

Better airway management saves lives

Audrey de Jong, Samir Jaber

▶ To cite this version:

Audrey de Jong, Samir Jaber. Better airway management saves lives. European Journal of Anaesthesiology, 2022, 39 (5), pp.415-417. $10.1097/\mathrm{EJA}.0000000000001652$. hal-03649833

HAL Id: hal-03649833

https://hal.science/hal-03649833

Submitted on 23 Apr 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Better airway management saves lives

Audrey De Jong and Samir Jaber

In their recent article in the European Journal of Anaesthesiology, Russoto et al. aim to provide an update of the evidence on airway management in critically ill patients with a focus on understanding peri-intubation risks and evaluating interventions to prevent or mitigate adverse events.

This update is extremely important in order to improve airway management of critically ill patients across the world because intubation performed in critically ill patients is a very risky procedure.

From 1 October 2018 to 31 July 2019, Russoto et al.² performed an observational prospective study aiming to evaluate the incidence and nature of adverse peri-intubation events and to assess current practice of intubation in critically ill patients. The study was conducted in 197 sites in 29 countries across five continents, enrolling 2964 patients. They reported that 45.2% of patients experienced at least one severe complication related to intubation. The predominant event was cardiovascular instability, observed in 42.6% of all patients, followed by severe hypoxemia (9.3%) and cardiac arrest (3.1%). These results are similar to the results observed 15 years ago in the French multicentre study performed by Jaber et al.,3 which included 253 endotracheal intubations in 220 patients. The authors reported that at least one severe complication occurred in 71 endotracheal intubations (28%). Following these alarming results, as nicely highlighted by Russoto et al. in their review article, Jaber et al.4 developed an intubation protocol designed as a practical tool to help with planning and optimising the procedure of intubation. The Montpellier intubation protocol, 4 presented in Table 1, is composed of a list ofitems required, steps to be undertaken and points to be considered. This to be then used as an *aide-mémoire* and separated into pre-intubation, per-intubation and post-intubation episodes. The application of this protocol bundle has demonstrated its efficacy in improving safety in airway management. In the study presented by Jaber *et al.* in 2010, the use of the Montpellier intubation protocol in the intervention phase was associated with a significant decrease in complications compared with the control phase, either life threatening (21 vs. 34%, P = 0.03) or other (9 vs. 21%, P = 0.01), respectively.

An external validation of the Montpellier Intubation Protocol, using a modified version of the protocol, was then performed and published in 2018 by Corl et al.⁵ They found that a modified Montpellier protocol was associated with a significant increase in first-pass intubation success of 16.2 (95% Confidence Interval (CI), 5.1 to 30.0), and reduction in all intubation-related complications of 12.6% (95% CI, 1.2 to 23.6). Somewhat similar to these two studies, the recent STYLETO trial performed by Jaber et al.⁶ found that only 25% of patients had a severe complication, lower than the rate reported by Russoto et al.² The STYLETO trial⁶ was a multicentre, randomised trial performed in 999 critically ill adults undergoing tracheal intubation. The main outcome was that the use of a stylet for tracheal intubation resulted in significantly higher successful first-attempt intubations than the use of a tracheal tube alone. Also in the same study,⁶ the application of the Montpellier Intubation Protocol was highly recommended, which may explain the relatively low rate of complications observed in both groups (tracheal tube with stylet and tracheal tube alone), compared with the rate observed in the INTUBE study.²

It is worth noting that tracheal intubation seems the perfect situation for applying a bundle protocol for two main reasons: on the one hand, the high risk of complications *per se* and, on the other hand, the possible

From the Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Regional University Hospital of Montpellier, St-Eloi Hospital, University of Montpellier, Phymedexp, Montpellier, France

Correspondence to Audrey De Jong, MD, PhD, Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, 80 Avenue Augustin Fliehe, St-Eloi Hospital, 34090 Montpellier, France E-mail: audreydejong@hotmail.fr

Table 1 Update of the Montpellier Intubation protocol⁴

Pre-intubation

- 1. Two operators (i.e. four hands)
- 2. Fluid loading if no cardiogenic pulmonary oedema
- 3. Systematic early introduction of vasopressors
- 4. Preparation of long-term sedation
- 5. For pre-oxygenation, consider upright position (20° to 30° bed)
- 6. Pre-oxygenation during at least 3 min with noninvasive ventilation in case of hypoxemic acute respiratory failure (FiO₂100%, pressure support between 5 and 10 cm H₂O to obtain an expired tidal volume between 6 and 8 ml kg⁻¹ and a PEEP of 5 cmH₂O), associated with apnoeic oxygenation when available and high-risk of hypoxaemia (OPTINIV method¹⁰)

Per-intubation

- Use first videolaryngoscope for intubation procedure, if no videolaryngoscope available, consider direct Macintosh laryngoscopy with stylet⁶
- 8. Rapid sequence induction:
- Etomidate 0.2 to 0.3 mg kg $^{-1}$ or ketamine 1.5 to 3 mg kg $^{-1}$ or propofol 1.5 to 3 mg kg $^{-1}$
- Succinylcholine 1 mg kg⁻¹ (without contra-indications)
- Rocuronium: 1.2 mg kg⁻¹ i.v. if contra-indications to succinylcholine
- 9. Sellick manoeuvre
- 10. Ventilation in case of oxygenation desaturation < 90% or if elevated risk of oxygen desaturation higher than the risk of aspiration</p>

Postintubation

- 11. Capnography to check correct placement in trachea of the tube
- 12. Increase vasopressors especially if diastolic arterial pressure <35 mmHg or systolic arterial pressure <90 mmHg
- 13. Start early long-term sedation
- 14. Low airway pressure ventilation at the beginning: tidal volume 6 to 8 ml kg⁻¹, PEEP < 5 cmH₂O and respiratory rate between 10 and 20 min⁻¹, FiO₂ 100%, for a plateau pressure <30 cmH₂O (protective ventilation will be started after haemodynamic stabilisation)
- 15. Recruitment manoeuvre: PEEP of 30 to 40 cmH $_2$ O during 20 to 30 s, FiO $_2$ 100% (if no cardiovascular collapse and in nonhypovolaemic patient)
- 16. Cuff pressure of the tube between 25 and 30 cmH₂O without leaks

The recommendations are not mandatory, but they have to be 'considered', according to some randomised controlled trials, some observational studies and expert opinions.

prevention of these complications. As stated in the article from Russoto et al., the combination of limited physiological reserve in critically ill patients and the potential for difficult mask ventilation and/or difficult intubation⁷ mandates careful planning and a good working knowledge of alternative tools and strategies, particularly when conventional attempts at securing the airway fail. In this setting, a few years ago, we reported the first large prospective study of a multicentre cohort of cardiac arrests occurring during ICU intubation procedures.8 As expected, intubation-related cardiac arrest was not a rare event. It was recorded in 49 of 1847 intubation procedures (2.7%), was associated with a high immediate and 28-day mortality rate (28.5 and 71.4%, respectively), and was determined to be an independent risk factor for 28-day mortality; hazard ratio = 3.5 (95% CI, 2.2 to 5.6), P < 0.0001. In order to predict intubation related cardiac arrests, five independent risk factors were identified, with three potentially modifiable high-risk factors that may respond to preventive approaches; hypoxaemia prior to intubation, haemodynamic failure prior to intubation and absence of pre-oxygenation.

Emphasising prevention, Russoto et al.¹ provided a very interesting review of potential preventable risk factors

concerning intubation-related complications. To prevent severe hypoxaemia, pre-oxygenation is mandatory. Noninvasive ventilation (NIV)⁹ for pre-oxygenation has been evaluated in critically ill patients. In a randomised controlled trial including hypoxaemic patients,9 the incidence of severe hypoxaemia, that is a peripheral saturation (SpO₂) in oxygen of below 80% within 30 min after intubation was 7% in the NIV group, compared with 42% in the oxygen group. In the same population of hypoxaemic patients, NIV and high-flow nasal oxygen (HFNO) can be combined¹⁰ to limit the risk of hypoxia during intubation attempts. This OPTINIV study¹⁰ showed an increase of the lower oxygen saturation during the intubation procedure using the OPTINIV method for pre-oxygenation, combining NIV and HFNO for apnoeic oxygenation in severe hypoxaemic patients. To prevent severe collapse, fluid loading and early introduction of vasopressors may decrease the occurrence of haemodynamic complications, 4,11 intubation-related even if the level of proof was low, which is also highlighted in this article by Russoto et al. Large sample size, randomised controlled trials are needed to demonstrate the efficacy of fluid loading and introduction of vasopressors before the intubation procedure to reduce severe cardiovascular collapse, and to individualise patient management.

Finally, Russoto *et al.*¹ highlighted the importance of cognitive tools to enhance airway management safety. The use of a specific, dedicated ICU intubation algorithm¹² for predicted or unpredicted difficult intubations is also of major importance. The systematic use of equipment for difficult intubation, such as stylets⁶ or videolaryngoscopes,¹³ may help to reduce the complications related to intubation. The literature regarding this is accurately described by Russoto *et al.*¹ Following the COVID-19 pandemic, the use of videolaryngoscopes has gained increasing prominence when used to improve the first attempt success and also to protect caregivers against contamination by SARS-Cov-2.^{13,14}

In conclusion, with the aim of decreasing intubationrelated complications in critically ill patients, Russoto et al. ¹ provide a very nice literature review. It would be of great interest if a large multicentre, clustered-randomised international study, involving 'expert and 'nonexpert' centres using an intubation bundle, were to be performed to improve the safety of the intubation procedure and save lives of critically ill patients.

Acknowledgements relating to this article

Assistance with the Editorial: none.

Financial support and sponsorship: none.

Conflicts of interest: Dr. Jaber reports receiving consulting fees from Dräger, Medtronic, Baxter, Fresenius-Xenios and Fisher & Paykel.

Dr. De Jong reports receiving consulting fees from Dräger and Medtronic.

Comment from the Editor: this article was checked and accepted by the Editors, but was not sent for external peer-review.

References

- 1 Russoto V, Rahmani LS, Parotto M, et al. Tracheal intubation in the critically ill patient. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2022; 39:463-472.
- 2 Russotto V, Myatra SN, Laffey JG, et al. Intubation practices and adverse peri-intubation events in critically ill patients from 29 countries. JAMA 2021: 325:1164-1172.
- 3 Jaber S, Amraoui J, Lefrant J-Y, et al. Clinical practice and risk factors for immediate complications ofendotracheal intubation in the intensive care unit: a prospective, multiple-center study. Crit Care Med 2006; 34:2355-2361.
- 4 Jaber S, Jung B, Corne P, et al. An intervention to decrease complications related to endotracheal intubation in the intensive care unit: a prospective, multiple-center study. *Intensive Care Med* 2010; 36:248-255.
- 5 Corl KA, Dado C, Agarwal A, et al. A modified Montpellier protocol for intubating intensive care unit patients is associated with an increase in firstpass intubation success and fewer complications. J Crit Care 2018; 44:191–195.
- 6 Jaber S, Rollé A, Godet T, et al. Effect of the use of an endotracheal tube and stylet versus an endotracheal tube alone on first-attempt intubation success: a multicentre, randomised clinical trial in 999 patients. Intensive Care Med 2021; 47:653-664.

- 7 De Jong A, Molinari N, Terzi N, et al. Early identification of patients at risk for difficult intubation in ICU: development and validation of the MACOCHA Score in a multicenter cohort study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2013; 187:832-839.
- 8 De Jong A, Rolle A, Molinari N, et al. Cardiac arrest and mortality related to intubation procedure in critically ill adult patients: a multicenter cohort study. Crit Care Med 2018; 46:532–539.
- 9 Baillard C, Fosse JP, Sebbane M, et al. Noninvasive ventilation improves preoxygenation before intubation ofhypoxic patients. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2006; 174:171–177.
- Jaber S, Monnin M, Girard M, et al. Apnoeic oxygenation via high-flow nasal cannula oxygen combined with noninvasive ventilation preoxygenation for intubation in hypoxaemic patients in the intensive care unit: the singlecentre, blinded, randomised controlled OPTINIV trial. Intensive Care Med 2016; 42:1877-1887.
- 11 Perbet S, De Jong A, Delmas J, et al. Incidence of and risk factors for severe cardiovascular collapse after endotracheal intubation in the ICU: a multicenter observational study. Crit Care 2015; 19:257
- 12 De Jong A, Jung B, Jaber S. Intubation in the ICU: we could improve our practice. Crit Care 2014; 18:209.
- 13 Jaber S, De Jong A, Pelosi P, et al. Videolaryngoscopy in critically ill patients. Crit Care 2019; 23:221.
- 14 DeJong A, Pardo E, Rolle A, et al. Airway management for COVID-19: a move towards universal videolaryngoscope? Lancet Respir Med 2020; 8:555