

# Insertion of a Contra-Baire-1 (Baire-.5) Function Majid Mirmiran

## ▶ To cite this version:

Majid Mirmiran. Insertion of a Contra-Baire-1 (Baire-.5) Function. Communications in Mathematics, 2019, Volume 27 (2019), Issue 2 (2), pp.89 - 101. 10.2478/cm-2019-0009. hal-03664970

HAL Id: hal-03664970

https://hal.science/hal-03664970

Submitted on 11 May 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Communications in Mathematics 27 (2019) 89–101 DOI: 10.2478/cm-2019-0009 ©2019 Majid Mirmiran This is an open access article licensed under the CC BY-NC-ND 3.0

# Insertion of a Contra-Baire-1 (Baire-.5) Function

Majid Mirmiran

**Abstract.** Necessary and sufficient conditions in terms of lower cut sets are given for the insertion of a Baire-.5 function between two comparable real-valued functions on the topological spaces that  $F_{\sigma}$ -kernel of sets are  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets.

#### 1 Introduction

A generalized class of closed sets was considered by Maki in 1986 [17]. He investigated the sets that can be represented as union of closed sets and called them V-sets. Complements of V-sets, i.e., sets that are intersection of open sets are called  $\Lambda$ -sets [17].

Recall that a real-valued function f defined on a topological space X is called A-continuous [20] if the preimage of every open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  belongs to A, where A is a collection of subsets of X. Most of the definitions of function used throughout this paper are consequences of the definition of A-continuity. However, for unknown concepts the reader may refer to [4], [10]. In the recent literature many topologists had focused their research in the direction of investigating different types of generalized continuity.

J. Dontchev in [5] introduced a new class of mappings called contra-continuity. A good number of researchers have also initiated different types of contra-continuous like mappings in the papers [1], [3], [7], [8], [9], [11], [12], [19].

Results of Katětov [13], [14] concerning binary relations and the concept of an indefinite lower cut set for a real-valued function, which is due to Brooks [2], are used in order to give a necessary and sufficient condition for the insertion of a Baire-.5 function between two comparable real-valued functions on the topological spaces that  $F_{\sigma}$ -kernel of sets are  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets.

E-mail: mirmir@sci.ui.ac.ir

<sup>2010</sup> MSC: 26A15, 54C30.

Key words: Insertion, strong binary relation, Baire-.5 function, kernel of sets, lower cut set. This work was supported by University of Isfahan and Centre of Excellence for Mathematics (University of Isfahan).

Affiliation:

Majid Mirmiran – Department of Mathematics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan 81746-73441, Iran

A real-valued function f defined on a topological space X is called *contra-Baire-*.5 (Baire-.5) if the preimage of every open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in X [21].

If g and f are real-valued functions defined on a space X, we write  $g \le f$  (resp. g < f) in case  $g(x) \le f(x)$  (resp. g(x) < f(x)) for all x in X.

The following definitions are modifications of conditions considered in [15].

A property P defined relative to a real-valued function on a topological space is a B-.5-property provided that any constant function has property P and provided that the sum of a function with property P and any Baire-.5 function also has property P. If  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are B-.5-properties, the following terminology is used:

- (i) A space X has the weak B-.5-insertion property for  $(P_1, P_2)$  if and only if for any functions g and f on X such that  $g \leq f$ , g has property  $P_1$  and f has property  $P_2$ , then there exists a Baire-.5 function h such that  $g \leq h \leq f$ .
- (ii) A space X has the B-.5-insertion property for  $(P_1, P_2)$  if and only if for any functions g and f on X such that g < f, g has property  $P_1$  and f has property  $P_2$ , then there exists a Baire-.5 function h such that g < h < f.

In this paper, for a topological space that  $F_{\sigma}$ -kernel of sets are  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets, is given a sufficient condition for the weak B-.5-insertion property. Also for a space with the weak B-.5-insertion property, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the space to have the B-.5-insertion property. Several insertion theorems are obtained as corollaries of these results.

### 2 The Main Result

Before giving a sufficient condition for insertability of a Baire-.5 function, the necessary definitions and terminology are stated.

**Definition 1.** Let A be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . We define the subsets  $A^{\Lambda}$  and  $A^{V}$  as follows:

$$A^{\Lambda} = \bigcap \{ O : O \supseteq A, O \in (X, \tau) \}$$

and

$$A^V = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left| \{F : F \subseteq A, F^c \in (X, \tau) \} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

In [6], [16], [18],  $A^{\Lambda}$  is called the kernel of A.

**Definition 2.** We define the subsets  $G_{\delta}(A)$  and  $F_{\sigma}(A)$  as follows:

$$G_{\delta}(A) = \bigcup \{O : O \subseteq A, O \text{ is } G_{\delta}\text{-set}\}\$$

and

$$F_{\sigma}(A) = \bigcap \{F : F \supseteq A, F \text{ is } F_{\sigma}\text{-set}\}.$$

 $F_{\sigma}(A)$  is called the  $F_{\sigma}$ -kernel of A.

The following first two definitions are modifications of conditions considered in [13], [14].

**Definition 3.** If  $\rho$  is a binary relation in a set S then  $\bar{\rho}$  is defined as follows:  $x \bar{\rho} y$  if and only if  $y \rho v$  implies  $x \rho v$  and  $u \rho x$  implies  $u \rho y$  for any u and v in S.

**Definition 4.** A binary relation  $\rho$  in the power set P(X) of a topological space X is called a *strong binary relation* in P(X) in case  $\rho$  satisfies each of the following conditions:

- 1. If  $A_i \rho B_j$  for any  $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$  and for any  $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ , then there exists a set C in P(X) such that  $A_i \rho C$  and  $C \rho B_j$  for any  $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$  and any  $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ .
- 2. If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $A \bar{\rho} B$ .
- 3. If  $A \rho B$ , then  $F_{\sigma}(A) \subseteq B$  and  $A \subseteq G_{\delta}(B)$ .

The concept of a lower indefinite cut set for a real-valued function was defined by Brooks [2] as follows:

**Definition 5.** If f is a real-valued function defined on a space X and if

$$\{x \in X : f(x) < \ell\} \subseteq A(f, \ell) \subseteq \{x \in X : f(x) \le \ell\}$$

for a real number  $\ell$ , then  $A(f,\ell)$  is a lower indefinite cut set in the domain of f at the level  $\ell$ .

We now give the following main results:

**Theorem 1.** Let g and f be real-valued functions on the topological space X, that  $F_{\sigma}$ -kernel of sets in X are  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets, with  $g \leq f$ . If there exists a strong binary relation  $\rho$  on the power set of X and if there exist lower indefinite cut sets A(f,t) and A(g,t) in the domain of f and g at the level t for each rational number t such that if  $t_1 < t_2$  then  $A(f,t_1)$   $\rho$   $A(g,t_2)$ , then there exists a Baire-.5 function h defined on X such that  $g \leq h \leq f$ .

Proof. Let g and f be real-valued functions defined on the X such that  $g \leq f$ . By hypothesis there exists a strong binary relation  $\rho$  on the power set of X and there exist lower indefinite cut sets A(f,t) and A(g,t) in the domain of f and g at the level t for each rational number t such that if  $t_1 < t_2$  then  $A(f,t_1)$   $\rho$   $A(g,t_2)$ .

Define functions F and G mapping the rational numbers  $\mathbb Q$  into the power set of X by F(t) = A(f,t) and G(t) = A(g,t). If  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are any elements of  $\mathbb Q$  with  $t_1 < t_2$ , then  $F(t_1) \ \bar{\rho} \ F(t_2), G(t_1) \ \bar{\rho} \ G(t_2)$ , and  $F(t_1) \ \rho \ G(t_2)$ . By Lemmas 1 and 2 of [14] it follows that there exists a function H mapping  $\mathbb Q$  into the power set of X such that if  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are any rational numbers with  $t_1 < t_2$ , then  $F(t_1) \ \rho \ H(t_2), H(t_1) \ \rho \ H(t_2)$  and  $H(t_1) \ \rho \ G(t_2)$ .

For any x in X, let

$$h(x) = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{Q} : x \in H(t)\}.$$

We first verify that  $g \le h \le f$ : If x is in H(t) then x is in G(t') for any t' > t; since x in G(t') = A(g, t') implies that  $g(x) \le t'$ , it follows that  $g(x) \le t$ . Hence

 $g \leq h$ . If x is not in H(t), then x is not in F(t') for any t' < t; since x is not in F(t') = A(f, t') implies that f(x) > t', it follows that  $f(x) \geq t$ . Hence  $h \leq f$ . Also, for any rational numbers  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  with  $t_1 < t_2$ , we have

$$h^{-1}(t_1, t_2) = G_{\delta}(H(t_2)) \setminus F_{\sigma}(H(t_1)).$$

Hence  $h^{-1}(t_1, t_2)$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in X, i.e., h is a Baire-.5 function on X.

The above proof used the technique of Theorem 1 of [13].

**Theorem 2.** Let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be B-.5-property and X be a space that satisfies the weak B-.5-insertion property for  $(P_1, P_2)$ . Also assume that g and f are functions on X such that g < f, g has property  $P_1$  and f has property  $P_2$ . The space X has the B-.5-insertion property for  $(P_1, P_2)$  if and only if there exist lower cut sets  $A(f-g,3^{-n+1})$  and there exists a decreasing sequence  $\{D_n\}$  of subsets of X with empty intersection and such that for each  $n, X \setminus D_n$  and  $A(f-g,3^{-n+1})$  are completely separated by Baire-.5 functions.

Proof. Assume that X has the weak B-.5-insertion property for  $(P_1, P_2)$ . Let g and f be functions such that g < f, g has property  $P_1$  and f has property  $P_2$ . By hypothesis there exist lower cut sets  $A(f-g,3^{-n+1})$  and there exists a sequence  $(D_n)$  such that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n = \emptyset$  and such that for each  $n, X \setminus D_n$  and  $A(f-g,3^{-n+1})$  are completely separated by Baire-.5 functions. Let  $k_n$  be a Baire-.5 function such that  $k_n = 0$  on  $A(f-g,3^{-n+1})$  and  $k_n = 1$  on  $X \setminus D_n$ . Let a function k on X be defined by

$$k(x) = 1/2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 3^{-n} k_n(x)$$
.

By the Cauchy condition and the *B*-.5-properties, the function k is a Baire-.5 function. Since  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n = \emptyset$  and since  $k_n = 1$  on  $X \setminus D_n$ , it follows that 0 < k. Also 2k < f - g: In order to see this, observe first that if x is in  $A(f - g, 3^{-n+1})$ , then  $k(x) \le 1/4(3^{-n})$ . If x is any point in X, then  $x \notin A(f - g, 1)$  or for some n,

$$x \in A(f-g,3^{-n+1}) - A(f-g,3^{-n});$$

in the former case 2k(x) < 1, and in the latter  $2k(x) \le 1/2(3^{-n}) < f(x) - g(x)$ . Thus if  $f_1 = f - k$  and if  $g_1 = g + k$ , then  $g < g_1 < f_1 < f$ . Since  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are B-.5-properties, then  $g_1$  has property  $P_1$  and  $f_1$  has property  $P_2$ . Since X has the weak B-.5-insertion property for  $(P_1, P_2)$ , then there exists a Baire-.5 function such that  $g_1 \le h \le f_1$ . Thus g < h < f, it follows that X satisfies the B-.5-insertion property for  $(P_1, P_2)$ . (The technique of this proof is by Katětov [13].)

Conversely, let g and f be functions on X such that g has property  $P_1$ , f has property  $P_2$  and g < f. By hypothesis, there exists a Baire-.5 function such that g < h < f. We follow an idea contained in Lane [15]. Since the constant function 0 has property  $P_1$ , since f - h has property  $P_2$ , and since X has the B-.5-insertion property for  $(P_1, P_2)$ , then there exists a Baire-.5 function k such that 0 < k < f - h. Let  $A(f - g, 3^{-n+1})$  be any lower cut set for f - g and let

$$D_n = \{x \in X : k(x) < 3^{-n+2}\}.$$

Since k > 0 it follows that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n = \emptyset$ . Since

$$A(f-g,3^{-n+1}) \subseteq \{x \in X : (f-g)(x) \le 3^{-n+1}\} \subseteq \{x \in X : k(x) \le 3^{-n+1}\}$$

and since

$${x \in X : k(x) \le 3^{-n+1}}$$

and

$$\{x \in X : k(x) \ge 3^{-n+2}\} = X \setminus D_n$$

are completely separated by Baire-.5 function  $\sup\{3^{-n+1},\inf\{k,3^{-n+2}\}\}$ , it follows that for each  $n,A(f-g,3^{-n+1})$  and  $X\setminus D_n$  are completely separated by Baire-.5 functions.

# 3 Applications

**Definition 6.** A real-valued function f defined on a space X is called *contra-upper semi-Baire-*.5 (resp. *contra-lower semi-Baire-*.5) if  $f^{-1}(-\infty,t)$  (resp.  $f^{-1}(t,+\infty)$ ) is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set for any real number t.

The abbreviations usc, lsc, cusB-.5 and clsB-.5 are used for upper semicontinuous, lower semicontinuous, contra-upper semi-Baire-.5, and contra-lower semi-Baire-.5, respectively.

**Remark 1.** [13], [14]. A space X has the weak c-insertion property for (usc, lsc) if and only if X is normal.

Before stating the consequences of Theorem 1, and Theorem 2 we suppose that X is a topological space that  $F_{\sigma}$ -kernel of sets are  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets.

**Corollary 1.** For each pair of disjoint  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets  $F_1, F_2$ , there are two  $G_{\delta}$ -sets  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  such that  $F_1 \subseteq G_1$ ,  $F_2 \subseteq G_2$  and  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$  if and only if X has the weak B-.5-insertion property for (cusB-.5, clsB-.5).

Proof. Let g and f be real-valued functions defined on the X, such that f is  $\operatorname{lsc} B_1$ , g is  $\operatorname{usc} B_1$ , and  $g \leq f$ . If a binary relation  $\rho$  is defined by  $A \rho B$  in case  $F_{\sigma}(A) \subseteq G_{\delta}(B)$ , then by hypothesis  $\rho$  is a strong binary relation in the power set of X. If  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are any elements of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with  $t_1 < t_2$ , then

$$A(f, t_1) \subseteq \{x \in X : f(x) \le t_1\} \subseteq \{x \in X : g(x) < t_2\} \subseteq A(g, t_2);$$

since  $\{x \in X : f(x) \le t_1\}$  is a  $F_{\sigma}$ -set and since  $\{x \in X : g(x) < t_2\}$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set, it follows that

$$F_{\sigma}(A(f,t_1)) \subseteq G_{\delta}(A(g,t_2))$$
.

Hence  $t_1 < t_2$  implies that  $A(f, t_1) \rho A(g, t_2)$ . The proof follows from Theorem 1. On the other hand, let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are disjoint  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets. Set  $f = \chi_{F_1^c}$  and  $g = \chi_{F_2}$ , then f is clsB-.5, g is cusB-.5, and  $g \le f$ . Thus there exists Baire-.5 function h such that  $g \le h \le f$ . Set

$$G_1 = \left\{ x \in X : h(x) < \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

and

$$G_2 = \left\{ x \in X : h(x) > \frac{1}{2} \right\},\,$$

then  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are disjoint  $G_\delta$ -sets such that  $F_1 \subseteq G_1$  and  $F_2 \subseteq G_2$ .

**Remark 2.** [22] A space X has the weak c-insertion property for (lsc, usc) if and only if X is extremally disconnected.

**Corollary 2.** For every G of  $G_{\delta}$ -set,  $F_{\sigma}(G)$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set if and only if X has the weak B-.5-insertion property for (clsB-.5, cusB-.5).

Before giving the proof of this corollary, the necessary lemma is stated.

**Lemma 1.** The following conditions on the space X are equivalent:

- (i) For every G of  $G_{\delta}$ -set we have  $F_{\sigma}(G)$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set.
- (ii) For each pair of disjoint  $G_{\delta}$ -sets as  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  we have  $F_{\sigma}(G_1) \cap F_{\sigma}(G_2) = \emptyset$ .

The proof of Lemma 1 is a direct consequence of the definition  $F_{\sigma}$ -kernel of sets.

We now give the proof of Corollary 2.

Proof of Corollary 2. Let g and f be real-valued functions defined on the X, such that f is clsB-.5, g is cusB-.5, and  $f \leq g$ . If a binary relation  $\rho$  is defined by  $A \rho B$  in case

$$F_{\sigma}(A) \subset G \subset F_{\sigma}(G) \subset G_{\delta}(B)$$

for some  $G_{\delta}$ -set g in X, then by hypothesis and Lemma 1  $\rho$  is a strong binary relation in the power set of X. If  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are any elements of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with  $t_1 < t_2$ , then

$$A(g, t_1) = \{x \in X : g(x) < t_1\} \subseteq \{x \in X : f(x) \le t_2\} = A(f, t_2);$$

since  $\{x \in X : g(x) < t_1\}$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set and since  $\{x \in X : f(x) \le t_2\}$  is a  $F_{\sigma}$ -set, by hypothesis it follows that  $A(g, t_1) \rho A(f, t_2)$ . The proof follows from Theorem 1.

On the other hand, Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are disjoint  $G_{\delta}$ -sets. Set  $f = \chi_{G_2}$  and  $g = \chi_{G_1^c}$ , then f is clsB-.5, g is cusB-.5, and  $f \leq g$ .

Thus there exists Baire-.5 function h such that  $f \leq h \leq g$ . Set

$$F_1 = \left\{ x \in X : h(x) \le \frac{1}{3} \right\}$$

and

$$F_2 = \left\{ x \in X : h(x) \ge \frac{2}{3} \right\}$$

then  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are disjoint  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets such that  $G_1 \subseteq F_1$  and  $G_2 \subseteq F_2$ . Hence

$$F_{\sigma}(F_1) \cap F_{\sigma}(F_2) = \emptyset$$
.

Before stating the consequences of Theorem 2, we state and prove the necessary lemmas.

**Lemma 2.** The following conditions on the space X are equivalent:

- (i) Every two disjoint  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets of X can be separated by  $G_{\delta}$ -sets of X.
- (ii) If F is a  $F_{\sigma}$ -set of X which is contained in a  $G_{\delta}$ -set G, then there exists a  $G_{\delta}$ -set H such that  $F \subseteq H \subseteq F_{\sigma}(H) \subseteq G$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Suppose that  $F \subseteq G$ , where F and G are  $F_{\sigma}$ -set and  $G_{\delta}$ -set of X, respectively. Hence,  $G^c$  is a  $F_{\sigma}$ -set and  $F \cap G^c = \emptyset$ .

By (i) there exists two disjoint  $G_{\delta}$ -sets  $G_1, G_2$  such that  $F \subseteq G_1$  and  $G^c \subseteq G_2$ . But

$$G^c \subseteq G_2 \Rightarrow G_2^c \subseteq G$$
,

and

$$G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset \Rightarrow G_1 \subseteq G_2^c$$

hence

$$F \subseteq G_1 \subseteq G_2^c \subseteq G$$

and since  $G_2^c$  is a  $F_{\sigma}$ -set containing  $G_1$  we conclude that  $F_{\sigma}(G_1) \subseteq G_2^c$ , i.e.,

$$F \subseteq G_1 \subseteq F_{\sigma}(G_1) \subseteq G$$
.

By setting  $H = G_1$ , condition (ii) holds.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Suppose that  $F_1, F_2$  are two disjoint  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets of X.

This implies that  $F_1 \subseteq F_2^c$  and  $F_2^c$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set. Hence by (ii) there exists a  $G_{\delta}$ -set H such that  $F_1 \subseteq H \subseteq F_{\sigma}(H) \subseteq F_2^c$ . But

$$H \subseteq F_{\sigma}(H) \Rightarrow H \cap (F_{\sigma}(H))^c = \emptyset$$

and

$$F_{\sigma}(H) \subset F_2^c \Rightarrow F_2 \subset (F_{\sigma}(H))^c$$
.

Furthermore,  $(F_{\sigma}(H))^c$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set of X. Hence  $F_1 \subseteq H$ ,  $F_2 \subseteq (F_{\sigma}(H))^c$  and  $H \cap (F_{\sigma}(H))^c = \emptyset$ . This means that condition (i) holds.

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that X is the topological space such that we can separate every two disjoint  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets by  $G_{\delta}$ -sets. If  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are two disjoint  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets of X, then there exists a Baire-.5 function  $h: X \to [0,1]$  such that

$$h(F_1) = \{0\}$$
 and  $h(F_2) = \{1\}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are two disjoint  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets of X. Since  $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ , hence  $F_1 \subseteq F_2^c$ . In particular, since  $F_2^c$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set of X containing  $F_1$ , by Lemma 2, there exists a  $G_{\delta}$ -set  $H_{1/2}$  such that,

$$F_1 \subseteq H_{1/2} \subseteq F_{\sigma}(H_{1/2}) \subseteq F_2^c$$
.

Note that  $H_{1/2}$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set and contains  $F_1$ , and  $F_2^c$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set and contains  $F_{\sigma}(H_{1/2})$ . Hence, by Lemma 2, there exists  $G_{\delta}$ -sets  $H_{1/4}$  and  $H_{3/4}$  such that,

$$F_1 \subseteq H_{1/4} \subseteq F_{\sigma}(H_{1/4}) \subseteq H_{1/2} \subseteq F_{\sigma}(H_{1/2}) \subseteq H_{3/4} \subseteq F_{\sigma}(H_{3/4}) \subseteq F_2^c$$
.

By continuing this method for every  $t \in D$ , where  $D \subseteq [0,1]$  is the set of rational numbers that their denominators are exponents of 2, we obtain  $G_{\delta}$ -sets  $H_t$  with the property that if  $t_1, t_2 \in D$  and  $t_1 < t_2$ , then  $H_{t_1} \subseteq H_{t_2}$ . We define the function h on X by

$$h(x) = \inf\{t : x \in H_t\}$$

for  $x \notin F_2$  and h(x) = 1 for  $x \in F_2$ .

Note that for every  $x \in X$ ,  $0 \le h(x) \le 1$ , i.e., h maps X into [0,1]. Also, we note that for any  $t \in D$ ,  $F_1 \subseteq H_t$ ; hence  $h(F_1) = \{0\}$ . Furthermore, by definition,  $h(F_2) = \{1\}$ . It remains only to prove that h is a Baire-.5 function on X. For every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have if  $\alpha \le 0$  then  $\{x \in X : h(x) < \alpha\} = \emptyset$  and if  $0 < \alpha$  then

$$\{x \in X : h(x) < \alpha\} = \bigcup \{H_t : t < \alpha\},\,$$

hence, they are  $G_{\delta}$ -sets of X. Similarly, if  $\alpha < 0$  then

$$\{x \in X : h(x) > \alpha\} = X$$

and if  $0 \le \alpha$  then

$$\{x \in X : h(x) > \alpha\} = \bigcup \{(F_{\sigma}(H_t))^c : t > \alpha\}$$

hence, every of them is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set. Consequently h is a Baire-.5 function.

**Lemma 4.** Suppose that X is the topological space such that every two disjoint  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets can be separated by  $G_{\delta}$ -sets. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) Every countable convering of  $G_{\delta}$ -sets of X has a refinement consisting of  $G_{\delta}$ -sets such that, for every  $x \in X$ , there exists a  $G_{\delta}$ -set containing x such that it intersects only finitely many members of the refinement.
- (ii) Corresponding to every decreasing sequence  $\{F_n\}$  of  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets with empty intersection there exists a decreasing sequence  $\{G_n\}$  of  $G_{\delta}$ -sets such that,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = \emptyset$  and for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $F_n \subseteq G_n$ .
- Proof. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). suppose that  $\{F_n\}$  be a decreasing sequence of  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets with empty intersection. Then  $\{F_n^c: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable covering of  $G_{\delta}$ -sets. By hypothesis (i) and Lemma 2, this covering has a refinement  $\{V_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that every  $V_n$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set and  $F_{\sigma}(V_n) \subseteq F_n^c$ . By setting  $F_n = (F_{\sigma}(V_n))^c$ , we obtain a decreasing sequence of  $G_{\delta}$ -sets with the required properties.
- (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Now if  $\{H_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable covering of  $G_{\delta}$ -sets, we set for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $F_n = (\bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i)^c$ . Then  $\{F_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence of  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets with empty intersection. By (ii) there exists a decreasing sequence  $\{G_n\}$  consisting of  $G_{\delta}$ -sets such that,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = \emptyset$  and for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $F_n \subseteq G_n$ . Now we define the subsets  $W_n$  of X in the following manner:

 $W_1$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set of X such that  $G_1^c \subseteq W_1$  and  $F_{\sigma}(W_1) \cap F_1 = \emptyset$ .

 $W_2$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set of X such that  $F_{\sigma}(W_1) \cup G_2^c \subseteq W_2$  and  $F_{\sigma}(W_2) \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ , and so on. (By Lemma 2,  $W_n$  exists).

Then since  $\{G_n^c : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a covering for X, hence  $\{W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a covering for X consisting of  $G_{\delta}$ -sets. Moreover, we have

- (i)  $F_{\sigma}(W_n) \subseteq W_{n+1}$
- (ii)  $G_n^c \subseteq W_n$
- (iii)  $W_n \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i$ .

Now suppose that  $S_1 = W_1$  and for  $n \ge 2$ , we set  $S_n = W_{n+1} \setminus F_{\sigma}(W_{n-1})$ .

Then since  $F_{\sigma}(W_{n-1}) \subseteq W_n$  and  $S_n \supseteq W_{n+1} \setminus W_n$ , it follows that  $\{S_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  consists of  $G_{\delta}$ -sets and covers X. Furthermore,  $S_i \cap S_j \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $|i-j| \leq 1$ . Finally, consider the following sets:

$$S_1 \cap H_1,$$
  $S_1 \cap H_2$   $S_2 \cap H_1,$   $S_2 \cap H_2,$   $S_2 \cap H_3$   $S_3 \cap H_1,$   $S_3 \cap H_2,$   $S_3 \cap H_3,$   $S_3 \cap H_4$ 

and continue ad infinitum. These sets are  $G_{\delta}$ -sets, cover X and refine  $\{H_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . In addition,  $S_i \cap H_j$  can intersect at most the sets in its row, immediately above, or immediately below row.

Hence if  $x \in X$  and  $x \in S_n \cap H_m$ , then  $S_n \cap H_m$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set containing x that intersects at most finitely many of sets  $S_i \cap H_i$ . Consequently,

$${S_i \cap H_j : i \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, \dots, i+1}$$

refines  $\{H_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that its elements are  $G_{\delta}$ -sets, and for every point in X we can find a  $G_{\delta}$ -set containing the point that intersects only finitely many elements of that refinement.

**Remark 3.** [13], [14] A space X has the c-insertion property for (usc, lsc) if and only if X is normal and countably paracompact.

**Corollary 3.** X has the B-.5-insertion property for (cusB-.5, clsB-.5) if and only if every two disjoint  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets of X can be separated by  $G_{\delta}$ -sets, and in addition, every countable covering of  $G_{\delta}$ -sets has a refinement that consists of  $G_{\delta}$ -sets such that, for every point of X we can find a  $G_{\delta}$ -set containing that point such that, it intersects only a finite number of refining members.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are disjoint  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets. Since  $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ , it follows that  $F_2 \subseteq F_1^c$ . We set f(x) = 2 for  $x \in F_1^c$ ,  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}$  for  $x \notin F_1^c$ , and  $g = \chi_{F_2}$ .

Since  $F_2$  is a  $F_{\sigma}$ -set, and  $F_1^c$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set, therefore g is cusB-.5, f is clsB-.5 and furthermore g < f. Hence by hypothesis there exists a Baire-.5 function h such that, g < h < f. Now by setting

$$G_1 = \{x \in X : h(x) < 1\}$$

and

$$G_2 = \{x \in X : h(x) > 1\}.$$

We can say that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are disjoint  $G_{\delta}$ -sets that contain  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , respectively. Now suppose that  $\{F_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence of  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets with empty intersection. Set  $F_0 = X$  and define for every  $x \in F_n \setminus F_{n+1}$ ,

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \,.$$

Since

$$\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n = \emptyset$$

and for every  $x \in X$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that,  $x \in F_n \setminus F_{n+1}$ , f is well defined. Furthermore, for every  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $r \leq 0$  then

$$\{x \in X : f(x) > r\} = X$$

is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set and if r > 0 then by Archimedean property of  $\mathbb{R}$ , we can find  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{i+1} \le r.$$

Now suppose that k is the least natural number such that  $\frac{1}{k+1} \le r$ . Hence  $\frac{1}{k} > r$  and consequently,

$$\{x \in X : f(x) > r\} = X \setminus F_k$$

is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set. Therefore, f is clsB-.5. By setting g=0, we have g is cusB-.5 and g < f. Hence by hypothesis there exists a Baire-.5 function h on X such that, g < h < f.

By setting

$$G_n = \left\{ x \in X : h(x) < \frac{1}{n+1} \right\},\,$$

we have  $G_n$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set. But for every  $x \in F_n$ , we have

$$f(x) \le \frac{1}{n+1}$$

and since g < h < f therefore

$$0 < h(x) < \frac{1}{n+1} \,,$$

i.e.,  $x \in G_n$  therefore  $F_n \subseteq G_n$  and since h > 0 it follows that

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n = \emptyset.$$

Hence by Lemma 4, the conditions holds.

On the other hand, since every two disjoint  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets can be separated by  $G_{\delta}$ -sets, therefore by Corollary 1, X has the weak B-.5-insertion property for

(cusB-.5, clsB-.5). Now suppose that f and g are real-valued functions on X with g < f, such that, g is cusB-.5 and f is clsB-.5. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , set

$$A(f-g,3^{-n+1}) = \{x \in X : (f-g)(x) \le 3^{-n+1}\}.$$

Since g is cusB-.5, and f is clsB-.5, therefore f-g is clsB-.5. Hence  $A(f-g,3^{-n+1})$  is a  $F_{\sigma}$ -set of X. Consequently,  $\{A(f-g,3^{-n+1})\}$  is a decreasing sequence of  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets and furthermore since 0 < f - g, it follows that

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A(f-g, 3^{-n+1}) = \emptyset.$$

Now by Lemma 4, there exists a decreasing sequence  $\{D_n\}$  of  $G_{\delta}$ -sets such that

$$A(f-g,3^{-n+1}) \subseteq D_n$$

and

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n = \emptyset.$$

But by Lemma 3,  $A(f-g, 3^{-n+1})$  and  $X \setminus D_n$  of  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets can be completely separated by Baire-.5 functions. Hence by Theorem 2, there exists a Baire-.5 function h defined on X such that, g < h < f, i.e., X has the B-.5-insertion property for (cusB-.5, clsB-.5).

**Remark 4.** [15] A space X has the c-insertion property for (lsc, usc) iff X is extremally disconnected and if for any decreasing sequence  $\{G_n\}$  of open subsets of X with empty intersection there exists a decreasing sequence  $\{F_n\}$  of closed subsets of X with empty intersection such that  $G_n \subseteq F_n$  for each n.

**Corollary 4.** For every G of  $G_{\delta}$ -set,  $F_{\sigma}(G)$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set and in addition for every decreasing sequence  $\{G_n\}$  of  $G_{\delta}$ -sets with empty intersection, there exists a decreasing sequence  $\{F_n\}$  of  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets with empty intersection such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $G_n \subseteq F_n$  if and only if X has the B-.5-insertion property for (clsB-.5, cusB-.5).

Proof. Since for every G of  $G_{\delta}$ -set,  $F_{\sigma}(G)$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set, therefore by Corollary 2, X has the weak B-.5-insertion property for (clsB-.5, cusB-.5). Now suppose that f and g are real-valued functions defined on X with g < f, g is clsB-.5, and f is cusB-.5. Set

$$A(f-g,3^{-n+1}) = \{x \in X : (f-g)(x) < 3^{-n+1}\}.$$

Then since f-g is cusB-.5, hence  $\{A(f-g,3^{-n+1})\}$  is a decreasing sequence of  $G_{\delta}$ -sets with empty intersection. By hypothesis, there exists a decreasing sequence  $\{D_n\}$  of  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets with empty intersection such that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A(f-g,3^{-n+1}) \subseteq D_n$ . Hence  $X \setminus D_n$  and  $A(f-g,3^{-n+1})$  are two disjoint  $G_{\delta}$ -sets and therefore by Lemma 1, we have

$$F_{\sigma}(A(f-g,3^{-n+1})) \cap F_{\sigma}((X \setminus D_n)) = \emptyset$$

and therefore by Lemma 3,  $X \setminus D_n$  and  $A(f-g, 3^{-n+1})$  are completely separable by Baire-.5 functions. Therefore by Theorem 2, there exists a Baire-.5 function h on X such that, g < h < f, i.e., X has the B-.5-insertion property for (clsB-.5, cusB-.5).

On the other hand, suppose that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two disjoint  $G_{\delta}$ -sets. Since  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$ . We have  $G_2 \subseteq G_1^c$ . We set f(x) = 2 for  $x \in G_1^c$ ,  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}$  for  $x \notin G_1^c$  and  $g = \chi_{G_2}$ .

Then since  $G_2$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set and  $G_1^c$  is a  $F_\sigma$ -set, we conclude that g is clsB-.5 and f is cusB-.5 and furthermore g < f. By hypothesis, there exists a Baire-.5 function h on X such that, g < h < f. Now we set

$$F_1 = \left\{ x \in X : h(x) \le \frac{3}{4} \right\}$$

and

$$F_2 = \{x \in X : h(x) > 1\}.$$

Then  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are two disjoint  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets contain  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , respectively. Hence  $F_{\sigma}(G_1) \subseteq F_1$  and  $F_{\sigma}(G_2) \subseteq F_2$  and consequently  $F_{\sigma}(G_1) \cap F_{\sigma}(G_2) = \emptyset$ . By Lemma 1, for every G of  $G_{\delta}$ -set, the set  $F_{\sigma}(G)$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set.

Now suppose that  $\{G_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence of  $G_{\delta}$ -sets with empty intersection.

We set  $G_0 = X$  and  $f(x) = \frac{1}{n+1}$  for  $x \in G_n \setminus G_{n+1}$ . Since  $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n = \emptyset$  and for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $x \in G_n \setminus G_{n+1}$ , f is well-defined. Furthermore, for every  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $r \leq 0$  then

$$\{x \in X : f(x) < r\} = \emptyset$$

is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set and if r > 0 then by Archimedean property of  $\mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{i+1} \leq r$ . Suppose that k is the least natural number with this property. Hence  $\frac{1}{k} > r$ . Now if  $\frac{1}{k+1} < r$  then

$$\{x \in X : f(x) < r\} = G_k$$

is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set and if  $\frac{1}{k+1} = r$  then

$${x \in X : f(x) < r} = G_{k+1}$$

is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set. Hence f is a cusB-.5 on X. By setting g = 0, we have conclude that g is clsB-.5 on X and in addition g < f. By hypothesis there exists a Baire-.5 function h on X such that, g < h < f.

Set

$$F_n = \left\{ x \in X : h(x) \le \frac{1}{n+1} \right\}.$$

This set is a  $F_{\sigma}$ -set. But for every  $x \in G_n$ , we have  $f(x) \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$  and since g < h < f thus  $h(x) < \frac{1}{n+1}$ , this means that  $x \in F_n$  and consequently  $G_n \subseteq F_n$ .

By definition of  $F_n$ ,  $\{F_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence of  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets and since h > 0,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \emptyset$ . Thus the conditions holds.

#### References

- A. Al-Omari, M.S. Md Noorani: Some properties of contra-b-continuous and almost contra-b-continuous functions. European J. Pure. Appl. Math. 2 (2) (2009) 213–230.
- [2] F. Brooks: Indefinite cut sets for real functions. Amer. Math. Monthly 78 (1971) 1007–1010.
- [3] M. Caldas, S. Jafari: Some properties of contra-β-continuous functions. Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi. Univ. 22 (2001) 19–28.
- [4] J. Dontchev: The characterization of some peculiar topological space via  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ -sets. Acta Math. Hungar. 69 (1–2) (1995) 67–71.
- [5] J. Dontchev: Contra-continuous functions and strongly S-closed space. Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 19 (2) (1996) 303-310.
- [6] J. Dontchev, H. Maki: On sg-closed sets and semi-λ-closed sets. Questions Answers Gen. Topology 15 (2) (1997) 259–266.
- [7] E. Ekici: On contra-continuity. Annales Univ. Sci. Bodapest 47 (2004) 127–137.
- [8] E. Ekici: New forms of contra-continuity. Carpathian J. Math. 24 (1) (2008) 37-45.
- [9] A.I. El-Magbrabi: Some properties of contra-continuous mappings. Int. J. General Topol. 3 (1-2) (2010) 55-64.
- [10] M. Ganster, I. Reilly: A decomposition of continuity. Acta Math. Hungar. 56 (3–4) (1990) 299–301.
- [11] S. Jafari, T. Noiri: Contra-continuous function between topological spaces. Iranian Int. J. Sci. 2 (2001) 153–167.
- [12] S. Jafari, T. Noiri: On contra-precontinuous functions. Bull. Malaysian Math. Sc. Soc. 25 (2002) 115–128.
- [13] M. Katětov: On real-valued functions in topological spaces. Fund. Math. 38 (1951) 85-91.
- [14] M. Katětov: Correction to "On real-valued functions in topological spaces". Fund. Math. 40 (1953) 203–205.
- [15] E. Lane: Insertion of a continuous function. Pacific J. Math. 66 (1976) 181–190.
- [16] S.N. Maheshwari, R. Prasad: On  $R_{Os}$ -spaces. Portugal. Math. 34 (1975) 213–217.
- [17] H. Maki: Generalized Λ-sets and the associated closure operator. The special Issue in commemoration of Prof. Kazuada IKEDA's Retirement (1986) 139–146.
- [18] M. Mrsevic: On pairwise R and pairwise R<sub>1</sub> bitopological spaces. Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie 30 (1986) 141–145.
- [19] A.A. Nasef: Some properties of contra-continuous functions. Chaos Solitons Fractals 24 (2005) 471–477.
- [20] M. Przemski: A decomposition of continuity and α-continuity. Acta Math. Hungar. 61 (1–2) (1993) 93–98.
- [21] H. Rosen: Darboux Baire-.5 functions. Proc. Amer. math. Soc. 110 (1) (1990) 285-286.
- [22] M.H. Stone: Boundedness properties in function-lattices. Canad. J. Math 1 (1949) 176–189.

Received: 15 May 2018

Accepted for publication: 7 July 2019 Communicated by: Karl Dilcher