



HAL
open science

Finite groups with prime graphs of diameter 5

Ilya B. Gorshkov, Andrey V. Kukharev

► **To cite this version:**

Ilya B. Gorshkov, Andrey V. Kukharev. Finite groups with prime graphs of diameter 5. *Communications in Mathematics*, 2021, Volume 28 (2020), Issue 3 (3), pp.307 - 312. 10.2478/cm-2020-0025 . hal-03665000

HAL Id: hal-03665000

<https://hal.science/hal-03665000v1>

Submitted on 11 May 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Finite groups with prime graphs of diameter 5

Ilya B. Gorshkov, Andrey V. Kukharev

Abstract. In this paper we consider a prime graph of finite groups. In particular, we expect finite groups with prime graphs of maximal diameter.

1 Introduction

In this paper, all groups are finite. The prime graph $\Gamma(G)$ of a group G is defined as follows. The vertex set is $\pi(G)$ the set of prime divisors of the order of G , and two distinct primes $r, s \in \pi(G)$ considered as vertices of the graph are adjacent if and only if there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $|g| = rs$.

We say that the graph Γ is isomorphic to the prime graph of the group G if the vertices of the graph Γ can be marked of primes so that the resulting graph Γ' is equal to the graph $\Gamma(G)$.

The structure of a finite group G such that $\Gamma(G)$ is disconnected has been determined by Williams [6]. All simple groups G such that $\Gamma(G)$ is disconnected have been described in [2] and [6].

The complement of the prime graph of a solvable group does not contain triangles that have been proved by Lucido [3]. In [1] were completely classified of a prime graphs of solvable groups. In particular, the graph Γ is isomorphic to $\Gamma(G)$, where G is a solvable group if and only if the complement of Γ is without triangles and 3-colorable. However, the general question remains open.

Question 1. *When a graph Γ is isomorphic to a prime graph of some group?*

In this paper we consider the diameter of $\Gamma(G)$. We write $d(p, q)$ to denote the distance between elements p and q if they are in the same connected component of $\Gamma(G)$. We define the diameter of $\Gamma(G)$ as follows:

2020 MSC: 20D60

Key words: finite group, prime graph

Affiliation:

Ilya B. Gorshkov – Sobolev Institute of Mathematics SB RAS, 4 Acad. Koptyug
 avenue, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia
 E-mail: ilygor8@gmail.com

Andrey V. Kukharev – Vitebsk State University named after P.M. Masherov,
 Moskovskiy Avenue 33, 210038 Vitebsk, Belarus & Siberian Federal University, 79
 Svobodny pr., 660041 Krasnoyarsk, Russia
 E-mail: kukharev.av@mail.ru

$$\text{diam}(\Gamma(G)) = \max\{d(p, q) \mid p, q \text{ in the same connected component of } \Gamma(G)\}.$$

Lucido [3] proved that $\text{diam}(\Gamma(G)) \leq 5$. Moreover, she classifies all almost simple groups with property that the diameter of its prime graph is equal to 5.

Theorem 1. [3, Proposition 12] *Let G be an almost simple group such that $\text{diam}(\Gamma(G)) = 5$. Then G has the following structure:*

$G \simeq E_8(q) \cdot H$, where $q = p^n$, $q \equiv 0, 1 \text{ or } 4 \pmod 5$, $n = 3^s 5^t$ where $s, t > 0$ and $H = \langle \gamma \rangle$, where γ is a field automorphism of order n .

The main goal of this paper is to describe groups with a prime graph of diameter 5.

A chain graph is a graph without any cycles. Denote by $s(G)$ the number of connected components of $\Gamma(G)$ and by $\pi_i(G), i = 1, \dots, s(G)$, its i th connected component. If G has even order, then put $2 \in \pi_1(G)$.

Theorem 2. *Let G be a group such that $\text{diam}(\Gamma(G)) = 5$ and a, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, c is a chain in $\Gamma(G)$. Then $G = K.S.A$ where K is a nilpotent subgroup, S is a simple group and $A \leq \text{Out}(S)$, $\{a, b_1, b_4, c\} \subseteq \pi(S) \setminus (\pi(K) \cup \pi(A))$. Furthermore one of the following statements holds:*

1. $\text{diam}(\Gamma(G) \setminus \Gamma(K)) = 5$. In particular, G/K is an almost simple group satisfying Lucido theorem.
2. If $b_2 \in \pi(K)$, then $b_3 \in \pi(K) \cup \pi(A)$. The graph $\Gamma(S)$ contains more than 2 connected components and $\{a, b_1, b_4, c\} \cap \pi_1(S) = \emptyset$.

Remark 1. Note that, when G satisfies the statement 1 of Theorem 2, then G/K satisfies the Lucido theorem. Hence, $G/K \simeq E_8(q).H$, where $q = p^n$, $q \equiv 0, 1 \text{ or } 4 \pmod 5$, $n = 3^s 5^t$ where $s, t > 0$ and $H = \langle \gamma \rangle$, where γ is a field automorphism of order n . The existence of groups satisfying the statement 2 of Theorem 2 depends on the validity of the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. *Let S be a finite simple group with disconnected prime graph, $a, b \in \pi_2(S)$ be different primes and $x, y \in S$ be elements of order a and b respectively. If there exists an irreducible S -module M such that $C_M(x) > 1$, then $C_M(y) > 1$.*

It is easy to see that if the conjecture is true, then statement 2 of Theorem 2 is impossible.

Williams [6] and Kondratev [2] described simple groups G such that $\Gamma(G)$ is disconnected. In particular, from this description follows that S is isomorphic $A_1(q)$, where $3 < q \equiv \pm 1(4)$, or simple group of exceptional Lie type.

2 Definitions and preliminary results

Lemma 1. [1, Theorem 2] *An unlabeled graph F is isomorphic to the prime graph of some solvable group if and only if its complement \overline{F} is 3-colorable and triangle-free.*

Lemma 2. [3, Proposition 1] *Let G be a finite solvable group. If p, q, r are three distinct primes of $\pi(G)$, then G contains an element of order the product of two of these primes.*

Lemma 3. [3, Lemma 5] *Let G be a finite simple group. If $p \in \pi_1(G)$, then $d(2, p) \leq 2$.*

For graph Γ we will denote $\overline{\Gamma}$ the complement of Γ . Denote by $t(G)$ the maximal number of primes in $\pi(G)$ pairwise nonadjacent in $\Gamma(G)$. In graph theory this number is called the independence number of a graph. By analogy we denote by $t(2, G)$ the maximal number of vertices in the independent sets of $\Gamma(G)$ containing 2. Let $\omega(G)$ be the spectrum of G ; i.e., the set of element orders of G . Denote by $\omega_i(G)$ the set of $n \in \omega(G)$ such that every prime divisor of n belongs to $\pi_i(G)$.

Lemma 4. [4] *Let G be a finite group satisfying the two conditions:*

- (a) *there exist three primes in $\pi(G)$ pairwise nonadjacent in $\Gamma(G)$;*
- (b) *there exists an odd prime in $\pi(G)$ nonadjacent in $\Gamma(G)$ to the prime 2.*

Then there exists a non-abelian simple group S such that $S \leq G = G/K \leq \text{Aut}(S)$ for the maximal normal solvable subgroup K of G . Furthermore, $t(S) \geq t(G) - 1$, and one of the following statements holds:

- (1) *$S \simeq A_7$ or $L_2(q)$ for some odd q , and $t(S) = t(2, S) = 3$.*

(2) *For every prime $p \in \pi(G)$ nonadjacent to 2 in $\Gamma(G)$ a Sylow p -subgroup of G is isomorphic to a Sylow p -subgroup of S . In particular, $t(2, S) \geq t(2, G)$.*

Lemma 5. [5, Lemma 3.6] *Let s and p be distinct primes, a group H be a semidirect product of a normal p -subgroup T and a cyclic subgroup $C = \langle g \rangle$ of order s , and let $[T, g] \neq 1$. Suppose that H acts faithfully on a vector space V of positive characteristic t not equal to p . If the minimal polynomial of g on V does not equal $x^s - 1$, then*

- (i) *$C_T(g) \neq 1$;*
- (ii) *T is non-abelian;*
- (iii) *$p = 2$ and $s = 2^{2^\delta} + 1$ is a Fermat prime.*

Lemma 6. [7, Lemma 2.12] *Let S be a finite simple group of Lie type. If $r, s, t \in \pi(S)$ and $rt, st \in \omega(S)$, but $rs \notin \omega(S)$, then a Sylow t -subgroup of S is not cyclic.*

3 Proof of Theorem 2

Let G be such that $\text{diam}(\Gamma(G)) = 5$, $a, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, c \in V(\Gamma(G))$ be a path of length 5. The numbers a, b_2, c is a triangle in $\Gamma(G)$. Lemma 2 implies that G is non-solvable. Therefore, $2 \in \pi(G)$. Moreover, since $\text{diam}(\Gamma(G)) > 2$ it follows that $t(2, G) \geq 3$. Using Lemma 4, we get $G \simeq K.S.A$, where K is a solvable radical of G , S is a non-abelian simple group and $A \leq \text{Out}(S)$.

Lemma 7. $S \not\cong L_2(q)$ for odd q .

Proof. Notice, that $\Gamma(L_2(q))$ is a graph with three connected components every of which is complete. In particular, $\Gamma(L_2(q))$ does not contains chains.

The proof is by contradiction. Let $S \simeq L_2(q)$ for some $q = p^n$ where p is odd prime. Assume that $a \in \pi(K)$. Lemma 4 implies that $b_2, b_3, b_4, c \in \pi(S) \setminus \pi(|K||A|)$. Therefore, $\Gamma(S)$ contains a chain of length 4; a contradiction with the fact that $\Gamma(S)$ does not contain chain. By analogy, we can show that $\pi(K)$ does not contain b_1, b_4, c .

Assume that $b_2 \in \pi(K)$. Therefore, $a, b_1 \in \pi((q - 1)/2)$ or $c, b_4 \in \pi((q - 1)/2)$. Let $r \in \{a, c\} \cap \pi((q - 1)/2)$. We have that $L_2(q)$ includes a Frobenius group F with kernel Q of order q and complement K of order $(q - 1)/2$. Let $\overline{G} = T \triangleleft G$ such that $T < K$ and K/T includes a normal Sylow b_2 -subgroup H . Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of \overline{G} . Assume that $P < C_{\overline{G}}(H)$. Since H is a normal subgroup of \overline{G} we have that $X = \langle P^G \rangle < C_{\overline{G}}(H)$. We have that $X\overline{K}/\overline{K}$ is a normal subgroup of S . Therefore, $X\overline{K}/\overline{K} = S$ and $r \in \pi(C_{\overline{G}}(H))$; a contradiction. Therefore, P acts no trivial on H . From Frattini's argument it follows that $N_{\overline{G}}(P)$ includes a r -subgroup T such that $T\overline{K}/\overline{K}$ is a Sylow r -subgroup of S . From Lemma 5 it follows that $C_{\overline{G}}(H)$ contains an element of order b_2r ; a contradiction. By analogy, we can show that $\pi(K)$ does not contain b_3 . Thus $a, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, c \in \pi(S.A)$; a contradiction with Theorem 1. □

Lemma 8. $S \not\cong Alt_7$.

Proof. Assume that $S \simeq Alt_7$. Then a Sylow 3-subgroup of S is an elementary abelian group of order 9. Therefore, for every $p \in \pi(K)$ we have $3p \in \omega(G)$. Using this fact it is easy show that $\text{diam}(G) \leq 3$. □

Lemma 9. If $t \in \pi(K)$, then $2t \in \omega(G)$.

Proof. Assume that there exists $t \in \pi(K)$ such that $2t \notin \omega(G)$. Let $R \triangleleft G$ be such that $\overline{K} = K/R$ includes a normal t -subgroup T . Let $P \in Syl_2(K.S/R)$. We have that P is cyclic or generalized quaternion group. Since PR/R is a Sylow subgroup of S , we see that PR/R is a dihedral group. A finite simple group has a dihedral Sylow 2-subgroup only in the case isomorphic to Alt_7 or $L_2(q)$. From Lemmas 7 and 8 we have that S does not isomorphic $L_2(q)$ and Alt_7 . □

Lemma 10. $b_1, b_4, c, a \notin \pi(K)$.

Proof. Assume that $c \in \pi(K)$. Lemma 4 implies that $a, b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \pi(S) \setminus (\pi(A) \cap \pi(K))$. Since $\pi_n(S)$, where $n > 1$, is a full component of $\Gamma(S)$, we see that $a, b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \pi_1(S)$. From Lemma 9 it follows that $2c \in \omega(G)$. Therefore, $\omega(G)$ does not contain an element of order $2t$ for $t \in \{a, b_1, b_2\}$. Since $a, b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \pi_1(S)$, we obtain $d_S(2, a) > 3$. It is a contradiction with Lemma 3.

Assume that $b_1 \in \pi(K)$. From Lemma 4 it follows that $b_3, c \in \pi(S)$. If $b_4 \in \pi(S)$, then similar as above, we can get a contradiction. Let $b_4 \in \pi(K)$. From the Frattini argument we have that automorphisms groups of Sylow b_1 - and

b_4 -subgroups are non-solvable. Therefore, Sylow b_1 - and b_2 -subgroups are not cyclic, in particular $b_1b_4 \in \omega(K)$; a contradiction. Assume that $b_4 \in \pi(A)$. Hence, $2b_4 \in \omega(S.A)$. Since $S \not\cong Alt_7$ and $S \not\cong L_2(q)$, we see that $2b_1 \in \omega(G)$. Thus $a, b_1, 2, b_4, c$ is a chain in $\Gamma(G)$; a contradiction. Therefore, $b_1 \in \pi(K)$. Similarly, it can be shown that $a, b_4 \notin \pi(K)$. \square

Lemma 11. $\{b_1, b_4, c, a\} \cap \pi(A) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Similar to Lemma 10. \square

Lemma 12. K is nilpotent.

Proof. Assume that $\pi(K)$ includes p, q such that a Hall $\{p, q\}$ -subgroup H of K does not nilpotent. From the Frattini argument it follows that $N_G(H)$ includes a subgroup T such that $T/N_K(H) \simeq S$. Let $X \triangleleft N_G(H)$ be such that a Hall $\{p, q\}$ -subgroup of $N_K(H)/X$ is not nilpotent but for every $X < Y \triangleleft N_G(H)$ a Hall $\{p, q\}$ -subgroup of $N_K(H)/Y$ is nilpotent. Denote by $\sim : N_G(H) \rightarrow N_G(H)/X$ the natural homomorphism. Let $N = N_G(H)$. From definition follows that \tilde{N} includes a normal t -subgroup T , where $t \in \{p, q\}$. Let $r \in \{p, q\} \setminus \{t\}$, $R \in Syl_r(\tilde{N})$. From definition of \tilde{N} follows that R acts no trivial on T . The Frattini argument implies that $N_{\tilde{G}}(R)/N_{\tilde{K}(R)}$ includes a subgroup isomorphic S . Let $x, y \in N_{\tilde{G}}(R)$ be elements of order a and c respectively. Assume that $Z(R) < C_{\tilde{G}}(x)$. Therefore, $\langle x^{N_{\tilde{G}}(R)} \rangle < C_{\tilde{G}}(Z(R))$. We know that $\langle x^{N_{\tilde{G}}(R)} \rangle / \langle x^{N_{\tilde{G}}(R)} \rangle \cap N_{\tilde{K}}(R) \simeq S$. Therefore, $y \in C_{\tilde{G}}(Z(R))$ and $d(a, c) = 2$; a contradiction. We have $x \notin C_{\tilde{G}}(Z(R))$. Similar we can show that $x \notin C_{\tilde{G}}(Z(R))$. Applying Lemma 5 for groups $T.Z(R).\langle x \rangle$ and $T.Z(R).\langle y \rangle$ we obtain \tilde{G} contains elements of order ta and tc , in particular, $d(a, c) = 2$; a contradiction.

We show that for every $p, q \in \pi(K)$ a Hall $\{p, q\}$ -subgroup of K is nilpotent. Therefore, Sylow p - and q -subgroups are commute. Hence, K is nilpotent. \square

Lemma 13. If $b_2 \in \pi(K) \setminus \pi(G/K)$, then $b_3 \in \pi(K) \cup \pi(A)$. The graph $\Gamma(S)$ contains more than 2 connected components and $a, b_1, b_4, c \notin \pi_1(S)$.

Proof. From Lemmas 10 and 11 follow that $a, b_1, b_4, c \in \pi(S)$. Assume that $c, b_4 \in \pi_1(S)$. Since $d(2, c) = 2$ there exists $h \in \pi(S)$ such that $2h, ch \in \omega(S)$. From Lemma 6 follows that a Sylow h -subgroup of S is not cyclic. Consequently $hb_2 \in \omega(G)$. Thus a, b_1, b_2, h, c is a chain in $\Gamma(G)$, in particular $d(a, c) < 5$; a contradiction. We have $c, b_4 \in \pi_2(S)$. Since $\pi_2(G)$ is a full component of $\Gamma(S)$, we see that $b_3 \in \pi(K) \cup \pi(A)$. Assume that $a, b_1 \in \pi_1(S)$. If $b_3 \in \pi(K)$, then similar as above we can show that $a, c \notin \pi_1(S)$; a contradiction. Therefore, $b_3 \in \pi(A)$. In this case we know that $2b_3 \in \omega(S.A)$. Hence, $a, b_1, 2, b_3, b_4, c$ is a chain of $\Gamma(A.S)$. From Lucido Theorem 1 follows that $a, b_1 \notin \pi_1(S)$; a contradiction. \square

The main assertion of the theorem follows from Lemmas 10, 11 and 12. The statements 1) and 2) of Theorem follows from Lemmas 1 and 13.

4 Acknowledgements

The authors are supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project 19-51-04002).

References

- [1] A. Gruber, T.M. Keller, M.L. Lewis, K. Naughton, B. Strasser: A characterization of the prime graphs of solvable groups. *Journal of Algebra* 442 (2015) 397–422.
- [2] A.S. Kondrat'ev: Prime graph components of finite simple groups. *Matematicheskii Sbornik* 180 (6) (1989) 787–797. English transl. *Math. USSR-Sb.* 67 (1990), 235–247.
- [3] M.S. Lucido: The diameter of the prime graph of a finite group. *Journal of Group Theory* 2 (2) (1999) 157–172.
- [4] A.V. Vasil'ev: On connection between the structure of a finite group and the properties of its prime graph. *Siberian Mathematical Journal* 46 (3) (2005) 396–404.
- [5] A.V. Vasil'ev: On finite groups isospectral to simple classical groups. *Journal of Algebra* 423 (2015) 318–374.
- [6] J.S. Williams: The prime graph components of finite groups. *Journal of Algebra* 69 (2) (1981) 487–513.
- [7] N. Yang, M.A. Grechkoseeva, A.V. Vasil'ev: On the nilpotency of the solvable radical of a finite group isospectral to a simple group. *Journal of Group Theory* 23 (3) (2020) 447–470.

Received: 23 October 2019

Accepted for publication: 2 March 2020

Communicated by: Ivan Kaygorodov