

Chui's conjecture in Bergman spaces

Evgeny Abakumov, Alexander Borichev, Konstantin Fedorovskiy

To cite this version:

Evgeny Abakumov, Alexander Borichev, Konstantin Fedorovskiy. Chui's conjecture in Bergman spaces. Mathematische Annalen, 2021, 379, pp.1507-1532. 10.1007/s00208-020-02114-1 hal-03661960

HAL Id: hal-03661960 <https://hal.science/hal-03661960v1>

Submitted on 9 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

CHUI'S CONJECTURE IN BERGMAN SPACES

EVGENY ABAKUMOV, ALEXANDER BORICHEV, KONSTANTIN FEDOROVSKIY

ABSTRACT. We solve Chui's conjecture on the simplest fractions (i.e., sums of Cauchy kernels with unit coefficients) in weighted (Hilbert) Bergman spaces. Namely, for a wide class of weights, we prove that for every N , the simplest fractions with N poles on the unit circle have minimal norm if and only if the poles are equispaced on the circle. We find sharp asymptotics of these norms. Furthermore, we describe the closure of the simplest fractions in weighted Bergman spaces, using an L^2 version of Thompson's theorem on dominated approximation by simplest fractions.

1. INTRODUCTION

The starting point of our research is the following question: How to put N point charges on the unit circle $\mathbb T$ of the complex plane $\mathbb C$ in order to minimize the average strength of the corresponding electrostatic field in the unit disk D, assuming forces inversely proportional to the distance? C. K. Chui [7] conjectured in 1971 that this average strength is minimal when the charges are equispaced on T, and, surprisingly, this very natural and elementary conjecture is still open.

This and related questions call for the study of approximation properties of so-called simplest fractions.

We mean by *a simplest fraction* (the term *simple partial fraction* is also used in the literature) a rational function r in the complex variable z having the form

$$
r(z) = \sum_{0 \le k < N} \frac{1}{z - a_k},
$$

This work was carried out in the framework of the project 19-11-00058 by the Russian Science Foundation.

where N is a positive integer, and a_k , $0 \leq k \leq N$, are points in $\mathbb C$. Note that the simplest fraction $r(z)$ can be represented as the logarithmic derivative of the polynomial $\prod_{0 \leq k < N} (z - a_k)$; alternatively, the function $r(z)$ can be thought of as the Cauchy transform of the sum of the Dirac measures of mass one at the points a_0, \ldots, a_{N-1} . Another interpretation of the simplest fraction r is that the value $r(z)$ represents the complex conjugation of the electrostatic field at the point z, caused by charges placed at the points a_0, \ldots, a_{N-1} , assuming forces inversely proportional to the distance.

The simplest fractions are an interesting and important object in various topics of contemporary analysis. One can mention here, for example, that in 2006 J. M. Anderson and V. Eiderman [2] solved a long-standing problem of Macintyre–Fuchs describing the growth of the Hausdorff content of the level sets of simplest fractions. For a recent survey of numerous results on simplest fractions see [10].

G. R. Mac Lane [14] initiated in 1949 the study of approximation by polynomials with restriction on the location of their zeros. One says that a set E is *a polynomial approximation set relative to a domain* G if every zero-free holomorphic function f on G can be approximated uniformly on compact subsets of G by polynomials having zeros only on E. Mac Lane showed that for every bounded (simply connected) Jordan domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ with rectifiable boundary, $\partial \Omega$ is a polynomial approximation set relative to Ω. Later, M. Thompson [17], Chui [6], and Z. Rubinstein and E. B. Saff [16] strengthened this result by considering bounded polynomial approximation in the unit disc.

Furthermore, J. Korevaar [13] considered Mac Lane's problem in a more general setting and related it to approximation by simplest fractions, which is one of the main objects of consideration in the present paper. The main result of Korevaar reads as follows:

Korevaar's theorem. *Let* G *be a bounded simply connected domain in* \mathbb{C} *, and let* $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ *be such that* $E \cap G = \emptyset$ *. The following four statements are equivalent:*

1) *The set* E *is a polynomial approximation set relative to* G*.*

2) For every point $w \in E$, the function $z \mapsto (z-w)^{-1}$ can be ap*proximated locally uniformly in* G *by polynomials having zeros only on* E*.*

3) *There exists a system of finite families* $\{a_{N,k}: 0 \leq k \leq N\}$ *of points in* E*, such that*

$$
\sum_{0 \le k < N} \frac{1}{z - a_{N,k}} \to 0
$$

locally uniformly in G *as* $N \to \infty$ *.*

4) *The set* clos E *separates the plane, and* G *belongs to a bounded connected component of the set* $\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{clos } E$ *.*

Thus, the possibility of approximation in the sense of the first assertion of the theorem is equivalent to the possibility of approximation of the zero function by simplest fractions with poles on E.

For a given set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$, we consider the family of all simplest fractions with poles on the set E :

$$
\mathcal{SF}(E) = \bigg\{ \sum_{0 \le k < N} \frac{1}{z - a_{N,k}} : N \ge 1, \, a_{N,k} \in E, \, 0 \le k < N \bigg\}.
$$

As a corollary of Korevaar's theorem, one has the following result about approximation of general holomorphic functions (not necessarily zero–free ones) by simplest fractions with restrictions on the poles. Let G be a bounded simply connected domain in \mathbb{C} , and let K be a compact subset of G having connected complement. Then the family $\mathcal{SF}(\partial G)$ is dense in the space $A(K)$ consisting of all continuous functions on K which are holomorphic in the interior of K . Notice that Korevaar's results were recently extended by P. A. Borodin [4].

In the beginning of 1970-s, Chui [7] considered yet another problem related with the approximation by the simplest fractions with poles lying on the unit circle. He was interested in the question whether the set $\mathcal{SF} = \mathcal{SF}(\mathbb{T})$ is dense in the Bergman space $A^1 = A^1(\mathbb{D})$ consisting of all functions holomorphic and integrable in D, and endowed with the usual L^1 -norm (with respect to normalized planar Lebesgue measure m_2 on the unit disk, $dm_2(z) = \pi^{-1} dx dy$, $z = x + iy$.

In connection with this question Chui formulated the following conjecture.

Chui's Conjecture. *For any positive integer* N*, and for any family of points* $\{a_k\}_{0\leq k\leq N}$ *on the unit circle, we have*

$$
\bigg\| \sum_{0 \le k < N} \frac{1}{z - a_k} \bigg\|_{L^1(\mathbb{D})} \ge \bigg\| \sum_{0 \le k < N} \frac{1}{z - e^{2\pi i k / N}} \bigg\|_{L^1(\mathbb{D})}
$$

.

For $N \geq 1$ we denote

$$
\Psi_N(z) = \sum_{0 \le k < N} \frac{1}{z - e^{2\pi i k/N}}.
$$

It can be easily verified (see [7]) that

$$
||\Psi_N||_{L^1(\mathbb{D})} \ge C
$$

for some absolute constant $C > 0$. Thus, Chui's conjecture would imply that the set $S\mathcal{F}$ is not dense in A^1 .

The next year after the publication of Chui's conjecture, D. J. Newman [15] proved that the set $S\mathcal{F}$ is not dense in A^1 . More precisely, he established that

$$
\bigg\|\sum_{0\le k
$$

for any collection $\{a_k\}_{0\leq k\leq N}$ of points on the unit circle.

Next, Chui studied in [8] approximation by simplest fractions in Jordan domains in the complex plane in the Bers spaces, that is, in the weighted L^1 -spaces with weights λ_D^{2-q} , $0 < q < \infty$, where λ_D is the Poincaré metric for the domain D under consideration. It follows from estimate (1.1) that the set $S\mathcal{F}$ is not dense in the Bers spaces in $\mathbb D$ for every $1 < q \leq 2$. It is proved in [8] that for any Jordan domain D and for every $q > 2$, the simplest fractions with poles on the boundary of D are dense in the respective Bers space in D . The results of $[8]$ were later extended in [9].

Despite considerable progress in our knowledge of simplest fractions properties, including approximation ones, the original question posed

by Chui remains open. In this paper, we resolve a version of Chui's conjecture in the context of weighted Bergman spaces of square integrable functions, that is in the Hilbert space setting.

Throughout the paper we use the following notation: for positive A and B, $A \leq B$ means that there is a positive numerical constant C such that $A \leq CB$, while $A \geq B$ means that $B \leq A$, and $A \approx B$ means that both $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

2. Main results

Let us recall that for $\alpha > -1$ the (standard) weighted Bergman space $A^2_{\alpha} = A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ consists of all functions f holomorphic in \mathbb{D} for which the norm $||f||_{\alpha}$ is finite, where

$$
||f||_{\alpha}^{2} = (\alpha + 1) \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^{2} (1 - |z|^{2})^{\alpha} dm_{2}(z).
$$

We refer the reader to the book [12] where one can find a thorough exposition of the theory of standard weighted Bergman spaces.

More generally, if q is an integrable positive function on the interval [0, 1], we consider the corresponding weighted Bergman space

$$
A_{(g)}^2 = \left\{ f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{(g)}^2 = \kappa_g \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 g(1 - |z|^2) \, dm_2(z) < \infty \right\},
$$

where $\kappa_g = (\int_0^1 g(t) dt)^{-1}$ is the normalization constant. It can be verified directly that the fractions $(z - \lambda)^{-1}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$, belong to $A_{(g)}^2$ if and only if

(2.1)
$$
\int_0^1 \frac{g(s)}{s} ds < \infty.
$$

Recall that we denote by $S\mathcal{F}$ the set of all simplest fractions with poles on T. For every $\alpha > 0$ we have $\mathcal{SF} \subset A^2_{\alpha}$, and for every $\alpha \in (-1, 0]$ we have $\mathcal{SF} \cap A^2_\alpha = \emptyset$. So, in what follows we suppose that $\alpha > 0$.

First, we establish an analogue of Chui's conjecture for a wide class of Bergman weighted spaces, namely, we prove that for N point masses on the unit circle, the norm of the corresponding Cauchy transform is the smallest if and only if these point masses are equispaced on T.

Theorem 1. *Let* $q \neq 0$ *be a concave non-decreasing function on* [0, 1] *satisfying* (2.1) *and such that* $g(0) = 0$ *. Then for every integer* $N \ge 1$ *and for every family of points* $\{a_k\}_{0\leq k\leq N}$ *on the unit circle we have*

$$
\bigg\|\sum_{0\le k
$$

Furthermore, if $\{a_k\}_{0\leq k\leq N}$ *are points on the unit circle such that*

$$
\bigg\|\sum_{0\leq k
$$

then the points $\{a_k\}_{0\leq k\leq N}$ *are equispaced on the unit circle.*

Corollary 2. For every $\alpha \in (0,1]$ *, for every integer* $N \geq 1$ *, and for every family of points* $\{a_k\}_{0\leq k\leq N}$ *on the unit circle we have*

$$
\bigg\|\sum_{0\leq k
$$

It is easy to see that the sequence of norms

$$
\left\|\Psi_N\right\|_{\alpha} = \left\|\frac{Nz^{N-1}}{z^N - 1}\right\|_{\alpha}
$$

tends to zero as $N \to \infty$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$, tends to a positive finite number for $\alpha = 1$, and tends to $+\infty$ for $\alpha > 1$. The following result provides with the exact asymptotics. As usual, we denote by ζ and Γ the Riemann zeta-function and the Gamma function, respectively.

Theorem 3. For every $\alpha > 0$ we have

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\alpha - 1} \|\Psi_N\|_{\alpha}^2 = \Gamma(\alpha + 2)\zeta(\alpha + 1) > 0.
$$

In particular,

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \|\Psi_N\|_1 = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}.
$$

For general g, we can obtain weaker asymptotical estimates on the norms $\|\Psi_N\|_{(g)}$.

Proposition 4. *Let* g *satisfy* (2.1)*. Then*

$$
\|\Psi_N\|_{(g)}^2 \asymp N \int_0^{1/N} \frac{g(t) dt}{t} + N^2 \int_{1/N}^1 (1-t)^N g(t) dt, \qquad N \to \infty.
$$

Corollary 5. (A) *For every* $c > 0$ *we have*

 $\exp(-cN) \lesssim \|\Psi_N\|_{(g)} = o(N^{1/2}), \qquad N \to \infty.$

- (B) *If* $g(t) = o(t)$ *,* $t \to 0$ *, then* $\|\Psi_N\|_{(g)} = o(1)$ *,* $N \to \infty$ *.*
- (C) If $q > 1$ and $g(t) = \log^{-q}(2/t)$, then

$$
\|\Psi_N\|_{(g)}^2 \asymp \frac{N}{\log^{q-1} N}, \qquad N \to \infty.
$$

(D) If $q > 0$ and $g(t) = \exp(-t^{-q})$, then

$$
\log(1/\|\Psi_N\|_{(g)}) \asymp N^{q/(q+1)}, \qquad N \to \infty.
$$

We do not know whether the equispaced distribution remains to be optimal for the spaces A^2_α when $\alpha > 1$. Nevertheless, we show that asymptotically this is true up to a constant:

Theorem 6. Let $\alpha > 1$. For some absolute constant $C_1 > 0$ and for *some number* $C_2(\alpha) > 0$ *, we have*

$$
\alpha C_1 N^{1-\alpha} \le \min_{a_k \in \mathbb{T}, 0 \le k < N} \left\| \sum_{0 \le k < N} \frac{1}{z - a_k} \right\|_{\alpha}^2 \le C_2(\alpha) N^{1-\alpha}, \qquad N \ge 1.
$$

Given a weighted Bergman space, it is natural to ask which elements of the space can be approximated in norm by the simplest fractions with poles on T. Our next result answers this question. It turns out that one can approximate either "everything" or "nothing" depending on g:

Theorem 7. *Let* $g \neq 0$ *satisfy* (2.1)*. Then*

$$
\text{clos}_{A_{(g)}^2} \mathcal{SF} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{SF}, & t = O(g(t)), \, t \to 0, \\ A_{(g)}^2, & g(t) = o(t), \, t \to 0. \end{cases}
$$

In particular, $S\mathcal{F}$ is closed nowhere dense in A^2_α when $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and is dense in A^2_α when $\alpha > 1$.

In the case $\alpha = 1$ we have a more precise result. Set

$$
\mathcal{SF}_N = \Big\{ \sum_{0 \le j < N} \frac{1}{z - z_{N,j}} : z_{N,j} \in \mathbb{T}, \, 0 \le j < N \Big\}.
$$

The sets $S\mathcal{F}_N$ are compact in A^2_α for $\alpha > 0, N \ge 1$.

Theorem 8. For every $f \in A_1^2$, we have

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \operatorname{dist}_{A_1^2}(f, \mathcal{SF}_N) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}.
$$

This result shows, in particular, that the set \mathcal{SF} is a $((\pi/\sqrt{3}) + \varepsilon)$ net in the space A_1^2 , for small $\varepsilon > 0$; considering the functions $-\Psi_N$ with large N we see that the set \mathcal{SF} is not a $((\pi/\sqrt{3}) - \varepsilon)$ -net in the space A_1^2 , for small $\varepsilon > 0$.

In 1967 Thompson [17] (answering a question posed by Korevaar in 1965) obtained that for every bounded analytic function f in \mathbb{D} , there exist $h_n \in \bigcup_{N \geq n} S\mathcal{F}_N$, $n \geq 1$, converging to f uniformly on compact subsets of D and such that

$$
\sup_{n\geq 1,\,z\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|)|h_n(z)|<\infty.
$$

His proof used the results and the constructions by Mac Lane in [14]. Let us formulate a somewhat improved version of Thompson's theorem.

Let $H^{\infty} = H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ denote the space of bounded analytic functions in the unit disc.

Theorem 9. Let $f \in H^\infty$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, for every compact subset K *of* \mathbb{D} *, and for every* $N \geq N(f, \varepsilon, K)$ *there exists* $h \in \mathcal{SF}_N$ *such that*

$$
||f - h||_{L^{\infty}(K)} \leq \varepsilon,
$$

$$
|h(z)| \leq \frac{1}{1 - |z|} + C_0 ||f||_{H^{\infty}} \log \frac{e}{1 - |z|}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{D},
$$

for some absolute constant C_0 *.*

To prove Theorems 7 and 8 we use an L^p version of Thompson's theorem which we will formulate below. Whereas the simplest fractions h constructed in the proof of Theorem 9 have "almost" equispaced poles, our Theorem 10 shows that the average growth of h along the concentric circles $r\mathbb{T}$ is not much faster than that of the corresponding simplest fraction Ψ_N .

Given $\beta > 0$, denote

$$
\rho(\beta) = \frac{1+\beta}{((1+\beta)^{1/(p-1)} - 1)^{p-1}} > 0
$$

for $1 < p < \infty$ and $\rho(\beta) = 1$ for $p = 1$, so that, by a simple calculation, we have

$$
(x+y)^p \le (1+\beta)x^p + \rho(\beta)y^p, \qquad x, y \ge 0.
$$

Theorem 10. Let $f \in H^{\infty}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ *. For every* $\varepsilon, \beta > 0$ *, for every compact subset* K *of* \mathbb{D} *, and for every* $N \geq N(f, \varepsilon, K)$ *there exists* $h \in \mathcal{SF}_N$ *such that*

$$
||f - h||_{L^{\infty}(K)} \leq \varepsilon,
$$

(2.2)
$$
\int_0^1 |h(e^{2\pi i s}r)|^p ds \leq (1+\beta) \int_0^1 |\Psi_N(e^{2\pi i s}r)|^p ds
$$

$$
+ \rho(\beta)C_0^p ||f||_{H^{\infty}}^p \log^p \frac{e}{1-r}, \qquad 0 < r < 1,
$$

for C_0 *as in Theorem 9.*

Remark 11. *Our estimates on* h *in Theorem 10 improve on those in Theorem 9. Namely, for* $1 < p < \infty$ *and* $r \in (1 - N^{-1}, 1)$ *, Theorem 10 gives*

$$
I_r := \int_0^1 |h(e^{2\pi i s}r)|^p ds \lesssim N(1-r)^{1-p},
$$

which improves on the estimate $I_r \leq (1 - r)^{-p}$ *that we can get from Theorem 9. If* $1 - r = A/N$ *for large fixed A, then Theorem 10 gives* $I_r \lesssim N^p e^{-pA}$ while Theorem 9 gives $I_r \lesssim N^p A^{-p}$, $N \to \infty$.

Our results motivate the following open questions.

Question 1*.* Does Theorem 1 hold for larger classes of g? For instance, for $g(t) = t^{\alpha}, \alpha > 1$?

Question 2*.* It would be of interest to have more information about the mutual location of the sets \mathcal{SF}_n in the spaces A^2_{α} . In particular, are pairwise distances between these sets bounded away from 0 in the space A_1^2 ? Our conjecture is that the answer is positive, and, moreover, if $\alpha > 0$, $n, k \geq 1$, then

$$
\mathrm{dist}_{A^2_{\alpha}}(\mathcal{SF}_n, \mathcal{SF}_{n+k}) = \|\Psi_k\|_{\alpha}.
$$

We finish this section with a few words about the organization of the paper and the methods used.

Theorems 1, 3, and 6 and Proposition 4 are proved in Section 4. The proof of Theorem 1 uses some classical results on trigonometric series and a convexity argument, which is discussed in Section 3. The proofs of Theorem 3 and Proposition 4 are direct calculations. In the proof of Theorem 6 we use moment estimates for systems of unimodular numbers going back to J. W. S. Cassels.

In Section 5 we establish Theorems 9 and 10 generalizing Thompson's theorem. Using the ideas of [14] and [17], we provide a short argument with better pointwise and integral estimates.

Theorems 7 and 8 are proved in Section 6. Their proofs use Theorem 10. In Remark 15 we indicate an alternative way to get the density of \mathcal{SF} in A^2_α , $\alpha > 1$.

3. Auxiliary lemmas

Let g be a function satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1. For integer $k \geq 0$ we set

$$
c_{(g),k} = \int_0^1 t^k g(1-t) \, dt > 0,
$$

and define the function

$$
\varphi_{(g)}(t) = \sum_{k \ge 0} c_{(g),k} \cos((k+1)t), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

Notice that condition (2.1) is equivalent to the fact that $\varphi_{(g)}(0) < \infty$.

Next, for every $\alpha > 0$, let $g_{\alpha}(t) = t^{\alpha}, t \ge 0$, $c_{\alpha,k} = c_{(g_{\alpha}),k}$, and $\varphi_{\alpha} = \varphi_{g_{\alpha}},$ so that

$$
c_{\alpha,k} = \int_0^1 t^k (1-t)^\alpha dt, \qquad k \ge 0
$$

and

$$
\varphi_{\alpha}(t) = \sum_{k \ge 0} c_{\alpha,k} \cos((k+1)t), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

Notice that for $\alpha > 0$ we have

$$
c_{\alpha,k} \asymp k^{-(\alpha+1)}, \qquad k \to \infty.
$$

Both $\varphi_{(g)}$ (for the aforesaid g) and φ_{α} (for $\alpha > 0$) are 2π-periodic even continuous functions.

We need the following convexity lemma.

Lemma 12.

(1) *For every function* g *satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1, the function* $\varphi_{(g)}$ *is strictly convex on* $(0, 2\pi)$ *.*

(2) *The function* φ_{α} , $\alpha > 0$, *is strictly convex on* $(0, 2\pi)$ *if and only if* $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ *.*

Proof. (A) First we prove that the function $\varphi = \varphi_1$ is strictly convex. We have

$$
c_{1,k} = \frac{1}{(k+1)(k+2)}, \qquad k \ge 0,
$$

and, hence,

$$
\varphi(t) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{\cos(kt)}{k(k+1)}.
$$

Therefore, for every $t \in (0, 2\pi)$ we obtain

$$
\varphi'(t) = -\sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{\sin(kt)}{k+1} = -\sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{\sin(kt)}{k+1} + \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{\sin(kt)}{k} - \frac{\pi - t}{2} = \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{\sin(kt)}{k(k+1)} - \frac{\pi - t}{2},
$$

and, hence,

$$
\varphi''(t) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{\cos(kt)}{k+1}.
$$

Now we are going to use the following result from the book by N. Bari [3, Chapter 1, Section 30]. Let $\{a_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ be a decreasing convex sequence of positive numbers, $\lim_{k \to \infty} a_k = 0$. Then $(a_0/2) + \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k \cos(kt) \geq 0$, $t \in (0, 2\pi)$, because

$$
\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k \ge 1} a_k \cos(kt) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \ge 0} (j+1) \Delta^2 a_j F_{j+1}(t), \qquad t \in (0, 2\pi),
$$

where $\Delta^2 a_j = \Delta a_j - \Delta a_{j+1}$, $\Delta a_j = a_j - a_{j+1}$, $j \geq 0$, and F_j are the Fejér kernels,

$$
F_j(t) = \frac{1}{j} \left(\frac{\sin(jt/2)}{\sin(t/2)} \right)^2 \ge 0, \qquad j \ge 1.
$$

In our situation, $a_k = 1/(k+1)$, $\Delta^2 a_k > 0$, $k \ge 0$, and, hence, we have $\varphi''(t) > 0$ on $(0, 2\pi)$.

 (B) Let g be a function satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1. Then we have

$$
\varphi_{(g)}(t) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \cos((k+1)t) \int_0^1 s^k g(1-s) ds
$$

= Re $e^{it} \int_0^1 \sum_{k\geq 0} e^{itk} s^k g(1-s) ds$
= Re $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{e^{-it} - s} g(1-s) ds$
= $\int_0^1 \frac{\cos t - s}{1 + s^2 - 2s \cos t} g(1-s) ds.$

Hence, $\varphi_{(g)} \in C^{\infty}((0, 2\pi)).$

Furthermore,

$$
\varphi_{(g)}(t) = \int_0^1 \frac{\cos t - s}{1 + s^2 - 2s \cos t} g(1 - s) ds
$$

= $-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 g(1 - s) d \log(1 + s^2 - 2s \cos t)$
= $-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \log(1 + s^2 - 2s \cos t) g'(1 - s) ds,$

for $0 < t < 2\pi$. Hence,

$$
\varphi'_{(g)}(t) = -\int_0^1 \frac{s \sin t}{1 + s^2 - 2s \cos t} \, g'(1 - s) \, ds, \qquad 0 < t < 2\pi,
$$

and

$$
\varphi_{(g)}''(t) = -\int_0^1 \frac{s \cos t (1 + s^2 - 2s \cos t) - 2s^2 \sin^2 t}{(1 + s^2 - 2s \cos t)^2} g'(1 - s) ds
$$

=
$$
\int_0^1 s \frac{2s - (1 + s^2) \cos t}{(1 + s^2 - 2s \cos t)^2} g'(1 - s) ds, \qquad 0 < t < 2\pi.
$$

Thus, $\varphi(g)$ is strictly convex on $\left[\frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ $\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi$. Next, let us observe that $\varphi''_{(g)}(t) = \varphi''_{(g)}(2\pi - t)$ on $(0, 2\pi)$. Hence, $\varphi_{(g)}$ is strictly convex on $\frac{\pi}{2}$ $\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}$ $\frac{3\pi}{2}$.

Since the function φ_1 is strictly convex on $(0, 2\pi)$, we obtain that

$$
\int_0^1 h_t(s) \, ds > 0,
$$

for $0 < t <$ π 2 , where

$$
h_t(s) = s \frac{2s - (1 + s^2)\cos t}{(1 + s^2 - 2s\cos t)^2}.
$$

Take now $t \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ $\frac{\pi}{2}$ and choose (the unique) $s_t \in (0,1)$ such that $2s_t = (1 + s_t^2) \cos t$. Then $h_t(s) < 0$ for $s \in (0, s_t)$ and $h_t(s) > 0$ for $s \in (s_t, 1)$. Furthermore,

$$
\varphi_{(g)}''(t) = \int_0^1 h_t(s) g'(1-s) ds
$$

\n
$$
\geq \int_0^{s_t} h_t(s) g'(1-s_t) ds + \int_{s_t}^1 h_t(s) g'(1-s_t) ds =
$$

\n
$$
g'(1-s_t) \int_0^1 h_t(s) ds \geq 0.
$$

Suppose now that $\varphi''_{(g)}(t) = 0$. Then $g'(1 - s_t) = 0$, and, hence, $g' = 0$ on the interval $[1 - s_t, 1]$, and $\varphi''_{(g)}(t) = \int_{s_t}^{1} h_t(s) g'(1 - s) ds > 0$, which is impossible. Therefore, the function $\varphi_{(g)}$ is strictly convex on $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ $\frac{\pi}{2}$). Using once again that $\varphi''_{(g)}(t) = \varphi''_{(g)}(2\pi - t)$, we conclude that $\varphi_{(g)}$ is strictly convex on $(0, 2\pi)$.

(C) By the result of (B), the function φ_{α} is strictly convex on $(0, 2\pi)$ for $\alpha \in (0,1]$.

(D) It remains to notice that for any $\alpha > 1$ the function φ_{α} is not convex. Indeed, for such α we have $\varphi_{\alpha} \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, and, since φ_{α} attains its maximum at the point $t = 0$, this function cannot be convex on $(0, 2\pi)$. The lemma is proved.

The next lemma pertains to the convex analysis.

Lemma 13. Let φ be a 2π -periodic even continuous function strictly *convex on* $(0, 2\pi)$ *. Then for every* $N \geq 2$ *we have*

$$
(3.1) \quad \inf_{\vartheta_j \in [0,2\pi), 0 \le j < N} \sum_{0 \le j,k < N, j \ne k} \varphi(\vartheta_j - \vartheta_k) = \sum_{0 \le j,k < N, j \ne k} \varphi\left(\frac{2\pi j}{N} - \frac{2\pi k}{N}\right).
$$

Furthermore, if $\vartheta_j \in [0, 2\pi)$, $0 \leq j \leq N$, and

$$
\sum_{0 \le j,k < N, j \ne k} \varphi(\vartheta_j - \vartheta_k) = \sum_{0 \le j,k < N, j \ne k} \varphi\left(\frac{2\pi j}{N} - \frac{2\pi k}{N}\right),
$$

then the points $e^{i\vartheta_j}$ *are equispaced on the unit circle.*

Proof. Changing, if necessary, the enumeration of ϑ_j , we can assume that the infimum in (3.1) is taken over $0 \le \vartheta_0 \le \vartheta_1 \le \ldots \le \vartheta_{N-1} \le 2\pi$. Furthermore, set $\vartheta_{k+jN} = \vartheta_k + 2\pi j$, $0 \leq k \leq N$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since

$$
\sum_{0 \le j,k < N, j \ne k} \varphi(\vartheta_j - \vartheta_k) = \sum_{1 \le s < N} \sum_{0 \le j < N} \varphi(\vartheta_{j+s} - \vartheta_j),
$$

it suffices to verify that for every $1 \leq s < N$

$$
\sum_{0 \le j < N} \varphi(\vartheta_{j+s} - \vartheta_j) \ge N\varphi\left(\frac{2\pi s}{N}\right),
$$

and that the equality is attained only if the differences $\vartheta_{j+s} - \vartheta_j$ do not depend on j .

Fix $1 \leq s \leq N$ and set $n = \gcd(N, s)$, $m = N/n$. It remains to prove

(3.2)
$$
\sum_{0 \le p < m} \varphi(\vartheta_{j+(p+1)s} - \vartheta_{j+ps}) \ge m\varphi(\frac{2\pi s}{N}), \qquad 0 \le j < n,
$$

and

(3.3) { the equality in (3.2) is attained only if
\n
$$
\vartheta_{j+(p+1)s} - \vartheta_{j+ps} = \frac{2\pi s}{N}, 0 \le j < n, 0 \le p < m.
$$

Denote $\ell = s/n$. We have $1 \leq \ell < m$. Let

$$
\mathcal{X}_{\ell} = \left\{ x = (x_0, \dots, x_{m-1}) \in [0, 2\pi]^m : \sum_{0 \le q < m} x_q = 2\pi \ell \right\}.
$$

Now, to get (3.2) and (3.3) we need only to establish the inequality

(3.4)
$$
\sum_{0 \le q < m} \varphi(x_q) \ge m\varphi\left(\frac{2\pi\ell}{m}\right), \qquad x \in \mathcal{X}_{\ell},
$$

and the property

$$
(3.5) \sum_{0 \le q < m} \varphi(x_q) = m\varphi\left(\frac{2\pi\ell}{m}\right) \iff x = \left(\frac{2\pi\ell}{m}, \frac{2\pi\ell}{m}, \dots, \frac{2\pi\ell}{m}\right), \quad x \in \mathcal{X}_{\ell}.
$$

By a compactness argument, we can find $y = (y_0, \ldots, y_{m-1}) \in \mathcal{X}_{\ell}$ such that

$$
\sum_{0 \leq q < m} \varphi(y_q) = \min_{x \in \mathcal{X}_{\ell}} \sum_{0 \leq q < m} \varphi(x_q).
$$

If $y_q \neq y_{q+1}$ for some q, then we can replace y_q and y_{q+1} by $(y_q+y_{q+1})/2$, and, by the strict convexity of φ , the sum of the values of φ will decay, which is impossible. Hence, $y_q = 2\pi \ell/m$, $0 \le q < m$, which proves (3.4) and (3.5) , and concludes the proof of the lemma.

4. Proofs of Theorems 1, 3, 6, and Proposition 4

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $N \geq 1$, $\vartheta_k \in [0, 2\pi]$, $0 \leq k \leq N$,

$$
f(z) = \sum_{0 \le k < N} \frac{1}{z - e^{i\vartheta_k}}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{D}.
$$

Denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the norm and by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the scalar product in the space $A_{(g)}^2$. If $\vartheta = \vartheta_2 - \vartheta_1$, then

$$
\begin{split}\n&\text{Re}\left\langle\frac{1}{z-e^{i\vartheta_{1}}},\frac{1}{z-e^{i\vartheta_{2}}}\right\rangle \\
&= \kappa_{g} \text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\sum_{k\geq 0} e^{-i(k+1)\vartheta_{1}}z^{k}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{j\geq 0} e^{-i(j+1)\vartheta_{2}}z^{j}\right) \cdot g(1-|z|^{2}) \, dm_{2}(z) \\
&= \kappa_{g} \text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\sum_{k\geq 0} |z|^{2k} e^{i\vartheta(k+1)}g(1-|z|^{2}) \, dm_{2}(z) \\
&= 2\kappa_{g} \text{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{k\geq 0} r^{2k} e^{i\vartheta(k+1)}g(1-r^{2}) \, r \, dr \\
&= \kappa_{g} \text{Re} \sum_{k\geq 0} e^{i\vartheta(k+1)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{k}g(1-t) \, dt \\
&= \kappa_{g} \sum_{k\geq 0} c_{(g),k} \cos((k+1)\vartheta) = \kappa_{g} \varphi_{(g)}(\vartheta).\n\end{split}
$$

Therefore,

$$
||f||^2 = \left\langle \sum_{0 \le k < N} \frac{1}{z - e^{i\vartheta_k}}, \sum_{0 \le k < N} \frac{1}{z - e^{i\vartheta_j}} \right\rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum_{0 \le k < N} \left\| \frac{1}{z - e^{i\vartheta_k}} \right\|^2 + \kappa_g \sum_{0 \le j, k < N, j \ne k} \varphi_{(g)}(\vartheta_k - \vartheta_j)
$$

=
$$
N \left\| \frac{1}{z - 1} \right\|^2 + \kappa_g \sum_{0 \le j, k < N, j \ne k} \varphi_{(g)}(\vartheta_k - \vartheta_j).
$$

By Lemma 12, the function $\varphi_{(g)}$ is strictly convex on $(0, 2\pi)$, and by Lemma 13, the quantity $||f||$ attains its minimum if and only if the points $e^{i\vartheta_k}$, $0 \le k < N$, are equispaced on the unit circle, and, hence, $||f|| = ||\Psi_N||.$

Proof of Theorem 3. Given $N \geq 1$, we have

$$
\|\Psi_N\|_{\alpha}^2 = (\alpha + 1) \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{N z^{N-1}}{1 - z^N} \right|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha} dm_2(z)
$$

=
$$
\frac{\alpha + 1}{\pi} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dt}{|1 - r^N e^{iNt}|^2} \right) (Nr^{N-1})^2 (1 - r^2)^{\alpha} r dr.
$$

By a direct computation one verifies that

$$
\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dt}{|1 - xe^{it}|^2} = \frac{2\pi}{1 - x^2}, \qquad 0 \le x < 1.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\|\Psi_N\|_{\alpha}^2 = 2(\alpha+1) \int_0^1 \frac{N^2 r^{2N-2} (1-r^2)^{\alpha} r}{1-r^{2N}} \, dr
$$

Using the substitution $r = e^{-s/(2N)}$, we obtain

$$
N^{\alpha-1} \|\Psi_N\|_{\alpha}^2 = (\alpha+1) \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\left(N(1 - e^{-s/N})\right)^{\alpha}}{e^s - 1} \, ds.
$$

Since $1 - e^{-x} \le x$ for $x \ge 0$, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we conclude that

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\alpha - 1} \|\Psi_N\|_{\alpha}^2 = (\alpha + 1) \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{s^{\alpha}}{e^s - 1} ds
$$

$$
= (\alpha + 1) \sum_{k \ge 1} \int_0^{+\infty} s^{\alpha} e^{-ks} ds
$$

$$
= (\alpha + 1) \sum_{k \ge 1} k^{-\alpha - 1} \int_0^{+\infty} s^{\alpha} e^{-s} ds
$$

$$
= \Gamma(\alpha + 2) \zeta(\alpha + 1).
$$

Remark 14. *The same calculation shows that for every* $\alpha > 0$ *, the sequence* $\{N^{\alpha-1} \|\Psi_N\|_{\alpha}^2\}_{N\geq 1}$ *is monotonically increasing.*

Proof of Proposition 4. As in the proof of Theorem 3, we have

$$
\|\Psi_N\|_{(g)}^2 \approx \int_0^1 \frac{N^2 r^{2N-2} g(1-r^2)r}{1-r^{2N}} \, dr \approx N^2 \int_0^1 \frac{r^{N-1} g(1-r)}{1-r^N} \, dr
$$

= $N^2 \int_0^{1-(1/N)} \frac{r^{N-1} g(1-r)}{1-r^N} \, dr + N^2 \int_{1-(1/N)}^1 \frac{r^{N-1} g(1-r)}{1-r^N} \, dr$
 $\approx N^2 \int_0^{1-(1/N)} r^N g(1-r) \, dr + N^2 \int_{1-(1/N)}^1 \frac{g(1-r)}{N \cdot (1-r)} \, dr$
= $N^2 \int_{1/N}^1 (1-r)^N g(r) \, dr + N \int_0^{1/N} \frac{g(r)}{r} \, dr.$

Proof of Theorem 6. The upper estimate follows from Theorem 3.

Fix $\alpha > 1$, $N \ge 1$, and $a_k \in \mathbb{T}$, $0 \le k \le N$. To establish the lower estimate, it suffices to verify that for some absolute constant $C > 0$ we have

(4.1)
$$
I := \int_{N^{-1} < 1 - |z|^2 < 2N^{-1}} \left| \sum_{0 \le k < N} \frac{1}{z - a_k} \right|^2 dm_2(z) \ge CN.
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{0 \le k < N} \frac{1}{z - a_k} = -\sum_{s \ge 0} z^s \sum_{0 \le k < N} \overline{a}_k^{s+1},
$$

 \Box

we have

$$
I = \sum_{s \ge 0} \int_{N^{-1} < 1 - t < 2N^{-1}} t^s \Big| \sum_{0 \le k < N} a_k^{s+1} \Big|^2 dt \gtrsim N^{-1} \sum_{0 \le s \le 2N - 1} \Big| \sum_{0 \le k < N} a_k^{s+1} \Big|^2.
$$

Now, to get (4.1), it remains to check that

(4.2)
$$
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2N} \left| \sum_{0 \leq k < N} b_k^j \right|^2 \geq \delta N^2,
$$

for some absolute constant $\delta > 0$ and for every family of unimodular numbers ${b_k}_{0 \leq k < N}$.

Individually, the sums $S_j = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} b_k^j$ ψ_k^j could be of order $O(\sqrt{N})$ for $1 \leq j \leq N^B$, $B > 1$, (see [11] for a probabilistic approach and [1] for a deterministic algebraic approach). However, the sum of the squares of the moduli of S_j for j between 1 and $(1 + \varepsilon)N$ (not between 1 and N) admits a good lower estimate like in (4.2) . Our argument here is inspired by that of J. W. S. Cassels in [5].

For every $M \geq 1$ we have

$$
\sum_{1 \le j \le M} \left(1 - \frac{j}{M+1}\right) \Big| \sum_{0 \le k < N} b_k^j \Big|^2 \\
= \sum_{1 \le j \le M} \left(1 - \frac{j}{M+1}\right) \left(N + \sum_{0 \le k, m < N, k \ne m} (b_k \bar{b}_m)^j\right) \\
= N \sum_{1 \le j \le M} \left(1 - \frac{j}{M+1}\right) + \sum_{0 \le k < m < N} \sum_{|j| \le M, j \ne 0} \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{M+1}\right) (b_k \bar{b}_m)^j \\
= \frac{NM}{2} + \sum_{0 \le k < m < N} \sum_{|j| \le M} \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{M+1}\right) (b_k \bar{b}_m)^j - \sum_{0 \le k < m < N} \sum_{0 \le k < m < N} \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{M+1}\right) (b_k \bar{b}_m)^j - \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \\
\ge \frac{N(M-N+1)}{2},
$$

because the Fejér kernel is non-negative,

$$
\sum_{|j| \le M} \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{M+1} \right) e^{ijx} = F_{M+1}(x) \ge 0, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

Choose now $M = 2N$. Then

$$
\sum_{1 \le j \le 2N} \Big| \sum_{0 \le k < N} b_k^j \Big|^2 \ge \sum_{1 \le j \le 2N} \Big(1 - \frac{j}{2N + 1} \Big) \Big| \sum_{0 \le k < N} b_k^j \Big|^2 \ge \frac{N^2}{2}.
$$

5. Proofs of Theorems 9 and 10

Proof of Theorem 9. Denote $M = ||f||_{H^{\infty}}$. Given $N \geq 1$, set

$$
W_N(t) = Nt - 2 \int_0^t \text{Re}(e^{2\pi i u} f(e^{2\pi i u})) du, \qquad t \ge 0.
$$

We have $W_N(0) = 0, W_N(1) = N$,

$$
|W_N'(t) - N| \le 2M, \qquad t \ge 0.
$$

For sufficiently large N, the function W_N increases, and we set $x_{N,k} =$ $W_N^{-1}(k)$, $0 \le k \le N$. We have

$$
(5.1) \quad |x_{N,k+1} - x_{N,k}| = N^{-1} + O(N^{-2}M), \qquad 0 \le k < N, N \to \infty.
$$

Put

$$
h_N(z) = \sum_{0 \le k < N} \frac{1}{z - e^{2\pi i x_{N,k}}}.
$$

Then $h_N \in \mathcal{SF}_N$.

Given $z = re^{2\pi i s} \in \mathbb{D}$, choose $0 \leq m < N$ such that

$$
|e^{2\pi i s} - e^{2\pi i x_{N,m}}| = \min_{0 \le k < N} |e^{2\pi i s} - e^{2\pi i x_{N,k}}|.
$$

Set $y_{N,k} = x_{N,m} + (k-m)/N$, $0 \le k < N$. By (5.1), we have

$$
|e^{2\pi ix_{N,k}} - e^{2\pi iy_{N,k}}| = O(N^{-1}M|1 - e^{2\pi i(k-m)/N}|), \quad 0 \le k < N, N \to \infty,
$$

and

$$
|z - e^{2\pi ix_{N,k}}| \asymp |z - e^{2\pi iy_{N,k}}|, \qquad 0 \le k < N, N > N(M).
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\sum_{0 \le k < N} \frac{1}{z - e^{2\pi i y_{N,k}}} = e^{-2\pi i x_{N,m}} \Psi_N(ze^{-2\pi i x_{N,m}}).
$$

Therefore,

$$
(5.2) \quad |h_N(z) - e^{-2\pi ix_{N,m}} \Psi_N(ze^{-2\pi ix_{N,m}})|
$$

\n
$$
\leq \sum_{0 \leq k < N} \left| \frac{1}{z - e^{2\pi ix_{N,k}}} - \frac{1}{z - e^{2\pi iy_{N,k}}} \right|
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{0 \leq k < N} \frac{|e^{2\pi ix_{N,k}} - e^{2\pi iy_{N,k}}|}{|z - e^{2\pi iy_{N,k}}| \cdot |z - e^{2\pi iy_{N,k}}|}
$$

\n
$$
= O(N^{-1}M) \sum_{0 \leq k < N} \frac{|1 - e^{2\pi i(k-m)/N}|}{|z - e^{2\pi iy_{N,k}}|^2}
$$

\n
$$
= O(N^{-1}M) \Biggl(\sum_{0 \leq j < N(1-|z|)} \frac{j/N}{(1-|z|)^2} + \sum_{N(1-|z|) \leq j < N} \frac{j/N}{(j/N)^2}\Biggr)
$$

\n
$$
= O(N^{-1}M) \Biggl(\frac{1}{N(1-|z|)^2} \sum_{0 \leq j < N(1-|z|)} j + N \sum_{N(1-|z|) \leq j < N} \frac{1}{j}\Biggr)
$$

\n
$$
\leq C_0 M \log \frac{e}{1-|z|},
$$

for some absolute constant C_0 , for $N \ge N(M)$. Since

$$
|\Psi_N(z)| \le \frac{1}{1-|z|},
$$

we conclude that

$$
|h_N(z)| \le |e^{-2\pi ix_{N,m}} \Psi_N(ze^{-2\pi ix_{N,m}})|
$$

$$
+ |h_N(z) - e^{-2\pi ix_{N,m}} \Psi_N(ze^{-2\pi ix_{N,m}})|
$$

$$
\le \frac{1}{1-|z|} + C_0 M \log \frac{e}{1-|z|}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{D}, N \ge N(M).
$$

Furthermore, given $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$
f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\zeta f(\zeta) + \overline{\zeta f(\zeta)}}{\zeta(\zeta - z)} d\zeta
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\text{Re}(\zeta f(\zeta))}{\zeta(\zeta - z)} d\zeta = 2 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\text{Re}(e^{2\pi it} f(e^{2\pi it}))}{e^{2\pi it - z}} dt
$$

and

$$
0 = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{e^{2\pi it} - z} dt.
$$

Hence,

$$
f(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{W_N'(t)}{z - e^{2\pi it}} dt,
$$

and

$$
|f(z) - h_N(z)| = \Big| \sum_{0 \le k < N} \Big(\int_{x_{N,k}}^{x_{N,k+1}} \frac{W_N'(t)}{z - e^{2\pi i t}} dt - \frac{1}{z - e^{2\pi i x_{N,k}}} \Big) \Big|
$$

\n
$$
= \Big| \sum_{0 \le k < N} \int_{x_{N,k}}^{x_{N,k+1}} \frac{e^{2\pi i t} - e^{2\pi i x_{N,k}}}{(z - e^{2\pi i t})(z - e^{2\pi i x_{N,k}})} W_N'(t) dt \Big|
$$

\n
$$
= 2\pi \Big| \sum_{0 \le k < N} \int_{x_{N,k}}^{x_{N,k+1}} e^{2\pi i x_{N,k}} \frac{t - x_{N,k} + O(N^{-2})}{(z - e^{2\pi i x_{N,k}})^2 + O(N^{-1})} W_N'(t) dt \Big|
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{\pi}{N} \Big| \sum_{0 \le k < N} \Big(\frac{e^{2\pi i x_{N,k}}}{(z - e^{2\pi i x_{N,k}})^2} + O(N^{-1}M) \Big) \Big|
$$

for $N \geq N(M)$. Since K is a compact subset of \mathbb{D} , we have

$$
\left| \sum_{0 \le k < N} \frac{e^{2\pi i y_{N,k}}}{(z - e^{2\pi i y_{N,k}})^2} \right| = \left| \frac{N^2 z^{N-1}}{(e^{2\pi i N y_{N,1}} - z^N)^2} \right| \to 0,
$$

uniformly in $z \in K$ as $N \to \infty$, and

$$
|f(z) - h_N(z)| \le \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{0 \le k < N} \left| \frac{e^{2\pi ix_{N,k}}}{(z - e^{2\pi ix_{N,k}})^2} - \frac{e^{2\pi iy_{N,k}}}{(z - e^{2\pi iy_{N,k}})^2} \right| + o(1)
$$

$$
\lesssim \frac{C(K)M}{N^2} \sum_{0 \le k < N} |1 - e^{2\pi i(k-m)/N}| + o(1) = o(1),
$$

uniformly in $z \in K$ as $N \to \infty$,

Proof of Theorem 10. We use the notation from the proof of Theorem 9. Given $f \in H^{\infty}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and a compact subset K of \mathbb{D} , choose $N \geq N(f, \varepsilon, K)$ and $h_N \in \mathcal{SF}_N$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 9 so that

$$
||f - h_N||_{L^{\infty}(K)} \leq \varepsilon,
$$

It remains to verify the integral estimate (2.2). Fix $r \in (0,1)$ and set

$$
v_1(e^{2\pi it}) = |\Psi_N(e^{2\pi it}r)|, \qquad 0 \le t < 1.
$$

Since

$$
v_1(e^{2\pi it}) = \frac{Nr^{N-1}}{|e^{2\pi itN}r^N - 1|}, \qquad 0 \le t < 1,
$$

the function $t \mapsto v_1(e^{2\pi i t})$ is even and decreases on $[0, 1/(2N)]$. Furthermore, we set

$$
v_2(e^{2\pi i t}) = \begin{cases} v_1(e^{2\pi i t}), & e^{2\pi i t} \in U := \{e^{2\pi i u} : -\frac{\pi}{N} \le u \le \frac{\pi}{N} \}, \\ v_1(e^{\pi i/N}), & e^{2\pi i t} \notin U, \end{cases}
$$

$$
w(t) = \int_0^t v_2^p(e^{2\pi i u}) du, \qquad 0 \le t \le 1.
$$

Then the function $t \mapsto v_2(e^{2\pi i t})$ is decreasing on [0, 1], and the function w is concave on [0, 1].

If

(5.3)
$$
\left|e^{2\pi i s} - e^{2\pi i x_{N,m}}\right| = \min_{0 \le k < N} \left|e^{2\pi i s} - e^{2\pi i x_{N,k}}\right|,
$$

then, by (5.2) , we have

$$
|h_N(e^{2\pi i s}r)| \le |\Psi_N(ze^{-2\pi i x_{N,m}})| + C_0M \log \frac{e}{1-r}.
$$

Using the argument in the proof of (5.2) we obtain that, under condition (5.3), if

$$
\left|e^{2\pi i s} - e^{2\pi i x_{N,m}}\right| \ge \left|1 - e^{\pi i/N}\right|,
$$

then

$$
|h_N(e^{2\pi i s}r)| \le |\Psi_N(e^{\pi i/N})| + C_0 M \log \frac{e}{1-r}.
$$

Since the function $t \mapsto v_1(e^{2\pi i t})$ is even, we conclude that

$$
|h_N(e^{2\pi i s}r)| \le v_2(e^{2\pi i |s-x_{N,m}|}) + C_0 M \log \frac{e}{1-r}.
$$

We divide the interval [0, 1] into subintervals $J_{2k} = [x_{N,k}, (x_{N,k} +$ $x_{N,k+1})/2$, $J_{2k+1} = [(x_{N,k} + x_{N,k+1})/2, x_{N,k+1}]$, $0 \le k < N$.

Then

$$
\int_0^1 |h_N(e^{2\pi i s}r)|^p ds = \sum_{0 \le k < N} \int_{J_{2k} \cup J_{2k+1}} |h_N(e^{2\pi i s}r)|^p ds
$$
\n
$$
\le (1+\beta) \bigg(\int_{J_{2N-1} \cup J_0} v_2^p(e^{2\pi i s}) ds + \sum_{0 < k < N} \int_{J_{2k-1} \cup J_{2k}} v_2^p(e^{2\pi i |s-x_{N,k}|}) ds \bigg)
$$
\n
$$
+ \rho(\beta) C_0^p \|f\|_{H^\infty}^p \log^p \frac{e}{1-r}
$$
\n
$$
= (1+\beta) \sum_{0 \le k < N} \big(w(|J_{2k}|) + w(|J_{2k+1}|) \big) + \rho(\beta) C_0^p \|f\|_{H^\infty}^p \log^p \frac{e}{1-r},
$$

where $|J|$ is the length of J. Since the function w is concave and $\sum_{0 \le k \le N} (|J_{2k}| + |J_{2k+1}|) = 1$, we conclude that

$$
\int_0^1 |h_N(e^{2\pi i s}r)|^p ds \le (1+\beta) \cdot 2Nw\left(\frac{1}{2N}\right) + \rho(\beta)C_0^p \|f\|_{H^\infty}^p \log^p \frac{e}{1-r}
$$

= $(1+\beta) \int_0^1 |\Psi_N(e^{2\pi i s}r)|^p ds + \rho(\beta)C_0^p \|f\|_{H^\infty}^p \log^p \frac{e}{1-r}.$

6. Proofs of Theorems 7 and 8

Proof of Theorem 7. Denote by $S_{(g)}$ the closure of the set $S\mathcal{F}$ in $A_{(g)}^2$. Since $||f||_{(g_1)} \leq ||f||_{(g_2)}$ when $g_1 \leq g_2$, we need only to consider the cases $g(t) = t$ and $g(t) = o(t)$, $t \to 0$.

(A) Let $g(t) = t$. Then $A_{(g)}^2 = A_1^2$. We are going to verify that

(6.1)
$$
\liminf_{N \to \infty} \inf_{g \in \mathcal{SF}_N} ||f - g||_1^2 \ge \frac{\pi^2}{3}, \qquad f \in A_1^2
$$

Since every $S\mathcal{F}_N$ is compact in A_1^2 , we can then conclude that $\mathcal{S}_{(g)} =$ SF.

.

Assume that (6.1) does not hold. Then for some $\varepsilon \in (0, \pi^2/12)$ we find $f \in A_1^2$, a sequence $\{N_m\}_{m\geq 1}$ such that $\lim_{m\to\infty} N_m = \infty$, and a sequence $\{f_m\}_{m\geq 1}$, $f_m \in \mathcal{SF}_{N_m}$, $m \geq 1$, such that

(6.2)
$$
||f - f_m||_1^2 \le \frac{\pi^2}{3} - 4\varepsilon, \qquad m \ge 1.
$$

Given $\delta \in (0, 1)$, put $g_{\delta}(t) = \min(\delta, t)$. Since

(6.3)
$$
|f(z)|^2 g_\delta(1-|z|^2) \le |f(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2), \qquad z \in \mathbb{D},
$$

and the function $z \mapsto |f(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)$ is integrable on \mathbb{D} , by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem we have

$$
\lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 g_{\delta}(1 - |z|^2) dm_2(z) = 0.
$$

Choose $\delta \in (0,1)$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 g_\delta(1-|z|^2) dm_2(z) \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8}.
$$

By (6.2) and (6.3) we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z) - f_m(z)|^2 g_\delta(1 - |z|^2) \, dm_2(z) \le \frac{\pi^2}{6} - 2\varepsilon, \qquad m \ge 1,
$$

and, hence,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_m(z)|^2 g_\delta(1-|z|^2) dm_2(z)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z) - f_m(z)|^2 g_\delta(1-|z|^2) dm_2(z)
$$
\n
$$
+ \left(1+\frac{4}{\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 g_\delta(1-|z|^2) dm_2(z)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \varepsilon, \qquad m \geq 1.
$$

Since the function g_{δ} is concave and non-decreasing, $g_{\delta}(0) = 0$, and Z θ $g_{\delta}(t)t^{-1} dt < \infty$, we can apply Theorem 1 and obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}} |\Psi_{N_m}(z)|^2 g_\delta(1-|z|^2) dm_2(z) \le \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \varepsilon, \qquad m \ge 1.
$$

Since the functions Ψ_{N_m} tend to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb D$ as $m \to \infty$, we conclude that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}} |\Psi_{N_m}(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) dm_2(z) \le \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \qquad m \ge m(\delta),
$$

which contradicts to Theorem 3.

This contradiction establishes relation (6.1) and, hence, the equality $\mathcal{S}_{(g)} = \mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}$ for $g(t) = t$.

(B) Let $g(t) = o(t)$, $t \to 0$, and let $f \in A_{(g)}^2$. Replacing f by the function $z \mapsto f((1 - \delta)z)$ with small positive δ , we can assume that $f \in H^{\infty}$. By Theorem 10, there exist $h_N \in \mathcal{SF}_N$, such that h_N tend to f uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}, N \to \infty$, and for $r \in (0,1)$ we have

$$
\int_0^1 |h_N(e^{2\pi i s}r)|^2 ds \le 2 \int_0^1 |\Psi_N(e^{2\pi i s}r)|^2 ds + 2C_0^2 \|f\|_{H^\infty}^2 \log^2 \frac{e}{1-r}.
$$

By Corollary 5 (B), we conclude that

$$
||f - h_N||_{(g)}^2 \to 0, \qquad N \to \infty.
$$

Thus, $\mathcal{S}_{(g)} = A_{(g)}^2$.

Remark 15. *Given* $\alpha > 1$ *, denote by* S_{α} *the closure of the set* $S\mathcal{F}$ *in* A^2_{α} . An alternative way to prove that $S_{\alpha} = A^2_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha > 1$, follows the *scheme proposed by Korevaar in* [13] *(with a reference to A. Beurling). Namely, in the case* $\alpha > 2$ *, we use that* $\lim_{N\to\infty} ||\Psi_N||_{\alpha} = 0$ *to show that the functions* $z \mapsto -(z-w)^{-1}$, $w \in \mathbb{T}$, *belong to* \mathcal{S}_{α} . As a consequence, *the real linear space* $\mathcal R$ *spanned by the family of functions* $\left\{ \frac{iw}{(z-a)^2} \right\}$ $\frac{v}{(z-w)^2}$: $w \in \mathbb{T}$ *is contained in the set* \mathcal{S}_{α} *. In the case* $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ *, using an explicit but more complicated argument we can establish that the real linear space* $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ *spanned by the family* $\left\{\frac{1}{z-1}\right\}$ $z - w$ $\{w \in \mathbb{T}\}\$ *is contained in the set* \mathcal{S}_{α} . Then an argument based on the Hahn–Banach theorem *permits us to show that, for* $\alpha > 2$, \mathcal{R} *is dense in* A^2_{α} (for $\alpha > 1$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ *is dense in* A^2_α *), and to conclude.*

Proof of Theorem 8. Because of (6.1), we need only to verify that for every $f \in A_1^2$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and for every $N \ge N(f, \epsilon)$, there exists $h \in \mathcal{SF}_N$ such that

$$
||f - h||_1^2 \le \frac{\pi^2}{3} + \varepsilon.
$$

Replacing f by $z \mapsto f((1 - \delta)z)$ with small positive δ , we can assume that $f \in H^{\infty}$. Given $0 < \beta < 1$, choose $\eta \in (0,1)$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus(1-\eta)\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^2\,dm_2(z)+\int_{1-\eta}^1(1-r^2)\log^2\frac{e}{1-r}\,dr<\beta^2.
$$

By Theorem 10, for every $N \geq N(f, \epsilon)$, there exists $h \in \mathcal{SF}_N$ such that

$$
||f - h||_{L^{\infty}((1 - \eta)\mathbb{D})}^2 < \beta
$$

and

$$
\int_0^1 |h(e^{2\pi i s}r)|^2 ds \le (1+\beta) \int_0^1 |\Psi_N(e^{2\pi i s}r)|^2 ds
$$

$$
+ \frac{1+\beta}{\beta} C_0^2 \|f\|_{H^\infty}^2 \log^2 \frac{e}{1-r}, \qquad 0 < r < 1.
$$

Then

$$
||f - h||_1^2
$$

= $2 \int_{(1-\eta)\mathbb{D}} |f(z) - h(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2) dm_2(z)$
+ $2 \int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus (1-\eta)\mathbb{D}} |f(z) - h(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2) dm_2(z)$
 $\leq \beta + 2(1 + \beta^{-1}) \int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus (1-\eta)\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2) dm_2(z)$
+ $2(1 + \beta) \int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus (1-\eta)\mathbb{D}} |h(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2) dm_2(z)$
 $\leq \beta + 2\beta(1 + \beta) + 2(1 + \beta)^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus (1-\eta)\mathbb{D}} |\Psi_N(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2) dm_2(z)$
+ $\frac{2(1 + \beta)^2}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus (1-\eta)\mathbb{D}} C_0^2 ||f||_{H^{\infty}}^2 (1 - |z|^2) \log^2 \frac{e}{1 - |z|} dm_2(z)$
 $\leq (5 + C_1 \cdot C_0^2 ||f||_{H^{\infty}}^2) \beta + 2(1 + \beta)^2 \frac{\pi^2}{6} \leq \frac{\pi^2}{3} + \varepsilon,$

for sufficiently small β .

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Andersson, *On some power sum problems of Montgomery and Turán*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2008), Art. ID rnn015.
- [2] J. M. Anderson, V. Ya. Eiderman, *Cauchy transforms of point masses: The logarithmic derivative of polynomials*, Annals of Math. 163 (2006), 1057–1076.
- [3] N. K. Bary, *A treatise on trigonometric series. I*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, NY, 1964.
- [4] P. A. Borodin, *Approximation by simple partial fractions with constraints on the poles. II*, Sb. Math. 207 (2016), no. 3-4, 331–341.
- [5] J. W. S. Cassels, *On the sums of powers of complex numbers*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 7 (1956), 283–289.

- [6] C. K. Chui, *Bounded approximation by polynomials whose zeros lie on a circle*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (1969), 171–182.
- [7] C. K. Chui, *A lower bound of fields due to unit point masses*, Amer. Math. Monthly 78 (1971), no. 7, 779–780.
- [8] C. K. Chui, *On approximation in the Bers spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1973), no. 2, 438–442.
- [9] C. K. Chui, X. C. Shen, *Order of approximation by electrostatic fields due to electrons*, Constr. Approx. 1 (1985), no. 2, 121–135.
- [10] P. V. Chunaev, V. I. Danchenko, M. A. Komarov, *Extremal and approximative properties of simple partial fractions*, Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ) 62 (2018), no. 12, 6–41.
- [11] P. Erdös, A. Rényi, *A probabilistic approach to problems of Diophantine approximation*, Illinois J. Math. 1 (1957), 303–315.
- [12] H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum, K. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman spaces*, Graduate texts in mathematics, vol. 199, Springer–Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [13] J. Korevaar, *Asymptotically neutral distributions of electrons and polynomial approximation*, Annals of Math. 80 (1964), no. 3, 403–410.
- [14] G. R. Mac Lane, *Polynomials with zeros on a rectifiable Jordan curve*, Duke Math. J. 16 (1949), 461–477.
- [15] D. J. Newman, *A lower bound for an area integral*, Amer. Math. Monthly 79 (1972), no. 9, 1015–1016.
- [16] Z. Rubinstein, E. B. Saff, *Bounded approximation by polynomials whose zeros lie on a circle*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 29 (1971), 482–486.
- [17] M. Thompson, *Approximation of bounded analytic functions on the disc*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (3) 159 (1967), 49–54.

Evgeny Abakumov:

UNIVERSITÉ GUSTAVE EIFFEL, MARNE-LA-VALLÉE, FRANCE evgueni.abakoumov@univ-eiffel.fr

Alexander Borichev:

Aix–Marseille University, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, I2M, France alexander.borichev@math.cnrs.fr

KONSTANTIN FEDOROVSKIY:

Bauman Moscow State Technical University, Moscow, Russia, Saint Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia kfedorovs@yandex.ru