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Racemization Mechanism of Lithium tert-Butylphenylphosphido-borane; A Kinetic Insight.


Abstract: The racemization mechanism of tert-butylphenylphosphido-borane is investigated experimentally and theoretically. Based on this converging approach, it is shown, first, that several phosphido-borane molecular species coexist at the time of the reaction and, second, that one particular of both initially assumed reactive routes most significantly contribute to the overall racemization process. From our converging modelling and experimental measurement, it comes out that the most probable species to be here encountered is a phosphido-borane-Li(THF)2 neutral solvate, which P-stereogenic center monomolecular inversion through a Y-shaped transition structure (\(\Delta G^\ddagger\): 81 kJ.mol\(^{-1}\)) brings the largest contribution to the racemization process.
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Introduction

Chiral phosphines significantly contribute to the development of asymmetric processes as ligands in transition metal-catalyzed reactions\(^{1-4}\) or as organo-catalysts.\(^{5-7}\) The chirality of these ligands can be located on the backbone, the phosphorus atom or both. At the moment, usage of P-stereogenic ligands is limited, especially due to the challenging character of their synthesis.\(^{8,23}\) Several P-stereogenic ligands have nevertheless been successfully synthesized by alkylation and arylation of phosphine borane complexes. This efficient and promising route consists, first, in the deprotonation of a P-stereogenic secondary phosphine-borane complex and, second, in its C-P phosphination (FIGURE 1).

This synthesis can be made stereoselective using two different methods. The first one uses enantiopure secondary phosphine-borane complexes as starting materials and prevents the racemization of secondary lithium phosphido-borane intermediates.\(^{24-28}\) On the contrary, the second method uses racemic mixtures as starting materials and resolution is achieved using either a dynamic-thermodynamic\(^{29-31}\) or a dynamic-kinetic\(^{32-34}\) strategy. In that case, chirality is induced by a chiral additive that acts stoechiometrically\(^{29-31}\) and/or catalytically.\(^{32-34}\) In all cases the efficiency of the chosen method directly depends on the racemization rate of the secondary lithium phosphido-borane intermediate (FIGURE 1).

We here report a joined theoretical and experimental kinetic study of the racemization mechanism of lithium tert-butylphenylphosphido-borane. This study aims to improve our understanding of the general racemization mechanism of the secondary lithium phosphido-borane intermediate, which knowledge is subsequently expected to open access to a better control of the asymmetric synthesis of tertiary P-stereogenic phosphines from secondary phosphine-borane complexes.

Materials and Methods

We here postulate a mechanism that possibly involves two parallel reactive routes, a and b (FIGURE 2), which both consist in a monomolecular inversion of the P-stereogenic center, but in which the phosphido-borane entity is either free (route a), or part of a neutral phosphido-borane-Lithium solvate (route b). Both routes are additionally connected through two enantiomeric ionic association-dissociation equilibria with Li(THF)\(_2\) cation. For this mechanism, reaction and transition standard molar Gibbs free energies \(\Delta G^\ddagger\) (dissociation), \(\Delta G^\ddagger_a\) (route a) and \(\Delta G^\ddagger_b\) (route b) are predicted using Density Functional Theory (TABLE 1). Technically, first solvation shells of lithium cations are here explicitly introduced. Free lithium cations consequently come with four explicit tetrahydrofuran molecules, whereas...
Pyramidal tri-coordinated phosphorus centers are known to invert through either Y-shaped (vertex) or T-shaped (edge) transition structures and both possibilities have consequently been investigated, but only two vertex transition structures have been successfully obtained (FIGURE 3).

The highest occupied molecular orbitals of both transition structures correspond to the lone pair of the phosphorus atom. Interestingly, it appears to be conjugated with all three bonding, and then destabilizing, electrons only come with two of them.

On the right side of FIGURE 3, it can also be seen that the lithium cation is simultaneously bonded to the phosphido-borane anion through the lone pair of the phosphorus atom, and through borane hydrides. This bridged situation forces the borane group to tilt slightly in direction of the lithium cation, and to turn by 30 deg around the PB bond so to make two hydrides simultaneously available for the lithium cation. Electronically, the lithium cation slightly throws the electronic density to itself, but no real dramatic change in formal atomic charge is observed. This lack of drastic difference explains why inversion barriers are similar along routes a and b.

In parallel of the DFT prediction, we attempt to validate the proposed mechanism by measuring $\Delta G^\ddagger_{\text{a}}$ (dissociation), $\Delta G^\ddagger_{\text{b}}$ (route a) and $\Delta G^\ddagger_{\text{c}}$ (route b) by extraction of experimental data. First, for the proposed mechanism to be formally considered as realistic, the measured values must be physically relevant and associated with small uncertainties. Second, for the proposed reaction intermediates and transition structures to be considered as realistic too, measured values must be close enough to their calculated equivalents.

Racemization rates ($K_i$) of tert-butylphenylphosphido-borane have thereby been measured at various temperatures ($T_i$), for different phosphido-borane concentrations ($C_i$), and using various durations ($t_i$) (TABLE 2).
As a second step, based on this simplified mechanism, the expected interdependency between ee, $\Delta G^{\text{app}}$, $\Delta G^{\text{lab}}$, $\Delta G^{\text{r}}$, and t is predicted. This leads to Equation 4, where $K(T,C)$ acts as an overall kinetic constant (Equations 5 and 6).

$$\text{ee}(T,C,t) = \text{ee}(T,C,0) \exp\left(-K(T,C) \right)$$

(4)

$$K(T,C) = 2 \left( 2 k_0^1(T) + Q(T,C) k_n^1(T) \right) / \left( 2 + Q(T,C) \right)$$

(5)

$$Q(T,C) = \left( 1 + 4 C / \left( c^* K^d(T) \right) \right)^{1/2}$$

(6)

As a third step, two different sets of global kinetic constants are calculated. The first set, (Ki), is extracted from measured ee, and t using Equation 7, whereas the second set, (Ki*), is extracted from measured T and C using Equation 8, in which $\Delta G^{\text{app}}$, $\Delta G^{\text{lab}}$, and $\Delta G^{\text{r}}$, act as parameters.

$$K_i = \ln\left( \text{ee} / \text{ee}_i \right) / t$$

(7)

$$K_i^* = K(T_i, C_i)$$

(8)

The key point here is that, ideally, if the reaction mechanism is realistic, and if the chosen Gibbs free energies are all correct, then (Ki) and (Ki*) sets must be identical.

The last step of the comparison procedure consequently consists in searching for $\Delta G^{\text{app}}$, $\Delta G^{\text{lab}}$, and $\Delta G^{\text{r}}$, values that make both (Ki) and (Ki*) sets as close as possible (FIGURE 5).

The resulting optimized Gibbs free energies are hereafter referred to as “experimental” (TABLE 1).

![FIGURE 5](image-url) Correlation between optimized (Ki) and (Ki*) sets. Red rectangles represent uncertainties.

**Technical details**

**EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS**

$(S)$-(+)-tert-butylphenylphosphine-borane was prepared from the corresponding secondary phosphine oxide $(S)$-(+)-tert-butylphenylphosphine oxide (ee = 99%) according to ref. (25) and (43). The direct ee determination was not possible by chiral HPLC, therefore the derivatization by alkylation was realized, as described below for the racemization study. The starting phosphine-borane is obtained with 96% ee.

**Racemization reaction:** One equivalent of $(S)$-(+)-tert-butylphenylphosphino-borane (ee= 96 %) was dissolved at 23 °C in 2 mL of dry THF in a dry Schlenk tube equipped with a magnetic stirrer and an argon inlet. The resulting solution was cooled down to the required temperature with constant stirring and one equivalent of 1.6 M n-BuLi in hexanes was added. After the required duration, the reaction was quenched through cooling (−78 °C) and stopped by adding 5 equivalents of benzylbromide. After 30 min, 2 mL of water was added, and the mixture was warmed up to room temperature. It was subsequently extracted 3 times with Et$_2$O (5 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried over an-hydrous MgSO$_4$, filtered through silica, and evaporated to dryness. Benzyl-tert-butylphenylphosphine-borane was then immediately analyzed using chiral HPLC; Chiralcel OD-3 column; UV detector: 254 nm; flow rate 1 mL min$^{-1}$; eluent: hexane/iPrOH 95/5; $(R)$-(+)-benzyl-tert-butylphenylphosphino-borane: Rt = 9.37 min; $(S)$-(−)-benzyl-tert-butylphenylphosphino-borane: Rt = 11.00 min; k1(+) = 2.12; k2(−) = 2.67, $\alpha = 1.26$.

**THEORETICAL DETAILS**

Software: Gaussian03. Optimization and vibrational analysis: gas phase. Solvent correction: singlepoint, solvent=THF. For CPCM: alpha=1.4, tesserae=0.2. Thermodynamics: harmonic oscillator and rigid rotator approximations (including dynamic symmetry factors), solute standard state = infinitely diluted at 1 mol.L$^{-1}$, solvent standard state = pure liquid.

**Results and Discussion**

FIGURE 5 shows that the differences between (Ki) and (Ki*) sets are smaller than the rather small uncertainties associated to these sets, which is a first good clue that the proposed mechanism is relevant. Additionally, experimental Gibbs free energies are physically relevant, i.e. reasonable for all, and positive for $\Delta G^{\text{app}}$, $\Delta G^{\text{lab}}$, and $\Delta G^{\text{r}}$, which is a second good clue that the proposed mechanism is relevant. Moreover, despite the fact that, within the framework of the simplified mechanism, different scenarios can take place depending on $\Delta G^{\text{app}}$, $\Delta G^{\text{lab}}$, and $\Delta G^{\text{r}}$, respective values (see supporting information), the scenario that actually comes out exhibits characteristics that are similar to those of the mechanism that has been predicted from DFT calculations: $\Delta G^{\text{app}}$, $\Delta G^{\text{lab}}$, and $\Delta G^{\text{r}}$, between prediction and experiment is within experimental uncertainty (see supporting information). Interestingly, route b experimentally concentrates between 96 % and 99 % of the reaction flow under reaction conditions. In other words, a very good concordance is here obtained for the most significant route of the proposed inversion mechanism.

This formal agreement comes with a very good numerical concordance for $\Delta G^{\text{app}}$. Indeed, for this value, the deviation between prediction and experiment is within experimental uncertainty (see supporting information). Finally, the experimental value obtained for $\Delta G^{\text{lab}}$ confirms the existence of an association-dissociation equilibrium that plays a significant role in the inversion mechanism. The deviation obtained in this case between prediction and experiment is however outside the experimental uncertainty area (see supporting information). This suggests that either not all species involved in this equilibrium have been taken into account within DFT calculations, and/or that the chosen in silico methodology is not suitable for the association/dissociation reaction. This issue is however not critical for the present study and could be addressed in the future.

**Conclusion**

As a conclusion, we here investigated both theoretically and experimentally the racemization kinetic of lithium tert-butylphenylphosphido-borane in THF solution. Practically, this
process consisted in testing the validity of DFT predictions by fitting experimental data with a simplified version of the proposed mechanism. Based on this approach, the following inversion mechanism can confidently be proposed. The inversion consists in an association-dissociation equilibrium, which significance had not yet been estimated in previous papers, in which the associated form, a [phosphido-borane-Li(THF)] neutral solvate, inverts monomolecularly via a vertex transition structure ($\Delta G^{\ddagger}$, 81 kJ·mol$^{-1}$). For comparison with our study, an inversion barrier of 140 kJ·mol$^{-1}$ has been experimentally evaluated for the lithium tert-butylmethylphosphidoborane. This example illustrates the importance of the substituent bound to the phosphorus atom on the inversion barrier. The phenyl substituent, as expected, stabilizes the transition state due to the enabled delocalization of the lone pair. Moreover, the nature of metal cation can be a key parameter for the ion pairing with the phosphido-borane, for which the configurational stability could be affected. Further studies will evaluate the interdependency between the substituents bound to the stereogenic phosphorous center and the transition Gibbs free energy associated to the inversion step.
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