

# Biodiversity and phylogeny of Cocculinidae (Gastropoda: Cocculinida) in the Indo-West Pacific

Hsin Lee, Nicolas Puillandre, Yasunori Kano, Wei-Jen Chen, Sarah Samadi

## ▶ To cite this version:

Hsin Lee, Nicolas Puillandre, Yasunori Kano, Wei-Jen Chen, Sarah Samadi. Biodiversity and phylogeny of Cocculinidae (Gastropoda: Cocculinida) in the Indo-West Pacific. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2022, 10.1093/zoolinnean/zlac023. hal-03664299

# HAL Id: hal-03664299 https://hal.science/hal-03664299v1

Submitted on 10 May 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



## Biodiversity and phylogeny of Cocculinidae (Gastropoda: Cocculinida) in the Indo-West Pacific

Journal:	Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society
Manuscript ID	ZOJ-10-2021-4781.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	classification < Phylogenetics, deep-sea < Geography, species delineation < Taxonomy, Gastropoda < Taxa
Abstract:	The family Cocculinidae (Gastropoda: Cocculinida) consists of small, usually colorless benthic limpets living primarily at depth below 100 m and on decaying plant or animal remains. These habitats are difficult to sample and the knowledge about their species diversity, biogeography, ecology, and evolution is poor. To explore the species diversity of the Cocculinidae we examined 499 specimens collected from 196 sites, mainly explored during expeditions of the Tropical Deep-Sea Benthos program in the Indo-West Pacific (IWP). To propose species-hypotheses, we used an integrated approach to taxonomy in which we combined DNA-based methods , with morphological, geographical, and ecological considerations. To classify the species-hypotheses into genera, we used a combination of one mitochondrial and two nuclear genes fragments to reconstruct a phylogenetic tree. We then used six morphological characters to diagnose the identified genera. Our results revealed an exceptionally high diversity of the IWP Cocculinidae, with 51 species- hypotheses that were mostly not assigned to available species names. We also discovered a previously unknown type of copulatory structure in the group. At higher taxonomic level, we identified ten main clades within the family. While six of them match to existing genera, four others should be regarded as new genera awaiting formal description

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

2		
3 4	1	Biodiver
5	2	the Indo
6 7	3	
8	Δ	HSIN I FF
9 10	-	
11	5	SAKAH S
12 13	6	
14	7	<sup>1</sup> Institut de S
15 16	,	montal ac 5
17	8	Sorbonne III
18 10	0	Sor bonne Or
20	Q	<sup>2</sup> Institute of
21 22	9	Institute 0j
22	10	3 4 4 1
24	10	JAtmosphere
25 26		-
27	11	Japan
28 29	12	
30	10	
31 22	15	
32 33	14	Running ti
34	15	
35 36	16	
37	17	
38 39	18	
40	10	The family
41 42	10	
43	20	benthic lin
44 45	21	remains. T
45 46	22	diversity, l
47	23	diversity o
48 49	24	explored d
50	- · 2E	Decific (IV
51 52	25	
53	26	in which w
54 55	27	ecological
56	28	combination
57 58	29	phylogene
59	20	r

# Biodiversity and phylogeny of Cocculinidae (Gastropoda: Cocculinida) in the Indo-West Pacific 3

HSIN LEE<sup>1,2</sup>, NICOLAS PUILLANDRE<sup>1</sup>, YASUNORI KANO<sup>3</sup>, WEI-JEN CHEN<sup>2</sup> and SARAH SAMADI<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut de Systématique, Évolution, Biodiversité (ISYEB), Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, CNRS,

Sorbonne Université, EPHE, Université des Antilles, CP 51, 57 rue Cuvier, 75005 Paris, France

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Oceanography, National Taiwan University, No. 1, Sec. 4, Roosevelt Road, Taipei 10617, Taiwan

<sup>3</sup>Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute, The University of Tokyo, 5-1-5, Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa-shi, Chiba,

14 Running title: Biodiversity and phylogeny of Cocculinidae

# ABSTRACT

The family Cocculinidae (Gastropoda: Cocculinida) consists of small, usually colourless
benthic limpets living primarily at depth below 100 m and on decaying plant or animal
remains. These habitats are difficult to sample and the knowledge about their species
diversity, biogeography, ecology and evolution is therefore poor. To explore the species
diversity of the Cocculinidae we examined 499 specimens collected from 196 sites, mainly
explored during expeditions of the *Tropical Deep-Sea Benthos* program in the Indo-West
Pacific (IWP). To propose species-hypotheses, we used an integrated approach to taxonomy
in which we combined DNA-based methods, with morphological, geographical and
ecological considerations. To classify the species-hypotheses into genera, we used a
combination of one mitochondrial and two nuclear gene fragments to reconstruct a
phylogenetic tree. We then used six morphological characters to diagnose the identified
genera. Our results revealed an exceptionally high diversity of the IWP Cocculinidae, with 51

31 species-hypotheses that were mostly not assigned to available species names. We also

32 discovered a previously unknown type of copulatory structure in the group. At higher

taxonomic level, we identified ten main clades in the family. While six of them matched

34 existing genera, four others should be regarded as new genera awaiting formal description.

ADDITIONAL KEYWORDS: classification – deep sea – organic fall – species delimitation –
 sunken wood – wooden-steps hypothesis

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The diversity of the metazoans inhabiting deep-sea habitats remains poorly known with biases towards larger organisms (Costello et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2019; Danovaro et al., 2020) and of some spectacular habitats (notably hydrothermal vents). The organic remains decaying on the deep-sea floor are one of the poorly-studied deep-sea habitats where small and discreet organisms are living (Saeedi et al., 2019; Harbour et al., 2021; Souza et al., 2021). Sunken pieces of wood are carried along rivers to the ocean, drift with ocean currents and then sink to the ocean floor. Seagrass leaves, algal holdfast, bones of marine vertebrates and hardened parts of invertebrates also remain for an extended period of time as sunken organic material (Cunha et al., 2013; Amon et al., 2017; Plum et al., 2017; Soltwedel et al., 2018). They are commonly colonized by invertebrate communities (Samadi et al., 2010; Kano et al., 2016: table 1). In terms of diversity, mollusks (gastropods, bivalves and chitons) constitute the main component of these communities (Turner, 1977; Wolff, 1979; Kiel & Goedert, 2006; Warén, 2011). Among the wood-associated mollusks, some groups have relatives in hydrothermal vents and cold seeps, which are habitats that have been the focus of much of the deep-sea research in the last few decades. Thanks to these relatives, often having large body sizes, they have been more frequently studied. For instance, Distel et al. (2000) suggested that the giant bathymodioline mussels living in vents and seeps have originated from tiny ancestors that were living on sunken woods and other organic falls (the "wooden-steps" hypothesis). This and many subsequent studies (e.g. Samadi et al., 2007; Lorion et al., 2009, 2010, 2013; Fujiwara et al., 2010; Thubaut et al., 2013; Souza et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021) suggested that exploratory efforts still mostly focus on vents and seeps and that this bias had hindered the understanding of evolutionary history of deep-sea mussels. 

63 Among the numerous and small cryptic taxa inhabiting the deep sea, Cocculinidae Dall,
 60 64 1882 (Gastropoda: Cocculinida) encompasses limpet-shaped gastropods that live attached to

primarily organic substrates laying on the ocean floor such as sunken woods, cephalopod beaks and fish and whale bones. They are grazers with a rhipidoglossan radula to feed most probably on co-habiting micro-organisms that decompose these organic remains (Marshall, ["1985"]1986; Lesicki, 1998). Their unique habitats, small sizes and simple shell shapes make Cocculinidae one of the most taxonomically puzzling groups of gastropods. Presently, the World Register of Marine Species, lists 52 valid species classified under seven recognized genera (Moskalev, 1976; Marshall, [1985] 1986; Haszprunar, 1987; McLean, 1987; McLean & Harasewych, 1995; Warén, 1996; Hasegawa, 1997; Leal & Harasewych, 1999; Ardila & Harasewych, 2005; Zhang & Zhang, 2018; Chen & Linse, 2020). 

Although relatively stable for the past 35 years, the taxonomy of the family Cocculinidae, named by Dall in 1882, has undergone significant changes as the exploration of deep-sea habitats progressed. For example, the monotypic genus *Fedikovella* Moskalev, 1976 was described to classify the newly sampled species *Fedikovella caymanensis* Moskaley, 1976 sampled from hadal depth of Cayman Trench in the Caribbean Sea. In the meantime, he proposed five other new genera to revise the classification of the family. Similarly, the monotypic genus Macleaniella Leal & Harasewych, 1999 was described to classify a new species collected from 8595 m at the bottom of Puerto Rico Trench, the deepest point in the Atlantic Ocean. This new species, named Macleaniella moskalevi Leal & Harasewych, 1999 differentiated from other cocculinids in having a unique inner septum in its shell. New data and samples also allowed the revision of the family as well as the superfamily Cocculinoidea (Thiele, 1909). For example, the previously recognized cocculinoid genera Addisonia Dall, 1882, Cocculinella Thiele, 1909, Lepetella Verrill, 1880 and Pseudococculina Schepman, 1908 are now placed in another superfamily, Lepetelloidea (Bouchet et al., 2017).

Despite the establishment of the classification, the monophyly of the defined genera and the phylogenetic hypotheses were not steadily tested, especially not with molecular tools. Strong et al. (2003) conducted the first and only phylogenetic study for the Cocculinidae. They examined 31 morphological characters for 15 cocculinoid species, resulting in a single most parsimonious tree. The monophyly of superfamily Cocculinoidea (Cocculinidae + Bathysciadiidae), family Cocculinida, and the genera Cocculina Dall, 1882 and Coccopigya B. A. Marshall, 1986 were all supported. In contrast, Paracocculina Haszprunar, 1987 and Coccocrater Haszprunar, 1987 were recovered as paraphyletic. Fedikovella and Teuthirostria Moskalev, 1976 collectively formed a monophyletic group sister to all other cocculinids. Members of Cocculinidae have been recorded from all oceans of the world. Their

1 2		
3 ⊿	98	lecithotrophic larvae (Young et al., 2013) suggests limited dispersal ability and many
5	99	geographically restricted species have been defined. In the Indo-West Pacific (IWP), the
6 7	100	focused area of the present study, 30 species in four genera are currently recognized.
8 9	101	Cocculina, the most studied genus of the family, contains 20 IWP species (e.g. Watson, 1886;
10 11	102	Schepman, 1908; Thiele, 1925; Kuroda & Habe, 1949; Hasegawa, 1997; Zhang & Zhang,
12	103	2018). Coccopigya includes seven IWP species with five possible endemics in the waters
13 14	104	around New Zealand (Marshall, [1985] 1986) and two others distributed in the north-western
15 16	105	Pacific including the seas around Japan, Korea and Taiwan (Kuroda & Habe, 1949;
17	106	Hasegawa, 1997). Coccocrater contains the type species C. radiatus (Thiele, 1903) from off
19	107	Sumatra as the only IWP member of the genus. Paracocculina is composed only of two IWP
20 21	108	species, namely P. cervae (C. A. Fleming, 1948) from off New Zealand and P. laevis (Thiele,
22 23	109	1903) from off Sumatra, Indonesia.
24	110	In this study, we aim at exploring the species diversity and phylogeny of the
26	111	Cocculinidae by broadly sampling the upper bathyal habitats in the tropical IWP. As it is clear
27 28	112	that the systematic investigations cannot rely solely, or even primarily, on morphological
29 30	113	examination (e.g. Puillandre et al., 2017; Razkin et al., 2017; Nantarat et al., 2019; Horsáková
31	114	et al., 2020), we here use an integrated approach by combining evidence from morphology,
32 33	115	geography, ecology and DNA-based species delimitation. We then assigned the inferred IWP
34 35	116	species into monophyletic groups or genera based on the molecular phylogenetic
36 37	117	reconstruction of the Cocculinidae. We also examine how useful are the six morphological
38	118	characters in the redefinition of the existing genera and the definition of new genus-level
39 40	119	clades.
41 42	120	
43 44	121	MATERIAL AND METHODS
45	122	
46 47	123	SAMPLE COLLECTION AND SELECTION
48 49	124	
50 51	125	The specimens examined were mostly collected during 21 IWP expeditions of the Tropical
52	126	Deep-Sea Benthos (TDSB) program led by the Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle
53 54	127	(MNHN) and the Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), in collaboration with
55 56	128	the National Taiwan University (NTU) and the National Taiwan Ocean University (NTOU),
57	129	between 2004 and 2018: AURORA 2007, BIOPAPUA, BOA1, CONCALIS, DONGSHA
59 60	130	2014, EBISCO, EXBODI, KAVALAN 2018, KAVIENG 2014, MADEEP, MAINBAZA,

3 4	131	MIRIKY, NANHAI 2014, NORFOLK 2, PANGLAO 2004, PANGLAO 2005, PAPUA
5	132	NIUGINI, SALOMON 2, SALOMONBOA 3, SANTO 2006 and TAIWAN 2013. During the
7	133	listed expeditions (see for more details https://expeditions.mnhn.fr) specific efforts were made
8 9	134	by the on-board scientific teams to collect the fauna associated with plant remains (reviewed
10 11	135	notably in Samadi et al., 2010 and Pante et al., 2012). The sampling covered the areas
12	136	surrounding Taiwan (including the South China Sea and East China Sea), the Philippines
13 14	137	(Bohol and Sulu Seas and the Pacific coast), Papua New Guinea (Bismarck Sea and Solomon
15 16	138	Sea), Solomon Islands (Solomon Sea), New Caledonia (Coral Sea), Vanuatu (Coral Sea) and
17	139	Madagascar (in the Mozambique channel) (Fig. 1). Most specimens were found attached on
18 19	140	organic substrates collected by dredging or trawling at depths ranging from 100 to 1500
20 21	141	meters. Some specimens were collected from organic substrates that were deployed on the
22 23	142	deep-sea floor as traps to attract the recruitment of larvae (Samadi et al., 2010 for more
24	143	details). Additional specimens were collected from areas surrounding Japan and from East
25 26	144	China Sea (Fig. 1; Table S1). We also included several Caribbean specimens from the
27 28	145	expedition KARUBENTHOS 2 from TDSB program available in the collection of Muséum
29	146	national d'Histoire naturelle (MNHN) to increase sample diversity and to assign generic
30 31	147	names to clades; the type species of <i>Cocculina</i> and <i>Macleaniella</i> were described from this
32 33	148	area.
.54		

From the samples collected at 250 sampling stations during these 21 IWP expeditions of the TDSB program, we gathered thousands of "cocculiniform" limpets. Cocculiniforms refer to both of the phylogenetically distant cocculinoids and lepetelloids (Ponder & Lindberg, 1997; Bouchet *et al.*, 2017; Lee *et al.*, 2019), which are barely differentiable by examining the shape and ornamentation of the teleoconch (post-metamorphic shell) alone. Scientific teams on board of research vessels therefore sorted all cocculiniform limpets and preserved them in 95% ethanol. The number of specimens per sampling station was uneven, and these specimens often contained multiple morphotypes with different relative abundances. To cover at best the species diversity, we first sorted the specimens from each station into morphotypes and then selected one to three specimens from each morph (Fig. 2). This resulted in our selection of 709 cocculiniform specimens for genetic and morphological analyses. 

#### DNA SEQUENCING

163 We extracted total genomic DNA from the muscle tissue of 710 specimens using either the

automated extractor LabTurbo 48 Compact System and LGD 480-220 kits (Taigene BioSciences Corp., Taiwan) or the NucleoSpin 96 Tissue kit (Macherey-Nagel, France) with the automated pipetting system epMotion 5075 according to the manufacturers' instruction. We amplified for all or a subset (see below) of these specimens a barcode fragment of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 (cox1), a fragment of the nuclear Histone H3 (H3) gene and a C1–D2 region of the 28S ribosomal RNA gene (28S). We amplified the cox1 fragment for all specimens mainly using the Folmer et al.'s (1994) primers LCO-1490 (5'-GGT CAA CAA ATC ATA AAG ATA TTG G-3') and HCO-2198 (5'-TAA ACT TCA GGG TGA CCA AAA AAT CA-3'). However, these universal primers did not always work and an alternative primer set was designed for the amplification and sequencing: CoccCOI-43F (5'- GGA ACA CTY TAT ATT YTA TTA GG - 3') and CoccCOI-631R (5'- GTN GTA TTR AAA TTT CGA TC - 3'). For a subset of the specimens, we also amplified a fragment of the 28S gene using the C1 (5'- ACC CGC TGA ATT TAA GCA T-3') and D2 (5'-TCC GTG TTT CAA GAC GGG-3') primer set (Chisholm et al., 2001) and a fragment of the H3 gene using the primers H3F1 (5'-ATG GCT CGT ACC AAG CAG ACV GC-3') and H3R1 (5'-ATA TCC TTR GGC ATR ATR GTG AC-3') (Colgan et al., 1998). We performed the PCR reactions in 20  $\mu$ l, using 1–3  $\mu$ l of DNA, 1× reaction buffer, 1.25 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.26 mM dNTP, 0.3 µM of each primer and 1.5 unit of Q-Bio Taq (MPBiomedicals, LLC., USA). We started the PCR with a cycle of 94°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation step (94°C, 30 s), annealing step (51°C for cox1, 56°C for 28S and 53°C for H3, 30 s) and elongation step (72°C, 45 s for cox1, 1 min for 28S and 30 s for H3) and a final elongation step for 5 min (72°C). The purification and sequencing for PCR products were mainly carried out by Eurofins Scientific (France). For others the purification was conducted with the AMPure magnetic bead clean-up protocol (Agencourt Bioscience Corp.) and the sequencing was performed at the Center of Biotechnology, National Taiwan University. The obtained DNA sequence chromatograms were visualized, edited and assembled using CodonCode Aligner v.6.0.2 (Codoncode Corporation, Dedham, MA, USA). The edited sequences were manually aligned and compiled using the software Se-Al v. 2.0 (Rambaut, 1996). However, some H3 sequences (n = 39) presented more than one peak at multiple nucleotide positions of the sequences. We thus suspected a presence of paralogs or 

195 contaminant sequences. To resolve this, we pooled the PCR products of those 39 problematic

- <sup>58</sup> 59 196 *H3* samples to construct a library using the NEB next Library preparation kit (New England

Biolabs, MA, USA) with a single *H3* PCR per tag (Hinsinger *et al.*, 2015) for next generation
sequencing (NGS) under the Ion Torrent system (Life technologies, France). Template
amplifications of the library were performed by emulsion PCR on an Ion OneTouch robotic
system, and the subsequent sequencing was performed by an Ion Torrent PGM sequencer
using Hi-Q chemistry (Life technologies, France). The sequences were demultiplexed *a posteriori* (Hinsinger *et al.*, 2015) and assembled using Geneious R9 (Biomatters Ltd.,
Auckland, New Zealand). To rule out the possibility of contamination, we compared the
obtained sequences using the BLAST search as implemented in NCBI GenBank and
topologies of phylogenetic trees inferred from individual gene fragments.

PRIMARY SPECIES HYPOTHESES (PSHs)

Following Castelin *et al.* (2012) and Puillandre *et al.* (2012b), we defined an integrative
workflow for species delimitation of the sampled cocculinids (Fig. 2).

We first examined the 589 *cox1* sequences that we successfully obtained from 709 specimens. We compared these sequences to each other by reconstructing preliminary maximum likelihood (ML) trees. The data matrix for the ML reconstruction also included GenBank sequences of seven cocculinoids, 12 vetigastropods including nine lepetelloids and one cephalopod (data not shown). We considered that the new sequences represent true cocculinids when they form a well-supported clade with sequences from GenBank attributed to this family. Conversely, we considered that we should probably attribute those grouping with sequences attributed to other vetigastropods to lepetelloids. We also used the same sequences in a BLAST-search to see if there was any cocculinoid sequence in the top five hits (sorted by Max Score). Consequently, we concluded that 498 specimens from 187 of our sampling stations were true cocculinoids (Table S1); the collection sites of these specimens are shown in Figure 1.

We analysed 517 *cox1* sequences including the 498 newly obtained and 19 GenBank
sequences with two methods of species delimitation: Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery
(ABGD) (Puillandre *et al.*, 2012a) and Bayesian Poisson Tree Processes (bPTP) model
(Zhang *et al.*, 2013). We collected from GenBank (listed in Table S1 with available
information on the origin of the sequences) sequences attributed to *Cocculina messingi*McLean & Harasewych, 1995 (AY377731, AY923910 and EU530108), *Cocculina enigmadonta* C. Chen & Linse, 2020 (MN539277–MN539281), *Coccopigya punctoradiata*

(Kuroda & Habe, 1949) (AB365259 and AB238590), Cocculina subcompressa Schepman, 1908 (GQ160744), Coccopigya hispida B. A. Marshall, 1986 (AY296823 from the voucher NMNZ M075188, which is a paratype of the species) and seven sequences unidentified at the species level: Cocculinidae sp. (HG942540), Cocculina spp. (AB238591, AB238592, GQ160743 and GQ160745) and Coccopigya spp. (FM212785 and FM212786). ABGD, an exploratory tool based on pairwise genetic distances, detects if there is a significant gap between inter- and intraspecific variation (the so-called barcode gap). We used the online version of ABGD (bioinfo.mnhn.fr/abi/public/abgd/) with K2P distance (Kimura, 1980) and other default parameters. We also delineated the cocculinids into species with bPTP on the bPTP webserver (http://species.h-its.org/ptp/) with 500000 MCMC generations and the default parameters. We used bPTP, rather than the Generalized Mixed Yule Coalescent method (Pons et al., 2006), by following Tang et al. (2014) who suggested its robustness with a user-specified tree (here a rooted ML tree inferred from a cox1 dataset with all cocculinid haplotypes and two bathysciadiid sequences as outgroup taxa). We then proposed PSHs for clades delimited by both ABGD and bPTP. Finally, we evaluated different lines of evidence to define secondary species hypotheses (Fig. 2). SECONDARY SPECIES HYPOTHESES (SSHs) To decide if we turn the detected PSH into secondary species hypotheses (SSHs) we used additional criteria as described in Figure 2. The main additional sources of evidences were firstly the nuclear genetic data that are unlinked to the mitochondrial data used to establish the PSH, and then the comparisons of the geographic range and the ecological data (depth and habitat) with the sister PSH. The recovery of a given PSH as a clade in both mitochondrial- and nuclear-gene trees strongly 

supports the distinctiveness of the species (Pante et al., 2015). Consequently, we reconstructed phylogenetic trees using 28S sequences (for more details notably on alignment methods see "Phylogenetic inference"). We tried to sequence a fragment of the 28S gene for up to five representatives of each PSH defined with the *cox1* gene and for another specimen from the Caribbean Sea for which we failed to obtain a *cox1* sequence (MNHN-IM-2013-60187; Table S1). The first 415 base pairs of the 28S fragment were too conserved at the species level, but useful at a deeper phylogenetic scale. Consequently, we reconstructed a tree based only on this conserved part (hereafter referred to as the 28S-gene "master" tree) to 

Page 9 of 48

define main lineages. We then reconstructed a subtree for each main lineage by aligning the
entire length of the 28S fragment, which were partly too variable to be aligned with other
lineages (hereafter referred to as the 28S-gene "sub" trees). We regarded a PSH as a potential
SSH when its component individuals constituted a supported phylogenetic clade in the 28S
subtree or if they shared an identical 28S sequence.

The paucispiral protoconch of cocculinids indicates a lecithotrophic larval development and thus a limited dispersal capability (Young et al., 2013). Closely related PSHs separated by a geographic barrier, such as a landmass, an oceanic threshold or current, might have diverged under an allopatric process of genetic differentiation, and we thus combined them into the same SSH. On the contrary, the presence of sister PSHs in the same geographic region suggests the presence of an effective reproductive isolation mechanism. In this case, we maintained the sister PSHs as separate SSHs. We here defined 11 geographic regions based on the distributions of landmasses, boundaries of sea basins and major ocean currents: seas surrounding Japan (JP), East China Sea (ECS), seas surrounding Taiwan plus the South China Sea (TW), seas surrounding the Philippines (PHI), Bismarck Sea (BIS), Solomon Sea (SOL), seas surrounding Vanuatu (VAN), seas surrounding New Caledonia (NC), Coral Sea (COR), sea off North Madagascar (MAD) and Mozambique Channel (AFR) (Fig. 1).

Depth is a major element of the ecological niche of benthic species (e.g. Stuart *et al.*, 2017). In a given geographic area, two closely related PSHs displaying distinct depth ranges have probably distinct ecological niches and were therefore considered as separate SSHs. However, the exact depth of the occurrence of the sampled specimens is difficult to determine because a trawling or dredging operation starts and ends at different depths. To estimate the bathymetric range of each proposed PSH, we followed the method used by Bouchet et al. (2008:15), taking the inner values of the deepest and shallowest stations. For those PSHs that contained only single specimen, we kept the original operating depth range of the station.

In taxonomic literature, cocculinid species are often described as specifically associated to a given type of organic substrate (e.g. Zhang & Zhang, 2018). The field data allowed us to determine on which organic substrates the specimens were collected and thus to highlight a potential association of a PSH to a specific substrate. We considered that sister PSHs associated to distinct substrates may be considered as different SSHs.

BETA DIVERSITY ANALYSIS

To estimate the dissimilarity of cocculinid species diversity among stations from the 11 geographic regions defined above, we used non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) plots based on the Jaccard similarity coefficient with the presence/absence data matrix, implemented in the R packages "vegan" (Oksanen et al., 2019). PHYLOGENETIC INFERENCE WITHIN THE COCCULINIDAE To examine the relationships among the delimited species (SSHs) and to revise the genus-level classification of the Cocculinidae, we inferred phylogenetic trees based on three genes. To complement the cox1 and 28S datasets we employed a H3 dataset obtained using both Sanger and NGS technics. This H3 dataset contained representatives from each SSH, including sequences extracted from GenBank (Table S1). We aligned the cox1 and H3 sequences by eye and the 28S using the automatic multiple alignment tool implemented in MAFFT ver. 7 with default parameters (Katoh & Standley, 2013). We compiled a combined dataset with the cox1, 28S and H3 sequences for a common set of 140 taxa, including two distant outgroups of Neomphalida (Melanodrymia aurantiaca Hickman, 1984 and Peltospira smaragdina Warén & Bouchet, 2001) and the two bathysciadiids used for species delimitation. The dataset included at least one representative of each SSH (Table S1). We reconstructed phylogenetic trees with the partitioned ML method using the cox1 dataset, reduced cox1 dataset for bPTP, 28S master dataset, 28S sub datasets, H3 dataset and the combined dataset implemented in RAxML v.8.0 (Stamatakis, 2014). The GTR+G substitution model was employed for the analyses because RAxML only provides GTR-related models of rate heterogeneity. Partitions were set by genes and for *cox1* and *H3* by codon positions. Nodal support was assessed by bootstrapping (Felsenstein, 1985) with 1000 pseudoreplicates. For the combined dataset, we also performed a Bayesian inference (BI) using MrBayes v.3.2.6 (Ronquist et al., 2012) on the CIPRES Science Gateway (Miller et al., 2010), with eight Markov chains in two parallel runs for 30000000 generations, a sampling frequency of one tree per thousand generations and a heating temperature of 0.02. The convergence of the likelihood scores for parameters was further evaluated using Tracer v.1.6 (Rambaut et al., 2014) to make sure that all ESS values were over 200. To be consistent with the ML analysis, we used the same partitions and the same GTR+G substitution model for the BI method. 

1 2		
3 4 5 6 7	329	
	330	MORPHOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION
	331	
8 9	332	We used four morphological characters to complete the morphological diagnosis of the SSHs
10 11	333	and to guide the required final revision of the cocculinids. The shell shape, teleoconch
12	334	structure, position of the copulatory organ and presence or absence of epipodial tentacles, are
13 14	335	characters commonly used in the literature to describe cocculinid species. We thus divided the
15 16	336	examined specimens into morphogroups by identifying the character states as follows (Table
17	337	S2).
18 19 20 21	338	Shell shape. We classified the shapes of the examined cocculinid shells into round (oval
	339	to conical) or spindle-shaped.
22 23	340	Teleoconch sculpture. Most cocculinid species have a patelliform teleoconch with simple
24	341	radial ribs and fine concentric growth lines (Fig. 3A), radial ribs with pits and periostracal
25 26	342	spines (Fig. 3B), plainly raised radial ribs (Fig. 3C), a clathrate sculpture with concentric lines
27 28	343	more prominent than axial ribs (Fig. 3D) or a strong concentric sculpture (Fig. 3E). Other
29 30	344	cocculinids have a smooth teleoconch with indistinct growth lines only (Fig. 3F).
31	345	Copulatory organ. The copulatory organ of cocculinids is highly variable and has been
32 33	346	considered a useful character for generic diagnoses (Haszprunar, 1987). We observed four
34 35	347	types of copulatory organs at different positions. These were associated with or branched
36 37	348	from the right cephalic tentacle (Fig. 4A), the anterior right corner of the foot (Fig. 4B), the
38	349	right neck under the oral lappet (Fig. 4C) or the right mantle margin (Fig. 4D).
39 40	350	Epipodial tentacle. All cocculinids except Coccopigya species have a pair of epipodial
41 42	351	tentacles on the posterior foot (Marshall, [1985] 1986; Strong et al., 2003).
43	352	We then assigned these groups to the existing genera according to the taxonomic
44 45	353	literature wherever possible (Marshall, [1985] 1986; Haszprunar, 1987; McLean, 1987;
46 47	354	McLean & Harasewych, 1995; Leal & Haszprunar, 1999).
48 49	355	To complement the description of the new genera identified by our molecular analysis,
50	356	we also coded the variability of the protoconch and the radula. These characters can only be
51 52	357	observed under the scanning electron microscopy (SEM). For the preparation of the radula,
53 54	358	we pulled out the entire radular ribbon by hand dissection and treated it with diluted bleach
55	359	until surrounding tissue was completely dissolved. After rinsed several times with distilled
57	360	water, the radula was unfolded and then mounted on a stub. We conducted the SEM
58 59 60	361	observation mainly at MNHN and some at the Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute

(AORI), the University of Tokyo. Protoconch. A reticulate sculpture is dominant in the protoconchs of the Cocculinidae (Fig. 5A). However, in some cocculinids the protoconch shows concentric lines in the first half and smooth in the last half (Fig. 5B). Radula. The rachidian tooth of the cocculinoid radula is characterized by overhanging cusps, which may be present or obsolete (Strong et al., 2003). Then, we distinguished the radula based on two features, the shape of the rachidian and the number of cusps on the rachidian. We defined six distinct types of the cocculinid rachidian tooth: (a) "obsolete", no rachidian observed (Fig. 5C); (b) "acuspate-flat", tip flat without a cusp (Fig. 5D); (c) "unicuspidid narrow", tip narrow with a pointed cusp (Fig. 5E; (d) "unicuspid broad", tip broad and pointed (Fig. 5F); (e) "multicuspidid narrow", tip narrow with more than one cusp (Fig. 5G); and (f) "multicuspid broad", tip broad with more than one cusp (Fig. 5H). RESULTS DATASETS FOR SPECIES DELIMITATION The final alignment of the cox1 dataset contained 657-bp long sequences from 498 sampled cocculinids and 19 GenBank entries, plus two bathysciadiids for rooting. The inferred phylogenetic tree is presented in Figure S1. The terminal taxon labels are composed of two letters, corresponding to eight morphogroups as defined with the four primary morphological characters (shell shape, teleoconch sculpture, conditions of copulatory and epipodial tentacles; Tables 1, S3), followed by a number, corresponding to PSHs (see below), namely MA1– MA12, MB1-MB10, MC1-MC19, MD1-MD8, ME1-ME3, MF1-MF9, MG1-MG18 and MH1-MH2. We aligned 415 bp of the sequences from the 147 samples successfully sequenced (including one outgroup) in the 28S "master" dataset. The inferred ML tree allowed us to reveal seven genetically distinct clades or groups (Fig. S2). Based on this phylogenetic result, we compiled seven subdatasets, including 28S-a (404 bp, 14 samples), 28S-b (816 bp, 39 samples), 28S-c (800 bp, 18 samples), 28S-d (408 bp, 13 samples), 28S-e (731 bp, 7 samples), 28S-f (791 bp, 20 samples) and 28S-g (811 bp, 35 samples). We then added an additional sample as outgroup taxon to each subdataset. However, 18 sequences in 28S-b were too different and we were not able to correctly align them with others. We thus removed them 

1 2		
3 4 5	395	(809 bp, 21 samples left) and put them aside in a new dataset, 28S-h (816 bp, 18 taxa).
	396	Finally, the inferred tree shows eight groups (Fig. S2). Phylogenetic trees inferred from the
0 7	397	28S subdatasets are shown in Figure S3A–H.
8 9	398	
10 11	399	SPECIES DELIMITATION ANALYSES
12	400	
13 14	401	The ABGD and bPTP analyses delimited 89 and 92 PSHs, respectively, 78 of which were
15 16	402	recovered in both analyses. Figure 6 summarizes results from ABGD, bPTP and other criteria
17 18	403	used for species delimitation. Also shown in this figure are the numbers of shell morphotypes,
19	404	which were classified on the basis of global shell morphology, within each morphogroup
20 21	405	(MA–MH). We considered PSHs as separate species when they were reciprocally
22 23	406	monophyletic in a 28S subtree. Some pairs or triplets of PSHs (MG11–MG13; MD2 and
24 25	407	MD3; MA6 and MA7; MA11 and MA12; MC16 and MC17; MF3 and MF4; Fig. 6) shared
26	408	the same 28S sequence, and we conservatively considered such PSHs as a single species.
27 28 29 30 31 32 33	409	Similarly, if two allopatric PSHs were gathered in a same 28S clade we considered them as
	410	geographic populations of single species (i.e. MG2–MG4; MG6–MG10; MD4 and MD5;
	411	MB9 and MB10; Fig. 6A, B). Figure 6 further illustrates how we integrated the different lines
	412	of evidence to make our decisions. Note that in all cases, our final decision was conservative,
34 35	413	i.e. if there was no clear evidence to choose between one single species or two different
36 37	414	species, we decided to choose the single species option. Examples include MC13, MC14 and
38	415	MC15 that lacked 28S data (Fig. 6C). By taking all lines of evidence into account, we inferred
39 40	416	60 SSHs (including one without <i>cox1</i> data but with 28S, morphological and geographic data).
41 42	417	Of these, we recorded 51 from the 187 stations sampled in the IWP. Morphological characters
43 44	418	of each SSH are shown in Table S2.
45	419	
46 47	420	REGIONAL SPECIES DIVERSITY
48 49	421	
50 51	422	We included the 187 IWP stations in our NMDS analysis. The stress value reached close to 0
52	423	(around 0.0007) after 200 NMDS runs, suggesting the presence of outlier stations. This result
53 54	424	was not surprising since some stations contained a single, unique species. For a better
55 56	425	recognition of underlying patterns, we removed 25 dissimilar stations that showed
57 58	426	NMDS1/NMDS2 over 20 or below -20. The re-analysis with 162 stations resulted in the final
59 60	427	stress value of 0.022. NMDS plots showed two main groups. One contained most stations in

the south-western Pacific and Indian Ocean, and part of the stations in the north-western
Pacific; the other contained many of the stations in the north-western Pacific and one station
in the south-western Pacific (Fig. 7).

## PHYLOGENETIC RELATIONSHIPS AMONG SPECIES AND MORPHOGROUPS

The combined dataset of three genes (cox1, H3 and 28S) consisted of 1,421 aligned sites and 140 terminals, including 136 cocculinids, two bathysciadiids and two neomphaloids. The topologies of the inferred ML and BI trees were similar to each other but with a noticeable difference in the position of the clade MH (Figs S4, S5). The ML tree is shown in Figure 8 with reference to PSH names. The Cocculinidae consisted of ten major clades and a single species (*Cocculina messingi*) that did not fall into any one of the major clades. The latter may be due to the quantity of missing data. Seven out of the ten clades were strongly supported with a bootstrap value (BS) of  $\geq$  80% and posterior probability (PP) of  $\geq$  0.95. Six of the seven well-supported clades corresponded to the morphogroups MD, MH, MB, ME, MG and MF. Conversely, the morphogroups MA and MC appeared to be non-monophyletic (Fig. 8). Morphogroup MC consisted of two distantly related clades that were denoted as MCI and MCII; the former was recovered as a sister clade to MB and the latter to MF. One species of MA was distinct from others in the same morphogroup and instead sister to the clade ME. However, this relationship was not supported with a significant BS value (73%) and we cannot exclude that MA is monophyletic. Overall, relationships among the ten major clades were not resolved expect the sister group relationships between MB and MCI (BS = 92%, PP = 1.00), MF and MCII (86%, 1.00) and MG and MF + MCII (90%, 1.00) (Figs 8, S4, S5). 

#### PROTOCONCH AND RADULA

To further diagnose the cocculinid clades we used two morphological characters: the protoconch and radula. However, the protoconch of adult cocculinids are often eroded, potentially due to high dissolution rates of calcium carbonate in the deep sea. Cocculinid radulae are also known to be similar within a genus (e.g. Haszprunar, 1987; Marshall, [1985] 1986; McLean & Harasewych, 1995). Thus, we selected only 21 and 24 representative specimens for the examination of the protoconch (Figs 9, 10) and radula (Fig. 11), respectively. 

Page 15 of 48

 Most protoconchs had a reticulated sculpture, whereas the individuals of clade MD displayed a concentric sculpture in the initial part of shell formation (Fig. 10A–D). The radula showed more variation between and within the clades (Fig. 8). Clade MA had two different types of the rachidian tooth: "unicuspid broad" and "multicuspid broad" (Fig. 11A–D). Among the species assigned to the polyphyletic morphogroup MC, those of clade MCI had two types of "unicuspid broad" and "obsolete" (Fig. 11G-J), whereas two species from MCII shared the same "acuspate-flat" rachidian (Fig. 11K, L). One species examined for clade ME had the rachidian tooth of the "acuspate flat" (Fig. 110). On the other hand, the clades MB, MD, MF and MG were diagnosed by their "unicuspid narrow" (Fig. 11E, F), "multicuspid narrow" (Fig. 11M, N), "acuspate-flat" (Fig. 11P-R) and "obsolete" (Fig. 11S-W) types of the rachidian, respectively. Finally, the only specimen examined for the clade MH had a rachidian tooth that can be classified into the "unicuspid broad" type (Fig. 11X). This specimen was also unique in having unicuspid first lateral teeth; all other radulae examined here had multiple cusps in the first lateral tooth. DISCUSSION 

## SPECIES DIVERSITY IN THE INDO-WEST PACIFIC

The integrative taxonomy as implemented in this study revealed a remarkable species richness of cocculinids with 51 delimited species occurring in the IWP. However, only 29 extant species have been described from the IWP and specimens examined in previous studies originated from a few restricted areas. These include five Coccopigya and one Paracocculina species around New Zealand and off the east coast of Australia (Fleming, 1948; Marshall, [1985] 1986), six Cocculina and two Coccopigya species around Japan (Kuroda & Habe, 1949; Hasegawa, 1997, 2009; Zhang & Zhang, 2018), one Cocculina species around the Philippines (Watson, 1886), one Coccocrater, eight Cocculina, one Paracocculina species around Indonesia (Thiele, 1903; Schepman, 1908) and five Cocculina species off West Africa (Thiele, 1925). Our specimens were collected mainly in the vicinity of Papua New Guinea, the Solomon Islands, New Caledonia and Vanuatu, but also from around Madagascar, in the South China Sea, near Taiwan, the East China Sea and Japan. There is thus a relatively small overlap between our sampling areas and the known distribution ranges of the described cocculinid species. This suggests that many, if not most, of the species we delimited in this

494 study are new to science as discussed below.

Based on our sampling, 21 inferred species seemed to have limited, regional distributions (see Figure 1 for 11 geographic regions defined in this study). Some other species showed wider ranges, but they generally occurred only in adjacent regions. Only a few had wide ranges. For instance, Coccopigva sp. 3 ranged from Madagascar (MAD) in the West Indian Ocean to Taiwan (TW), the Philippines (PHI) and Solomon Sea (SOL) in the West Pacific; nGen4 sp. 2 was collected from the Mozambique Channel (AFR) and the Bismarck Sea (BIS). Coccocrater sp. 7 is distributed in the East China Sea, Philippine waters, Bismarck Sea, Solomon Sea and Vanuatu waters. We found *Paracocculina subcompressa* in Philippine waters, Bismarck Sea, Solomon Sea, Vanuatu and New Caledonian waters (Fig. 6C). This pattern of limited geographic range for most of the identified species is cohesive with the lecithotrophic larvae and the low instantaneous fecundity (less than 40 oocytes) in the life history (Young et al., 2013). Indeed, low connectivity among populations are more frequently observed in deep-sea species with lecithotrophic or non-planktotrophic larvae (e.g. Plouviez et al., 2009; Coykendall et al., 2011; Young et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2015) than for those with planktotrophic larvae (e.g. Castelin et al., 2012; Arellano et al., 2014; Zaharias et al., 2020). However, for such poorly dispersive organisms, interpretation should be made with caution as the sampling bias may also explain the apparent endemicity (Castelin et al., 2012). 

Regional endemicity was observed for most of the IWP cocculinid species we identified. This general trend contrasts with the case of nGen4 sp2 that gathered specimens collected in two distant regions: the Mozambique Channel and the Bismarck Sea (Fig. 6B). The genetic divergence between the samples from these regions was low (i.e. cox1 distance = 0.64%) despite a geographic distance of more than 5000 km between them. Strikingly, we collected this species always on chondrichthyan egg cases and sister to nGen4 sp1 that was collected exclusively on the skeleton of deep-sea corals. We should stress that plant remains are highly abundant around tropical islands, and these were thus well represented in the catches available in the MNHN's collection. Animal remains seem to be much more unevenly distributed on the deep-sea floor and were much rarer in our samples. The two species were never present in the abundant wood material and we can therefore regard them as truly specialized to either egg cases or coral skeletons. Their robust sister relationship (Figs 6B, 8) and vast geographic range of nGen4 sp1 suggest that habitat shift from the plesiomorphic wood to other biogenic substrates has been uncommon in the evolutionary history of the Cocculinidae. We found few specimens of Coccopigya hispida from the specimens collected during the experimentally

Page 17 of 48

527 deployed leaves and bones in New Caledonia (MG13, Fig. 6A; Samadi *et al.*, 2010), but those
528 cases might represent rare, opportunistic use of substrates by an essentially wood-dwelling
529 species. Additional efforts for sampling species associated to animal remains are still required
530 to better understand the ecological radiation of cocculinid limpets.

At the community scale, the sampling stations were divided into two main groups based on cocculinid species composition: one included most stations in the Indian Ocean and south-west Pacific and some stations in the north-west Pacific; the other one contained stations mostly from the north-west Pacific, but also one in the south-west Pacific (experimentally deployed organic materials; Samadi et al., 2010) (Fig. 7). Actually, we found only 13 out of the 51 cocculinid species in both the north-west and south-west Pacific. Physical factors such as ocean currents might play an important role in shaping the community pattern of deep-sea animals (McClain & Hardy, 2010). Deep-sea planktotrophic larvae often migrate from the deep seabed to the photic layer and are then transported across thousands of kilometres across large geographic areas via surface currents like the Equatorial and Kuroshio Currents (e.g. Arellano et al., 2014; Hilário et al., 2015; Yahagi et al., 2017, 2019, 2020). Conversely, most lecithotrophic developers such as cocculinids are probably transported by bottom currents. Although bottom currents generally move slowly across ocean floors (Stow et al., 2002), such currents in the Southern Hemisphere may play an important role to transport lecithotrophic larvae of cocculinid species crossing the oceans. This might explain the homogeneous species composition and wide-ranging distributions of some species in the Indian and south-west Pacific Oceans (Figs 6, 7).

#### CLASSIFICATION OF COCCULINID GENERA

We here provide the first DNA-based phylogeny of Cocculinidae, where ten major clades or lineages are recognized (Fig. 8). Among the ten clades five contain the type species of a named genus, or a species similar to the type, and can therefore be attributed to the following generic names: Coccocrater (MA), Coccopigya (MG), Cocculina (MCI), Fedikovella (MD) and Paracocculina (MF). The clades ME and MH each constitutes an unnamed genus without doubt. The clades MB, MCII and MA1 as independent genera are also plausible, but less certain, partly due to insufficient support values of the tree topology (Fig. 8). We propose the following generic classification for the IWP cocculinids based on the phylogenetic reconstruction and morphological examination in this study (Table 2). These putative new 

genera and relevant species will be named and described in a subsequent study that notablyrequires examining the type specimens of all valid names of cocculinids.

#### 563 Cocculina

The species in the genus *Cocculina* as traditionally defined can be diagnosed from other cocculinids by having a copulatory organ originated from the oral lappet (Marshall, [1985] 1986; Haszprunar, 1987; McLean & Harasewych, 1995; Strong *et al.*, 2003). This particular condition was observed in the specimens of morphogroups MB (9 species), MCI (5 species) and MCII (8 species). However, we consider that MCI alone represents *Cocculina* s.s. with specimens identified as the type species *C. rathbuni* (MC12, collected in the waters off Guadeloupe, French Caribbean, at depths of 600–900 m) in this clade (Fig. 8).

Morphogroup MB, recovered as the sister clade to MCI (*Cocculina s.s.*), mostly shows a unique, spindle shape of the shell and a teleoconch sculpture with plainly raised radial ribs (Table 1; Fig. 3C). These conditions are found in two described species, Cocculina emsoni McLean & Harasewych, 1995 from around the Bahamas and Cocculina angulata Watson, 1886 from the Philippines. However, our MB specimens collected from Caribbean Sea (MB3) have a narrow, oval shell shape compared to C. emsoni and MB specimens from IWP. Our MB specimens and *C. emsoni* further share the loose reticulate sculpture of the protoconchs (Fig. 10D) and narrow-shaped rachidian of the radula (Fig. 11E, F; McLean & Harasewych, 1995). Cocculina angulata, known only from the shell (Watson, 1886), also agrees with conditions in many MB specimens, but we cannot robustly identify it with any one of our species, including MB8 from the Philippines. We consider that the monophyletic nature and morphological uniqueness may warrant a new genus (nGen3) for this group, but its relationship with MCI (Cocculina s.s.) remains to be solved (Figs 6B, 10).

Although MCII is phylogenetically distant from MCI, their specimens are similar to each other in external morphology. However, the two groups have different rachidian teeth of the radula. The specimens of MCII have an "acuspate-flat" type (Fig. 11K, L) similar to those in its sister clade MF (Fig. 11P-R). On the contrary, the rachidians in MCI and its sister lineage MB are of different types: the former being "acuspate-flat," "unicuspid broad" or "obsolete" (Fig. 11G–J), while the latter are "unicuspid narrow" (Fig. 11E, F). However, we examined only two radulae from MCII and more data are needed to confirm these as diagnostic traits of different genera. Regarding the bathymetric distribution, the specimens of MCI were sampled at shallower depths (50–365 m deep) than those of MCII (105–900 m) and MB (170–1250 m) 

(Fig. 6). This might further support the taxonomic uniqueness of the clade MCII as another new genus (nGen1). The previous morphology based cocculinid phylogeny by Strong et al. (2003) did not detected this putative genus within Cocculina probably as a result of the geographic sampling centred in the Atlantic Ocean.

The phylogenetic positions of *Cocculina messingi* and *C. enigmadonta* Chen & Linse, 2020 are both unresolved. The former was grouped with the clade MA1 + ME without support (Fig. 8); the latter was sister to C. messingi in the cox1-based ML tree, but again without support (Fig. 6C). As we only get *Cox1* sequences for these two species, these affinities are uncertain. The type specimen of C. messingi was collected around the Bahamas at 412 m deep. Its copulatory organ originated from the right oral lappet conforms to the diagnosis of *Cocculina s.l.*, but its teleoconch with raised concentric growth lines and fine radial striae (McLean & Harasewych, 1995: fig. 12) is similar to the concentric sculpture we classified (Fig. 3D), which can only be observed in nGen4 sp4 (Table S2). Cocculina enigmadonta is the only cocculinid species found so far in the Southern Ocean, and also the only one from the hydrothermal vent environment (Chen & Linse, 2020). It has a unique type of the radula and a copulatory organ similar to that of *Paracocculina* (Chen & Linse, 2020: fig. 5C). We thus leave C. messingi and C. enigmadonta in Cocculina s.l., waiting for more data for future reclassification.

Among other IWP species described under this genus, *Cocculina japonica* Dall, 1907 was easily recognized in our material and fell into Cocculina s.s. (MCI) in the present study. The information available in the species descriptions of C. capulus Thiele, 1925, C. dofleini Thiele, 1925, C. fragilis Thiele, 1925, C. pellita Thiele, 1925, C. similis Thiele, 1925 and C. vestita Thiele, 1925, did not allow us to confidently attribute them to our SSHs or to the clades identified here. Seven species names proposed by Schepman (1908) for Indonesian material were also difficult to link to our specimens, because the original descriptions were based mainly on the shell and little on radular morphology. Cocculina ovata Schepman, 1908 might be an exception. McLean (1987) attributed numerous specimens collected at 187-210 m deep in the Philippines to this species. Among our SSHs from similar depths of neighbouring areas, the one made up of MC19 (Fig. 6C) showed the conchological features of C. ovata redescribed by McLean (1987), perhaps suggesting its identity at the species level. The shape of rachidian tooth of MC18 (Fig. 11I) did not exactly match the condition described for C. ovata by Schepman (1908) and McLean (1987). However, this inconsistency 

might have resulted from worn teeth or different angles in SEM shots and examination of more radulae is needed to confirm our species identification. Five more species have been described from the IWP under the genus Cocculina: C. pacifica Kuroda & Habe, 1949, C. tosaensis Kuroda & Habe, 1949, C. surugaensis Hasegawa, 1997 and C. tenuitesta Hasegawa, 1997, all on sunken wood off Japanese coasts, and C. delphinicula Zhang & Zhang, 2018 from a dolphin skull at a depth of 300–400 m in the East China Sea (Zhang & Zhang, 2018). Although none of them were found in our material, we believe that the first species should be moved from the genus to either Fedikovella ("C." pacifica; see below) or Lepetellidae ("C." tosaensis; see Hasegawa, 1997: 65). The sequences we gathered from GenBank similarly illustrated the difficulty of the morphological identification at both genus and species levels. Examples include published sequences attributed to Coccopigya punctoradiata (Table S1) that were clustered with an entirely different species (MC5) in a potential new genus (nGen1). Coccopigya *Coccopigya* is the most easily recognized genus in Cocculinidae. It is distinguished from other cocculinids by having periostracal spines and by lacking epipodial tentacles (Marshall, [1985] 1986; Haszprunar, 1987). All specimens attributed to MG, corresponding to nine distinct species (Fig. 6A), displayed both conditions and were thus considered as the members of Coccopigya (Tables 1, 2). Specimens of MG2–MG4 and MG15 were identified respectively as Coccopigya punctoradiata (Kuroda & Habe, 1949) and Coccopigya okutanii Hasegawa, 1997 based on their morphology and collection sites (Japan). The specimens of MG11–MG13, gathered into a single SSH, were attributed to Coccopigya hispida based on a published cox1 sequence from a paratype of the species (AY296823, voucher NMNZ M075188) (Fig. 6A). Coccocrater The use of the right cephalic tentacle as a copulatory organ is considered plesiomorphic within the Cocculinoidea and shared by the species of Coccocrater, Coccopigya, Fedikovella, Macleaniella and Teuthirostria (Table 2; Strong et al., 2003). This condition was observed in morphogroups MA, MD, MG and MH (Table 1; Fig. 6). Of these, MD and MG were attributed to Fedikovella and Coccopigya, respectively, and MH to an undescribed genus with ecological uniqueness (see below). The morphological and ecological features of 

*Macleaniella* and *Teuthirostria* do not match any of our specimens (see section *Macleaniella*and *Teuthirostria*). We thus consider morphogroup MA as *Coccocrater*.

660 The occurrence of *Coccocrater* in the IWP region, including the type species *C. radiatus* 661 (with a type locality off western Sumatra), suggests a generic identity for one or both of the 662 two MA lineages. As we cannot exclude that MA is monophyletic (see above) and the 663 original description of *C. radiatus* by Thiele (1903) was too simple to be linked to any of our 664 SSHs, both lineages are assigned here to *Coccocrater* with a possibility of establishing a new 665 genus in future pending more data on their relationships and the type specimen of *C. radiatus*.

#### 667 Fedikovella

The genus Fedikovella contains two described species. The type species Fedikovella *caymanensis* Moskalev, 1976 was described from a hadal depth (6800 m) in the Cayman Trough. Fedikovella beanii (Dall, 1882) was described from the north-western part of the Atlantic (lectotype USNM 333751 from 613 m deep, off Martha's Vineyard Island, Massachusetts) and has been collected from the Lesser Antilles and Martinique at around 400 m to 1000 m deep (McLean & Harasewych, 1995). The concentric sculpture of the protoconch and reticulate ribs of the teleoconch are diagnostic characters of the genus (Moskalev, 1976; Marshall, [1985] 1986; McLean & Harasewych, 1995; Leal & Harasewych, 1999). The right cephalic tentacle of F. caymanensis is supposedly used as a copulatory organ but not hypertrophied or modified (Leal & Harasewych, 1999). The rachidian, first and second lateral teeth are all multicuspidid (Leal & Harasewych, 1999). These conditions were found in our specimens of the clade MD (Tables 1, 2; Figs 10A–D, 11M, N). 

An additional MD specimen from the Lesser Antilles at 500–550 m deep (MNHN-IM-2013-60187; Table S1) was grouped with the IWP specimens in the 28S analysis (Fig. S2), supporting the identity of this clade as *Fedikovella* from a geographic perspective. This clade also included species from off Faro, Portugal (M-unknown1, AORI YK1662) and from off Costa Rica, east Pacific (M-unknown2, SMNH-108733) (Fig. 8). "Cocculina" pacifica described from off Japan should likewise be attributed to *Fedikovella* based on its shell, radula and copulatory organ (Kuroda & Habe, 1949: pl. 3, fig. 5; Hasegawa, 1997: fig. 5). Fedikovella thus occupies a wide range, both geographically (worldwide) and bathymetrically (bathyal to hadal zones). We should stress that Strong et al. (2003) found Fedikovella + *Teuthirostria* as sister to the rest of the cocculinids, but this sister group relationship 

690 (*Fedikovella versus* all other examined cocculinids, as we did not include *Teuthirostria* in the691 analysis) is only weakly supported in the molecular tree we obtained.

#### *Paracocculina*

Paracocculina contains two named species: the type species P. laevis collected from off west of Sumatra Island at 614 m deep (Thiele, 1903) and P. cervae from New Zealand in a depth range of 18–891 m (Marshall, [1985] 1986; Haszprunar, 1987). Marshall (1994) recorded *P. cervae* from wood, whale bones and sunken algal holdfasts. This genus can be differentiated from other cocculinids by their foot-originated copulatory organ (Haszprunar, 1987; but see Strong et al., 2003: 122), a condition observed in all our specimens attributed to the clade MF (Fig. 4B; Tables 1, S2). The MF specimens were collected from a wide geographic area, ranging from the western Indian Ocean (Madagascar) to the western Pacific (New Caledonia). Although we did not survey west off Sumatra with the type locality of P. laevis, the identity of the clade MF as Paracocculina seems to be well justified with the unique position of the copulatory organ. 

Among GenBank data the sequence GQ160744 (Table S1; MNHN-IM-2009-5054 from the Solomon Sea) was grouped with MF9 (Fig. S1). This GenBank sequence has been attributed to "Cocculina" subcompressa, a species described from south-west of Timor Island (216 m; Schepman, 1908). The widespread distribution of MF7–MF9, ranging from the Philippines to New Caledonia, and from 350 m to 1000 m deep (Fig. 6C), may potentially justify the species identification (as *Paracocculina subcompressa*). Unfortunately, Schepman (1908) did not mention the position of the copulatory organ in the type material and our identification thus remains tentative. 

43 713 

714 Clades ME and MH

Clade ME contained three species from the Bismarck Sea, the Solomon Sea and Vanuatu (Fig. 6A). These species displayed a remarkably unique position of a presumed copulatory organ on the right mantle margin close to the head (Table 1; Fig. 4D). No-one has yet reported this type of copulatory organ for any cocculinids. Further examination of the anatomy and the histology is thus mandatory. The phylogenetic position (Fig. 8) combined with the morphology suggests to treat this clade as a new genus (nGen2) awaiting formal description. Clade MH contained specimens from "non-wooden" habitats. The specimens of MH1 were found on nodes of deep-sea bamboo corals (Isididae), which are formed by a specific 

protein matrix (Ehrlich *et al.*, 2006), whereas MH2 were on the egg cases of chondrichthyes
(sharks and/or rays). We consider that such unique habitats and independent phylogenetic
position they occupy (Fig. 8) justify erection of another new genus (nGen4).

#### 727 Macleaniella and Teuthirostria

These cocculinid genera were established for species from abyssal or hadal depths (Moskalev, 1976; Leal & Harasewych, 1999). *Macleaniella moskalevi* is the monotypic species of the genus, known exclusively from the lower abyssal and hadal zones of the Puerto Rico Trench (5179–8595 m). It is easily recognized by its large internal septum of the shell as a unique character within Cocculinidae (Leal & Harasewych, 1999). *Teuthirostria cancellata* Moskalev, 1976, also the type and only species of the genus, was collected from a dead cephalopod beak on 5200–5540 m bottom off northern Peru (Moskalev, 1976). This species was recovered as a sister group to *Fedikovella* by morphology (Strong *et al.*, 2003). We did not find any IWP specimen that was comparable in morphological or ecological characteristics, suggesting that the two genera are endemic to the Atlantic (*Macleaniella*) or the East Pacific (*Teuthirostria*), or that they are restricted to the lower abyssal and hadal zones.

### CONCLUSIONS

Our study based on broadly sampled material, constitute so far, the most comprehensive
biodiversity survey on cocculinid limpets. We identified 51 species from organic falls on the
deep-sea floor in the Indo-West Pacific. These included six named species (*Coccopigya punctoradiata*, *Coccopigya hispida*, *Coccopigya okutanii*, *Cocculina japonica*, *Cocculina ovata* and *Paracocculina subcompressa*) and 45 other, presumably new, species of the family.
We inferred the phylogenetic relationships among cocculinids for the first time based on a
multigene dataset. We recognized five named and four unnamed genera: *Cocculina* (8
species), *Coccocrater* (7), *Coccopigya* (9), *Fedikovella* (5), *Paracocculina* (6), new genus 1
(nGen1: 8), nGen2 (3), nGen3 (8) and nGen4 (2); diagnostic morphological and ecological
traits are summarized for all genera in Table 2. These numbers of genera and species are
much higher than previously recognized in the Indo-West Pacific, although a larger part of the
oceans of the world remains to be sampled. We thus estimate that additional sampling efforts
will reveal more taxa, especially given the inherent patchiness of their habitats. Drawing a full
picture of the diversity of this perplexing animal group requires further research into other

2 3		
4 5 6	757	oceans and habitats.
	758	
7	759	DATA AVAILABILITY
8 9	760	
10 11	761	The data underlying this article are available in the article and its online supplementary
12	762	material, and in the GenBank Nucleotide Database at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/
13 14	763	and can be accessed with the GenBank accession numbers OL800712-OL801212 and
15 16	764	OL956554–OL956700.
17	765	
18 19	766	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
20 21	767	
22	768	We thank all PIs and participants of the "Tropical Deep-Sea Benthos" expeditions AURORA
23 24	769	2007, BIOPAPUA, BOA1, CONCALIS, DONGSHA 2014, EBISCO, EXBODI, KAVALAN
25	770	2018, KAVIENG 2014, MADEEP, MAINBAZA, KARUBENTHOS 2, MIRIKY, NANHAI
26 27	771	2014, NORFOLK 2, PANGLAO 2004, PANGLAO 2005, PAPUA NIUGINI, SALOMON 2,
28 20	772	SALOMONBOA 3 and TAIWAN 2013 conducted by MNHN and IRD, but also NTU and
29 30	773	NTOU for Taiwanese expeditions. Additional to MNHN, IRD, NTU and NTOU supports,
31 32	774	some of these expeditions were conducted with financial supports notably from Flotte
33	775	Océanographique Française (DOI numbers: 10.17600/15005400, 10.17600/10100040,
34 35	776	10.17600/5100060, 10.17600/8100010, 0.17600/5100080, 10.17600/11100080,
36	777	10.17600/14004400, 10.17600/14004000, 10.17600/3100030, 10.17600/18000841,
37 38 39	778	10.17600/4100090, 10.17600/7100070), INEE-CNRS and Labex BCDiv (ANR-10-LABX-
	779	0003-BCDiv). We thank B. Buge for the curation of specimens; J. Abdelkrim, A. Dettaï and
40 41	780	all staff from the Service de Systématique Moléculaire (SSM-UMS2700) for their help in
42	781	managing and analysing molecular data; L. Genio and M. Cunha, University of Aveiro, for a
43 44	782	specimen from the expedition James Cook 10 during the EU Hermes Project; A. Warén, P.
45	783	Bouchet, V. Héros, P. Lozouet, P. Maestrati, L. Galindo, M. Caballer for discussion and
46 47	784	collection management; S. Pont, P. Maestrati and L. Corbari for SEM shots. This research
48	785	was mainly supported by research funding from the Ministry of Science and Technology,
49 50	786	Taiwan (MOST 102- 2923-B-002-001-MY3, MOST 107-2611-M-002-007-, MOST 108-
51	787	2611-M-002-012-MY2 and MOST 110-2611-M-002-013- to W-J Chen), the French National
52 53	788	Research Agency (ANR 12-ISV7-0005-01 to S Samadi) and Japan Society for the Promotion
54	789	of Science (KAKENHI 18H02494 and 19KK0385 to Y Kano).
55 56	790	
57 58	791	REFERENCES
59 60	792	

2 3		
4 5 6	793	Amon DJ, Copley JT, Dahlgren TG, Horton T, Kemp KM, Rogers AD, Glover AG.
	794	<b>2017.</b> Observations of fauna attending wood and bone deployments from two
7	795	seamounts on the southwest Indian Ridge. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies
8 9	796	in Oceanography 136: 122–132.
10 11	797	Ardila NE, Harasewych MG. 2005. Cocculinid and pseudococculinid limpets (Gastropoda:
12 13	798	Cocculiniformia) from off the Caribbean coast of Colombia. Proceedings of the
14	799	Biological Society of Washington 118: 344–366.
15 16	800	Arellano SM, Van Gaest AL, Johnson SB, Vrijenhoek RC, Young CM. 2014. Larvae
17 18	801	from deep-sea methane seeps disperse in surface waters. Proceedings of the Royal
19 20 21 22 23 24	802	Society B: Biological Sciences 281: 20133276.
	803	Bouchet P, Héros V, Lozouet P, Maestrati P. 2008. A quarter-century of deep-sea
	804	malacological exploration in the south and west Pacific: where we do we stand? How
	805	far to go? In: Héros V, Cowie RH, Bouchet P, eds. Tropical deep-sea benthos 25.
25 26	806	Paris: Mémoires du Museum national d'Histoire naturelle, 9-40.
27 28	807	Bouchet P, Rocroi J-P, Hausdorf B, Kaim A, Kano Y, Nützel A, Parkhaev P, Schrödl M,
29 30	808	Strong EE. 2017. Revised classification, nomenclator and typification of gastropod
31	809	and monoplacophoran families. <i>Malacologia</i> <b>61</b> : 1–526.
32 33	810	Castelin M, Lorion J, Brisset J, Cruaud C, Maestrati P, Utge J, Samadi S. 2012.
34 35	811	Speciation patterns in gastropods with long-lived larvae from deep-sea seamounts.
36	812	Molecular Ecology 21: 4828–4853.
38	813	Chen C, Linse K. 2020. From wood to vent: first cocculinid limpet associated with
39 40	814	hydrothermal activity discovered in the Weddell Sea. Antarctic Science 32: 354–366.
41 42	815	Chen C, Copley JT, Linse K, Rogers AD. 2015. Low connectivity between 'scaly-foot
43	816	gastropod' (Mollusca: Peltospiridae) populations at hydrothermal vents on the
44 45	817	southwest Indian Ridge and the central Indian Ridge. Organisms Diversity &
46 47	818	<i>Evolution</i> <b>15:</b> 663–670.
48 49	819	Chisholm LA, Morgan JAT, Adlard RD, Whittington ID. 2001. Phylogenetic analysis of
<del>5</del> 0	820	the Monocotylidae (Monogenea) inferred from 28S rDNA sequences. International
51 52	821	Journal for Parasitology <b>31:</b> 1537–1547.
53 54	822	Colgan DJ, McLauchlan A, Wilson GDF, Livingston SP, Edgecombe GD, Macaranas J,
55	823	Cassis G, Gray MR. 1998. Histone H3 and U2 snRNA DNA sequences and
56 57	824	arthropod molecular evolution. Australian Journal of Zoology 46: 419–437.
58 59	825	Costello MJ, Coll M, Danovaro R, Halpin P, Ojaveer H, Miloslavich P. 2010. A census of
60	826	marine biodiversity knowledge, resources, and future challenges. <i>PloS One</i> <b>5</b> : e12110.

3 4	827	Coykendall DK, Johnson SB, Karl SA, Lutz RA, Vrijenhoek RC. 2011. Genetic diversity
5	828	and demographic instability in Riftia pachyptila tubeworms from eastern Pacific
7	829	hydrothermal vents. BMC Evolutionary Biology 11: 96.
8 9	830	Cunha MR, Matos FL, Génio L, Hilário A, Moura CJ, Ravara A, Rodrigues CF. 2013.
10 11	831	Are organic falls bridging reduced environments in the deep sea? – Results from
12	832	colonization experiments in the Gulf of Cádiz. PLoS One 8: e76688.
13 14	833	Dall WH. 1882. On certain limpets and chitons from the deep waters off the eastern coast of
15 16	834	the United States. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 4: 400–414.
17	835	Dautzenberg P, Fischer H. 1900. Description d'un mollusque nouveau. Bulletin de la
18 19	836	Société Zoologique de France 24: 207–209.
20 21	837	Distel DL, Baco AR, Chuang E, Morrill W, Cavanaugh C, Smith CR. 2000. Do mussels
22 23	838	take wooden steps to deep-sea vents? Nature 403: 725–726.
24	839	Danovaro R, Fanelli E, Canals M, Ciuffardi T, Fabri MC, Taviani M, Argyrou M,
25 26	840	Azzurro E, Bianchelli S, Cantafaro A, Carugati L, Corinaldesi C, De Haan WP,
27	841	Dell'Anno A, Evans J, Foglini F, Galil B, Gianni M, Goren M, Grecob S, Grimalt
28 29	842	J, Güell-Bujons Q, Jadaud A, Knittweis L, Lopez JL, Sanchez-Vidal A,
30	843	Schembrij PJ, Snelgrove P, Vaz S, the IDEM Consortium, Angeletti L, Barsanti
31 32	844	M, Borg JA, Bosso M, Brind'Amour A, Castellan G, Conte F, Delbono I, Galgani
33 24	845	F, Morgana G, Prato S, Schirone A, Soldevila E. 2020. Towards a marine strategy
34 35	846	for the deep Mediterranean Sea: Analysis of current ecological status. Marine Policy
36 27	847	<b>112:</b> 103781.
38	848	Ehrlich H, Etnoyer P, Litvinov SD, Olennikova MM, Domaschke H, Hanke T, Born R,
39 40	849	Meissner H, Worch H. 2006. Biomaterial structure in deep-sea bamboo coral
41 42	850	(Anthozoa: Gorgonacea: Isididae): perspectives for the development of bone implants
43	851	and templates for tissue engineering. Materialwissenschaft und Werkstofftechnik 37:
44 45	852	552–557.
46 47	853	Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence-limits on phylogenies – an approach using the bootstrap.
48	854	<i>Evolution</i> <b>39:</b> 783–791.
49 50	855	Fleming CA. 1948. New species and genera of marine Mollusca from the Southland fiords.
51 52	856	Transactions and Proceedings of the Royal Society of New Zealand 77: 72–92.
53	857	Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. 1994. DNA primers for amplification
54 55	858	of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates.
56 57	859	Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology <b>3:</b> 294–299.
58	860	Fujiwara Y, Kawato M, Noda C, Kinoshita G, Yamanaka T, Fujita Y, Uematsu K,
60	861	Miyazaki J-I. 2010. Extracellular and mixotrophic symbiosis in the whale-fall mussel

1 2		
3 ⊿	862	Adipicola pacifica: a trend in evolution from extra- to intracellular symbiosis. PLoS
5	863	<i>One</i> <b>5:</b> e11808.
6 7	864	Harbour RP, Smith CR, Simon-Nutbrown C, Cecchetto M, Young E, Coral C,
8 9	865	Sweetman AK. 2021. Biodiversity, community structre and ecosystem function on
10 11	866	kelp and wood falls in the norwegian deep sea. Marine Ecology Progress Series 657:
12	867	73–91.
13 14	868	Hasegawa K. 1997. Sunken wood-associated gastropods collected from Suruga Bay, Pacific
15 16	869	side of the central Honshu, Japan, with descriptions of 12 new species. National
17 18	870	Science Museum Monographs 12: 59–123.
19	871	Hasegawa K. 2009. Upper bathyal gastropods of the Pacific coast of northern Honshu, Japan,
20 21	872	chiefly collected by R/V Wakataka-maru. In: Fujita T, ed. Deep-sea fauna and
22 23	873	pollutants off Pacific coast of northern Japan. Tokyo: National Museum of Nature and
24	874	Science Monographs <b>39:</b> 225–383.
26	875	Haszprunar G. 1987. Anatomy and affinities of cocculinid limpets (Mollusca,
27 28	876	Archaeogastropoda). Zoologica Scripta 16: 305–324.
29 30	877	Hinsinger DD, Debruyne R, Thomas M, Denys GPJ, Mennesson M, Utge J, Dettai A.
31 22	878	2015. Fishing for barcodes in the Torrent: from COI to complete mitogenomes on
32 33	879	NGS platforms. DNA Barcodes 3: 170–186.
34 35	880	Hilário A, Metaxas A, Gaudron SM, Howell KL, Mercier A, Mestre NC, Ross RE,
36 37	881	Thurnherr AM, Young C. 2015. Estimating dispersal distance in the deep sea:
38	882	challenges and applications to marine reserves. Frontiers in Marine Science 2: 6.
39 40	883	Horsáková V, Nekola JC, Horsák M. 2020. Integrative taxonomic consideration of the
41 42	884	Holarctic Euconulus fulvus group of land snails (Gastropoda, Stylommatophora).
43 44	885	Systematics and Biodiversity 18: 142–160.
45	886	Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
46 47	887	improvements in performance and usability. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30:
48 49	888	772–780.
50 51	889	Kiel S, Goedert JL. 2006. A wood-fall association from late Eocene deep-water sediments of
52	890	Washington State, USA. Palaios 21: 548–556.
53 54	891	Kimura M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions
55 56	892	through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. Journal of Molecular Evolution
57 58	893	<b>16:</b> 111–120.
59 60	894	Kuroda T, Habe T. 1949. On the gastropod genus Cocculina from Japan. Venus (Japan

2 3	895	Journal of Malacology) 15: 58–67.
4 5	896	Leal JH, Harasewych MG. 1999. Deepest Atlantic molluscs: hadal limpets (Mollusca,
6 7	897	Gastropoda, Cocculiniformia) from the northern boundary of the Caribbean Plate.
8 0	898	Invertebrate Biology <b>118:</b> 116–136.
10	899	Lee H, Chen W-J, Puillandre N, Aznar-Cormano L, Tsai M-H, Samadi S. 2019.
11 12	900	Incorporation of deep-sea and small-sized species provides new insights into
13 14	901	gastropods phylogeny. <i>Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution</i> <b>135:</b> 136–147.
15	902	Lesicki A. 1998. Checklist of gastropod species referred to the order Cocculiniformia
16 17	903	Haszprunar 1987 (Gastropoda: Cocculinoidea et Lepetelloidea) with some remarks on
18 19	904	their food preferences <i>Folia Malacologica</i> <b>6:</b> 47–62
20	905	Lorion J. Buge B. Cruaud C. Samadi S. 2010. New insights into diversity and evolution of
22	906	deep-sea Mytilidae (Mollusca: Bivalvia) Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 57:
23 24	907	71-83
25 26	908	Lorion J. Duperron S. Gros O. Cruaud C. Samadi S. 2009. Several deen-sea mussels and
27	909	their associated symbionts are able to live both on wood and on whale falls
28 29	910	Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences <b>276</b> : 177–185
30 31	Q11	Lorion I Kiel S Faure B Kawato M Ho SVW Marshall B Tsuchida S Miyazaki LI
32	012	<b>Euliwara V 2013</b> Adaptive radiation of chemosymbiotic deen-sea mussels
33 34	012	Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Riological Sciences <b>280</b> , 20131243
35 36	913	Marshall BA 1985 Recent and Tertiary cocculinidae and pseudococculinidae (Mollusca:
37	914 015	Gastronodo) from Now Zooland and Now South Wales. New Zogland Journal of
39	915	Zoology 12: 505 546
40 41	916	Zoology 12: 505–546.
42 43	917	McClain CR, Hardy SM. 2010. The dynamics of biogeographic ranges in the deep sea.
44	918	Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 211: 3533–3546.
45 46	919	McLean JH. 1987. Taxonomic descriptions of cocculinid limpets (Mollusca,
47 48	920	Archaeogastropoda): two new species and three rediscovered species. <i>Zoologica</i>
49	921	Scripta <b>16:</b> 325–333.
50 51	922	McLean JH, Harasewych MG. 1995. Review of western Atlantic species of cocculinid and
52 53	923	pseudococculinid limpets, with descriptions of new species (Gastropoda:
54	924	Cocculiniformia). Contributions in Science, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles
55 56	925	<i>County</i> <b>453:</b> 1–33.
57 58	926	Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T. 2010. Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for
59 60	927	inference of large phylogenetic trees. 2010 Gateway Computing Environments

2		
3 4	928	Workshop (GCE). New Orleans: IEEE, 1–8.
5	929	Moskalev LI. 1976. Concerning the generic diagnostics of the Cocculinidae (Gastropoda,
7	930	Prosobranchia). Trudy Instituta Okeanologii Imeni P. P. Shirshov, Akademiya Nauk
8 9	931	USSR 99: 59–70. [in Russian; English translation by Shkurkin GV. 1978].
10 11	932	Nantarat N, Sutcharit C, Tongkerd P, Wade CM, Naggs F, Panha S. 2019. Phylogenetics
12	933	and species delimitations of the operculated land snail Cyclophorus volvulus
13 14	934	(Gastropoda: Cyclophoridae) reveal cryptic diversity and new species in Thailand.
15 16	935	Scientific Reports 9: 7041.
17 19	936	Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, Minchin PR,
19	937	O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Stevens MHH, Szoecs E, Wagner H. 2019.
20 21	938	Vegan: community ecology package. R package version 2.5-6. https://CRAN.R-
22 23	939	project.org/package=vegan
24	940	Pante E, Corbari L, Thubaut J, Chan T-Y, Mana R, Boisselier M-C, Bouchet P, Samadi
26	941	S. 2012. Exploration of the deep-sea fauna of Papua New Guinea. Oceanography 25:
27 28	942	214–225.
29 30	943	Pante E, Puillandre N, Viricel A, Arnaud-Haond S, Aurelle D, Castelin M, Chenuil A,
31	944	Destombe C, Forcioli D, Valero M, Viard F, Samadi S. 2015. Species are
33	945	hypotheses: avoid connectivity assessments based on pillars of sand. Molecular
34 35	946	Ecology <b>24:</b> 525–544.
36 37	947	Plouviez S, Shank TM, Faure B, Daguin-Thiebaut C, Viard F, Lallier FH, Jollivet D.
38	948	2009. Comparative phylogeography among hydrothermal vent species along the East
39 40	949	Pacific Rise reveals vicariant processes and population expansion in the south.
41 42	950	Molecular Ecology 18: 3903–3917.
43 44	951	Plum C, Pradillon F, Fujiwara Y, Sarrazin J. 2017. Copepod colonization of organic and
45	952	inorganic substrata at a deep-sea hydrothermal vent site on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge.
46 47	953	Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 137: 335–348.
48 49	954	Ponder WF, Lindberg DR. 1997. Towards a phylogeny of gastropod molluscs: an analysis
50 51	955	using morphological characters. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 119: 83-
52	956	265.
53 54	957	Pons J, Barraclough TG, Gomez-Zurita J, Cardoso A, Duran DP, Hazell S, Kamoun S,
55 56	958	Sumlin WD, Vogler AP. 2006. Sequence-based species delimitation for the DNA
57 59	959	taxonmy of undescribed insects. Systematic Biology 55: 595-609.
59 60	960	Puillandre N, Fedosov AE, Zaharias P, Aznar-Cormano L, Kantor YI. 2017. A quest for

2							
3 4	961	the lost types of Lophiotoma (Gastropoda: Conoidea: Turridae): integrative taxonomy					
5 6	962	in a nomenclatural mess. Zoological Journal of the Linean Society 181: 243–271.					
7	963	Puillandre N, Lambert A, Brouillet S, Achaz G. 2012a. ABGD, automatic barcode gap					
8 9	964	discovery for primary species delimitation. Molecular Ecology 21: 1864–1877.					
10 11	965	Puillandre N, Modica MV, Zhang Y, Sirovich L, Boisselier M-C, Cruaud C, Holford M,					
11 12 13 14 15 16	966	Samadi S. 2012b. Large-scale species delimitation method for hyperdiverse groups.					
	967	Molecular Ecology 21: 2671–2691.					
	968	Rambaut A. 1996. Se-al: sequence alignment editor version 1.0 a1. Oxford: Department of					
17 19	969	Zoology, University of Oxford.					
18 19 20 21 22 23	970	Rambaut A, Suchard MA, Xie D, Drummond AJ. 2014. Tracer v1.6. Available from					
	971	http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer.					
	972	Razkin O, Gómez-Moliner BJ, Vardinoyannis K, Martínez-Ortí A, Madeira MJ. 2017.					
24	973	Species delimitation for cryptic species complexes: case study of Pyramidula					
25 26	974	(Gastropoda, Pulmonata). Zoologica Scripta 46: 55–72.					
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	975	Ronquist F, Teslenko M, Van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu					
	976	L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP. 2012. MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian					
	977	phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Systematic					
	978	<i>Biology</i> <b>61:</b> 539–542.					
	979	Saeedi H, Bernardino AF, Shimabukuro M, Falchetto G, Sumida PYG. 2019.					
	980	Marcofaunal community structure and biodiversity patterns based on a wood-fall					
	981	experiment in the deep south-west Atlantic. Deep Sea Research part I: Oceanographic					
	982	Research Papers 145: 73–82.					
	983	Samadi S, Corbari L, Lorion J, Hourdez S, Haga T, Dupont J, Boisselier M-C, Richer de					
	984	Forges B. 2010. Biodiversity of deep-sea organisms associated with sunken-wood or					
	985	other organic remains sampled in the tropical Indo-Pacific. Cahiers de Biologie					
46 47	986	<i>Marine</i> <b>51:</b> 459–466.					
48 49	987	Samadi S, Quéméré E, Lorion J, Tillier A, von Cosel R, Lopez P, Cruaud C, Couloux A,					
49 50 51 52	988	Boisselier-Dubayle M-C. 2007. Molecular phylogeny in mytilids supports the					
	989	wooden steps to deep-sea vents hypothesis. Comptes Rendus Biologies 330: 446-456.					
53 54	990	Schepman MM. 1908. The Prosobranchia of the Siboga Expedition. Part I: Rhipidoglossa					
55 56	991	and Docoglossa. Siboga Expedition 49a: 1–98.					
57	992	Soltwedel T, Guilini K, Sauter E, Schewe I, Hasemann C. 2018. Local effects of large					
58 59 60	993	food-falls on nematode diversity at an arctic deep-sea site: Results from an <i>in situ</i>					

Page 31 of 48

1 2							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	994	experiment at the deep-sea observatory HAUSGARTEN. Journal of Experiment					
	995	Marine Biology and Ecology 502: 129–141.					
	996	Souza BHM, Passos FD, Shimabukuro M, Sumida PYG. 2021. An integrative approach					
	997	distinguishes three new species of Abyssochrysoidea (Mollusca: Caenogastropoda)					
	998	associated with organic falls of the deep south-west Atlantic. Zoological Journal of the					
	999	<i>Linnean Society</i> <b>191</b> : 748–771.					
	1000	Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of					
	1001	large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30: 1312–1313.					
	1002	Stow DAV, Faugères J-C, Howe JA, Pudsey CJ, Viana AR. 2002. Bottom currents,					
19	1003	contourites and deep-sea sediment drifts: current state-of-the-art. Geological Society					
20 21	1004	London Memoirs 22: 7–20.					
22 23	1005	Strong EE, Harasewych MG, Haszprunar G. 2003. Phylogeny of the Cocculinoidea					
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 435 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 50 51	1006	(Mollusca, Gastropoda). Invertebrate Biology 122: 114–125.					
	1007	Stuart CT, Brault S, Rowe GT, Wei C-L, Wagstaff M, McClain CR, Rex MA. 2017.					
	1008	Nestedness and species replacement along bathymetric gradients in the deep sea					
	1009	reflect productivity: a test with polychaete assemblages in the oligotrophic north-west					
	1010	Gulf of Mexico. Journal of Biogeography 44: 548–555.					
	1011	Tang CQ, Humphreys AM, Fontaneto D, Barraclough TG. 2014. Effects of phylogenetic					
	1012	reconstruction method on the robustness of species delimitation using single-locus					
	1013	data. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 5: 1086–1094.					
	1014	Thiele J. 1903. Die beschalten Gastropoden der deutschen Tiefsee-Expedition 1898–1899. A,					
	1015	systematisch-geographischer Teil. In: Martens E, ed. Wissenschaftliche Ergebnisse der					
	1016	Deutschen Tiefsee-Expedition auf dem Dampfer "Valdivia" 1898–1899 7: 3–146.					
	1017	Thiele J. 1908. Ueber die Anatomie und systematische Stellung von Bathysciadium,					
	1018	Lepetella, und Addisonia. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard					
	1019	<i>College</i> <b>52:</b> 81–90.					
	1020	Thiele J. 1909. Cocculinoidea und die Gattungen Phenacolepas und Titiscania.					
	1021	Systematisches Conchylien-Cabinet von Martini & Chemnitz 2 11a: 1–48.					
52	1022	Thiele J. 1925. Prosobranchia. In: Kükenthal W, Krumbach T, eds. Handbuch der Zoologie.					
53 54	1023	Berlin: Walter de Gruyter & Co. 40–94.					
55 56	1024	Thubaut J, Puillandre N, Faure B, Cruaud C, Samadi S. 2013. The contrasted					
57 58	1025	evolutionary fates of deep-sea chemosynthetic mussels (Bivalvia, Bathymodiolinae).					
59 60	1026	Ecology and Evolution <b>3:</b> 4748–4766.					

2 3	1007						
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1027	Lurier, Bulletin 1077, 12, 10					
	1028	Union, Bulletin 1977: 13–19.					
	1029	Warén A. 1996. New and little known Mollusca from Iceland and Scandinavia 3. Sarsia 81:					
	1030	197–245.					
	1031	Warén A. 2011. Molluscs on biogenic substrates. In: Bouchet P, Le Guyader H, Pascal O,					
	1032	eds. The natural history of Santo. Paris: Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle and					
	1033	Pro-Nature international; Marseille: IRD, 438–448.					
	1034	Watson RB. 1886. Report on the Scaphopoda and Gastropoda collected by H.M.S.					
17 18	1035	Challenger during the years 1873–1876. Report on the scientific results of the voyage					
19 20	1036	of the H.M.S. Challenger, 1873–1876. Zoology 15: 1–680.					
20 21	1037	Wolff T. 1979. Macrofaunal utilization of plant remains in the deep sea. Sarsia 64: 117–136.					
22 23	1038	Yahagi T, Fukumori H, Warén A, Kano Y. 2019. Population connectivity of hydrothermal-					
24 25	1039	vent limpets along the northern Mid-Atlantic Ridge (Gastropoda: Neritimorpha:					
25 26	1040	Phenacolepadidae). Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK 99: 179–					
27 28	1041	185.					
29 30	1042	Yahagi T, Thaler AD, Van Dover CL, Kano Y. 2020. Population connectivity of the					
31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39         40         41         42         43         44         45         46         47         48         49         50         51         52         53         54         55	1043	hydrothermal-vent limpet Shinkailepas tollmanni in the southwest Pacific					
	1044	(Gastropoda: Neritimorpha: Phenacolepadidae). PLoS One 15: e0239784.					
	1045	Yahagi T, Watanabe HK, Kojima S, Kano Y. 2017. Do larvae from deep-sea hydrothermal					
	1046	vents disperse in surface waters? <i>Ecology</i> <b>98:</b> 1524–1534.					
	1047	Young CM, Emson RH, Rice ME, Tyler PA. 2013. A paradoxical mismatch of fecundity					
	1048	and recruitment in deep-sea opportunists: cocculinid and pseudococculinid limpets					
	1049	colonizing vascular plant remains on the Bahamian Slope. Deep-Sea Research Part I-					
	1050	Topical Studies in Oceanography 92: 36–45.					
	1051	Young CM, He R, Emlet RB, Li Y, Qian H, Arellano SM, Gaest AV, Bennett KC, Wolf					
	1052	M, Smart TI, Rice ME. 2012. Dispersal of deep-sea larvae from the intra-American					
	1053	seas: simulations of trakectories using ocean models. Integrative and Comparative					
	1054	<i>Biology</i> <b>52:</b> 483–496.					
	1055	Zaharias P, Kantor Y, Fedosov AE, Criscione F, Hallan A, Kano Y, Bardin J, Puillandre					
	1056	N. 2020. Just the once will not hurt: DNA suggests species lumping over two oceans					
	1057	in deep-sea snails (Cryptogemma). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 190:					
56 57	1058	532–557.					
58 59 60	1059	Zhang JJ, Kapli P, Pavlidis P, Stamatakis A. 2013. A general species delimitation method					

1 2							
3 ⊿	1060	with applications to phylogenetic placements. Bioinformatics 29: 2869–2876.					
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1061	Zhang K, Sun J, Xu T, Qiu J-W, Qian P-Y. 2021. Phylogenetic relationships and					
	1062	adaptation in deep-sea mussels: insights from mitochondrial genomes. International					
	1063	Journal of Molecular Sciences 22: 1900.					
	1064	Zhang SQ, Zhang SP. 2018. Cocculina delphinicula sp nov., a new cocculinid species from					
	1065	whale bone in the East China Sea (Gastropoda: Cocculiniformia). Zootaxa 4455: 189-					
	1066	195.					
	1067						
17	1068	SUPPORTING INFORMATION					
<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>29</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> </ol>	1069						
	1070	Table S1. List of oceanographic expeditions and specimens analysed in this study.					
	1071	Table S2. Morphological conditions of SSHs.					
	1072	Figure S1. Maximum likelihood tree of cocculinids based on <i>cox1</i> dataset. Numbers at nodes					
	1073	represent bootstrap values in percentage. Name of specimens collected during Tropical					
	1074	Deep Sea Benthos expeditions are shown as: voucher number, expedition, station, depth;					
	1075	specimens collected around Japan: voucher number, locality or station, depth. See Table					
	1076	S2 for details.					
	1077	Figure S2. Maximum likelihood tree based on "master" 28S dataset. Numbers at nodes					
	1078	represent bootstrap values in percentage.					
	1079	Figure S3. 28S subtrees.					
	1080	Figure S4. Maximum likelihood tree based on combined three-gene dataset (cox1, 28S and					
	1081	H3). Numbers at nodes represent bootstrap values in percentage.					
	1082	Figure S5. Bayesian tree based on combined three-gene dataset (cox1, 28S and H3). Number					
	1083	at nodes represent posterior probability.					
44 45	1084						
46							
47 48							
49 50							
51 52							
52 53							
54 55							
56							
57 58							
59 60							

**Table 1.** Eight morphogroups of Cocculinidae and their habitats.

4 1085 5 1086 

MAroundradial or plainly raised radialmodified right cephalic tentaclepresentwoodMBSpindle or narrow-roundplainly raised radialon oral lappetpresentwoodMCroundradial, smooth or concentricon oral lappetpresentwoodMDroundclathrateright cephalic tentacle (unmodified)presentwoodMEroundsmooth radialon mantle margin on footpresentwoodMGroundradial casenton footpresentwoodMFroundradial radialon footpresentwoodMFroundradial radialon footpresentwoodMFroundradial radialon footpresentwoodMGroundradial radialon footpresentwoodMGroundradial radialon footpresentwoodMGroundradial radialon footpresentchondreMHroundconcentric or smoothmodified right cephalic tentaclepresentchondreMHroundconcentric or smoothmodified right cephalic tentaclepresentchondre	
MBSpindle or narrow-roundplainly raised radialon oral lappetpresentwoodMCroundradial, smooth or concentricon oral lappetpresentwoodMDroundclathrateright cephalic tentacle (unmodified)presentwoodMEroundsmooth radialon mantle margin modified right cephalic tentaclepresentwoodMFroundradial radialon footpresentwoodMGroundradial radialon footpresentwood, cephalic tentacleMHroundconcentric or smoothmodified right cephalic tentaclepresentchondreMHroundconcentric or smoothmodified right cephalic tentaclepresentchondre	
MCroundradial, smooth or concentricon oral lappetpresentwoodMDroundclathrateright cephalic tentacle (unmodified)presentwoodMEroundsmoothon mantle margin on footpresentwoodMFroundradialon footpresentwoodMGroundradial with pitsmodified right cephalic tentacleabsentwood, cephalic tentacleMHroundconcentric or smoothmodified right cephalic tentaclepresentchond	
MDroundclathrateright cephalic tentacle (unmodified)presentwood woodMEroundsmoothon mantle marginpresentwoodMFroundradialon footpresentwoodMGroundradial with pitsmodified right cephalic tentacleabsentwood, cephalic tentacleMHroundconcentric or smoothmodified right cephalic tentaclepresentchondre case, descent	
MEroundsmoothon mantle marginpresentwoodMFroundradialon footpresentwoodMGroundradial with pitsmodified rightabsentwood,MHroundconcentric ormodified rightpresentchondredMHroundconcentric ormodified rightpresentpresentMHroundconcentric ormodified rightpresentpresentMHroundroundround	
MF       round       radial       on foot       present       wood         MG       round       radial with pits       modified right       absent       wood,         MH       round       concentric or       modified right       present       chondred         MH       round       concentric or       modified right       present       chondred         MH       round       concentric or       modified right       present       chondred         MH       round       concentric or       modified right       cephalic tentacle       case, d	
MG round       radial with pits       modified right       absent       wood,         cephalic tentacle       concentric or       modified right       present       chondred to	
MH round concentric or modified right present chonds smooth cephalic tentacle case, d	af, bone
smooth cephalic tentacle case, d	hthyan egg
	ep-sea coral

<sup>29</sup> 1088

Table 2. Diagnoses of	cocculinid genera	(with unique	conditions sl	nown in <b>bold</b> ).

Genus	Protoconch	Teleoconch	Copulatory organ	Epipodial	Shell shape	Habitat	References
Cocculina	reticulate	radial smooth	on oral lappet or foot	present	round	wood dolphin skull	Haszprunar 1987
coccumia	Tetteulute	or concentric	on oral apper or root	present	Tound	wood, dolphin bluir	McLean & Harasewych 1995
		of concentre					Zhang & Zhang 2018
Cocconigva	reticulate	sninose	modified right cenhalic	absent	round	wood leaf bone	Marshall [1985] 1986
coccopigyu	Tetteulute	spinose	tentacle	absent	Touna	wood, ieur, oone	McLean & Harasewych 1995
			tentaele				see main text for habitat
Coccocrater	reticulate	radial or plainly	modified right cenhalic	present	round	wood	Haszprunar 1987.
coccociuici	Tetteulute	raised radial	tentacle	present	Toulla	Wood	McLean & Harasewych 1995
		iulova iuului					Strong <i>et al</i> 2003
Paracocculina	reticulate	radial	on foot or oral lappet	present	round	wood	Haszprunar 1987
1	100000000			present		, oou	Strong <i>et al</i> 2003
Fedikovella	concentric	reticulate	right cephalic tentacle.	present	round	wood	Moskalev 1976
		100000000	unmodified	present			McLean & Harasewych, 1995:
							Leal & Harasewych 1999
Teuthirostria	smooth	reticulate	modified right cephalic	present	round	cephalopod beak	Moskaley, 1976:
	biile e vii	100000000	tentacle	present		copinatopou scan	Leal & Harasewych, 1999:
							Strong <i>et al.</i> , 2003
Macleaniella	smooth	radial (with an	modified right cephalic	present	round	wood	Leal & Harasewych, 1999
		inner septum)	tentacle	r			
nGen1	reticulate	radial, smooth	on oral lappet	present	round	wood	This study
		or concentric	11	1			5
nGen2	reticulate	smooth	on mantle margin	present	round	wood	This study
nGen3	reticulate	plainly raised	on oral lappet	present	spindle or	wood	This study
		radial		1	narrow round		2
nGen4	reticulate	concentric or	modified right cephalic	present	round	chondrichthyan egg	This study
		smooth	tentacle			case, deep-sea coral	-



Figure 1. Localities of cocculinid specimens collected during our expeditions (red) and those in literature records (yellow; Watson, 1886; Schepman, 1908; Thiele, 1925; Marshall, 1985; Hasegawa, 1997; Lesicki, 1998; Zhang & Zhang, 2018) in Indo-West Pacific. The square indicates the 11 regions identified. AFR: Mozambique Channel; MAD: Madagascar; TW: Taiwan plus South China Sea; ECS: East China Sea; PHI: seas surrounding the Philippines; JP: seas surrounding Japan; BIS: Bismarck Sea; SOL: Solomon Sea; COR: Coral Sea; Van: seas surrounding Vanuatu; NC: seas surrounding New Caledonia.

254x190mm (300 x 300 DPI)





Figure 3. Character used in morphological examination. Teleoconch structure: (A) radially ribbed (MNHN-IM-2013-42733, MC10), (B) radially ribbed with pits and hairs (MNHN-IM-2013-42896, MG5), (C) plainly raised radially ribbed (MNHN-IM-2013-62346), (D) clathrate (MNHN-IM-2013-62341, MD5), (E) concentric (MNHN-IM-2009-11985, MH1), or (F) smooth (MNHN-IM-2013-42654, ME1). А

muscle

foot

В

muscle

foot

c. o.

head

r.c.t.

snout

mantle

head

snout

o. l.

o. l.





head

c. o.

o. l.

r.c.t.

snout

Figure 4. Character used in morphological examination. Copulatory organ: (A) associated with or branched from right cephalic tentacle, (B) originated from foot, (C) originated from oral lappet, or (D) originated from mantle margin. c.o.: copulatory organ, r.c.t.: right cephalic tentacle, o. I.: oral lappet.



Figure 5. Characters used in morphological examination. Protoconch: (A) reticulate (MNHN-IM-2013-42752, MC2) or (B) concentric (MNHN-IM-2013-62343, MD8). Radula: (C) "obsolete," (C. japonica) (D) "acuspate-flat," (MNHN-IM-2013-42608, MC9) (E) "unicuspid narrow," (MNHN-IM-2013-42715, MB1) (F) "unicuspid broad," (MNHN-IM-2013-42803, MC14) (G) "multicuspid narrow," (MNHN-IM-2013-42570, MD3) or (H) "multicuspid broad" (MNHN-IM-2013-62344, MA5).





Figure 6. Maximum-likelihood tree based on cox1 dataset of cocculinids with results of species delimitation analyses. Numbers at tree nodes represent bootstrap values in percentage (values < 70% not shown). Names of primary species hypotheses (PSHs) are followed by number of sequences determined (cox1 and 28S). Boxes for PSHs are highlighted in gray when differently delimited in ABGD and PTP analyses (numerals in boxes represent numbers of cox1 sequences; asterisk and plus for MA9-MA12 denote same PSH detected by ABGD and PTP, respectively). 28S sequence monophyly and identity are also shown as empty boxes (NA: no data); cross marks (X) indicate non-monophyly or genotype shared with other PSHs. The "shell morph." denotes distinguishable forms of the shell with different numerals in each morphogroup (MA–MH); shell morph. C1 for MC1–MC3, for example, means that the three PSHs are identical in conchological characteristics. Known bathymetric and geographic distributions and habitat are also provided for each PSH (bathymetric distribution - sampled depth range shown in lines, dotted lines represent the estimated distributed range; geographic distribution — AFR: Mozambique channel, MAD: north Madagascar, TW: Taiwan and South China Sea, ECS: East China Sea, PHI: Philippines, JP: Japan, BIS: Bismarck Sea, SOL: Solomon Sea, COR: Coral Sea, VAN: Vanuatu, NC: New Caledonia, OTH: others, ME: Mediterranean, NZ: New Zealand, RS: Red Sea, AT: Atlantic, EP: East Pacific, WS: Weddell Sea; habitat — w: wood, I: leaf, b: bone, c: coral, e: egg case, vent\*: hydrothermal vent area). Final, secondary species hypotheses (SSHs) are shown with generic and species names if available; those found from more than one area (northwestern Pacific, southwestern Pacific, and Indian Ocean) are highlighted in gray.

285x199mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 6. Maximum-likelihood tree based on cox1 dataset of cocculinids with results of species delimitation analyses. Numbers at tree nodes represent bootstrap values in percentage (values < 70% not shown). Names of primary species hypotheses (PSHs) are followed by number of sequences determined (cox1 and 28S). Boxes for PSHs are highlighted in gray when differently delimited in ABGD and PTP analyses (numerals in boxes represent numbers of cox1 sequences; asterisk and plus for MA9-MA12 denote same PSH detected by ABGD and PTP, respectively). 28S sequence monophyly and identity are also shown as empty boxes (NA: no data); cross marks (X) indicate non-monophyly or genotype shared with other PSHs. The "shell morph." denotes distinguishable forms of the shell with different numerals in each morphogroup (MA–MH); shell morph. C1 for MC1–MC3, for example, means that the three PSHs are identical in conchological characteristics. Known bathymetric and geographic distributions and habitat are also provided for each PSH (bathymetric distribution - sampled depth range shown in lines, dotted lines represent the estimated distributed range; geographic distribution - AFR: Mozambique channel, MAD: north Madagascar, TW: Taiwan and South China Sea, ECS: East China Sea, PHI: Philippines, JP: Japan, BIS: Bismarck Sea, SOL: Solomon Sea, COR: Coral Sea, VAN: Vanuatu, NC: New Caledonia, OTH: others, ME: Mediterranean, NZ: New Zealand, RS: Red Sea, AT: Atlantic, EP: East Pacific, WS: Weddell Sea; habitat - w: wood, I: leaf, b: bone, c: coral, e: egg case, vent\*: hydrothermal vent area). Final, secondary species hypotheses (SSHs) are shown with generic and species names if available; those found from more than one area (northwestern Pacific, southwestern Pacific, and Indian Ocean) are highlighted in gray.

285x199mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 6. Maximum-likelihood tree based on cox1 dataset of cocculinids with results of species delimitation analyses. Numbers at tree nodes represent bootstrap values in percentage (values < 70% not shown). Names of primary species hypotheses (PSHs) are followed by number of sequences determined (cox1 and

28S). Boxes for PSHs are highlighted in gray when differently delimited in ABGD and PTP analyses (numerals in boxes represent numbers of cox1 sequences; asterisk and plus for MA9–MA12 denote same PSH detected by ABGD and PTP, respectively). 28S sequence monophyly and identity are also shown as empty boxes (NA: no data); cross marks (X) indicate non-monophyly or genotype shared with other PSHs. The "shell morph." denotes distinguishable forms of the shell with different numerals in each morphogroup

(MA–MH); shell morph. C1 for MC1–MC3, for example, means that the three PSHs are identical in conchological characteristics. Known bathymetric and geographic distributions and habitat are also provided for each PSH (bathymetric distribution — sampled depth range shown in lines, dotted lines represent the estimated distributed range; geographic distribution — AFR: Mozambique channel, MAD: north Madagascar,

TW: Taiwan and South China Sea, ECS: East China Sea, PHI: Philippines, JP: Japan, BIS: Bismarck Sea, SOL: Solomon Sea, COR: Coral Sea, VAN: Vanuatu, NC: New Caledonia, OTH: others, ME: Mediterranean, NZ: New Zealand, RS: Red Sea, AT: Atlantic, EP: East Pacific, WS: Weddell Sea; habitat — w: wood, I: leaf, b: bone, c: coral, e: egg case, vent\*: hydrothermal vent area). Final, secondary species hypotheses (SSHs) are shown with generic and species names if available; those found from more than one area (northwestern Pacific, southwestern Pacific, and Indian Ocean) are highlighted in gray.



Figure 7. Nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) plot based on Jaccard index for cocculinid species composition among 157 stations in Indo-West Pacific.

254x190mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 8. Combined three-gene ML tree for 138 cocculinid specimens (1,421 bp from cox1, 28S and H3). Nodal supports are shown as circles on nodes. Nodes supported by both bootstrap value (BS) ≥ 80% and posterior probability (PP) of ≥ 0.95 are shown in black circles; nodes supported by only BS ≥ 80% or PP ≥ 0.95 are shown in grey circles. Nodes with BS of < 80% and PP < 0.95 are not marked with a circle. Morphogroup types are plotted on the tree (Table S2). Geographic distribution of each species is shown with filled square(s). Different shapes of rachidian tooth of radula are denoted with following symbols: X, obsolete; —, acuspate; circle with three spike: multicuspid; circle with a single spike, unicuspid. Dotted squares, broad or narrow, indicate relative width of rachidian tooth. Asterisks represent non-monophyletic morphogroup.</li>



Figure 9. Protoconchs of sequenced specimens. (A) MNHN-IM-2013-59217 (MA4); (B) MNHN-IM-2013-42738 (MA8); (C) MNHN-IM-2013-42581 (MA12); (D) MNHN-IM-2013-62346 (MB7); (E) MNHN-IM-2013-42709 (MC15); (F) MNHN-IM-2013-42850 (MC18); (G) MNHN-IM-2013-42752 (MC2); (H) MNHN-IM-2013-42608 (MC9); (I) HS215 (MC5). Scale bars = 20 μm.





Figure 10. Protoconchs of sequenced specimens. (A) MNHN-IM-2013-42570 (MD3); (B) MNHN-IM-2013-42648 (MD4); (C) MNHN-IM-2013-62341 (MD5); (D) MNHN-IM-2013-62343 (MD8); (E) MNHN-IM-2013-42654 (ME1); (F) MNHN-IM-2013-42627 (MF9); (G) MNHN-IM-2013-42878 (MF6); (H) MNHN- IM-2013-42748 (MF2); (I) MNHN-IM-2013-42907 (MG13); (J) MNHN-IM-2013-42798 (MG8); (K) MNHN-IM-2013-42896 (MG5); (L) MNHN-IM-2009-11985 (MH1). Scale bars = 20 μm.



Figure 11. Radulae of sequenced specimens and an additional examined specimen of C. japonica. (A) MNHN-IM-2013-42671 (MA1), "unicuspid broad"; (B) MNHN-IM-2013-42687 (MA4), "unicuspid broad"; (C) MNHN-IM-2013-62344 (MA5), "multicuspid broad"; (D) MNHN-IM-2013-42828 (MA12), "unicuspid broad"; (E) MNHN-IM-2013-42715 (MB1), "unicuspid narrow"; (F) MNHN-IM-2013-42845 (MB10), "unicuspid narrow"; (G) MNHN-IM-2013-42803 (MC14), "unicuspid broad"; (H) C. japonica, "obsolete"; (I) MNHN-IM-2013-42799 (MC18), "acuspate-flat"; (J) MNHN-IM-2013-42968 (MC16), "unicuspid broad"; (K) MNHN-IM-2013-42608 (MC9), "acuspate-flat"; (L) HS217 (MC6), "acuspate-flat"; (M) MNHN-IM-2013-42570 (MD3), "multicuspid narrow"; (N) MNHN-IM-2013-42643 (MD8), "multicuspid narrow"; (O) MNHN-IM-2013-42620 (ME2), "acuspate-flat"; (P) MNHN-IM-2013-42992 (MF9), "acuspate-flat"; (Q) MNHN-IM-2013-42655 (MF6), "acuspate-flat"; (R) HS227 (MF3) "acuspate-flat"; (S) MNHN-IM-2013-42732 (MG10), "obsolete"; (T) MNHN-IM-2013-42863 (MG11), "obsolete"; (U) MNHN-IM-2013-42896 (MG5), "obsolete"; (V) MNHN-IM-2013-42874 (MG15), "obsolete"; (W) MNHN-IM-2013-42851 (MG16), "obsolete"; (X) MNHN-IM-2009-11985 (MH1), "unicuspid broad". Scale bars = 20 µm.