

A Fast Multiscale Spatial Regularization for Sparse Hyperspectral Unmixing

Ricardo Augusto Borsoi, Tales Imbiriba, Jose Carlos Moreira Bermudez,

Cédric Richard

► To cite this version:

Ricardo Augusto Borsoi, Tales Imbiriba, Jose Carlos Moreira Bermudez, Cédric Richard. A Fast Multiscale Spatial Regularization for Sparse Hyperspectral Unmixing. IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, 2019, 16 (4), pp.598-602. 10.1109/LGRS.2018.2878394 . hal-03634058

HAL Id: hal-03634058 https://hal.science/hal-03634058

Submitted on 7 Apr 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A Fast Multiscale Spatial Regularization for Sparse Hyperspectral Unmixing

Ricardo Augusto Borsoi, Tales Imbiriba, Member, IEEE,

José Carlos Moreira Bermudez, Senior Member, IEEE, Cédric Richard, Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract-Sparse hyperspectral unmixing from large spectral libraries has been considered to circumvent limitations of endmember extraction algorithms in many applications. This strategy often leads to ill-posed inverse problems, which can greatly benefit from spatial regularization strategies. However, existing spatial regularization strategies lead to large-scale nonsmooth optimization problems. Thus, efficiently introducing spatial context in the unmixing problem remains a challenge, and a necessity for many real world applications. In this paper, a novel multiscale spatial regularization approach for sparse unmixing is proposed. The method uses a signal-adaptive spatial multiscale decomposition based on segmentation and over-segmentation algorithms to decompose the unmixing problem into two simpler problems, one in an approximation image domain and another in the original domain. Simulation results using both synthetic and real data indicate that the proposed method outperforms stateof-the-art Total Variation-based algorithms with a computation time comparable to that of their unregularized counterparts.

Index Terms—Hyperspectral data, sparse unmixing, spatial regularization, multiscale, superpixels.

I. INTRODUCTION

Hyperspectral unmixing is at the core of many remote sensing and earth observation applications [1]. The limited spatial resolution of hyperspectral devices often mixes the spectral contributions of different pure materials, named *endmembers*, in the scene [2]. The mixing process conceals crucial information relating the endmembers and their spatial disposition. Hyperspectral unmixing (HU) aims to solve this problem by separating the hyperspectral image (HI) into a collection of endmembers and their fractional *abundances* [1].

Notwithstanding the relevance of more complex aspects in modeling the mixing process such as nonlinearity [3] and spectral variability [4], most unmixing methods use a simple linear mixing model (LMM). The LMM considers an observed reflectance vector (a pixel) to be a convex combination of endmembers [2]. The combination coefficients are termed abundances, as each one can be interpreted as the proportion of the pixel spectrum contributed by the corresponding endmember [2]. The LMM leads to computationally efficient solutions and yields high quality unmixing results for several applications.

Manuscript received Month day, year; revised Month day, year.

The problems of endmember extraction and unmixing are interrelated and addressing them jointly is not always trivial. Most endmember extraction algorithms rely on the existence of pure pixels or on the data not being heavily mixed [3], [5].

An interesting strategy to circumvent such issues is to model the observed pixel as a linear combination of a large library of endmembers estimated *a priori* [1]. In this case, the number of endmembers in a given scene is usually much smaller than the size of the spectral library. Hence, the unmixing problem becomes a sparse regression problem that consists of finding a small subset of the library endmembers which best represent all the pixels in the image. This problem is often efficiently solved through the use of sparsity promoting regularizations, resulting in the so-called sparse unmixing techniques [6].

Despite the success of standard sparse unmixing methods [6], the use of large spectral libraries leads to the unmixing problem being ill-posed, which makes the solution very sensitive to noise. Regularization techniques have been shown to significantly improve the performance of both non-sparse [7], [8] and sparse unmixing methods [9] by exploiting the correlation between different pixels in the HI. The *Total Variation* (TV) regularization, for instance, promotes solutions that are spatially piecewise homogeneous without compromising sharp discontinuities between neighboring pixels [9].

Most effective spatial regularization techniques, however, require a massive increase in computational cost. For instance, TV [9] leads to a large non-smooth convex optimization problem, which needs to be solved using variable splitting techniques. More recently, regularization strategies exploiting nonlocal redundancy in images were also considered, leading to even larger optimization problems [10]. This is incompatible with recent demands to timely process the vast amounts of remotely sensed data required by many real world applications [11]. Such demands recently sparked significant interest on efficient unmixing strategies with online processing capability [12]. This evidences the need for fast low complexity unmixing strategies that yield state of the art performance.

This paper introduces a novel multiscale spatial regularization approach for sparse unmixing. We propose a fast *Multiscale sparse Unmixing Algorithm* (MUA) that promotes piecewise homogeneous abundances without compromising sharp discontinuities among neighboring pixels. The proposed method uses a signal-adaptive spatial multiscale decomposition of the linear mixture model. The unmixing problem is decomposed into two different problems in distinct domains: one in an approximation scale representation constructed using segmentation or over-segmentation algorithms, and another

This work has been supported by the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq).

R.A. Borsoi, T. Imbiriba and J.C.M. Bermudez are with the Department of Electrical Engineering, Federal University of Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, SC, Brazil. e-mail: raborsoi@gmail.com; talesim@gmail.com; j.bermudez@ieee.org. C. Richard is with the Université Côte d'Azur, Nice, France (e-mail: cedric.richard@unice.fr), Lagrange Laboratory (CNRS, OCA).

in the original image domain. Spatial contextual information of fractional abundances is initially obtained by solving an unregularized sparse unmixing problem in the approximation scale. This information is then mapped back to the original image domain by means of an appropriately defined conjugate transformation of the multiscale decomposition. The spatial contextual information is then enforced on the solution of the original unmixing problem through a novel and computationally efficient regularization penalty. Simulation results using both synthetic and real data indicate that the proposed method outperforms TV-regularized solutions [9], while requiring a computational time comparable to that of the unregularized algorithm [6].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we briefly introduce the sparse unmixing problem and present the proposed multiscale formulation. Simulation results using synthetic and real data are presented in Section III. Section IV presents the conclusions.

II. SPARSE LINEAR UNMIXING WITH A MULTISCALE SPATIAL REGULARIZATION

Let $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times N}$ denote the observed hyperspectral image with L bands and N pixels, and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times P}$ denote a spectral library having P spectral signatures. Instead of extracting the endmembers directly from the HI Y, sparse linear unmixing attempts to find an optimal subset of samples from the spectral library A that best represents all the mixed pixels in the image, namely,

$$Y = AX + N, \qquad (1)$$

where $X \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times N}$ is the fractional abundance matrix, each column of which determines the composition of one image pixel as a linear combination of spectral samples from A, and $N \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times N}$ denotes the joint contribution of modeling errors and noise. The fractional abundance matrix X is frequently subject to physical constraints imposed to the model, such as the non-negativity (i.e. $x_{i,j} \ge 0, \forall i, j$, denoted by $X \ge 0$) and the sum-to-one constraints (i.e. $\mathbf{1}^{\top} \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{1}^{\top}$). Since only few of the spectral signatures of A are likely to contribute to the observed spectra of each pixel, the matrix X is usually sparse. A common approach to solve the unmixing problem is to represent it as a spatially regularized sparse regression problem [9]. These techniques, however, are computationally very expensive. In this section, we propose a multiscale regularization procedure which introduces spatial regularity into the abundance maps at a very low computational cost.

The proposed spatially regularized unmixing scheme consists of two steps. First, we transform the original image from the original domain (D) to an approximation (coarse) scale (C) to extract the most relevant inter-pixel contextual information. Then, pixels at the coarse scale are unmixed independently from each other. Next, we apply a conjugate transformation to the abundance estimates obtained at the coarse scale to convert the coarse estimate back to the original image domain. This procedure yields an accurate estimate of the low-level image structures, which is then used to regularize the unmixing process applied to the original image to promote the spatial dependency between neighboring pixels. Consider a linear operator $\boldsymbol{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times K}$, K < N that implements a spatial transformation of both the HI and the abundance map to the approximation domain. Then,

$$Y_{\mathcal{C}} = YW; \qquad X_{\mathcal{C}} = XW,$$
 (2)

where $Y_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times K}$ and $X_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times K}$ are the coarse approximations of the original image Y and of the abundance matrix X, respectively. A possible choice for W might be a wavelet transform employing the first K approximation scales of the wavelet decomposition of Y. However, the wavelet transform is feature-agnostic. It does not distinguish between pixels in perceptually different image regions. Its application may result in blurred image edges. Instead, we shall consider a signal-dependent transformation, that is, $W \equiv W(Y)$, which groups pixels into perceptually meaningful regions (not necessarily uniform), preserving image contours and leading to sharp transitions.

Multiplying (1) by W from the right, the unmixing problem can be re-cast into the approximation domain. The resulting unmixing problem is as follows:

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{X}}_{\mathcal{C}} = \underset{\boldsymbol{X}_{\mathcal{C}} \geq 0}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{Y}_{\mathcal{C}} - \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{X}_{\mathcal{C}}\|_{F}^{2} + \lambda_{\mathcal{C}} \|\boldsymbol{X}_{\mathcal{C}}\|_{1,1}.$$
(3)

We shall now use $\widehat{X}_{\mathcal{C}}$ to regularize the original unmixing problem. To this end, we define a conjugate transform $W^* \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times N}$ that converts images from the approximation domain \mathcal{C} back to the original image domain \mathcal{D} :

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{X}}_{\mathcal{D}} = \widehat{\boldsymbol{X}}_{\mathcal{C}} \boldsymbol{W}^*, \qquad (4)$$

where $\widehat{X}_{\mathcal{D}} \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times N}$ is the low-resolution approximation of the abundances in the original image domain, which captures correlations between neighboring pixels. Note that transformation W is generally not invertible, that is, $WW^* \neq I$.

Finally, we use the coarse abundance matrix \hat{X}_{D} to regularize a sparse unmixing problem in the original image domain, where \hat{X} is obtained as the solution to the following optimization problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{X} \ge 0} \ \frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \|_F^2 + \lambda \| \mathbf{X} \|_{1,1} + \frac{\beta}{2} \| \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathcal{D}} - \mathbf{X} \|_F^2, \quad (5)$$

where β is a regularization parameter. This formulation requires no explicit consideration of dependencies between pairs of pixels as required by TV. This leads to a simpler optimization problem, reducing both the computational complexity and the convergence time, as will be verified in Section III.

Note that both optimization problems (3) and (5) are particular cases of the following problem

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{z}} f_1(\boldsymbol{z}) + f_2(\boldsymbol{z}), \qquad (6)$$

where $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ are closed, proper and convex functions. For instance, problem (5) can be written in the equivalent form (6) by selecting functions f_1 and f_2 as

$$f_1 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{X} \|_F^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \| \widehat{\boldsymbol{X}}_{\mathcal{D}} - \boldsymbol{X} \|_F^2$$

$$f_2 \equiv \lambda \| \boldsymbol{X} \|_{1,1} + \iota_+(\boldsymbol{X}),$$
(7)

where $\iota_+(\cdot)$ is the indicator function of the set $\mathbb{R}^{P \times N}_+$, that is, $\iota_+(\mathbf{X}) = 0$ if $\mathbf{X} \ge 0$ and $\iota_+(\mathbf{X}) = \infty$ otherwise.

The Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers (ADMM) method decomposes a problem in the form (6) into a sequence of simpler problems, which can be solved efficiently [13]. The ADMM method can then be used to solve (5), with the resulting procedure detailed in Algorithm 1 [6], [13], where soft denotes the component-wise soft thresholding operator soft $(y, \tau) = \text{sign}(y) \max\{|y|-\tau, 0\}$. Note that problem (3) can be solved in the same way by setting $\beta = 0$ and substituting $Y \equiv Y_{\mathcal{C}}, X \equiv X_{\mathcal{C}}$, and $\lambda \equiv \lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$ in Algorithm 1. The global algorithm of the proposed method, called *Multiscale sparse Unmixing Algorithm* (MUA), is displayed in Algorithm 2.

Algorithm 1: ADMM method for solving (5)

Input : **Y**, **A**, parameters λ , β , and $\mu > 0$ and matrices $oldsymbol{U}_0,oldsymbol{V}_0\in\mathbb{R}^{P imes N}$ **Output:** The estimated abundance matrix \widehat{X} . 1 Set i = 0; 2 while stopping criterion is not satisfied do $\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \boldsymbol{A}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Y} + \mu (\boldsymbol{U}_i + \boldsymbol{V}_i) + \beta \widehat{\boldsymbol{X}}_{\mathcal{D}} ;$ 3 $\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{X}_{i+1} &= \left(\boldsymbol{A}^{\top} \boldsymbol{A} + (\mu + \beta) \boldsymbol{I} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Omega} ; \\ \boldsymbol{U}_{i+1} &= \max \{ \boldsymbol{0}, \operatorname{soft}(\boldsymbol{X}_{i+1} - \boldsymbol{V}_i, \lambda/\mu) \} ; \end{aligned}$ 4 5 $V_{i+1} = V_i - (X_{i+1} - U_{i+1});$ 6 i = i + 1;7 8 end return $\widehat{X} = X_{i+1}$; 9

Algorithm 2: MUA

Input : Y, A, W, parameters λ_C, λ, and β.
Output: The estimated abundance matrix X̂.
1 Compute Y_C = YW ;
2 Find X̂_C by solving (3) using Algorithm 1 with β = 0, λ ≡ λ_C, Y ≡ Y_C and X ≡ X_C;
3 Compute X̂_D using (4);
4 Find X̂ by solving (5) using Algorithm 1;

5 return \widehat{X} ;

A. Designing the multiscale transformation

An appropriate choice of transformation W is of paramount importance for the proposed method to achieve a good reconstruction accuracy. The objectives of this transform can be summarized as 1) grouping image pixels that are spatially adjacent and semantically similar, that is, that belong to homogeneous regions, and 2) preserving image contours by not grouping pixels that belong to different image structures or features. Additionally, it must be computationally efficient.

Techniques such as the K-means have been explored for introducing regularity into the solution of inverse problems [7]. However, K-means fails to effectively explore local spatial regularity of the image, which is an important contextual information of HIs. Moreover, spectrally similar pixels might share different abundance attributes. Hence, spectral-only methods such as the K-means tend to group pixels that are semantically distinct, especially in noisy scenarios. Therefore, both spatial and spectral information should be explored to obtain good results.

To explore spatial information while grouping semantically similar pixels accounting for image discontinuities, we propose to construct W using image segmentation or oversegmentation algorithms [14], [15]. Image segmentation methods decompose the observed image into a set of contiguous homogeneous regions with contextually similar spatial information, typically consisting of objects which are separated by image borders [14]. Image segmentation often creates groups of pixels of heterogeneous sizes, corresponding to both small and large objects in the same image. Although this allows one to represent large regions with homogeneous abundance characteristics without compromising smaller objects, it can lead to grouping pixels that share different abundance characteristics (even if spectrally similar). As an alternative to circumvent this issue, we also explore over-segmentation techniques, which attempt to divide the observed image into a larger number of regions with relatively homogeneous sizes [15]. Although over-segmentation methods partition large objects into many smaller segments, they provide an increased ability to adequately represent image borders and reduce the chance of grouping pixels with different contextual information. Superpixel algorithms are a popular and efficient technique for image over-segmentation [15].

We choose the transformation W to be an (over)segmentation of the image. More precisely, YW computes an (over)-segmentation of the image Y, and returns the average of all pixels inside each segmented region or superpixel. Note that the resulting pixels do not lie on a uniform sampling grid. The conjugate transform, Y_CW^* , takes each segment in Y_C and attributes its value to all pixels of the uniform image sampling grid that lie inside the corresponding region. The successive application of both transforms, WW^* effectively consists in averaging all pixels inside each segment of the input image. The decomposition of the Cuprite image using a segmentation and an over-segmentation algorithm is illustrated in Fig. 1.



Figure 1. Coarse-scale decomposition of a section of the Cuprite image for bands 50, 80 and 100 using the segmentation algorithm in [14] and the oversegmentation algorithm in [15], with 950 and 2000 segments, respectively.

III. RESULTS

We compare the performances of the proposed MUA, the Total Variation (SUnSAL-TV), the spatially unregularized (SUnSAL) and the S²WSU algorithms [6], [9], [16], both in terms of reconstruction error and computational complexity. The selection of these algorithms comes naturally since MUA, SUnSAL and SUnSAL-TV share the same sparse regression formulation, and S²WSU is considered a state-of-the-art algorithm for library-based sparse unmixing. For the proposed method, we compare two choices for the transformation W: 1) a binary partition tree based segmentation algorithm (BPT) [14], and 2) the simple linear iterative clustering (SLIC) over-segmentation method [15]. Finally, we consider also the solution using the K-means algorithm, which is not effective at taking local spatial information into account¹.

¹SLIC and K-means were implemented using the Euclidean distance between reflectance vectors (HI pixels).

We considered a synthetic library $A_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{224 \times 240}$ generated by selecting a subset of 240 materials from the USGS library such that the angle between any pair of spectral signatures was at least 4.44 degrees.

Table I SRE results for unmixing data cubes DC1 and DC2.

DC1 data cube									
SUnSAL	SUnSAL-TV	S ² WSU	MUA _{K-means}	MUA _{BPT}	MUA _{SLIC}				
4.54 dB	9.42 dB	7.70 dB	9.96 dB	13.39 dB	11.35 dB				
8.91 dB	14.44 dB	15.49 dB	14.02 dB	18.26 dB	15.73 dB				
DC2 data cube									
SUnSAL	SUnSAL-TV	S ² WSU	MUA _{K-means}	MUA _{BPT}	MUA _{SLIC}				
4.27 dB	11.61 dB	9.39 dB	12.69 dB	14.08 dB	14.88 dB				
10.48 dB	17.97 dB	21.72 dB	17.42 dB	16.92 dB	18.46 dB				
	SUnSAL 4.54 dB 8.91 dB SUnSAL 4.27 dB 10.48 dB	I SUnSAL SUnSAL-TV 4.54 dB 9.42 dB 8.91 dB 14.44 dB I SUnSAL SUnSAL SUnSAL-TV 4.27 dB 11.61 dB 10.48 dB 17.97 dB	BUIL data c SUNSAL SUNSAL-TV S ² WSU 4.54 dB 9.42 dB 7.70 dB 8.91 dB 14.44 dB 15.49 dB DC2 data c SUNSAL SUNSAL SUNSAL-TV SUNSAL SUNSAL-TV S ² WSU 4.27 dB 11.61 dB 9.39 dB 10.48 dB 17.97 dB 21.72 dB	SUnSAL SUnSAL-TV S ² WSU MUA _{K-means} 4.54 dB 9.42 dB 7.70 dB 9.96 dB 8.91 dB 14.44 dB 15.49 dB 14.02 dB C2 data cube SUnSAL SUnSAL-TV S ² WSU MUA _{K-means} 4.27 dB 11.61 dB 9.39 dB 12.69 dB 10.48 dB 17.97 dB 21.72 dB 17.42 dB	BC1 data cube SUnSAL SUnSAL-TV S ² WSU MUA _{K-means} MUA _{BPT} 4.54 dB 9.42 dB 7.70 dB 9.96 dB 13.39 dB 8.91 dB 14.44 dB 15.49 dB 14.02 dB 18.26 dB C2 data cube SUNSAL SUNSAL-TV S ² WSU MUA _{K-means} MUA _{BPT} 4.27 dB 11.61 dB 9.39 dB 12.69 dB 14.08 dB 10.48 dB 17.97 dB 21.72 dB 17.42 dB 16.92 dB				

A. Simulation results using synthetic data sets

For the simulations presented in this section two spatially correlated synthetic data cubes DC1 and DC2 were built using 5 and 9 endmembers, respectively, selected from library A_1 . DC1 has 75×75 pixels and its abundance map is composed of square regions distributed uniformly over a background in five rows. Data cube DC2 has 100×100 pixels and its abundance maps were sampled according to a Dirichlet distribution centered at a Gaussian random field, leading to piecewise smooth maps that also have steep transitions. For both datacubes, the generated HIs were contaminated by white Gaussian noise, with signal-to-noise ratios (SNR) of 20 and 30dB. The quality of the reconstruction of the spectral mixtures was evaluated using the signal to reconstruction error, defined as SRE = $10 \log_{10}(\mathbb{E}\{\|\boldsymbol{X}\|_F^2\}/\mathbb{E}\{\|\boldsymbol{X}-\hat{\boldsymbol{X}}\|_F^2\})$ [9].

To find the optimal parameters for the selected algorithms we performed a grid search for each dataset, and the parameters leading to the best SRE results for each method were selected. For the MUA method, the parameter search occurred in the intervals $\lambda_C \in [0.0001, 0.05], \lambda \in [0.001, 0.1]$ and $\beta \in [0.007, 30]$, while the cluster sizes were selected among the integer values $\sqrt{N/K} \in \{3, \dots, 15\}$. For the SUnSAL, SUnSAL-TV and S²WSU algorithms, the parameter ranges were selected according to those reported in the original work in [9]. Due to space limitations, the selected parameters are available at [17]. The SRE achieved by the SUnSAL, SUnSAL-TV, S²WSU, K-means and MUA are shown in Table I for both SNR values. Samples of the reconstructed abundance maps for both data cubes and SNRs are shown in Figs. 2 and 3 for a qualitative comparison.

The computational complexity of the algorithms was evaluated through their execution times. SUnSAL, SUnSAL-TV, S²WSU, BPT and SLIC were implemented using the codes made available by the authors. The algorithms were implemented in Matlab on a desktop computer equipped with an Intel Core I7 processor with 4.2Ghz and 16Gb RAM. The results are shown in Table II.

1) Discussion: It can be seen from Table I that the proposed algorithm can provide significantly better performance than the SUnSAL-TV algorithm for both data cubes. The BPT

 Table II

 Average Execution time (in seconds) of each algorithm

	SUnSAL	SUnSAL-TV	/ S ² WSU	MUA _{K-means}	MUA _{BPT}	MUA _{SLIC}
DC1	2.57 s	58.24 s	24.21 s	2.88 s	4.19 s	2.66 s
DC2	4.24 s	92.1 s	42.41 s	3.69 s	4.94 s	4.04 s
Real Image	184.8 s	1145.8 s	469.5 s	84.9 s	77.1 s	101.5 s
					::	0.5
			aller filler in		•	
						0.5
Tru	ie SU	nSAL-TV	S ² WSU	MUA (BPT)	MUA (S	LIC)

Figure 2. Abundance maps estimated by the different unmixing methods for the 2nd endmember of data cube DC1. From top to bottom: SNR of 20 and 30dB.

segmentation-based transformation provided a variable performance, yielding very good results for DC1, but a performance closer to SUnSAL-TV for DC2, especially for SNR=30dB. This indicates a considerable sensitivity to the image content. The results obtained using SLIC, on the other hand, indicate a more regular performance, with significantly better results than SunSAL-TV for both data cubes. Although the S²WSU presented the best SRE result for DC2 with SNR of 30dB, the method is very sensitive to variations of the noise level, as can be seen for both datasets. Finally, we note that a regularization based on the K-means algorithm performed only similarly to the SUnSAL-TV method, and significantly worse than the proposed transformations.

Figs. 2 and 3 show samples of the abundance maps of data cubes DC1 and DC2 estimated by the SUnSAL-TV, S²WSU and MUA algorithms using BPT and SLIC transformation, which provided the best quantitative performance, except for the DC2 at 30dB where the S²WSU produced a comparable map. However, the performance degradation of the S²WSU is clear when the SNR is decreased. The results of the MUA algorithm were significantly better than those of the SUnSAL-TV algorithm. This difference is most noticeable for an SNR of 20dB, where the resulting abundance maps are much closer to the ground truth than those estimated by the SUnSAL-TV.



Figure 3. Abundance maps estimated by the different unmixing methods for the 1st endmember of data cube DC2. From top to bottom: SNR of 20 and 30dB.

In terms of computational cost, MUA performed significantly better than SUnSAL-TV, with execution times comparable to those of SUnSAL algorithm, and, on average, 19 and 10 times smaller than those of SUnSAL-TV and S^2WSU respectively. These results illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed regularization method both in terms of quality and computational cost.



Figure 4. Fractional abundance maps estimated for the Cuprite image. From top to bottom: Alunite, Buddingtonite, and Chalcedony.

B. Simulation results using real image

In this experiment, we consider a well-known region of the Cuprite data set with 250×191 pixels. The spectral library $A \in \mathbb{R}^{188 \times 498}$ was built using the spectral signatures in the USGS library after removing water absorption and low SNR spectral bands, resulting in 188 bands. The parameters of the algorithms were selected empirically for MUA, and set identically to those reported in [9] for SUnSAL and SUnSAL-TV, and for the S²WSU we used $\lambda_{swsp} = 7 \times 10^{-5}$. Since the true abundance maps are unavailable for this HI, we compare the fractional abundance maps of three dominant materials (Alunite, Buddingtonite, and Chalcedony) estimated using the three algorithms. The results are shown in Fig. 4.

Although the unmixing results for SUnSAL-TV, S^2WSU , and MUA were similar, it can be observed that the TV regularization tends to yield an over-smooth visual effect. This is not observed in the results using S^2WSU and MUA (especially for the over-segmentation transformation) which produce spatially consistent abundance maps without compromising the fine variability and the intricate structures in the image. These results again indicate the effectiveness of the proposed spatial regularization. The computational times are shown in Table II, and illustrate again the considerably lower complexity of MUA when compared to SUnSAL-TV and S^2WSU . It also runs significantly faster than the SUnSAL algorithm due to the faster convergence rate achieved with the use of proposed regularization. Further simulations with different real datasets are also available at [17].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we presented a novel multiscale methodology to introduce spatial information in sparse HU problems. It decomposes the spatially regularized unmixing problem into two simple, low-cost problems in different image domains. Two multiscale domain transformations were proposed based on segmentation and over-segmentation methods, which allow an effective capture of spatial and spectral contextual informations at a reasonable computational cost. Simulation results using both synthetic and real data showed that the proposed method outperforms state-of-the-art TV-based sparse HU algorithms. Moreover, it requires execution times that are an order of magnitude lower than the TV-based solution, and comparable to or even smaller than those of unregularized methods.

REFERENCES

- J. M. Bioucas-Dias, A. Plaza, N. Dobigeon, M. Parente, Q. Du, P. Gader, and J. Chanussot, "Hyperspectral unmixing overview: Geometrical, statistical, and sparse regression-based approaches," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Appl. Earth Observ. Remote Sens.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 354–379, 2012.
- [2] N. Keshava and J. F. Mustard, "Spectral unmixing," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 44–57, 2002.
- [3] T. Imbiriba, J. C. M. Bermudez, C. Richard, and J.-Y. Tourneret, "Nonparametric detection of nonlinearly mixed pixels and endmember estimation in hyperspectral images," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 1136–1151, 2016.
- [4] T. Imbiriba, R. A. Borsoi, and J. C. M. Bermudez, "Generalized linear mixing model accounting for endmember variability," in Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2018 IEEE International Conference on. IEEE, 2018, pp. 1862–1866.
- [5] W.-K. Ma, J. M. Bioucas-Dias, T.-H. Chan, N. Gillis, P. Gader, A. J. Plaza, A. Ambikapathi, and C.-Y. Chi, "A signal processing perspective on hyperspectral unmixing: Insights from remote sensing," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 67–81, January 2014.
- [6] J. M. Bioucas-Dias and M. A. Figueiredo, "Alternating direction algorithms for constrained sparse regression: Application to hyperspectral unmixing," in *Proc. WHISPERS, Reykjavik, Iceland*, 2010, pp. 1–4.
- [7] X. Lu, H. Wu, and Y. Yuan, "Double constrained NMF for hyperspectral unmixing," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 52, no. 5, pp. 2746–2758, 2014.
- [8] T. Imbiriba, R. A. Borsoi, and J. C. M. Bermudez, "A low-rank tensor regularization strategy for hyperspectral unmixing," in 2018 IEEE Statistical Signal Processing Workshop (SSP), 2018, pp. 373–377.
- [9] M.-D. Iordache, J. M. Bioucas-Dias, and A. Plaza, "Total variation spatial regularization for sparse hyperspectral unmixing," *IEEE Trans.* on Geosc. and Remote Sensing, vol. 50, no. 11, pp. 4484–4502, 2012.
- [10] R. Wang, H.-C. Li, W. Liao, X. Huang, and W. Philips, "Centralized collaborative sparse unmixing for hyperspectral images," *IEEE Journal* of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 1949–1962, 2017.
- [11] M. Chi, A. Plaza, J. A. Benediktsson, Z. Sun, J. Shen, and Y. Zhu, "Big data for remote sensing: challenges and opportunities," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 104, no. 11, pp. 2207–2219, 2016.
- [12] S. Bernabé, L. I. Jiménez, C. García, J. Plaza, and A. Plaza, "Multicore real-time implementation of a full hyperspectral unmixing chain," *IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett.*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 744–748, 2018.
- [13] J. Eckstein and D. P. Bertsekas, "On the douglas—rachford splitting method and the proximal point algorithm for maximal monotone operators," *Mathematical Programming*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 293–318, 1992.
- [14] M. A. Veganzones, G. Tochon, M. Dalla-Mura, A. J. Plaza, and J. Chanussot, "Hyperspectral image segmentation using a new spectral unmixing-based binary partition tree representation," *IEEE Transactions* on *Image Processing*, vol. 23, no. 8, pp. 3574–3589, 2014.
- [15] R. Achanta, A. Shaji, K. Smith, A. Lucchi, P. Fua, and S. Süsstrunk, "SLIC superpixels compared to state-of-the-art superpixel methods," *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, vol. 34, no. 11, pp. 2274–2282, 2012.
- [16] S. Zhang, J. Li, H.-C. Li, C. Deng, and A. Plaza, "Spectral-spatial weighted sparse regression for hyperspectral image unmixing," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 2018.
- [17] R. A. Borsoi, T. Imbiriba, J. C. M. Bermudez, and C. Richard, "Tech Report: A Fast Multiscale Spatial Regularization for Sparse Hyperspectral Unmixing," ArXiv e-prints, 2018.