

# **Drift Estimation Under Strong Mixing**

Mounir Arfi, Jean-Pierre Lecoutre

# ▶ To cite this version:

Mounir Arfi, Jean-Pierre Lecoutre. Drift Estimation Under Strong Mixing. Annales de l'ISUP, 1994, XXXVIII (3), pp.111-127. hal-03659938

# HAL Id: hal-03659938 https://hal.science/hal-03659938

Submitted on 5 May 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Pub. Inst. Stat. Univ. Paris XXXVIII, fasc. 3, 1994, 111 à 127

## DRIFT ESTIMATION UNDER STRONG MIXING

## Mounir ARFI and Jean-Pierre LECOUTRE

Laboratoire de Statistique Théorique et Appliquée Université Paris 6. 4, Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris, Cedex 05.

Abstract: It is shown that a kernel-type estimate for the drift function is pointwise as well as uniformly strongly consistent, under strong mixing condition.

Keywords: drift, strong mixing, kernel estimate.

## **1.Introduction**

The diffusion model has been widely used in the literature to describe the behavior of a dynamical system disturbed by white noise.

It can be defined as the solution to the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t; \ t \ge 0,$$

where  $(W_t; t \in \mathbb{R}^+)$  is a standard Brownian motion, and b and  $\sigma$  two continuous and unknown functions. We assume  $(X_t)$  to be a stationary process with density f and we are interested in estimation of b(x) for each  $x \in E$ , where E is the nonempty set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}/f(x) > 0\}$ .

The above problem has been considered by Brown and Hewitt [3] in a parametric setting, in which b is assumed to be a linear combination of known functions  $\Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_k$  with unknown coefficients  $a_1, \ldots, a_k$  to be estimated. Whereas Banon and N'Guyen [1] considered the nonparametric estimation of b by an indirect method using kernel estimates of f and its derivatives. Recently Pham [9] gave a kernel estimate of the drift function from the regression function  $E(X_{t+\Delta}|X_t = .)$  for which he establishes convergence in quadratic mean.

In the framework, Genon-Catalot *et al.* [5] estimated the variance function using the wavelets methods, Kutoyants and Pilibossian [8] considered the parametric estimation of the parameter of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process.

Let  $\Delta$  be positive and fixed and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; the Markov observation  $(X_{i\Delta}, 0 \leq i \leq n)$  permits to write:

$$X_{i\Delta+\Delta} - X_{i\Delta} = b_{\Delta}(X_{i\Delta}) + \sigma_{\Delta}(X_{i\Delta})\epsilon_{i\Delta+\Delta}$$

where

$$b_{\Delta}(X_t) = E(X_{t+\Delta} - X_t | X_t)$$
  
$$\sigma_{\Delta}^2(X_t) = V(X_{t+\Delta} | X_t)$$

are supposed to exist and define discrete versions of b and  $\sigma^2$ ,  $(\epsilon_t)$  being a stationary Gaussian process such that:

$$E(\epsilon_{t+\Delta}|X_s; s \le t) = 0$$
$$E(\epsilon_{t+\Delta}^2|X_s; s \le t) = 1$$

A natural estimator of  $b_{\Delta}$  is:

$$b_{\Delta,n}(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h_n}\right) (X_{i\Delta+\Delta} - X_{i\Delta})}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h_n}\right)} \quad \forall x \in E;$$

where  $(h_n)$  is a positive sequence of real numbers such that  $h_n \to 0$  and  $nh_n \to \infty$  when  $n \to \infty$ , and K a Parzen-Rosenblatt kernel type (see D.Bosq, J.P Lecoutre [2]) that is a bounded function satisfying:  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} K(t)dt = 1$  and  $\lim_{|t|\to\infty} |t|K(t) = 0$ ; moreover the kernel K will be assumed to be positive and with bounded variation.

We establish the almost sure convergence of  $b_{\Delta,n}$  to  $b_{\Delta}$  under strong mixing hypothesis and using the fact that:

$$b(x) = \lim_{\Delta \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta} E(X_{t+\Delta} - X_t | X_t = x)$$

we give an estimate  $\frac{b_{\Delta,n}}{\Delta}$  of b by letting  $\Delta \to 0$ , such that  $n\Delta \to \infty$  with n.

nnework, Genon-Catalot et al. [5

# 2.Main results

We introduce the following assumptions:

H1. The process  $(X_{i\Delta})$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , is strictly stationary and strong mixing in the sense that:

 $\alpha_n = \sup\{|P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)|; A \in \mathcal{M}_0^t, B \in \mathcal{M}_{t+n}^\infty\} \to 0, n \to \infty;$ where  $\mathcal{M}_0^t$  (resp  $\mathcal{M}_{t+n}^\infty$ ) denotes the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $(X_s; s \leq t)$  [resp  $(X_s; s \geq t+n)$ ].

H2. The  $X_{i\Delta}$  have a continuous and bounded density f in E.

H3. The functions b and  $\sigma$  and their discretized versions  $b_{\Delta}$  and  $\sigma_{\Delta}$  are Lipschitz and bounded in E, where the Lipschitz condition is defined by:  $|b(x) - b(y)| + |\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)| \le c |x - y| \ \forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ , where c is a given constant. Moreover  $\sigma$  is assumed to be strictly positive.

H4. The density f is twice differentiable in E and its seconde derivative is bounded on a specified compact C included in E.

#### <u>Remarks</u>

A) If we assume that the initial condition  $X_0$  is independent of  $(W_t; t \in \mathbb{R}^+)$  with density f, then a condition such as:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, |b(x)| + \sigma(x) \le a\sqrt{1+x^2}$$

where a is a strictly positive and given constant, implies that the process  $(X_t)$  is stationary (E.Wong [10]).

B) Assumptions H1 to H3 are satisfied in the case of an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process if  $X_0$  follows a centered normal law. We give the following results:

## Theorem 1.

Under assumptions H1 to H3, if  $(h_n)$  is a sequence satisfying with  $m_n$ :

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^{1-\xi} h_n}{m_n \ln n} = \infty \text{ for some } \xi \in [0, 1[$$

where  $m_n$  is an unbounded and nondecreasing sequence with  $1 \le m_n \le n/2$ , and such that there exists a bounded constant A satisfying:

$$\frac{n}{m_n}\left(\frac{1}{m_n}\sum_{j=1}^{m_n}\alpha_j + e\sqrt{e}\alpha_{m_n}^{2m_n/3n}\right) < A;$$

then for all x in E we have:

$$b_{\Delta,n}(x) \xrightarrow{a.s.} b_{\Delta}(x), \quad n \to \infty.$$

## Theorem 2.

Under assumptions of theorem 1 and if the kernel K is Lipschitz, we have:

$$\sup_{x\in C} |b_{\Delta,n}(x) - b_{\Delta}(x)| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0, \ n \to \infty.$$

## Theorem 3.

Under assumptions of theorem 2 and H4, if the kernel K is even with  $\int z^2 K(z) dz < \infty$ ; then:

$$\Theta_n^{-1} \sup_{x \in C} |b_{\Delta,n}(x) - b_{\Delta}(x)| = O(1) \quad a.s., \ n \to \infty,$$

if we choose the sequence  $h_n$  such that:

$$\Theta_n = \frac{m_n \ln n}{n^{1-\xi} h_n} \longrightarrow 0, \ \Theta_n m_n \longrightarrow \infty, \ n \to \infty$$

for some  $\xi \in ]0,1[$ 

and if there exists a finite positive constant D such that:

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 3$   $h_n^2 \Theta_n^{-1} \leq D.$ 

Under assumptions of theorem 1, if we choose  $h_n$  and  $\Delta$  such that:

$$\Delta \to 0, \ \frac{h_n}{\Delta} = o(1), \ \frac{n^{1-\ell}\Delta h_n}{m_n \ln n} \to \infty, \ \frac{n^{\ell}}{\Delta m_n} \to 0,$$

for some  $\xi \in ]0$ , 1[, then for all x in E we have:

$$\frac{b_{\Delta,n}(x)}{\Delta} \xrightarrow{a.s.} b(x), \ n \to \infty$$

## Remark

For example, if  $\alpha_n \leq C \exp(-c n^2)$ , C > 0, c > 0: we can choose  $m_n = m_0 \sqrt{n}$  and  $\Delta = n^{-\tau}$  with  $0 < \tau < 1$  then, the condition  $\frac{n^{1-\xi}\Delta h_n}{m_n \ln n} \longrightarrow \infty$  becomes  $\frac{n^{1/2-(\xi+\tau)}h_n}{\ln n} \longrightarrow \infty$  for some  $\xi + \tau < 1/2$  and  $\Theta_n$ becomes  $\frac{\ln n}{n^{1/2-\xi}h_n} \longrightarrow 0$ ,  $n \to \infty$ .

# **3.Preliminary results**

We put:

$$b_{\Delta,n}(x) - b_{\Delta}(x) = f_n^{-1}(x)[A_1(x) + A_2(x) + b_{\Delta}(x)A_3(x)]$$

with

$$A_1(x) = \frac{1}{nh_n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h_n}\right) b_{\Delta}(X_{i\Delta}) - b_{\Delta}(x)f(x)$$
$$A_2(x) = \frac{1}{nh_n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h_n}\right) \sigma_{\Delta}(X_{i\Delta})\epsilon_{i\Delta+\Delta}$$
$$A_3(x) = f(x) - f_n(x)$$

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{nh_n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h_n}\right)$$

## Lemma 1. (Carbon [4])

Let  $Z_i$  be a real valued strong mixing process, such that:

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq n, EZ_i = 0, |Z_i| \leq d_n, EZ_i^2 \leq D_n$ 

then:  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ n \ge 3$  $P\left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i} \right| > \epsilon \right\} \le 2exp\left\{ -\gamma \epsilon + 4\gamma^{2}ne\left[ D_{n} + 8d_{n}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_{j} \right] + 2e\sqrt{e}\alpha_{k}^{2k/3n} \frac{n}{k} \right\}$ where k is an integer less or equal than n/2,  $\gamma$  satisfying  $0 < \gamma \le 1/4ked_{n}$ .

## Lemma 2.

If the sequence  $(h_n)$  is submitted to the conditions of theorem 1, then for all x in E:

$$f_n(x) \xrightarrow{a.s.} f(x), \ n \to \infty.$$

#### Proof:

By lemma 1 we have:

$$f_n(x) - E f_n(x) \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0, \ n \to \infty.$$

And by Bochner's lemma we have:

$$Ef_n(x) - f(x) \longrightarrow 0, \ n \to \infty.$$

#### Lemma 3.

Under hypothesis of theorem 1 we have:

$$A_1(x) \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0, \ n \to \infty.$$

Proof:

We write:

$$A_1(x) = \left[\overline{A_1}(x) - \overline{EA_1}(x)\right] + \left[\overline{EA_1}(x) - b_{\Delta}(x)f(x)\right]$$

where

$$\overline{A_1}(x) = \frac{1}{nh_n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h_n}\right) b_{\Delta}(X_{i\Delta}).$$

We have  $E\overline{A_1}(x) - b_{\Delta}(x)f(x) \longrightarrow 0, \ n \to \infty$  (see Pham [9]).

It remains to show that:

$$\overline{A_1}(x) - E\overline{A_1}(x) \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0, \ n \to \infty.$$

We put

$$\overline{A_1}(x) - E\overline{A_1}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} Z_i,$$

with

$$(3.1) \quad Z_i = \frac{1}{nh_n} \left\{ K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h_n}\right) b_{\Delta}(X_{i\Delta}) - E\left[K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h_n}\right) b_{\Delta}(X_{i\Delta})\right] \right\}$$

By construction we have:  $EZ_i = 0$ . If  $\overline{K}$ ,  $\Gamma$  and  $\rho_1$  are upperbounds of K, f and  $b_{\Delta}$  respectively, we have:

$$|Z_i| \le \frac{2\overline{K}\rho_1}{nh_n} = d_n$$
$$EZ_i^2 \le \frac{2\overline{K}\Gamma\rho_1^2}{n^2h_n} = D_n$$

Then we apply lemma 1 with  $k = m_n$  and  $\gamma = \frac{1}{4m_n d_n e}$ 

$$P\left\{\left|\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} Z_i\right| > \epsilon\right\} \le 2exp\left\{-\frac{nh_n}{8e\overline{K}\rho_1 m_n}\left(\epsilon - \frac{\Gamma\rho_1}{m_n}\right) + \frac{2n}{em_n}\left(\frac{1}{m_n}\sum_{j=1}^{m_n}\alpha_j + e\sqrt{e\alpha_{m_n}^{2m_n/3n}}\right)\right\}$$

For sufficiently large n, we have:

$$\frac{\Gamma\rho_1}{m_n} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} ;$$

therefore, assumptions of theorem 1 imply that there exist two positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that:

(3.2) 
$$P\left\{\left|\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} Z_i\right| > \epsilon\right\} \le C_1 exp\left(-C_2 \epsilon \frac{nh_n}{m_n}\right)$$

and the choice of  $h_n$  shows that:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \quad \sum_{n} P\left\{ \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} Z_i \right| > \epsilon \right\} < \infty$$

### Lemma 4.

Under hypothesis of theorem 1, if the sequence  $m_n$  is satisfying:

$$\frac{n^{\xi}}{n_n} \longrightarrow 0, \ n \to \infty;$$

for some  $\xi \in [0, 1]$  then, we have:

$$A_2(x) \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0, n \to \infty.$$

#### Proof:

The study of  $A_2(x)$  cannot be made directly because of the possible large values for the variables  $\epsilon_{i\Delta+\Delta}$ .

We use a truncation technique which consists in decomposing  $A_2(x)$  in  $A_{2}^{+}(x)$  and  $A_{2}^{-}(x)$ . Where:

$$A_2^+(x) = \frac{1}{nh_n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h_n}\right) \sigma_{\Delta}(X_{i\Delta}) \epsilon_{i\Delta + \Delta} I_{\{|\epsilon_{i\Delta + \Delta}| \ge M_n\}}$$

and  $A_2^-(x) = A_2(x) - A_2^+(x)$ ,  $M_n$  being a nondecreasing sequence satisfying  $M_n = n^{\xi}$  for some  $\xi \in [0, 1[$ .

We have

$$|A_2^+(x)| \le \frac{\overline{K}\rho_2}{nh_n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\epsilon_{i\Delta+\Delta}| I_{\{|\epsilon_{i\Delta+\Delta}| \ge M_n\}}$$

where  $\rho_2$  is an upper bound of  $\sigma_{\Delta}$ .

Leading by Schwartz inequality to:

$$E|A_2^+(x)| \le \frac{\overline{K}\rho_2}{nh_n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (E\epsilon_{i\Delta+\Delta}^2)^{1/2} (P[|\epsilon_{i\Delta+\Delta}| \ge M_n])^{1/2}$$

Using Markov inequality we get for any sequence  $(e_n)$ 

$$P\{|A_2^+(x) - EA_2^+(x)| \ge e_n\} \le \frac{M}{e_n h_n M_n^{\beta/2}}$$

M being a positive constant and  $\beta$  such that  $\beta > 4/\xi - 2$ . It suffices to choose now  $e_n = e_0(n^{1-\xi}h_n)^{-1}$  for a certain  $e_0 > 0$  to get:

(4.1) 
$$P\{n^{1-\xi}h_n|A_2^+(x) - EA_2^+(x)| \ge e_0\} \le Ln^{1-\xi(1+\beta/2)}$$

where L is a positive constant.

The choice of  $\beta$  makes that the upperbound is the general term of a convergent series, hence:

$$A_2^+(x) - EA_2^+(x) \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0, \ n \to \infty.$$

To proof that  $A_2^-(x) - EA_2^-(x) \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$  we put:

$$\Psi_{i} = \frac{1}{nh_{n}} \left\{ K_{i}(x)\sigma_{\Delta}(X_{i\Delta})\epsilon_{i\Delta+\Delta}I_{\{|\epsilon_{i\Delta+\Delta}| < M_{n}\}} - E\left[K_{i}(x)\sigma_{\Delta}(X_{i\Delta})\epsilon_{i\Delta+\Delta}I_{\{|\epsilon_{i\Delta+\Delta}| < M_{n}\}}\right] \right\}$$

where  $K_i(x) = K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h_n}\right)$ . By the lemma 1 we get:

(4.2) 
$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \quad P\left\{ \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \Psi_i \right| > \epsilon \right\} \le C_3 \exp\left( -C_4 \epsilon \frac{n^{1-\xi} h_n}{m_n} \right)$$

where  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  are two positive constants; and the choice of  $h_n$  shows that:

$$\sum_{n} P\left\{ \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \Psi_i \right| > \epsilon \right\} < \infty.$$

Now,  $A_2(x) = A_2(x) - EA_2(x)$  permits to conclude.

Lemma 5.

Under hypothesis of theorem 2, we have:

$$\sup_{x \to \infty} |A_2(x)| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0, \ n \to \infty.$$

Proof:

By the proof of lemma 4, we get  $\sup_{x \in C} |A_2^+(x) - EA_2^+(x)| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0, n \to \infty$ . It remains to show that:  $\sup_{x \in C} |A_2^-(x) - EA_2^-(x)| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0, n \to \infty$ .

Let  $\{B_j, j = 1, 2, ..., l_n\}$  be a cover of C by  $l_n$  spheres with center  $v_j$  and radius less than  $h_n^{\eta}$  with  $l_n \leq \overline{l}h_n^{-\eta}$ , where  $\eta$  is a fixed number such that for  $\gamma_1 > 0, \eta > 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_1}$  and  $\overline{l}$  a given positive constant.

If x is a fixed point in C, there exists a point  $v_j$  such that  $x \in B_j$  and we write:

$$\Psi_i(x) = \Psi_i(v_j) + \Psi_i(x),$$

where  $\widetilde{\Psi}_i(x) = \Psi_i(x) - \Psi_i(v_j)$ .

The kernel K being Lipschitz of order  $\gamma_1$ , there exists a finite positive constant  $\lambda$  such that:

$$\sup_{x \in C} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \widetilde{\Psi}_i(x) \right| \le \lambda h_n^{\gamma_1(\eta-1)-1} n^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[ \left| \epsilon_{i\Delta+\Delta} \right| + E \right| \epsilon_{i\Delta+\Delta} \right| \right]$$

By the law of large numbers we have:

$$n^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\epsilon_{i\Delta+\Delta}| \xrightarrow{a.s.} E |\epsilon_{i\Delta+\Delta}|$$

then, we get:

$$\sup_{x\in C} |\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tilde{\Psi}_i(x)| \le \Lambda h_n^{\gamma_1(\eta-1)-1} \quad a.s.$$

where  $\Lambda$  is a positive constant.

It remains to show that:

$$\max_{1 \le j \le l_n} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \Psi_i(v_j) \right| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0, \ n \to \infty.$$

For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have:

$$P\left\{\max_{1\leq j\leq l_n} \left|\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \Psi_i(v_j)\right| > \epsilon\right\} \leq l_n \sup_{x\in\mathcal{C}} P\left\{\left|\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \Psi_i(x)\right| > \epsilon\right\}$$

Inequality (4.2) shows that there exists a positive constant  $C_5$  such that

$$P\left\{\max_{1\leq j\leq l_n}|\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\Psi_i(v_j)|>\epsilon\right\}\leq C_5h_n^{-\eta}exp\left(-C_4\epsilon\frac{n^{1-\xi}h_n}{m_n}\right)$$

the right-hand side of the last inequality could be written as follows:

$$\frac{C_5}{(nh_n)^{\eta}}n^{\eta-C_4\mu_n\epsilon}$$

where  $\mu_n = \frac{n^{1-\xi}h_n}{m_n \ln n}$ ; it is the general term of a convergent series, hence the result.

## Lemma 6.

Under hypothesis of lemma 2, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that:

$$\sum_{n} P(\inf_{x \in C} f_n(x) \le \delta) < \infty.$$

## Proof:

It suffices to write:

$$f_n(x) = f(x) - (f(x) - f_n(x))$$

then, 
$$\inf_{x \in C} f_n(x) \ge \inf_{x \in C} f(x) - \sup_{x \in C} |f(x) - f_n(x)|$$

# 4.Proofs of theorems.

4.1 Proof of theorem 1.

We obtain:

$$|b_{\Delta,n}(x) - b_{\Delta}(x)| \le \frac{|A_1(x)| + |A_2(x)| + |b_{\Delta}(x)||A_3(x)|}{f(x) - |f(x) - f_n(x)|}$$

Lemmas 2, 3 and 4 permit to conclude that:

$$b_{\Delta,n}(x) \xrightarrow{a.s.} b_{\Delta}(x), \ n \to \infty.$$

## 4.2 Proof of theorem 2.

We obtain:

 $\sup_{x \in C} |A_1(x)| + \sup_{x \in C} |A_2(x)| + \sup_{x \in C} |b_{\Delta}(x)| |A_3(x)|$  $\sup_{x \in C} |b_{\Delta,n}(x) - b_{\Delta}(x)| \le \frac{x \in C}{\sum_{x \in C} f_n(x)}$ We find a proof of  $\sup_{x \in C} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0, n \to \infty$  in Györfi and al. [6],

and since the function  $b_{\Delta}$  is bounded on E we conclude that:

$$\sup_{x \in C} |b_{\Delta}(x)| |A_3(x)| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0, \ n \to \infty.$$

Now we pick up again the decomposition of  $A_1(x)$  in the proof of lemma 3 and we write:

 $\sup_{x \in C} |A_1(x)| \le \sup_{x \in C} |\overline{A_1}(x) - E\overline{A_1}(x)| + \sup_{x \in C} |E\overline{A_1}(x) - b_{\Delta}(x)f(x)| = T_1 + T_2$ with

$$T_{1} = \sup_{x \in C} \left| \frac{1}{nh_{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left\{ K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h_{n}}\right) b_{\Delta}(X_{i\Delta}) - E\left[ K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h_{n}}\right) b_{\Delta}(X_{i\Delta}) \right] \right\} \right|$$

and

$$T_2 = \sup_{x \in C} \left| \frac{1}{nh_n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} E\left[ K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h_n}\right) b_{\Delta}(X_{i\Delta}) \right] - b_{\Delta}(x) f(x) \right|$$

 $T_2$ 

By Bochner's lemma and the fact that  $b_{\Delta}$  is Lipschitz we get  $T_2 \longrightarrow 0$  $n \rightarrow \infty$ 

Now, using the technique of covering C by a finite number of spheres as in the proof of lemma 5 gives:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \ P\left\{\max_{j=1,\dots,l_n} |\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} Z_i(v_j)| > \epsilon\right\} \le C_6 h_n^{-\eta} exp\left(-C_7 \epsilon \frac{nh_n}{m_n}\right)$$

where  $C_6$  and  $C_7$  are two positive constants and  $Z_i$  the variables defined in (3.1). Moreover, if we write:

$$\overline{Z}_i(x) = Z_i(x) - Z_i(v_j)$$

we get:

$$\sup_{x \in C} |\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tilde{Z}_i(x)| \le h_n^{\gamma_1(\eta-1)-1}$$

which leads to:

$$T_1 \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0, n \to \infty.$$

Lastly, the lemmas 5 and 6 permit to finish the proof of theorem 2.

## 4.3 Proof of theorem 3.

We proceed as in the proof of theorem 2 with  $\epsilon_0 \Theta_n$  instead of  $\epsilon$ , for a certain  $\epsilon_0 > 0$ , and we establish that:

$$\Theta_n^{-1} \sup_{x \in C} |\overline{A_1}(x) - E\overline{A_1}(x)| = O(1) \ a.s., \ n \to \infty.$$

and proceeding as in the proof of lemma 5 with  $\epsilon_0 \Theta_n$  instead of  $\epsilon$  for a certain  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  we establish that:

$$\Theta_n^{-1} \sup_{x \in C} |A_2^-(x) - EA_2^-(x)| = O(1) \ a.s., \ n \to \infty.$$

Furthermore, we have:

$$T_2 \leq \sup_{x \in C} \frac{1}{h_n} \int K\left(\frac{u-x}{h_n}\right) |b_{\Delta}(u) - b_{\Delta}(x)| f(u) du + \sup_{x \in C} \frac{|b_{\Delta}(x)|}{h_n} \int K\left(\frac{u-x}{h_n}\right) |f(u) - f(x)| du$$

and if we put  $z = \frac{u-x}{h_{-}}$  and use the fact that  $b_{\Delta}$  is Lipschitz of order 1 and ratio  $r < \infty$  we get:

$$T_2 \leq rh_n \sup_{x \in C} \int |z| K(z) f(zh_n + x) dz + \rho_1 \sup_{x \in C} \int K(z) |f(zh_n + x) - f(x)| dz$$

Now, the hypothesis of theorem 3 and a Taylor expansion in the second term of the right-hand side of the inequality above shows that there exists a finite constant D > 0 such that:  $T_2 \leq Dh_n^2$ .

Hence,  $\Theta_n^{-1} \sup_{x \in C} |A_1(x)| = O(1)$  a.s.,  $n \to \infty$ . Moreover, by the proof of lemma 4 we have:

$$P\{\sup_{x \in C} |A_2^+(x) - EA_2^+(x)| \ge e_n\} \le \frac{Mn^{-\xi\beta/2}}{e_n h_n}$$

If we choose  $e_n = e_0 m_n^{-1} \Theta_n$  for a certain  $e_0 > 0$  we get:

$$P\{m_n\Theta_n^{-1}\sup_{x\in C} |A_2^+(x) - EA_2^+(x)| \ge e_0\} \le \overline{L}\frac{1}{n^{\xi(1+\beta/2)-1}\ln n}$$

where  $\overline{L}$  is a positive constant, and then we conclude with Borel-Cantelli lemma.

Lastly, we find in Györfi, Härdle, Sarda and Vieu [6] a proof of:

$$\Theta_n^{-1} \sup_{x \in C} |f_n(x) - f(x)| = O(1) \quad a.s., \ n \to \infty.$$

4.4 Proof of corollary.

We obtain:

$$\frac{b_{\Delta,n}(x)}{\Delta} - b(x) = \frac{b_{\Delta,n}(x) - b_{\Delta}(x)}{\Delta} + \left(\frac{b_{\Delta}(x)}{\Delta} - b(x)\right)$$
$$\frac{1}{\Delta}|b_{\Delta,n}(x) - b_{\Delta}(x)| \le \frac{1}{\Delta} \times \frac{|A_1(x)| + |A_2(x)| + |b_{\Delta}(x)||A_3(x)|}{f(x) - |f(x) - f_n(x)|}$$

First we have:

$$\frac{|b_{\Delta}(x)|}{\Delta}|A_3(x)| \le \left|\frac{b_{\Delta}(x)}{\Delta} - b(x)\right||A_3(x)| + |b(x)||A_3(x)|$$

lemma 2 and the fact that  $\frac{b_{\Delta}(x)}{\Delta} \longrightarrow b(x), \ \Delta \to 0$  give:

$$\frac{|b_{\Delta}(x)|}{\Delta}|A_3(x)| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0, \ \Delta \to 0, \ n \to \infty.$$

Now proceeding as in the proof of lemma 3 we get:

$$\frac{1}{\Delta}A_1(x) = R_1 + R_2$$

with

$$R_2 = \frac{1}{n\Delta h_n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} E\left[K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h_n}\right) \left[b_{\Delta}(X_{i\Delta}) - b_{\Delta}(x)\right]\right] + \frac{b_{\Delta}(x)}{\Delta} \left(Ef_n(x) - f(x)\right)$$

with

$$\frac{b_{\Delta}(x)}{\Delta}(Ef_n(x) - f(x)) = \left(\frac{b_{\Delta}(x)}{\Delta} - b(x)\right)(Ef_n(x) - f(x)) + b(x)(Ef_n(x) - f(x))$$

using Bochner's lemma, the fact that b(x) is bounded and the fact that  $b_{\Delta}$  is Lipschitz we easily show that  $R_2 \longrightarrow 0$ ,  $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover;

$$R_{1} = \frac{1}{n\Delta h_{n}} \left\{ K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h_{n}}\right) b_{\Delta}(X_{i\Delta}) - E\left[K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h_{n}}\right) b_{\Delta}(X_{i\Delta})\right] \right\}$$

then, using lemma 1 we get  $R_1 \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0, \Delta \to 0, n \to \infty$ .

Now,

$$\frac{1}{\Delta}A_2(x) = \frac{1}{\Delta}A_2^+(x) + \frac{1}{\Delta}A_2^-(x)$$

Proceeding as in the proof of lemma 4 we get:

$$P\left\{\frac{1}{\Delta}|A_2^+(x) - EA_2^+(x)| \ge e_n\right\} \le \frac{M}{\Delta e_n h_n n^{\xi\beta/2}}$$

if we choose  $e_n = e_0(n^{1-\xi}\Delta h_n)^{-1}$  for a certain  $e_0 > 0$ , we get:

$$P\{n^{1-\xi}h_n|A_2^+(x) - EA_2^+(x)| \ge e_0\} \le Me_0^{-1}n^{1-\xi(1+\beta/2)}$$

then we conclude as in the proof of lemma 4.

Lastly if we apply lemma 1 to 
$$\frac{1}{\Delta} [A_2^-(x) - EA_2^-(x)]$$
 we get:  
 $P\left\{ |\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \Psi_i| > \epsilon \right\} \le 2exp \left\{ -\frac{n^{1-\epsilon}\Delta h_n}{m_n 8\overline{K}e\rho_2} \left(\epsilon - \frac{n^{\epsilon}\Gamma\rho_2}{\Delta m_n}\right) + \frac{2n}{em_n} \left(\frac{1}{m_n}\sum_{j=1}^{m_n} \alpha_j + e\sqrt{e\alpha_{m_n}^{2m_n/3n}}\right) \right\}$ 

For sufficient large n, we have:

$$\frac{n^{\xi}\Gamma\rho_2}{\Delta m_n} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} ;$$

therefore assumptions of corollary imply that there exist two positive constants  $C_8$  and  $C_9$  such that:

$$P\left\{\left|\frac{1}{\Delta}[A_2^-(x) - EA_2^-(x)]\right| > \epsilon\right\} \le C_8 exp\left(-C_9 \epsilon \frac{n^{1-\xi} \Delta h_n}{m_n}\right)$$

and the choice of  $h_n$  shows that:

$$\sum_{n} P\left\{ \left| \frac{1}{\Delta} [A_2^-(x) - EA_2^-(x)] \right| > \epsilon \right\} < \infty.$$

## References

[1] G.Banon and H.T N'Guyen, Recursive estimation in diffusion model, SIAM J.Control and Optimization vol 19, n<sup>0</sup>5, 1981, 676-685.

[2] D.Bosq, J.P Lecoutre, *Théorie de l'estimation fonctionnelle*, 1987, Economica.

[3] B.Brown, J.Hewitt, Asymptotic likelihood theory for diffusion process, J. App. Prob, 12, 1975, 228-238.

[4] M.Carbon, Inégalités de grandes déviations dans les processus. Application à l'estimation fonctionnelle, *Thèse de doctorat de l'univ.Paris 6*, 1988.

 [5] V.Genon-Catalot, C.Laredo, D.Picard, Estimation non paramétrique de la variance d'une diffusion par méthodes d'ondelettes, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 311, Série I, 1990, 379-382. [6] L.Györfi, W.Härdle, P.Sarda, P.Vieu, Nonparametric curve estimation from time series, *Lecture notes in statistics*, 60, 1989, Springer-Verlag.

[7] Y.Kutoyants, Minimum distance parameter estimate for diffusion type observations, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 321, Série I, 1991, 637-642.

[8] Y.Kutoyants, P. Pilibossian, On minimum  $L_1$ -norm estimate of the parameter of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 20, 1994, 117-123.

[9] Pham-Dinh Tuan, Nonparametric estimation of the drift coefficient in the diffusion equation, *Math.Oper.Statist.,Ser.Statistics*, vol 12, 1981, 61-73.

[10] E.Wong, Stochastic processes in information and dynamical systems, 1971, Mc Graw Hill.