

Optimisation of the filter housing dimensions of an automatic flushing strainer-type filter

Nicolás Cano, Antonio Pires de Camargo, Nassim Ait-Mouheb, Gustavo Lopes Muniz, Jhonnatan Alexander Yepes Guarnizo, Diego José de Sousa Pereira, José Frizzone

To cite this version:

Nicolás Cano, Antonio Pires de Camargo, Nassim Ait-Mouheb, Gustavo Lopes Muniz, Jhonnatan Alexander Yepes Guarnizo, et al.. Optimisation of the filter housing dimensions of an automatic flushing strainer-type filter. Biosystems Engineering, 2022, 219, pp.25-37. 10.1016 /i.biosystemseng.2022.04.019 hal-03651452

HAL Id: hal-03651452 <https://hal.science/hal-03651452>

Submitted on 25 Apr 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Biosystems Engineering

Optimisation of the filter housing dimensions of an automatic flushing strainer-type filter

--Manuscript Draft--

Declaration of interests

 \boxtimes The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

☐The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Highlights

- An automatic flushing strainer-type filter and five filter elements were studied
- Excessive pressure losses were caused by the filter housing design
- Four designs of filter housing were investigated by numerical approaches
- CFD enabled to investigate fluid flow and to optimise the filter housing dimensions
- Improvements enabled to improve the hydraulic performance of the filter housing

 Abstract: Filtration is essential in drip irrigation systems to remove physical contaminants carried by water that can clog the emitters, cause wear, or foul components of the system, affecting its performance and lifespan. Automatic flushing strainer-type filters initiate and terminate discrete flushing cycles that are activated automatically by means of differential pressure. The objective of this study is to investigate the hydraulic performance and flow behaviour of an automatic flushing strainer-type filter operated with clean water, using experimental and numerical approaches, to optimise the dimensions of its filter housing and to increase the range of operating flow rates. Pressure drop curves were determined for the filter housing and the filter system equipped with five models of filter elements (woven and non-woven elements). Excessive pressure drop in the filter housing and low filtration rates were identified as the main drawbacks of the original filter system. Numerical simulations enabled the identification of the most critical regions in terms of pressure losses near the transitions between the inlet and outlet segments of the pipe. Four designs of filter housing were simulated to evaluate the possibilities of optimising the filter housing dimensions using a constant filtering area. Larger inlet and outlet diameters combined to a filter housing shorter and wider were improvements in the filter housing dimensions that enabled to decrease the pressure drop in the filter and/or increase the range of operating flow rates. The results provided useful information for enhancing the hydraulic performance of the filtration system.

Keywords: filtration; CFD; screen filter; irrigation engineering; hydraulics

Nomenclature

- A Total surface area of filtration (mm)^2)
- a, b Fitted coefficients of the pressure drop equation
- CFD Computational fluid dynamics

45

46

47

1 Introduction

 Filtration involves the physical separation of one or more components from a suspension in a fluid by passage through or across a barrier (filter medium) that is permeable only to some of these components (Purchas & Sutherland, 2002). In irrigation systems, particularly in microirrigation, filtration is important to prevent the intake of particles and sediments that can accumulate along pipes and other components, resulting in the clogging of emitters. Under typical irrigation conditions, complete removal of all suspended particles cannot be achieved (ISO9912-1, 2004). Practical and economic limitations only allow for the removal of larger particles, and consequently, sediments can be found in irrigation lines (Oliveira et al., 2020; Puig- Bargués & Lamm, 2013; Ravina et al., 1992) Strainer-type, or screen filters, are devices that contain one or more filter elements. The strainer-type filter elements consist of a perforated plate, screen, mesh, or a combination of these, intended to retain suspended solids larger than the aperture size specified by the manufacturer. The screen can be made of steel, nylon, polypropylene, or nonwoven materials. The material and characteristics of the screen directly affect the fluid flow and performance of the filter (Sparks & Chase, 2016; Sutherland, 2008). In irrigation applications, the filter performance mainly refers to hydraulic performance (i.e., pressure drop, filtration rate), removal efficiency of suspended particles, resistance to corrosion, and backwash effectiveness when automatic mechanisms are part of the filtration system. For woven wire cloths, the filtration performance is influenced by filter cloth specifications such as wire diameter, shape and material, type of weave (e.g., plain Dutch weave, twill Dutch weave, reverse Dutch weave), aperture size, and mesh count. The performance of nonwoven fabrics is influenced by the material, porosity, permeability, thickness, pore size, and mass per unit area of the fabric (Ribeiro et al.,

2004, 2008). For media filters, the requirements for evaluating several characteristics

related to filter performance are standardized in ASAE S539 (ASABE, 2017).

 The filtration for irrigation systems may consist of primary and secondary filters. The primary filter may serve several plots and consist of a media filter, screen or disc filter, most often incorporating a self-cleaning mechanism. Screen or disc filters manually cleaned, can be installed as secondary downstream safety filters at the inlet of plots (Pizarro Cabello, 1996; Ravina et al., 1997). Screen filters are suitable for removing suspended solid particles, but problems may arise when algal debris are part of the contaminants. Algal material tends to intertwine between the screen mesh and removal is difficult when the packing becomes dense (Nakayama et al., 2007). If the irrigation water contains a high concentration of suspended solid particles, sand separators or 84 settling basins should be installed upstream of the filtration system (Keller & Bliesner, 2000).

 Some screen filters have flushing cycles that are automatically activated, and they are called automatic flushing strainer-type filters (ISO9912-3, 2013). Automatic flushing filters initiate and terminate discrete flushing cycles that are activated automatically by means of differential pressure or at regular intervals of time or filtered volume (Nakayama et al., 2007).

Several studies have emerged in recent years with the intention of improving designs for

energy consumption, and understanding the flow behaviour and performance of filters,

93 mainly media filters (Arbat et al., 2011; Bové et al., 2017; Bové, Arbat, Pujol, et al.,

2015; Mesquita et al., 2017, 2019; Pujol et al., 2020; Solé-Torres et al., 2019). Many

studies have used computational fluid dynamics (CFD) to investigate, design, and

improve irrigation equipment (Camargo et al., 2020). Although experimental data will

always be necessary for the validation of numerical simulations and to confirm the

 performance of irrigation equipment, numerical simulations can be useful to reduce resources related to development costs.

Mathematical models based on dimensional analysis have been developed to predict

head losses of filters for irrigation systems operated with tap water (Yurdem et al.,

2008, 2010), water with suspended solids, and effluents (Duran-Ros et al., 2010; Puig-

Bargués et al., 2005; Zong et al., 2015). Some of these models can describe filter

clogging as a function of the characteristics of the filter, water, and flow. CFD was used

to estimate fluid flow characteristics and pressure losses in different parts of sand filters,

as well as to propose improvements in the design of filter components aiming for better

hydraulic performance (Arbat et al., 2011; Bové, Arbat, Pujol, et al., 2015; Mesquita et

al., 2017, 2019). CFD studies on strainer-type filters are scarce in the literature on

irrigation engineering.

The objective of this study was to investigate the hydraulic performance and flow

behaviour of an automatic flushing strainer-type filter operated with clean water, using

experimental and numerical approaches, to optimise the dimensions of its filter housing

and to increase the range of operating flow rates.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Filter

The automatic flushing strainer-type filter model FA-20 manufactured by Iavant

Filtering Systems, Brazil, was investigated (Fig. 1). The filter housing is made of steel,

and an electrostatic powder coating is applied over its inner and outer surfaces. The inlet

and outlet internal diameters are 80 mm, and the total surface area of the filtration

120 element is 272,376 mm².

This filtration system operates differently from other automatic flushing strainer-type

filters. In this filter, the operating water flows from the outer to the inner surface of the

 filter element; thus, residues gradually accumulate over the external surface of the element. The automatic flushing mechanism is activated by a differential pressure threshold with a default value of 50 kPa. When the flushing routine is activated, the flushing valve is opened, and the electric motor coupled to a gearbox rotates the filter element. When the filter element rotates, its outer surface rubs against the brushes, which facilitates the detachment of solid material accumulated during the filtration routine. Parallel to the brushes, there is a narrow cavity that is connected to a flushing pipe. The differential pressure between the inside of the filter and the atmosphere is converted into a high velocity through the flushing pipe, which removes the material accumulated over the external surface of the filter element.

133 **[Fig. 1]**

134 A technical description of the five models of the filter elements evaluated is presented in

135 Table 1. According to the filter manufacturer, stainless steel (SS-120 and SS-150) and

136 polypropylene (PP-120) models are used in several applications (e.g., irrigation,

137 wastewater, water supply, and industry), whereas non-woven models (NW-500 and

138 NW-2500) are usually required for industrial purposes. Fig. 2 shows images of the

139 woven and non-woven meshes obtained using a Leica M125C stereo microscope.

140 **Table 1**. Specifications of the filter elements provided by the mesh manufacturers.

 sampled at a 1 s acquisition interval. Data was gathered in three replications evaluating one unit of each filter element model.

Pressure drop curves as a function of flow rate were determined for the filter housing

without filter elements, as well as for the filter system equipped with each of the filter

- elements shown in Table 1. The pressure drop in the filter was measured at flow rates
- 170 varying from 25 to 65 m^3 h⁻¹. The pressure at the filter inlet ranged from 350 to 400

171 kPa, and the average water temperature was 21.5 \degree C (20.7 and 22.5 \degree C were the extreme

values). The automatic flushing mechanism was disabled during the experiments

because it was not part of the purpose of this study.

2.3 Simulations evaluating the original filter housing

CFD simulations were performed to estimate the pressure drop of the original filter

housing operated with clean water. In this stage, experimental data of pressure drop as a

function of flow rate was available for comparison. Numerical simulations including

filter elements were not included in this study.

The CFD module of COMSOL Multiphysics V. 5.4. was used to draw the three-

dimensional solids representing the filter housing and running the numerical

simulations. Simulations assumed an incompressible Newtonian fluid (i.e., water) and

steady state conditions.

The realisable k–ε model was used to solve the turbulent flow. This model is an

extension to the standard k–ε model which is used for simulating incompressible and

single-phase flows at high Reynolds numbers (COMSOL Multiphysics, 2016).

Comparison of turbulence models was not part of the study. Log-law wall functions

were applied to approximate the flow velocity profile inside the boundary layer, serving

to bridge the velocity profile from the wall to the main flow. The no-slip condition was

assumed.

 Simulations were performed for three flow rates corresponding to some of the 191 conditions in which experimental data was available: 35.7 , 49.5 and $62.8 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$. Taking the inlet diameter as a reference dimension, the Reynolds number varied from 162,099 to 285,149, indicating turbulent flow conditions in all simulations. The mean flow velocity corresponding to each flow rate was set as a boundary condition at the filter inlet, and the pressure was set to 400 kPa as a boundary condition at the filter outlet. The mesh was generated based on the free tetrahedrals, including a boundary layer mesh (i.e., inflation or prism layer) near the walls (Fig. 4). The default values were kept for 198 the boundary layer mesh (number of layers $= 5$; stretching factor $= 1.2$; thickness of first 199 layer = automatic; thickness adjustment factor = 2.5). **[Fig. 4]** The mesh quality was examined based on minimum and average element quality (skewness). Mesh independence analysis was performed to validate the simulations. Velocity profiles were plotted at four flow sections (inlet pipe, middle of the filter housing, outlet of the filter housing, and outlet pipe) and results of pressure drop in the filter were analysed to prove the results were mesh independent.

2.4 Simulations for optimizing the filter housing dimensions

Excessive pressure drop in the filter housing and low filtration rates were identified as

the main drawbacks in the original filter system; hence, simulations focused on

evaluating possibilities for optimizing the filter housing dimensions.

 Four designs of the filter housing were simulated (Fig. 5). The total surface area of the 211 filtration was kept constant in all the designs $(A = 272,376 \text{ mm}^2)$. The inlet and outlet

- diameters of the filter housing were the basic dimensions of the new designs and were
- set according to the commercial diameters of the steel pipes (80, 100, 125, and 150
- mm).

 The steps to obtain the main dimensions of the filter housing were as follows: 1) Define 216 the inlet diameter (D); 2) Filter element diameter (D_{el}) = $D + 90$; 3) Filter housing 217 diameter $(D_{ho}) = D_{el} + 40$; 4) Filter element height $(h_{el}) = \frac{A}{\pi D_{el}}$; 5) 5: Filter housing 218 height $(h_{ho}) = h_{el} + 160$. The values summed to each of the variables were based on the dimensions measured in the original filter housing. Model A (80 mm) corresponded to the original filter housing dimensions, but inlet and outlet pipes were changed to 150 mm and reducing adapters were added, as explained below. **[Fig. 5]** For optimising the filter housing dimensions, the maximum filtration rate 375 m³ m⁻² h⁻¹

found in commercial automatic flushing strainer-type filters (AMIAD, 2022; AZUD,

2022; NETAFIM, 2022) was assumed as the target value (see discussion in section 3.1).

226 For a total surface area of $272,376$ mm², the corresponding flow rate for the automatic

227 flushing strainer-type filter was $102.1 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$. Therefore, a pressure of 400 kPa at the

228 filter outlet and the flow rate of $100 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$ were set as boundary conditions for CFD

simulations. Also, pipes of 150 mm diameter and reducing adapters were included at the

230 filter inlet and outlet in these simulations to keep flow velocities lower than 2 m s^{-1} in

231 the pipeline, as recommended in most of practical applications (Azevedo Netto &

Fernandez, 2015). Including the reducing adapters in the simulations enables to consider

minor losses caused by these fittings.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Pressure drop curves – Experimental data

Figure 6 shows the pressure drop curves of the filtering system with and without the

filter elements. The pressure drop curve in filters is influenced by the geometric

characteristics of the filter housing and filter elements, as well as the filtering water

 characteristics (Wu et al., 2014). In this stage, the filter housing and filtering water 240 quality were the same for all evaluations.

[Fig. 6]

 The pressure drop curves of the woven filter elements SS-120, SS-150, and PP-120 were similar. These three models offer similar resistance to flow, although Table 1 indicates differences in aperture size, wire diameter, wire material, and type of weave of these filter elements. Testezlaf and Ramos (1995) also found that the differences 246 between pressure drop curves of 125- and 100-um screen filters were not significant because of their similar permeability. The pressure losses of 15 types of screen filters with plain weave woven wire cloth were analysed by Wu et al. (2014). The plain weave filter cloth is one of the simplest weave patterns, in which single wires (i.e., weft and warp wires) have the same diameter and are woven together to form rectangular or square apertures. Wu et al. (2014) developed an empirical model based on dimensional analysis to predict pressure losses in screen filters was proposed. In their experiments, the filter pore and the wire diameters ranged from 120.4 to 195.6 µm and 64.5 to 208.5 µm, respectively. For screen filters with 64.5 µm wire diameter, Wu et al. (2014) identified that the pressure drop increased when the mesh count was increased from 80 mesh to 140 mesh (i.e., the aperture size decreased from 200 to 115 µm), but the differences in pressure drop due to mesh count were not statistically significant. Also, for a given mesh count, the increase in wire diameter reduced the pore size and filter permeability and increased the pressure drop in the filters. Given a fixed flow range and mesh count, a larger inlet/outlet diameter and a 261 thinner wire diameter of the screen reduced the inlet flow velocity and average filter flow velocity minimising both head loss caused by pipeline turbulence and local head loss caused by streams (Wu et al., 2014).

 The pressure drop in a clean strainer-type filter ranges from 10 to 30 kPa, and the filter 265 element should be cleaned when Δp reaches 40–60 kPa (Pizarro Cabello, 1996). In this 266 study, the nominal flow rate (Q_n) for a clean filter was calculated assuming a midrange value of Δp , which was 20 kPa. The nominal flow rate ranged from 59.1 to 60.7 m³ h⁻¹ 268 for the elements SS-120, SS-150, and PP-120 (Table 2). The difference in Q_n values among these elements was less than 5%. The pressure drop curves of the non-woven filter elements (i.e., NW-500 and NW-

2500) were stepper than those of the woven filter elements (i.e. SS-120, SS-150, and

PP-120), which is expected because the non-woven elements presented lower

permeability and offer higher resistance to flow than the woven elements. The lower

permeability of the non-woven elements also led to nominal flow rates that were

approximately 20% smaller than those of the woven elements. Comparing the non-

276 woven filter elements, the difference in Q_n was approximately 8%, although the air

permeability of NW-500 was more than three times that of NW-2500.

At the nominal flow rate, the pressure drop due to the filter housing in the woven

elements (i.e., SS-120, SS-150, and PP-120) was dominant and represented more than

87% of the total pressure drop in the filtering system (Table 2). In the non-woven filter

elements operating at the nominal flow rate, the pressure drop due to the filter housing

was more than 60% of the total pressure drop in the filtering system.

The percentages of pressure drop caused by the filter housing (Table 2) suggest that

enhancements in the filter housing design could improve energy efficiency aspects and,

perhaps, it could allow the filtering system to operate at higher flow rates (Demir et al.,

- 2009; Wu et al., 2014). Table 2 presents the pressure drop equations for each filter
- element. These equations were estimated by the difference between the results of the

288 total pressure drop in the filtering system and the pressure drop caused by the original

289 filter housing.

290 **Table 2**. Nominal flow rate, filtration rate and percentage of pressure drop caused by

291 the original filter housing when the filtering system is operating at the nominal flow

292 rate, and pressure drop equation of each filter element.

293 $\overline{Q_n}$ is the filtering system nominal flow rate predicted considering 20 kPa differential pressure; q is the filtration rate

294 considering the total surface area of filtration and Q_n ; Δp_f is the pressure drop of the filter element alone; *Q* is the 295 flow rate; R^2 is the coefficient of determination.

296

 In irrigation, the filtration rate of strainer-type filters with elements made of steel woven 298 wire mesh usually range from 446 to $1004 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ (Pizarro Cabello, 1996). The flow rate can be obtained by multiplying the filtration rate by the total surface area of the filter element. For the stainless-steel elements evaluated (i.e., SS-120 and SS-150), the 301 filtration rate at Q_n ranged from 217.0 to 222.9 m³ m⁻² h⁻¹. The filtration rate values indicated in Table 2 are smaller than the values reported in the literature, which suggests that the filtering system could operate at higher flow rates if the filter housing design was improved to reduce the pressure drop. Based on the values presented by Pizarro Cabello (1996) for steel woven wire mesh, the flow rate values can vary from 121.5 to 306 273.5 m³ h⁻¹ (i.e., 446 to 1004 m³ m⁻² h⁻¹). If an increase in the flow rate does not interfere with the removal efficiency of solid particles, improvements in the filter housing design could contribute to enlarge the

309 range of operating conditions of the filtration system, to reduce pressure losses and

310 energy consumption. As examples to encourage further investigation on these aspects,

311 the 4" automatic vertical screen filter Netafim Screenguard[™] exhibits a filtration surface area of 0.2 m² and maximum recommended filtration rate of 375 m³ m⁻² h⁻¹ (NETAFIM, 2022); the 4" Azud Luxon MFH 2400 M/4 has a filtration surface area of 314 0.24 m² and maximum recommended filtration rate of 375 m³ m⁻² h⁻¹ (AZUD, 2022); 315 and the 4" Filtomat M104C exhibits a filtration surface area of 0.212 $m²$ and maximum 316 recommended filtration rate of 377 m³ m⁻² h⁻¹ (AMIAD, 2022). The maximum filtration rates recommended by the manufacturers of automatic flushing strainer-type filters are lower than the values proposed by Pizarro Cabello (1996). Regardless of the type of filter, lower filtration rates are recommended for low-quality water (Ravina et al., 1997). **3.2 Simulated and experimental pressure losses of the original filter housing** Simulations of the original filter housing were performed at flow rates of 35.7, 49.5 and 62.8 m³ h⁻¹. Mesh independence analyses were performed to validate all conditions simulated. Figure 7 shows velocity profiles plotted at four flow sections (I, II, III and 324 IV) and results of pressure drop in the filter operating at $62.8 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$, which corresponds to 3.47 m s^{-1} at the filter inlet. The influence of mesh size in the velocity profiles and values of pressure drop in the filter housing is clearly demonstrated in Fig. 7. Mesh independence was confirmed when the mesh size was increased from 4,053,468 (e) to 4,684,543 (f) elements. In these mesh sizes (e and f), the velocity profiles are practically matching at the four flow sections evaluated, and the pressure drop in the filter housing was 29.8 and 29.7 kPa, which represents a difference smaller than 0.5%. Although not shown here, the same procedure was used for proving the results were mesh independent in all CFD simulations. 333 Figure 7 also shows values of y^+ for the simulation at 62.8 m³ h⁻¹ using mesh size f, in

which mesh independence was identified. The distance between the first grid cell and

335 wall $(y^+$, dimensionless wall distance) should be lower than an upper limit, which

 depends on the Reynolds number (Pope, 2000). Log-law of wall provides a function for velocity to match the inner sub-layer to the outer layer and is extensively verified 338 experimentally. The log-law wall functions are known to be valid for $30 < y^+ < 1,000$ 339 (Tabatabaian, 2015). In COMSOL, log-law is used for wall functions up to $y^+ = 11.06$ 340 for the k-ε model and y^+ is designated by δ_w^+ in the software documentation (COMSOL Multiphysics, 2016; Tabatabaian, 2015). In all simulations, the minimum element quality ranged from 0.065 to 0.1, and the average element quality varied between 0.68 to 0.72. In COMSOL, the mesh quality of 1 represents an optimal element quality, while minimum element qualities below 0.01 are very low quality and should be avoided to prevent convergence problems. **[Fig. 7]** Figure 8 shows the experimental and simulated values of the pressure drop for the original filter housing evaluated under mean flow velocities at the filter inlet 1.97, 2.73 349 and 3.47 m s⁻¹, which corresponds to flow rates of 35.7, 49.5 and 62.8 m³ h⁻¹, respectively. Simulations overestimated the pressure drop in the filter housing as the flow velocity was increased. Differences between measured and simulated values can be attributed to the simplifications in the three-dimensional model, inaccuracies of the turbulence flow model, and experimental data measurement uncertainty (Pope, 2000). Ilker and Sorgun (2020) observed errors of up to 20% evaluating the performance of different flow turbulence models for single-phase and liquid-solid slurry flows in pressurized pipe systems. Movahedi and Jamshidi (2021) evaluated the accuracy of different turbulence models for the prediction of pressure drop along with an annular pipe and reported errors of up to 25% according to the model employed. For practical purposes, to estimate how changes in the filter housing dimensions will influence the filter pressure drop, we can assume the prediction errors are acceptable and useful.

[Fig. 8]

 Part of the pressure drop found in filters is produced by the filter medium itself and cannot be avoided. However, a large part of the pressure drop may be caused by the filter housing design and by auxiliary elements of the filter, and this could potentially be reduced without reducing the effectiveness of the filtration process (Bové et al., 2015). From the CFD simulations, the velocity streamlines and pressures were analysed to identify which regions of the filter housing caused most of the pressure drop. Figure 9 368 shows the results of the original filter housing simulated at $62.8 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$ (i.e., mean flow 369 velocity at the inlet = 3.47 m s^{-1} , outlet pressure = 400 kPa). Eight lines were positioned in the three-dimensional model, and the average pressure at each location was plotted (Fig. 9A). The most critical regions in terms of pressure losses (i.e., regions i and ii) are near the transitions between the inlet and outlet segments of the pipe (red dashed rectangles in Fig. 9A). These regions present sudden expansion (i) and sudden contraction (ii) of streamlines combined with the highest flow velocities (Fig. 9B). For the simulated condition, 37.6% and 46.2% of the pressure drop in the filter housing occurred from locations 2 to 3 (i.e., region i) and 5 to 6 (i.e., region ii), respectively (Fig. 9A). Thus, 83.9% of the total pressure drop in the filter housing occurred at regions i and ii. Improvements in filter housing seeking to reduce pressure losses should focus on changing the dimensions near regions i and ii. Bové et al. (2015) showed the importance of improving inlet/outlet regions and auxiliary elements of media filters. These authors proposed a new underdrain design and a packing strategy that could reduce the overall pressure drop in the filter by 35%. **[Fig. 9]**

 The filter inlet is left aligned in the filter housing, which leads to the vorticity and circulation of water around the filter element. This position is important to avoid

excessive strain on a small region of the screen and to allow a better distribution of

impurities over the filtration element. A similar design of filter inlet was found for the

2" Spin Klin® disc filters – Amiad company (AMIAD, 2021).

In the current design of the filter housing, the highest flow velocities occurred at the

inlet and outlet of the filter housing (Fig. 9B), leading to most of the pressure losses.

The inlet and outlet sections should be enlarged to allow the filter to operate at higher

flow rates with feasible pressure losses.

While sizing pipelines for irrigation applications, mean flow velocities higher than 2.0 –

394 2.5 m s⁻¹ are not recommended for operation of pressurized systems (Azevedo Netto $\&$

Fernandez, 2015; Frizzone et al., 2018; Lamm et al., 2007), but high velocities may

occur in short segments of pipes and in its components. In general, excessive flow

velocities increase pressure losses and energy waste, cause premature wear of

components, and lead to more intense pressure surges in the case of water hammer

events (Porto, 1999).

3.3 Optimization of the filter housing dimensions

401 The pressure losses in the filter housing designs simulated (Fig. 5) at 100 $\text{m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$ were: A 402 (80 mm) = 57.6 kPa; B (100 mm) = 35.8 kPa; C (125 mm) = 25.8 kPa; D (150 mm) = 13.3 kPa. Following the same schema of locations illustrated in Fig. 9, the pressure losses were quantified for each of the proposed designs (Fig. 10). Increasing the dimensions at the inlet and outlet segments of the pipe (i.e., at the critical regions i and ii) effectively decreased the pressure drop in the filter housing. Because the allowable pressure drop in a clean strainer-type filter should be lower than 30 kPa (Pizarro Cabello, 1996), only designs C (125 mm) and D (150 mm) comply with the allowable 409 pressure drop criterion at the target flow rate of 100 m³ h⁻¹ ($q = 375$ m³ m⁻² h⁻¹).

 A slight increase in pressure was observed between location 6 to 7 (Fig. 10). Although such small values are not relevant for practical purposes, it is known that pressure recovery downstream a 90º bend can be related to conversion between kinetic head and pressure head. A bend or curve in a pipe, as in the filter outlet, induces a pressure loss due to flow separation on the curved walls and a swirling secondary flow arising from the centripetal acceleration (White, 2011).

3.4 Filter housing designs combined with filter elements

 CFD simulations combining filter housing designs with woven and non-woven filter elements were not possible in our current facilities. The arrangement, shape, and dimensions of the fibres in each type of filter element would result in a highly complex three-dimensional model that could not be simulated using the CFD module of COMSOL Multiphysics. Further investigation may take advantage of simulation tools such as GeoDict® filtration package, which has been developed particularly for the simulation of air and liquid filtration processes using woven and non-woven fabrics and meshes.

 The experimental pressure drop equation shown in Table 2 assumes that the flow 427 pattern obtained without the filter element (i.e., only the filter housing) is the same than that including it. But from Fig. 1, the installation of the filter element will modify the flow pattern, mainly at the filter inlet. Although flow behaviour and minor losses are influence by the presence of the filter element, the screen characteristics can be assumed to be the dominant factor when summing the pressure drop of the filter housing and filter element. A feasible method for approximating the pressure drop of the proposed filter designs and the existing filter elements consists of summing the pressure drop of each filter housing obtained from CFD with the pressure drop calculated from the equations of filter elements shown in Table 2. At this stage, simplifications and

436 approximations must be performed to provide useful information before building new 437 prototypes of the filter.

438 The best size of the filter housing depends on which is the target nominal flow rate 439 defined by the manufacturer according to market strategies. Assuming a target flow rate 440 of 100 m^3 h⁻¹, Table 3 presents estimated operational characteristics of the filter housing 441 designs equipped with the filter elements. The total pressure drop (Δp_{total}) was 442 estimated by summing the pressure drop caused by the filter element (Δp_f) and the 443 pressure drop in the filter housing, which was obtained from the CFD simulations. 444 **Table 3.** Estimated operational characteristics of the filter housing designs equipped

with filter elements operating at $100 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$ 445

446

447 Model A (80mm) corresponds to the original filter housing coupled to inlet and outlet 448 pipes of 150 mm diameter by reducing adapters (Fig. 5A). From Table 3, improvements 449 in terms of pressure drop reduction for operation at $100 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$ can be observed. Taking 450 the model A as the reference for comparisons, for the filter equipped with the element 451 SS-120, the decrease in pressure drop was 33.3, 48.5 and 67.6% for the designs B, C 452 and D, respectively. For NW-2500, which presents the smallest permeability among the 453 evaluated elements, the decrease in pressure drop was 27.6, 40.3 and 56.1% for the 454 designs B, C and D, respectively.

 In general, the woven wire filter elements (i.e., SS-120, SS-150, and PP-120) mounted 456 within the filter housing D (150 mm) could operate at flow rates up to 100 m³ h⁻¹ with pressure drop lower than 30 kPa, which is a threshold mentioned by Pizarro Cabello 458 (1996). Even the model C (125 mm) could be suitable since its values of Δp_{total} are near 30 kPa. Although the evaluation of the efficiency of suspended solids removal is not part of this research, the filtration efficiency is acceptable because the filtration rate values are matching the thresholds of commercial screen filters.

The obtained results provide useful information for planning enhancements of the

filtration system and for building prototypes for further experimental evaluation.

4 CONCLUSIONS

 The hydraulic performance and flow behavior of an automatic flushing strainer-type filter operated with clean water were investigated using experimental and numerical approaches. For the original filtration system equipped with woven elements, the experimental results indicated that the pressure drop due to the filter housing was dominant and represented more than 86% of the total pressure drop in the filtering system. Similarly, for non-woven elements, more than 53% of the total pressure drop was caused by the filter housing. Excessive pressure drop in the filter housing and low filtration rates were identified as the main opportunities for improving the original filtration system.

 CFD simulations were performed to predict values of pressure drop of the original filter housing operated with clean water. Numerical simulations enabled the identification of the most critical regions in terms of pressure losses near the transitions between the inlet and outlet segments of the pipe.

 Keeping the filtering area constant, four designs of filter housing were simulated to evaluate possibilities for optimizing the filter housing dimensions. Larger inlet and

 outlet diameters combined to a filter housing shorter and wider were improvements in the filter housing dimensions that enabled to decrease the pressure drop in the filter and/or increase the range of operating flow rates. When comparing the proposed models of filter housing (models B, C and D) against the original design (model A) at the flow 484 rate of 100 m³ h⁻¹ (i.e., filtration rate of 375 m³ m² h⁻¹), the decrease in pressure drop varied from 27.6% to 67.6% according to the combination of filter element and model of filter housing.

 The CFD simulations indicates that the filter housing design of an existing automatic strainer-type filter can be improved to reduce pressure loss and/or increase the range of operating flow rates. The obtained results provide useful information for planning enhancements of the filtration system and for building prototypes for further experimental evaluation. The best size of the filter housing depends on the target nominal flow rate, which is defined by the manufacturer according to their market strategies. For development purposes, numerical simulations may reduce the number of prototypes manufactured for preliminary evaluations, thereby decreasing investment, time, and labour requirements.

5 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

 The authors would like to thank the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo [FAPESP-Brazil, Project 2018/20099-5] and Fundo de Apoio ao Ensino, Pesquisa e Extensão of the Universidade Estadual de Campinas [FAEPEX/UNICAMP Project No. 2022/19] for the financial support. We also appreciate the support of the Brazilian company IAVANT Sistemas de Filtragem for providing the equipment used in this investigation.

- **6 REFERENCES**
- AMIAD. (2021). *Spin Klin disc filter - AMIAD*. https://amiad.com/wp-
- content/uploads/2020/06/2-Spin-Klin-Catalogue-Irr-EN.pdf. Accessed on
- 10.02.2022
- AMIAD. (2022). *Filtomat self-cleaning screen filter*. https://amiad.com/wp-
- content/uploads/2020/06/Filtomat_A4_Irrig_En_2021-1.pdf. Accessed on 10.02.2022
- Arbat, G., Pujol, T., Montoro, L., Puig-Bargués, J., Duran-Ros, M., Barragán, J., & de
- Cartagena, F. (2011). Using Computational Fluid Dynamics to Predict Head
- Losses in the Auxiliary Elements of a Microirrigation Sand Filter. *Transactions of*
- *the ASABE*, 54(4), 1367–1376. https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.39038
- ASABE. (2017). *Media filters for irrigation - Testing and performance reporting -*
- *ASAE S539 (R2017)*.
- Azevedo Netto, J. M., & Fernandez, M. F. (2015). *Manual de hidráulica* (9th ed.). São Paulo: Blucher.
- AZUD. (2022). *Azud Luxon MFH*. https://azud.com/wp-
- content/uploads/2019/04/AZUD_LUXON_MFH-ENG.pdf. Accessed on
- 10.02.2022
- Bové, J., Arbat, G., Duran-Ros, M., Pujol, T., Velayos, J., Ramírez de Cartagena, F., &
- Puig-Bargués, J. (2015). Pressure drop across sand and recycled glass media used
- in micro irrigation filters. *Biosystems Engineering*, 137, 55–63.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2015.07.009
- Bové, J., Arbat, G., Pujol, T., Duran-Ros, M., Ramírez de Cartagena, F., Velayos, J., &
- Puig-Bargués, J. (2015). Reducing energy requirements for sand filtration in
- microirrigation: Improving the underdrain and packing. *Biosystems Engineering*,
- 140, 67–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2015.09.008
- Bové, J., Puig-Bargués, J., Arbat, G., Duran-Ros, M., Pujol, T., Pujol, J., & Ramírez de

- *valves — Test method*.
- ISO9912-1. (2004). *Agricultural irrigation equipment — Filters for micro-irrigation — Part 1: Terms, definitions and classification*.
- ISO9912-3. (2013). *Agricultural irrigation equipment — Filters for microirrigation — Part 3: Automatic flushing strainer-type filters and disc filters*.
- Keller, J., & Bliesner, R. D. (2000). *Sprinkle and Trickle Irrigation*. Caldwell: The
- Blackburn press.
- Lamm, F. R., Ayars, J. E., & Nakayama, F. S. (2007). *Microirrigation for crop*

production: design, operation and management. Amsterdam: Elsevier.

- Mesquita, M., Deus, F. P., Testezlaf, R., Rosa, L. M., & Diotto, A. V. (2019). Design
- and hydrodynamic performance testing of a new pressure sand filter diffuser plate
- using numerical simulation. *Biosystems Engineering*, 183, 58–69.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2019.04.015
- Mesquita, M., Testezlaf, R., Deus, F. P., & Rosa, L. M. (2017). Characterization of flow
- lines generated by pressurized sand filter underdrains. *Chemical Engineering*
- *Transactions*, 58, 715–720. https://doi.org/10.3303/CET1758120
- Movahedi, H., & Jamshidi, S. (2021). Experimental and CFD simulation of slurry flow
- in the annular flow path using two-fluid model. *Journal of Petroleum Science and*
- *Engineering*, 198, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2020.108224
- Nakayama, F. S., Boman, B. J., & Pitts, D. J. (2007). Maintenance. In F.R. Lamm, J. E.
- Ayars, & F. S. Nakayama (Eds.), *Microirrigation for Crop Production* (pp. 389–
- 430). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- 577 **NETAFIM.** (2022). *Screenguard*^{*TM*} automatic screen filters.
- https://www.netafim.com/4ad930/globalassets/products/filters/screenguard/screeng
- uard-automatic-screen-filter-product-page.pdf. Accessed on 10.02.2022
- Oliveira, F. C., Lavanholi, R., Camargo, A. P., Ait-Mouheb, N., Frizzone, J. A., Tomas,
- S., & Molle, B. (2020). Clogging of drippers caused by suspensions of kaolinite
- and montmorillonite clays. *Irrigation Science*, 38(1), 65–75.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s00271-019-00652-4
- Pizarro Cabello, F. (1996). *Riegos localizados de alta frecuencia* (3rd ed.). Madrid:
- Ediciones Mundi-Prensa.
- Pope, S. B. (2000). *Turbulent flows*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Porto, R. M. (1999). *Hidráulica básica* (2nd ed.). São Carlos: EESC-USP.
- Puig-Bargués, J., Barragán, J., & Ramírez de Cartagena, F. (2005). Development of
- Equations for calculating the Head Loss in Effluent Filtration in Microirrigation
- Systems using Dimensional Analysis. *Biosystems Engineering*, 92(3), 383–390.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2005.07.009
- Puig-Bargués, J., & Lamm, F. (2013). Effect of Flushing Velocity and Flushing
- Duration on Sediment Transport in Microirrigation Driplines. *Transactions of the*

ASABE, 56(5), 1821–1828. https://doi.org/10.13031/trans.56.10293

- Pujol, T., Puig-Bargués, J., Arbat, G., Duran-Ros, M., Solé-Torres, C., Pujol, J., &
- Ramírez de Cartagena, F. (2020). Effect of wand-type underdrains on the hydraulic
- performance of pressurised sand media filters. *Biosystems Engineering*, 192, 176–
- 187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2020.01.015
- Purchas, D. B., & Sutherland, K. (2002). *Handbook of Filter Media* (2nd ed.).
- Amsterdam: Elsevier Science & Technology Books.
- Ravina, I., Paz, E., Sofer, Z., Marcu, A., Shisha, A., & Sagi, G. (1992). Control of
- emitter clogging in drip irrigation with reclaimed wastewater. *Irrigation Science*,
- 13(3), 129–139. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00191055
- Ravina, I., Paz, E., Sofer, Z., Marm, A., Schischa, A., Sagi, G., Yechialy, Z., & Lev, Y.
- (1997). Control of clogging in drip irrigation with stored treated municipal sewage
- effluent. *Agricultural Water Management*, 33, 127–137.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-3774(96)01286-3
- Ribeiro, T. A. P., Paterniani, J. E. S., Airoldi, R. P. S., & Silva, M. J. M. (2004).
- Performance of non woven synthetic fabric and disc filters for fertirrigation water
- treatment. *Scientia Agricola*, 61(2), 127–133. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-
- 90162004000200001
- Ribeiro, T. A. P., Paterniani, J. E. S., Airoldi, R. P. S., & Silva, M. J. M. (2008).
- Comparison Between Disc and Non-Woven Synthetic Fabric Filter Media to
- Prevent Emitter Clogging. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 51(2), 441–453.
- https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.24386
- Solé-Torres, C., Puig-Bargués, J., Duran-Ros, M., Arbat, G., Pujol, J., & Ramírez de
- Cartagena, F. (2019). Effect of underdrain design, media height and filtration
- velocity on the performance of microirrigation sand filters using reclaimed
- effluents. *Biosystems Engineering*, *187*, 292–304.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2019.09.012
- Sparks, T., & Chase, G. (2016). *Filters and filtration handbook* (6th ed.). Waltham: Elsevier.
- Sutherland, K. (2008). *Filters and filtration handbook* (5th ed.). Burlington: Elsevier.
- Tabatabaian, M. (2015). *CFD Module: Turbulent Flow Modeling*. New Delhi: Mercury
- learning and information.
- Testezlaf, R., & Ramos, J. P. S. (1995). Sistema automatizado para determinação de
- perda de carga em filtros de tela e disco usados na irrigação localizada. *Pesquisa*
- *Agropecuária Brasileira*, 30(8), 1079–1088.
- White, F. M. (2011). Fluid Mechanics (7th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Wu, W., Chen, W. E. I., Liu, H., Yin, S., & Niu, Y. (2014). A new model for head loss
- assessment of screen filters developed with dimensional analysis in drip irrigation

systems. *Irrigation and Drainage*, 63(4), 523–531. https://doi.org/10.1002/ird.1846

- Yurdem, H., Demir, V., & Degirmencioglu, A. (2008). Development of a mathematical
- model to predict head losses from disc filters in drip irrigation systems using
- dimensional analysis. *Biosystems Engineering*, 100(1), 14–23.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2008.01.003
- Yurdem, H., Demir, V., & Degirmencioglu, A. (2010). Development of a mathematical
- model to predict clean water head losses in hydrocyclone filters in drip irrigation
- systems using dimensional analysis. *Biosystems Engineering*, 105(4), 495–506.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2010.02.001
- Zong, Q., Zheng, T., Liu, H., & Li, C. (2015). Development of head loss equations for
- self-cleaning screen filters in drip irrigation systems using dimensional analysis.
- *Biosystems Engineering*, 133, 116–127.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2015.03.001

Fig. 1. Automatic flushing strainer-type filter model Iavant FA-20. (1: electric motor; 2: gearbox; 3: filter inlet; 4: filter housing; 5: control panel; 6: filter outlet; 7: flushing valve; 8: flushing pipe; 9: flushing cavity and brushes; 10: filter element; D_{el} : diameter of the filter element; h_{el} : diameter of the filter element)

Fig. 2. Evaluated meshes: (A) stainless steel plain Dutch weave (SS-120 and SS-150); (B) polypropylene satim weave (PP-120); (C) non-woven needlona (NW-500 and NW-2500)

Fig. 3. Test bench diagram

Fig. 4. Mesh generated based on free tetrahedrals (A) including a boundary layer mesh near the walls (B)

Fig. 5. Main dimensions of the four models of filter housing simulated (dimensions in mm)

*Error bars indicate the standard deviation

Fig. 6. Experimental results of pressure drop curves of the filter housing and the filtering system equipped with each of the filter elements. (A) filter housing; (B) SS-120; (C) SS-150; (D) PP-120; (E) NW-500; (F) NW-2500

Fig. 7. Velocity profiles at four sections (I, II, III and IV) of the original filter housing operating at $62.8 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$, pressure drop in the filter housing for each mesh size, and values of y^+ for mesh size " f " (4,684,543 elements) in which mesh independence of results was confirmed.

Fig. 8. Simulated vs experimental values of pressure drop for the original filter housing at three operating conditions of flow

Fig. 9 Simulation of the original filter housing at $62.8 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$ (i.e., mean flow velocity at the inlet $= 3.47 \text{ m s}^{-1}$). Average pressures at 8 locations and the most critical regions in terms of pressure loss, i and ii (A); velocity streamlines (B)

Fig. 10. Pressure losses of four designs of the filter housing simulated with a target flow $100 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ (q} = 375 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1})$

1 **Graphical abstract (5 × 13 cm)**

2 3

Response to the Editor

Editor comments: Thank you for making the changes required by the reviewers. Your paper is basically acceptable for publication but requires minor changes.

I have carried out a technical edit on your submission using Word Track Changes and suggested changes. You may access my edited version in the attachment. Please check my edits carefully as I may not have always understood your original meaning.

Please also check my comments.

I also suggest that you carefully examine the journal Instructions for Authors available through the journal web site.

I look forward to shortly receiving a revised manuscript and moving to accept it for publication.

Authors: Excellent news! Thank you very much.

- *1) All your suggestions were accepted.*
- *2) In Word software, the formatting option to justify text was modified. Now, all text is left aligned.*
- *3) All references were corrected.*

If more improvements are necessary in the manuscript, please contact me.

Best regards

Antonio Pires de Camargo