



**HAL**  
open science

## Element-selective analysis of ultrafast demagnetization in Co/Pt multilayers exhibiting large perpendicular magnetic anisotropy

M. Hennes, G. Lambert, V. Chardonnet, R. Delaunay, G. Chiuzbăian, E. Jal,  
B. Vodungbo

► **To cite this version:**

M. Hennes, G. Lambert, V. Chardonnet, R. Delaunay, G. Chiuzbăian, et al.. Element-selective analysis of ultrafast demagnetization in Co/Pt multilayers exhibiting large perpendicular magnetic anisotropy. Applied Physics Letters, 2022, 120 (7), pp.072408. 10.1063/5.0080275 . hal-03639146

**HAL Id: hal-03639146**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03639146v1>**

Submitted on 18 Oct 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Element-Selective Analysis of Ultrafast Demagnetization in Co/Pt Multilayers Exhibiting Large Perpendicular Magnetic Anisotropy

M. Hennes,<sup>1, a)</sup> G. Lambert,<sup>2</sup> V. Chardonnet,<sup>1</sup> R. Delaunay,<sup>1</sup> G. S. Chiuzbăian,<sup>1</sup> E. Jal,<sup>1</sup> and B. Vodungbo<sup>1, a)</sup>  
<sup>1</sup>*Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratoire de Chimie Physique—Matière et Rayonnement, LCPMR, 75005 Paris, France<sup>b)</sup>*

<sup>2</sup>*Laboratoire d'Optique Appliquée, ENSTA ParisTech, CNRS, École Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 828 Bd des Maréchaux, 91702 Palaiseau, France*

(Dated: 8 February 2022)

We use time-resolved resonant magnetic scattering experiments to investigate the laser induced demagnetization of Co/Pt multilayers with large perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA). Our pump-probe approach, which relies on extreme ultraviolet (XUV) pulses obtained via high-harmonic generation (HHG), allows to monitor the temporal evolution of the system in an element-selective fashion and thus to disentangle the contributions from permanent and proximity-induced magnetic moments. By analyzing the data gathered at the Co  $M_{2,3}$  and Pt  $O_3$  edges, we are able to show that, after femtosecond laser irradiation, both components display a very similar loss of magnetic order, with almost identical demagnetization amplitudes and characteristic times, but a small delay (10-20 fs) of the Pt magnetization quenching with respect to Co. Comparing our experiments with literature results therefore suggests that in these 3d-5d multilayers and alloys, the different constituents remain strongly coupled after optical excitation, no matter whether the thin films possess a preferred in-plane or out-of-plane easy magnetization direction.

Multilayer thin films and alloys combining 3d and 4d or 5d metals such as Co/Pt, Fe/Pt or Co/Pd have been analyzed for decades because of their remarkable magnetic properties, in particular, their capacity to display a large perpendicular anisotropy<sup>1-4</sup>. Recently, these material systems have generated great interest in the field of ultrafast magnetism: They turned out to be well-suited tools to study the flow of ultrafast spin and charge currents<sup>5-8</sup>, to analyze magnetic domain modifications following femtosecond laser irradiation<sup>9,10</sup>, or all-optical switching phenomena<sup>11-13</sup> that might pave the way for the development of future spintronic and data storage applications.

Surprisingly, the sublattice-specific magnetization dynamics in these systems are still incompletely understood. While the appearance of proximity-induced magnetic moments in bulk paramagnetic 4d and 5d components is well-established<sup>14-16</sup>, it is not clear how the ferromagnetic and the induced moments behave when the system is optically excited on ultrashort timescales. In fact, conflicting results have been published in recent years, raising questions about the impact of the sample properties on the ultrafast magnetic response. Willems *et al.*, performed magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) experiments on thin magnetic Pt/Co/Pt sandwiches in the extreme ultraviolet (XUV) spectral range (at the  $M_{2,3}$  edges of Co and  $O$  edges of Pt) and concluded that Co and Pt demagnetize simultaneously on femtosecond time scales<sup>17</sup>. In a similar study Hofher *et al.* scrutinized randomly alloyed Fe-Pt thin films using transverse magneto-optical Kerr effect (MOKE) measurements based on high harmonic generation and observed an identical normalized transient de- and remagnetization for Fe and Pt<sup>18</sup>. Vaskivskiy *et al.* employed




FIG. 1. Co/Pt thin film structure and stripe domain formation. a) Schematic of the multilayers employed in the present study. b) Magnetic force microscopy (MFM) imaging (phase signal) demonstrates the formation of nanometer-sized magnetic domains.

the same technique to analyze chemically disordered Co-Pt films: the higher accuracy of their measurements allowed to unravel slight differences in the demagnetization amplitudes and rates, but overall, the sublattice-specific magnetization dynamics were found to be very similar<sup>19</sup>.

In contrast to these findings – all of them obtained on samples displaying a characteristic in-plane magnetization – experiments performed on thin films with pronounced out-of-plane anisotropy hint at very different dynamics in the two magnetic sub-systems. In a time-resolved MCD study performed using hard x-ray pulses at the Pt  $L$  edge, Yamamoto *et al.* observed a slow demagnetization of the Pt moment in  $L1_0$ -ordered Fe-Pt thin films. With the complementary use of tr-MOKE measurements, they demonstrated that this decay time differed by more than a factor of six, when compared to the overall, Fe-dominated magnetization<sup>20</sup>. In a follow-up study based on polar X-MOKE measurements, similar results were obtained on Co/Pt multilayers. Again, Co was found to demagnetize much faster<sup>21</sup>.

Taken together, these experiments suggest that the mag-

<sup>a)</sup>Electronic mail: Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed: marcel.hennes@sorbonne-universite.fr and boris.vodungbo@sorbonne-universite.fr

<sup>b)</sup>M. Hennes currently at: Sorbonne Université, CNRS-UMR 7588, Institut des NanoSciences de Paris, INSP, 75005 Paris, France




FIG. 2. Pump-probe time-resolved XUV resonant magnetic scattering setup. a) Details of the experiment. M: flat mirror, TM: toroidal mirror, SM: spherical mirror, I: iris, L: lens, WP:  $\lambda/2$  wave plate, BS: beam stop, F: Al filter, G: grating. The pressure in the HHG chamber (light blue) is kept below  $p < 10^{-4}$  mbar. b) Spectrometer CCD snapshot.

netic anisotropy could have an impact on the demagnetization processes. However, it must also be emphasized that the aforementioned studies did not all rely on the use of the same probing wavelengths. To what extent this can affect the apparent ultrafast response of the system remains to be fully elucidated<sup>22,23</sup>. In the present letter, we therefore disentangle these effects by studying the laser-induced demagnetization of Co and Pt layers at the  $M_{2,3}$  and  $O_3$  edges, using samples with strong PMA. Our results clearly demonstrate that both elements display an almost identical de- and remagnetization behavior. This underpins that the specific anisotropy of the system, i.e., out-of-plane (OP) or in-plane (IP), has only a minor influence on the ultrafast magnetization dynamics.

All samples analyzed in the present study consist of magnetic multilayers with nominal composition  $[\text{Co}(0.6 \text{ nm})/\text{Pt}(0.8 \text{ nm})]_{20}$ . The films were sputter-deposited on Si substrates, using a 3 nm thin Ta adhesion layer, as well as a 5 nm Al capping to prevent oxidation. The nominal structure of the films is depicted in Fig. 1a. As demonstrated in earlier work, such thin films display a large OP anisotropy, which results in the creation of nanometer-sized domains that reduce the overall magnetic free energy of the system. The PMA was checked using static MOKE measurements (Supplementary Material S1) while the presence of domains was evidenced using magnetic force microscopy (Park NX20). As shown in Fig. 1b, the domains can be aligned using an appropriate in-plane demagnetization procedure. A characteristic stripe periodicity  $w = 138 \pm 3 \text{ nm}$  was extracted from the phase signal, and found to be in good agreement with other studies employing similar multilayers<sup>24</sup>.

To unravel the demagnetization of the thin films following ultrafast optical excitation, we set up a pump-probe XUV magnetic scattering experiment ("salle corail" at the Laboratoire d'Optique Appliquée) based on the use of a 5 kHz infrared laser system (Coherent laser Elite duo) with wavelength  $\lambda \simeq 800 \text{ nm}$ , typical pulse duration of about 30 fs and energy  $E = 2.5 \text{ mJ}$ . As shown in Fig. 2, a beam splitter was used to

separate the incoming beam into pump and probe pulses possessing 10% (reflectivity) and 90% (transmission) of the initial energy, respectively. The probe beam is then p-polarized with the help of a  $\lambda/2$  waveplate, and focused into a 3 cm long, cylindrical gas cell filled with neon (or argon) for HHG. Note that by carefully adjusting the source parameters, such as the focal position in the gas cell, the iris aperture, the laser chirp, and the gas pressure, it is possible to optimize the generation efficiency in the targeted spectral range for our magnetic spectroscopy studies<sup>25</sup>. The generated probe beam is reflected by a combination of three flat  $\text{SiO}_2$  mirrors placed in a grazing incidence geometry and a gold-covered toroidal mirror used to focus the XUV radiation onto the sample (located at a distance of 1.5 m). A 150-nm-thick aluminum filter can be placed into the beam path to remove the residual IR radiation. The magnetic thin films are mounted on a motorized sample holder which allows to remove the magnetic multilayers from the beam axis. In that configuration, the HHG spectrum can be analyzed thanks to a precisely calibrated in-line spectrometer, composed of a second aluminum filter, an iris, a gold mesh (1000 lines per mm grating), and a grazing incidence spherical mirror that focuses the resulting XUV pulses onto a CCD camera (Princeton PI-MTE). Moving the sample into the beam path allows to record magnetic diffraction patterns on a second CCD camera (Princeton Pixis).

To study the magnetic dynamics of our sample, a motorized optical delay line was installed in the pump beam path and supplemented by different optical and mechanical components: a telescope to reduce the size of the IR pump beam by a factor of 5, an iris adjusted to 2-3 mm aperture and a lens ( $f = 1 \text{ m}$ ). This allowed to obtain a homogeneous irradiation on the sample and a pump spot sufficiently large to exceed the size of the HHG probe beam. Note that the intensity of the excitation pulses was adjusted with the help of a half wave plate placed before a set of two flat mirrors, positioned such as to favor the reflection of the s-polarization component.

While a series of static and dynamic resonant magnetic




FIG. 3. Resonant magnetic scattering in reflection geometry. a) Exemplary scattering intensity recorded on the CCD ( $8 \times 8$  binning). The edge of the beam-stop (BS) is highlighted by the gray dashed line. Moving the BS allows determining the position of the specular reflection (red dot) and to calculate  $X$ . Scale bar: 2 mm. b) Schematic of the scattering geometry used in the present study,  $\vec{k}_i$ : wave vector of the incoming HHG pulse,  $\vec{k}_o$ : wave vector of the reflected HHG pulse,  $\vec{k}_s$ : wave vector of the diffracted beam. BS: beam stop. c) HHG generation in Ne: intensities and calculated maxima positions (top). Note that the broad peaks (and mutual overlapping) observed here result from a rather poor spectrometer resolution, and do not reflect the true harmonics widths. Exemplary CCD intensity integrated along  $z$  after pixel to energy conversion. Blue filling: Voigt curve fits (middle). Extracted averaged Voigt amplitudes (blue dots) obtained by analyzing different samples and angles  $\theta$ . Comparison with literature data (dashed gray)<sup>24</sup>. The Al filter strongly reduces the signal above 72.55 eV (red filling).

scattering studies have been presented in the last years, most of them were based on the analysis of the HHG intensities transmitted through the sample<sup>9,10,24,26–29</sup>. In contrast, the present experiments were performed in reflection geometry. Just as in the transmission case, the multilayer acts as a mag-

netic grating for the incoming HHG beam, giving rise to magnetic diffraction spots that provide detailed information on the magnetization and spin structure of the sample<sup>30</sup>.

A static CCD camera picture demonstrating the presence of magnetic scattering peaks is shown in Fig. 3a. Fig. 3b provides a detailed description of the scattering geometry used in our work. Constructive interference, i.e., a magnetic diffraction peak is observed when

$$\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{e}_x = 2\pi/w \quad (1)$$

Here,  $\vec{Q}$  represents the scattering vector  $\vec{Q} = \vec{k}_s - \vec{k}_i$ , i.e., the difference between the incoming and scattered wave vectors  $\vec{k}_i$  and  $\vec{k}_s$ , while  $\vec{e}_x$  is the unit vector in  $x$  direction, as shown in Fig. 3b. This allows to write the scattering angle  $\beta$  (the angle between the scattering wavevector  $\vec{k}_s$  and the surface of the sample) as a function of the wavelength  $\lambda$ , the incidence angle  $\theta$  (the angle between the incident wavevector  $\vec{k}_i$  and the surface of the sample) and the periodicity  $w$  of the magnetic domains:

$$\beta = \arccos(\lambda/w + \cos(\theta)) \quad (2)$$

It is then straightforward to link  $\beta$  to the camera position using the sine rule (note that the camera is always placed perpendicularly to the incoming HHG beam):

$$\frac{X}{\sin(\theta - \beta)} = \frac{D}{\sin(\theta + \beta)} \quad (3)$$

Here,  $X$  denotes the position of the scattering peak on the camera, with respect to the specular beam, while  $D$  describes the distance between the surface of the sample and the CCD, as depicted in Fig. 3a and Fig. 3b ( $D \simeq 50$  mm, depending on the specific setup geometry). With a combination of eq. 2 and eq. 3 one can thus translate each camera pixel into an average wavelength (given a sufficiently narrow domain size distribution).

As shown in Fig. 3a, the main magnetic peak, resulting from resonant scattering at the Co  $M_{2,3}$  edges, exhibits a substructure: a second faint satellite maximum can be identified at larger  $X$  values. This becomes even more apparent when projecting the data along  $z$ , the direction perpendicular to the plane of incidence, as shown in Fig. 3c. Note that the broadening of the scattering peaks results from the domain size distribution, while our probing harmonics have a relative energy resolution of around 1%<sup>31</sup>. To analyze these features in a more quantitative fashion, the spectra were fitted using Voigt curves centered at the harmonics maxima (Fig. 3c). The extracted amplitudes were subsequently normalized with the harmonics intensities (deduced from the spectrometer data) and eventually compared with earlier resonant magnetic scattering experiments performed at the free electron laser Fermi on a similar sample<sup>24</sup>. As shown in Fig. 3c (bottom), good agreement with the measurements of Willems *et al.* is observed. This allows to draw three important conclusions: a) in

our experiments, the aforementioned second weaker peak results from scattering of photons with wavelengths corresponding to the harmonics H33 and H35, probing the Pt  $O_3$  edge, b) the asymmetry of the Co peak hints at the presence of a second resonance between 65 eV and 70 eV, which can be attributed to the Pt  $O_2$  edge, and finally, c) the Pt  $N_{6,7}$  edges (above 70 eV) do not yield any measurable signal in our work due to the presence of the Al filter cutoff at 72.55 eV.

With this quantitative description of the static data at hand, it is now possible to obtain an element-selective view on the demagnetization dynamics of the system, by analyzing the temporal evolution of the distinct magnetic diffraction spots following optical excitation of the multilayer. Therefore, for each pump-probe delay, we compared the intensities integrated over the Co  $M_{2,3}$  and Pt  $O_3$  peaks<sup>19,32</sup>. As  $M \propto \sqrt{I}$ , these data thus provide direct insight into the temporal evolution of the Co and induced Pt moment. Note that special care was taken to subtract the background signal, a non-trivial task which has a large impact on the resulting data, considering the very different signal to noise ratios (SNR) of the Co and Pt scattering maxima (Supplementary Material S2).

Fig.4a shows time-resolved resonant magnetic scattering measurements performed using different IR pump fluences. In all cases, the measured intensity is found to drop rapidly during the first 200 fs after excitation of the system, the characteristic signature of optically induced ultrafast demagnetization<sup>33</sup>. On longer timescales, typically several tens to hundreds of ps, the magnetization recovers as a consequence of energy transport out of the magnetic thin film. As can clearly be seen in these measurements, data gathered at the Co and Pt edges result in the same time traces, which is the central result of the present letter: irrespective of the employed fluence, we observe that the Co and Pt layers display an almost identical de- and remagnetization behavior. Note that this holds true for all incidence angles employed in our work ( $37^\circ < 90 - \theta < 45^\circ$ ).

To gain further quantitative insight into the initial femtosecond dynamics of the Co/Pt multilayers, we fitted the transient magnetic behavior using a double exponential function convoluted with a Gaussian curve to account for the finite width of the excitation pulse<sup>21</sup>:

$$\frac{M(t)}{M_0} = G(\sigma, t) * \left( 1 - A(1 - e^{-t/\tau_M}) \cdot (a + (1-a)e^{-t/\tau_{\text{rec}}}) H(t) \right) \quad (4)$$

Here,  $\tau_M$  and  $\tau_{\text{rec}}$  are the characteristic de- and remagnetization time constants, while the parameters  $A$  and  $a$  determine the amplitude of the quenching and subsequent recovery.  $H(t)$  is the Heaviside function. Note that  $t_0$ , i.e., the onset of the demagnetization is set to zero in the above equation. Fig.4b presents exemplary data gathered at the Co  $M_{2,3}$  and Pt  $O_3$  edges for two different pump fluences  $\Phi$ . Choosing pulse widths  $35 \text{ fs} < \sigma < 45 \text{ fs}$  we obtain typical time constants  $\tau_M(\text{Co}) = 101 \pm 22 \text{ fs}$  and  $\tau_M(\text{Pt}) = 94 \pm 26 \text{ fs}$  which are in rather good agreement with recent results gathered on Co-Pt alloys<sup>19</sup>. However, in contrast to what has been observed there, we do not see any systematic difference between  $\tau_M(\text{Co})$  and  $\tau_M(\text{Pt})$ . We also emphasize that we do




FIG. 4. Element-selective analysis of laser-induced ultrafast demagnetization. a) Scattered intensities integrated at the Co  $M_{2,3}$  and Pt  $O_3$  edges (filled blue and orange open symbols, respectively) as a function of the pump-probe delay  $\Delta t$  shown for increasing IR pump fluences  $\Phi = 10 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$  (triangles),  $\Phi = 19 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$  (squares), and  $\Phi = 24 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$  (circles). b) Transient demagnetization and fits to the data (using a double exponential function) shown for two different pump fluences (same color code). Inset: magnified view on the first  $\Delta t = 150 \text{ fs}$ . In both cases, a small temporal lag  $\Delta t_0$  between the onset of the Co and Pt quenching is observed. c)  $\Delta t_0$  (obtained by fitting a single exponential convoluted with a Gaussian to the initial magnetization decay) using small probing energy windows centered around the harmonics (H33–H43).

not find any significant variation of the demagnetization time constants with excitation fluence<sup>19</sup>.

Rather surprisingly, our fits indicate that the Pt demagnetization is delayed with respect to Co, as can be seen in the insets of Fig.4b. The observed temporal lag  $\Delta t_0 = t_0(\text{Pt}) - t_0(\text{Co})$  is small, typically in the range 10-20 fs but we observe it in all samples that were analyzed and independently of the excitation fluence  $\Phi$ . To cross-check this result and understand, whether it might depend on the different signal to noise ratios of the intensities measured at the two different edges,

or the specific geometry used in our experiments, additional measurements were performed by changing the inert gas in the cylindrical HHG cell. Using Ar instead of Ne allows to probe the system at lower energies, with significant XUV intensity generated in the range  $37 \text{ eV} < E_{\text{HHG}} < 57 \text{ eV}$ , providing a more detailed view on the Pt  $O_3$  edge (Supplementary Material S3). By fitting these data, we find characteristic demagnetization time constants in good agreement with our results in Ne, but we do not see any indication for a shift when using different integration windows (Supplementary Material S4). This is further confirmed by integrating specifically over each of the harmonics, i.e., analyzing the response of the system using H33 to H39, separately, when working with Ne. To avoid any shortcomings linked to poor SNR, we smoothed the time traces using a moving average filter and fitted exclusively the first 300 fs of the quenching process with a single exponential decay, convoluted with a Gaussian function. As can be seen in Fig. 4c, the characteristic shifts are approximately constant at each edge, but differ significantly between Co and Pt. In contrast, the  $\tau_M$  values are found to be approximately equal for all 6 harmonics that were scrutinized (data not shown).

This unexpected behavior is reminiscent of observations made during ultrafast demagnetization of  $\text{Fe}_{0.2}\text{Ni}_{0.8}$  alloys, where a similar delay of about 18 fs was observed and claimed to reflect the characteristic exchange interaction timescale of the system<sup>34</sup>. While these results have been controversially discussed, recent TMOKE and XMCD measurements advocate the existence of a temporal lag as large as 40-50 fs when quenching the magnetization of the two permalloy components with ultrafast optical pulses<sup>35</sup>. Considering the strikingly similar timescales, it is thus tempting to conclude that comparable mechanisms are at work here. At the same time, it appears puzzling that, with regard to the large amount of literature devoted to element specific magnetization dynamics in 3d-4d and 3d-5d multicomponent systems, this effect has not yet been observed. In the present case, two different aspects must further be taken into closer consideration. First, it is necessary to emphasize that we are also sensitive to the size of the domains and their distribution, which might impact the resulting analyzed intensities. Note however that our experiments do not yield any indication for such modifications. If complex changes of the overall spin structure of the sample were to impact the results, we would also expect a fluence dependence of the data, which is not observed here. Secondly, as recently put forward, electron occupancy changes close to the Fermi level can have a major impact on the observed time traces when using probe beams with small bandwidth<sup>22,23</sup>. Similar effects could play a role in the present experiments and therefore deserve further investigation.

Thus, to conclude, the present work provides evidence for a similar femtosecond response of the ferromagnetic and induced moments in Co/Pt multilayer thin films exhibiting strong PMA, when these are excited by IR pulses on ultra-short timescales. This suggests that the discrepancy between the Co and Pt magnetization dynamics discussed at the beginning of this letter might be attributed to the edges that are put under scrutiny, which raises fundamental questions with regard to data interpretation in femtomagnetism experiments

and requires further systematic studies. The delayed response  $\Delta t_0$ , observed in our work, also needs additional efforts to be correctly interpreted. HHG sources with even higher temporal resolution could be useful tools to provide a more quantitative view on this phenomenon. Coupling such experiments with theoretical considerations might bring additional insight into the mechanisms underlying ultrafast magnetization quenching on timescales  $\Delta t \ll 100 \text{ fs}$ , ultimately paving the way for the design of novel, technologically promising multicomponent nanostructures.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Static MOKE measurements, details concerning the background subtraction routine, a comparison between HHG in Ne and Ar, as well as time traces obtained using the two different gases for HHG are provided in the supplementary material.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful for financial support received from the CNRS-MOMENTUM, and Émergence - Sorbonne Université MADAM programs and would like to thank H. Cruguel for technical support with the AFM measurements.

<sup>1</sup>P. F. Garcia, A. D. Meinhardt, and A. Suna, "Perpendicular magnetic anisotropy in Pd/Co thin film layered structures," *Applied Physics Letters* **47**, 178–180 (1985).

<sup>2</sup>P. F. Garcia, "Perpendicular magnetic anisotropy in Pd/Co and Pt/Co thin-film layered structures," *Journal of Applied Physics* **63**, 5066–5073 (1988).

<sup>3</sup>B. N. Engel, C. D. England, R. A. Van Leeuwen, M. H. Wiedmann, and C. M. Falco, "Interface magnetic anisotropy in epitaxial superlattices," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 1910–1913 (1991).

<sup>4</sup>M. T. Johnson, P. J. H. Bloemen, F. J. A. den Broeder, and J. J. de Vries, "Magnetic anisotropy in metallic multilayers," *Reports on Progress in Physics* **59**, 1409–1458 (1996).

<sup>5</sup>G. Malinowski, F. Dalla Longa, J. H. H. Rietjens, P. V. Paluskar, R. Huijink, H. J. M. Swagten, and B. Koopmans, "Control of speed and efficiency of ultrafast demagnetization by direct transfer of spin angular momentum," *Nature Physics* **4**, 855–858 (2008).

<sup>6</sup>N. Moisan, G. Malinowski, J. Mauchain, M. Hehn, B. Vodungbo, J. Lüning, S. Mangin, E. E. Fullerton, and A. Thiaville, "Investigating the role of superdiffusive currents in laser induced demagnetization of ferromagnets with nanoscale magnetic domains," *Scientific Reports* **4**, 4658 (2014).

<sup>7</sup>B. Vodungbo, B. Tudu, J. Perron, R. Delaunay, L. Müller, M. H. Berntsen, G. Grübel, G. Malinowski, C. Weier, J. Gautier, G. Lambert, P. Zeitoun, C. Gutt, E. Jal, A. H. Reid, P. W. Granitzka, N. Jaouen, G. L. Dakovski, S. Moeller, M. P. Miniti, A. Mitra, S. Carron, B. Pfau, C. von Korff Schmising, M. Schneider, S. Eisebitt, and J. Lüning, "Indirect excitation of ultrafast demagnetization," *Scientific Reports* **6**, 18970 (2016).

<sup>8</sup>T. J. Huisman, R. V. Mikhaylovskiy, J. D. Costa, F. Freimuth, E. Paz, J. Ventura, P. P. Freitas, S. Blügel, Y. Mokrousov, T. Rasing, and A. V. Kimel, "Femtosecond control of electric currents in metallic ferromagnetic heterostructures," *Nature Nanotechnology* **11**, 455–458 (2016).

<sup>9</sup>B. Vodungbo, J. Gautier, G. Lambert, A. B. Sardinha, M. Lozano, S. Sebban, M. Ducouso, W. Boutu, K. Li, B. Tudu, M. Tortarolo, R. Hawaldar, R. Delaunay, V. López-Flores, J. Arabski, C. Boeglin, H. Merdji, P. Zeitoun, and J. Lüning, "Laser-induced ultrafast demagnetization in the presence of a nanoscale magnetic domain network," *Nature Communications* **3**, 999 (2012).

<sup>10</sup>B. Pfau, S. Schaffert, L. Müller, C. Gutt, A. Al-Shemmary, F. Büttner, R. Delaunay, S. Düsterer, S. Flewett, R. Frömter, J. Geilhufe, E. Guehrs,

- 378 C. M. Günther, R. Hawaldar, M. Hille, N. Jaouen, A. Kobs, K. Li, J. Mo-  
 379 hanty, H. Redlin, W. F. Schlotter, D. Stickler, R. Treusch, B. Vodungbo,  
 380 M. Kläui, H. P. Oepen, J. Lüning, G. Grübel, and S. Eisebitt, “Ultrafast  
 381 optical demagnetization manipulates nanoscale spin structure in domain  
 382 walls,” *Nature Communications* **3**, 1100 (2012).
- 383 <sup>11</sup>C.-H. Lambert, S. Mangin, B. S. D. C. S. Varaprasad, Y. K. Takahashi,  
 384 M. Hehn, M. Cinchetti, G. Malinowski, K. Hono, Y. Fainman, M. Aeschli-  
 385 mann, and E. E. Fullerton, “All-optical control of ferromagnetic thin films  
 386 and nanostructures,” *Science* **345**, 1337–1340 (2014).
- 387 <sup>12</sup>R. Medapalli, D. Afanasiev, D. K. Kim, Y. Quessab, S. Manna, S. A. Mon-  
 388 toya, A. Kirilyuk, T. Rasing, A. V. Kimel, and E. E. Fullerton, “Multiscale  
 389 dynamics of helicity-dependent all-optical magnetization reversal in ferro-  
 390 magnetic Co/Pt multilayers,” *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 224421 (2017).
- 391 <sup>13</sup>G. Kichin, M. Hehn, J. Gorchon, G. Malinowski, J. Hohlfeld, and S. Man-  
 392 gin, “From multiple- to single-pulse all-optical helicity-dependent switch-  
 393 ing in ferromagnetic Co/Pt multilayers,” *Phys. Rev. Applied* **12**, 024019  
 394 (2019).
- 395 <sup>14</sup>G. Schütz, S. Stähler, M. Knülle, P. Fischer, S. Parkin, and H. Ebert,  
 396 “Distribution of magnetic moments in Co/Pt and Co/Pt/Ir/Pt multilayers de-  
 397 tected by magnetic x-ray absorption,” *Journal of Applied Physics* **73**, 6430–  
 398 6432 (1993).
- 399 <sup>15</sup>F. Wilhelm, P. Pouloupoulos, G. Ceballos, H. Wende, K. Baberschke, P. Sri-  
 400 vastava, D. Benea, H. Ebert, M. Angelakeris, N. K. Flevaris, D. Niarchos,  
 401 A. Rogalev, and N. B. Brookes, “Layer-resolved magnetic moments in  
 402 Ni/Pt multilayers,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 413–416 (2000).
- 403 <sup>16</sup>J. Geissler, E. Goering, M. Justen, F. Weigand, G. Schütz, J. Langer,  
 404 D. Schmitz, H. Maletta, and R. Mattheis, “Pt magnetization profile in a  
 405 Pt/Co bilayer studied by resonant magnetic x-ray reflectometry,” *Phys. Rev.*  
 406 *B* **65**, 020405 (2001).
- 407 <sup>17</sup>F. Willems, C. T. L. Smeenk, N. Zhavoronkov, O. Kornilov, I. Radu,  
 408 M. Schmidbauer, M. Hanke, C. von Korff Schmising, M. J. J. Vrakking,  
 409 and S. Eisebitt, “Probing ultrafast spin dynamics with high-harmonic mag-  
 410 netic circular dichroism spectroscopy,” *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 220405 (2015).
- 411 <sup>18</sup>M. Hofherr, S. Moretti, J. Shim, S. Häuser, N. Y. Safonova, M. Stiehl,  
 412 A. Ali, S. Sakshath, J. W. Kim, D. H. Kim, H. J. Kim, J. I. Hong, H. C.  
 413 Kapteyn, M. M. Murnane, M. Cinchetti, D. Steil, S. Mathias, B. Stadt-  
 414 müller, M. Albrecht, D. E. Kim, U. Nowak, and M. Aeschlimann, “Induced  
 415 versus intrinsic magnetic moments in ultrafast magnetization dynamics,”  
 416 *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 174419 (2018).
- 417 <sup>19</sup>I. Vaskivskiy, R. S. Malik, L. Salemi, D. Turenne, R. Knut, J. Brock, R. Ste-  
 418 fanuik, J. Söderström, K. Carva, E. E. Fullerton, P. M. Oppeneer, O. Karis,  
 419 and H. A. Dürr, “Element-specific magnetization dynamics in Co–Pt alloys  
 420 induced by strong optical excitation,” *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*  
 421 **125**, 11714–11721 (2021).
- 422 <sup>20</sup>K. Yamamoto, Y. Kubota, M. Suzuki, Y. Hirata, K. Carva, M. Berritta,  
 423 K. Takubo, Y. Uemura, R. Fukaya, K. Tanaka, W. Nishimura, T. Ohkochi,  
 424 T. Katayama, T. Togashi, K. Tamasaku, M. Yabashi, Y. Tanaka, T. Seki,  
 425 K. Takanashi, P. M. Oppeneer, and H. Wadati, “Ultrafast demagnetization  
 426 of pt magnetic moment in 110-FePt probed by magnetic circular dichroism  
 427 at a hard x-ray free electron laser,” **21**, 123010 (2019).
- 428 <sup>21</sup>K. Yamamoto, S. E. Moussaoui, Y. Hirata, S. Yamamoto, Y. Kubota,  
 429 S. Owada, M. Yabashi, T. Seki, K. Takanashi, I. Matsuda, and H. Wadati,  
 430 “Element-selectively tracking ultrafast demagnetization process in Co/Pt  
 431 multilayer thin films by the resonant magneto-optical kerr effect,” *Applied*  
 432 *Physics Letters* **116**, 172406 (2020).
- 433 <sup>22</sup>M. Hennes, B. Rösner, V. Chardonnet, G. S. Chiuzbaian, R. Delau-  
 434 nay, F. Döring, V. A. Guzenko, M. Hehn, R. Jarrier, A. Kleibert, and  
 435 et al., “Time-resolved XUV absorption spectroscopy and magnetic circu-  
 436 lar dichroism at the Ni  $M_{2,3}$ -edges,” *Applied Sciences* **11**, 325 (2020).
- 437 <sup>23</sup>K. Yao, F. Willems, C. von Korff Schmising, I. Radu, C. Strüber, D. Schick,  
 438 D. Engel, A. Tsukamoto, J. K. Dewhurst, S. Sharma, and S. Eisebitt, “Dis-  
 439 tinct spectral response in  $M$ -edge magnetic circular dichroism,” *Phys. Rev.*  
 440 *B* **102**, 100405 (2020).
- 441 <sup>24</sup>F. Willems, C. von Korff Schmising, D. Weder, C. M. Günther, M. Schnei-  
 442 der, B. Pfau, S. Meise, E. Guehrs, J. Geilhufe, A. E. D. Merhe, E. Jal,  
 443 B. Vodungbo, J. Lüning, B. Mahieu, F. Capotondi, E. Pedersoli, D. Gau-  
 444 thier, M. Manfredda, and S. Eisebitt, “Multi-color imaging of magnetic  
 445 Co/Pt heterostructures,” *Structural Dynamics* **4**, 014301 (2017).
- 446 <sup>25</sup>Slight changes to the laser chirp allow to obtain shorter pulses and, conse-  
 447 quently, higher intensities. When playing with the iris aperture, a compro-  
 448 mise has to be found: On the one hand, reducing the diameter of the latter  
 449 increases the beam waist, which allows to generate more photons, on the  
 450 other hand, one has to deal with a decreasing laser intensity at focus in the  
 451 gas cell.
- 452 <sup>26</sup>J. B. Kortright, S.-K. Kim, G. P. Denbeaux, G. Zeltzer, K. Takano, and  
 453 E. E. Fullerton, “Soft-x-ray small-angle scattering as a sensitive probe of  
 454 magnetic and charge heterogeneity,” *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 092401 (2001).
- 455 <sup>27</sup>B. Vodungbo, A. B. Sardinha, J. Gautier, G. Lambert, M. Lozano, S. Seb-  
 456 ban, E. Meltchakov, F. Delmotte, V. Lopez-Flores, J. Arabski, C. Boeglin,  
 457 E. Beaupaire, R. Delaunay, J. Lüning, and P. Zeitoun, “Table-top reso-  
 458 nant magnetic scattering with extreme ultraviolet light from high-order  
 459 harmonic generation,” *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **94**, 54003 (2011).
- 460 <sup>28</sup>V. Cardin, T. Balciunas, K. Légaré, A. Baltuska, H. Ibrahim, E. Jal, B. Vo-  
 461 dungbo, N. Jaouen, C. Varin, J. Lüning, and F. m. c. Légaré, “Wavelength  
 462 scaling of ultrafast demagnetization in Co/Pt multilayers,” *Phys. Rev. B*  
 463 **101**, 054430 (2020).
- 464 <sup>29</sup>M. Hennes, A. Merhe, X. Liu, D. Weder, C. v. K. Schmising, M. Schneider,  
 465 C. M. Günther, B. Mahieu, G. Malinowski, M. Hehn, D. Lacour, F. Capot-  
 466 ondi, E. Pedersoli, I. P. Nikolov, V. Chardonnet, E. Jal, J. Lüning, and  
 467 B. Vodungbo, “Laser-induced ultrafast demagnetization and perpendicular  
 468 magnetic anisotropy reduction in a  $\text{Co}_{88}\text{Tb}_{12}$  thin film with stripe domains,”  
 469 *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 174437 (2020).
- 470 <sup>30</sup>G. van der Laan, “Soft X-ray resonant magnetic scattering of mag-  
 471 netic nanostructures,” *Comptes Rendus Physique* **9**, 570–584 (2008), syn-  
 472 chrotron x-rays and condensed matter.
- 473 <sup>31</sup>C. Alves, G. Lambert, V. Malka, M. Hehn, G. Malinowski, M. Hennes,  
 474 V. Chardonnet, E. Jal, J. Lüning, and B. Vodungbo, “Resonant faraday  
 475 effect using high-order harmonics for the investigation of ultrafast demag-  
 476 netization,” *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 144421 (2019).
- 477 <sup>32</sup>J. K. Dewhurst, F. Willems, P. Elliott, Q. Z. Li, C. v. K. Schmising,  
 478 C. Strüber, D. W. Engel, S. Eisebitt, and S. Sharma, “Element specificity  
 479 of transient extreme ultraviolet magnetic dichroism,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**,  
 480 077203 (2020).
- 481 <sup>33</sup>E. Beaupaire, J.-C. Merle, A. Daunois, and J.-Y. Bigot, “Ultrafast spin  
 482 dynamics in ferromagnetic nickel,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 4250–4253 (1996).
- 483 <sup>34</sup>S. Mathias, C. La-O-Vorakiat, P. Grychtol, P. Granitzka, E. Turgut, J. M.  
 484 Shaw, R. Adam, H. T. Nembach, M. E. Siemens, S. Eich, C. M. Schnei-  
 485 der, T. J. Silva, M. Aeschlimann, M. M. Murnane, and H. C. Kapteyn,  
 486 “Probing the timescale of the exchange interaction in a ferromagnetic al-  
 487 loy,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 4792–4797  
 488 (2012).
- 489 <sup>35</sup>S. Jana, R. Knut, S. Muralidhar, R. S. Malik, R. Stefanuik, J. Åker-  
 490 man, O. Karis, C. Schübler-Langeheine, and N. Pontius, “Experimental  
 491 confirmation of the delayed Ni demagnetization in FeNi alloy,” (2021),  
 492 arXiv:2106.07956 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci].