization and variance stabilization of single-cell RNA-seq data using regularized negative binomial regression. *Genome Biol* 2019;20:296.
6. Trapnell C, Cacchiarelli D, Grimsby J, Pokharel P, Li S, Morse M, Lennon NJ, et al. The dynamics and regulators of cell fate decisions are revealed by pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 2014;32:381-386.
7. Yu G, Wang LG, Han Y, He QY. clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. *OMICS* 2012;16:284-287.
8. Yu G, He QY. ReactomePA: an R/Bioconductor package for reactome pathway analysis and visualization. *Mol Biosyst* 2016;12:477-479.
9. Doench JG, Fusi N, Sullender M, Hegde M, Vaimberg EW, Donovan KF, Smith I, et al. Optimized sgRNA design to maximize activity and minimize off-target effects of CRISPR-Cas9. *Nat Biotechnol* 2016;34:184-191.
10. Ricano-Cornejo I, Altick AL, Garcia-Pena CM, Nural HF, Echevarria D, Miquelajauregui A, Mastick GS, et al. Slit-Robo signals regulate pioneer axon pathfinding of the tract of the postoptic commissure in the mammalian forebrain. *J Neurosci Res* 2011;89:1531-1541.