

Effect of prenatal glucocorticoids and thyroid hormones on developmental plasticity of mitochondrial aerobic metabolism, growth and survival: an experimental test in wild great tits

Nina Cossin-Sevrin, Bin-Yan Hsu, Coline Marciau, Vincent Viblanc, Suvi Ruuskanen, Antoine Stier

▶ To cite this version:

Nina Cossin-Sevrin, Bin-Yan H
su, Coline Marciau, Vincent Viblanc, Suvi Ruuskanen, et al.. Effect of prenatal glucocorticoids and thyroid hormones on developmental plasticity of mitochondrial aerobic metabolism, growth and survival: an experimental test in wild great tits. Journal of Experimental Biology, 2022, 225, pp.jeb243414. 10.1242/jeb.243414 . hal-03650640

HAL Id: hal-03650640 https://hal.science/hal-03650640

Submitted on 25 Apr 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Published in "Journal of Experimental Biology"

1 Developmental plasticity of mitochondrial aerobic metabolism,

2 growth and survival by prenatal glucocorticoids and thyroid

3 hormones: an experimental test in wild great tits

- 4 Nina Cossin-Sevrin^{1,2\$}, Bin-Yan Hsu¹, Coline Marciau^{1,3}, Vincent A Viblanc², Suvi
 5 Ruuskanen^{4*} and Antoine Stier^{1,5\$*}
- 6
- 7 ¹Department of Biology, University of Turku, Turku, Finland
- 8 ²Université de Strasbourg, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Institut
 9 Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, UMR 7178, 67087 Strasbourg, France
- ¹⁰ ³Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Australia
- ⁴ Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Jyväskylä, Finland
- 12 ⁵Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, ENTPE, UMR 5023 LEHNA, F-69622,
- 13 Villeurbanne, France
- 14 * These authors contributed equally to this work
- 15 ^{\$} Corresponding authors: <u>ninacossinsevrin@gmail.com</u>; <u>amstie@utu.fi</u>

16 Abstract

17 Developmental plasticity is partly mediated by transgenerational effects, including those 18 mediated by the maternal endocrine system. Glucocorticoid and thyroid hormones may play 19 central roles in developmental programming through their action on metabolism and growth. 20 However, the mechanisms by which they affect growth and development remain understudied. 21 One hypothesis is that maternal hormones directly affect the production and availability of 22 energy-carrying molecules (e.g. ATP) by their action on mitochondrial function. To test this 23 hypothesis, we experimentally increased glucocorticoid and thyroid hormones in wild great tit 24 eggs (Parus major) to investigate their impact on offspring mitochondrial aerobic metabolism 25 (measured in blood cells), and subsequent growth and survival. We show that prenatal 26 glucocorticoid supplementation affected offspring cellular aerobic metabolism by decreasing 27 mitochondrial density, maximal mitochondrial respiration and oxidative phosphorylation, while 28 increasing the proportion of the maximum capacity being used under endogenous conditions. 29 Prenatal glucocorticoid supplementation only had mild effects on offspring body mass, size 30 and condition during the rearing period, but led to a sex-specific (females only) decrease in 31 body mass a few months after fledging. Contrary to our expectations, thyroid hormones 32 supplementation did not affect offspring growth or mitochondrial metabolism. Recapture 33 probabilities as juveniles or adults were not significantly affected by prenatal hormonal 34 treatments. Our results demonstrate that prenatal glucocorticoids can affect post-natal 35 mitochondrial density and aerobic metabolism. The weak effects on growth and apparent 36 survival suggest that nestlings were mostly able to compensate for the transient decrease in 37 mitochondrial aerobic metabolism induced by prenatal glucocorticoids.

38

39

40 Keywords: Cellular metabolism, corticosterone, prenatal programming, avian development,

41 thyroid hormones, Parus major

42 Introduction

43 Genetic inheritance has long dominated evolutionary thinking (Pigliucci, 2007). Yet, 44 recent advances in evolutionary biology are calling for an extension of this framework and are 45 emphasizing the role of complementary mechanisms (e.g., epigenetic status; transmission of 46 substances such as hormones or RNA; transmission of nutrients) (Bonduriansky and Day, 47 2009; Forsman, 2015; Laland et al., 2015; Müller, 2017; Pigliucci, 2007). Developmental 48 plasticity, in particular, occurs when environmental conditions during ontogenesis create 49 anatomical, physiological and behavioral changes in individual phenotypes that remain 50 through life (Piersma and Gils, 2011). This plasticity can be a direct response to prevailing 51 environmental conditions, but also the consequence of parental effects, which can themselves 52 be a response to current environmental conditions (Proulx and Teotónio, 2017; Uller, 2008). 53 In this case, offspring's phenotype is not only determined by its own environment and 54 genotype, and the interactions between the two, but also by the environment and 55 characteristics of its parents, a phenomenon referred to as intergenerational, or 56 transgenerational plasticity (Marshall and Uller, 2007). Maternal effects, in particular, 57 represent a major pathway in transgenerational developmental plasticity. They rely on diverse 58 mechanisms, such as nutrient transfer or maternally-inherited epigenetic modifications 59 (Alfaradhi and Ozanne, 2011; Laland et al., 2015; Myatt, 2006).

60 The endocrine system, in particular, is a key mediator of maternal effects on 61 developmental plasticity (Dufty et al., 2002; Fowden and Forhead, 2009; Groothuis et al., 62 2005). Hormone transfer from mother to offspring can have important effects on offspring traits 63 including on the development and growth of juveniles (Groothuis et al., 2019; Meylan et al., 64 2012). This is particularly true during the initial stages of development when offspring rely on 65 maternally-transferred hormones, before starting their own endogenous hormone production 66 with a fully developed endocrine system (Darras, 2019; McNabb, 2006; Schwabl, 1999). 67 Variation in hormone levels promote developmental plasticity through changes in gene 68 expression, modifying a wide array of physiological, behavioral and morphological traits (e.g. 69 begging behavior, immune function; (Groothuis et al., 2005)) including metabolic rates (e.g., 70 through transcription factors, cell signaling, growth factor) (Dufty et al., 2002; Meylan et al., 71 2012).

72 Whereas the effects maternal 5αof androgens (e.g., testosterone, 73 dihydrotestosterone, andostenedione) on offspring development have been well studied 74 (Groothuis et al., 2005; Podmokła et al., 2018), less is known on the effects of thyroid 75 hormones (THs). Yet, THs are central growth regulators, and coordinate maturation and 76 differentiation as transcription factors (Darras, 2019; Ruuskanen and Hsu, 2018). Thus, 77 variation in THs during critical periods may have marked effects on offspring development (e.g., neurotrophic signals, cerebellar-mediated motor function, retinal layer) (Darras, 2019; Ruuskanen and Hsu, 2018), and are also known to affect offspring behavior via early-life imprinting (Bett et al., 2016; Yamaguchi et al., 2012). THs modulate metabolism associated with (i) medium to long-term changes in the basal energy expenditure of the organism (Harper and Seifert, 2008; Kim, 2008) and (ii) modulation of the activity of downstream regulatory hormones and growth factors such as insulin, glucagon and catecholamines ((Grøntved et al., 2015; Pucci et al., 2000; Sinha et al., 2018).

85 Glucocorticoid hormones (GCs) are other well-known regulators of metabolic (Rose et 86 al., 2010) and developmental processes (Miyazawa and Aulehla, 2018; Rieger, 1992). 87 Prenatal GC play a role in offspring developmental plasticity (Seckl, 2004), and GC-mediated 88 maternal effects potentially lead to long-lasting changes in offspring phenotype and 89 metabolism (e.g., neurodevelopmental and cardio-metabolic effects; (Aghajafari et al., 2002; 90 Eberle et al., 2021). GC have been shown to modulate the expression of up to 10% of the 91 genome (Le et al., 2005; Xavier et al., 2016). As direct regulators of metabolic processes, GCs 92 also enable the organism to accommodate changes in energetic demands through a variety 93 of mechanisms (ranging from appetite to glycogenolysis and lipolysis regulation; (Rose et al., 94 2010; Sapolsky et al., 2000). The impact of GC on metabolism is often investigated from the 95 point of view of individual responses to stress (*i.e.*, as the consequence of stress-induced 96 changes in GC levels; (Crespi et al., 2013), though GCs primarily play a role in regulating body 97 homeostasis (MacDougall-Shackleton et al., 2019).

98 At the same time, a growing body of evidence is pointing towards mitochondrial 99 function (which central role is to transduce energy acquired from nutrients into ATP) as the 100 central link between the endocrine system, metabolism, and growth (Koch et al., 2021; Picard 101 et al., 2014; Salin et al., 2019). Specifically, TH have been shown to modulate mitochondrial 102 activity both directly (Cioffi et al., 2013; Noli et al., 2020), and indirectly by up-regulating 103 mitochondrial biogenesis (Weitzel and Iwen, 2011). Short and long-term exposure to low 104 physiological amounts of GC also enhance mitochondrial function (as measured through 105 membrane potential, proton leak, ATP production, or maximal mitochondrial capacity), while 106 chronic exposure to high levels of corticosterone may decrease it (Casagrande et al., 2020; 107 Manoli et al., 2007; Picard et al., 2014). Thus, we may expect the impact of maternal effects on offspring phenotype (e.g. growth) to be mediated by the action of prenatal maternal 108 109 hormones on mitochondrial function. There is growing evidence that despite flexibility in 110 mitochondrial function, stable inter-individual differences through time exist (e.g. (Braganza et 111 al., 2020; Stier et al., 2019; Stier et al., 2022). Inter-individual differences might arise from 112 developmental plasticity (Gyllenhammer et al., 2020; Stier et al., 2022). Yet, to the best of our knowledge, very little is known on the impact of prenatal hormones in shaping offspringmitochondrial function (but see (Davies et al., 2021; Grilo et al., 2021).

115 The purpose of our study was to investigate the effects of prenatal exposure to 116 elevated levels of TH and GC hormones on offspring mitochondrial aerobic metabolism, 117 growth and survival throughout postnatal development. We aimed at mimicking an increase 118 in maternal TH and GC hormonal levels deposited in the eggs by experimentally injecting eggs 119 of wild great tit (Parus major) before the onset of incubation with physiological doses of THs 120 and/or GC, or with saline solution (control), in a controlled full factorial (2x2) study design. We 121 assessed differences between individuals hatching from treated and control eggs in terms of 122 embryonic development duration, body size, body mass, body condition (body mass adjusted 123 for size), as well as changes in blood cell mitochondrial density and respiration. We evaluated 124 effects on offspring from hatching (day 2) through fledging (day 14), with an intermediate 125 measure performed at day 7 (see Fig.1 for the experimental timeline and sample size). We 126 also recaptured a fraction of the birds as juveniles (ca. 9 to 20 weeks after fledging) and as 127 adults (ca. 15 to 18 months after fledging) and tested for the consequences of elevated 128 prenatal hormone levels on short-term (fledging), medium-term (first autumn after fledging) 129 and long-term (second autumn after fledging) survival (using catching probability as a proxy).

130 As THs are known to stimulate mitochondrial aerobic metabolism and biogenesis while 131 potentially decreasing the efficiency at which nutrients are converted to ATP (Cioffi et al., 132 2013), we expected nestlings hatched from eggs supplemented with THs to exhibit a higher 133 mitochondrial density and higher mitochondrial respiration rates, but a potentially higher 134 proton leak leading to less efficient mitochondria (Fig. 2). We predicted that such a higher 135 metabolic capacity could boost embryo development and early post-hatching growth and 136 survival, while the lower mitochondrial efficiency might impair body condition and performance 137 later during postnatal development (Salin et al., 2019) leading to a decrease in survival 138 prospects especially after fledging (but see (Hsu et al., 2019; Hsu et al., 2020; Hsu et al., 2021; 139 Ruuskanen et al., 2016; Sarraude et al., 2020), for the contrasted effects of prenatal THs on 140 growth in avian species). Since physiological amounts of GC have been suggested to enhance 141 mitochondrial density and aerobic metabolism (including ATP production, (Manoli et al., 2007), 142 we expected nestlings hatched from eggs supplemented with GC to exhibit a higher 143 mitochondrial density and higher mitochondrial respiration rate, as well as a higher efficiency 144 to produce ATP (Fig. 2, but see (Casagrande et al., 2020) for somewhat opposite effects of 145 high GC levels at the postnatal stage). Thus, we expected these individuals to have a faster 146 growth (both pre- and postnatal) leading to an increase in survival prospects on the short-term 147 (i.e. fledging and/or first autumn) but potential long-term costs (Haussmann et al., 2012; 148 Metcalfe and Monaghan, 2001). Finally, we tested if GC and TH hormones had interactions, such as synergistic effects, affecting offspring mitochondrial function, growth and survival (Brown et al., 2014). For instance, it has been shown that postnatal supplementation with THs and GC has synergistic effects on growth (Khangembam et al., 2017). Yet, directional predictions about the effects of prenatal hormones are very difficult to make considering 1. the likely environmental-dependence of their cost-benefit balance, 2. the existence of non-linear dose-responses and 3. the fact that embryos are not passive receivers of maternal hormones but can manipulate such signals (Groothuis et al., 2019).

156

159 Material and Methods

160 Field site and population monitoring

The study was conducted in a population of wild great tits (*Parus major*) breeding in artificial nest boxes (n = 374) on Ruissalo island, Finland ($60^{\circ}26.055'$ N, $22^{\circ}10.391'$ E). The data was collected during the 2019 breeding season (April to July), and during the autumns of 2019 and 2020 (October to November). Nest boxes were checked every 5 days during the breeding season to monitor occupation. We also recorded date of laying the first egg (laying date), incubation onset, clutch size, hatching date (± 24h), developmental duration (± 24h) (*i.e.* time between incubation onset and hatching), brood size, and fledging success.

168

169 Experimental manipulation of glucocorticoids and thyroid hormones

170 To manipulate the prenatal hormonal environment that offspring were exposed to, nests 171 were randomly divided into 4 groups, and eggs either received i) an injection of control isotonic saline 172 solution (CO, 2µL NaCl), ii) an injection elevating TH (a mixture of 0.325 ng T4 and 0.041 ng T3 per 173 yolk), iii) an injection elevating corticosterone (CORT) (0.202 ng per yolk), or iv) an injection elevating 174 both CORT and TH hormones (i.e. 0.325 ng of T4 + 0.041 ng of T3 + 0.202 ng of CORT). Our 175 objective was to increase yolk hormones content by 2 standard deviations (SD) while remaining in 176 their natural physiological range, as recommended by Podmokła and al. (2018). Based on the 177 literature and hormonal measurements from the same population, average TH content in great tits 178 are expected to be mean \pm SD : T3 = 0.053 \pm 0.020 ng/yolk and T4 = 0.458 \pm 0.162 ng/yolk 179 (Ruuskanen et al., 2018), while average CORT is expected to be mean \pm SD: 0.215 \pm 0.101 ng/yolk 180 (based on the averages for great tits from (Groothuis and Schwabl, 2008; Lessells et al., 2016; 181 Mentesana et al., 2019) Groothuis & Schwabl, 2008; Mentesana et al., 2019; Lessells et al., 2016, 182 calculated using an average yolk mass of 315 mg as in Lessells et al. 2016).

183 Hormone solutions were prepared using crystal T4 (L-thyroxine 98% HPCL, CAS number 51-184 48-9, Sigma-Aldrich), T3 (3,3',5-triiodo-L-thyronine, >95% HPCL, CAS number 6893-02-3, Sigma-185 Aldrich) and CORT (Corticosterone VETRANAL®, HPCL, CAS number 50-22-6, Sigma-Aldrich) 186 dissolved in 0.1M NaOH (TH) or 99% EtOH (CORT), and diluted in 0.9% NaCl to the targeted 187 concentrations. We followed the injection procedure as described in (Hsu et al., 2019; Sarraude et 188 al., 2020). We prepared the corresponding hormone solutions for each experimental group (CO, TH, 189 CORT or CORT + TH), so that each egg was injected only once with 2 µl of the corresponding 190 hormone solution and all eggs in one nest received the same hormonal mix. Egg injections started 191 on the day the 5th egg was laid, and every day later on until the last egg was laid. This protocol 192 ensured injections were done before the incubation onset, meanwhile minimizing nest-disturbance 193 (i.e. we avoided visiting the nest every day) and allowing to closely monitor the onset of incubation, 194 given that great tits can start incubation well before clutch completion. When no new eggs were

observed for two consecutive days, the clutch was considered complete. Hatching was monitoreddaily starting 2 days prior to the estimated hatch date. Hatching was considered as "day 0".

197 Nestlings were individually marked (nail-clipping at day 2, metal ring at day 7), weighed with 198 an electronic scale (body mass ± 0.1 g) at 2, 7, 14 days old, and measured with a metal ruler (wing 199 length ± 1mm) at 7 and 14 days old (see Fig. 2 for a timeline of the study). Nestlings fledge around 200 18-20 days old. When recaptured in the following autumns (see below), body mass and wing length 201 were measured. We also blood sampled individuals (~30-75µL from the brachial vein using 202 heparinized capillaries) at 7 and 14 days old and as juveniles in the following autumn. Blood samples 203 were used to measure mitochondrial DNA copy number (mtDNAcn, an index of mitochondrial 204 density, see below) and evaluate mitochondrial aerobic metabolism in 7- and 14-days old nestlings 205 (Fig. 2). The use of blood samples has the advantage of being minimally invasive, allowing the 206 longitudinal sampling of the individuals (Koch et al., 2021; Stier et al., 2017).

207 We recaptured nestlings from the experiment as juveniles the following autumn (in 2019, *i.e.* 208 between 9 and 20 weeks after fledging). For this, we used mist-nests with playback at 7 feeding 209 stations in the study plots (3h / feeding station on 3 separate days over 2 months summing up to a total of 100 hours of mist-netting). If a bird was recaptured several times during this period, only the 210 211 measurements from the first capture were used for body mass, body size and blood sample. 212 Nestlings were also recaptured as adults (*i.e.* between 15 and 18 months after fledging) using a 213 similar method (6 feeding stations, a total of 95 hours of mist-netting) in autumn 2020. In addition, 214 we included recapture data from a mist-netting site (Ruissalo botanical garden; 3 km from the study 215 plots) where mist-netting was conducted regularly throughout the year every 1 or two weeks (4h per 216 session). Data collected from the 2019 recapture sessions were used to analyze juvenile body mass, 217 size and condition, mitochondrial DNA copy number, and for estimating recapture probability a few 218 months after fledging (i.e. used here as a proxy of medium-term apparent survival). Data collected 219 from autumn 2020 trapping sessions and continuous mist-netting were used as a proxy of long-term 220 survival (*i.e.* recapture probability during and after the first winter experienced by juveniles).

In total, the experiment included 60 great tit nests resulting in 468 injected eggs ($n_{CO(eggs/nests)}$ 222 = 108/13, n_{TH} = 118/16, n_{CORT} = 111/14, n_{CORT} + $_{TH}$ = 131/17) and 267 chicks being monitored 223 ($n_{CO(nestlings/nests)}$ = 60/12, n_{TH} = 75/15, n_{CORT} = 58/13, n_{CORT} + $_{TH}$ = 74/13). 112 juveniles were caught in 224 the autumn of 2019 ($n_{CO(juveniles/nests)}$ = 25/10, n_{TH} = 22/9, n_{CORT} = 28/10, n_{CORT} + $_{TH}$ = 37/10), and 30 225 adults in the autumn of 2020 ($n_{CO(adults/nests)}$ = 6/5, n_{TH} = 6/5, n_{CORT} = 6/5, n_{CORT} + $_{TH}$ = 12/8).

226

227 Mitochondrial DNA copy number

We randomly selected 2 nestlings per nest (n = 104 individuals) and estimated *mtDNAcn* on the same individuals at day 7, day 14 and as juveniles (autumn 2019) when samples were available (respectively sample-sizes at day 7/ day 14 / juveniles: $n_{CO} = 26/27/9$, $n_{CORT} = 23/21/10$, $n_{TH} =$ 29/24/7, $n_{CORT + TH} = 25/23/11$, resulting in 235 samples in total). Genomic DNA was extracted from 232 5µL of frozen blood samples using a salt extraction procedure adapted from (Aljanabi and Martinez, 233 1997). DNA quantity and purity were estimated using a *NanoDrop* spectrophotometer. Samples were 234 re-extracted if needed ([DNA] < 50ng/µL, 260/280 ratio < 1.80 or 260/230 < 2). DNA integrity of 48 235 randomly selected samples were evaluated and deemed satisfactory using gel electrophoresis (100 236 ng of DNA, Midori Green staining, 0.8 % agarose gel at 100 mV for 60 min). Samples meeting our 237 quality checks were then diluted at 1.2 ng/ μ L in sterile H₂O and stored at -80°C until gPCR assays. 238 mtDNAcn was quantified using real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) assays as previously described 239 for other passerine species (Stier et al., 2019; Stier et al., 2020) and great tits (Hsu et al., 2021; Stier 240 et al., 2021). This technique estimates the relative mtDNAcn by determining the ratio of mtDNA 241 repeat copy number to a nuclear singly copy gene (SCG). qPCR reactions were performed in a total 242 volume of 12µL including 6ng of DNA sample, primers at a final concentration of 300nM and 6µL of SensiFAST[™] SYBR[®] Lo-ROX Kit (Bioline). We used Recombination Activating Gene 1 (RAG1) as 243 244 a single-copy control gene (SCG) verified using a BLAST analysis on the great tit genome. The gene 245 RAG1 was amplified using the primers RAG1 forward (5'-TCG GCT AAA CAG AGG TGT AAA G-3') 246 and RAG1 reverse (5'-CAG CTT GGT GCT GAG ATG TAT-3'). For mtDNAcn, we used cytochrome 247 oxidase subunit 2 (COI2) as a specific mitochondrial gene after verifying that it was not duplicated 248 as a pseudo-gene in the nuclear genome using a BLAST analysis on the great tit genome. We used 249 the primers sequences COI2 forward (5' – CAAAGATATCGGCACCCTCTAC-3') and COI2 reverse 250 (3'-GCCTAGTTCTGCACGGATAAG-5'). Samples were run in triplicates. gPCR conditions were 3 251 min at 95°C (polymerase activation), followed by 40 cycles of 10s at 95°C, 15s at 58°C, 10s at 72°C 252 (DNA denaturation, primers annealing, DNA extension and fluorescence reading). The melting curve 253 program was 15s at 95°C, 1min at 58°C, 0.1°C/s increase to 95°C, and then hold 15s at 95°C. A 254 DNA sample being a pool of DNA from 10 adult individuals was used as a reference sample (*i.e.* 255 ratio = 1.0 for *mtDNAcn*) and was included in triplicates in every plate. qPCR efficiencies of control 256 and mitochondrial genes were 91.4 ± 0.003% and 104.5 ± 0.005%, respectively. Repeatability of 257 mtDNAcn measurements estimated with samples-triplicates was high R = 0.921 (Cl_{95%} = [0.907): 258 0.934], n = 1287). We also calculated the inter-plate repeatability of *mtDNAcn* measurements using 259 samples being measured on different plates: R = 0.867 ($Cl_{95\%} = [0.822, 0.916]$, n = 211). All the 260 qPCR assays (n = 10 plates) were performed on a 384-QuantStudioTM 12K Flex Real-Time PCR 261 System (Thermo Fisher).

262 Molecular sexing

263 Nestlings were molecularly sexed using a qPCR approach adapted from (Chang et al., 2008; 264 Ellegren and Fridolfsson, 1997), using blood samples when available (2 nestlings per brood). Forward 265 and reverse sexing primers were 5'- CACTACAGGGAAAACTGTAC-3' (2987F) and 5'-266 CCCCTTCAGGTTCTTTAAAA -3' (3112R), respectively. qPCR reactions were performed in a total 267 volume of 12µL including 6ng of DNA, primers at a final concentration of 800nM and 6µL of 268 SensiFAST[™] SYBR® Lo-ROX Kit (Bioline). qPCR conditions were: 3 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 45 s at 95°C, 60 s at 52°C and 60s at 72°C, then followed by a melting curve analysis
(95°C 60s, 45°C 50s, increase to 95°C at 0.1°C/s, 95°C 30s). Samples were run in duplicates in a
single plate and 6 adults of known sex were included as positive controls.

272

273 Mitochondrial respiration

274 Mitochondrial respiration was analyzed using high-resolution respirometry (Oroboros 275 Instruments, Innsbruck, Austria) at 40°C, adapted from the protocol described in (Stier et al., 2019) 276 (protocol modifications: mitochondrial respiration rates were estimated using 30µL of fresh blood 277 when available, suspended in Mir05 buffer). We analyzed 4 mitochondrial respiration rates: 1) the 278 endogenous cellular respiration rate before permeabilization (ROUTINE), 2) the maximum 279 respiration rate fueled with exogenous substrates of complex I and II, as well as ADP (CI + II), 3) the 280 respiration rate contributing to proton leak (LEAK, i.e., not producing ATP but dissipating heat), 4) 281 the respiration rate supporting ATP synthesis through oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS). We 282 also calculated 2 mitochondrial flux ratios (FCRs): 1) OXPHOS coupling efficiency: OxCE = (1-LEAK)283 / CI+II), and 2) the proportion of maximal respiration capacity being used under endogenous cellular 284 condition (*i.e.*, FCR ROUTINE / CI+II). The former provides an index of mitochondrial efficiency in 285 producing ATP, whereas the latter reflects the cellular control of mitochondrial respiration by 286 endogenous ADP/ATP turnover and substrate availability. Due to the logistical constraints of 287 respirometry measurements (*i.e.*, the need to work on freshly collected samples, > 2 h of processing 288 per 2 samples), the analysis of mitochondrial respiration was limited to 1 nestling per nest (repeated 289 measurements from same individuals at day 7 and day 14), summing up to 89 samples from 48 290 individuals (respectively sample-sizes at day 7/day 14: $n_{CO} = 11/11$, $n_{CORT} = 11/10$, $n_{TH} = 14/12$, n_{CORT} 291 _{+ TH} = 10/10). Mitochondrial respiration rates were not analyzed from juveniles due to logistical 292 constraints. The technical repeatability of mitochondrial respiration measurements was high: 293 *ROUTINE* : R = 0.989 (Cl_{95%} = [0.957, 0.997]); *CI* + *CII*: R = 0.992 (Cl_{95%} = [0.968, 0.998]); *LEAK*: R 294 $= 0.982 (Cl_{95\%} = [0.929, 0.995]); OXPHOS: R = 0.992 (Cl_{95\%} = [0.968, 0.998]) based on n = 9$ 295 duplicates.

296 Statistical analyses

297 Statistical analyses were conducted using R v. 4.0.2 (R core team, 2020). To test for 298 the effects of prenatal hormones on bird development, mitochondrial function and survival, we 299 treated CORT and TH treatments (as separate 2-level factors: CORT yes/no and TH yes/no) 300 and their interactions as fixed factors. Non-significant terms were dropped (starting with 301 interactions) in a backward-stepwise procedure to obtain the lowest Akaike Information 302 Criterion (AIC) value. The effects of CORT and TH treatments on survival metrics (hatching 303 success, fledging success and recapture probabilities in autumns 2019 and 2020) were 304 evaluated using generalized linear mixed models (GLMM), with logistic binary distributions of 305 the dependent variables (survival: 0 = dead / 1 = alive). Nest box ID was considered as a 306 random intercept to account for the non-independence of nestlings reared in same conditions. 307 except for the recapture probability as adults since we did not re-capture enough individuals per nest. We tested the effects of CORT and TH treatments on developmental time (incubation 308 309 time per nest) using a linear model (LM).

310 The effect of CORT and TH treatments on growth metrics were analyzed in two steps. 311 We first tested treatment effects on postnatal body mass growth (day 2, day 7, day 14) using 312 a linear mixed model (LMM) with nest box ID and bird ID as random intercepts, to account for 313 repeated measures on individual offspring and non-independence of nestlings reared in same 314 conditions. To test for differences in body mass gain, we also tested the effects of CORT and 315 TH treatments at each age (day 7, day 14 and in juveniles – Autumn 2019) on body mass, 316 while controlling for the previous body mass as a covariate in separate LMMs with nest box 317 ID specified as random intercept. We analyzed body size (using the wing length as a response 318 variable) and body condition (*i.e.*, body mass controlled for the wing length) at each age using 319 LMMs with nest box ID specified as random intercept.

320 mtDNAcn data distribution did not fulfill the criteria of normality according to a Cullen 321 and Frey plot ('fitdistrplus' package, (Delignette-Muller and Dutang, 2015), therefore we 322 evaluated the effects of CORT and TH treatments on *mtDNAcn* using a GLMM (gamma error 323 distribution, log link). We included nest box ID as a random intercept and bird ID as a repeated 324 factor to account for the non-independency of measures from a same individual. All 325 mitochondria respiration rates (recorded at day 7 and day 14; including ROUTINE, LEAK, 326 OXPHOS, CI+II) were tested with LMMs. We analyzed mitochondrial respiration rates at both 327 the cellular level (*i.e.*, respiration measurements expressed relative to cell number) that 328 indicates respiration properties per unit of cells, and at the mitochondrial level (*i.e.*, respiration 329 measurements controlled for mitochondrial density by inclusion of mtDNAcn as a covariate), 330 which indicates the respiration rate per unit of mitochondria. For models including repeated 331 measures across time (body mass, *mtDNAcn*, mitochondrial respiration measurements), we 332 initially included CORT, TH, age and all interactions as fixed factors and removed non333 significant interactions following a backward-stepwise procedure to obtain the lowest AIC334 value.

We also preliminary included nestling sex as a fixed factor in our models to investigate sex-specific effects on growth metrics and *mtDNAcn*. However, nestling sex never had a significant effect on morphometric traits and we decided to remove sex from the associated models to increase sample-sizes (only 2 nestlings per nests were molecularly sexed through real-time qPCR, while for growth we collected morphometrics measurements for the whole brood). For juveniles, all individuals were morphologically sexed and thus we also included sex, as well as its interaction with CORT and TH treatments.

342 In all models, hatching date and brood size at day 2 (both proxies of environmental 343 conditions) were included as covariates (not scaled, except in the *mtDNAcn* model due to 344 convergence issue) when applicable as they are known to correlate with development, 345 physiology and survival. Normality and homoscedasticity of the residuals were visually 346 inspected (QQ plots). All models were performed using the 'Ime4' package (Bates et al., 2015). Results from type III anova tables with *F*-values (or χ^2 for GLMM) and *p*-values (*i.e.* testing 347 348 the main effect of each factor and interaction) calculated based on Satterwhaite's method are 349 presented in the text, and model estimates (with associated 95% C.I. and p-values) are 350 reported in Tables. The package 'emmeans' was used for conducting multiple post-hoc 351 comparisons (adjusted with Tukey Honest Significant Differences correction) and estimating 352 least-square means (Ismean) ± SE as well as standardized effect-sizes (Lenth et al., 2018). 353 Results are given as means \pm SE. Values were considered as statistically significant for p <354 0.05.

355

356 Results

357 Prenatal hormonal effects on hatching, fledging success and developmental time

358 Hatching success (CO = 55.6%, CORT = 53.4%, TH = 62.7%, CORT+TH = 58.6%) 359 and fledging success (CO = 90%, CORT = 89.8%, TH = 75.7%, CORT+TH = 74.4%) were not significantly affected by the prenatal hormonal manipulation (GLMMs, all $\chi^2 < 2.5$, all p > 0.11). 360 361 Developmental time was significantly increased (+ 7%) by a prenatal CORT supplementation 362 (LM, CORT vs. non-CORT: Ismean \pm SE: 12.8 \pm 0.2 vs.12.0 \pm 0.2 days, $F_{1.49}$ = 6.27, p = 0.015), 363 but significantly decreased (- 5%) by a prenatal TH supplementation (TH vs. non-TH: Ismean 364 \pm SE: 12.1 \pm 0.2 vs. 12.7 \pm 0.2 days; $F_{1.49}$ = 4.26, p = 0.044). However, there was no significant 365 CORT x TH interaction ($F_{1.49}$ = 2.24, p = 0.14). 366

367 Prenatal hormonal effects on mitochondrial density

We found a significant effect of the prenatal CORT supplementation in interaction with age on mitochondrial density (overall test for Age x CORT: χ^2 = 8.65, *p* = 0.013, Fig. 3a).

Mitochondrial density was significantly influenced by age (χ^2 = 451.7, *p* < 0.001), decreasing 370 371 from day 7 to day 14 (Tukey HSD post-hoc: p < 0.001) and from day 14 to the juvenile stage 372 (Tukey HSD post-hoc: p < 0.001; see Table 1 for estimates of final model). While prenatal 373 CORT did not significantly affect mitochondrial density at day 7 (Tukey HSD post-hoc: p =374 0.29) or in juveniles (Tukey HSD post-hoc: p = 0.92), it significantly decreased mitochondrial 375 density by 27 % at day 14 (Tukey HSD post-hoc: p = 0.006, Fig. 3a). We found no significant evidence for an effect of prenatal TH supplementation on mitochondrial density ($\chi^2 = 0.003$, p 376 = 0.96, Fig. 3b), nor for an interaction between prenatal TH and CORT (χ^2 = 0.006, p = 0.81). 377 Brood size was negatively related to mitochondrial density ($\chi^2 = 4.31$, p = 0.036), while 378 hatching date was not significantly related to mitochondrial density (χ^2 = 1.50, p = 0.22, Table 379 380 1).

381

382 Prenatal hormonal effects on mitochondrial aerobic metabolism

383 Prenatal CORT supplementation significantly decreased all mitochondrial respiration 384 rates measured at the cellular level (LMMs: ROUTINE: -15.8%, LEAK: -16.4%, OXPHOS: -22.9%, CI+II: - 21.7%; all F > 4.2, all p < 0.05; Fig. 4), in a similar way at both day 7 and day 385 386 14 (LMMs, Age x CORT interactions not statistically significant; all F < 0.71; all p > 0.41). Yet, 387 all cellular respiration rates were positively associated with mitochondrial density (LMMs, all p 388 < 0.001, Table 2). Controlling for mitochondrial density decreased the influence of prenatal 389 CORT on respiration rates (*i.e.* respiration at the mitochondrial level), as evidenced by smaller 390 effect sizes when correcting for mitochondrial density (Fig. 4; ROUTINE: -6.5% F = 1.41, p =391 0.24; LEAK: -9.8%, F = 2.29, p = 0.14; OXPHOS: -14.2%, F = 4.77, p = 0.037; CI+II: -13.3%, F = 4.72, p = 0.037; Table 2). Interestingly, nestlings from CORT-supplemented eggs had a 392 393 significantly higher (+7.9%) usage of their mitochondrial maximal capacity (higher 394 FCR_{ROUTINE/CI+II}, F = 4.79, p = 0.034, Fig. 4, Table 3), but we found no significant effect of 395 prenatal CORT on OXPHOS coupling efficiency (OxCE, F = 1.32, p = 0.26, Fig. 4, Table 3).

396 Contrary to prenatal CORT, there was no significant effect of the prenatal TH 397 supplementation on mitochondrial aerobic metabolism (LMMs, all F < 2.26, all p > 0.14, Tables 398 2 & 3). All mitochondrial respiration rates significantly decreased between nestling day 7 and 399 day 14 (LMMs, ROUTINE: -15.3 %, OXPHOS: -12.4 %, CI+II: -11.5 %; all F > 4.8, p < 0.032, 400 Table 2), except LEAK (LMM, F = 1.70, p = 0.20, Table 2). While FCR_{ROUTINE/CI+II} was not 401 significantly impacted by age (F = 1.89, p = 0.18, Table 2), younger chicks had more efficient 402 mitochondria (*i.e.* 2.9% higher OxCE, F = 8.33, p = 0.006, Table 3). Males showed a 403 significantly higher LEAK (Ismean: +16.5%, F = 4.23, p = 0.047) than females when controlling 404 for mitochondrial density (Table 2), but we did not find other significant sex differences in 405 mitochondrial aerobic metabolism (LMMs, all F < 1.65, all p > 0.20, Table 2). Brood size was 406 not significantly associated with mitochondrial aerobic metabolism traits (LMMs, all F < 1.69,

407 all p > 0.20, Tables 2 and 3). All mitochondrial aerobic metabolism traits except *ROUTINE* (*F* 408 = 0.22, p = 0.64) and *LEAK* (*F* = 0.02, p = 0.88) were significantly positively associated with 409 the hatching date (LMMs, all *F* > 8.10, all p < 0.008, Tables 2 and 3).

410

411 Prenatal hormonal effects on growth

412 When analyzing body mass dynamics during postnatal growth (from day 2 to day 14), 413 there was a significant interaction between age (d2 vs. d7 vs. d14) and CORT treatment 414 factors ($F_{2,460}$ = 4.40, p = 0.013, Table 4, Fig. 5), but no significant effect of the prenatal TH 415 supplementation ($F_{1.50} = 0.95$, p = 0.33, Table 4). Specifically, nestlings from CORT-416 supplemented eggs were slightly lighter (-11.3%) at day 2 than offspring from non-CORT-417 supplemented eqgs (Ismean \pm SE: 3.54 \pm 0.22g vs. 3.14 \pm 0.21g), but reached the body mass 418 of chicks from the non-CORT-supplemented group at day 7 and 14 (Fig. 5), although these 419 differences were not statistically significant in post-hoc analyses (Tukey HSD post-hoc: all p 420 > 0.18).

421 Analyzing the different postnatal stages separately (day 2, day 7 and day 14) for body 422 mass gain (*i.e.* body mass at time t analyzed with body mass at time t-1 as covariate), body 423 size and body condition did not reveal any significant effect of prenatal hormonal treatments 424 (*i.e.*, CORT and TH), either as main factors (all F < 3.65, p > 0.06, Tables S1-S3) or in 425 interaction (CORT x TH: all F < 3.75, all p > 0.05). Yet, there was a non-significant trend for 426 CORT chicks to gain more body mass between day 2 and day 7 ($F_{1,43.7}$ = 3.65, p = 0.063, 427 Table S2), and for an interaction between CORT and TH in explaining body size at day 7 ($F_{1.47}$ 428 = 3.74, p = 0.059) with chicks that received both hormones having smaller wings than others 429 (Ismeans ± SE: CORT+TH: 18.5 ± 0.7; no-CORT/no-TH: 19.9 ± 0.7; CORT/no-TH: 20.7 ± 0.7; 430 TH/no-CORT: 20.4 ± 0.7).

431 For juveniles (*i.e.* subsample of individuals recaptured in autumn and morphologically 432 sexed), we found a significant interaction between CORT treatment and sex on body mass (F 433 = 8.36, p = 0.005) and condition (F = 8.91, p = 0.004) but not on body size (F = 0.42, p = 0.52; 434 Table S4). Body mass was 3.4% lower for females that received a prenatal CORT treatment 435 than females from the non-CORT group (p = 0.021), while there was no significant effect of 436 the prenatal CORT treatment on male body mass (p = 0.25, Fig. 6). We found similar results 437 for female body condition (CORT: -3.3%, p = 0.016) and no significant differences between 438 males (p = 0.25). Prenatal TH supplementation did not significantly affect body mass, condition 439 or size in juveniles (all F < 0.33, all p > 0.56; Table S4), neither in interaction with CORT 440 treatment (CORT x TH: all F < 4.06, all p > 0.05). 441

442 Prenatal hormonal effect on recapture probability (i.e. proxy of apparent survival)

Recapture probabilities were not significantly affected by prenatal hormonal treatments either on the short-term (juveniles in 2019: 56.03% and 42.34% for CORT vs. non-CORT, χ^2 = 2.35, p = 0.12; and 50.00% and 48.62% for TH vs. non-TH, χ^2 = 0.01, p = 0.93) or long-term (adults in 2020: 15.52% and 10.81% for CORT vs. non-CORT, χ^2 = 0.68, p = 0.41; and 15.25% and 11.01% for TH vs. non-TH, χ^2 = 0.59, p = 0.44). There was no significant interaction between prenatal CORT and TH treatments on the aforementioned parameters (all χ^2 < 0.56 and all p > 0.45).

450

451 **Discussion**

452 We tested for potential developmental plasticity related to two prenatal hormones in a 453 wild great tit population. By experimentally increasing yolk hormone content to simulate higher 454 maternal deposition of these hormones in the eggs, we investigated the effects of GC, TH, 455 and their interaction on offspring mitochondrial aerobic metabolism, development and survival. 456 Developmental time was significantly increased by prenatal CORT supplementation, but 457 significantly decreased by prenatal TH supplementation. Elevated prenatal CORT exposure 458 significantly reduced mitochondrial density and respiration rates, without significantly affecting 459 mitochondrial coupling efficiency (OxCE). Interestingly, such down-regulations of 460 mitochondrial aerobic metabolism might have been partially compensated by a higher usage 461 of maximal mitochondrial capacity (*i.e.* higher FCR_{ROUTINE/CI+II}). We did not find very clear 462 effects of prenatal hormonal treatments on growth patterns and recapture probability. Yet, 463 nestlings hatched from CORT-injected eggs were lighter at day 2 and had a tendency to grow 464 faster in early life (*i.e.* day 2 to day 7), although these differences were not statistically 465 significant in our experiment, so that effects of prenatal CORT on nestling's body mass, size 466 and condition should be considered with caution. Recaptured females from CORT group were 467 lighter and in worse condition than juvenile females from non-CORT group, while we did not 468 find a significant difference in males. Despite not being statistically significant, recapture 469 probability was ca. 14% higher for juveniles from the CORT group. We expected prenatal TH 470 treatment to promote mitochondrial biogenesis, leading to an increase of mitochondrial density 471 and mitochondrial aerobic metabolism but found no support for such hypothesis. Others 472 studies have also reported a lack of significant effect of prenatal TH supplementation on 473 nestling mitochondrial density in other avian species (Hsu et al., 2020; Hsu et al., 2021; Stier 474 et al., 2020). Several hypotheses may explain the contrasting results in studies focusing on 475 maternal hormonal effects, such as specific dose-dependent or context dependent response 476 of maternal hormones, variation in initial hormones transferred/deposited by the mother or 477 pleiotropic effects of maternal hormones (Groothuis et al., 2019). One limitation in the present 478 study is the estimation of mitochondrial density and mitochondrial aerobic metabolism using blood cells. While it has been previously shown that mitochondrial function in blood cells is to
some extent correlated to mitochondrial function in other tissues (Stier et al., 2017; Stier et al.,
2022), TH may have tissue-specific effects that we were not able to detect in the present study.

482 Mitochondrial density was significantly reduced by a prenatal CORT increase, but in 483 an age-specific manner since a significant effect was only observed at day 14 (a few days 484 before fledging), suggesting that prenatal CORT had a delayed and transient effect (*i.e.* no 485 evidence of developmental plasticity). This mitochondrial density reduction contributed to an 486 apparent decrease of all respiration rates at the cellular level, including oxidative 487 phosphorylation (as measured through OXPHOS). At the mitochondrial level (i.e. 488 independently from mitochondrial density), CORT significantly decreased respiration related 489 to both oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) and maximal respiration capacity (CI+II). Since 490 the effect of prenatal CORT was consistent across time (*i.e.* at day 7 and 14, no significant 491 Age x CORT interactions), it is possible that prenatal CORT induced proper developmental 492 plasticity, although effects later in life will have to be assessed to verify this hypothesis. 493 Because of a decrease in the maximum capacity of mitochondria in the CORT group, 494 mitochondria in that group were functioning, on average, significantly closer to their metabolic 495 maximum (as measured through a significant increase in FCR_{ROUTINE/CI+II}), yet without any clear 496 change in coupling efficiency (no significant effect on OxCE). Therefore, the down-regulation 497 of mitochondrial density and aerobic metabolism might have been partially compensated by a 498 higher endogenous usage of maximal mitochondrial capacity, but not by an increase in 499 coupling efficiency. This effect of prenatal CORT on blood cell aerobic metabolism is in sharp 500 contrast with results from a recent study on the same species that experimentally increased 501 CORT levels after hatching (Casagrande et al., 2020): postnatal CORT supplementation led 502 to an increase in respiration rate being linked to proton leak and a concomitant decrease in 503 coupling efficiency (Casagrande et al., 2020). This suggests that the same hormone can have 504 contrasting effects on mitochondrial aerobic metabolism depending on the timing of exposure. 505 Alternatively to a direct effect of prenatal CORT on mitochondrial density, it is possible that 506 the effect we observed could be related to an effect on prenatal CORT on blood cell 507 maturation. To the best of our knowledge, there is no information on blood cell maturation 508 related to prenatal CORT increase in avian species. Yet, it is known that prenatal GC 509 contribute to the maturation of erythropoiesis in mammals (Tang et al., 2011). According to 510 our results and other related studies (Hsu et al., 2021; Stier et al., 2020), mitochondrial density 511 in avian blood cells decreases sharply along postnatal development. Thus, if the effect of 512 CORT we observed (*i.e.* decreased mitochondrial density at day 14) was related to an effect 513 of prenatal CORT on blood cells maturation, it would likely mean that an increase in prenatal 514 CORT can accelerate the maturation of blood cells.

515 Despite reduced mitochondrial density and lower mitochondrial aerobic metabolism, 516 CORT-supplemented nestlings reached, on average, a fledging body mass, body size and 517 body condition similar to non-CORT individuals. The CORT-treatment may have led to lower 518 energy requirements enabling individuals to reach similar mass/size despite lower 519 mitochondrial density and aerobic metabolism. An alternative hypothesis could be that CORT-520 nestlings obtained more food from their parents, which would be in line with the known effect 521 of CORT on nestling begging rate (e.g. (Rubolini et al., 2005). An interesting aspect of our 522 results is that we found a medium-term sex-specific effect of the CORT treatment on juveniles 523 the following autumn (*i.e.*, 9 to 20 weeks after fledging). Prenatal CORT supplementation 524 significantly decreased body mass and condition of juvenile females, suggesting that the 525 treatment may lead to some delayed deleterious effects. The mechanisms underlying the 526 delayed effect of CORT on body mass and condition at the juvenile stage remain however 527 unclear. Sex-specific effects of prenatal GC on adult metabolism have been recently 528 documented in laboratory conditions on mammalian models (Kroon et al., 2020; Ruiz et al., 529 2020). Thus, it could be possible that the sex-specific effect observed here on body mass 530 could be related to metabolic alterations at the juvenile stage. Further studies are needed to 531 test this hypothesis, for instance by measuring the effect of prenatal CORT on both whole-532 body and mitochondrial aerobic metabolism at the juvenile stage.

533 Contrary to our expectations and what has been found in a previous study on the same 534 population (Hsu et al., 2021), the prenatal increase of TH in our study did not affect nestling 535 growth patterns. Several hypotheses may explain these contrasting results. The impact of 536 prenatal TH supplementation may depend on the original amount of TH deposited in eggs, 537 which in itself varies between individuals and environmental conditions, such as ambient 538 temperature or food availability (Ruuskanen and Hsu, 2018). Also, the effect may depend on 539 postnatal environmental conditions, as maternal effects are context-dependent (Groothuis et 540 al., 2020). It is also possible that TH impacted traits that we did not measure in this study (e.g., 541 specific target tissues, behavioral strategies). In addition, all traits were measured post-542 hatching and prenatal TH effects may be not visible anymore after hatching. These 543 hypotheses may also explain why we were not able to detect significant interactions (e.g. 544 permissive, synergistic or antagonistic effects) between CORT and TH treatments, although 545 there was a non-significant trend towards a negative effect of the interaction between prenatal 546 CORT and TH on body size at day 7.

547 One illustration of potential direct prenatal impact of CORT and TH is the result we 548 obtained regarding developmental time (*i.e.* incubation duration). We found that a prenatal 549 increase of CORT levels increased developmental time *in ovo*, while an increase in prenatal 550 TH levels decreased developmental time. It has been previously shown that an augmentation of TH *in ovo* may accelerate hatching (Hsu et al., 2017). Measuring mitochondrial aerobic metabolism during embryo development will be necessary to understand if such effects on embryo growth might be mediated by mitochondrial metabolism. Yet, as we monitored the nest only once a day to determine hatching date, overall incubation duration is estimated with a potential error of \pm 1 day, meaning that this result should be interpreted with caution, but warrants further investigation. Understanding how effects on developmental time may carryover and affect post-hatching phenotypes also requires further investigation.

558 One objective of this study was to investigate the effects of both prenatal TH and CORT 559 on offspring short and long-term survival. Prenatal hormonal treatments did not significantly 560 affect recapture probabilities (a proxy of apparent survival) in the following autumns (juveniles 561 catching in 2019 and adults catching in 2020) even if we found a significant negative impact 562 of CORT on the body mass and body condition of juvenile females. Yet, recapture probability 563 seemed to be higher for juveniles from the CORT group, calling for further studies on the 564 mechanisms by which prenatal hormones may induce differences in medium-term survival. It 565 is worth noting that our results are based on a moderate sample size (N ≈ 200 per age group 566 for phenotypic data, and N \approx 45 per age group for high-resolution respirometry) and that further 567 exploration with larger samples may be necessary to strengthen our conclusions.

568 Conclusion

569 Our experimental approach mimicking an increase in maternal hormonal deposition 570 in eggs showed that an increase in CORT exposure in ovo decreases postnatal mitochondrial 571 density and metabolism in blood cells, without markedly affecting mitochondrial coupling 572 efficiency or nestling growth patterns. As mitochondrial function is expected to be central in 573 the nexus between development, growth and metabolism, exploring how variation in 574 mitochondrial function modulates offspring phenotype and fitness-related traits would help 575 better understanding the pathways through which maternal effects (including maternal 576 hormones) operate. Exploring the impacts of prenatal maternal hormones on offspring 577 mitochondrial function offers a novel perspective in explaining variation in offspring growth 578 trajectories. Since prenatal effects may have long term-consequences up into adulthood 579 (Groothuis et al., 2019; Groothuis et al., 2020), and as we indeed found decreased body mass 580 and condition of CORT-treated juvenile females in our study, further investigations should 581 focus on the long-term effects of prenatal hormones on mitochondrial aerobic metabolism later 582 in life (in juvenile and adult birds).

584 Acknowledgements

585 We are grateful to Mikaela Hukkanen, Axelle Delaunay, Lotta Hollmen, Mélanie Crombecque 586 and Jorma Nurmi for their help in the field, and to two anonymous reviewers for their 587 constructive feedback on the manuscript.

588

589 Ethics

- 590 All procedures were approved by the Animal Experiment Committee of the State Provincial
- 591 Office of Southern Finland (license no. ESAVI/5718/2019) and by the Environmental Center
- 592 of Southwestern Finland (license no. VARELY/924/2019) granted to S.R.
- 593

594 **Competing interests**

- 595 We declare we have no competing interests.
- 596

597 Author's contribution

- 598 S.R, A.S, and B-Y.H designed the study. A.S, B-Y.H, C.M, S.R and N.C.S conducted the
- 599 fieldwork and collected the samples. A.S and C.M conducted the mitochondrial respirometry
- 600 measurements. N.C.S performed DNA extractions and qPCR measurements. N.C.S analyzed
- 601 the data with the support of S.R, V-A.V and A.S. N.C.S, S.R, V-A.V and A.S co-wrote the
- 602 manuscript. B-Y.H and C.M. commented on the manuscript. S.R and A.S shared the senior
- 603 authorship of this article and contributed equally to this work.
- 604

605 Data availability statement

- 606DataareavailableonFigshareDOI:10.6084/m9.figshare.15141138,607https://figshare.com/s/3c05173c4cc5ebd0c3f4
- 608

609 Funding

- The project was funded by an Academy of Finland grant (# 286278) to S.R and a 'Turku Collegium for Science and Medicine' Fellowship to A.S. AS was supported by a Marie Sklodowska-Curie Postdoctoral Fellowship (#894963) at the time of writing. N.C.S acknowledges support from the EDUFI Fellowship and Maupertuis Grant. B-Y.H work was supported by a grant from the Ella and Georg Ehrnrooth Foundation, and C.M by a Societas Pro Flora Fauna Fennica research grant.
- 616

617 **References**

- Aghajafari, F., Murphy, K., Matthews, S., Ohlsson, A., Amankwah, K. and Hannah, M.
- 619 (2002). Repeated doses of antenatal corticosteroids in animals: A systematic review. Am J
- 620 *Obstet Gynecol* 186, 843–849.
- Alfaradhi, M. Z. and Ozanne, S. E. (2011). Developmental Programming in Response to Ma ternal Overnutrition. *Frontiers Genetics* 2, 27.
- Aljanabi, S. M. and Martinez, I. (1997). Universal and rapid salt-extraction of high quality genomic DNA for PCR-based techniques. *Nucleic Acids Res* 25, 4692–4693.
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. and Walker, S. (2015). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models
 Using Ime4. J Stat Softw 67.
- Bett, N. N., Hinch, S. G., Dittman, A. H. and Yun, S.-S. (2016). Evidence of Olfactory Imprinting at an Early Life Stage in Pink Salmon (Oncorhynchus gorbuscha). *Sci Rep* 6, 36393.
- Bonduriansky, R. and Day, T. (2009). Nongenetic Inheritance and Its Evolutionary Implica tions. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst* 40, 103–125.
- 632 Braganza, A., Annarapu, G. K. and Shiva, S. (2020). Blood-based bioenergetics: An emerg-633 ing translational and clinical tool. *Molecular Aspects of Medicine* 71, 100835–12.
- Brown, C. L., Urbinati, E. C., Zhang, W., Brown, S. B. and McComb-Kobza, M. (2014). Maternal Thyroid and Glucocorticoid Hormone Interactions in Larval Fish Development, and
 Their Applications in Aquaculture. *Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture* 22, 207–
 220.
- Casagrande, S., Stier, A., Monaghan, P., Loveland, J. L., Boner, W., Lupi, S., Trevisi, R. and
 Hau, M. (2020). Increased glucocorticoid concentrations in early life cause mitochondrial
 inefficiency and short telomeres. *Journal Of Experimental Biology* 223, jeb222513-14.
- 641 Chang, H.-W., Cheng, C.-A., Gu, D.-L., Chang, C.-C., Su, S.-H., Wen, C.-H., Chou, Y.-C.,
 642 Chou, T.-C., Yao, C.-T., Tsai, C.-L., et al. (2008). High-throughput avian molecular sexing
 643 by SYBR green-based real-time PCR combined with melting curve analysis. *BMC Bio-*644 *technology* 8, 12–8.
- 645 Cioffi, F., Senese, R., Lanni, A. and Goglia, F. (2013). Thyroid hormones and mitochondria: 646 With a brief look at derivatives and analogues. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 379, 51–61.
- 647 Crespi, E. J., Williams, T. D., Jessop, T. S. and Delehanty, B. (2013). Life history and the
 648 ecology of stress: how do glucocorticoid hormones influence life-history variation in ani649 mals? *Funct Ecol* 27, 93–106.
- Darras, V. M. (2019). The Role of Maternal Thyroid Hormones in Avian Embryonic Develop ment. *Frontiers in Endocrinology* 10, 273–10.
- Davies, K. L., Camm, E. J., Smith, D. J., Vaughan, O. R., Forhead, A. J., Murray, A. J. and
 Fowden, A. L. (2021). Glucocorticoid maturation of mitochondrial respiratory capacity in
 skeletal muscle before birth. *J Endocrinol* 251, 53–68.

- 655 Delignette-Muller, M. L. and Dutang, C. (2015). fitdistrplus : An R Package for Fitting Distri-656 butions. *J Stat Softw* 64.
- Dufty, A. M., Clobert, J. and Møller, A. P. (2002). Hormones, developmental plasticity and
 adaptation. *Trends Ecol Evol* 17, 190–196.
- Eberle, C., Fasig, T., Brüseke, F. and Stichling, S. (2021). Impact of maternal prenatal stress
 by glucocorticoids on metabolic and cardiovascular outcomes in their offspring: A systematic scoping review. *Plos One* 16, e0245386.
- Ellegren, H. and Fridolfsson, A. K. (1997). Male-driven evolution of DNA sequences in birds.
 Nature genetics 17, 182–184.
- 664 Forsman, A. (2015). Rethinking phenotypic plasticity and its consequences for individuals, 665 populations and species. *Heredity* 115, 276–284.
- Fowden, A. L. and Forhead, A. J. (2009). Hormones as epigenetic signals in developmental
 programming. *Exp Physiol* 94, 607–625.
- Grilo, L. F., Tocantins, C., Diniz, M. S., Gomes, R. M., Oliveira, P. J., Matafome, P. and Pe reira, S. P. (2021). Metabolic Disease Programming: From Mitochondria to Epigenetics,
 Glucocorticoid Signalling and Beyond. *Eur J Clin Invest* 51, e13625.
- Grøntved, L., Waterfall, J. J., Kim, D. W., Baek, S., Sung, M.-H., Zhao, L., Park, J. W., Nielsen, R., Walker, R. L., Zhu, Y. J., et al. (2015). Transcriptional activation by the thyroid
 hormone receptor through ligand-dependent receptor recruitment and chromatin remodel-*Nat Commun* 6, 7048.
- Groothuis, Ton. G. G. and Schwabl, H. (2008). Hormone-mediated maternal effects in birds:
 mechanisms matter but what do we know of them? *Philosophical Transactions Royal Soc B Biological Sci* 363, 1647–1661.
- Groothuis, T. G. G., Müller, W., Engelhardt, N. von, Carere, C. and Eising, C. (2005). Maternal hormones as a tool to adjust offspring phenotype in avian species. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* 29, 329–352.
- Groothuis, T. G. G., Hsu, B.-Y., Kumar, N. and Tschirren, B. (2019). Revisiting mechanisms
 and functions of prenatal hormone-mediated maternal effects using avian species as a
 model. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 374,
 20180115–9.
- 685 Groothuis, T. G., Kumar, N. and Hsu, B.-Y. (2020). Explaining discrepancies in the study of 686 maternal effects: the role of context and embryo. *COBEHA* 36, 185–192.
- 687 Gyllenhammer, L. E., Entringer, S., Buss, C. and Wadhwa, P. D. (2020). Developmental pro 688 gramming of mitochondrial biology: a conceptual framework and review. *Proceedings of* 689 *the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* 287, 20192713–10.
- Harper, M.-E. and Seifert, E. L. (2008). Thyroid Hormone Effects on Mitochondrial Energet ics. *Thyroid* 18, 145–156.

- Haussmann, M. F., Longenecker, A. S., Marchetto, N. M., Juliano, S. A. and Bowden, R. M.
 (2012). Embryonic exposure to corticosterone modifies the juvenile stress response, oxidative stress and telomere length. *Proc Royal Soc B Biological Sci* 279, 1447–1456.
- Hsu, B.-Y., Dijkstra, C., Darras, V. M., Vries, B. de and Groothuis, T. G. G. (2017). Maternal
 thyroid hormones enhance hatching success but decrease nestling body mass in the rock
 pigeon (Columba livia). *Gen Comp Endocr* 240, 174–181.
- Hsu, B.-Y., Doligez, B., Gustafsson, L. and Ruuskanen, S. (2019). Transient growth-enhancing effects of elevated maternal thyroid hormones at no apparent oxidative cost during
 early postnatal period. *Journal of Avian Biology* 50, 4692–10.
- Hsu, B.-Y., Sarraude, T., Cossin-Sevrin, N., Crombecque, M., Stier, A. and Ruuskanen, S.
 (2020). Testing for context-dependent effects of prenatal thyroid hormones on offspring
 survival and physiology: an experimental temperature manipulation. *Scientific Reports* 10,
 14563.
- Hsu, B.-Y., Cossin-Sevrin, N., Stier, A. and Ruuskanen, S. (2021). Prenatal thyroid
- hormones accelerate postnatal growth and telomere shortening in wild great tits. *bioRxiv*
- 707 2021.12.22.473794. doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.22.473794
- Khangembam, B. K., Ninawe, A. S. and Chakrabarti, R. (2017). Effect of cortisol and triiodo thyronine bath treatments on the digestive enzyme profile and growth of Catla catla lar vae during ontogenic development. *Aquac Res* 48, 2173–2185.
- Kim, B. (2008). Thyroid Hormone as a Determinant of Energy Expenditure and the Basal
 Metabolic Rate. *Thyroid* 18, 141–144.
- Koch, R. E., Buchanan, K. L., Casagrande, S., Crino, O., Dowling, D. K., Hill, G. E., Hood,
 W. R., McKenzie, M., Mariette, M. M., Noble, D. W. A., et al. (2021). Integrating Mitochondrial Aerobic Metabolism into Ecology and Evolution. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 21,
 1–12.
- Kroon, J., Pereira, A. M. and Meijer, O. C. (2020). Glucocorticoid Sexual Dimorphism in Metabolism: Dissecting the Role of Sex Hormones. *Trends Endocrinol Metabolism* 31, 357–
 367.
- Laland, K. N., Uller, T., Feldman, M. W., Sterelny, K., Müller, G. B., Moczek, A., Jablonka, E.
 and Odling-Smee, J. (2015). The extended evolutionary synthesis: its structure, assumptions and predictions. *Proc Royal Soc B Biological Sci* 282, 20151019.
- Le, P. P., Friedman, J. R., Schug, J., Brestelli, J. E., Parker, J. B., Bochkis, I. M. and
 Kaestner, K. H. (2005). Glucocorticoid Receptor-Dependent Gene Regulatory Networks. *Plos Genet* 1, e16.
- Lenth, R., Singmann, H., Love, J., Buerkner, P. and Herve, M. (2018). Emmeans: Estimated marginal means, aka least-squares means. *R package*.
- Lessells, C. M., Ruuskanen, S. and Schwabl, H. (2016). Yolk steroids in great tit Parus major eggs: variation and covariation between hormones and with environmental and parental factors. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 70, 843–856
- tal factors. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 70, 843–856.

- MacDougall-Shackleton, S. A., Bonier, F., Romero, L. M. and Moore, I. T. (2019). Glucocorti coids and "Stress" Are Not Synonymous. *Integr Org Biology* 1, obz017.
- Manoli, I., Alesci, S., Blackman, M. R., Su, Y. A., Rennert, O. M. and Chrousos, G. P.
 (2007). Mitochondria as key components of the stress response. *Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism* 18, 190–198.
- Marshall, D. J. and Uller, T. (2007). When is a maternal effect adaptive? *Oikos* 116, 1957–
 1963.
- McNabb, F. M. A. (2006). Avian thyroid development and adaptive plasticity. *Gen Comp En- docr* 147, 93–101.
- Mentesana, L., Isaksson, C., Goymann, W., Andersson, M. N., Trappschuh, M. and Hau, M.
 (2019). Female variation in allocation of steroid hormones, antioxidants and fatty acids: a
 multilevel analysis in a wild passerine bird. *J Avian Biol* 50.
- Metcalfe, N. and Monaghan, P. (2001). Compensation for a bad start: grow now, pay later?
 Trends in Ecology & Evolution 16, 254–260.
- Meylan, S., Miles, D. B. and Clobert, J. (2012). Hormonally mediated maternal effects, indi vidual strategy and global change. *Philosophical Transactions Royal Soc B Biological Sci* 367, 1647–1664.
- Miyazawa, H. and Aulehla, A. (2018). Revisiting the role of metabolism during development.
 Development 145, dev131110.
- Müller, G. B. (2017). Why an extended evolutionary synthesis is necessary. *Interface Focus*7, 20170015.
- Myatt, L. (2006). Placental adaptive responses and fetal programming. *J Physiology* 572, 25–30.
- Noli, L., Khorsandi, S. E., Pyle, A., Giritharan, G., Fogarty, N., Capalbo, A., Devito, L., Jovanovic, V. M., Khurana, P., Rosa, H., et al. (2020). Effects of thyroid hormone on mitochondria and metabolism of human preimplantation embryos. *Stem Cells* 38, 369–381.
- Picard, M., Juster, R.-P. and McEwen, B. S. (2014). Mitochondrial allostatic load puts the
 "gluc" back in glucocorticoids. *Nature Reviews Endocrinology* 10, 303–310.
- Piersma, T. and Gils, J. A. V. (2011). The flexible phenotype: a body-centred integration of ecology, physiology, and behaviour. *Oxford: Oxford University Press*.
- Pigliucci, M. (2007). DO WE NEED AN EXTENDED EVOLUTIONARY SYNTHESIS. *Evolu- tion* 61, 2743–2749.
- Podmokła, E., Drobniak, S. M. and Rutkowska, J. (2018). Chicken or egg? Outcomes of experimental manipulations of maternally transmitted hormones depend on administration
 method a meta-analysis. *Biological Reviews* 164, 200–19.
- Proulx, S. R. and Teotónio, H. (2017). What Kind of Maternal Effects Can Be Selected For in
 Fluctuating Environments? *Am Nat* 189, E118–E137.

- Pucci, E., Chiovato, L. and Pinchera, A. (2000). Thyroid and lipid metabolism. *Int J Obesity* 24, S109–S112.
- Rieger, D. (1992). Relationships between energy metabolism and development of early
 mammalian embryos. *Theriogenology* 37, 75–93.
- Rose, A. J., Vegiopoulos, A. and Herzig, S. (2010). Role of glucocorticoids and the glucocorticoid receptor in metabolism: Insights from genetic manipulations. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biology* 122, 10–20.
- Rubolini, D., Romano, M., Boncoraglio, G., Ferrari, R. P., Martinelli, R., Galeotti, P., Fasola,
 M. and Saino, N. (2005). Effects of elevated egg corticosterone levels on behavior,
 growth, and immunity of yellow-legged gull (Larus michahellis) chicks. *Horm Behav* 47,
 592–605.
- Ruiz, D., Padmanabhan, V. and Sargis, R. M. (2020). Stress, Sex, and Sugar: Glucocorti coids and Sex-Steroid Crosstalk in the Sex-Specific Misprogramming of Metabolism. J
 Endocr Soc 4, bvaa087.
- Ruuskanen, S. and Hsu, B.-Y. (2018). Maternal Thyroid Hormones: An Unexplored Mecha nism Underlying Maternal Effects in an Ecological Framework. *Physiological And Bio- chemical Zoology* 91, 904–916.
- Ruuskanen, S., Darras, V. M., Visser, M. E. and Groothuis, T. G. G. (2016). Effects of exper imentally manipulated yolk thyroid hormone levels on offspring development in a wild bird
 species. *Hormones And Behavior* 81, 38–44.
- Ruuskanen, S., Hsu, B.-Y., Heinonen, A., Vainio, M., Darras, V. M., Sarraude, T. and Rokka,
 A. (2018). A new method for measuring thyroid hormones using nano-LC-MS/MS. *Journal of Chromatography B* 1093–1094, 24–30.
- Salin, K., Villasevil, E. M., Anderson, G. J., Lamarre, S. G., Melanson, C. A., McCarthy, I.,
 Selman, C. and Metcalfe, N. B. (2019). Differences in mitochondrial efficiency explain individual variation in growth performance. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London.*Series B: Biological Sciences 286, 20191466–8.
- Sapolsky, R. M., Romero, L. M. and Munck, A. U. (2000). How Do Glucocorticoids Influence
 Stress Responses? Integrating Permissive, Suppressive, Stimulatory, and Preparative
 Actions. *Endocr Rev* 21, 55–89.
- Sarraude, T., Hsu, B.-Y., Groothuis, T. G. G. and Ruuskanen, S. (2020). Manipulation of
 Prenatal Thyroid Hormones Does Not Affect Growth or Physiology in Nestling Pied Fly catchers. *Physiological And Biochemical Zoology* 93, 255–266.
- Schwabl, H. (1999). Developmental Changes and Among-Sibling Variation of Corticosterone
 Levels in an Altricial Avian Species. *Gen Comp Endocr* 116, 403–408.
- 803 Seckl (2004). Prenatal glucocorticoids and long-term programming. *Eur J Endocrinol* 151,
 804 U49–U62.
- Sinha, R. A., Singh, B. K. and Yen, P. M. (2018). Direct effects of thyroid hormones on hepatic lipid metabolism. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 14, 259–269.

- Stier, A., Romestaing, C., Schull, Q., Lefol, E., Robin, J.-P., ROUSSEL, D. and Bize, P.
 (2017). How to measure mitochondrial function in birds using red blood cells: a case
 study in the king penguin and perspectives in ecology and evolution. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 8, 1172–1182.
- Stier, A., Bize, P., Hsu, B.-Y. and Ruuskanen, S. (2019). Plastic but repeatable: rapid adjust ments of mitochondrial function and density during reproduction in a wild bird species. *Biology Letters* 15, 20190536.
- 814 Stier, A., Hsu, B.-Y., Marciau, C., Doligez, B., Gustafsson, L., Bize, P. and Ruuskanen, S. 815 (2020). Born to be young? Prenatal thyroid hormones increase early-life telomere length
- 816 in wild collared flycatchers. *Biology Letters* 16, 20200364–4.
- Stier, A., Hsu, B.-Y., Cossin-Sevrin, N., Garcin, N. and Ruuskanen, S. (2021). From climate
 warming to accelerated cellular ageing: an experimental study in wild birds. *bioRxiv*. doi:
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.21.473625</u>
- Stier, A., Monaghan, P. and Metcalfe, N. B. (2022). Experimental demonstration of prenatal
 programming of mitochondrial aerobic metabolism lasting until adulthood. *Proc Royal Soc B Biological Sci, https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.2679*
- Tang, J. I., Seckl, J. R. and Nyirenda, M. J. (2011). Prenatal Glucocorticoid Overexposure
 Causes Permanent Increases in Renal Erythropoietin Expression and Red Blood Cell
 Mass in the Rat Offspring. *Endocrinology* 152, 2716–2721.
- Uller, T. (2008). Developmental plasticity and the evolution of parental effects. *Trends Ecol Evol* 23, 432–438.
- Weitzel, J. M. and Iwen, K. A. (2011). Coordination of mitochondrial biogenesis by thyroid hormone. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* 342, 1–7.
- Xavier, A. M., Anunciato, A. K. O., Rosenstock, T. R. and Glezer, I. (2016). Gene Expression
 Control by Glucocorticoid Receptors during Innate Immune Responses. *Front Endocrinol* 7, 31.
- Yamaguchi, S., Aoki, N., Kitajima, T., Iikubo, E., Katagiri, S., Matsushima, T. and Homma, K.
 J. (2012). Thyroid hormone determines the start of the sensitive period of imprinting and
 primes later learning. *Nat Commun* 3, 1081.
- 836
- 837
- 838

- 839 Figures
- 840
- 841



- 843 Fig. 1: Experimental timeline of the study, with sample sizes for different response
- 844 variables. Great tit nestlings fledge around 18 20 days after hatching.

Prenatal ho	rmonal ele	vation 4	experimental groups						
Control n = 13	тн n = 16	CORT n = 14	CORT + TH n = 17	٢					
nests	nests	nests	nests	hatching day 0	2 days old	7 days old	14 days old	Juveniles Recapture 9 to 20 weeks after fledging	Adults Recapture c.a. 15 to 18 months after fledging
		Body mas	55		N = 262	N = 252	N = 204	N = 98	
		Body size (i.e. wing	length)			N = 252	N = 204	N = 98	
		Body cond (i.e. body	lition mass controlled for th	e wing length)		N = 252	N = 204	N = 98	
		Mitochondi (i.e. using	rial density mtDNA copy number a	as a proxy)		N = 99	N = 93	N = 37	
		Mitochondi	rial aerobic metabolisr	n		N = 46	N = 43		
		Apparent s (i.e. recapti	urvival ure by mist-netting)					N = 112	N = 30

847 Fig. 2: Predictions related to the experimental manipulation of prenatal thyroid and

848 glucocorticoid hormones.





Standardized effect sizes of CORT with 95% CI



- 850
- 851

852 Fig. 3: Effects of prenatal CORT (a) and TH (b) treatments on mitochondrial density at

853 day 7 (n = 99), day 14 (n = 93) and juvenile age (n = 37) (N = 100 individuals). Standardized

854 effect sizes based on predicted values of the model are reported with their 95% confidence intervals. Age x CORT interaction was significant ($\chi^2 = 8.65$, p = 0.013), and post-hoc tests

revealed a significant effect of CORT at day 14 only (p = 0.006). 856

857

855



Standardized effect sizes with 95% CI

Fig.4: Effect of a prenatal CORT treatment on mitochondrial aerobic metabolism (d7: $n_{CORT/non-CORT} = 21/25$; d14: $n_{CORT/non-CORT} = 20/23$ individuals). Standardized effect sizes based on predicted values of the model are reported with their 95% confidence intervals. Age x CORT interactions were not statistically significant. Response variables indicated as _{mt} are corrected for the mitochondrial density (*mtDNAcn* included as a covariate in models). 865





Fig.5: Effects of prenatal CORT treatment on postnatal body mass growth. Raw data distribution is plotted (d2: $n_{CORT/non-CORT} = 129/133$; d7: $n_{CORT/non-CORT} = 123/128$; d14: $n_{CORT/non-CORT} = 105/100$ individuals) and least square means of statistical model presented as colored dots, with their 95% confidence interval. The interaction age x CORT was statistically significant (overall test for the interaction $F_{2,460} = 4.40$, p = 0.013), but none of the post-hoc tests performed were significant (all p > 0.18).



Fig.6: Effects of prenatal CORT treatment and sex on juvenile body mass. Raw data distribution is plotted (Females: $n_{CORT/non-CORT} = 26/19$; Males: $n_{CORT/non-CORT} = 32/21$ individuals) and least square means of statistical model presented as colored dots, with their 95% confidence interval. The interaction CORT*sex was statistically significant (*F* = 8.36, *p* = 0.005). *p*-values of Tukey HSD post-hoc tests are reported for each sex.

Table 1: Results of generalized linear mixed model (gamma distribution with log-link) testing the effect of age and prenatal hormonal treatments on mitochondrial density (i.e. mtDNAcn; d7: n = 99 observations, d14: n = 93 observations, Juv: n = 37 observations; N = 100individuals). Model estimates are reported with their 95% confidence intervals. Chick ID (ring) and nest box of origin (nestbox) were included as random effects in the model. $\sigma 2 =$ within-group variance; $\tau 00 =$ between-group variance. Sample size along with marginal (fixed effects only) and conditional (fixed and random effects) R^2 are presented.

889

		mtDNAcn	
Predictors	Estimates	CI	p
(Intercept)	5.80	4.66 – 7.22	<0.001
age [day14]	0.54	0.48 – 0.61	<0.001
age [juvenile]	0.15	0.12 – 0.17	<0.001
CORT [Y]	0.89	0.71 – 1.11	0.286
TH [Y]	0.99	0.81 – 1.23	0.956
sex [M]	1.03	0.88 – 1.20	0.740
hatching date	1.07	0.96 – 1.19	0.221
brood size day 2	0.88	0.78 – 0.99	0.036
age [day14] * CORT [Y]	0.82	0.69 – 0.98	0.028
age [juvenile] * CORT [Y]	1.15	0.90 – 1.46	0.273
Random Effects			
σ2	0.10		
τ00 ring	0.02		
τ00 nestbox	0.03		
N ring	100		
N nestbox	48		
Observations	229		
Marginal R2 / Conditional R2	0.762 / 0.836		

891 Table 2: Results of linear mixed model testing the effect of age and prenatal hormonal treatments on mitochondrial respiration rates

892 (corrected for mitochondrial density; d7: n = 46 observations, d14: n = 43 observations, N = 48 individuals). Chick ID (ring) was included

893 as random effect in the model. $\sigma 2$ = within-group variance; $\tau 00$ = between-group variance. Sample size along with marginal (fixed effects only)

894 and conditional (fixed and random effects) R^2 are presented.

895	
-----	--

		ROUTINE			LEAK			OXPHOS			CI + CII	
Predictors	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	р
(Intercept)	0.32	0.12 – 0.52	0.002	0.32	0.10 – 0.53	0.004	0.14	-0.73 – 1.01	0.753	0.45	-0.57 – 1.46	0.387
CORT [Y]	-0.04	-0.10 - 0.02	0.236	-0.05	-0.12 – 0.01	0.131	-0.30	-0.560.03	0.029	-0.35	-0.660.03	0.030
тн [Υ]	0.02	-0.04 - 0.08	0.448	0.02	-0.04 - 0.09	0.501	-0.05	-0.32 - 0.22	0.723	-0.03	-0.34 – 0.29	0.869
sex [M]	0.03	-0.04 - 0.09	0.419	0.07	0.003 – 0.144	0.040	0.09	-0.20 - 0.38	0.541	0.16	-0.17 – 0.50	0.341
age [day7]	0.09	0.04 – 0.15	0.001	0.04	-0.02 - 0.10	0.193	0.25	0.04 – 0.47	0.021	0.29	0.03 – 0.55	0.028
mtDNAcn	0.05	0.03 - 0.06	<0.001	0.04	0.02 - 0.05	<0.001	0.18	0.12 – 0.24	<0.001	0.22	0.15 – 0.29	<0.001
hatching date	0.0005	-0.002 - 0.003	0.641	-0.0002	-0.003 - 0.002	0.882	0.02	0.01 – 0.03	0.001	0.02	0.01 – 0.03	0.004
brood size day 2	-0.01	-0.03 - 0.01	0.194	-0.01	-0.03 - 0.01	0.467	-0.02	-0.10 - 0.05	-0.03	-0.03	-0.11 - 0.06	0.541
Random effects												
σ2	0.01			0.01			0.12			0.18		
τ00 ring	0.0005			0.01			0.13			0.17		
N ring	48			48			48			48		
Observations	89			89			89			89		
Marginal R2 / Conditional R2	0.639/0.766			0.467/0.627			0.651/0.829			0.647/0.816		

897Table 3: Results of linear mixed model testing the effect of age and prenatal hormonal898treatments on mitochondrial maximum capacity usage (i.e. $FCR_{ROUTINE/CI+II}$) and OXPHOS899coupling efficiency (i.e. OxCE; d7: n = 46 observations, d14: n = 43 observations, N =90048 individuals). Chick ID (ring) was included as a random effect in the model. σ 2 = within-901group variance; τ 00 = between-group variance. Sample size along with marginal (fixed effects902only) and conditional (fixed and random effects) R^2 are presented.

		FCRROUTINE/CI+II			OxCE	
Predictors	Estimates	CI	р	Estimates	Cl	р
(Intercept)	0.305	0.256 - 0.354	<0.001	0.715	0.659 – 0.771	<0.001
CORT [Y]	0.017	0.002 - 0.032	0.029	-0.010	-0.028 - 0.007	0.251
TH [Y]	0.012	-0.004 - 0.028	0.133	-0.012	-0.030 - 0.006	0.187
sex [M]	-0.007	-0.023 – 0.010	0.441	-0.013	-0.032 - 0.007	0.199
age [day7]	0.009	-0.004 - 0.022	0.169	0.023	0.007 – 0.039	0.004
hatching date	-0.001	-0.0020.001	<0.001	0.001	0.001 – 0.002	<0.001
brood size day 2	-0.0002	-0.004 - 0.004	0.930	-0.001	-0.006 - 0.004	0.676
Random Effects						
σ2	0.001			0.0014		
τ00 ring	0.0002			0.0001		
N ring	48			48		
Observations	89			89		
Marginal R2/Conditiona	al R2 0.299/0.398			0.292/0.349		

908Table 4: Results of linear mixed model testing the effect of age and prenatal hormonal909treatments on body mass during the rearing period. Chick (ring) and nest box (nestbox)910identities were included as random effects in the model. $\sigma 2 =$ within-group variance; $\tau 00 =$ 911between-group variance. Sample size along with marginal (fixed effects only) and conditional912(fixed and random effects) R^2 are presented; day 2 (n = 262 observations), day 7 (n = 251913observations) and day 14 after hatching (n = 205 observations).914

915

		Body mass	
Predictors	Estimates	Cl	р
(Intercept)	6.05	4.37 – 7.73	<0.001
age [day7]	8.18	7.94 – 8.42	<0.001
age [day14]	14.36	14.09 – 14.62	<0.001
CORT [Y]	-0.39	-0.97 – 0.19	0.183
TH [Y]	-0.27	-0.80 - 0.27	0.330
hatching date	-0.04	-0.060.02	<0.001
brood size day 2	-0.01	-0.15 – 0.13	0.852
age [day7] * CORT [Y]	0.49	0.14 – 0.83	0.006
age [day14] * CORT [Y]	0.43	0.05 – 0.80	0.025
Random Effects			
σ2	0.98		
τ00 ring	0.25		
τ00 nestbox	0.84		
N ring	265		
N nestbox	52		
Observations	717		

Marginal R2 / Conditional R2 0.945 / 0.974

Electronic Supplementary Material of:

Developmental plasticity of mitochondrial aerobic metabolism, growth and survival by prenatal glucocorticoids and thyroid hormones: an experimental test in wild great tits

Nina Cossin-Sevrin^{1,2}, Bin-Yan Hsu¹, Coline Marciau^{1,3}, Vincent A Viblanc², Suvi Ruuskanen^{4*} and Antoine Stier^{1,5*}

J. Exp. Biol. 2022

Table S1: Results of linear mixed model testing the effect of prenatal hormonal treatments on body mass at day 2 post-hatching. Nest box identity (nestbox) was included as random effect in the model. σ^2 = within-group variance; τ^{00} = between-group variance. Sample size along with marginal (fixed effects only) and conditional (fixed and random effects) R^2 are presented.

		Body mass day 2	
Predictors	Estimates	CI	р
(Intercept)	4.81	3.52 - 6.09	<0.001
CORT [Y]	-0.30	-0.73 – 0.12	0.165
TH [Y]	0.18	-0.25 – 0.62	0.403
brood size day 2	-0.02	-0.12 - 0.09	0.756
hatching date	-0.02	-0.040.01	0.004
Random effects			
σ2	0.27		
τ00 nestbox	0.53		
N nestbox	52		
Observations	262		
Marginal R2 / Conditional R2	0.119 / 0.705		

Table S2: Results of linear mixed models testing the effect of prenatal hormonal treatments on day 7: a. body mass gain (i.e. body mass at day 7 controlled for body mass at day 2); b. wing length (i.e. body size); and c. body condition (i.e. body mass corrected for body size). Nest box identity (nestbox) was included as random effect in the model. σ 2 = within-group variance; τ 00 = between-group variance. Sample size along with marginal (fixed effects only) and conditional (fixed and random effects) R² are presented.

a	Во	ody mass day 7	
Predictors	Estimates	CI	р
(Intercept)	6.32	4.38 - 8.27	<0.001
CORT [Y]	0.58	-0.02 – 1.18	0.056
TH [Y]	-0.27	-0.88 - 0.35	0.391
mass day 2	1.64	1.50 – 1.78	<0.001
brood size day 2	0.07	-0.08 - 0.22	0.373
hatching date	-0.01	-0.03 - 0.01	0.400
Random effects			
σ2	0.30		
т00 nestbox	1.01		
N nestbox	49		
Observations	248		
Marginal R2 / Conditional R2	0.623 / 0.914		

b.	W	/ing length day 7	
Predictors	Estimates	CI	р
(Intercept)	24.08	19.75 – 28.42	<0.001
CORT [Y]	-0.60	-1.98 – 0.78	0.393
TH [Y]	-0.73	-2.10 – 0.65	0.300
brood size day 2	0.17	-0.20 - 0.53	0.377
hatching date	-0.08	-0.130.03	0.004
Random effects			
σ2	4.83		
τ00 nestbox	4.73		
N nestbox	49		
Observations	251		
Marginal R2 / Conditional R2	0.118 / 0.555		

С.	Во	ody mass day 7	
Predictors	Estimates	CI	р
(Intercept)	3.84	2.28 - 5.40	<0.001
CORT [Y]	0.30	-0.10 - 0.70	0.140
TH [Y]	-0.04	-0.44 - 0.36	0.839
wing length day 7	0.44	0.40 - 0.48	<0.001
brood size day 2	-0.06	-0.17 – 0.04	0.233
hatching date	-0.01	-0.02 - 0.01	0.255
Random effects			
σ2	0.48		
τ00 nestbox	0.37		
N nestbox	49		
Observations	251		
Marginal R2 / Conditional R2	0.708 / 0.835		

Table S3: Results of linear mixed models testing the effect of prenatal hormonal treatments on day 14: a. body mass gain (i.e. body mass at day 14 controlled for body mass at day 7); b. wing length (i.e. body size); and c. body condition (i.e. body mass corrected for body size). Nest box identity (nestbox) was included as random effect in the model. σ 2 = within-group variance; τ 00 = between-group variance. Sample size along with marginal (fixed effects only) and conditional (fixed and random effects) R² are presented.

a.	E	Body mass day 14	
Predictors	Estimates	CI	р
(Intercept)	15.08	12.26 – 17.90	<0.001
CORT [Y]	0.24	-0.54 – 1.02	0.552
TH [Y]	0.15	-0.56 – 0.87	0.674
mass day 7	0.52	0.42 – 0.61	<0.001
brood size day 2	-0.15	-0.36 - 0.07	0.177
hatching date	-0.05	-0.080.01	0.004
Random effects			
σ2	0.61		
τ00 nestbox	1.37		
N nestbox	41		
Observations	204		
Marginal R2 / Conditional R2	0.385 / 0.811		

b.	Wi	ng length day 14	
Predictors	Estimates	Cl	p
(Intercept)	58.18	52.30 - 64.06	<0.001
CORT [Y]	-0.17	-2.01 – 1.66	0.852
TH [Y]	-1.13	-2.87 – 0.61	0.204
brood size day 2	0.20	-0.30 - 0.70	0.430
hatching date	-0.14	-0.210.07	<0.001
Random effects			
σ2	5.99		
т00 nestbox	6.99		
N nestbox	41		
Observations	204		
Marginal R2 / Conditional R2	0.224 / 0.642		

С.	Body mass 14		
Predictors	Estimates	CI	р
(Intercept)	10.11	6.34 – 13.88	<0.001
CORT [Y]	0.32	-0.41 – 1.06	0.390
TH [Y]	0.06	-0.64 - 0.75	0.876
wing length day 14	0.21	0.16 – 0.26	<0.001
brood size day 2	-0.19	-0.39 – 0.01	0.063
hatching date	-0.03	-0.060.001	0.042
Random effects			
σ2	0.76		
τ00 nestbox	1.18		
N nestbox	41		
Observations	204		
Marginal R2 / Conditional R2	0.339 / 0.740		

Table S4: Results of linear mixed models testing the effect of prenatal hormonal treatments on juvenile: a. body mass; b. wing length (i.e. body size); and c. body condition (i.e. body mass corrected for body size). Nest box identity (nestbox) was included as random effect in the model. σ^2 = within-group variance; $\tau 00$ = between-group variance. Sample size along with marginal (fixed effects only) and conditional (fixed and random effects) R^2 are presented.

а.	Body mass juvenile		
Predictors	Estimates	CI	p
(Intercept)	18.32	17.03 – 19.61	<0.001
sex [M]	0.64	0.17 – 1.10	0.009
CORT [Y]	-0.61	-1.11 – -0.12	0.019
TH [Y]	-0.11	-0.48 - 0.26	0.569
hatching date	-0.01	-0.03 - 0.02	0.548
sex [M] * CORT [Y]	0.89	0.29 – 1.49	0.005
Random effects			
σ2	0.49		
т00 nestbox	0.11		
N nestbox	36		
Observations	98		
Marginal R2 / Conditional R2	0.398 / 0.509		

b.	Wing length juvenile				
Predictors	Estimates	CI	р		
(Intercept)	76.59	74.15 – 79.02	<0.001		
CORT [Y]	0.13	-0.63 - 0.90	0.731		
TH [Y]	-0.05	-0.82 – 0.73	0.904		
sex [M]	2.70	2.20 – 3.21	<0.001		
hatching date	-0.01	-0.06 - 0.03	0.508		
Random effects					
σ2	1.33				
τ00 nestbox	0.74				
N nestbox	36				
Observations	98				
Marginal R2 / Conditional R2	0.471 / 0.660				

С.	Body mass juvenile		
Predictors	Estimates	CI	p
(Intercept)	12.40	3.60 - 21.20	0.007
sex [M]	0.41	-0.16 – 0.98	0.161
CORT [Y]	-0.64	-1.13 – -0.14	0.015
TH [Y]	-0.11	-0.48 – 0.27	0.573
wing length juvenile	0.08	-0.04 – 0.19	0.186
hatching date	-0.01	-0.03 - 0.02	0.620
sex [M] * CORT [Y]	0.91	0.31 – 1.51	0.004
Random effects			
σ2	0.48		
т00 nestbox	0.11		
N nestbox	36		
Observations	98		
Marginal R2 / Conditional R2	0.407 / 0.520		