

The genetic identity of the earliest human-made hybrid animals, the kungas of Syro-Mesopotamia

E Andrew Bennett, J Weber, W Bendhafer, S Champlot, J Peters, G

Schwartz, T Grange, E.-M Geigl

► To cite this version:

E Andrew Bennett, J Weber, W Bendhafer, S Champlot, J Peters, et al.. The genetic identity of the earliest human-made hybrid animals, the kungas of Syro-Mesopotamia. Science Advances , 2022, 8 (2), 10.1126/sciadv.abm0218 . hal-03663244

HAL Id: hal-03663244 https://hal.science/hal-03663244

Submitted on 10 May 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	The genetic identity of the earliest human-made hybrid animals, the kungas of Syro-
2	Mesopotamia
3	Short title: Ancient DNA of Bronze Age equid hybrids
4	E. Andrew Bennett ^{1, *,†, ‡} , J. Weber ² , W. Bendhafer ¹ , S. Champlot ¹ , J. Peters ³ , G. Schwartz ⁴ , T.
5	Grange ^{1,*, †} , EM. Geigl ^{1,*, †}
6	
7	¹ Université de Paris, CNRS, Institut Jacques Monod; 75013 Paris, France.
8	² Near East Section, The University Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology; Philadelphia,
9	PA 19103, USA.
10	³ ArchaeoBioCenter and Institute of Palaeoanatomy, Domestication Research and the history of
11	Veterinary Medicine, LMU Munich, 80539 Munich, and SNSB, Bavarian State Collection of
12	Palaeoanatomy, 80333 Munich, Germany.
13	⁴ Department of Near Eastern Studies, Johns Hopkins University; Baltimore MD 21218, USA.
14	*Corresponding authors: eva-maria.geigl@ijm.fr , thierry.grange@ijm.fr , eabennett@gmail.com
15	[†] These authors contributed equally to this work
16	[‡] Present address: Key Laboratory of Vertebrate Evolution and Human Origins of Chinese
17	Academy of Sciences, IVPP, CAS, Beijing 100044, China. CAS Center for Excellence in Life and
18	Paleoenvironment, Beijing 100044, China. University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing
19	100049, China.

Abstract: Before the introduction of domestic horses in Mesopotamia in the late third millennium 20 BCE, contemporary cuneiform tablets and seals document intentional breeding of highly valued 21 equids called kungas, for use in diplomacy, ceremony and warfare. Their precise zoological 22 23 classification, however, has never been conclusively determined. Morphometric analysis of equids uncovered in rich Early Bronze Age burials at Umm el-Marra, Svria, placed them beyond the ranges 24 reported for other known equid species. We sequenced the genomes of one of these ~4,500-year-old 25 equids, together with an ~11,000-year-old Syrian wild ass (hemippe) from Göbekli Tepe and two of 26 the last surviving hemippes. We conclude that kungas were F1 hybrids between female domestic 27 donkeys and male hemippes, thus documenting the earliest evidence of hybrid animal breeding. 28

29

One-Sentence Summary: Ancient DNA identifies elite equids in Bronze Age Mesopotamia as the
 first human-bred hybrid animals, the enigmatic kungas

32

33 INTRODUCTION

In the third millennium BCE, urbanized, socially-stratified and literate societies appeared for the 34 first time in Syria and northern Mesopotamia (1, 2). Part of this "second act" of the urban revolution 35 was the breeding and employment of an equid of high status and prestige designated a "kunga." The 36 precise taxonomical determination of the kunga and its identification in the archaeological record 37 have been uncertain until now. Third millennium BCE cuneiform clay tablets from Syro-38 Mesopotamia describe several equids, using the generic term ANŠE associated with various 39 logographs. Of these, the so-called "kunga", was represented by the cuneiform signs ANŠE.BARxAN 40 (3, 4) (Fig. 1A). Texts from the Divala region in Mesopotamia and the kingdom of Ebla in the Levant 41 state that the prices for these equids were considerable, costing up to six times the price of a donkey 42 (5). References for these valuable equids are found in multiple clay tablets (3, 4) (Fig. 1A) such as 43 those detailing fodder expenses, e.g., barley for the equids of the god Shara and the deified king 44

Shulgi from Umma (6), and dowries for roval marriages (7). Large-sized male kungas were used to 45 pull the vehicles of "nobility and gods" (6), and their size and speed made them more desirable than 46 asses for the towing of four-wheeled war wagons (8), which predate horse-pulled chariots. Smaller-47 48 sized males and female kungas were used in agriculture, where they were frequently reported pulling ploughs (4, 9). Kunga foals were seldom born within the urban centers of Sumer and Syria, and Ebla 49 purchased young kungas almost exclusively from what may have been the principal breeding-center 50 at Nagar (modern Tell Brak), in northern Mesopotamia, whose rulers also provided them as gifts to 51 the elites of allied territories (3). Presumed kungas featured prominently on royal seals throughout 52 the region (10), and images of these hybrids likely appear on both the "war" and "peace" panels of 53 the standard of Ur. a Sumerian artefact excavated from the royal cemetery in the ancient city of Ur 54 (in modern-day Iraq). In one of the first depictions (2600 BCE) of a military expedition in human 55 history, warriors stand on four-wheeled war wagons, each drawn by a team of unspecified equids 56 (Fig. 1B). An example of the rein ring featured in this image has been found in a royal grave at Ur 57 (Fig. 1C), decorated with a small statue of a non-caballine equid, either a kunga or hemione. Kunga 58 use and traditions decreased and eventually vanished following the introduction of domestic horses 59 in the region (9, 11). Early references to horses in cuneiform writing coincides with the Third Dynasty 60 of Ur (late 3rd millennium BCE), where they are referred to as anše-zi-zi and later anše-kur-ra (equids 61 of the mountain) (6, 8). An introduction of domestic horses in Mesopotamia by the end of the third 62 millennium is also supported by paleogenetic data illustrating their late arrival in Anatolia around 63 2000 BCE, presumably through the Caucasus (12). 64

While the symbol for kunga (ANŠE.BARxAN) is used to describe a hybrid equid, the unambiguous assignment of this term to a species is difficult and controversially discussed. Some authors even argue that the kunga referred only to wild caught Persian onagers (also known as Iranian onagers; *E. hemionus hemionus*, a subspecies of the Asiatic wild ass) rather than hybrid animals (*3*, *6*), although the difficulty in taming modern onagers, which are reportedly less tractable than zebras

(13), does not support this interpretation. One of the likely parents of the kunga is the donkey (E. 70 africanus asinus), thought to be present in Sumer from at least the late 4th millennium BCE (8). The 71 identity of the other parent, however, remains unclear. Another equid attested since the Early Dynastic 72 73 period I (ca. 2800 BCE) is the anse-edin-na, literally translated as "equid of the desert". This animal was hunted for its meat and hide, but never used as a draught animal. The anse-edin-na is broadly 74 considered to be a type of onager (4, 8), although it is impossible to say whether it refers to the Persian 75 onager (E. hemionus onager) or to the Syrian wild ass, or hemippe (E. hemionus hemippus) (8), 76 sometimes also named "Syrian onager". Described as a light, swift animal (14), the hemippe was the 77 smallest of all modern equids until the subspecies went extinct early in the 20th century (11). It has 78 been argued that in addition to its untamable, aggressive nature (14), its diminutive size made it an 79 unlikely candidate for use in breeding kungas (3 and references therein). Some authors considered 80 the non-donkey parent to be a horse (discussed in 4, 9). 81

In the elite burial complex of Tell Umm el-Marra (2600-2200 BCE), possibly belonging to the 82 ancient city of Tuba, 55 km east of Aleppo in modern-day northern Syria (Fig. 2), men and women 83 were interred with ceramics, bronze and silver vessels, bronze weapons and tools, and personal 84 ornaments made of bronze, silver, gold and lapis lazuli (15). Within this royal burial complex, 85 complete skeletons of 25 male equids and bones from 6 additional animals were buried separately 86 from humans, either in a sequence of pits or in their own mud-brick structures (15), akin to the 3000 87 BCE donkey burials at Abydos, Egypt (16). While some animals were interred after natural deaths, 88 more than half appear to have been deliberately killed for burial in the complex. Morphometric values 89 obtained from these bones indicate that these animals constitute a population outside of the typical 90 ranges of horses, asses, and onagers, and it has been proposed that these skeletons represent hybrids, 91 92 presumably kungas (17 and Supplementary Materials). In absolute size, the skeletons are closer to hemiones, but are more robust; commonly-used slenderness indices suggest greater affinities with 93 asses than with hemiones. The leg characteristics of hemiones, responsible for a speed exceeding that 94

of horses, is retained in these animals, suggesting that they were also fast (*18*). Interestingly, discrepancies in wear between the incisors and cheek teeth of some of the equids indicate that the animals were foddered and not commonly grazed (*17*), features that would have been expected on the skeletons of the equids depicted on the standard of Ur, whose lip- or nose-rings would have made grazing difficult (Fig 1B). These animals would have been stronger and faster than donkeys, and must have been more tamable than hemiones (*19*).

Taxonomic classification of equids uncovered in tombs across Mesopotamia (Ur, Kish, Lagashnow al-Hiba, Abu Salabikh, Tell Madhhur) is often controversial (for discussion see e.g., *5*, *8*, *20*, *21*). The degree of variation within ancient populations is not fully known, and the degree of variation between individuals within a population – especially of domesticated animals – is large, making it difficult to differentiate between *E. africanus* and *E. hemionus* using solely bone morphological and metrical characteristics (for discussion see *22*).

In order to clarify whether the burials of Tell Umm el-Marra indeed contained the remains of the politically and symbolically important hybrids referred to in numerous cuneiform tablets as kunga, and in order to determine the taxonomic status of those animals, we investigated the genomes in samples from the skeletons of the equid installations at Umm el-Marra, an equid sample from the Early Neolithic site of Göbekli Tepe (Turkey), as well as the last survivors of the Syrian wild ass conserved in the Natural History Museum of Vienna.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

114 Analysis of the maternal and paternal lineages of the Umm el-Marra equids

An initial PCR screening of equid samples from Umm el-Marra showed that DNA was extremely poorly preserved in these bones owing to the hot climate in Syria, detrimental to long-term DNA preservation, and the poor condition of the bones (phalanges, sternum) available for study (Fig. S1).

Therefore, we combined shotgun nuclear DNA sequencing with highly sensitive PCR, targeting 118 taxonomically informative regions of both uniparental markers: mitochondrial DNA and the Y-119 chromosome. To better pinpoint the genetic identity of the parental species, we increased the available 120 121 Y-chromosome data by sequencing regions from additional populations of both modern and 19th and 20th century museum samples of hemiones and donkeys, for which the mitochondrial sequences were 122 previously generated (23). Short, overlapping PCR products suited to the degraded DNA of the 123 samples were designed to amplify a highly diagnostic mitochondrial control region fragment (324 124 base pairs long), including the site of a well-characterized 28 base pair-deletion exclusive to hemiones 125 (23), and three separate regions of the Y chromosomal DNA (in total 168 base pairs long) 126 encompassing four single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), which we show to be diagnostic 127 between E. ferus (caballus and przewalskii), E. africanus, and E. hemionus. 128

The full targeted mitochondrial sequence was successfully amplified from two out of the six 129 individual equids tested from Umm el-Marra. At every position divergent between E. ferus, E. 130 africanus, and E. hemionus, both of these sequences contained the E. africanus specific bases and 131 lacked the 28 base pair-deletion specific to E. hemionus (23). The maternal lineage of these equids 132 thus unambiguously belongs to *E. africanus* as visible in a median joining network (24) (Fig. 3A). 133 All three Y-chromosome fragments were successfully amplified from these same two Umm el-Marra 134 individuals. Within these three regions, four diagnostic positions differentiate E. africanus (T/G/T/A) 135 from E. hemionus (C/A/G/G), two of which also differentiate E. ferus (C/G/G/A) from either E. 136 hemionus or E. africanus. At each diagnostic position, the equids from Umm el-Marra were found to 137 have the E. hemionus-specific base, and no diagnostic position of any product contained the E. 138 africanus-specific base (table S1). The hemione-specific Y-SNPs were also confirmed previously in 139 diverse hemiones from archaeological samples from the Caucasus, museum specimens from Tibet 140 and Syria and present-day specimens from the Gobi in Mongolia (23) (Fig. 3B and table S1). 141

In addition to the *E. hemionus* diagnostic positions, both Umm el-Marra sequences contained two 142 additional Y-chromosome SNPs observed only in the two hemippes from the 19th and 20th centuries 143 analyzed herein (Fig. 3B), one of them being the last known member of the subspecies. This animal 144 145 had been caught in the deserts north of Aleppo in 1911 and had been kept in the Schönbrunn Zoo in Vienna until its death in 1929 (see Fig. S2 for images of two of the hemippes used in this study). 146 Thus, the Umm-el-Marra equids harbor the maternal lineage of the domestic donkey and the paternal 147 lineage of the Syrian wild ass, suggesting they could be F1 hybrids, since interspecific equid hybrids 148 are generally sterile, or poorly fertile. 149

Analysis of the nuclear genomes of Umm el-Marra and Göbekli Tepe equids and the last Svrian wild asses

To further establish the hybrid identity of these equids, we sequenced a subset of the nuclear 152 genome of the best preserved Umm-El-Marra equid bone (table S5). In addition, we established the 153 genome sequence of the extinct Syrian hemippe by sequencing a ca. 11,000-year-old wild ass from 154 the early Neolithic site of Göbekli Tepe, present-day Turkey, representing the first temple (25), and 155 two 19th century specimens from the Schönbrunn Zoo (table S5). These four newly generated 156 genomes were compared to six modern horse (26), six domestic donkey (27), three Mongolian 157 khulans (27, 28) (an E. hemionus subspecies from the Gobi), two kiang genomes (27, 29) (E. 158 hemionus kiang or E. kiang), and one Persian onager genome (29) (an E. hemionus subspecies from 159 Iran), (table S4). A set of 15.5 million SNPs residing outside of repeated sequences and being variable 160 in the modern genome equid panel was used for calling the ancient genomes (see Supplementary 161 Methods and table S5). Even though the best Umm-el-Marra extract contained only 0.18% 162 endogenous DNA, we could obtain 45.6K SNPs, 40.4K of which were shared with either hemippe. 163 Of these, 15.2K SNPs (37%) were shared with both of the two best-covered hemippe genomes. First, 164 we performed principal component analysis (PCA) to compare (a) the hemione and donkey genomes 165 (Figs. 4A, S4A), or (b) hemione, donkey and horse genomes (Figs. S4B-E). Identical results were 166

obtained whether we used the 15.2K SNPs shared between the Umm-el-Marra equid (UMM9), the 167 Göbekli Tepe and the 1864 hemippe, or whether we used the 4.1 million SNPs shared between the 168 Göbekli Tepe and the 1864 hemippe genome and projected the UMM9 equid onto the PCA (compare 169 170 Figs.4A and S4A, S4B and S4D, S4C and S4E), showing that the 15.2K SNPs obtained allowed robust characterization of the status of the Umm-el-Marra equid. When only donkey and hemione 171 genomes are used, PC1 separates donkeys from hemiones and PC2 separates the hemiones (Figs. 4A 172 and S4A). The most differentiated in PC2 are the Persian onager and the kiang zoo specimens. The 173 Mongolian khulan and the kiang from neighboring regions in China are very closely related and 174 overlapping in the PCA, which is in accordance with the shared mitochondrial lineages we reported 175 previously that led us to question the specific taxonomic status of the kiang as a separate species (23) 176 (see also the phylogenetic trees of the mitogenomes and the genomes in Figs. S6 and S7). The two 177 modern hemippes as well as the Göbekli Tepe sample overlap as well (the lower coverage 1892 178 hemippe was projected) and are located at an intermediate position in PC2. The Göbekli Tepe sample, 179 a mare, is clearly an ancient hemippe as observed from the phylogenetic trees constructed from both 180 mitochondrial and nuclear genomes (Figs. S6-S7). The UMM9 equid falls exactly halfway between 181 the donkeys and the hemippes. When the PCA also includes the horses, PC1 differentiates the horse 182 from the non-caballine equids, PC2 separates the donkeys from the hemiones, and PC3 separates the 183 hemiones in a similar way as PC2 does when horses are not included (Figs. S4B-E). In all analyses, 184 the results for the UMM9 equid illustrate an intermediate position between the donkeys and hemippes. 185 The PCA analyses thus indicate that the UMM9 genome is a 50% mixture of donkey and hemippe. 186

We further explored this outcome through ADMIXTURE analysis (*30*) (Fig. 4B). A 4-population model separates horses, donkeys, Mongolian khulans and kiangs from onagers and the two bestcovered hemippes. The UMM9 equid is modelled as an admixture of equal proportion between donkey and hemippe/onager (Fig. 4B). Likewise, when considering the 4738 UMM9 equid SNPs for which all six donkeys differ from all hemippes that have the position covered, the UMM9 equid
harbors SNPs corresponding to roughly half of those specific to each putative parent (Fig. 4C).

Finally, a bifurcating tree with gene flow analysis was performed using treemix (31) (Fig. 4D). 193 For the reference equids, genomic tree topology is similar in both the full mitogenome and genome 194 tree topology obtained with different methods (Figs. S6-S7). The Persian onager and the hemippes 195 are closely related, and the Mongolian khulans and the Tibetan kiangs are even more closely related. 196 In this respect, the genetic distances between the various hemiones correspond to the geographic 197 distances between their native range (onager: Iran; hemippe: Syria; Mongolian khulan: Mongolia; 198 kiang: Tibet). The UMM9 equid is represented on the tree as related to the donkey, but the residuals 199 between the hemippe and the UMM9 equid are high and a gene flow event from the hemippe to the 200 UMM9 equid best describes the phylogeny (see also Fig. S5). These results demonstrate the 201 sufficiency of the Umm el-Marra SNPs to determine the phylogenetic relationships between the 202 equids. The tree also placed the Umm el-Marra sample halfway between the asses and the hemippes 203 (Fig. 4), which meets expectations when dealing with F1 hybrids of these species. Evidence from Y-204 chromosome analysis indicates that the Syrian hemippe rather than the Persian onager was used to 205 father the Umm el-Marra equids, whereas the mitochondrial DNA reveals that a donkey contributed 206 207 the maternal genome. That the Umm el-Marra equids were in fact F1 hybrids and not back-crossed hybrids is also supported by the relative hybrid sterility between donkeys and horses, as well as 208 experiments in the 1940s crossing female donkeys with male hemiones, the Turkmenian kulans (E. 209 *hemionus kulan*), which produced sterile offspring (32). 210

Expectedly, the 19th-20th century hemippes, representing some of the last survivors of the subspecies are genetically similar, whereas the ~11,000-year-old Göbekli Tepe hemippe is more divergent (Fig. S7). We also noted that the divergence between the three sequenced hemippes is much larger than that observed between the six domestic donkeys (Fig. S7). The higher diversity between the sequenced hemippe genomes versus between the donkey genomes suggests that the donkey

mother of the UMM9 equid is more closely related to present-day donkeys than the Syrian hemippe 216 father of the UMM9 equid is to the other sequenced hemippes. This difference, albeit small, may 217 account for the slightly higher affinity of the UMM9 equid to present-day domestic donkeys that is 218 219 visible on Figs. 5 B & D. Both the genomic phylogenetic tree (Fig. S7) and the PCA analyses (Figs. 4B & S4) indicate that differentiation between the donkey genomes is low, far less than between the 220 various present-day hemiones, presumably because donkeys went through a major bottleneck, 221 possibly upon domestication and translocation to southwest Asia outside the range of the ancestors 222 of donkeys. The observation that the ~4500-year-old UMM9 equid appears more closely related to 223 present-day donkeys than to the last hemippe that disappeared a century ago suggests that the 224 bottleneck of the donkey population had already taken place by the third millennium BCE. 225

It has been noted that the Syrian wild ass (hemippe), whose range once extended across the Levant, 226 was the smallest form of modern equids (18). Both historical specimens analyzed in this study stood 227 ca. 100 cm at the shoulder (14) (Fig. S2). In contrast, the hybrids of Umm el-Marra were estimated 228 to average 130 cm at the shoulder (17). Regarding this difference in size, previous work had recovered 229 mitochondrial haplotypes from larger-sized Bronze Age equids recovered from Tell Munbaga, 230 situated in northern Syria east of Umm el-Marra, as well as from three historical hemippe samples 231 dating from the mid-19th to early 20th century. Both the larger ancient and smaller more recent 232 animals were shown to cluster together in a single, separate mitochondrial clade (23). The Göbekli 233 Tepe wild asses were on average even slightly larger than those of roughly contemporaneous Tell 234 Mureybet (10th-9th millennia BCE) and 3rd-2nd millennia BCE Tell Munbaga, two sites located in the 235 direct vicinity of Umm el-Marra (22), (Fig. 2). It was concluded, therefore, that the small Syrian wild 236 ass was likely to have been a dwarfed descendant of a genetically continuous population of larger, 237 more robust animals populating Syria in the third millennium BCE and earlier (23). The genomic 238 analyses of both ancient and historical hemippes in the present study support this earlier finding. 239 Indeed, no dwarf form has ever been reported from Late Pleistocene and Holocene sites in 240

Mesopotamia (*33*) or Anatolia (*34*). Nearly 2,000 years after the equid burials of Umm el-Marra, sixth century BCE palace reliefs featuring hunted hemiones from Nineveh (in modern-day northern Iraq) show already relatively small animals (Figs. 1D & S8).

244 **CONCLUSION**

The genomic results from the rare equid burials at the elite mortuary complex of Umm el-Marra 245 confirm earlier hypotheses based on morphological data that these animals are indeed hybrids (8, 9, 246 37) and, given their interment in high-status tombs, are most likely identical with the valuable kungas 247 frequently mentioned in cuneiform texts and depicted in images and royal seals throughout 248 Mesopotamia. This study now offers a firm zoological classification of the historical kunga as an F1 249 cross between a female donkey and a male Syrian wild ass, or hemippe, putting to rest past 250 speculations regarding the taxonomic identification of the BAR x AN. We further show that the 3rd 251 millennium BCE ancestors of the hemippe were likely larger than those first described by European 252 travelers visiting Syria in the 19th century. Our study also presents the earliest known case in human 253 history of inter-species hybridization practiced by Early Bronze Age breeders at sites such as Nagar 254 (Tell Brak) (Fig. 2), to generate animals famous for their power, both physical and symbolic, in 255 ancient warfare and diplomacy. This result also deepens our insight into the economic and political 256 relationships between contemporary royal households of Greater Mesopotamia, and the dynamics by 257 which these social elites fostered distant alliances. It also increases our understanding of the ways in 258 which the earliest stratified urban societies of the Middle East developed and maintained their 259 positions of authority. In this respect, genomic characterization of additional equids from comparable 260 contexts, particularly from Nagar, may help clarify the scale of hybrid breeding in 3rd millennium 261 BCE Mesopotamian societies prior to the introduction of domestic horses. 262

263

264 Materials & Methods

265 Sample description

Bone remains from equid skeletons dated between ca. 2550 and 2300 BCE and excavated in 2006 at 266 Tell Umm el-Marra, a Bronze Age elite cemetery in northern Syria (15, 17, 35) were sampled for 267 ancient DNA analysis. Further descriptions of the samples are given in the Supplementary Materials 268 and photos of the samples from the two individuals from which sufficient DNA was recovered appear 269 270 in Fig. S1. A petrous bone excavated from a layer dated between 9500-8300 BCE from the site of Göbekli Tepe in southeast Turkey (25) and Supplementary Material) was also analyzed in the present 271 study. Furthermore, we analyzed two samples of the extinct *Equus hemionus hemippus* originating 272 from the desert of Aleppo in Syria and kept in the zoo of Schönbrunn, Vienna, Austria, a tooth from 273 the NMW 6048/ST345 specimen and a hair and skin sample from the NMW1308/B4690 specimen, 274 corresponding to animals who died in the Schönbrunn zoo in 1864 and 1892, respectively (36) (Fig. 275 S2). Finally, hair of a male Somalian ass (*E.africanus somaliensis*) from the "Réserve Africaine de 276 Sigean" (Sigean, France) was provided for the analysis of the Y chromosome by Dr. Elodie Trunet 277 (Sample "As.Somalie"). 278

279

aDNA Extraction, Amplification, and Sequencing

Hair samples were added to 1.5 mL hair digestion buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl₂,
40 mM DTT, 3 mM CaCl₂, 2% N-lauryl sarcosyl, 250 µg/mL proteinase K) and incubated 4-24 hours
at 50°C, shaken 300 RPM. Solutions were then pelleted and the supernatant was purified using a
QIAquick Gel extraction kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to instructions.

DNA from archaeological bone samples was extracted, purified and prepared for either qPCR or sequencing in the ancient DNA lab described previously (*37–39*). Bone cleaning and treatment protocols were as described previously (*12, 23*). Briefly, after removal of the surface with a razor's blade or surface-cleaning with bleach the bones were either sawed using a flame-sterilized diamonddisc of a Dremel Fortiflex (Dremel Europe, The Netherlands) and ground to fine powder in a 6775

Freezer/Mill®Spex SamplePrep in liquid nitrogen or drilled at low speed with a flame-sterilized bit. 290 The dense pyramidal part of the petrous bone GT64 was isolated using a flame-sterilized diamond-291 disc of a Dremel and then ground to fine powder in a 6775 Freezer/Mill®Spex SamplePrep in liquid 292 293 nitrogen. Half of the GT64 powder was treated with diluted hypochlorite (1/20) and both halves washed with phosphate buffer according to Korlević et al., 2015 (40). DNA extraction was performed 294 by incubating the bone powder at 37°C for 48 to 90 hours in either 1 to 10 mL extraction buffer A 295 (0.5 M EDTA, 0.25 M PO₄³⁻ pH 8.0, 0.14 M β-mercaptoethanol) or in twice 1 mL extraction buffer 296 B (0.5 M EDTA, 0.05% Tween20, 250 µg/mL Proteinase K, 0.14 M ß-mercaptoethanol) that were 297 pooled prior to purification. Samples were purified using silica membrane spin-columns (QIAquick 298 Gel Extraction kit) with a vacuum manifold (Oiagen) and 25 mL extenders (Oiagen) as described 299 (38) (41), as well as with either the 5M guanidine HCl, 40% isopropanol (5M40) buffer as described 300 in Dabney et al (42), or the 2M guanidine HCl, 70% isopropanol (2M70) buffer as described in Glocke 301 and Meyer (43). The elution was performed twice in 25 µl 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 0.05% Tween-302 20 (EBT) made from gamma-irradiated water (8 kGy). 303

Purified DNA was amplified by qPCR, the extract making up 5-20% total volume (10-20µl per 304 reaction). Inhibition characteristics were determined for failed samples indicating possible inhibition, 305 and once optimal dilutions were determined, qPCR was attempted again. To protect against cross-306 contamination, the UOPCR method was used (37, 39, 44), in which uridine was substituted for 307 thymidine in all PCRs, and incubation with uracil N-glycosylase (UNG, extracted from G. morhua; 308 Biotec Marine Biochemicals, Norway) was performed prior to each reaction. Mock extracts were 309 included with each extraction and amplified to control for contamination. qPCR reactions varied 310 slightly depending on the sample, but a typical reaction included 1.77µL of LC FastStart DNA 311 312 MasterPLUS mix1b and 0.23uL of either FastStart DNA MasterPLUS mix1b, mix1a, or FastStart Tag (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany), a final concentration of 1µM of each primer and 313 1U per reaction of UNG in 10µL total volume. Primers were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, 314 USA). Mitochondrial primers were designed to amplify 357 base pairs of the hyper variable region 315

of E. africanus and E. hemionus mitochondria using short, overlapping fragments (table S3). Y-316 chromosome primers were designed to amplify three short sections of Y-chromosome DNA 317 containing the target SNPs (table S1-S3). Several modifications of these primers were designed to 318 319 increase sensitivity of qPCRs by minimizing the likelihood of primer-dimers and artifacts, and increasing primer efficiency. A list of primers used and product sizes is given in table S3. qPCR was 320 performed using Lightcycler 1.5 or Lightcycler 2 (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany). 321 qPCR programs varied depending on primer requirements and product length, but a typical program 322 involved UNG incubation at 37°C for 15 minutes, followed by polymerase activation at 95°C for 5 323 minutes, then 2-step cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 10 seconds, then primer annealing and 324 extension at 62°C for 40 seconds, and finally a temperature increase of 0.1°C/1second from 62°C to 325 95°C with continuous fluorescence measurement to generate melt-curves of the products. Products 326 were purified by a OIAquick PCR purification kit (Oiagen, Hilden, Germany) and both strands were 327 sequenced by capillary electrophoresis at Eurofins/MWG Operon (Ebersberg, Germany) using an 328 ABI 3730xl DNA Analyzer (Life Technologies). Samples that yielded sequence results for the Y-329 chromosome are shown in table S1. An average of one non-template control (NTC) was run for every 330 6.6 samples (including mocks). No DNA was amplified in either NTCs or mocks, demonstrating that 331 no detectable equid DNA was introduced during sample preparation or was present in reagents. 332

Samples from the two individuals from Umm-el-Marra with the best preserved DNA identified via qPCR (UMM4 and UMM9) were selected for shotgun sequencing, and 24 double-stranded libraries using dual-barcodes were prepared from DNA purified from three or four different areas of each bone using the protocol described in Massilani, et al., 2016 (*45*). Seven of these libraries were treated with UDG to reduce the presence of cytosine deamination damage in the resulting sequences.

Double-stranded libraries of the two *E. h. hemippus* (hemippe) museum specimens were constructed
using the NxSeq ampFREE Low DNA Library kit (Lucigen, Middleton, WI, USA) following the
protocol and the modifications described in Bennett, et al., 2019 (46). Barcodes were added during
an amplification reaction using dual-barcoded single-stranded library adapters (47) as primers, rather

than those in the kit, where 20 µl of eluted library was added to 25 µl OneTaq 2x Master Mix (Roche)
and 0.6 µM of each adapter for 50 µl total volume, and amplified with the following protocol: 5
minutes 95°C, 30 cycles of 30 seconds 95°C, 30 seconds 60°C, 45 seconds 68°C, followed by a 5
minute cycle at 68°C. A library for a hair and skin sample belonging to specimen NMW5493/B 3625
was constructed using the NEBNext® Ultra™ II DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, Ipswich,
MA, USA).

Libraries from the Göbekli Tepe petrous bone GT64 extracts were constructed using the NEBNext®
Ultra[™] II DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA) after a pretreatment with
USER enzyme mix (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA).

Dual-barcoded libraries were then purified and size-selected using NucleoMag beads (MachereyNagel) for two rounds of purification following the supplied protocol at a ratio of 1.3x beads per
reaction volume and eluted in 30 µl EBT.

All libraries were quantified with a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), Bioanalyzer 354 2100 (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and by qPCR. Screening by shotgun sequencing of Umm-el-355 Marra samples and of the two hemippe samples was performed on an Illumina MiSeq system using 356 a v3 reagent kit for 2x75 cycles. The libraries constructed from sample SP345, which came from a 357 molar belonging to specimen NMW6048/ST345 (1864 hemippe), a hair and skin sample belonging 358 to specimen NMW1308/B4690 (1892 hemippe), and the two libraries from the Umm-el-Marra 9 359 (UMM9) sample purified using the 5M40 and 2M70 buffers were selected for deep genomic 360 sequencing. 361

Sequencing of the 1864 hemippe genome was performed on an Illumina NextSeq using a NextSeq 500/550 High Output Kit v2 (2x75 cycles). The custom sequencing primer CL72 (*47*) was substituted for the read 1 primer sequencing steps, which is compatible with the single-stranded adapters used for these samples. Sequencing of the 1892 hemippe and of two of the UMM9 libraries was performed first on an Illumina MiSeq using a v3 reagent kit for 2x75 cycles and then on an Illumina Novaseq

15

367 6000 using a S2 flow cell for 2x50 cycles. Sequencing of the GT64 libraries was performed on a
368 Novaseq 6000 using a S4 flow cell for 2x75 cycles.

369 Paleogenetic data analyses

Sequences from PCRs were manually curated, assembled and aligned using the Geneious software 370 suite (48). Median-joining network analysis (24) was performed on mitochondrial sequences covering 371 357 bp of the hyper variable region generated in this study by PCR combined with those previously 372 reported (23) (accession numbers given in table S2), and Y-chromosome sequences generated in this 373 study combined with those publicly available (samples and sources shown in table S1). Maximum 374 375 likelihood (ML) analyses of the complete mitochondria of the two hemippes combined with donkey and hemione complete mitochondria after deletion of the 11-bp-tandem repeat in the HVR were 376 computed using RAxML (49) with a GTR nucleotide substitution model, a gamma-distributed rate 377 of variation among sites with four rate categories, and invariant sites (i.e., GTR-GAMMA-I) (Fig. 378 S6). We used 100 bootstraps to estimate node robustness. 379

Genomic analyses

Fastq reads from six modern horse genomes (24), six domestic donkey genomes (25), three Mongolian khulan genomes (25, 26) (an *E. hemionus* subspecies from the Gobi), two kiang genomes (25, 27) (*E. hemionus kiang* or *E. kiang*), and one Persian onager genome (27) (an *E. hemionus* subspecies from Iran), (table S4), were trimmed with cutadapt (v1.18) (*50*) and aligned to the *E. caballus* reference genome (eqCab2.0) using the BWA (v0.7.17) (*51*) *mem* program. PCR duplicates were removed using Picard MarkDuplicates (v2.20.0) (*52*), and reads aligning to the reference genome with mapping quality score below 30 were removed using samtools 1.9 (*53*).

SNPs selection: We curated the 36 million biallelic variant list used to differentiate equids in the Zonkey workflow (*54*) to filter out variants found in repeated sequences using a EqCab2 genome repeat mask downloaded from the UCSC browser <u>https://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgTables</u>. The rationale for this filtration was that these variants would be less reliably called using the short, damaged reads typical of ancient DNA libraries, in particular when mapping reads from a noncaballine equid to the horse reference genome because these genomes are expected to differ markedly in repeat location and sequence variability. This filtration reduced the variant list to 22 million. We then called variants from this list on the modern equid genomes using bcftools (v1.9; (*55*)) mpileup -B -q30 -Q30 and bcftools call -m. The vcf file was imported in plink (v1.9; (*56*)), and filtered to include only SNPs, removing invariant and multiallelic positions. The final curated list contains 15.5 million SNPs.

Shotgun reads for the Umm-el-Marra (UMM9) and the Göbekli Tepe (GT64) samples were merged 399 with leeHom (57) using the ancientdna option, while the historical hemippe reads were trimmed with 400 cutadapt (v1.18) (50). Fragments smaller than 28 bp were discarded, and the remaining reads were 401 aligned to the *E. caballus* reference genome (eqCab2.0) using the BWA (v0.7.17) (51) aln program 402 with parameters "-n 0.01 -l 0" followed by samse (UMM9 and GT64) or sampe (hemippe). PCR 403 duplicates were removed using picard MarkDuplicates (v2.20.0)(52), and reads aligning to the 404 reference genome below a mapping quality score of 20 and a length of 28 bp were removed. To 405 reduce the increase in spurious alignments from shorter reads described in (58), mapped reads less 406 than 35 bp containing indels were also removed using an awk script. The ancient nature of the UMM9 407 and GT64 sequences was confirmed by analyzing the damage profile using mapDamage2 (59) of 408 libraries generated from extracts not treated with USER-enzyme (Fig. S3). To remove the C->T 409 mutations at the end of the molecules that escaped the USER treatment (Fig. S3), the base quality 410 was rescaled at the last 2 bases using mapDamage2 (59). Since all Umm el-Marra samples had very 411 low levels of endogenous equid DNA (zero to 0.18% of reads), they were additionally aligned to the 412 human and bovine genome reference sequence (GRCh37 and ARS UCD1.2 respectively). Only 413 414 libraries that had at least 5-fold more reads mapping to the horse than to the cow or human genome when a seed length of 18 was used during bwa aln mapping were kept. Summaries of the sequencing 415 results are given in table S5. Hemippe reads were additionally aligned to the kiang mitochondrial 416 genome (NC 016061.1) (60) using bwa aln and bwa mem. The resulting E. h. hemippus 417 17

mitochondrial genomes had a mean coverage of 52x (1864 hemippe), 40x (1892 hemippe) and 51x
(Göbekli Tepe GT64). Complete mitogenome sequences were generated by consensus calling of the
bases using Geneious (*48*). To obtain a full-length mitogenome, gaps were filled using both targeted
PCR data of the HVR (*23*) and by analyzing, at the boundary of the gaps, the soft clipped reads
resulting from mapping with bwa mem rather than bwa aln.

Nuclear SNPs were called from the Umm-el-Marra and hemippe bam files using the samtools (*55*) *mpileup* command with the following parameters: -B -A -Q20 and specifying only the 15.5 million SNP positions described above. Calling and selection of a single allele for all heterozygous sites were performed using pileupCaller (*61*). This resulted in 6.8 million shared positions between extant equids and the 1864 hemippe, 2.2 million shared with the 1892 hemippe, 10.9 million with the Göbekli Tepe GT64 sample, and 45,604 with the Umm-el-Marra 9 sample (table S5).

Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using EIGENSOFT SmartPCA (v16000) (62, 429 63) by projecting the samples with partial coverage onto eigenvectors calculated from all shared 430 positions of well covered equids (projectlsq: YES). For the PCA represented in Figs. 4A and S4 D-431 E, we used the 15.2K SNPs shared between UMM9 and both the GT64 and the 1864 hemippe, and 432 only the 1892 hemippe was projected. For the PCA represented in Figs. S4 A-C, we used the 4.1M 433 SNPs shared between the extant equids used and both the GT64 and the 1864 hemippe, with both the 434 UMM9 and the 1892 hemippe being projected. Admixture (v1.3.0) (64) was used to estimate ancestry 435 of the six horses, six donkeys, three Mongolian khulans, two kiangs, the onager, the 1864 and the 436 11,000 BP GT64 hemippe and UMM9 using the 15.2K SNPs shared between UMM9 and both the 437 GT64 and the 1864 hemippe, and a four population model (K=4). Fig. 4B represents the admixture 438 bar graph obtained in 70% of the 30 iterations (90% showed the UMM9 sample as a 1:1 admixture 439 440 of onager/hemippe and donkey). The bifurcating tree with gene flow was performed using treemix (31) with the 40.4K SNPs shared between UMM9 and either of the three hemippes, and considering 441 the following equid groups (number of individuals): Horse (6), Donkey (6), Hemippe (3), UMM9 (1), 442 Onager (1), Mongolian khulan (3) and Kiang (2). Horses were used as the outgroup and sample size 443

444 correction was disabled. The tree obtained with one migration/admixture event is represented in Fig. 445 4D and the residuals are plotted in Fig. S5. From these 40.4K SNPs, we identified those where all 446 donkeys are identical and all hemippes that have the corresponding positions covered are identical 447 and distinct from the donkeys (4738 SNPs) and counted the SNPs where the UMM9 SNPs are 448 identical to either the donkey- or the hemippe-specific SNPs. These counts are represented in Fig. 449 4C.

The genome phylogeny shown in Fig. S7 was obtained using the 738.5K SNPs shared between all three hemippes and equids, after calculation of the pairwise distance matrix between all equids using plink (*56*) and construction of the phylogenetic tree using fastme with nni optimization (*65*).

453 **REFERENCES**

- P. M. M. G. Akkermans, G. M. Schwartz, *The archaeology of Syria: from complex hunter-gatherers to early urban societies (c. 16,000-300 BC)* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK; New York, 2003), *Cambridge world archaeology*.
- 457 2. J. A. Ur, Cycles of Civilization in Northern Mesopotamia, 4400—2000 BC. J. Archaeol. Res. 18, 387–431 (2010).
- 459 3. K. Maekawa, The Donkey and the Persian Onager in Late Third Millennium B.C. Mesopotamia and Syria: A
 460 Rethinking. *J. West Asian Archaeol.* 7, 1–20 (2006).
- 461 4. K. Maekawa, The Ass and the Onager in Sumer in the Late Third Millennium B.C. *Acat Sumerologica*. 1, 35–62 (1979).
- J. Zarins, in *Equids in the Ancient World (Beihefte zum Tübinger Atlas des Vorderen Orients, Reihe A, Nr. 19/1)*,
 R. H. Meadow, H. P. Uerpmann, Eds. (Dr. Ludwig Reichert Verlag, Wiesbaden, 1986), pp. 165–191.
- 465 6. W. Heimpel, Towards an understanding of the term SiKKum. *Rev. Assyriol.* 88, 5–31 (1994).
- 466 7. M. G. Biga, The marriage of Eblaite princess Tagris-Damu with a son of Nagar's king. *Subartu IV.* 2, 17–22 (1998).
- J. N. Postgate, in *Equids in the Ancient World (Beihefte zum Tübinger Atlas des Vorderen Orients, Reihe A, Nr. 19/1)*, R. H. Meadow, H. P. Uerpmann, Eds. (Dr. Ludwig Reichert Verlag, Wiesbaden, 1986), pp. 194–205.
- 470 9. J. Zarins, *The domestication of Equidae in third-millennium BCE Mesopotamia* (CDL Press, Bethesda, Maryland,
 471 2014), *Cornell University studies in Assyriology and Sumerology (CUSAS)*.
- R. Dolce, Equids as Luxury Gifts at the Centre of Interregional Economic Dynamics in the Archaic Urban
 Cultures of the Ancient Near East. *Syria*, 55–75 (2014).
- J. Clutton-Brock, *Horse Power. A History of the Horse and the Donkey in Human Societies* (Harvard University Press, 1992).
- S. Guimaraes, B. S. Arbuckle, J. Peters, S. E. Adcock, H. Buitenhuis, H. Chazin, N. Manaseryan, H.-P.
 Uerpmann, T. Grange, E.-M. Geigl, Ancient DNA shows domestic horses were introduced in the southern Caucasus and Anatolia during the Bronze Age. *Sci. Adv.* 6 (2020), doi:10.1126/sciadv.abb0030.
- 479 13. E. Mohr, Eine durch Hagenbeck importierte Herde des persischen Onagers, Equus hemionus Onager. *Equus.* 1, 164–189 (1961).
- 481 14. O. Antonius, Beobachtungen an Einhufern in Schönbrunn 1: Der Syrische Halbesel (Equus hemionus hemippus J. Geoffr.). Zool. Gart. NF. 1, 19–25 (1929).
- 483 15. G. M. Schwartz, H. H. Curvers, S. S. Dunham, B. Stuart, J. A. Weber, "A third millennium BC elite mortuary 484 complex at Umm El-Marra, Syria: 2002 and 2004 excavations. *Am. J. Archaeol.* **110**, 603–641 (2006).
- S. Rossel, F. Marshall, J. Peters, T. Pilgram, M. D. Adams, D. O'Connor, Domestication of the donkey: Timing, processes, and indicators. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 105, 3715–3720 (2008).
- 487 17. J. A. Weber, in *Archaeozoology of the Near East VIII*, E. Vila, Ed. (Maison de l'Orient et de la Méditerranée,
 488 Lyon, 2008), vol. TMO 49, pp. 499–519.
- 18. C. P. Groves, R. H. Meadow, H. P. Uerpmann, Eds. (Dr. Ludwig Reichert Verlag, Wiesbaden, 1986), vol. I of Beihefte zum Tübinger Atlas des Vorderen Orients, Reihe A, pp. 11–47.
- 491 19. J. Oates, in *Prehistoric Steppe Adaptation and the Horse*, M. Levine, C. Renfrew, K. Boyle, Eds. (McDonald
 492 Institute Monograph, Cambridge, 2003), pp. 115–125.

- 493 20. J. Clutton-Brock, R. Burleigh, The animal remains from Abu Salabikh, a preliminary report. *Iraq.* 40, 89–100 (1978).
- J. Clutton-Brock, in *Equids in the Ancient World (Beihefte zum Tübinger Atlas des Vorderen Orients, Reihe A, Nr. 19/1)*, R. H. Meadow, H. P. Uerpmann, Eds. (Dr. Ludwig Reichert Verlag, Wiesbaden, 1986), pp. 207–225.
- 497 22. E. M. Geigl, T. Grange, Eurasian wild asses in time and space: Morphological versus genetic diversity. *Ann.* 498 *Anat.* 194, 88–102 (2012).
- 499 23. E. A. Bennett, S. Champlot, J. Peters, B. S. Arbuckle, S. Guimaraes, M. Pruvost, S. Bar-David, S. J. M. Davis, M.
 500 Gautier, P. Kaczensky, R. Kuehn, M. Mashkour, A. Morales-Muñiz, E. Pucher, J.-F. Tournepiche, H.-P.
 501 Uerpmann, A. Bălăşescu, M. Germonpré, C. Y. Gündem, M.-R. Hemami, P.-E. Moullé, A. Ötzan, M. Uerpmann,
 502 C. Walzer, T. Grange, E.-M. Geigl, Taming the late Quaternary phylogeography of the Eurasiatic wild ass
 503 through ancient and modern DNA. *PloS One.* 12, e0174216 (2017).
- 504 24. H. J. Bandelt, P. Forster, A. Röhl, Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies. *Mol Biol Evol.* 16, 37–48 (1999).
- 506 25. K. Schmidt, Sie bauten die ersten Tempel (Beck Verlag, München, 2006).
- 507 26. L. Orlando, A. Ginolhac, G. Zhang, D. Froese, A. Albrechtsen, M. Stiller, M. Schubert, E. Cappellini, B. 508 Petersen, I. Moltke, P. L. F. Johnson, M. Fumagalli, J. T. Vilstrup, M. Raghavan, T. Korneliussen, A.-S. 509 Malaspinas, J. Vogt, D. Szklarczyk, C. D. Kelstrup, J. Vinther, A. Dolocan, J. Stenderup, A. M. V. Velazquez, J. 510 Cahill, M. Rasmussen, X. Wang, J. Min, G. D. Zazula, A. Seguin-Orlando, C. Mortensen, K. Magnussen, J. F. 511 Thompson, J. Weinstock, K. Gregersen, K. H. Røed, V. Eisenmann, C. J. Rubin, D. C. Miller, D. F. Antczak, M. F. Bertelsen, S. Brunak, K. A. S. Al-Rasheid, O. Ryder, L. Andersson, J. Mundy, A. Krogh, M. T. P. Gilbert, K. 512 513 Kjær, T. Sicheritz-Ponten, L. J. Jensen, J. V. Olsen, M. Hofreiter, R. Nielsen, B. Shapiro, J. Wang, E. Willerslev, 514 Recalibrating Equus evolution using the genome sequence of an early Middle Pleistocene horse. Nature. 499, 74-515 78 (2013).
- C. Wang, H. Li, Y. Guo, J. Huang, Y. Sun, J. Min, J. Wang, X. Fang, Z. Zhao, S. Wang, Y. Zhang, Q. Liu, Q. Jiang, X. Wang, Y. Guo, C. Yang, Y. Wang, F. Tian, G. Zhuang, Y. Fan, Q. Gao, Y. Li, Z. Ju, J. Li, R. Li, M. Hou, G. Yang, G. Liu, W. Liu, J. Guo, S. Pan, G. Fan, W. Zhang, R. Zhang, J. Yu, X. Zhang, Q. Yin, C. Ji, Y. Jin, G. Yue, M. Liu, J. Xu, S. Liu, J. Jordana, A. Noce, M. Amills, D. D. Wu, S. Li, X. Zhou, J. Zhong, Donkey genomes provide new insights into domestication and selection for coat color. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 6014 (2020).
- J. Huang, Y. Zhao, D. Bai, W. Shiraigol, B. Li, L. Yang, J. Wu, W. Bao, X. Ren, B. Jin, Q. Zhao, A. Li, S. Bao,
 W. Bao, Z. Xing, A. An, Y. Gao, R. Wei, Y. Bao, T. Bao, H. Han, H. Bai, Y. Bao, Y. Zhang, D. Daidiikhuu, W.
 Zhao, S. Liu, J. Ding, W. Ye, F. Ding, Z. Sun, Y. Shi, Y. Zhang, H. Meng, M. Dugarjaviin, Donkey genome and
 insight into the imprinting of fast karyotype evolution. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 14106 (2015).
- H. Jonsson, M. Schubert, A. Seguin-Orlando, A. Ginolhac, L. Petersen, M. Fumagalli, A. Albrechtsen, B.
 Petersen, T. S. Korneliussen, J. T. Vilstrup, T. Lear, J. L. Myka, J. Lundquist, D. C. Miller, A. H. Alfarhan, S. A.
 Alquraishi, K. A. Al-Rasheid, J. Stagegaard, G. Strauss, M. F. Bertelsen, T. Sicheritz-Ponten, D. F. Antczak, E.
 Bailey, R. Nielsen, E. Willerslev, L. Orlando, Speciation with gene flow in equids despite extensive chromosomal
 plasticity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U A.* 111, 18655–60 (2014).
- 530 30. D. H. Alexander, J. Novembre, K. Lange, Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated individuals.
 531 *Genome Res.* 19, 1655–1664 (2009).
- J. K. Pickrell, J. K. Pritchard, Inference of Population Splits and Mixtures from Genome-Wide Allele Frequency
 Data. *PLOS Genet.* 8, e1002967 (2012).
- 534 32. V. A. Ščekin, A. V. Škurgin, Rezultaty gibridizacii lošadei i oslov s kulanami. Trudy vsesojuznogo naučno 535 issledovatelskogo instituta konevodstva. 18, 106–118 (1950).
- S. Bökönyi, in *Equids in the Ancient World (Beihefte zum Tübinger Atlas des Vorderen Orients, Reihe A, Nr. 19/1)*, R. H. Meadow, H. P. Uerpmann, Eds. (Dr. Ludwig Reichert Verlag, Wiesbaden, 1986), pp. 302–317.

- R. H. Meadow, in *Equids in the Ancient World (Beihefte zum Tübinger Atlas des Vorderen Orients, Reihe A, Nr. 19/1)*, R. H. Meadow, H. P. Uerpmann, Eds. (Dr. Ludwig Riechert Verlag, Wiesbaden, 1986), p. 284.
- G. M. Schwartz, H. H. Curvers, S. S. Dunham, J. A. Weber, From Urban Origins to Imperial Integration in
 Western Syria: Umm el-Marra 2006, 2008. *Am. J. Archaeol.* 116, 157 (2012).
- 542 36. O. Antonius, Beobachtungen an Einhufern in Schönbrunn 1: Der Syrische Halbesel (*Equus hemionus hemippus* J.
 543 Geoffr.). *Zool. Gart. NF.* 1, 19–25 (1929).
- S. Champlot, C. Berthelot, M. Pruvost, E. A. Bennett, T. Grange, E.-M. Geigl, An efficient multistrategy DNA decontamination procedure of PCR reagents for hypersensitive PCR applications. *PloS One*. 5 (2010), doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013042.
- 547 38. E. A. Bennett, D. Massilani, G. Lizzo, J. Daligault, E.-M. Geigl, T. Grange, Library construction for ancient genomics: single strand or double strand? *BioTechniques*. 56, 289–298 (2014).
- M. Pruvost, R. Schwarz, V. B. Correia, S. Champlot, S. Braguier, N. Morel, Y. Fernandez-Jalvo, T. Grange, E.
 M. Geigl, Freshly excavated fossil bones are best for amplification of ancient DNA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. **104**, 739–44 (2007).
- 40. P. Korlević, T. Gerber, M.-T. Gansauge, M. Hajdinjak, S. Nagel, A. Aximu-Petri, M. Meyer, Reducing microbial
 and human contamination in DNA extractions from ancient bones and teeth. *BioTechniques*. 59 (2015),
 doi:10.2144/000114320.
- 41. O. Gorgé, E. A. Bennett, D. Massilani, J. Daligault, M. Pruvost, E.-M. Geigl, T. Grange, Analysis of Ancient
 DNA in Microbial Ecology. *Methods Mol. Biol. Clifton NJ*. 1399, 289–315 (2016).
- J. Dabney, M. Knapp, I. Glocke, M. T. Gansauge, A. Weihmann, B. Nickel, C. Valdiosera, N. Garcia, S. Paabo,
 J. L. Arsuaga, M. Meyer, Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of a Middle Pleistocene cave bear
 reconstructed from ultrashort DNA fragments. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 110, 15758–63 (2013).
- I. Glocke, M. Meyer, Extending the spectrum of DNA sequences retrieved from ancient bones and teeth. *Genome Res.* 27, 1230–1237 (2017).
- M. Pruvost, T. Grange, E. M. Geigl, Minimizing DNA contamination by using UNG-coupled quantitative real time PCR on degraded DNA samples: application to ancient DNA studies. *Biotechniques*. 38, 569–75 (2005).
- 564 45. D. Massilani, S. Guimaraes, J.-P. Brugal, E. A. Bennett, M. Tokarska, R.-M. Arbogast, G. Baryshnikov, G.
 565 Boeskorov, J.-C. Castel, S. Davydov, S. Madelaine, O. Putelat, N. N. Spasskaya, H.-P. Uerpmann, T. Grange, E.566 M. Geigl, Past climate changes, population dynamics and the origin of Bison in Europe. *BMC Biol.* 14, 93 (2016).
- 567 46. E. A. Bennett, I. Crevecoeur, B. Viola, A. P. Derevianko, M. V. Shunkov, T. Grange, B. Maureille, E.-M. Geigl,
 568 Morphology of the Denisovan phalanx closer to modern humans than to Neanderthals. *Sci. Adv.* 5, eaaw3950
 569 (2019).
- M. T. Gansauge, M. Meyer, Single-stranded DNA library preparation for the sequencing of ancient or damaged
 DNA. *Nat Protoc.* 8, 737–48 (2013).
- 48. M. Kearse, R. Moir, A. Wilson, S. Stones-Havas, M. Cheung, S. Sturrock, S. Buxton, A. Cooper, S. Markowitz,
 573 C. Duran, T. Thierer, B. Ashton, P. Meintjes, A. Drummond, Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable
 574 desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics*. 28, 1647–9 (2012).
- A. Stamatakis, RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies.
 Bioinformatics. 30, 1312–3 (2014).
- 577 50. M. Martin, Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet.journal.* **17**, 10 (2011).
- 579 51. H. Li, R. Durbin, Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*. 25, 1754–60 (2009).

- 581 52. http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/.
- 582 53. H. Li, B. Handsaker, A. Wysoker, T. Fennell, J. Ruan, N. Homer, G. Marth, G. Abecasis, R. Durbin, The
 583 Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*. 25, 2078–9 (2009).
- 54. M. Schubert, M. Mashkour, C. Gaunitz, A. Fages, A. Seguin-Orlando, S. Sheikhi, A. H. Alfarhan, S. A.
 Alquraishi, K. A. S. Al-Rasheid, R. Chuang, L. Ermini, C. Gamba, J. Weinstock, O. Vedat, L. Orlando, Zonkey:
 A simple, accurate and sensitive pipeline to genetically identify equine F1-hybrids in archaeological assemblages. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 78, 147–157 (2017).
- 588 55. H. Li, A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and population genetical
 589 parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*. 27, 2987–2993 (2011).
- 590 56. C. C. Chang, C. C. Chow, L. C. Tellier, S. Vattikuti, S. M. Purcell, J. J. Lee, Second-generation PLINK: rising to 591 the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *GigaScience*. **4**, 7 (2015).
- 592 57. G. Renaud, U. Stenzel, J. Kelso, leeHom: adaptor trimming and merging for Illumina sequencing reads. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, e141–e141 (2014).
- 58. C. de Filippo, M. Meyer, K. Prüfer, Quantifying and reducing spurious alignments for the analysis of ultra-short ancient DNA sequences. *BMC Biol.* **16** (2018), doi:10.1186/s12915-018-0581-9.
- 596 59. H. Jónsson, A. Ginolhac, M. Schubert, P. L. F. Johnson, L. Orlando, mapDamage2.0: fast approximate Bayesian estimates of ancient DNA damage parameters. *Bioinformatics*. 29, 1682–1684 (2013).
- 598 60. Y. Luo, Y. Chen, F. Liu, C. Jiang, Y. Gao, Mitochondrial genome sequence of the Tibetan wild ass (Equus kiang). *Mitochondrial DNA*. 22, 6–8 (2011).
- 600 61. https://github.com/stschiff/sequenceTools.
- 601 62. A. L. Price, N. J. Patterson, R. M. Plenge, M. E. Weinblatt, N. A. Shadick, D. Reich, Principal components
 602 analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. *Nat. Genet.* 38, 904–909 (2006).
- 603 63. N. Patterson, A. L. Price, D. Reich, Population Structure and Eigenanalysis. *PLoS Genet.* 2, e190 (2006).
- 604 64. D. H. Alexander, J. Novembre, K. Lange, Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated individuals.
 605 *Genome Res.* 19, 1655–1664 (2009).
- 606
 65. V. Lefort, R. Desper, O. Gascuel, FastME 2.0: A Comprehensive, Accurate, and Fast Distance-Based Phylogeny
 607 Inference Program. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 32, 2798–2800 (2015).
- 608
 66. A. von den Driesch, J. Peters, Vorläufiger Bericht über die archäozoologischen Untersuchungen am Göbekli Tepe und am Gürcütepe bei Urfa, Türkei. *Istanbuler Mitteilungen*. 49, 23–39 (1999).

610

611 Acknowledgments

We are very grateful to Kazuva Maekawa for discussion of the cuneiform terms and for providing a 612 drawing of the cuneiform tablet BM 23836, conserved in the British Museum, London. We are also 613 grateful to Frank Zachos and Alexander Bibl from the "Naturhistorisches Museum Wien" for 614 providing the hemippe specimens. We thank Gerhard Heindl from the Geschichtsforschung & 615 Dokumentation department of the Schönbrunner Tiergarten GmbH, Vienna, Austria, for help with 616 the search for photos of the last hemippe. We thank Elodie Trunet Réserve Africaine de Sigean. 617 France, for providing the sample of the Somalian ass. We thank Tanja Kovaleva for translations of 618 Russian articles, Caitlin Martin for critical reading of the manuscript and Olivier Gorgé for assistance 619 with some of the sequencing. 620

621 Funding

The paleogenomic facility of the Institut Jacques Monod obtained support from the University Paris 622 Diderot within the program "Actions de recherches structurantes". The sequencing facility of the 623 Institut Jacques Monod, Paris, is supported by grants from the University Paris Diderot, the Fondation 624 pour la Recherche Médicale (DGE20111123014), and the Région Ile-de-France (11015901). 625 Moreover, we acknowledge support from the French national research center CNRS. We are grateful 626 to the Directorate-General of Antiquities and Museums, Syria, for its support of the Umm el-Marra 627 project. The excavations at Umm el-Marra were funded by the National Science Foundation (Grants 628 BCS-0137513 and BCS-0545610), the National Geographic Society, the Metropolitan Museum of 629 Art, the Arthur and Isadora Dellheim Foundation, and the Johns Hopkins University. Faunal research 630 at Göbekli Tepe was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) under Grants PE 631 424/10-1-4 to JP. 632

633 Author contributions

EMG and JW initiated the project; EMG and TG conceptualized and supervised the project; JW, JP

and GS provided material; EAB, WB, SC, EMG and TG performed the laboratory work; EAB, TG

and EMG analyzed the data; EAB, TG and EMG wrote the paper with input from JP and GS.

637 Competing Interests. The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests that might
638 have influenced the work described in this manuscript.

639 Data availability

- 640 Sequence data generated for this study are available from EBI European Nucleotide Archive
- 641 PRJEB47929. Syrian wild ass (hemippe) mitochondrial sequences are available on Genbank
- 642 MN990427, OK393913 and OK393914.

643 Legends to Figures



Figure 1. Iconographic and textual depiction of the kunga. (A) Third millennium BCE cuneiform signs for the kunga (ANŠE.BAR×AN) above a photo and drawing of a clay tablet from UrIII Girsu/Lagaš (British Museum BM23836) featuring multiple occurrences, highlighted in the juxtaposed drawing. The first two lines read, "transmitted barley plots of 1 bur 6 iku (=8.64 ha) in area, (for the keeping of) ANŠE.BARxAN — equids of the king" (drawing and translation courtesy

©K. Maekawa). (B) Detail from the Standard of Ur shows an equid team pulling a four-wheeled
wagon in battle (photo: ©The British Museum Images). (C) An image of a rein-ring with decorative
equid from a royal grave at Ur, contemporary and similar to those visible in the Standard of Ur. (D)
Nineveh panel: "hunting wild asses" (645-635 BCE) (British Museum, London). Fig. S8 shows
additional panels attesting that the equids depicted are non-caballine. C and D, British Museum,
London, photos: E. Andrew Bennett.



Figure 2. Map of 3rd millennium BCE Syro-Mesopotamia showing the major historical and
archaeological sites (modified from Wikipedia
<u>https://fr.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fichier:Syrie_3mil_aC.svg</u>). The insert shows a representative equid
burial in Umm-el-Marra. Photo ©G. Schwartz.



Figure 3. Median joining network of equid sequences. (A) 324 bp of the hypervariable region of mitochondrial DNA from 278 individuals belonging to Asiatic wild asses (*E. hemionus* subspecies (23)), to horses (*E. f. caballus* and *E. f. przewalskii*) and to African asses (*E. africanus asinus* and *E. africanus somaliensis*). The position of the sequences obtained from the Umm el-Marra samples are indicated with pink stars. The *E. hemionus* mitogenome clades (I, TI, TI*, CI, H1, H2, D1, D2, Kh, KD, KD*) are as defined previously (23). (B) 168 bp of three different fragments of the Y-

chromosome of equids (asses, horses, hemiones). The position of the sequences obtained from theUmm-el-Marra samples are indicated in pink.



Figure 4: Genome-scale analyses reveal that the UMM9 equid shares equal ancestry from donkey 671 and hemippe. (A) PCA plots of non-caballine equids. 15.2K SNPs shared between UMM9 the ca. 672 11,000-year-old Göbekli Tepe sample and the 1864 hemippe were used and only the 1892 hemippe 673 was projected. (B) Admixture (30) analysis modeling four populations based on 15.2K SNPs shared 674 between UMM9 and the higher-coverage Göbekli Tepe and 1864 hemippe (used in the analysis). (C) 675 Counts of the UMM9 equid SNPs that are identical to either hemippe or donkey using the 4738 SNPs 676 where all donkeys are identical and differ from the hemippes (of 40.4K total SNPs shared between 677 the UMM9 equid and either of the three hemippes). (D). Bifurcating tree of equids with gene flow 678 performed using treemix (31). The 40.4K SNPs shared between UMM9 and either of the three 679 hemippe were used. Each equid group is represented by the following numbers of individuals: Horse 680

(6), Donkey (6), Hemippe (3), UMM9 equid (1), Onager (1), Mongolian khulan (3) and Kiang (2).
Horses were used as the outgroup and sample size correction was disabled. The tree obtained with
one gene flow event is represented. The residuals with no or one gene flow event are plotted in Fig.
S5.

685 Supplementary Material

- 686 This PDF file includes:
- 687 Supplementary Materials & Methods
- 688 Supplementary Text: Archaeozoological analysis of the Equids of Umm el-Marra
- 689 Figs. S1 to S8
- 690 Tables S1-S5