

Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptors Expressed by Striatal Interneurons Inhibit Striatal Activity and Control Striatal-Dependent Behaviors

Alice Abbondanza, Irina Ribeiro Bas, Martin Modrak, Martin Capek, Jessica Minich, Alexandra Tyshkevich, Shahed Naser, Revan Rangotis, Pavel Houdek,

Alena Sumova, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Alice Abbondanza, Irina Ribeiro Bas, Martin Modrak, Martin Capek, Jessica Minich, et al.. Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptors Expressed by Striatal Interneurons Inhibit Striatal Activity and Control Striatal-Dependent Behaviors. Journal of Neuroscience, 2022, 42 (13), pp.2786-2803. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1627-21.2022 . hal-03633584

HAL Id: hal-03633584 https://hal.science/hal-03633584v1

Submitted on 12 Apr 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors expressed by striatal interneurons inhibit striatal activity
 and control striatal-dependent behaviors
- 3

4 **Running title:** Nicotinic receptors in striatal interneurons

5

Alice Abbondanza^{1,6}, Irina Ribeiro Bas¹, Martin Modrak⁴, Martin Capek^{3,5}, Jessica Minich¹,
Alexandra Tyshkevich¹, Shahed Naser¹, Revan Rangotis¹, Pavel Houdek², Alena Sumova², Sylvie
Dumas⁷, Veronique Bernard⁶, Helena Janickova¹

9

¹ Laboratory of Neurochemistry, Institute of Physiology of the Czech Academy of Sciences, 10 Videnska 1083, Prague, 14220, Czech Republic.² Laboratory of Biological Rhythms, Institute of 11 12 Physiology of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Videnska 1083, Prague, 14220, Czech Republic. ³ Laboratory of Biomathematics, Institute of Physiology of the Czech Academy of Sciences, 13 Videnska 1083, Prague, 142 20, Czech Republic.⁴ Bioinformatics Core Facility, Institute of 14 15 Microbiology of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Videnska 1083, Prague, 14220, Czech Republic. ⁵ Light Microscopy Core Facility, Institute of Molecular Genetics of the Czech Academy of 16 Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic.⁶ Neuroscience ParisSeine - Institut de Biologie Paris Seine 17 (NPS - IBPS) INSERM, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France. ⁷ Oramacell Paris, France. 18 19

- 20 Correspondence to: helena.janickova@fgu.cas.cz
- 21 veronique.bernard@inserm.fr
- 22
- 23

- 24 Number of pages: 56
- 25 Number of Figures: 10
- 26 Abstract: 221 words
- 27 Introduction: 587 words
- 28 Discussion: 1495 words
- 29

30 Conflict of interest

- 31 The authors declare no competing financial interests.
- 32

33 Acknowledgments

34 This work was supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic grant 19-07983Y, by the 35 Czech-BioImaging projects LM2015062 and LM2018129 and the Mobility project of the Czech Ministry of Education 8J21FR022. This research was further supported by funds from Institut 36 37 National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), Centre National de la Recherche 38 Scientifique (CNRS), Université Pierre et Marie Curie (UPMC), Fédération pour la Recherche sur le Cerveau (FRC) and the French Ministry of Europe and Foreign Affairs (Partenariat Hubert 39 40 Curien; Programme Barrande). A.A. was supported by the Barrande Fellowship Program of the 41 French Embassy in the Czech Republic and the Czech Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports. 42 J.M. was supported by the Rise Worldwide programme of the German Academic Exchange 43 Service (DAAD) and I.R.B. was supported by Erasmus+ programme of the European Union. M.M. was supported by ELIXIR CZ research infrastructure project (MEYS Grant No: LM2018131) 44 45 including access to computing and storage facilities. We also acknowledge the Light Microscopy 46 Core Facility, IMG CAS, Prague, Czech Republic, supported by MEYS (LM2018129,

47 CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/18_046/0016045) and RVO: 68378050-KAV-NPUI, for their support with the 48 confocal imaging and image analysis presented herein. Marie-Laure Niepon at the Image platform 49 at Institute de la Vision (Paris, France) is thanked for slide scanning. The authors also want to 50 thank to Dana Ungerova, Eva Suchanova and Anna Smrckova for their help with experiments and 51 to Jan Jakubik, Vladimir Dolezal, Alena Randakova and Ornela Kljakic for their reading and 52 valuable comments on the manuscript.

53 Abstract

54 Acetylcholine is an important modulator of striatal activity and it is vital to controlling striataldependent behaviors including motor and cognitive functions. Despite this significance, the 55 56 mechanisms determining how acetylcholine impacts striatal signaling are still not fully understood. 57 In particular, little is known about the role of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) expressed 58 by striatal interneurons. In the present study, we used fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) to 59 determine which neuronal types express the most prevalent beta2 nicotinic subunit in the mouse 60 striatum. Our data support a common view that nAChR expression is mostly restricted to striatal 61 interneurons. Surprisingly though, cholinergic interneurons (CINs) were identified as a population 62 with the highest expression of beta2 nicotinic subunit. To investigate the functional significance 63 of beta2-containing nAChRs in striatal interneurons, we deleted them by injecting the AAV-Cre 64 vector into the striatum of beta2-flox/flox male mice. The deletion led to alterations in several behavioral domains, namely to an increased anxiety-like behavior, decrease in sociability ratio, 65 66 deficit in discrimination learning and increased amphetamine-induced hyperlocomotion and c-Fos 67 expression in mice with beta2 deletion. Further colocalization analysis showed that the increased c-Fos expression was present in both medium spiny neurons and presumed striatal interneurons. 68 69 The present study concludes, that despite being relatively rare, beta2-containing nAChRs are 70 primarily expressed in striatal neurons by CINs and play a significant role in behavior.

Significance statement: A large variety of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors are expressed in the striatum, a brain region that is crucial in the control of behavior. The complexity of receptors with different functions is hindering our understanding of mechanisms through which striatal acetylcholine modulates behavior. We focused on the role of a small population of beta2containing nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. We identified neuronal types expressing these receptors and determined their impact in the control of explorative behavior, anxiety-like behavior,
learning and sensitivity to stimulants. Additional experiments showed that these alterations were
associated with an overall increased activity of striatal neurons. Thus, the small population of
nicotinic receptors represents an interesting target for a modulation of response to stimulant drugs
and other striatal-based behavior.

82 Introduction

83 A growing body of evidence suggests that interneurons (INs) play a key role in controlling striatal functions despite the fact that they represent only a minority of striatal neurons (less than 5 % in 84 85 rodents) (Lee et al., 2017b; Rapanelli et al., 2017; Holly et al., 2019; Muñoz-Manchado et al., 86 2016). Striatal interneurons are commonly divided into two main groups, cholinergic and 87 GABAergic (CINs and GABAINs, respectively). In the past decade, electrophysiological studies indicated that unlike the principal striatal neurons (commonly referred to as medium spiny neurons, 88 89 MSNs), certain types of INs express functional nAChRs. For instance, somatostatin-expressing, 90 5HT₃A-expressing and neuropeptide Y-expressing (NPY+) GABAINs are activated by nicotine 91 and this activation leads to inhibition of MSNs activity (Faust et al., 2016; Assous et al., 2017; 92 English et al., 2011). These studies suggested that even though nAChRs are expressed by a 93 relatively small number of striatal neurons, these receptors have the power to modulate striatal signaling and functions. Therefore, it has been hypothesized that nAChRs expressed by striatal 94 95 INs play a significant role in the control of striatal based behavior, e.g. supporting cognitive 96 flexibility (Faust et al., 2016; Assous, 2021), but a direct evidence was missing. Recently, several 97 novel types of GABAINs, each with distinct immunohistochemical and electrophysiological 98 properties, have been described in the striatum (Muñoz-Manchado et al., 2016; Assous et al., 2018; 99 Munoz-Manchado et al., 2018). Including the classic groups of parvalbumin-, somatostatin- and 100 calretinin-expressing neurons, striatal GABAINs now seem to be composed of at least seven 101 distinct neuronal populations and this number may grow. Although individual types of striatal INs 102 express nAChRs in overall low levels and with varying subunit composition, beta2-containing 103 nAChRs are relatively the most common type (Quik and Wonnacott, 2011; Quik et al., 2009). 104 Until the emergence of single cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq), detailed information on the

105 expression of specific nicotinic subunits by individual types of striatal INs was lacking. A recent 106 scRNA-seq study distinguishing between seven different types of striatal GABAINs suggested 107 that each GABAIN population may express different types of nAChRs (Munoz-Manchado et al., 108 2018). Specifically, tyrosine hydroxylase-expressing GABAINs were shown to be distinguished 109 by their expression of the alpha3 nicotinic subunit. Unlike the expression of the alpha3 subunit, 110 the beta2 subunit was not specifically examined in the study by (Munoz-Manchado et al., 2018). 111 Thus, we used this previously published scRNA-seq data and re-analyzed it in order to assess and 112 compare the expression of the beta2 subunit in different populations of striatal neurons (Fig. 2 a-113 b). Surprisingly, the re-analysis showed no difference in beta2 expression not only between the 114 individual groups of GABAINs but also between GABAINs and MSNs. Therefore, expression of 115 beta2-containing nAChRs by striatal neurons requires further investigation.

116 In the present study, we used fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) with a probe targeting beta2 117 nicotinic subunit to evaluate its expression in combination with markers for all major neuronal 118 types present in the striatum. Unexpectedly, we found that the majority of beta2-containing 119 nAChRs are expressed by striatal CINs and to lesser extent by other types of INs. Then, to evaluate 120 a behavioral role of these receptors, we deleted beta2 nicotinic subunit specifically in striatal INs 121 while keeping intact receptors expressed on dopaminergic and glutamatergic striatal terminals. A 122 comprehensive behavioral analysis revealed that beta2 deletion in striatal INs leads to changes in 123 several behavioral domains including anxiety-like behavior, social and explorative behavior, 124 discrimination learning and sensitivity to amphetamine. Finally, our analysis of c-Fos expression 125 documented that these behavioral alterations are accompanied by increased activation of striatal 126 neurons, both MSNs and INs.

127

128 Methods

129 Animals

130 All experimental procedures complied with the directive of the European Community Council on 131 the use of laboratory animals (2010/63/EU) and were approved by the respective local animal 132 research committees. Mice were housed in a temperature and humidity-controlled room with a 12 133 hour light/dark cycle (lights ON at 6 AM local time). Standard rodent chow and water were 134 provided ad libitum. For the food-motivated T-maze task and instrumental task in the operant box mice were mildly food-restricted and their weight was kept at 85-90 % of their free-food weight. 135 136 For assessment of behavioral responses to temporal restriction of access to food, the pellets were 137 removed from the cages 3 h after the lights on and they were returned back 6 h later. The regime 138 continued for 10 days. The FISH experiments were performed in three C57BL/6J wild type male 139 mice. In the rest of the study, a total number of 80 beta2-flox/flox mice were used. The original 140 breeding pairs of beta2-flox/flox mice were kindly provided by Prof. Michael Crair from Yale University and their generation and genotyping protocol is described in details elsewhere 141 142 (Burbridge et al., 2014). The mice originally provided were homozygous on a mixed background 143 and we maintained them as such throughout the study (for ten generations). After detecting 144 behavioral differences between sexes in our pilot experiments, we decided to exclusively use male 145 mice in our behavioral and biochemical experiments. Mice between the ages of 2 to 8 months were 146 used throughout the study and the maximum age difference within a single cohort was 40 days. 147 After the stereotaxic surgery, some mice were separated and housed individually. To diminish any effect of the cage/litter, whenever possible AAV-Cre injected and control animals were always 148 149 included in one cage.

150 Experimental design and statistical analysis

151 Four independent cohorts of mice were injected into the dorsal striatum (DS) and used for 152 behavioral experiments and three of these cohorts were used for c-Fos analysis. Cohorts 1&2 and 153 cohorts 3&4 always underwent the same behavioral tests. Selected tests were performed in all 4 154 cohorts and data pooled together. This resulted in some age differences between cohorts 1&2 vs. 155 3&4 when tested in specific tasks. Data for split performance of mice in the different cohorts are shown in Figure 8 f-q. Animals were pseudorandomly assigned to an experimental group (mice 156 157 with the beta2 deletion) and a control group so that each litter/cage included both groups if possible. 158 Behavioral, immunofluorescence and RT-qPCR data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 159 versions 7 and 8 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). We used two-tailed Student's t-test for 160 comparison of two experimental groups with the following exceptions where the data were not 161 normally distributed: the number of dippings in the hole-board test was analyzed with a negative-162 binomial regression using the glm.nb function of the package MASS (Venables, Ripley, and Venables, 1994); the nest-building test was analyzed using a Bayesian zero-one-inflated beta 163 164 regression on the proportion of material used, via the brms package (Bürkner, 2017); the forced 165 swim test, the examination time of the non-social object and the sociability ratio in the social 166 preference test were assessed with a gamma regression using the 'glm' function in R. The 167 percentage of c-Fos-positive CINs was analyzed by generalized linear mixed model. Two-way ANOVA or repeated measures (RM) two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak's or Tukey's post-hoc 168 tests were used to evaluate the effects of two variables. In cases where some values were missing 169 170 (in tests of reversal learning where some mice were analyzed in the acquisition only since they 171 failed to reach the acquisition criteria and could not be moved to the reversal phase), we used a mixed-effects analysis followed by post-tests. Significance was set at p < 0.05. Throughout the 172

173 manuscript, we report data as means \pm SEM and statistical analysis including p values and 95 %

174 confidence intervals (CI) for the differences in means.

175 Re-analysis of *Chrnb2* scRNA-seq data

To assess between-population differences in *Chrnb2* expression, we used a Bayesian hierarchical generalized linear model with negative binomial response and varying intercepts for both cell population group (glia, interneurons, MSNs) and the individual populations, using the brms R package (Bürkner, 2017). To account for differences in sequencing depth, we used the estimateSizeFactors function from the DESeq2 R package (Love et al., 2014). Plots were created with the ggplot2 package (Wickham, 2016).

182 Code accessibility

183 The complete code to reproduce the analysis can be accessed at https://github.com/cas184 bioinf/chrnb2 striatum/blob/main/Chrnb2 scRNA reanalysis.Rmd.

185 Double-probe fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH)

Adult mice (12.5 weeks) were euthanized by cervical dislocation. Brains were removed, rapidly frozen in cold isopentane ($-30^{\circ}/-35^{\circ}$ C) and serially sectioned in 10 series on cryostat at 16 µm thickness. Sections for each brain have been processed by in situ hybridization to allow systematic quantitative analysis throughout the whole striatum. Double-probe FISH were performed as previously reported (Dumas and Wallén-Mackenzie, 2019).

191 *Probes*

Double-probe FISH was performed using antisense riboprobes for the detection of the following
mRNAs: Chrnb2: NM_009602 sequence 597-1517; Drd1: NM_010076 sequence 1756-2707 and

- 194 Drd2 NM_010077 sequence 268-1187; Chat: NM_009891 sequence 526-1065; Pvalb:
- 195 NM_013645 sequence 74-591; Npy: NM_023456 sequence 13-453; Sst: NM_009215 sequence

196 143-401; Htr3a: NM_013561 sequence 641-1552. Synthesis of digoxigenin (DIG) and 197 fluorescein-labeled RNA probes were made by a transcriptional reaction with incorporation of 198 digoxigenin or fluorescein labelled nucleotides (Sigma-Aldrich; Reference 11277073910 and 11685619910). Specificity of probes was verified using NCBI blast.

200 Procedure

201 Cryosections were air-dried, fixed in 4 % paraformaldehyde (PFA) and acetylated in 0.25 % acetic 202 anhydride/100 mM triethanolamine (pH 8) followed by washes in PBS. Sections were hybridized 203 for 18 h at 65 °C in 100 µl of formamide-buffer containing 1 µg/ml DIG-labeled riboprobe and 1 204 µg/ml fluorescein-labeled riboprobe. Sections were washed at 65 °C with SSC buffers of 205 decreasing strength, and blocked with 20 % fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1 % blocking solution. 206 For revelation steps, DIG epitopes were detected with HRP anti-DIG fab fragments at 1:2500 207 (Sigma-Aldrich; Reference 11207733910) and revealed using Cy3-tyramide at 1:100. Fluorescein 208 epitopes were detected with HRP anti-fluorescein fab fragments at 1:5000 (Sigma-Aldrich; 209 Reference 11426346910) and revealed using Cy2-tyramide at 1:250. Nuclear staining was 210 performed with DAPI. All slides were scanned at 20x resolution using the NanoZoomer 2.0-HT 211 (Hamamatsu, Japan). Laser intensity and time of acquisition were set separately for each riboprobe. 212 Images were analyzed using the NDP.view2 software (Hamamatsu Photonics). Regions of interest 213 were identified according to the Paxinos mouse brain atlas (Franklin and Paxinos, 2008). Positive 214 cells refer to a staining in a cell body clearly above background and surrounding a DAPI-stained 215 nucleus. Colocalization was determined by the presence of the signals for both probes in the soma 216 of the same cell. A manual counting was performed. The entire region of interest was evaluated. 217 A mean of 700, 1200, 520, 830 Chat, Npy, Pvalb and Sst neurons, respectively, were analyzed per 218 brain, on adjacent sections at 6 levels of rostro-caudal axis. For illustration purposes, the

NanoZoomer images were exported in TIFF format using NDP viewer. Images were corrected for
contrast, cropped on Photoshop 2021 (Adobe Systems, San Jose, California, United States), and
assembled on Illustrator 2021 (Adobe Systems).

222 Stereotaxic surgeries

223 Beta2-flox/flox mice were injected with the AAV5-eGFP or AAV5-Cre-GFP viral vectors (viral 224 titre 4.5 x 10¹² vg/ml; UNC Vector Core) at 2 months of age. After mice were anesthetized by a 225 mixture of ketamine (87 mg/kg) and xylazine (13 mg/kg; both Vetoquinol), they were placed in 226 the stereotaxic frame (Stoelting Co.). Animals were injected with a total volume of 1 µl per 227 hemisphere using four injections in total with the following coordinates: AP +1.5; ML \pm 1.4; DV -3.0 and AP +1.3; ML ±1.6; DV -3.3. A microinjection pump (MICRO2T-UMP3-NL2010, World 228 229 Precision Instruments) was used for the infusions with a speed rate of 50 nl/min. For the behavioral 230 experiments, we injected 36 and 29 AAV5-Cre and AAV5-GFP mice, respectively. Viral 231 expression was verified in all animals by immunofluorescence (IF) after completion of behavioral 232 tests and 4 AAV5-Cre-injected animals were excluded from the study based on either insufficient 233 or excessive expression of the virus. We considered expression insufficient when viral expression 234 was completely absent from one or both hemispheres and excessive when it was significantly 235 leaking into the hippocampus, thalamus and/or cortex. IF analysis showed that the virus was 236 leaking into striatal neighbouring structures. However, the leaking was mostly unilateral, varied 237 between mice and did not systematically occur in a specific structure. The only exception was the 238 lateral septum (LS) which showed bilateral viral expression in two thirds of the AAV5-Cre-239 injected animals (23 out of 32). Except for the LS, any other structure with bilateral viral 240 expression always occurred in less than half of the injected animals (Fig. 5d). To understand how 241 the variability in AAV injections could affect the investigated behavior, we also used a subset of animals to analyze selected behavioral data in correlation to the extent of the AAV expression in

the DS. However, none of the analyzed behavioral parameters reached a significant correlation

244 with the AAV expression (Fig. 8 a-e).

245 PCR and reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR)

246 To obtain samples for the PCR, mice were sacrificed by decapitation, brains were quickly removed, 247 and individual brain regions were dissected on ice, frozen on dry ice and stored at -80 °C until use. 248 DNA for the endpoint PCR and RNA for the RT-qPCR from non-striatal regions were isolated 249 using TriPure Isolation Reagent (Roche, Indiana, USA) and treated with DNase (Sigma). RNA for 250 the RT-qPCR from the striatum expressing AAV virus was prepared from punches taken 251 specifically in the AAV-expressing area and isolated by RNeasy Micro Kit (QIAGEN, Maryland, 252 USA). The endpoint PCR was used to confirm the deletion of exon 5 of the Chrnb2 gene induced by the AAV-Cre injection into the DS of beta2-flox/flox mice. The primers used were 198#, 199# 253 254 and Bot2-09 as described in (Burbridge et al., 2014). The end point PCR was performed with Taq 255 DNA Polymerase (GeneDireX, Taiwan) according manufacturer's instructions and DNA 256 fragments were visualized on an agarose gel. For the RT-qPCR, the reverse transcription of the RNA samples was performed with LunaScript RT SuperMix (New England Biolabs, 257 Massachusetts, USA) and the qPCR with LCC 480 SYBR Green Master at LCC 480 instrument 258 259 (Roche) according to manufacturers' instructions. Primers used in RT-qPCR were targeted against 260 exon 5 of the Chrnb2 gene: FW 5'-TGGCCATCCTGGTCTTCTAC-3' and RW 5'-261 CGCCAGCAGCACAGAAATAC-3'. Beta-actin gene expression was used for normalization of the data using primers reported by (Frahm et al., 2011). The relative quantification of gene 262 263 expression was done using the $2-\Delta\Delta CT$ method.

264 RNAscope analysis of the *Chrnb2* expression

265 Mice were sacrificed by decapitation. The brain was removed from the skull and immediately 266 frozen in isopentane pre-cooled in dry ice (T<-35 °C), embedded in OCT medium and stored at -267 80 °C for up to 3 months. On the day of slicing, the OCT block was placed in the pre-cooled 268 cryostat (Leica CM 3000) at -20 °C for 1h before being sectioned sagittally at 16 µm. Sections that 269 contained both the DS and substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) were selected for the Chrnb2 270 RNA visualization. For visualization, we employed the RNAScope kit (ACDBio, California, USA) 271 and a custom-made probe for exon 5 of the Chrnb2 RNA following the manufacturer's instructions 272 for fresh-frozen tissue. Briefly, brain sections were fixed in 4 % PFA for 15 minutes and 273 dehydrated in increasing concentrations of ethanol (50 %, 70 %, 2x 100 %) for 5 minutes each. 274 After 30 minutes of incubation with Protease IV (ACDBio), the slices were hybridized with the 275 target probes (Chrnb2, positive and negative controls) for 2 hours in the humidified incubator at 276 40 °C. Several washes and incubations at 40 °C with the amplification reagents (Amp-1, Amp-2, 277 Amp-3, and Amp-4) followed, as per manufacturer's instructions. Finally, the samples were stained 278 with DAPI (ACDBio) for 30 s and mounted with Prolong Gold antifade reagent (ThermoFisher, 279 Massachusetts, USA). The Chrnb2 RNA was detected with a Leica SP8 WLL MP confocal 280 microscope with HC PL APO 63x/1.40 NA objective. For each animal, three confocal images of 281 the DS and three of the SNc were analyzed by Fiji's manual multi-counting function throughout the z-stacks (3 µm steps, 7 pictures). 5 cells per picture were randomly selected and the total 282 283 number of puncta in 5 cells was scored and reported.

284 ELISA

Concentration of striatal DA was measured using DA ELISA kit (ImmuSmol, France) according
to the manufacturer's instructions. Dissected striata from both hemispheres were pooled and
homogenized in 400 µl of Tris 40 mM, EDTA 1 mM and HCl 10 mM. For the ELISA procedure,

288 10 ul of 100x diluted original homogenate was used. Absorbance was measured by 289 spectrophotometer VICTOR Plate Reader (Perkin Elmer) at a wavelength of 450 nm. The 290 measurement was done in two separate batches. To control for differences between the two 291 measurements, we expressed the results as a percentage of controls.

292 Behavioral testing

293 All behavioral testing except the light/dark transition test was performed during the light phase (8) 294 AM to 6 PM). Mice between the ages of 3 and 8 months were behaviorally tested with testing 295 being initiated 1 month after stereotaxic surgery. The order of behavioral tests performed in 296 individual cohorts were as follows (Figure 1): Cohorts 1 and 2: locomotor activity in the open field \rightarrow hole-board test \rightarrow grooming test \rightarrow marble burying test \rightarrow forced swimming test (FST) \rightarrow 297 novel object recognition (NOR) \rightarrow nest building \rightarrow cued Morris water maze (cued MWM) \rightarrow 298 299 social preference task \rightarrow T-maze task \rightarrow elevated plus maze (EPM) \rightarrow amphetamine-induced 300 hyperlocomotion. Cohorts 3 and 4: open field \rightarrow FST \rightarrow 1st evaluation of food-anticipatory activity \rightarrow social preference task \rightarrow EPM \rightarrow tail suspension test (TST) \rightarrow nest building \rightarrow 301 302 light/dark transition \rightarrow instrumental learning with reversal in the operant box \rightarrow 2nd evaluation of food-anticipatory activity \rightarrow amphetamine-induced hyperlocomotion. Before each behavioral 303 304 testing, mice were habituated to the testing room for at least 30 minutes.

305 *Nest building*

Nest building behavior was evaluated as described in (Deacon, 2006). Mice were first individually
housed in new clean home cages. After 5 hours of habituation in the home cage and at least 3 hours
before the onset of the dark phase, 2.8-3 g of cellulose nestlet material was distributed in each cage.
The nest building activity was then evaluated using a scoring scale from 0 (untouched material) to

15

4 (complete, round, 3D nest), at times 10, 30, 60 and 180 minutes post material introduction. After
24 hours a last evaluation was recorded and the total amount of untorn material was weighed.

312 Locomotion in the open field

313 Mice were placed in the middle of a square plexiglass arena 40 x 40 cm and their locomotor activity 314 was recorded using a camera for 30 minutes in two consecutive days. The total distance travelled, 315 together with the time spent in the center and edges of the box, was analyzed by ToxTrac software 316 (Rodriguez et al., 2018). To test the acute effect of amphetamine, mice were habituated to the arena for 30 minutes, then injected i.p. with either saline or amphetamine (Sigma) dissolved in saline (2 317 318 mg/kg) (Yates et al., 2007). After the injection, mice were returned to the arena and recorded for 319 an additional 90 minutes. Immediately after the test, mice were anesthetized and transcardially 320 perfused for c-Fos analysis.

321 Elevated plus maze (EPM)

We followed the protocol by (Walf and Frye, 2007). Mice were placed in the center of a cross formed by two open and two closed arms. Using a camera placed above the maze, any movements were recorded for 5 min. Based on the recordings, the time spent in each arm and number of entries were manually evaluated.

326 Light/dark transition

The light/dark transition task was used for assessing anxiety-like behavior (Crawley and Goodwin, 1980). Half of a plexiglass 40 x 40 cm open field arena was enclosed in a black cardboard envelope and divided into two halves, light and dark, using a black partition. The black insert had a small opening in the front to allow the mouse to freely move between the light and the dark half of the arena. The test was done during the dark phase of the light cycle (active phase) in a bright room. Mice were placed in the center of the open part of the arena and allowed freely explore both parts. The session was recorded and time spent in each part of the arena during the 10 min session wasmanually scored.

335 Forced swimming test (FST)

The FST for assessment of depressive-like behavior was performed as described in (Martyn et al., 2012). A 2 L beaker was filled with 1.8 L of tap water whose temperature was maintained at 25-27 °C throughout the experiment. The water was changed every 3 or 4 animals. For testing, mice were gently placed into the beaker and recorded for 6 minutes. The time spent struggling for escape vs. the time spent immobile was scored by an experimenter. Only 5 minutes of the test were scored as the first minute was not evaluated.

342 *Evaluation of food anticipation*

343 To determine the effect of the deletion on the food anticipation, the locomotor activity was monitored for 20 days. During the monitoring, the mice were maintained individually in cages 344 345 equipped with infrared movement detectors attached above the cage in the center which allowed 346 for the detection of locomotor activity across the entire cage. The monitoring started under the 347 standard ad libitum conditions for 10 days, and were followed by monitoring under the regime 348 during which the food access was restricted to only 6 h centered to the middle of the light phase 349 of the light/dark cycle (Polidarová et al., 2013). A circadian activity monitoring system (Dr. H.M. 350 Cooper, INSERM, France) was used to measure activity at 1 min intervals. The resulting data were 351 analyzed using the ClockLab toolbox (Actimetrix, Illinois, USA). Double-plotted actograms were 352 generated for visualization of data.

353 Social preference task

We assessed the sociability of our mice using a three-chamber plexiglass apparatus (L x W x H, 90 x 23 x 23 cm) (Nadler et al., 2004). The two lateral compartments of the apparatus contained a wire mesh pen cup and the middle compartment was empty. After 5 min of habituation in the apparatus, a novel juvenile (4 to 7 weeks old) male mouse was placed into one of the pen cups while the other remained empty. The tested animal was free to explore the compartments for an additional 10 min and its behavior was recorded by a camera. The total time spent in each of the 3 compartments and the time spent interacting with the occupied and the unoccupied pen cup were evaluated manually by a blinded experimenter.

362 Novel object recognition (NOR)

363 The procedure for testing memory was adapted from (Zhang et al., 2012). On day 1, mice were 364 habituated for 20 minutes in a clean empty home cage. The next day, mice were placed in the same 365 cage for 10 minutes and they were presented with two identical objects (small plastic black and 366 white striped cups). After 1 hour spent in their home cage, mice were placed back into the testing 367 cage for 5 minutes where one of the cups was replaced with a similar but novel object (a small round plastic toy with a black stripe). Both the training and test sessions were recorded, and the 368 369 time spent exploring each individual object was manually scored by a blinded observer. Based on 370 the scores, the recognition index was expressed in percentage as Recognition index = time 371 exploring novel object/(time exploring novel object + time exploring familiar object) x 100. A lack 372 of innate bias for one of the two different objects was assessed by a pilot study.

373 Cued Morris water maze (MWM)

The cued version of the MWM was performed as described in (Rossato et al., 2006), with the platform at water level, visible and with a black and white striped flag as a cue. Mice were tested over two days with a novel platform and starting location each time. On day 1, the training consisted of 8 consecutive trials with a maximum duration of 60 s interleaved with a 60 s intertrial interval. After 24 h, mice were probed for their retention in 2 trials, starting from positions 379 that were not used during the training. Latency to reach the platform and the distance travelled by 380 the animal was recorded with a Tracker software (Biosignal Group) and analyzed by CM Manager 381 version 0.4.0 Bahnik, available (open source by Stepan at: 382 https://github.com/bahniks/CM Manager 0 4 0).

383 *Grooming test*

Spontaneous and induced grooming was assessed by the grooming test. The test was performed as described in (Wang et al., 2016). Before testing, mice were habituated to a novel empty cage for 5 minutes. After habituation, mice were recorded for 5 minutes (pre-spray phase), then removed from the cage and slightly misted with water from a spray bottle before being returned to the test cage. Recording continued for an additional 10 minutes (post-spray phase). Grooming events (number of events and their duration) were scored by a blinded experimenter.

390 *Marble burying test*

A compulsive-like behavior was tested using the marble burying test (Angoa-Pérez et al., 2013).
Twenty glass marbles were positioned evenly on the surface of a 5 cm high layer of clean bedding
in a standard holding cage. Mice were recorded for 15 minutes and the number of marbles buried
was evaluated by a blinded experimenter. The marble was considered buried if at least two thirds
were covered with bedding.

396 Hole-board test

Exploratory behavior was assessed by the hole-board test that was performed according to (Wang et al., 2016) and (Martos et al., 2017). Mice were placed in the 40 cm x 40 cm plexiglass arena containing a plexiglass insertion with 16 equidistant 2 cm wide holes. Mouse were recorded for 30

400 minutes. The numbers and positions of head-dippings were scored by a blinded experimenter.

401 Response-based T-maze task

19

402 To test the ability to discriminate between a correct and incorrect body turn (left or right), we used 403 a response-based T-maze task. We habituated the animals extensively before the training (Deacon 404 and Rawlins, 2006) and we adapted the procedure as described in (Okada et al., 2018). To perform 405 in the task mice were mildly food-restricted, and they were motivated by a sweetened condensed 406 milk, diluted in half of the original concentration with tap water. The volume of a single reward 407 was 40 ul. For the task, we used a cross-maze apparatus where one of the arms was always blocked 408 so the apparatus formed a T-shape with one starting and two target arms. The blocked and the 409 starting arm were opposite to each other and their positions was alternated so the starting positions 410 were pseudo-randomly changing for each animal. During the habituation period, reward was 411 placed in both target arms and mice were taught to leave the starting arm and reach and consume 412 the reward within 90 seconds. After the habituation, mice were moved to the acquisition phase 413 where the reward was only placed in either left or right arm. The correct side was based on the 414 actual starting position of the animal (Fig. 7h) so it alternated between the arms but the mouse was 415 always required to take the same body turn. Once the mice reached the learning criterion in the 416 acquisition phase (above 50 % in cohort 1, 70 % in cohort 2), they were moved to the reversal 417 phase where the correct body turn was switched. The mice that failed to reach the learning criterion 418 during the acquisition were not moved to the reversal phase but their acquisition data were included 419 in the analysis. Due to control mice learning the task faster than expected in the cohort 1, we 420 adjusted the learning criteria in the cohort 2 and the pooled data were expressed and analyzed as 421 the percentage of control performance.

422 Instrumental and reversal learning in the operant box

423 Mice were tested using a standard operant chamber equipped with two fixed levers and a pellet
424 dispenser (Med Associates, Vermont, USA). Mice were rewarded with chocolate flavored cereal

425 pellets (20 mg each, Bio-Serv, New Jersey, USA). Throughout testing, mice received a maximum 426 of 1 session per day and they were usually tested 5 days per week. On day 1 of the pretraining, 427 mice were habituated to the box for 10 minutes. No reward was administered during the session. 428 After the session, four reward pellets per mouse were placed into the home cage for habituation to 429 the reward. On day 2, a 10-minute habituation to the box was performed again while four reward 430 pellets were placed into the feeder. Only mice that consumed the pellets were moved to the next 431 stage. On the 3rd day, mice were placed in the box for 30 minutes while the dispenser automatically 432 delivered 1 pellet every 2 minutes. Only mice that consumed all pellets were moved onto the next 433 stage. On the last day of pretraining, mice were required to press any lever (left or right) in order 434 to obtain a pellet reward. The criterion at this stage was to earn at least 30 rewards during a 30-435 minute session while mice were allowed to earn maximum 40 rewards. During the acquisition 436 stage, mice were required to press only the correct lever (either left or right; the correct side was chosen based on the last day of pretraining - it was the side that was less preferred) in order to 437 438 obtain the reward. Pressing the incorrect lever was recorded but it had no consequences. Mice were 439 allowed to earn a maximum of 40 rewards during a 30-minute session. The learning criterion 440 required to move to the next stage was to earn all 40 rewards while maintaining at least 80 % 441 correct presses. After completing the acquisition phase, mice were subjected to two more identical 442 sessions to ensure stable performance. In the following reversal phase, lever contingencies were 443 switched so the previously correct lever became incorrect and vice versa. Again, mice were 444 required to earn 40 rewards with at least 80 % accuracy. After reaching this criterion, they were subjected to two more identical sessions. 445

446 Immunofluorescence (IF)

447 After the transcardial perfusion with 4 % PFA in PBS, brains were extracted and post-fixed 448 overnight in PFA 4 % at 4 °C. Overnight incubations with sucrose 10 % and 30 % followed. Brains 449 were cut with vibratome (Leica VT1000S) in 40 µm sections for either on-slide IF or stored in the 450 cryoprotective solution as free floating. All procedures except for the primary antibody incubation 451 were performed at room temperature. After the permeabilization step (1.2 % Triton X-100 in PBS 452 for 20 min), sections were washed in PBS for 10 min. For DARPP-32 staining, the 453 permeabilization step has been replaced by 3 consecutive washes of 5 min in ethanol 50 %, 70 %, 454 50 %. For blocking of the non-specific binding, sections were incubated with 5 % normal goat 455 serum (NGS) in PBS for 1h. Primary antibodies used were chicken or rabbit anti-GFP (Abcam, 456 RRID: AB 300798, 1:1000, and ThermoFisher, RRID: AB 2536526, 1:200, respectively), rabbit 457 anti-c-Fos (Abcam, RRID: AB 2737414, 1:500) and goat anti-DARPP-32 (R&D Systems, RRID: 458 AF6259, 1:500) and guinea pig anti-VAChT (1:5000) (Gras et al., 2008). They were diluted in 459 PBS with 0.2 % Triton X-100 and 2 % NGS and incubated overnight at 4 °C. The next day, sections 460 were incubated with secondary antibodies (anti-chicken Alexa Fluor 488, anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 461 594 and 680, anti-goat Alexa Fluor 594 and anti-guinea pig Alexa Fluor 594; Jackson 462 ImmunoResearch) in PBS with 0.2 % Triton X-100 and 2 % NGS, mounted on slides and 463 coverslipped with Fluoroshield medium (Sigma). Images were acquired through Leica SP8 WLL 464 MP confocal microscope, using HC PL FLUOTAR 5x and 10x and HC PL APO 40x objectives, 465 and Andor Dragonfly 503 - spinning disk confocal microscope (HCX PL APO 40x objective). 466 Quantification of c-Fos positive cells was performed with Fiji and CellProfiler software.

467 IF Analysis of c-Fos expression

468 Quantification of c-Fos positive cells was performed in beta2-del mice, in cohorts 2, 3 and 4 for a
469 total of 40 animals divided into 4 experimental groups: 8 and 9 control mice obtained saline and

470 amphetamine, respectively, 13 and 10 beta2-del mice received the same treatment. Two mice from 471 the saline/beta2-del group and 1 mouse from the amphetamine/beta2-del group were excluded as 472 outliers based on their locomotion or c-Fos expression data. For each animal, three confocal (40x 473 objective) maximal projection pictures per hemisphere, spanning throughout the DS – AP 1.5 and 474 AP 1.2 and AP 0.9, ML 1.5, DV 2.4, were quantified using Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012) and 475 CellProfiler software (Kamentsky et al., 2011). A pipeline was setup in CellProfiler, defining the 476 global thresholding strategy with otsu method for the nuclei (Hoechst) and MoG for GFP and c-Fos. Objects with a typical diameter between 10- and 20-pixel units were counted. Clumped 477 478 objects were distinguished by Laplacian of Gaussian method. Blue-thresholding module with 479 intensity-calibrated resolution was used as mask for counting GFP and c-Fos objects. These values 480 were controlled by manual multi-counting in Fiji. Positive cells for each marker were then 481 expressed as percentage of the nuclei and averaged for each animal. Three animals from each 482 experimental group were used for analyzing the colocalization of c-Fos expressing cells with 483 DARPP-32. The analysis was done by using custom macros in Python and ImageJ Macro 484 Language with plugins (StarDist; JACoP; MorphoLibJ; 3D ImageJ Suite) (Bolte and Cordelieres, 485 2006; Ollion et al., 2013; Legland et al., 2016; Schmidt et al., 2018) available for the Fiji software 486 package to obtain suitable evaluation of the signal intensity throughout the z-stacks. 7 control mice 487 and 8 beta2-del mice were used for further evaluation of c-Fos expression in combination with 488 VAChT and GFP expression. For each animal, two confocal z-stack pictures (63x objective) per 489 hemisphere were quantified manually by using Fiji.

490 Data availability

491 Data generated in the study will be provided upon request.

492

493 Results

494 mRNA coding for beta2 nicotinic subunit is expressed by specific groups of striatal INs, with 495 CINs showing the highest level of expression

496 Although different subtypes of nAChRs are expressed by striatal neurons, the most common 497 nicotinic subunit is likely the beta2 subunit coded by the Chrnb2 gene (M. Picciotto et al., 2000; 498 Jaunarajs et al., 2015; Quik and Wonnacott, 2011; Quik et al., 2009). Therefore, to investigate the 499 function of nAChRs in the mouse striatum, we decided to focus on this subunit. First, we used a 500 previously published scRNA-seq study performed in the mouse striatum (Munoz-Manchado et al., 501 2018) and re-analyzed the available data to assess the expression of the Chrnb2 gene, examining 502 the dataset GSE97478 from (Munoz-Manchado et al., 2018). We found that the Chrnb2 mRNA is 503 poorly expressed by all types of striatal neurons with little differences between individual groups 504 (Fig. 2 a-b). In all examined neuronal groups (MSNs and INs), a relatively large proportion of cells 505 showed no Chrnb2 transcripts. However, in all these groups there was a small proportion of cells 506 expressing Chrnb2 to some extent (Fig. 2a). When comparing the mean expression of Chrnb2, we 507 could rule out substantial differences (fold change > 2) between groups of striatal neurons (Fig. 508 2b). Of note, non-neuronal cells showed minimal *Chrnb2* expression and the proportion of cells 509 with zero transcripts was significantly higher and the mean expression lower than those in the 510 neuronal populations (Figure 2 a-b). The finding based on the scRNA-seq data was unexpected 511 since most evidence in literature suggest that MSNs express considerably less (if any) nAChRs 512 comparing to striatal INs. Therefore, we performed our own experiments using a different 513 approach, allowing us to examine Chrnb2 expression simultaneously in multiple neuronal types in 514 situ.

515 The phenotypes of the neurons expressing mRNA encoding the beta2 subunit of the nAChR 516 (Chrnb2) gene were analyzed by coFISH in the mouse striatum (caudatum-putamen, CPU) (Fig. 517 3). Chrnb2 mRNA was detected in scattered neurons all over the CPU (Fig. 3a). Several markers 518 including choline acetyltransferase (Chat, Fig.3 b-c), parvalbumin (Pvalb, Fig. 3d), neuropeptide 519 Y (Npy, Fig. 3e) and somatostatin (Sst, Fig. 3f) for INs and D1 or D2 dopamine receptors (Drd1 520 or Drd2, Fig. 3 g-h) for MSNs were co-analyzed with Chrnb2. As expected, all these markers were 521 detected in the striatum with their specific expression profiles. Interestingly, Chrnb2 was detected 522 in Chat+ neurons but also in Chat- neurons (Fig. 3 b-c) and the same observations were made for 523 Pvalb, Npy, Drd1 and Drd2 markers (Fig. 3 b-e, g-h). Chrnb2 was virtually absent in Sst+ neurons 524 (Fig. 3f). A quantitative analysis at 6 different bregma levels (rostral to caudal part of the CPU 525 (0,98; 0,74; 0,5; 0,26; 0,02; -0,22) revealed that Chrnb2 mRNA was expressed in the majority of 526 Chat+ neurons (96 %), but only in 24 % of Pvalb+ and 5.5 % of Npy+ neurons (Fig. 3i). Chat+ 527 neurons expressing Chrnb2 gene were distributed over the whole striatum all along the rostro 528 caudal axis, whereas Pvalb+ and Npy+ neurons expressing Chrnb2 were located only in the 529 dorsolateral part of the striatum. Expression of serotonin receptor 5HT₃A (Htr3a gene) was also 530 analyzed as a marker for a presumably distinct population of INs (Faust et al., 2016). However, 531 no or only very rare Htr3a+ cells were detected in the CPU and no colocalization was detected with Chrnb2 mRNA in the cells (Fig. 3 k-m). Interestingly, independent analyses of Chrnb2 532 expressing cells in sections probed for different markers showed that 80 %, 13 % and 7 % of 533 534 Chrnb2 expressing neurons are also Chat+, Pvalb+ and Npy+, respectively, thus adding up to 100 % 535 of all Chrnb2 expressing cells (Fig. 3j). Only 0.5 % of Drd1+ neurons displayed Chrnb2 mRNA. 536 Finally, the Drd2+ neurons that also displayed Chrnb2 gene were mostly large-sized, putatively 537 representing CINs (Le Moine et al., 1990). In addition, the proportion of Chrnb2+ neurons that

were Drd2- (20%) corresponded to the sum of the Chrnb2+ neurons that were Drd1+
(Chrnb2+/Pavalb+ and Chrnb2+/Npy+).

540

541 AAV-Cre injection into the DS of beta2-flox/flox mice induces a selective deletion of the beta2 542 nicotinic subunit in striatal neurons

543 To investigate a functional role of beta2-containing nAChRs expressed by striatal INs, we deleted 544 the beta2 subunit by injecting AAV-Cre virus into the DS of beta2-flox/flox mice carrying floxed 545 exon 5 of the Chrnb2 gene (Fig. 4a). The successful deletion of exon 5 was confirmed as an 546 additional 400 bp fragment on agarose gel (Fig. 4a). Importantly, the deletion fragment was only 547 present in striatal and not in midbrain samples which indicates that the virus did not travel 548 retrogradely from the striatum into the SNc. We also measured beta2 mRNA by RT-qPCR, 549 specifically targeting exon 5. We found a significant decrease in the level of beta2 mRNA isolated 550 from striatal punches taken inside the AAV-expressing area of AAV-Cre-injected mice (95 % CI 551 for the difference between means [-103.0; -79.35], t(11)=16.95, p<0.0001, two-tailed t-test). The 552 expression of beta2 mRNA remained unaffected in the cortex and midbrain and the expression of 553 the complementary nicotinic subunit alpha4 measured in striatal punches also did not change after 554 beta2 deletion (Fig. 4 e-h). Finally, we visualized the decrease of *Chrnb2* mRNA expression using 555 RNAscope. The analysis showed a marked decrease of mRNA puncta in the striatum of AAV-Cre-injected mice compared to control animals (95 % CI for the difference between means [-90.17; 556 557 -42.94], t(4)=7.824, p=0.0014, two-tailed t test) while there was no difference in the SNc (Fig. 4 558 b-d).

Midbrain DA neurons express their nAChRs on axonal terminals in the striatum where they control
the release of striatal DA (Cachope et al., 2012; Threlfell et al., 2012). We used ELISA to measure

561 DA concentrations in striatal homogenates from control and AAV-Cre-injected mice and we did 562 not find any difference between the groups (Fig. 4i). However, our experimental approach cannot 563 exclude more subtle changes in the release of striatal DA or changes limited to individual striatal 564 subregions. Taken together, these experiments confirmed that the injection of the AAV-Cre virus 565 caused a deletion of the beta2 subunit only at the site of injection. This was also confirmed by 566 direct examination of the spread of the virus in both coronal and sagittal sections from brains of AAV5-Cre-injected animals. While we detected a strong viral expression in the DS (Fig. 5 b-c), 567 we detected negligible expression in the midbrain region. Specifically, in one sagittal section, we 568 569 found 6 fluorescent nuclei in the SNc, suggesting that while the retrograde transport of this virus 570 is possible, it is rare, and likely does not contribute to a behavioral phenotype. Results of IF 571 analysis of the viral expression and viral leakage into structures beyond the DS are schematically 572 shown in Figure 5 d.

573

574 Mice with a deletion of the beta2 subunit in striatal neurons show increased anxiety-like 575 behavior and changes in social preference task

576 In the next part of the study, we wanted to examine if beta2-containing nAChRs expressed by INs 577 in the striatum play a role in controlling striatal-dependent behaviors. The striatum is a large 578 structure with different subregions controlling different functions. In our study, we primarily 579 focused on the DS including both medial and lateral part. The beta2-containing nAChRs were 580 previously shown to play a role in fundamental behaviors in mice including feeding, motivation and sleep/wake behavior (Dezfuli et al., 2020; Konsolaki et al., 2016; Léna et al., 2004). We 581 582 subjected a group of mice with the beta2 deletion in the DS (further referred to as beta2-del mice) 583 and control mice to a battery of behavioral tasks that are known to depend on striatal functions or,

in some cases, on nAChRs. However, investigating these domains did not reveal any significant
difference between control and beta2-del mice (Fig. 6 a-b, i-j, Fig. 8 f, g).

586 We also measured spontaneous locomotion in the open field in two consecutive days, thus probing 587 activity in novel and familiar environment. Control and beta2-del mice were not significantly 588 different, although there was a trend to hyperactivity on day 1 (novel environment) and the groups 589 were very similar on day 2 (familiar environment) (day vs. group interaction 95 % CI [-0.6509; 590 16.20], F(1, 59)=3.409, p=0.0699; two-way ANOVA) (Fig. 6 c-d, Fig. 8h). When we analyzed the time mice spent in the centre versus in the edges of the open field arena, we found that beta2-del 591 592 mice spent less time in the centre compared to controls (main effect of group: 95 % CI for the 593 difference between means [-8.475; -1.676], F(1, 59)=8.925, p=0.0041; two-way ANOVA), namely during the first day of the test (difference between means on day 1: 95 % CI [-12.0; -3.122], 594 595 p=0.0004; day 2: 95 % CI [-7.028; 1.845], p=0.3412; Sidak's post-test) (Fig. 6e, Fig. 8 a, i). 596 Spending less time in the central part of a novel environment indicates an increase in the anxiety-597 like behavior. Therefore, we used additional tasks to examine the anxiogenic effect of a beta2 598 deletion. The EPM did not reveal any effect of the beta2 deletion (Fig. 6f, Fig. 8k) while in the 599 light/dark transition task, we found that the beta2-del mice spend slightly but significantly less 600 time in the light part of the apparatus compared to controls (95 % CI for the difference between 601 means [-115.1; -3.595], t(27)=2.184, p=0.0378; two-tailed t-test) (Fig. 6g, Fig. 8b). Finally, we saw no difference between the control and beta2-del mice in immobility time during the FST used 602 603 for evaluation of behavioral despair (Fig. 6h, Fig. 8j).

604 Previously, it has been shown that social behavior depends on different types of INs in the DS

605 (Rapanelli et al., 2017). For the assessment of social behavior in beta2-del mice, we used the social

606 preference task (Fig. 6 k-n, Fig. 8 c-d, 1-o). In contrast to control animals who significantly

607 preferred the mouse chamber, the beta2-del mice did not show this preference and the time they 608 spent in with a mouse or an object did not differ (chamber vs. group interaction, 95 % CI [49.63; 609 166.4], F(1, 116)=13.43, p=0.0004; two-way ANOVA) (Fig. 6k, Fig. 8l). When we directly 610 compared the time that the two groups spent in the social chamber, we found a significant decrease 611 in beta2-del mice (95 % CI for the difference between means [-117.1; -22.64], p=0.0022, Sidak's 612 post-test) (Fig. 6k). To enhance our understanding of the behavior occurring during the task, we 613 analyzed time that the two groups of mice spent directly interacting (sniffing or pawing) with the 614 social and non-social stimulus. Surprisingly, the time spent interacting with the mouse did not 615 differ between controls and beta2-del mice (95 % CI for the difference between means [-20.95; 13.14], t(58)=0.4590, p=0.6480, two-tailed t-test) (Fig. 6l, Fig. 8m). In contrast, the beta2-del mice 616 617 showed notably longer time spent examining the non-social stimulus (95 % CI for beta2-618 del/controls ratio [1.19; 2.1], p=0.003, generalized linear model) (Fig. 6m, Fig. 8 c, n). Thus, the 619 lower sociability ratio in the beta2-del mice was purely driven by an increased object exploration 620 (Fig. 6n, Fig. 8 d, o). In summary, the beta2-del mice showed no changes in weight, nest building, 621 food-anticipatory activity, locomotion and depressive-like behavior while they showed an 622 increased anxiety-like behavior in two out of three tests. In addition, the most marked behavioral 623 change in beta2-del mice was an impairment in social preference task driven by a relatively higher 624 interest in inanimate objects compared to social stimuli.

625

Deletion of the beta2 nicotinic subunit in striatal neurons impairs response discrimination learning but has no effect on cognitive flexibility

In the next set of experiments, we focused on evaluating of cognitive functions in beta2-del mice.

629 Striatal ACh is indispensable for intact cognitive flexibility (Prado et al., 2016; Favier et al., 2020)

630 and nAChRs expressed by striatal INs have been also hypothesized to play a role in this cognitive 631 domain. Thus, we employed multiple tests to investigate cognitive flexibility and goal-directed 632 behavior. These tests included cued MWM for goal-directed behavior, grooming test, marble 633 burying test and hole board test for compulsive-like and repetitive behavior and lever-pressing task 634 in the operant box for reversal learning, but we did not find a significant alteration in any of them. 635 (Fig. 7a-h). In addition, episodic-like memory was not impaired in the beta2-del mice as 636 documented by NOR test (Fig. 7i). Finally, we trained beta2-del mice in a response-based T-maze 637 task to examine their egocentric navigation, discrimination and reversal learning (Fig. 7 j-l, Fig. 638 8e). In this task, mice were trained to navigate to a reward whose position was dependent on a 639 mouse's body turn. Two different starting arms of the maze were pseudo-randomly alternated so 640 that mice would not learn the position of the reward from the spatial cues (Fig. 7j). In the 641 acquisition phase of the task, mice were trained to make the correct body turn and in the following 642 reversal phase, the correct turn was changed to the opposite direction. We found that beta2-del 643 mice needed significantly more training sessions to reach the accuracy criteria during the acquisition phase of the T-maze task (mean percentage of the control values ±SEM in beta2-del: 644 645 178.8 ±5.9, 95 % CI for the difference between means [11.76; 145.9], t(13.96)=2.521, p=0.0245; 646 Welsh's t-test) (Fig. 7k, Fig. 8e). In contrast, the reversal phase did not show an impairment in 647 beta2-del mice. Instead, the data were more consistent with a better performance in beta2-del animals (mean percentage of the control values ±SEM in beta2-del: 78.4 ±7.2, 95 % CI for the 648 difference between means [-51.69; 8.489], t(20)=1.497, p=0.1499, two-tailed t-test) (Fig. 71). In 649 650 summary, cognitive tests showed no impairment of cognitive flexibility in beta2-del mice but they 651 identified the impairment of the initial discrimination learning in the egocentric navigation-based 652 T-maze task.

653

Deletion of the beta2 nicotinic subunit increases c-Fos expression in the DS and leads to a higher sensitivity to amphetamine

656 The FISH analysis showed that beta2-containing nAChRs are predominantly expressed by striatal 657 INs and their expression by MSNs is negligible. Based on this finding we asked if the beta2 658 deletion would lead to a decreased activity of striatal INs and what would be the ultimate effect on 659 the activity of MSNs. To answer this question, beta2-del and control mice received i.p. injection 660 of either saline or amphetamine (Fig. 9a). Following the injection, we measured locomotion as a 661 behavioral marker of striatal activity and we also evaluated c-Fos expression in the DS as a 662 biochemical correlate of neuronal activity. The comparison of controls and beta2-del mice during 663 habituation period (before injection) revealed a significantly higher locomotor activity in the 664 beta2-del (95 % CI for the difference between means [4.787; 27.29], t(42)=2.877, p=0.0063, twotailed t-test) (Fig. 9 b, d, Fig. 8p). When we analyzed the activity of mice after the injection, we 665 found a significant effect of amphetamine (main effect of treatment: 95 % CI [58.03; 154.9], F(1, 666 667 40)=19.75, p<0.0001, two-way ANOVA) and no effect of group or interaction (Fig. 9 c, d, Fig. 8q). When further examined with post-tests, we found a marked difference between the saline- and 668 669 amphetamine-injected beta2-del mice (95 % CI [52.83; 225.8], p=0.0006, Tukey's multiple 670 comparisons test) and no difference between the saline- and amphetamine-injected controls, 671 possibly due to older age of the experimental animals (8 months, see Fig. 1).

In addition to measuring locomotion as a behavioral marker of MSN activity, we also evaluated c-Fos expression in the DS. Similar to the analysis of locomotor activity, we found a significant effect of amphetamine (main effect of treatment: 95 % CI [0.5088; 2.307], F(1, 33)=10.15, p=0.0031, two-way ANOVA). In addition, there was an effect of beta2 deletion (main effect of 676 group: 95 % CI [0.1795; 1.977], F(1, 33)=5.958, p=0.0202; two-way ANOVA) and post-tests 677 showed only a significant difference between the saline- and amphetamine-injected beta2-del mice 678 (95 % CI [0.2063; 3.452], p=0.0222, Tukey's multiple comparisons test) (Fig. 9 e, g). Given the 679 similarity of the locomotion and c-Fos expression data, we decided to test if locomotor activity is 680 correlated with the level of c-Fos expression. Indeed, the distance travelled after injection was 681 positively correlated with the percentage of c-Fos-expressing cells in the DS (Fig. 9f), suggesting 682 that both parameters can be used as indicators of striatal activity. In summary, beta2-del mice 683 showed an increase in both behavioral and biochemical marker of striatal activity. The striatum is 684 composed of two different types of MSNs with antagonistic effect on locomotor activity and 685 several types of INs which effect on locomotion is largely unknown. To obtain more information 686 on the identity of c-Fos expressing neurons associated with the increased locomotion, we stained 687 striatal sections in a subgroup of ctrl and beta2-del mice with the antibody against dopamine- and 688 cAMP-regulated neuronal phosphoprotein (DARPP-32), a marker of MSNs (Fig. 10a). As 689 expected, most of the c-Fos-expressing neurons were also stained for the DARPP-32, as MSNs 690 form about 95 % of all striatal neurons. However, between 5-10 % of c-Fos-expressing neurons in 691 all examined sections were DARPP-32 negative, presumably representing activated striatal INs 692 (Fig. 10 a-b). The proportion of DARPP-32 negative/c-Fos positive neurons was similar in all 693 experimental groups, indicating that both the beta2 deletion and the amphetamine treatment 694 increased the activity in both MSNs and INs (Fig. 10b). Finally, we hypothesized that among the 695 different types of striatal INs, those with significant beta2 deletion, namely CINs, should show a decrease in c-Fos expression. We tested this hypothesis by triple staining against GFP, c-Fos and 696 697 vesicular acetylcholine transporter (VAChT), a marker for CINs. Analysis of c-Fos and VAChT 698 labeling revealed that percentage of c-Fos-positive neurons out of all VAChT-positive neurons

was lower in beta2-del mice compared to controls, although due to low number of CINs, and
between-mice variability, the evidence is not completely conclusive (95 % credible interval for the
odds ratio between ctrl and beta2-del [0.09; 1.02], generalized linear mixed model) (Fig. 10 c-d).

702

703 Discussion

704 Although ACh is widely accepted as a key modulator of striatal signaling and function, the 705 mechanisms underlying this modulation are still elusive. In particular, little is known about the 706 expression and function of nAChRs expressed by individual populations of striatal neurons. In the 707 present study, we used FISH simultaneously probing all major markers of striatal neurons to 708 evaluate expression of beta2-containing nAChRs in the striatum. We also deleted beta2 nicotinic 709 subunit in striatal INs to reveal a functional significance of the relatively scarce population of 710 receptors. Finally, our analysis of c-Fos expression indicated that the beta2-containing nAChRs 711 expressed by striatal INs have overall inhibitory effect on other striatal neurons, both MSNs and 712 INs.

713 Expression of beta2 nAChR by striatal neurons

714 Our FISH analysis showed that *Chrnb2* mRNA is not particularly abundant in the striatum and it 715 is present almost exclusively in INs, specifically in CINs, PV+ and NPY+ INs. The current 716 knowledge on the expression of nAChRs by individual types of striatal neurons primarily arises from electrophysiological studies that detect changes in neuronal firing after the application of 717 718 nicotine or nAChRs antagonists coupled with optogenetic activation of striatal cholinergic 719 interneurons (English et al., 2011; Koós and Tepper, 2002; Luo et al., 2013; Sullivan et al., 2008; 720 Ibáñez-Sandoval et al., 2015; Faust et al., 2015; Faust et al., 2016; Assous et al., 2017). Most of 721 these studies found the expression of nAChRs in striatal GABAINs (Sullivan et al., 2008; Faust et

722 al., 2016; Faust et al., 2015; English et al., 2011). The FISH data presented here broaden this view: 723 first, they confirm that beta2-containing nAChRs are primarily expressed by striatal INs and not 724 by the MSNs; second, they show that majority of Chrnb2 mRNA is expressed by CINs in adult 725 mouse striatum. While certain level of Chrnb2 expression by CINs has been previously suggested 726 (Lim et al., 2014; Assous, 2021), CINs have been rarely viewed as the main site of Chrnb2 727 expression among individual populations of striatal INs. It should be noted that the literature on 728 nicotinic expression by striatal neurons has been quite diverse and sometimes contradictory. In 729 particular, there is data that is both in favor (Koós and Tepper, 2002) and against (English et al., 730 2011) the expression of nAChRs by fast-spiking interneurons. Some discrepancies can also be 731 found in the case of MSNs where at least one study confirms the presence of nAChRs on MSNs 732 (Liu et al., 2007) while others do not (Luo et al., 2013; Matsubayashi et al., 2001). Several factors 733 may contribute to these discrepancies. First, mRNA expression does not necessarily reflect 734 expression on the protein level. Second, in all neuronal populations presented in (Munoz-735 Manchado et al., 2018), there was a large proportion of cells displaying no expression. This overall 736 low expression may contribute to the contradictory data in literature on nAChRs' expression by 737 striatal neurons. Lastly, it has been suggested that the expression of nAChRs in the striatum is 738 strongly age- and learning-dependent (Havekes et al., 2011). In our experiments, we used 3-4 739 months old mice while in electrophysiological studies the age of animals is usually lower. Thus, 740 we speculate that the nAChR expression in individual striatal neuronal types flexibly changes 741 during lifetime and is controlled by behavioral state and other mechanisms beyond just the neuron's 742 identity.

743 Behavioral significance of beta2-containing nAChRs

744 The DS is involved in the control of multiple behavioral domains. In order to investigate which of 745 them are influenced by beta2-containing nAChRs expressed by striatal INs, we performed 746 numerous behavioral tests. The behavioral phenotype observed in beta2-del mice is generally in 747 agreement with previous studies reporting that centrally expressed nAChRs control explorative 748 and social behavior, anxiety and higher cognitive functions (Koukouli and Changeux, 2020; Avale 749 et al., 2011; Picciotto et al., 2015). However, the global knockout (KO) of beta2 nicotinic subunit 750 has been reported to increase social approach in the social preference test (Avale et al., 2011; 751 Guillem et al., 2011) and higher activity of $\alpha 4\beta 2$ nAChRs lead to increased anxiety in mice 752 (Labarca et al., 2001). During the social preference task, our beta2-del mice spent less time in the 753 social compartment compared to controls but the time spent directly interacting with the social 754 stimulus was not decreased. Instead, the time spent interacting with the non-social object was 755 significantly higher, resulting in a lower sociability ratio. The apparent social deficit in beta2-del 756 mice may be therefore more related to impaired exploratory behavior and an altered response to 757 novelty that was also previously reported in beta2-KO mice (Granon et al., 2003; Maubourguet et 758 al., 2008). In line with this, beta2-del mice also showed hyperactivity in a novel environment. 759 However, we found a discrepancy between the open field test that did not reveal hyperactivity in 760 beta2-del mice and the amphetamine test where the beta2-del were clearly hyperactive during the 761 pre-injection habituation period. This can be caused by several factors: the two tasks were 762 performed at different ages as we tested our mice between 3 and 8 month of age and the open field 763 and amphetamine test were the first and the last task performed, respectively. In relation to this, 764 the beta2 deletion was induced 1 month before the open field testing while it was in place for 6 765 months already in case of the amphetamine test. During that period, additional compensatory 766 changes could develop to contribute to hyperactivity in the older beta2-del mice. It should be also

noted that the broad age span during which the behavioral testing took place could have influencedother behavioral domains as well.

769 In addition to changes in explorative behavior and locomotion, there was an evidence of increased 770 anxiety-like behavior in both the open field and light/dark transition tasks. While changes in this 771 behavior have not been commonly reported in genetic mouse models with beta2-containing 772 nAChR alterations, nicotine and nicotinic agonists and antagonists have been implicated in the 773 control of anxiety (Salín-Pascual and Basañez-Villa, 2003; Mineur et al., 2007; Mineur et al., 2013; 774 Picciotto et al., 2015). Finally, in beta2-del mice we found an impairment of discrimination 775 learning in the acquisition phase of the response-based T-maze task. This was rather unexpected 776 as striatal ACh has not been previously shown to play a role in the acquisition of discrimination 777 learning (Okada et al., 2018) and instead, its role in cognitive flexibility is well recognized (Prado 778 et al., 2016). However, we were not able to detect any cognitive flexibility impairment in beta2-779 del mice, even though we used several different tasks to reveal it. It is conceivable that the 780 impairment of discrimination learning detected in the present study reflects an impaired function 781 of striatal GABAINs expressing nAChRs, as recent studies showed the involvement of striatal SST+ and fast spiking (putative PV+) GABAINs in instrumental learning and egocentric 782 783 navigation in the T-maze (Holly et al., 2019; Owen et al., 2018).

In summary, it is quite remarkable that the selective deletion of relatively scarce population of receptors was able to induce a specific behavioral phenotype and that these receptors play a significant role in the control of striatal signaling. It should be noted here that the presented data apply only to male mice that were used exclusively throughout the study. However, a small cohort of female mice that we used in some pilot experiments suggested that the behavioral phenotype induced by beta2 deletion in females may be rather different and the topic requires furtherinvestigation.

791 Activating effect of beta2 deletion on striatal neurons

792 Through the analysis of c-Fos expression in the striatum, we show that the deletion of beta2-793 containing nAChRs has an overall activating effect on striatal neurons. This is seemingly in 794 accordance with electrophysiological data suggesting that nicotinic activation of striatal GABAINs 795 leads to inhibition of MSNs (Faust et al., 2016). However, the data indicate that the effect of beta2 796 deletion in our experiments is more complicated. First, majority of beta2-containing nAChRs is 797 expressed by CINs themselves and as CINs realize a very complex control over striatal signaling, 798 it is currently impossible to conclusively predict the effect of this deletion. Second, as shown by 799 our IF analysis, almost 10 % of the activated c-Fos expressing neurons are DARPP-32-negative 800 cells, presumably INs or possibly astrocytes (Groves et al., 2018). Because neither amphetamine 801 nor beta2-deletion had any effect on the proportion of DARPP-32-negative cells, the idea that it is 802 mostly MSNs that are dis-inhibited by the beta2 deletion seems unlikely. Rather, the activating 803 effect of the deletion on locomotion and sensitivity to stimulant agrees with the general notion that 804 CINs have overall inhibitory effect, opposing the striatal activation by dopamine. This view is 805 further supported by our data showing that in contrast to the overall increase in c-Fos induced by 806 beta2 deletion, CINs show rather a decrease of c-Fos expression.

In conclusion, our results show that nAChRs expressed by striatal CINs and other INs inhibit striatal activity. Through this inhibition they modulate behaviors, including social and exploratory behavior, anxiety-like behavior and learning. Future studies should determine a possible effect of age and learning on nAChR striatal expression and function.

811

813 A.A., A.S., V.B., S.D. and H.J. participated in the research design; A.A., S.D., I.R.B., A.T., J.M.,

814 R.R., P.H., S.N. and H.J. conducted experiments; M.M. performed reanalysis of the scRNA-seq

- data; M.C. performed analysis of DARPP-32 and Fos colocalization; A.A., S.D., A.S. and H.J.
- performed data analysis; A.A., M.M., A.S., V.B., S.D. and H.J. wrote or contributed to the writing
- 817 of the manuscript; all authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

818

819 References

- 820 Angoa-Pérez M, Kane MJ, Briggs DI, Francescutti DM, Kuhn DM (2013) Marble burying and
- nestlet shredding as tests of repetitive, compulsive-like behaviors in mice. J Vis Exp:50978
- Assous M (2021) Striatal cholinergic transmission. Focus on nicotinic receptors' influence in
 striatal circuits. Eur J Neurosci 53:2421–2442
- Assous M, Faust TW, Assini R, Shah F, Sidibe Y, Tepper JM (2018) Identification and
 Characterization of a Novel Spontaneously Active Bursty GABAergic Interneuron in the
- 826 Mouse Striatum. J Neurosci 38:5688–5699
- 827 Avale ME, Chabout J, Pons S, Serreau P, De Chaumont F, Olivo-Marin J-C, Bourgeois J-P,
- Maskos U, Changeux J-P, Granon S (2011) Prefrontal nicotinic receptors control novel social
 interaction between mice. FASEB J 25:2145–2155
- Bolte S, Cordelieres FP (2006) A guided tour into subcellular colocalization analysis in light
 microscopy. J Microsc 224:213–232
- 832 Burbridge TJ, Xu H-P, Ackman JB, Ge X, Zhang Y, Ye M-J, Zhou ZJ, Xu J, Contractor A, Crair
- 833 MC (2014) Visual Circuit Development Requires Patterned Activity Mediated by Retinal
- Acetylcholine Receptors. Neuron 84:1049–1064

- Bürkner P-C (2017) brms : An *R* Package for Bayesian Multilevel Models Using *Stan*. J Stat Softw
 836 80:1–28
- 837 Cachope R, Mateo Y, Mathur BN, Irving J, Wang H-L, Morales M, Lovinger DM, Cheer JF (2012)
- 838 Selective activation of cholinergic interneurons enhances accumbal phasic dopamine release:
- setting the tone for reward processing. Cell Rep 2:33–41.
- Crawley J, Goodwin FK (1980) Preliminary report of a simple animal behavior model for the
 anxiolytic effects of benzodiazepines. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 13:167–170
- 842 Deacon RMJ (2006) Assessing nest building in mice. Nat Protoc 1:1117–1119
- B43 Deacon RMJ, Rawlins JNP (2006) T-maze alternation in the rodent. Nat Protoc 1:7–12
- 844 Dezfuli G, Olson TT, Martin LM, Keum Y, Siegars BA, Desai A, Uitz M, Sahibzada N, Gillis RA,
- Kellar KJ (2020) α4β2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors intrinsically influence body weight
 in mice. Neuropharmacology 166:107921
- 847 Dumas S, Wallén-Mackenzie Å (2019) Developmental Co-expression of Vglut2 and Nurr1 in a
- 848 Mes-Di-Encephalic Continuum Preceeds Dopamine and Glutamate Neuron Specification.
 849 Front cell Dev Biol 7:307
- 850 English DF, Ibanez-Sandoval O, Stark E, Tecuapetla F, Buzsáki G, Deisseroth K, Tepper JM,
- Koos T (2011) GABAergic circuits mediate the reinforcement-related signals of striatal
 cholinergic interneurons. Nat Neurosci 15:123–130
- Eskow Jaunarajs KL, Bonsi P, Chesselet MF, Standaert DG, Pisani A (2015) Striatal cholinergic
 dysfunction as a unifying theme in the pathophysiology of dystonia. Prog Neurobiol 127–
 128:91–107
- 856 Faust TW, Assous M, Shah F, Tepper JM, Koós T (2015) Novel fast adapting interneurons mediate
- 857 cholinergic-induced fast GABAA inhibitory postsynaptic currents in striatal spiny neurons.

858 Eur J Neurosci 42:1764–1774

- Faust TW, Assous M, Tepper JM, Koos T (2016) Neostriatal GABAergic Interneurons Mediate
 Cholinergic Inhibition of Spiny Projection Neurons. J Neurosci 36:9505–9511
- Favier M et al. (2020) Cholinergic dysfunction in the dorsal striatum promotes habit formation and
 maladaptive eating. J Clin Invest 130:6616-6630
- Fino E, Vandecasteele M, Perez S, Saudou F, Venance L (2018) Region-specific and statedependent action of striatal GABAergic interneurons. Nat Commun 9:3339
- 865 Frahm S, Ślimak MA, Ferrarese L, Santos-Torres J, Antolin-Fontes B, Auer S, Filkin S, Pons S,
- 866 Fontaine J-F, Tsetlin V, Maskos U, Ibañez-Tallon I (2011) Aversion to Nicotine Is Regulated
- by the Balanced Activity of β4 and α5 Nicotinic Receptor Subunits in the Medial Habenula.
 Neuron 70:522–535
- 869 Franklin KBJ, Paxinos G (2008) The mouse brain in stereotaxic coordinates. Boston.
- Granon S, Faure P, Changeux J-P (2003) Executive and social behaviors under nicotinic receptor
 regulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci 100:9596–9601
- 872 Gras C, Amilhon B, Lepicard EM, Poirel O, Vinatier J, Herbin M, Dumas S, Tzavara ET, Wade
- 873 MR, Nomikos GG, Hanoun N, Saurini F, Kemel M-L, Gasnier B, Giros B, El Mestikawy S
- 874 (2008) The vesicular glutamate transporter {VGLUT}3 synergizes striatal acetylcholine tone.
- 875 Nat Neurosci 11:292–300.
- 876 Groves A, Kihara Y, Jonnalagadda D, Rivera R, Kennedy G, Mayford M, Chun J (2018) A
- 877 Functionally Defined In Vivo Astrocyte Population Identified by c-Fos Activation in a Mouse
- 878 Model of Multiple Sclerosis Modulated by S1P Signaling: Immediate-Early Astrocytes
- 879 (ieAstrocytes). eNeuro 5: ENEURO.0239-18.2018
- 880 Guillem K, Bloem B, Poorthuis RB, Loos M, Smit AB, Maskos U, Spijker S, Mansvelder HD

- 881 (2011) Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor β2 subunits in the medial prefrontal cortex control
 882 attention. Science 333:888–891
- Havekes R, Abel T, Van der Zee EA (2011) The cholinergic system and neostriatal memory
 functions. Behav Brain Res 221:412–423
- Holly EN, Davatolhagh MF, Choi K, Alabi OO, Vargas Cifuentes L, Fuccillo M V (2019) Striatal
 Low-Threshold Spiking Interneurons Regulate Goal-Directed Learning. Neuron 103:92-

887 101.e6

- 888 Ibáñez-Sandoval O, Xenias HS, Tepper JM, Koós T (2015) Dopaminergic and cholinergic
 889 modulation of striatal tyrosine hydroxylase interneurons. Neuropharmacology 95:468–476
- 890 Kamentsky L, Jones TR, Fraser A, Bray M-A, Logan DJ, Madden KL, Ljosa V, Rueden C, Eliceiri
- KW, Carpenter AE (2011) Improved structure, function and compatibility for CellProfiler:
 modular high-throughput image analysis software. Bioinformatics 27:1179–1180
- Konsolaki E, Tsakanikas P, Polissidis A V, Stamatakis A, Skaliora I (2016) Early Signs of
 Pathological Cognitive Aging in Mice Lacking High-Affinity Nicotinic Receptors. Front
 Aging Neurosci 8:91
- Koós T, Tepper JM (2002) Dual cholinergic control of fast-spiking interneurons in the neostriatum.
 J Neurosci 22:529–535
- Koukouli F, Changeux J-P (2020) Do Nicotinic Receptors Modulate High-Order Cognitive
 Processing? Trends Neurosci 43:550–564
- 900 Labarca C, Schwarz J, Deshpande P, Schwarz S, Nowak MW, Fonck C, Nashmi R, Kofuji P, Dang
- 901 H, Shi W, Fidan M, Khakh BS, Chen Z, Bowers BJ, Boulter J, Wehner JM, Lester HA (2001)
- 902 Point mutant mice with hypersensitive alpha 4 nicotinic receptors show dopaminergic deficits
- and increased anxiety. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98:2786–2791

- 904 Le Moine C, Tison F, Bloch B (1990) D2 dopamine receptor gene expression by cholinergic
 905 neurons in the rat striatum. Neurosci Lett 117:248–252
- 906 Lee K, Holley SM, Shobe JL, Chong NC, Cepeda C, Levine MS, Masmanidis SC (2017)
- 907 Parvalbumin Interneurons Modulate Striatal Output and Enhance Performance during
 908 Associative Learning. Neuron 93:1451-1463.e4
- Legland D, Arganda-Carreras I, Andrey P (2016) MorphoLibJ: integrated library and plugins for
 mathematical morphology with ImageJ. Bioinformatics 32:btw413
- 911 Léna C, Popa D, Grailhe R, Escourrou P, Changeux J-P, Adrien J (2004) Beta2-containing
- 912 nicotinic receptors contribute to the organization of sleep and regulate putative micro-arousals
- 913 in mice. J Neurosci 24:5711–5718
- 914 Lim SAO, Kang UJ, McGehee DS (2014) Striatal cholinergic interneuron regulation and circuit
 915 effects. Front Synaptic Neurosci 6:22.
- 916 Liu Z, Otsu Y, Vasuta C, Nawa H, Murphy TH (2007) Action-potential-independent GABAergic
- 917 tone mediated by nicotinic stimulation of immature striatal miniature synaptic transmission.
- **918** J Neurophysiol 98:581–593
- 919 Love MI, Huber W, Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
 920 RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15:550
- 921 Luo R, Janssen MJ, Partridge JG, Vicini S (2013) Direct and GABA-mediated indirect effects of
- 922 nicotinic ACh receptor agonists on striatal neurones. J Physiol 591:203–217
- 923 Assous M, Kaminer J, Shah F, Garg A, Koos T, Tepper JM (2017) Differential Processing of
- 924 Thalamic Information via Distinct Striatal Interneuron Circuits. Nat Commun 8:15860
- 925 Martos Y V., Braz BY, Beccaria JP, Murer MG, Belforte JE (2017) Compulsive Social Behavior
- 926 Emerges after Selective Ablation of Striatal Cholinergic Interneurons. J Neurosci 37:2849–

927 2858

928	Martyn AC, De Jaeger X, Magalhães AC, Kesarwani R, Gonçalves DF, Raulic S, Guzman MS,
929	Jackson MF, Izquierdo I, Macdonald JF, Prado MAM, Prado VF (2012) Elimination of the
930	vesicular acetylcholine transporter in the forebrain causes hyperactivity and deficits in spatial
931	memory and long-term potentiation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109:17651-17656
932	Matsubayashi H, Amano T, Amano H, Sasa M (2001) Excitation of rat striatal large neurons by
933	dopamine and/or glutamate released from nerve terminals via presynaptic nicotinic receptor
934	(A4beta2 type) stimulation. Jpn J Pharmacol 86:429–436
935	Maubourguet N, Lesne A, Changeux J-P, Maskos U, Faure P (2008) Behavioral sequence analysis
936	reveals a novel role for beta2* nicotinic receptors in exploration. PLoS Comput Biol
937	4:e1000229
938	Mineur YS, Obayemi A, Wigestrand MB, Fote GM, Calarco CA, Li AM, Picciotto MR (2013)
939	Cholinergic signaling in the hippocampus regulates social stress resilience and anxiety- and
940	depression-like behavior. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:3573-3578
941	Mineur YS, Somenzi O, Picciotto MR (2007) Cytisine, a partial agonist of high-affinity nicotinic
942	acetylcholine receptors, has antidepressant-like properties in male C57BL/6J mice.
943	Neuropharmacology 52:1256–1262
944	Muñoz-Manchado AB, Foldi C, Szydlowski S, Sjulson L, Farries M, Wilson C, Silberberg G,
945	Hjerling-Leffler J (2016) Novel Striatal GABAergic Interneuron Populations Labeled in the
946	5HT3a EGFP Mouse. Cereb Cortex 26:96–105
947	Muñoz-Manchado AB, Bengtsson Gonzales C, Zeisel A, Munguba H, Bekkouche B, Skene NG,
948	Lonnerberg P, Ryge J, Harris KD, Linnarsson S, Hjerling-Leffler J (2018) Diversity of
949	Interneurons in the Dorsal Striatum Revealed by Single-Cell RNA Sequencing and Patchseq.

- 950 Cell Rep 24:2179-2190.e7
- 951 Nadler JJ, Moy SS, Dold G, Simmons N, Perez A, Young NB, Barbaro RP, Piven J, Magnuson
- 952 TR, Crawley JN, Crawley JN (2004) Automated apparatus for quantitation of social approach
 953 behaviors in mice. Genes, Brain Behav 3:303–314
- 954 O'Hare JK, Li H, Kim N, Gaidis E, Ade K, Beck J, Yin H, Calakos N (2017) Striatal fast-spiking
 955 interneurons selectively modulate circuit output and are required for habitual behavior. Elife
 956 6:e26231
- 957 Okada K, Nishizawa K, Setogawa S, Hashimoto K, Kobayashi K (2018) Task-dependent function
 958 of striatal cholinergic interneurons in behavioural flexibility. Eur J Neurosci 47:1174–1183
- Ollion J, Cochennec J, Loll F, Escudé C, Boudier T (2013) TANGO: a generic tool for highthroughput 3D image analysis for studying nuclear organization. Bioinformatics 29:1840–
 1841
- 962 Picciotto M, Caldarone BJ, King SL, Zachariou V (2000) Nicotinic Receptors in the Brain Links
 963 between Molecular Biology and Behavior. Neuropsychopharmacology 22:451–465
- 964 Picciotto MR, Lewis AS, van Schalkwyk GI, Mineur YS (2015) Mood and anxiety regulation by
- 965 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors: A potential pathway to modulate aggression and related
 966 behavioral states. Neuropharmacology 96:235–243
- 967 Polidarová L, Sládek M, Nováková M, Parkanová D, Sumová A (2013) Increased sensitivity of
- 968 the circadian system to temporal changes in the feeding regime of spontaneously hypertensive
 969 rats a potential role for Bmal2 in the liver. PLoS One 8:e75690
- 970 Prado VF, Janickova H, Al-Onaizi MA, Prado MAM (2016) Cholinergic circuits in cognitive
 971 flexibility. Neuroscience 345:130-141
- 972 Quik M, Huang LZ, Parameswaran N, Bordia T, Campos C, Perez XA (2009) Multiple roles for

973 nicotine in Parkinson's disease. Biochem Pharmacol 78:67	'7–685
--	--------

- 974 Quik M, Wonnacott S (2011) 6 2* and 4 2* Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptors As Drug Targets
 975 for Parkinson's Disease. Pharmacol Rev 63:938–966
- 976 Rapanelli M, Frick LR, Xu M, Groman SM, Jindachomthong K, Tamamaki N, Tanahira C, Taylor
- 977 JR, Pittenger C (2017) Targeted Interneuron Depletion in the Dorsal Striatum Produces
 978 Autism-like Behavioral Abnormalities in Male but Not Female Mice. Biol Psychiatry 82:194979 203
- 980 Ribeiro EA et al. (2018) Transcriptional and physiological adaptations in nucleus accumbens
 981 somatostatin interneurons that regulate behavioral responses to cocaine. Nat Commun 9:3149
- 982 Rodriguez A, Zhang H, Klaminder J, Brodin T, Andersson PL, Andersson M (2018) *ToxTrac* : A
- 983 fast and robust software for tracking organisms Freckleton R, ed. Methods Ecol Evol 9:460–
 984 464
- 985 Rossato JI, Bevilaqua LRM, Medina JH, Izquierdo I, Cammarota M (2006) Retrieval induces
 986 hippocampal-dependent reconsolidation of spatial memory. Learn Mem 13:431–440
- Salín-Pascual RJ, Basañez-Villa E (2003) Changes in compulsion and anxiety symptoms with
 nicotine transdermal patches in non-smoking obsessive-compulsive disorder patients. Rev
 Invest Clin 55:650–654
- Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden
 C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez J-Y, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P,
 Cardona A (2012) Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods
- 993 9:676–682
- 994 Schmidt U, Weigert M, Broaddus C, Myers G (2018) Cell Detection with Star-convex Polygons.
 995 Available at: http://arxiv.org/abs/1806.03535

- Owen SF, Berke JD, Kreitzer AC (2018) Fast-Spiking Interneurons Supply Feedforward Control
 of Bursting, Calcium, and Plasticity for Efficient Learning. Cell 172:683-695.e15
- 998 Sullivan MA, Chen H, Morikawa H (2008) Recurrent inhibitory network among striatal
 999 cholinergic interneurons. J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci 28:8682–8690.
- 1000 Threlfell S, Lalic T, Platt NJ, Jennings KA, Deisseroth K, Cragg SJ (2012) Striatal dopamine
 1001 release is triggered by synchronized activity in cholinergic interneurons. Neuron 75:58–64.
- 1002 Venables WN (William N., Ripley BD, Venables WN (William N). (n.d.) Modern applied statistics1003 with S.
- Walf AA, Frye CA (2007) The use of the elevated plus maze as an assay of anxiety-related
 behavior in rodents. Nat Protoc 2:322–328
- 1006 Wang X et al. (2016) Altered mGluR5-Homer scaffolds and corticostriatal connectivity in a
 1007 Shank3 complete knockout model of autism. Nat Commun 7:11459
- 1008 Wang X, Gallegos DA, Pogorelov VM, O'Hare JK, Calakos N, Wetsel WC, West AE (2018)
- 1009 Parvalbumin Interneurons of the Mouse Nucleus Accumbens are Required For
- 1010 Amphetamine-Induced Locomotor Sensitization and Conditioned Place Preference.
- 1011 Neuropsychopharmacology 43:953–963
- 1012 Wickham H (2016) ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York.
- Yates JW, Meij JTA, Sullivan JR, Richtand NM, Yu L (2007) Bimodal effect of amphetamine on
 motor behaviors in C57BL/6 mice. Neurosci Lett 427:66–70
- 1015 Yu J, Yan Y, Li K-L, Wang Y, Huang YH, Urban NN, Nestler EJ, Schlüter OM, Dong Y (2017)
- 1016 Nucleus accumbens feedforward inhibition circuit promotes cocaine self-administration. Proc
- 1017 Natl Acad Sci U S A 114:E8750–E8759
- 1018 Zhang R, Xue G, Wang S, Zhang L, Shi C, Xie X (2012) Novel object recognition as a facile

behavior test for evaluating drug effects in AβPP/PS1 Alzheimer's disease mouse model. J
Alzheimers Dis 31:801–812

1021

1022 Figure legends

1023 Figure 1: Behavioral tests performed in individual cohorts of mice.

The order of tests in each cohort and the approximate age of tested animals are shown. We indicate
each month of age with an arrow, each arrow can host 4 dots representing the 4 weeks of the month.
On this time line, we are showing the order of the behavioral tasks in the two different cohorts.
The maximum age gap between the youngest and oldest animals in the cohorts is 6 weeks.

1028

1029 Figure 2: *Chrnb2* expression in individual cell populations in the striatum.

1030 (a) Expression of *Chrnb2* across cell populations from (Munoz-Manchado et al., 2018). Each point is a cell. The color represents the proportion of cells with the given number of transcripts in each 1031 1032 population. The cells below the horizontal red line have no Chrnb2 transcripts. The triangle 1033 represents an outlier cell that had 13 reads. (b) Differences between groups of cell populations as 1034 fitted by a Bayesian hierarchical generalized-linear model. Displayed are 50% (thick) and 95% 1035 (thin) posterior credible intervals for the difference of a given group (vertical axis) from a baseline 1036 group (subplot title). Fold change > 1 indicates the group on the vertical axis has higher mean expression than the baseline group. ASTRO: astrocytes; ENDO: endothelial cells; OLIGOS: 1037 1038 oligodendrocytes; CHAT: cholinergic interneurons; NPY NGC: NPY-expressing neurogliaform interneurons (INs); Pthlh: parathyroid hormone like hormone-expressing INs; Pvalb: 1039 1040 Parvalbumine-expressing INs; Sst: somatostatin-expressing INs; Th: tyrosinhydroxylase-1041 expressing INs; MSND1: D1-expressing MSNs; MSND2: D2-expressing MSNs.

1042

1043

sections identifying neuronal phenotypes expressing Chrnb2 gene in mouse striatum. 1044 1045 (a) Overview of Chrnb2 expression in the caudatum-putamen (CPU) at bregma 0.5. Chrnb2 (red), 1046 DAPI (Blue). (b) Schematic summary of findings mapping the extent of Chrnb2 and Chat overlap 1047 within CPU subnuclei. (c-h) Close ups of codetection of Chrnb2 (red) with (in green) (c) Chat, (d) Pvalb, (e) Npy, (f) Sst, (g) Drd1, (h) Drd2. Arrows point to cells positive for one mRNA only (red 1048 1049 or green) or double-positive (yellow arrows). i: Quantitative analysis of the proportion of Chat, 1050 Pvalb or Npy gene expressing neurons in the mouse striatum, displaying Chrnb2 mRNA. j: 1051 Quantitative analysis of the proportion of Chrnb2 gene expressing neurons in mouse striatum 1052 displaying also Chat, Pvalb or Npy mRNA. In i and j: Percentage indicates the result of 1053 quantification per CPU from 3 male mice. A mean of 700, 1200, 520, 830 Chat, Npy, Pvalb and Sst neurons, respectively, were analyzed per brain. (k-m) Htr3a+ cells in mouse coronal brain 1054 section. (1) Only minimum to none of Htr3a+ cells were found in the CPU. (m) Several cells 1055 1056 strongly positive for Htr3a are shown in the cortex. CPU: caudate putamen; Ms: medial septum; 1057 aca: anterior commissure; Ctx: cortex.

Figure 3: Double-labeling riboprobe fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) of serial

1058

Figure 4: Striatal injection of AAV-Cre leads to a specific decrease of beta2 mRNA in the striatum of beta2-flox/flox mice.

(a) Upper: A diagram showing exon 5 of the *Chrnb2* gene flanked by loxP sites in beta2-flox/flox
mice. Locations of the three primers used in the ELFO analysis below are also indicated. Lower:
The ELFO analysis shows samples from two representative mice injected with AAV-GFP or
AAV-Cre-GFP in the DS. The lower row on the gel shows 200 bp products of #198 and #199

1065 primers, the upper additional band shows an additional 400 bp product of #198 and Bot2-09 1066 primers indicating excision of exon 5. (b) RNAscope visualization of Chrnb2 mRNA in the DS and SNc in two representative mice injected with AAV-GFP or AAV-Cre-GFP. Chrnb2 mRNA 1067 1068 puncta are missing in the DS injected with Cre while the SNc of the same animal shows no decrease 1069 in puncta density. (c) Quantification of *Chrnb2* mRNA puncta in the DS. t(4)=7.824, p=0.0014, 1070 two-tailed t-test. (d) Quantification of *Chrnb2* mRNA puncta in the SNc. t(4)=0.5321, p=0.6228, two-tailed t-test. (e) Chrnb2 mRNA expression analyzed by RT-qPCR in striatal punches from 1071 1072 AAV-expressing area of mice injected with AAV-GFP or AAV-Cre-GFP. t(11)=16.95, p<0.0001, 1073 two-tailed t-test. (f) Chrna4 mRNA expression analyzed by RT-qPCR in striatal punches from AAV-expressing area. t(11)=0.5191, p=0.6140, two-tailed t-test. (g-h) Chrnb2 mRNA expression 1074 1075 analyzed by RT-qPCR in the cortex (g) and midbrain (h), cortex: t(11)=0.1788, p=0.8613; 1076 midbrain: t(6)=0.7645, p=0.4736; two-tailed t-test. (i) Concentration of dopamine measured by ELISA in homogenates prepared from mice injected with AAV-GFP or AAV-Cre-GFP. 1077 1078 t(14)=1.106, p=0.2874, two-tailed t-test. Number of samples used for analysis is indicated in 1079 graphs. All graphs show individual values and means \pm SEM. str: striatum; ctx: cortex; mdbr: 1080 midbrain.

1081

1082 Figure 5: Expression of the AAV-Cre-GFP virus injected in the DS of beta2-flox/flox mice.

(a) Scheme of the viral injections, 2 sites per hemisphere (frontal section). (b) A representative
image of the injection extent in the DS. An enlarged image shows transduction by the AAV5
serotype used in the study. (c) A sagittal brain section shows an expression of the AAV-Cre-GFP
in the DS and an absence of the viral expression in the midbrain. (d) Scheme of the degree of the
AAV-Cre-GFP expression in mice used for behavioral testing. The shaded areas show the extent

1088 of expression and the numbers in individual regions represent the number of mice that showed 1089 viral expression in that region. A darker shade is proportional to a higher number of mice showing 1090 expression in the region. Note that two of the animals (out of 32) used in behavioral tests died 1091 prematurely and were not included in the histological analysis.

1092

1093 Figure 6: Beta2-del mice show increased anxiety-like behavior and changes in the social task. (a) Weight of beta2-del and control mice 1 month post-surgery. n=30, n(ctrl)=27. t(55)=0.4069, 1094 p=0.6857, two-tailed t-test. (b) The amount of nestlet material left untorn in the nest building task. 1095 1096 n=32, n(ctrl)=29.95 % credible interval for difference in untorn material proportion (%) [-0.6; 20], Bayesian generalized linear model. (c) Left: Distance travelled during 30 minutes in the open field 1097 1098 task measured on two consecutive days. n=32, n(ctrl)=29. Effect of group: F(1, 59)=1.255, 1099 p=0.2672; effect of day: F(1, 59)=17.81, p<0.0001; group vs. day interaction: F(1, 59)=3.409, p=0.0699; two-way ANOVA. Locomotor activity divided into 5-minute time bins is shown as 1100 extended data in Figure 6-1. Right: Representative track records of a control and beta2-del mouse 1101 1102 during the first day in the open field. Note the lower preference for the central part of the arena by the beta2-del mouse. (d) Locomotor activity in open field divided into 5-minute time bins, 1103 measured on day 1 (left) and 2 (right). (e) Time spent in the center of the open field arena measured 1104 on two consecutive days. n=32, n(ctrl)=29. Effect of group: F(1, 59)=8.925, p=0.0041; effect of 1105 day: F(1, 59)=38.56, p<0.0001; group vs. day Interaction: F(1, 59)=6.494, p=0.0134; two-way 1106 1107 ANOVA. (f) Time spent in the open and closed arms of the EPM apparatus. n=31, n(ctrl)=26. Effect of group: F(1, 165)=0.000, p>0.999; effect of arm: F(2, 165)=138.6, p<0.0001; genotype 1108 1109 vs. arm interaction, F(2, 165)=0.1530, p=0.8583; two-way ANOVA. (g) Time spent in the light 1110 part of the arena during the light/dark task. n=16, n(ctrl)=13. t(27)=2.184, p=0.0378; two-tailed t1111 test. (h) Time spent immobile during the FST task. n=32, n(ctrl)=29.95% CI for beta2-del/controls 1112 ratio [0.88; 1.22], p = 0.658, generalized linear model. (i) Representative double-plotted locomotor activity records (actograms) of one control (left) and one beta2-del (right) mouse. The mice were 1113 maintained in the original light/dark cycle (grey area corresponds to darkness), and then they were 1114 1115 exposed to a restricted feeding (RF) regime (arrow) for 10 days. The time of food availability 1116 during 6 h centered to the middle of the light phase is shown as the dashed rectangle. Time intervals during which the activity was compared between the controls and the beta2-del mice are shown at 1117 the bottom of the actograms. n=15, n(ctrl)=10. (i) Comparison of locomotor activity of the control 1118 1119 (circles, open column) and the beta2-del (squares, grey column) mice under ad libitum (upper 1120 graph) and restricted feeding (lower graph) conditions as assessed in four intervals during the 24 h cycle depicted in Fig. 6i. The activity is expressed as the ratio of total daily activity of each 1121 1122 mouse. Data were analyzed by multiple t-test: upper graph, 0-3, p=0.7522; 3-9, p=0.7941; 9-12, p=0.8045; 12-24, p=0.8953; lower graph, 0-3, p=0.3174, 3-9, p=0.8551, 9-12, p=0.4827, 12-24, 1123 p=0.4526. (k) Time spent in the individual chambers of the apparatus during the social preference 1124 1125 task. n=32. n(ctrl)=28. Effect of group: F(1, 116)=1.158, p=0.2842; effect of chamber: F(1, 116)=1.041, p=0.3098; group vs. chamber interaction: F(1, 116)=13.43, p=0.0004; two-way 1126 ANOVA. (1) Time spent directly interacting with a juvenile mouse during the social preference 1127 task. t(58)=0.4590, p=0.6480, two-tailed t-test. (m) Time spent directly interacting with an 1128 inanimate object during the social preference task. p=0.003, generalized linear model. (n) 1129 1130 Sociability in beta2-del and control mice expressed as a ratio of time spent interacting with a mouse versus time spent interacting with an object. p=0.009; generalized linear model. All graphs are 1131 1132 showing means ±SEM. In selected tasks, we also correlated main behavioral parameters to the extent of AAV expression in the DS (Fig. 8 a-e). In tasks that were performed in all cohorts 1-4,
we analyzed performance of mice divided according to the age (cohort 1&2 vs. 3&4) (Fig. 8 f-q).

Figure 7: Beta2-del mice show a deficit in the acquisition of the T-maze task but they haveno impairment of cognitive flexibility.

1138 (a) Latency to reach a visible platform in the cued-MWM task. n=15, n(ctrl)=16. Effect of group, F(1, 29)=0.3785, p=0.5432; effect of day: F(1, 29)=48.16, p<0.0001; group vs. day interaction: 1139 F(1, 29)=0.6274, p=0.4347; two-way ANOVA. (b) Time spent grooming during the grooming test 1140 1141 before and after spraying the mice with water. n=15, n(ctrl)=16. Effect of group, F(1, 58)=0.5290, p=0.4699; effect pf phase: F(1, 58)=123.5, p<0.0001; group vs. phase interaction: F(1, 58)=0.2765, 1142 1143 p=0.6010; two-way ANOVA. (c) Number of buried marbles during the marble burying test. n=15, 1144 n(ctrl)=16. t(29)=0.2103, p=0.8349, two-tailed t-test. (d) The probability of returning to the same hole during the hole-board test. n=15, n(ctrl)=16. t(29)=1.096, p=0.2823, two-tailed t-test. (e) The 1145 1146 percentage of visited holes (out of 16) during the hole-board test. t(29)=1.611, p=0.1180, two-1147 tailed t-test. (f) The total number of head dippings during the hole-board test. p=0.27, generalized linear model. (g) Number of sessions needed to reach criteria during the acquisition and reversal 1148 1149 phase of the instrumental task. n=11, n(ctrl)=8. F(1, 33)=2.488, p=0.1243, mixed-effects model. 1150 (h) Percentage of correct presses during different stages of a simple instrumental task in the operant box. Effect of group: F(1, 17)=0.01091, p=0.9180; effect of session: F(2.537, 41.44)=57.05, 1151 1152 p < 0.0001; group vs. session interaction: F(6, 98)=0.3622, p=0.9011; mixed-effects model. (i) Recognition index in the NOR test. n=15, n(ctrl)=16. t(21.56)=1.708, p=0.1021, Welch's t-test. (j) 1153 1154 A diagram showing two alternating starting positions in the response-based T-maze task and the 1155 respective location of the reward. (k) Number of sessions needed to reach learning criteria during

1156 the acquisition phase of the T-maze task expressed as percentage of control performance. n=14, 1157 n(ctrl)=14. t(13.96)=2.521, p=0.0245; Welsh's t-test. (1) Number of sessions needed to reach learning criteria during the reversal phase of the T-maze task expressed as percentage of control 1158 performance. n=11, n(ctrl)=8. t(20)=1.497, p=0.1499, two-tailed t-test. All graphs are showing 1159 means ±SEM. In selected tasks, we also correlated main behavioral parameters to the extent of 1160 AAV expression in the DS (Extended data, Fig. 6-2). In tasks that were performed in all cohorts 1161 1162 1-4, we analyzed performance of mice divided according to the age (cohort 1&2 vs. 3&4) 1163 (Extended data, Fig. 6-3).

1164

1165 **Figure 8: Additional analysis of selected behavioral tasks.**

1166 (a-e) Main parameters of selected behavioral tasks correlated to AAV expression in the dorsal 1167 striatum. The individual parameters are (a) time spent in the center (%) during the open field test 1168 on day 1, (b) time spent in light (s) during the light/dark task, (c) time interacting with object (s) 1169 during the social preference test, (d) mouse/object interaction time (ratio) during the social 1170 preference test and (e) number of sessions needed to reach performance criteria in the acquisition phase of the T-maze task. Note that none of the parameters shows a significant correlation with 1171 the AAV expression although the correlation almost reaches significance in (c). (f-q) Graphs 1172 1173 showing split performance for cohort 1&2 vs. 3&4. Individual performances corresponding to mice in cohort 1&2 (green circles and triangles for controls and beta2-del, respectively) and 3&4 1174 (red circles and triangles for controls and beta2-del, respectively) are shown. Only tests that were 1175 performed in both cohorts are shown: (f) weight after surgery, (g) nest building test, (h-i) 1176 1177 locomotion in the open field, (i) forced swim test, (k) elevated plus maze, (1-o) social preference task, (p-q) locomotion in amphetamine experiment before (p) and after (q) injection. Note that 1178

when performance of ctrl and beta2-del mice was analyzed separately for cohort 1&2 vs. 3&4,
only the difference in "Time interacting with object" (n) reached the significance in both cohorts
before pooling the data.

1182

Figure 9: Beta2-del mice are hyperactive and show higher amphetamine-induced c-Fos expression compared to controls.

(a) A diagram showing the experimental design. (b) Distance travelled during the 30 minutes of 1185 habituation (pre-injection) in the open field. n=24, n(ctrl)=20. t(42)=2.877, p=0.0063, two-tailed 1186 1187 t-test. (c) Distance travelled in the open field for the 90 minutes following an acute saline or amphetamine injection. n(saline/amphetamine)=11/13, n(ctrl; saline/amphetamine)=9/11. Effect 1188 1189 of group: F(1, 40)=3.519, p=0.068; effect of treatment: F(1, 40)=19.75, p<0.0001; group vs. 1190 treatment interaction: F(1, 40)=1.886, p=0.1773; two-way ANOVA. (d) Locomotion during the amphetamine experiment divided into 5-minute time bins. We also analyzed distance travelled 1191 before and after injection in individual cohorts of mice divided according to the age (cohort 1&2 1192 1193 vs. 3&4) (Extended data, Fig. 6-3). (e) Analysis of c-Fos expression in the DS of beta2-del and 1194 control mice injected either with saline or amphetamine. n(saline/amphetamine)=11/9, n(ctrl;1195 saline/amphetamine)=8/9. An average of 1000 nuclei per picture were analyzed in 6 brain sections per mouse. Effect of group: F(1, 33)=5.958, p=0.0202; effect of treatment: F(1, 33)=10.15, 1196 p=0.0031; group vs. treatment interaction: F(1, 33)=0.9106, p=0.3469; two-way ANOVA. (f) 1197 1198 Distance traveled after injection correlated to c-Fos expression. (g) Right: A representative section 1199 counterstained with Hoechst showing GFP and c-Fos expression. A white square shows a typical area in the DS that was used for c-Fos analysis. Left: Representative images counterstained with 1200

Hoechst showing baseline and amphetamine-induced c-Fos expression in beta2-del and controlanimals. All graphs are showing means ±SEM.

1203

1204 **Figure 10:** c-Fos expressing neurons include both DARPP-32+ MSNs and DARPP-32- INs. 1205 (a) Representative images showing baseline and amphetamine-induced c-Fos expression in beta2del and control animals in combination with DARPP-32 and GFP staining (indicating the AAV-1206 1207 expressing area with presumed beta2 deletion). From the left, merged images are showing: c-Fos 1208 double labelling with GFP; c-Fos double labelling with DARPP-32; c-Fos triple labelling with 1209 GFP and DARPP-32; the combination of the three markers over Hoechst counterstain for nuclei. 1210 The white arrowheads are highlighting c-Fos positive cells, not expressing DARPP-32. The white 1211 squares show different combinations of the used markers. (b) Number of c-Fos-positive and 1212 DARPP-32-negative cells expressed as percentage of all c-Fos-positive neurons in beta2-del and 1213 control mice injected with either saline or amphetamine. n(saline/amphetamine)=3/3, n(ctrl; 1214 saline/amphetamine)=3/3. An average of 25 c-Fos positive, DARPP-32 negative neurons were 1215 analyzed in two brain sections per mouse. Effect of group: F(1, 8)=0.6286, p=0.4507; effect of treatment: F(1, 8)=1.541, p=0.2497; group vs. treatment interaction: F(1, 8)=0.4934, p=0.5023; 1216 1217 two-way ANOVA. (c) Number of c-Fos-positive neurons out of all VAChT-positive neurons in 1218 control and beta2-del mice. Saline and amphetamine-injected mice were pooled together in each 1219 group. n(ctrl)=7, n(beta2-del)=8. An average of 23 VAChT positive neurons were analyzed in 4 1220 brain sections per mouse. 95 % credible interval for the odds ratio between ctrl and beta2-del [0.09; 1221 1.02], generalized linear mixed model. Graphs are showing means ±SEM. (d) Representative 1222 images showing amphetamine-induced c-Fos expression in control and beta2-del animals in 1223 combination with VAChT and GFP staining (indicating the AAV-expressing area with presumed

- 1224 beta2 deletion). Left: two main panels show merged images for the three markers c-Fos (magenta),
- 1225 GFP (green) and VAChT (grey). Right: different combinations of two markers are shown, c-
- **1226** Fos/VAChT, GFP/VAChT and c-Fos/GFP.







b

а















k





224

in silana addata a silata bilina



03 39 9.N

224



n

0

8

Beta2-del













g



а

