

# CLASSIFICATION OF LOG SMOOTH TORIC DEL PEZZO PAIRS

Achim Napame

### ► To cite this version:

Achim Napame. CLASSIFICATION OF LOG SMOOTH TORIC DEL PEZZO PAIRS. 2023. halo3644097v2

## HAL Id: hal-03644097 https://hal.science/hal-03644097v2

Preprint submitted on 27 Jul 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### CLASSIFICATION OF LOG SMOOTH TORIC DEL PEZZO PAIRS

#### ACHIM NAPAME

ABSTRACT. We give a description of all log-Fano pairs (X, D) where X is a smooth toric surface and D a reduced simple normal crossing divisor such that D is a torus invariant divisor.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The Enriques–Kodaira classification gives a classification of complex compact surfaces using their Kodaira dimension. Nonsingular projective minimal surfaces with Kodaira dimension  $-\infty$  have an important position in this problem of classification, they correspond in the MMP-terminology to *Mori's fiber spaces* [5, Theorem 1.5.5]. In this paper, we are interested by log smooth toric del Pezzo pairs.

A *n*-dimensional *toric variety* is an irreducible variety X containing a torus  $T \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  as a Zariski open subset such that the action of T on itself extends to an algebraic action of T on X. Given a simple normal crossing divisor D on X, we say that (X, D) is a log smooth toric del Pezzo pair if X is a smooth toric surface and D a torus-invariant divisor such that  $-(K_X + D)$  is ample.

Maeda [4] gives a classification of logarithmic Del Pezzo surfaces using Fujita's classification theorem of polarized varieties of  $\Delta$ -genera zero [2]. In this paper, we give a proof of this classification on toric surfaces using their fans or polytopes. We denote by  $\mathbb{F}_r$  the Hirzebruch suface  $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(r))$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Let X be a smooth complete toric surface and D a reduced torusinvariant divisor on X. Then, the pair (X, D) is log Del Pezzo if:

- (1)  $X = \mathbb{P}^2$  and D = D' where D' is a line;
- (2)  $X = \mathbb{P}^2$  and D = D' + D'' where D' and D'' are two lines;
- (3)  $X = \mathbb{F}_r$  and D = D' where D' is a section with  $(D')^2 = -r$ ;
- (4)  $X = \mathbb{F}_r$  and D = D' + D'' where D' is a section with  $(D')^2 = -r$  and D'' is a fiber;
- (5)  $X = \mathbb{F}_1$  and D = D' where D' is a section such that  $(D')^2 = 1$ ;
- (6)  $X = \mathbb{F}_0$  and D = D'' where D'' is a fiber.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we gives some properties of polarized toric surfaces and their polytopes and in Section 3, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Hendrik SÜSS for showing me Maeda's paper [4].

#### 2. Ample divisors on toric surfaces

2.1. Toric varieties. Let N be a rank n lattice and  $M = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \mathbb{Z})$  be its dual with pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : M \times N \to \mathbb{Z}$ . Then N is the *lattice of one-parameter subgroups* of the n-dimensional complex torus  $T_N := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}^* = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{C}^*)$ . We call M the *lattice of characters* of  $T_N$ . For  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , we define  $N_{\mathbb{K}} = N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{K}$  and  $M_{\mathbb{K}} = M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{K}$ . A fan  $\Sigma$  in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a set of rational strongly convex polyhedral cones in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that:

#### A. NAPAME

- Each face of a cone in  $\Sigma$  is also a cone in  $\Sigma$ ;
- The intersection of two cones in  $\Sigma$  is a face of each.

A cone  $\sigma$  in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  is *smooth* if its minimal generators form part of a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of N. A fan  $\Sigma$  is *smooth* if every cone  $\sigma$  in  $\Sigma$  is smooth. The *support* of  $\Sigma$  is given by  $|\Sigma| := \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \sigma$  and we say that  $\Sigma$  is *complete* if  $|\Sigma| = N_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

**Notation 2.1.** For a finite subset  $S \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$ , we denote by Cone(S) the cone generated by S. For a fan  $\Sigma$ , we denote by  $\Sigma(r)$  the set of r-dimensional cones of  $\Sigma$  and by  $u_{\rho} \in N$  the minimal generator of the ray  $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ .

Let X be the toric variety associated to a fan  $\Sigma$  in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  with torus  $T = N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}^*$ [1, Chapter 3]. The variety X is obtained by gluing affine charts  $(U_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$  where  $U_{\sigma} = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[S_{\sigma}])$  with  $\mathbb{C}[S_{\sigma}]$  the semi-group algebra of

$$S_{\sigma} = \sigma^{\vee} \cap M = \{ m \in M : \langle m, u \rangle \ge 0 \text{ for all } u \in \sigma \}.$$

We denote by  $O(\sigma)$  the torus-orbit of X associated to  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ . By the Orbitcone-correspondence [1, Theorem 3.2.6], there is a bijective correspondence between cones of  $\Sigma$  and torus-orbits of X. Moreover, for any  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , dim  $O(\sigma) = \dim(X) - \dim(\sigma)$ . Therefore, for any ray  $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ , there is a Weil divisor  $D_{\rho}$  defined as the Zariski closure of the orbit  $O(\rho)$ . As divisors of the form  $\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} a_{\rho} D_{\rho}$  are precisely the invariant divisors under the torus action on X, we deduce that

$$\operatorname{WDiv}_T(X) := \bigoplus_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} \mathbb{Z}D_{\rho}$$

is the group of invariant Weil divisors on X. In particular,

**Theorem 2.2** ([1, Theorem 8.2.3]). The canonical divisor of a toric variety X is the torus invariant Weil divisor

$$K_X = -\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} D_{\rho}.$$

We say that X is *smooth* (resp. *complete*) if and only if  $\Sigma$  is smooth (resp. complete). If X is complete, according to [1, Theorem 4.1.3], we have

(1) 
$$|\Sigma(1)| = \dim(X_{\Sigma}) + \operatorname{rk} \operatorname{Cl}(X_{\Sigma}) .$$

2.2. Complete toric surfaces. We assume that  $N = M = \mathbb{Z}^2$  and the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : M \times N \to \mathbb{Z}$  is given by

$$\langle m, u \rangle = a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2$$

for  $m = (a_1, a_2) \in M$  and  $u = (b_1, b_2) \in N$ . We denote by  $(e_1, e_2)$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . A vector  $u \in N$  is *primitive* if for all k > 1,  $\frac{1}{k}u \notin N$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be a smooth complete fan in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and X the toric surface associated to  $\Sigma$ . There is a family of primitive vectors  $\{u_i \in N : 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$  with  $n \geq 3$  such that

•  $\Sigma = \{0\} \cup \{\operatorname{Cone}(u_i) : 0 \le i \le n-1\} \cup \{\operatorname{Cone}(u_i, u_{i+1}) : 0 \le i \le n-1\}$ •  $\det(u_i, u_{i+1}) = 1$ 

where  $u_n = u_0$ . For any  $i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ , we denote by  $D_i$  the divisor corresponding to the ray  $\text{Cone}(u_i)$  and we set  $\gamma_i = \det(u_{i-1}, u_{i+1})$ . By [1, Proposition 6.4.4],

(2)  $\begin{cases} D_i \cdot D_i = -\gamma_i \\ D_k \cdot D_i = 1 & \text{if } k \in \{i - 1, i + 1\} \\ D_k \cdot D_i = 0 & \text{if } k \notin \{i - 1, i, i + 1\} \end{cases}.$ 

Let  $L = \sum_i a_i D_i$  be a Cartier divisor on X. By the toric Kleiman Criterion (cf. [1, Theorem 6.3.13]), L is ample if and only if for any  $i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ ,

(3) 
$$L \cdot D_i = a_{i+1} + a_{i-1} - \gamma_i a_i > 0.$$

The polytope corresponding to L is given by

(4) 
$$P = \{ m \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : \langle m, u_i \rangle \ge -a_i \text{ for } i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \}$$

and the facet of P with inward-pointing normal  $u_i$  is given by

(5) 
$$P_i = \{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : \langle m, u_i \rangle = -a_i\} \cap P$$

We recall that a lattice M defines a measure  $\nu$  on  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$  as the pull-back of the Haar measure on  $M_{\mathbb{R}}/M$ . The measure  $\nu$  is translation invariant and satisfies  $\nu(M_{\mathbb{R}}/M) =$ 1. Let  $vol(P_i)$  be the volume of  $P_i$  with respect to the measure determined by  $M \cap \{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : \langle m, u_i \rangle = -a_i\}$  in its affine span.

**Proposition 2.3.** If for all  $i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ ,  $P_i \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$\operatorname{vol}(P_i) = |a_{i+1} + a_{i-1} - \gamma_i a_i|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $m_i \in M$  such that  $\langle m_i, u_i \rangle = -a_i$  and  $\langle m_i, u_{i+1} \rangle = -a_{i+1}$ . By (5),  $P_i$  is the edge having  $m_{i-1}$  and  $m_i$  for extremities. Therefore,  $\operatorname{vol}(P_i) = \operatorname{card}(P_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^2) - 1$ . We first show that, for any  $y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ ,

card 
$$(\{ty: t \in [0; 1]\} \cap \mathbb{Z}^2) - 1 = \gcd(|y_1|, |y_2|).$$

Let  $A = \{ty : t \in [0, 1]\} \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ . If  $y_1 = 0$ , then  $card(A) = y_2 + 1$  and when  $y_2 = 0$ ,  $\operatorname{card}(A) = y_1 + 1$ . For the case  $y_1 \neq 0$  and  $y_2 \neq 0$ , we can reduce the study to the case where  $y_1 > 0$  and  $y_2 > 0$ . If  $gcd(y_1, y_2) = \ell$ , then for any  $t \in [0; 1], ty \in A$  if and only if  $t \in \{k/\ell : k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \ell\}\}$ . Therefore,  $\operatorname{card}(A) = \ell + 1$ .

We write  $u_i = \alpha_i e_1 + \beta_i e_2$  with  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The equations  $\langle m_i, u_i \rangle = -a_i$  and  $\langle m_i, u_{i+1} \rangle = -a_{i+1}$  give

$$m_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_{i+1} \beta_i - a_i \beta_{i+1} \\ -a_{i+1} \alpha_i + a_i \alpha_{i+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence,

$$\overrightarrow{m_{i-1} m_i} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_i (a_{i+1} + a_{i-1}) - a_i (\beta_{i+1} + \beta_{i-1}) \\ -\alpha_i (a_{i+1} + a_{i-1}) + a_i (\alpha_{i-1} + \alpha_{i+1}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

As  $u_{i-1} - \gamma_i u_i + u_{i+1} = 0$ , we get

$$\overrightarrow{m_{i-1} m_i} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_i (a_{i+1} + a_{i-1}) - a_i \gamma_i \beta_i \\ -\alpha_i (a_{i+1} + a_{i-1}) + a_i \gamma_i \alpha_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$vol(P_i) = gcd(|\beta_i(a_{i+1} + a_{i-1} - a_i \gamma_i)|, |\alpha_i(a_{i+1} + a_{i-1} - a_i \gamma_i)|)$$
  
=  $|a_{i+1} + a_{i-1} - a_i \gamma_i| gcd(|\beta_i|, |\alpha_i|)$ 

As  $u_i$  is a primitive vector, we get  $gcd(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = 1$  and the desired formula.  $\square$ 

*Remark* 2.4. If P is the polytope corresponding to the polarized toric surface (X, L), then  $L \cdot D_i = \operatorname{vol}(P_i)$ .

#### 3. Smooth toric log del Pezzo pairs

We use the notations of the previous section. We describe here all log smooth toric del Pezzo pairs. Let X be a toric surface associated to a fan  $\Sigma$ . By Equation (1), we have  $\operatorname{card}(\Sigma(1)) = 2 + \operatorname{rk}(\operatorname{Pic}(X))$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Let X be a complete smooth toric surface with Picard rank p and D a reduced invariant divisor of X defined by  $D = \sum_{i \in \Delta} D_i$  where  $\Delta \subseteq \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ .

- (1) If  $\operatorname{card}(\Delta) \geq 3$ , then  $-(K_X + D)$  is not ample.
- (2) If  $p \ge 3$  and  $\operatorname{card}(\Delta) \in \{1, 2\}$ , then  $-(K_X + D)$  is not ample.






FIGURE 1. Geometry of the fan

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta' = \{0, \ldots, n-1\} \setminus \Delta$ . By Theorem 2.2, we have

$$-(K_X + D) = \sum_{i \in \Delta'} D_i.$$

**First point.** Let P be the polytope corresponding to  $-(K_X + D)$ . By (5),  $0 \in P_i$  for all  $i \in \Delta$ . Therefore, there is  $i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$  such that  $vol(P_i) = 0$ . Hence,  $-(K_X + D)$  is not ample.

**Second point.** For the proof of this point, we will use the geometry of the fan. Let  $A = \{-\alpha u_1 + \beta u_2 : \alpha, \beta \ge 0\}$ ,  $B = \{-\alpha u_1 - \beta u_2 : \alpha, \beta \ge 0\}$  and  $C = \{\alpha u_1 - \beta u_2 : \alpha, \beta \ge 0\}$  pictured in Figure 1.

We start with the case card  $\Delta = 1$ . We assume that  $D = D_1$ . We have  $-(K_X + D) \cdot D_0 = 1 - \gamma_0$  and  $-(K_X + D) \cdot D_2 = 1 - \gamma_2$ . If  $-(K_X + D)$  is ample, then  $\gamma_0 \leq 0$  and  $\gamma_2 \leq 0$ . As  $\gamma_2 = \det(u_1, u_3)$  and  $\gamma_0 = \det(u_{n-1}, u_1)$ , we deduce that  $u_3 \in B$  and  $u_{n-1} \in A$ . When  $n \geq 5$ , this is in contradiction with the fact that if  $u_3 \in B$ , then  $u_{n-1}$  is in B or C. Thus, we deduce that  $-(K_X + D)$  is not ample.

We now assume that  $\operatorname{card}(\Delta) = 2$ . After renumbering the indices, we can assume that  $D = D_1 + D_j$  with  $j \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$ . We first assume that  $j \in \{3, \ldots, n-1\}$ . Let P be the polytope of  $-(K_X + D)$ . As  $0 \in P_1$  and  $0 \in P_j$ , we deduce that 0 is a vertex of P. Hence, for any  $k \in \{2, \ldots, j-1\}$ ,  $\operatorname{vol}(P_k) = 0$ . By (3), we deduce that  $-(K_X + D)$  is not ample.

We now assume that  $D = D_1 + D_2$ . We have  $-(K_X + D) \cdot D_3 = 1 - \gamma_3$  and  $-(K_X + D) \cdot D_0 = 1 - \gamma_0$ . If  $-(K_X + D)$  is ample, then  $\gamma_3 \leq 0$  and  $\gamma_0 \leq 0$ . As  $\gamma_3 = \det(u_2, u_4)$  and  $\gamma_0 = \det(u_{n-1}, u_1)$ , we deduce that  $u_4 \in C$  and  $u_{n-1} \in A$ . If  $n \geq 6$ , this situation contradicts the positioning order of vectors  $u_i$ . If n = 5, we have  $u_4 \in A$  and  $u_4 \in C$ , this is not possible. Therefore, we deduce that  $-(K_X + D)$  is not ample.

If  $\Delta \neq \emptyset$ , according to Lemma 3.1, it is enough to study the positivity of  $-(K_X + D)$  when  $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(X) \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $\operatorname{card}(\Delta) \in \{1, 2\}$ . Note that the only smooth projective toric surface with Picard number one is the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . The rays of the fan of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  are the half-lines generated by  $u_1 = e_1, u_2 = e_2$  and  $u_0 = -(e_1 + e_2)$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** If  $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ , then the log smooth pair (X, D) is toric log del Pezzo if and only if  $D \in \{D_0, D_1, D_2\} \cup \{D_0 + D_1, D_0 + D_2, D_1 + D_2\}.$ 

*Proof.* We have the linear equivalence  $D_1 \sim_{\text{lin}} D_0$  and  $D_2 \sim_{\text{lin}} D_0$ . By Theorem 2.2, we have  $K_X = -(D_0 + D_1 + D_2)$ , i.e.  $-K_X \sim_{\text{lin}} 3D_0$ . As  $D_0$  is ample, we deduce that  $-(K_X + D)$  is not ample if and only if  $D = D_1 + D_2 + D_3$ .

By [3, Theorem 1], every smooth toric surfaces of Picard rank two if of the  $\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(r))$  with  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(r))$ . The rays of the fan of X

are the half lines generated by the vectors  $u_1 = e_1$ ,  $u_2 = e_2$ ,  $u_3 = -e_1 + r e_2$  and  $u_0 = -e_2$ . The numbers  $\gamma_i$  are given by  $\gamma_0 = -r$ ,  $\gamma_1 = 0$ ,  $\gamma_2 = r$  and  $\gamma_3 = 0$ . By (3), the divisor  $L = a_0 D_0 + a_1 D_1 + a_2 D_2 + a_3 D_3$  is ample if and only if

$$a_0 + a_2 > 0$$
,  $a_1 + a_3 > r a_2$ ,  $a_1 + a_3 > -r a_0$ 

if and only if

(6) 
$$a_0 + a_2 > 0$$
 and  $a_1 + a_3 > r a_2$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(r))$  with  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then :

- (1)  $-K_X$  or  $-(K_X + D_0)$  are ample if and only if  $r \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- (2) If  $D \in \{D_1, D_3, D_0 + D_1, D_0 + D_3\}$ ,  $-(K_X + D)$  is ample if and only if r = 0.
- (3) If  $D \in \{D_2, D_2 + D_1, D_2 + D_3\}, -(K_X + D)$  is ample for any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (4) If  $D \in \{D_0 + D_2, D_1 + D_3\}, -(K_X + D)$  is not ample for any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* As  $-K_X = D_0 + D_1 + D_2 + D_3$  and  $D_1 \sim_{\text{lin}} D_3$ ,  $D_2 \sim_{\text{lin}} D_0 - r D_3$ , we get the following linear equivalence of divisors:

$$\begin{array}{ll} -K_X \sim_{\text{lin}} 2D_0 + (2-r)D_3 & -(K_X + D_0 + D_2) \sim_{\text{lin}} 2D_3 \\ -(K_X + D_0) \sim_{\text{lin}} D_0 + (2-r)D_3 & -(K_X + D_0 + D_3) \sim_{\text{lin}} D_0 + (1-r)D_3 \\ -(K_X + D_2) \sim_{\text{lin}} D_0 + 2D_3 & -(K_X + D_2 + D_3) \sim_{\text{lin}} D_0 + D_3 \\ -(K_X + D_3) \sim_{\text{lin}} 2D_0 + (1-r)D_3 & -(K_X + D_1 + D_3) \sim_{\text{lin}} 2D_0 - rD_3 \end{array}$$

If  $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ , the condition (6) becomes  $a_0 > 0$  and  $a_3 > 0$ . This allows us to conclude.

*Remark* 3.4. Propositions 3.2 and 3.3 give Theorem 1.1.

#### References

- David Cox, John Little, and Hal Schenck, *Toric Varieties*, Graduate studies in mathematics, American Mathematical Soc., 2011. 2
- [2] Takao Fujita, On the structure of polarized varieties with Δ-genera zero, Journal of the Faculty of Science, the University of Tokyo. Sect. 1 A, Mathematics 22 (1975), 103–115. 1
- [3] Peter Kleinschmidt, A classification of toric varieties with few generators, Aequationes Mathematicae 35 (1988), 254–266. 4
- [4] Hironobu Maeda, Classification of logarithmic Fano threefolds, Compositio Mathematica 57 (1986), no. 1, 81–125.
- [5]Kenji Matsuki, Introduction to the Mori Program, Universitext, Springer New York, 2002.1

UNIV BREST, UMR CNRS 6205, Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Bretagne Atlantique, France

Email address: achim.napame@univ-brest.fr