

Understanding the Cu2+ adsorption mechanism on activated carbon using advanced statistical physics modelling

Lotfi Sellaoui, Fatma Dhaouadi, Sonia Taamalli, Florent Louis, Abderrahman El Bakali, Michael Badawi, Adrián Bonilla-Petriciolet, Luis Silva, Kátia da Boit Martinello, Guilherme Luiz Dotto, et al.

To cite this version:

Lotfi Sellaoui, Fatma Dhaouadi, Sonia Taamalli, Florent Louis, Abderrahman El Bakali, et al.. Understanding the Cu2+ adsorption mechanism on activated carbon using advanced statistical physics modelling. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2022, 29, pp. 54882-54889. $10.1007 \text{/} s11356$ -022-19795-7. hal-03633260

HAL Id: hal-03633260 <https://hal.science/hal-03633260v1>

Submitted on 19 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

models contain parameters that were utilized to provide new insights into the possible adsorption mechanism at the molecular scale. In particular, a monolayer adsorption model was 28 the best alternative to correlate the Cu^{2+} adsorption dataat $25 - 55$ °C and pH 5.5. Furthermore, the application of this model for copper adsorption data analysisshowed that the removal of this 30 heavy metal ion was a multi-cationic process. This theoretical finding indicated that Cu^{2+} ions interacted via one functional group of activated carbon surface during adsorption. In this 32 direction, the adsorption energy was calculated thus showing that Cu^{2+} removalwas endothermic and associated with physical interaction forces.Furthermore, theseactivated carbons showed 34 saturationadsorption capacities from 54.6 to 87.0 mg/g for Cu^{2+} removal, and their performances outperformedother adsorbents available in the literature. Overall, these results providenewinsights of the adsorption mechanism of this water pollutantusing activated carbons.

38 Keywords: Adsorption; Cooper; Isotherms; Statistical physics;

1. Introduction

Copper is a transition metal, electrically and thermally conductive, but it is also consideredas an environmental pollutant(Kayalvizhi et al. 2022). Natural disasters (e.g., volcanicphenomena) and anthropogenic activities (e.g., metal industries, tanning factories, and automobile industries) contribute to the pollution caused by copper.This metal is toxic even at relatively low concentrations, and, consequently, the highest permissible concentration level of copper ions(Cu²⁺) in marine waterhas been established as 4.8 μg/L. In comparison, the maximum Cu²⁺ concentration for drinking water is 1300 μg/L,as reported by the WHO and EPA(Katiyar et al. 2021; Kayalvizhi et al. 2022). Chronic exposure to this metal can affect vital organs such as the

intestines, stomach, and liver. It can also generate diverse symptoms such as liver disease, gallbladder, and metabolic disturbances(Dou et al. 2019; Sun et al. 2022).

52 Consequently, the drinking water resources and effluents polluted with $Cu²⁺$ must be treated to reduce their concentration thus avoiding environmental impacts and to protect human 54 health. Various procedures have been reported to remove Cu^{2+} ions from liquid phase. They includeion exchange, precipitation, electrolysis, reverse osmosis, flocculation, biochemical methods, and membrane-based filtration (Godiya et al. 2019; Nyström et al. 2020; Anbazhagan et al. 2021; Lemes and Tarley 2021). However, these removal methods have disadvantages such as unfavorable cost-effectiveness tradeoffsor the generation of toxic sediments and wastes(e.g., sludge)(Rukayat et al. 2021). In contrast, the adsorption process is a competitive technique compared to other methods due to its ease of handling, low cost, and removal efficacy even at low concentrations of heavy metal ions (Vocciante et al. 2014; Pan et al. 2019; Khan et al. 2021).Activated carbon is the most employed adsorbent in this processbecause it effectively removesinorganic and organic pollutants from wastewaters and industrial effluents.This adsorbent outperforms other materials reported for water treatment in terms of itsproduction cost and the availability of a wide spectrum of preparation routes that is already exploited and commercialized at large industrial scale. The adsorption performance of activated carbon is governed by its pore structure and surface chemistry. The modification of activated carbon is aimed to optimize these properties (Bell et al. 2011), and this adsorbent can be obtained from different feedstock and preparation conditions, thus affecting its adsorption properties.Surface functionalization of activated carbons can be performed with several chemicals to tailor their performance for the removal of target pollutants.

Under this context, citric wastes can be an option to produce activated carbons since they are generated in large amounts by industries of juices and jams. During the production process of juices and jams, around 50-60% of the entire citric fruit is discarded. These wastes cannot be released into the environment since they generate CH4. On the other hand, they are rich in lignin, cellulose, hemicellulose, and pectin, thus being an attractive feedstock for pyrolysis and their conversion into activated carbon (Lam et al. 2016, 2018).

The modeling of adsorption data of heavy metals and other water pollutants is important for water treatment design. Therefore, it is possible to apply classical models (e.g., Langmuir) to theoretically study the adsorption data. Unfortunately, this and other tradditional modelsare not useful to understand the adsorption mechanims due to the limitations in their hypotheses. For instance, Langmuir modelconsiders that each functional group can accept one ion for all adsorption systems. This assumption is an obstacle to provide proper interpretations of more complex adsorption mechanisms. The application of reliable models to analyze and explain the adsorption of heavy metal ions on activated carbon surfaces is paramount to develop effective and low-cost water treatment methods.

In this research,two homogeneous and heterogeneous models based on statistical 88 physics theory were implemented to explain the adsorption mechanism of Cu^{2+} on a set of activated carbons obtained from different citric wastes namelyorange (OP), tangerine (MP), lemon (RLP) and lime (SLP). These models allowed to analyze the adsorption mechanismat the microscopic scale. New insightson steric and energetic parameters that governed the removal of this relevant water pollutant were developed for all tested activated carbons.

2. Description of experimental isotherms and statistical physics models

96 2.1. Preparation of AC and quantification of Cu^{2+} adsorption isotherms

98 Cu^{2+} adsorption isotherms were quantified with four activated carbons prepared from citrus waste(Dotto et al. 2011; Perondi et al. 2017).For the adsorbent preparation, 100 g of each citrus

100 waste werelocated in a stainless reactor of 127 x 8.5 cm. The reactor was heated at 5 \degree C/min 101 until 900 °C and remained at this temperature for 15 min. Then, the system was cooled until 102 ambient temperature. All these steps were performed with N_2 flowof 0.2 L/min. Subsequently, 103 the N₂ flow was replaced by CO_2 flow (2 kg/h for 15 min). Finally, the adsorbent samples were 104 removed from the reactor, washed and used in adsorption experiments. These adsorbents were 105 labelled as AC CO2-orange-OP, AC CO2-tangerine-OP,AC CO2-lemon-RLP and AC CO2- 106 Lime-SLP.

109 Figure 1: Experimental isotherms of the adsorption of Cu^{2+} ions on different activated carbons

110 at 25-55 °C and pH 5.5.

111

112 Typical batch equilibrium experiments were performed to obtain the $Cu²⁺$ adsorption 113 isotherms. Aqueous solutions (50 mL) with different initial Cu^{2+} concentrations up to 150 mg/L 114 were prepared from copper sulfate and the solution pH was adjusted to 5.5. Next, these solutions 115 were placed in Erlenmeyer flaskslocated in a thermostated shaker. Adsorption isotherms were 116 quantified at 25, 35, 45 and 55 °C using an activated carbon dosage of 0.5 g/L under constant 117 stirring of 200 rpm for 5 h. The solid-liquid separation was performed by centrifugation and 118 Cu^{2+} concentration in the liquid was quantified by flame atomic absorption 119 spectroscopy.Then,the equilibrium adsorption capacities were calculated via a mass balance for 120 a stirred tank using the initial and final Cu^{2+} concentrations used in the experiments and the 121 corresponding adsorbent dosage. Figure 1 shows the experimental isotherms of Cu^{2+} adsorptionon the four activated carbons analyzed in this paper.

123 Solution temperature positively affected all adsorbed quantities of Cu^{2+} ions on these 124 activated carbons, thus suggesting an endothermic removal process. All experimental isotherms 125 followed the monotonic trend of adsorbed Cu^{2+} quantities as a function of equilibrium 126 concentration until the saturation region was reached. This adsorbent saturation was caused by forming a layer of Cu^{2+} ions adsorbed on the surfaces of tested activated carbons. In this 128 regard,homogeneous and heterogeneous monolayer models developed from statistical physics theory were implemented to analyze Cu^{2+} adsorption isotherms at the microscopic scale. The 130 next two scenarios were tested in this modeling study:

131 Scenario1:A homogeneous monolayer model (HMM) was considered where only one type of 132 functional groupparticipated in the adsorption of Cu^{2+} ions on tested adsorbents. It was assumed 133 that only one adsorption energy was involved in the metal ion removal, which represented the 134 interaction of Cu^{2+} ion–activated carbon surface. The adsorbed quantity calculated with this 135 model is given by (Sellaoui et al. 2018; Dhaouadi et al. 2020c, b, a):

136

137
$$
Q_e = \frac{nS_m}{1 + \left(\frac{C_{1/2}}{C_e}\right)^n} (1)
$$

138

139 Scenario 2: A heterogeneous monolayer model (IMM) was also applied. Two functional 140 groups participated in the adsorption of Cu^{2+} ions with two different adsorption energies: Cu^{2+} 141 ion – adsorption site 1 and Cu^{2+} ion– adsorption site 2. In this model, the adsorbed quantity as a 142 function of the equilibrium concentration isdefined as (Dhaouadi et al. 2020b, a, 2021):

143

144

$$
Q_e = \frac{n_1 S_{m1}}{1 + \left(\frac{C_1}{C_e}\right)^{n_1}} + \frac{n_2 S_{m2}}{1 + \left(\frac{C_2}{C_e}\right)^{n_2}} (2)
$$

145

146 For these models, *n* and $n_i(i=1, 2)$ are the numbers of Cu^{2+} ions adsorbedper functional group(s), 147 S_m and S_m are the densities of these surface functionalities, $C_{1/2}$ and $C_i(i=1, 2)$ are the 148 concentrations at half-saturation, respectively.

Characterization results showed that these activated carbons contained different 150 functional groups that can contribute to the adsorption of Cu^{2+} ions. Therefore, these adsorption models were consistent with the surface chemistry of these activated carbons.Note that thesemodels were developed by applying a grand canonical ensemble of statistical physics with the aim of obtaining a better analysis of the adsorption mechanism of this pollutant. Overall, these models assumed that the adsorption of Cu^{2+} ions was a monolayer process, but with the contribution of one (homogeneous monolayer model) and two (heterogeneous monolayer

0.989 1.70 37.88 0.19 64.39

—
—

0.977 1.82 37.49 0.07 68.23

175 These models were employed to fit all Cu^{2+} ion adsorption isotherms, and their parameters were determinedvia a multivariable nonlinear regression with the Levenberg-177 Marquardt method. Determination coefficients(R^2) and the trends of steric and energetic 178 parametersindicated that the HMM model was the most suitable for analyzing the Cu^{2+} removal 179 at the microscopic scale. Table 1 provides the results of Cu^{2+} adsorption data fitting for the HMM model and their corresponding steric and energetic parameters fortested experimental 181 conditions. In addition, the fitting of Cu^{2+} adsorption isotherms by the HMM model is illustrated in the appendix.

3. Results and discussion

- 186 3.1. Evaluation of the number of Cu^{2+} ions adsorbed per functional group and their adsorption 187 site densities
- 188

189 The impact of temperature on the number of adsorbed Cu^{2+} ions per functional group and their 190 corresponding adsorption site densities for these activated carbons is represented in Figure 2.

191 Allparameters *n*werehigher than unity except for AC $CO₂$ -Lemon-RLP adsorbent at 25 192 °C. This result indicated that the adsorption of this heavy metalwas multi-cationic for these 193 activated carbons, where the functional groups could adsorb several cations 194 simultaneously(Dhaouadi et al. 2020b, a). Indeed, the exceptional case (i.e., $n=0.63$) showed 195 that Cu^{2+} ions could be adsorbed on the surface of AC CO₂- Lemon-RLP via a combined 196 interaction where one and two functional groups can participate in the removal with two 197 different proportions(Dhaouadi et al. 2021). Thermally speaking, the temperature increasedthe 198 number of Cu^{2+} ions adsorbed per functional group from 1.13 to 1.82, 1.07 to 4.20, 0.63 to 4.59, 199 and 1.15 to 3.16 for the adsorbents AC CO_2 -Orange-OP, AC CO_2 -Tangerine-MP, AC CO_2 -200 Lemon-RLP and AC CO₂-Lime-SLP, respectively. Overall, these results indicated that the 201 temperature played a relevant role to adsorb the Cu^{2+} ions.

Figure 2: Impact of temperature on the parameters *n* and S_m for the Cu²⁺ adsorption at pH 5.5 using different activated carbons.

Figure 2 indicated that the density of functional groups of these activated carbons reduced as a function of temperature. Moreover, this tendency was inversely proportional to the 208 in number of Cu²⁺ ions adsorbed per functional group. Thus, the increment of the parameter *n* as a function of temperature suggested a reduction of the occupied functional groups and, consequently, a decrement of the adsorption density and vice versa.

212 3.2. Performance evaluation of different activated carbons for Cu^{2+} adsorption

The performance of tested adsorbents was complemented via the calculation of adsorption capacities at saturation using the next expression:

216 $Q_s = nS_m(3)$

217 The impact of thermal agitation on the adsorbed quantity at saturation Q_s for the different adsorbents is given in Figure 3.

Figure 3: The adsorbed quantity of Cu^{2+} ionsat saturation as a function of temperature for 221 different activated carbons at pH 5.5.

223 Figure 3 indicated that the adsorbed quantity at saturation for the four activated carbons 224 increased with the solution temperature. This result confirmed that the solution temperature 225 enhanced the mass transfer phenomena and the diffusion of Cu^{2+} ions inside the porous structure 226 of these adsorbents. Thus, the increment of this quantitywas associated with the number of 227 adsorbed ions per functional group and the adsorption energy. Comparatively, the AC $CO₂$ -228 Lemon-RLP adsorbent showed the highest Cu^{2+} removal and was the most effective for this 229 purpose. Furthermore, characterization results reported in (Dotto et al. 2011) indicated that 230 theacidic functionalities of theseactivated carbonswere responsible for Cu^{2+} removal.In 231 particular, FTIR analysis of activated carbon samples before and after Cu^{2+} adsorption indicated 232 that the main acidic functional groups were OH and COOH. The absorption bands relative to 233 these groups presented significant shifts after Cu^{2+} adsorption thussuggesting that OH and COOH were involved in the interactions with Cu^{2+} ions, and confirming the statistical physics 235 calculations.

Example 1 For illustration, Table 2 shows the Cu^{2+} adsorption capacities for different activated carbons reported in the literature.It was clear that these activated carbons can be an alternative 238 to remove the Cu^{2+} ions from wastewatersand to contribute to the reduction of solid waste generation. They can also outperform other adsorbents reported in the literature. For instance, 240 the Cu^{2+} adsorption capacities of 1-iron-modified flaxseed waste, sodium dodecyl sulfate modified iron pillared montmorillonite, and activated carbons functionalized with magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles were 7.64, 20.6, and 41.6 mg/g(Li and Wu 2010; Gu et al. 2019; Cerrahoğlu Kaçakgil and Çetintaş 2021).

244

245 Table 2. Cu^{2+} adsorption capacities of activated carbons obtained from different 246 feedstock(Mariana et al. 2021).

247

248

249 3.3. Cu^{2+} adsorption energy

250

251 Adsorption energy for the interaction Cu^{2+} ion-activated carbon surface was determined from 252 the concentration values at half-saturation and the following equation (Dhaouadi et al. 2021):

254
$$
\Delta E_{int(FG - Cu^{2+})} = RT \ln \frac{C_s}{C_{1/2}}
$$
 (4)

256 where $R=8.314$ J/mol \cdot K is the ideal gas constant, and C_s is the copper solubility. The adsorption energy for the interaction of acidic functional groups of these activated carbonsand Cu^{2+} ions is depicted in Figure 4.

260 **Figure 4:**CalculatedCu²⁺ adsorption energies for testedactivated carbons.

All interaction energy values were lower than 40 kJ/mol, thus suggesting that this adsorption process was associated with physical forces. As stated, the acidic functional groups 264 (e.g., OH and COOH) of these activated carbons were involved in the Cu^{2+} adsorption. Furthermore, this adsorption energy increased with solution temperature for all activated carbons.

4. Conclusions

A reliable modeling of adsorption data and the corresponding analysis of adsorption mechanism are paramount for the design of water treatment processeseffective to remove heavy

metals with activated carbons. Therefore, this study reports the statistical physics-based 273 interpretation of Cu^{2+} adsorption using four activated carbons obtained from citrus wastes, which are low-cost feedstock to prepare new adsorbents.Steric and energetic parameters were calculated for the adsorption of this heavy metal using a monolayer adsorption model. Results 276 showed that the Cu^{2+} adsorption was multi-cationic via one functional group (i.e., acidic surface 277 functionalities). In addition, Cu^{2+} adsorption was endothermic and associated with physical 278 interaction forces. At saturation, Cu^{2+} adsorption capacities ranged from 54.6 to 87.9 mg/g for these activated carbons. Finally, this advanced modeling provided interesting interpretations of the adsorption mechanism of this relevant water pollutant with low-cost activated carbons.

Acknowledgements

Sonia Taamalli, Florent Louis, and Abderrahman El Bakali appreciated the support from the LABEX CaPPA (Chemical and Physical Properties of the Atmosphere), which is funded by the French National Research Agency (ANR) through the PIA (Programmed'Investissementd'Avenir) under contract ANR-11-LABX-0005-01 and also the Regional Council "Hauts de France" and the "European Funds for Regional Economic Development.

-Ethical Approval: Not applicable

291 - Consent to Participate: Not applicable.

-Consent to Publish: Not applicable.

-Author Contributions: Conceptualization: LotfiSellaoui, Abdemottaleb Ben Lamine,

Methodolog: Kátia da BoitMartinello, Fatma Dhaouadi , Michael Badawi, Adrián Bonilla-

Petriciolet, Luis Felipe Oliveira Silva, Guilherme Luiz Dotto, SoniaTaamalli

Molecular Liquids 319:114168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2020.114168

Dhaouadi F, Sellaoui L, Chávez-González B, Elizabeth Reynel-Ávila H, Diaz-Muñoz L L,Mendoza-Castillo D I, Bonilla-Petriciolet A, Lima E C, Tapia-Picazo J C, Lamine A B (2020b) Application of a heterogeneous physical model for the adsorption of Cd2+, Ni2+, Zn2+ and Cu2+ ions on flamboyant pods functionalized with citric acid. Chemical Engineering Journal 127975. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.127975 Dhaouadi F, Sellaoui L, Dotto GL, Bonilla-Petriciolet A, Erto A, Lamine A B (2020c) Adsorption of methylene blue on comminuted raw avocado seeds: Interpretation of the effect of salts via physical monolayer model. Journal of Molecular Liquids 305:112815. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2020.112815 Dhaouadi F, Sellaoui L, Reynel-Ávila HE, Landín-Sandoval V, Mendoza-Castillo D I, Jaime-Leal J E, Lima E C, Bonilla-Petriciolet A, Lamine A B (2021) Adsorption mechanism 331 of Zn $2+$, Ni $2+$, Cd $2+$, and Cu $2+$ ions by carbon-based adsorbents: interpretation of the adsorption isotherms via physical modelling. Environ Sci Pollut Res. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-12832-x Dotto GL, Vieira MLG, Gonçalves JO, Pinto LA de A (2011) Removal of acid blue 9, food 335 yellow 3 and FD $\&$ amp; C yellow no 5 dyes from aqueous solutions using activated carbon, activated earth, diatomaceous earth, chitin and chitosan: equilibrium studies and thermodynamic. Química Nova 34:1193–1199

Dou J, Gan D, Huang Q, Chen J, Deng F, Zhu X, Wen Y, Zhang X, Wei Y (2019) Functionalization of carbon nanotubes with chitosan based on MALI multicomponent reaction for Cu2+ removal. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules 136:476–485. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.06.112

Godiya CB, Cheng X, Li D, Chen Z, Lu X (2019) Carboxymethyl cellulose/polyacrylamide composite hydrogel for cascaded treatment/reuse of heavy metal ions in wastewater.

Gu S-Y, Hsieh C-T, Gandomi YA, Yang Z F, Li L, Fu C C, Juang R S (2019) Functionalization of activated carbons with magnetic Iron oxide nanoparticles for removal of copper ions from aqueous solution. Journal of Molecular Liquids 277:499–505. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2018.12.018

Katiyar R, Patel AK, Nguyen T-B, Singhania R R, Chen C W, Dong C D(2021) Adsorption of copper (II) in aqueous solution using biochars derived from Ascophyllum nodosum seaweed. Bioresource Technology 328:124829. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.124829

Kayalvizhi K, Alhaji NMI, Saravanakkumar D, Mohamed S B, Kaviyarasu K, Ayeshamariam

A, Al-Mohaimeed A M, Abdel Gawwad M R, Elshikh M S (2022) Adsorption of copper and nickel by using sawdust chitosan nanocomposite beads – A kinetic and thermodynamic study. Environmental Research 203:111814. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.111814

Khan J, Lin S, Nizeyimana JC, Wu Y, Wang Q, Liu X (2021) Removal of copper ions from wastewater via adsorption on modified hematite (α-Fe2O3) iron oxide coated sand. Journal of Cleaner Production 319:128687. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128687

Lam SS, Liew RK, Cheng CK, Rasit N, Ooi C K, Ma N L, Ng J H, Lam W H, Chong C T, Chase H A (2018) Pyrolysis production of fruit peel biochar for potential use in treatment of palm oil mill effluent. Journal of Environmental Management 213:400– 408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.02.092

- Lemes LFR, Tarley CRT (2021) Combination of supramolecular solvent-based microextraction and ultrasound-assisted extraction for cadmium determination in flaxseed flour by thermospray flame furnace atomic absorption spectrometry. Food Chemistry 357:129695. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.129695
- Li S-Z, Wu P-X (2010) Characterization of sodium dodecyl sulfate modified iron pillared montmorillonite and its application for the removal of aqueous Cu(II) and Co(II). Journal of Hazardous Materials 173:62–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.08.047
- Mariana M, H.P.S. AK, Mistar EM, Yahya EB, Alfatah T, Danish M, Amayreh M (2021) Recent advances in activated carbon modification techniques for enhanced heavy metal adsorption. Journal of Water Process Engineering 43:102221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2021.102221

Nyström F, Nordqvist K, Herrmann I, Nordqvist K, Herrmann I, Hedström A,Viklander M

- (2020) Removal of metals and hydrocarbons from stormwater using coagulation and flocculation. Water Research 182:115919. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.115919
- Pan J, Gao Y, Gao B, Guo K, Xu X, Yue Q (2019) One-step synthesis of easily-recoverable carboxylated biogas residues for efficient removal of heavy metal ions from synthetic wastewater. Journal of Cleaner Production 240:118264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118264

414

415