

Relevance of using both aerobic and anaerobic enrichment vials for optimizing rapid diagnosis of osteoarticular infections

Guillaume Coiffier, Emmanuelle Ducasse, Arthur Keraudren, Emilie Prat, Hortense Modeste, Jean-David Albert, Olivier Loréal, Pascal Guggenbuhl,

Anne Jolivet-Gougeon

▶ To cite this version:

Guillaume Coiffier, Emmanuelle Ducasse, Arthur Keraudren, Emilie Prat, Hortense Modeste, et al.. Relevance of using both aerobic and anaerobic enrichment vials for optimizing rapid diagnosis of osteoarticular infections. Microbial Pathogenesis, 2022, 165, pp.105480. 10.1016/j.micpath.2022.105480. hal-03632165

HAL Id: hal-03632165 https://hal.science/hal-03632165

Submitted on 12 Apr 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	Relevance of using both aerobic and anaerobic enrichment vials for
2	optimizing rapid diagnosis of osteoarticular infections
3	
4	Guillaume Coiffier ^{1,2,3} , Emmanuelle Ducasse ⁴ , Arthur Keraudren ⁴ , Emilie Prat ⁴ , Hortense
5	Modeste ⁴ , Jean-David Albert ^{1,2,3} , Olivier Loreal ¹ , Pascal Guggenbuhl ^{1,2,3} , Anne Jolivet-
6	Gougeon ^{1,3,4,5*}
7	
8 9	¹ Univ Rennes, INSERM, INRAE, CHU Rennes, Institut NUMECAN (Nutrition Metabolisms and Cancer), F-35000 Rennes, France
10	² Service de Rhumatologie, CHU Rennes, F- 35000 Rennes, France
11	³ Centre de Référence en Infections Ostéo-articulaires du Grand Ouest (CRIOGO)
12	⁴ Univ Rennes, F- 35000 Rennes, France
13	^₅ Pole Biologie, CHU Rennes, 35043, Rennes, France
14	
15	Running head: Aerobic and anaerobic vials for diagnosis of bone/joint infections
16	
17	
18	*Corresponding author
19	Anne Jolivet-Gougeon, INSERM NuMeCan/CIMIAD, Université de Rennes 1, 2, avenue du
20	Professeur Léon Bernard, 35043 Rennes, France
21	Phone: (33) 2 23 23 49 05 – Fax: (33) 2 23 23 49 13
22	E-mail: anne.gougeon@univ-rennes1.fr

24 Abstract

The performance of a pair of blood culture vials (BACTEC® Plus Aerobic/F, and Anaerobic 25 Lytic/F) were analyzed in 496 osteoarticular specimens (246 synovial fluids and 250 crushed 26 27 bone samples), obtained in patients during routine diagnostic procedure at the Teaching Hospital of Rennes (France). The positive detection times were recorded for a 14 day-28 incubation period, and compared between both vials and with agar cultures. For samples 29 from infected patients, the positive detection time was significantly shortened when vials 30 31 were used compared to agar plates (p <0.001). Median positive detection time was later with the Anaerobic Lytic/F vials (15.0 h) compared to the Plus Aerobic/F (13.0 h). Positivity 32 33 rate was similar for Anaerobic Lytic/F vials (80.4%) and Plus Aerobic/F vials (83.2%) (p=0.25). 34 Some microorganisms were only identified from aerobic vials (15.5%) or from anaerobic vials (12.7%). The use of both atmosphere conditions for optimal positive detection time is 35 therefore critical. 36

- 37
- 38

39 Key words: bone infection; blood vials; positive detection time; synovial fluid

41 1. Introduction

Bone and joint infections constitute a heterogeneous group of infectious pathologies including, among others, spondylodiscitis, prosthetic infections or septic arthritis, whose bacteriological evidence is essential for the proper management of the infection. Diagnosis is based on clinical, bacteriological, radiological and histological arguments, according to guidelines, such as the recommendations of the "Société de Pathologie Infectieuse de Langue Française" (SPILF) [1] or the "Infectious Diseases Society of America" (IDSA) [2].

Bacterial identification is essential and prolonged cultures beyond 7 days are needed [3],
especially for obligate anaerobic bacterial species [4]. An enrichment in liquid culture media
[5] remains essential to allow growth of a low bacterial inoculum, particularly to facilitate
the growth of obligate anaerobic bacteria, fastidious bacteria or "small colony variants".
Before inoculation into enrichment vials, a sonication step [6, 7] or grinding [8] has been
recommended to improve sensitivity of bacterial detection.

54 In blood, after enrichment, the minimal microorganism concentrations required for blood 55 culture vials flagged as positive, using BACTEC FX, were demonstrated >10⁷-10⁸ colony forming units (CFU)/mL for most of the blood stream infection pathogens [9]. For blood 56 57 samples, the BACTEC FX systems yielded 100% positive signals with a minimal starting inoculum of 30 CFU/mL, whereas there were 8.1% (11/135) false-negative results at 5 58 CFU/mL [10]. It is widely recognized that strictly aerobic bacteria are not – or poorly-59 60 detected in anaerobic vials, whereas obligate anaerobic bacteria are not -or poorlydetected in aerobic vials [11]. These vials content additives to improve the level of detection, 61 as lysing agents (e.g. saponin) [12] or resins (to trap some antibiotics) [13, 14]. In bone or 62

synovial samples (prosthetic or not), there are many studies demonstrating that the use of
an enrichment step of the sample, by using blood vials, is necessary for optimizing diagnosis
[15, 16]. Despite some reports, showing the importance of enclosing the two types of vials
(aerobic and anaerobic) for optimum positive detection time (PDT) in vials for blood cultures
[17], no study had proved the advantage to use one vial rather than the other, or both vials,
for diagnosis of osteoarticular and prosthetic joint infections.

69 The aim of this study was to evaluate the advantage of using an aerobic or anaerobic 70 enrichment vial, or both, to improve diagnostic performance of bone and joint infections, 71 using the BD BACTEC FX instrumented blood system. This study was carried out with the aim 72 of saving vials and technical staff time, while maintaining satisfactory quality and sensitivity 73 criteria. The incubation duration (PDT) was recorded, for both aerobic and anaerobic vials inoculated with crushed bone or synovial fluid samples, and compared to standard culture 74 75 method on agar media. The type of infection was not taken into account in this study, which 76 is intended to be only a technical comparative study, aimed at defining the best performing media to detect bacterial growth as quickly as possible and thus ensure optimal 77 management of the patient. 78

79

80 **2. Material and Methods**

81 2.1 Design of the study

A monocentric study was conducted at the University Hospital of Rennes (France). Osteoarticular samples (synovial fluids and surgery bone samples) were prospectively collected by surgeons, rheumatologists or radiologists, during the diagnostic procedure.

Synovial fluid as well as bone surgical specimens (crushed samples) were collected in sterile tubes (Nalgen®), compatible with the grinding step, and analyzed at the laboratory of Bacteriology. Before analysis, they were stored at room temperature for a maximum of two hours after collection. Practitioners have been, prior to the study, informed of the methods of analysis that would be implemented and the conditions necessary for collecting samples. Synovial fluids and bone samples were then routinely analyzed at the laboratory of Bacteriology (University Hospital of Rennes, France).

92

93 2.2 Direct examination

Direct examination was performed, before crushing, directly on a smear of the specimen stained by Gram staining. An observation of the entire slide will be performed by an experienced technician for at least 10 minutes. A positive direct examination was defined as the detection of bacteria in at least two microscope fields.

98

99 2.3 Cultures

All samples were analyzed according to the microbiology laboratory's routine techniques, after grinding them, using a bead mill (Retsch[®] MM400 crusher: frequency 30.0/s, for two minutes and 30 seconds), as already described [8].

In accordance with usual procedure, the time of positive growth on solid agar media [8] was
 recorded: two 50-μl volumes were each spread onto a PolyViteX chocolate agar plate
 (BioMerieux) and incubated under a 5% CO₂-enriched atmosphere for 3-5 days (to recover

strictly aerobic and facultatively anaerobic bacterial species) and onto a blood agar plate incubated in an anaerobic atmosphere (Genbox anaer; BioMerieux) for 5 days (to recover bacterial species, obligate anaerobic and facultatively aerobic). All plates were daily inspected. All samples were also inoculated into a Schaedler broth (BBL[™] Schaedler Broth, BD), which was inspected for 14 days. As soon as it became cloudy (or systematically on the 14th day), this medium was subcultured on a blood agar plate incubated (on an anaerobic atmosphere) and on a chocolate agar plate (on an aerobic atmosphere) for 48 h.

For each sample, 3mL of crushed sample were inoculated in each aerobic (BD BACTEC® Plus 113 Aerobic/F)(BD-AE) and anaerobic (BD BACTEC[®] Lytic/10 Anaerobic/F)(BD-ANA) enrichment 114 vials. As it has been widely demonstrated for blood cultures, and in order to improve the 115 116 positive culture rate (and therefore the performance of the technique), the two blood 117 culture bottles (aerobic and anaerobic) were systematically inoculated both (never alone). Incubation was performed in automatic chambers for 10 days (BACTEC[®] FX, Becton 118 Dickinson). For all positive vial cultures, the PDT (hours) was also recorded for vials who 119 flagged positive by the automatic chamber of the BACTEC FX instrument. 120

121

122 2.4 Bacterial identification

Each bacterial species was identified from positive vial(s) of from a single colony by the MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry technique (Biotyper, Bruker®) or from pure cultures by 16SrDNA PCR if necessary [18, 19]. Polymicrobial samples were excluded of the analyzed data, because they were too few to allow a satisfactory statistical analysis, and the interpretation of the results seemed more difficult with the data collected in this study. 128

129 **2.5 Statistical analysis**

130 Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 20.0. *P*< 0.05 was considered significant.

Gaussian distribution for continuous quantitative were checked by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. No continuous quantitative variables (age and time detection on Bactec or agar support) were showed. So, continuous quantitative were expressed as median \pm interquartile deviation (IQR). The comparison of these variables was performed by a Mann-Whitney nonparametric U test (k = 2) or by a Fiedman nonparametric ANOVA (k > 2).

The qualitative variables are expressed in absolute number and percentage and their comparison was made by a chi-2 test (χ) or the exact Fisher test if one of the theoretical numbers in the contingency table was less than 5.

139

140 **3. Results**

141 **3.1 Population studied**

142 In this study, 46 bacterial species were identified from 496 samples (collected in 305 143 patients). Among the 496 samples, 246 were synovial fluids and 250 were crushed samples.

The different bacterial and fungal species identified, taking into account all cultural media, were *Staphylococcus* (*aureus* and Coagulase negative *Staphylococci*, CNS), *Streptococcus* (*S.pyogenes, S.agalactiae, S.dysgalactiae, S.anginosus, S bovis complex, S.pneumoniae, S.* gordonii, S. oralis, S. mitis, S. salivarius), Enterococcus sp., Cutibacterium acnes, Enterobacteriaceae (E.coli, K. oxytoca, Enterobacter sp., Pantoea agglomerans, Citrobacter

freundii, Serratia marcescens, Morganella morganii), Campylobacter fetus, Pseudomonas aruginosa, Neisseria gonorroheae, and C. tropicalis or M. abscessus. Mycobacterium abscessus (n=1) and Candida tropicalis (n=1), were detected once (Figure 1). Identification was made for all microorganisms, without distinction of patient diseases, from all synovial or crushed samples. Microorganisms were collected and identified as soon as a bacterial culture was detected in at least one culture medium (solid or liquid).

155

156 **3.2 Direct examination**

Direct examination by Gram stain was positive and always concordant with results of bacterial cultures for 99/496 isolates (20.0%) (51/305 patients; 16.7%): 56/246 (22.8%)(for synovial fluid) and 43/250 (17.2%) (for surgery samples).

160

161 **3.3 Recovery rate and percentage of microorganisms according to culture media (Table 1)**

162 Recovery rate and percentage of microorganisms identified was tested in BD-AE and BD-ANA163 as enrichment vials, and in agar media.

C. acnes was the most frequently anaerobic commensal bacteria isolated in osteoarticular infections [4], and a significant difference was observed between the two vials for the anaerobic species *C. acnes*, better recovered in anaerobic vial (93% of cases *versus* 11.9% in aerobic vials) (p<0.001). A better recovery was also observed in aerobic vial compared to anaerobic vials for *Staphylococcus* sp. (p=0.03), *Enterobacteria* (p=0.03) and other Gram negative bacteria (p=0.01). Some strains were only identified from aerobic vials (15.5%)(*S. aureus*, CNS, *Bacillus licheniformis*, *Corynebacterium striatum*, *Enterobacter cloacae*,

171 Escherichia Klebsiella oxytoca, Micrococcus coli, luteus, Moraxella catarrhalis, 172 Mycobacterium abscessus, Pantoea agglomerans, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Neisseria gonorrhoeae), or from anaerobic vials (12.7%) (C. acnes, S. epidermidis, S. aureus, S. hominis, 173 S. capitis, C. fetus, Lactobacillus sp, Finegoldia magna, Clostridium paraputrificum, S. 174 sanguis), indicating the importance of using both atmosphere conditions for optimal PDT . As 175 expected, the obligate anaerobic species were found preferentially in the anaerobic vials and 176 177 the strictly aerobic species were found preferentially in the aerobic vials.

A better recovery was observed for all tested bacteria in Lytic/10 Anaerobic/F vials, compared to agar media. By comparing the growth time in Plus Aerobic/F vials compared to agar media, only *Staphylococcus* spp. grew significantly faster in Plus Aerobic/F medium.

Only 2.6% of bacteria have been identified only on agar media, with a small number of colonies (< 10 UFC/plate): *C. acnes* (n=1), *S. aureus* (n=4), *S. hominis* (n=1), *S. warneri* (n=3), *S. epidermidis* (n=2), *S. dysgalactiae* (n=1), and *S. pyogenes* (n=1). Among those, only two species were both positive by direct examination and/or combined to positive blood cultures (*S. dysgalactiae* and *S. pyogenes*.), but in all the other cases, a contamination was widely suspected and compatible with clinical data.

187

188 **3.4 Positive detection time (PDT)**

189 *3.4.1 Detection time according to the type of sample (synovial fluid and crushed samples)*

All bacterial species combined, the PDT was 13h (8-23) in BD-AE, 15h [9-30] BD-ANA, and 24.0h [24-48] on agar media, attesting a faster detection in BACTEC[®] vials (p<0.001) (Table 2). No difference was observed between the two types of samples, synovial fluid and

crushed samples (p>0.05). In BD-AE, the PDT was 12.0h [7.3-18.1] from synovial fluid and 13.5h [9.1-24.1] from crushed samples. In BD-ANA, the PDT was 13.2h [7.2-28.0] from synovial fluids and 16.0h [9.9-31.0] from crushed samples. On agar media, the detection was possible from 24.0h [24-48] of incubation from synovial fluid or crushed samples.

197

198 3.4.2 Detection time according to direct examination

199The PDT was always significantly lower when the direct examination of the sample200was positive: the PDT was 6.6h [5.2-10.5] in BD-AE, 8.4h [5.2-14.2] in BD-ANA and 24.0h [24-20124] on agar media. When direct examination was negative, the time of detection was 15.4h202[10.4-27.2] in BD-AE, 17.6h [11.1-37.1] in BD-ANA and 24h [24-48] on agar media.

203

204 3.4.3 Detection time according to species and culture atmosphere (Table 2)

205 All strictly aerobic or facultative aerobic organisms were detected within 43.8h and 206 30h in BD-AE vials and BD-ANA vials, respectively. For an incubation period \leq to 24h, 77.6% 207 of the BD-AE vials versus 75.2% of the BD-ANA vials were detected positive by the 208 automated system. Following a 48h-period of incubation, 93.1% of BD-AE vials and 86.4% of 209 BD-ANA vials were positively detected. After 144 hours of incubation, only 2.3% of the BD-ANA vials were still detected positive by the automatic chamber. Number of isolated species 210 identified from BD-AE and BD-ANA vials, with their PDT was described in Figure 1. No 211 212 Enterobacteriaceae, Campylobacter, Pseudomonas, Neisseria or Moraxella was detected 213 after 48h and 72h incubation from BD-AE and BD-ANA vials, respectively. Between 96 and 214 144 hours of incubation, only 4 BD-AE vials were detected positive (*Staphylococcus* sp., n = 3; 215 Actinomyces, n = 1), while 20 BD-ANA vials were detected positive (C. acnes, n = 12;

Staphylococcus sp. n = 8). Beyond 144h of incubation, only 3 BD-AE vials came out positive
from the automatic chamber (*C. acnes* n = 3), against 27 BD-ANA vials (*C. acnes*, n = 19;
Staphylococcus sp., n = 6; Streptococcus, n = 1; Bacillus, n = 1). Beyond 240h of incubation,
no BD-AE vials came out positive from the automatic chamber, against 4 BD-ANA vials (*C. acnes*, n = 2; Staphylococcus sp., n = 2). In conclusion, we can therefore notice that obligate
anaerobic species grow later, as expected, and preferentially in anaerobic vials, but after 10
days of incubation, cases of contamination are most often observed.

223

3.4.4 Detection time according to the type of medium used (aerobic and anaerobic vials and
agar media)

226 The comparison of PDT of bacteria (all species combined) from BD-AE and BD-ANA enrichment vials, versus agar media were shown in Table 3. The PDT was always significantly 227 228 shorter in vials than on agar media (p<0.001) for almost all species studied. C. acnes were detected, in 240h [67.5-246] (n = 5) in aerobic vials, 126h [99-183.75] (n = 40) in anaerobic 229 230 vials and in 84h [24-102] (n = 6) on agar media (that was considered not significantly 231 different; p=0.345). However, this surprising result must be considered with 232 circumspection. It should be noted that out of the 6 cases where C. acnes was only detected 233 on agar, only 2 were confirmed infections according to clinical and radiological criteria, and in other cases agar contamination could be suspected. M. abscessus was only detected in 234 aerobic vials before a 92h-incubation time, and C. tropicalis was only detected in vials 235 (aerobic PDT=11h and anaerobic PDT=23.5h). 236

238 **4. Discussion**

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the benefit of enrichment using a single aerobic or anaerobic vial, while maintaining the diagnostic performance of bone and synovial infections, and in the hope of saving technical time and money. For both aerobic and anaerobic vials, the PDT required for diagnosis of bone and joint infections, was significantly shorter than on agar media, and most of the time was less than 144h. The optimal PDT in the two vials was variable according to the microorganisms, showing the interest in using both aerobic and anaerobic vials to optimize the speed of diagnosis.

246 Direct examination has been recognized as insensitive, with positivity rates between 10 and 30% [19], that was confirmed by this work. The use of sterile beads to crush the samples 247 248 is known to improve the recovery rate of bacteria from prosthetic joint samples up to 83.7% 249 (instead of 60.8% in case of culture without grinding), with a contamination rate of 8.7% 250 [20]. Some authors used sonication method and found a sensitivity of 100% by inoculation of sonication fluid in blood culture bottles, significantly higher than conventional sonication 251 252 fluid (87%) or intraoperative tissue cultures (59%) [7]. But although cases of contaminations 253 have been reported using this method, no study has been done to compare the performance 254 of sonication *versus* grinding.

Bemer et al. and the CRIOGO group [8] demonstrated the benefit of using vials rather than agar plates for diagnosis of OAIs. They showed a positivity rate of 69% on chocolate agar plate, 70.1% on blood agar plate, 68.8% in Schaedler anaerobic broth medium and 83% in BACTEC[®] blood culture vials, which is in accordance with our results. Today, culture media and preprocessing of samples are therefore powerful enough to detect the majority of bacterial species responsible for bone and joint infections. However, the PDT must also to be

261 taken into account, especially during septic arthritis where the patient care needs to be as 262 fast as possible, and in case of surgical revision requiring a rapid therapeutic adjustment. However, there is a limit to the value of this early detection: rapid clinical management must 263 therefore be in line with this improved bacteriological detection. The responsiveness of 264 265 clinicians must be optimal, in order to guarantee the initiation of antibiotic treatment as 266 soon as possible, treatment which can then be guided by a reliable identification, more 267 sensitive than a simple direct examination, and faster than cultures on agar media. Although 268 the growth time in a bottle can often require more than ten hours, this method is still widely 269 used, because it allows to then study the susceptibility of isolated bacteria to many various 270 antibiotics, which is not yet the case in routine today with rapid detection tests (e.g. by PCR 271 or NGS), carried out on sample vials. Moreover, in some cases during collection, PCR inhibitors may also be contained in the blood or synovial fluid added. Enrichment of the 272 273 culture of osteoarticular specimens and the need for prolonged incubation has been widely 274 demonstrated for optimum detection. Some published works showed that Anaerobic Lytic/F 275 vials were used preferentially to Plus Anaerobic/F vials, because the recovery rate of 276 bacteria and yeast was demonstrated significantly better than in the Plus Anaerobic/F vials, while performance of Plus Aerobic/F vials and Anaerobic Lytic/F vials was equivalent [16]. 277 278 Eliott et al. [12] showed that significantly more Gram-positive organisms (P<0.05) and Gram-279 negative organisms (P<0.05), including Enterobacteriaceae (P<0.05) were recovered from 280 the lytic medium. An incubation of 14 days has been recommended [3], but without the use of blood culture vials as enrichment media. The use of blood culture vials has been shown to 281 reduce incubation time to less than 14 days, with a good recovery of most of pathogenic 282 283 bacteria as attested by sub-culturing of negative samples [3, 5]. BACTEC® vial enrichment has

284 already been tested for different samples, such as cerebrospinal fluid samples [21] with a 285 better recovery of 95.8% versus 53.3% on agar media). Hugues et al. [22] analyzed samples from prosthetic joint revision surgery, and showed that automated BACTEC® culture was the 286 most sensitive method (87%), as compared with cooked meat enrichment broth (83%), 287 288 fastidious anaerobic broth (57%) and direct plates (39%); all were highly specific (97-100%). Another problem concerning the bacteriological diagnosis is the late growth that must be 289 290 attributed either to a contamination (at the time of the sampling or at the laboratory) or 291 either to a weak initial inoculum (chronic infection, antibiotherapy ...). The contamination 292 rate was estimated at 2.2% with agar media. With vials this was more difficult to assess, because only C. acnes strains were identified after 144h of incubation, and it remained 293 294 unclear whether this was a case of contamination or whether it was a chronic infection, undiagnosed by the other available criteria of infection. In our study, 2.6% of bacteria have 295 296 been identified only on agar media, with a small number of colonies (< 10 UFC/plate), and 297 among those, only two species were both positive by direct examination and/or combined to positive blood cultures (S. dysgalactiae and S. pyogenes.). In all the other cases, a 298 299 contamination was widely suspected and compatible with clinical data. To confirm or invalidate this hypothesis of contamination, a 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing could be 300 301 carried out on the crushed material. This would make it possible, in the event of a 302 concordant result, to exclude an agar plate contamination and provide an additional argument for a torpid infection with a weak bacterial inoculum. Multiplying the number of 303 culture media and collecting at least 4 specimens for surgical sampling facilitates 304 305 microbiological interpretation and make it easier to suspect cases of contamination. The use of 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing should only be used when cultures are not sufficient
to establish the diagnosis, due to a low sesnitivity [18].

308 Regarding the PDT of blood culture vials, for sterility testing of corneal organ culture media, Gain et al. [23] estimated that 78% of blood culture vials were detected within 24 309 310 hours and 94% within 48 hours, which joins our results. Average detection time in the lytic 311 medium was 15.8 h, compared to 22.7 h in the other medium (P<0.001) [12]. Minassian et 312 al. [5] showed that the respiratory atmosphere of the inoculated blood culture vial 313 influenced the detection of microorganisms: Staphylococci, Streptococci, Enterococci and 314 Enterobacteriaceae were found in both aerobic and anaerobic vials, whereas obligate anaerobic bacteria (including C. acnes) only grew in anaerobiosis, and Pseudomonas grew 315 316 almost exclusively in aerobic vials. Previous work showed that enrichment in vials can be more effective in detecting C. acnes (Bemer et al. 2016), but these authors used in their 317 318 study pediatric vials, whose composition is different from the vials used in our study. This 319 could explain, at least in part, this difference in results. Our study showed the advantage of using a set of two vials (aerobic and anaerobic) to improve the rapidity of diagnosis. 320

321

5. Conclusions

Using both aerobic and anaerobic vials, a large majority of bacterial species were identified after only 24 hours of incubation and often less. In addition, the use of MALDI-TOF to directly identify bacteria/yeasts on enrichment media has also saved valuable time for diagnosis. In 15.5% of cases, some microorganisms were only identified from aerobic vials and in 12.7% from anaerobic vials, indicating the importance of using both atmosphere conditions for optimal PDT. The enrichment media can improve the PDT by reducing it to a

- 329 few hours, but the benefit of this rapid detection will be real only if the results are available
- 330 continuously for the clinician and if a therapeutic management is immediately conducted.
- 331

332 Acknowledgments

- 333 We thank Adina Pascu for helping us to format the manuscript. We thank Vincent Driano and
- 334 Elise Recalt for their occasional help in collection of data.
- 335 Fundings
- 336 No funding
- 337 Conflict of interest
- 338 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests regarding this study.

339

340

341

342 **References**

[1] F. Societe de Pathologie Infectieuse de Langue, T. College des Universitaires de Maladies
 Infectieuses et, P. Groupe de Pathologie Infectieuse, et al. [Recommendations for clinical practice.
 Osteo-articular infection therapy according to materials used (prosthesis, implants, osteosynthesis)].

346 Med Mal Infect 39 (2009) 745-774.

[2] D.R. Osmon, E.F. Berbari, A.R. Berendt, et al. Executive summary: diagnosis and management of
 prosthetic joint infection: clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America.
 Clin Infect Dis 56 (2013) 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cis966.

- 350 [3] P. Schafer, B. Fink, D. Sandow, A. Margull, I. Berger, L. Frommelt. Prolonged bacterial culture to
- identify late periprosthetic joint infection: a promising strategy. Clin Infect Dis 47 (2008) 1403-1409.
- 352 https://doi.org/10.1086/592973.

[4] M.E. Portillo, S. Corvec, O. Borens, A. Trampuz. Propionibacterium acnes: an underestimated
pathogen in implant-associated infections. Biomed Res Int 2013 (2013a) 804391.
https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/804391.

[5] A.M. Minassian, R. Newnham, E. Kalimeris, P. Bejon, B.L. Atkins, I.C. Bowler. Use of an automated
blood culture system (BD BACTEC) for diagnosis of prosthetic joint infections: easy and fast. BMC
Infect Dis 14 (2014) 233. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-14-233.

[6] M.E. Portillo, M. Salvado, A. Trampuz, et al. Sonication versus vortexing of implants for diagnosis
of prosthetic joint infection. J Clin Microbiol 51 (2013b) 591-594.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02482-12.

- [7] M.E. Portillo, M. Salvado, A. Trampuz, et al. Improved diagnosis of orthopedic implant-associated
 infection by inoculation of sonication fluid into blood culture bottles. J Clin Microbiol 53 (2015) 1622 1627. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.03683-14.
- [8] P. Bemer, J. Leger, D. Tande, et al. How Many Samples and How Many Culture Media To Diagnose
 a Prosthetic Joint Infection: a Clinical and Microbiological Prospective Multicenter Study. J Clin
 Microbiol 54 (2016) 385-391. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02497-15.
- [9] M.C. Wang, W.H. Lin, J.J. Yan, et al. Early identification of microorganisms in blood culture prior to
 the detection of a positive signal in the BACTEC FX system using matrix-assisted laser
 desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 48 (2015) 419424. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmii.2013.10.006.
- [10] J. Park, S. Han, S. Shin. Comparison of Growth Performance of the BacT/ALERT VIRTUO and
 BACTEC FX Blood Culture Systems Under Simulated Bloodstream Infection Conditions. Clin Lab 63
 (2017) 39-46. https://doi.org/10.7754/Clin.Lab.2016.160502.
- In Messbarger, K. Neemann. Role of Anaerobic Blood Cultures in Neonatal Bacteremia. J
 Pediatric Infect Dis Soc 7 (2018) e65-e69. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpids/pix088.
- [12] T.S. Elliott, C.M. Stevens, F. Macrae, et al. Improved recovery of antibiotic-stressed
 microorganisms on inclusion of saponin in aerobic blood culture media. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis
 17 (1998) 566-569.
- [13] J. Spaargaren, C.P. van Boven, G.P. Voorn. Effectiveness of resins in neutralizing antibiotic
 activities in bactec plus Aerobic/F culture medium. J Clin Microbiol 36 (1998) 3731-3733.
- [14] R. Zadroga, D.N. Williams, R. Gottschall, et al. Comparison of 2 blood culture media shows
 significant differences in bacterial recovery for patients on antimicrobial therapy. Clin Infect Dis 56
 (2013) 790-797. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cis1021.
- 385 [15] A.M. Alizadeh, R. Kabiri Movahed, M. Mohammadnia. Comparative Evaluation of Conventional
 386 and BACTEC Methods for Detection of Bacterial Infection. Tanaffos 15 (2016) 112-116.
- [16] A. Rocchetti, L. Di Matteo, P. Bottino, et al. Prospective study of the clinical performance of
 three BACTEC media in a modern emergency department: Plus Aerobic/F, Plus Anaerobic/F, and
 Anaerobic Lytic/F. J Microbiol Methods 130 (2016) 129-132.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2016.09.008.
- [17] R. Passerini, M.C. Cassatella, M. Salvatici, et al. Recovery and time to growth of isolates in blood
 culture bottles: comparison of BD Bactec Plus Aerobic/F and BD Bactec Plus Anaerobic/F bottles.
 Scand J Infect Dis 46 (2014) 288-293. https://doi.org/10.3109/00365548.2013.876510.
- [18] P. Bemer, C. Plouzeau, D. Tande, et al. Evaluation of 16S rRNA gene PCR sensitivity and
 specificity for diagnosis of prosthetic joint infection: a prospective multicenter cross-sectional study. J
 Clin Microbiol 52 (2014) 3583-3589. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01459-14.
- [19] E. Lallemand, C. Arvieux, G. Coiffier, et al. Use of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry after liquid
 enrichment (BD Bactec) for rapid diagnosis of bone and joint infections. Res Microbiol 168 (2017)
 122-129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2016.09.005.
- 400 [20] A.L. Roux, V. Sivadon-Tardy, T. Bauer, et al. Diagnosis of prosthetic joint infection by beadmill
 401 processing of a periprosthetic specimen. Clin Microbiol Infect 17 (2011) 447-450.
 402 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2010.03359.x.

- 403 [21] A. Calderaro, M. Martinelli, S. Montecchini, et al. Higher recovery rate of microorganisms from
 404 cerebrospinal fluid samples by the BACTEC culture system in comparison with agar culture. Diagn
 405 Microbiol Infect Dis 84 (2016) 281-286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2015.12.016.
- 406 [22] H.C. Hughes, R. Newnham, N. Athanasou, B.L. Atkins, P. Bejon, I.C. Bowler. Microbiological
- diagnosis of prosthetic joint infections: a prospective evaluation of four bacterial culture media in the
- 408
 routine laboratory. Clin Microbiol Infect 17 (2011) 1528-1530. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469

 409
 0691.2011.03597.x.
- 410 [23] P. Gain, G. Thuret, C. Chiquet, et al. [Use of a pair of blood culture bottles for sterility testing of
- 411 corneal organ culture media]. J Fr Ophtalmol 25 (2002) 367-373.
- 412

built all the second

413 List of Tables and Figures

414

415 Table 1.

Recovery rate and percentage of main microorganisms identified in (BD BACTEC® Plus 416 Aerobic/F) and anaerobic (BD BACTEC[®] Lytic/10 Anaerobic/F) enrichment vials, and agar 417 media, attested by a positive detection time (vials) or growth on agar plate, during an 418 419 incubation period of 10 days for vials and 5 days for plates (for the remaining day-incubation period the Schaedler agar broth was monitored and subcultured, if cloudly or systematically 420 on the 14th day, on an anaerobic blood agar plate and aerobic chocolate agar plate). Other 421 422 Gram-negative bacteria: Campylobacter fetus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Moraxella catarrhalis. 423

424

425 Table 2.

426 Positive detection time of the main micro-organisms in (BD BACTEC[®] Plus Aerobic/F)(AE) and 427 anaerobic (BD BACTEC[®] Lytic/10 Anaerobic/F)(ANA) enrichment vials, compared to agar 428 media. Other Gram negative bacteria: *Campylobacter fetus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa,* 429 *Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Moraxella catarrhalis*. CNS: coagulase negative staphylococi.

430

431 Table 3

432 Comparison between positive detection time in BD BACTEC[®] Plus Aerobic/F)(A) and 433 anaerobic (BD BACTEC[®] Lytic/10 Anaerobic/F)(B) enrichment vials, with agar media. 434 Only positive samples, both on enrichment vials and agar plates, were counted (Aerobic n = 435 394; Anaerobic n= 347).

436

437 Figure 1.

Positivity rate and positive detection time (in hours) by each main class of microorganisms: 438 data for BD BACTEC[®] Plus Aerobic/F)(A) and anaerobic (BD BACTEC[®] Lytic/10 439 Anaerobic/F)(B) enrichment vials, for all isolates grown from bone and synovial samples 440 (n=496). Bacterial species identified by MALDI-TOF were: Streptococcus (S. pyogenes, S. 441 agalactiae, S. dysgalactiae, S. anginosus, S. pneumoniae, S. gordonii, S. salivarius, S. oralis, S. 442 443 mitis, S. bovis), Staphylococcus (S. aureus, S. epidermidis, S. capitis, S. caprae, S. cohnii, S. haemolyticus, S. hominis, S. lugdu, S. warneri), other facultative anaerobes Gram + (9 444 species : Enterococcus, Aerococcus, Bacillus, Clostridium, Granulicatella, Lactobacillus, 445 Micrococcus), Cutibacterium acnes and other Gram positive anaerobes (2 species : 446 447 Actinomyces, Finegoldia), one Gram positive aerobe (Corynebacterium), one microaerophilic 448 bacteria (Campylobacter fetus), facultatively anaerobic Gram negative Enterobacteriaceae 449 (Escherichia, Klebsiella, Enterobacter, Pantoea, Citrobacter, Serratia, Morganella, Proteus) and other strictly aerobic bacteria (Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, 450 Moraxella catarrhalis, Mycobacterium abscessus) or Candida tropicalis. 451

Table 1

	Number of isolates	Gold- standard	Recovery rate (%)				
		Agar media	Plus Aerobic/F vials	Pa	Lytic/10 Anaerobic/F vials	P ^b	P ^c
Staphylococcus sp.	311	303 (97.4)	278 (89.4)	0.006	259 (83.3)	< 0.001	0.03
S. aureus	178	177 (99.4)	166 (93.3)	0.003	155 (87.1)	< 0.001	0.05
CNS	133	126 (94.7)	112 (84.2)	0.006	104 (78.2)	< 0.001	0.21
Streptococcus sp.	58	57 (98.3)	55 (94.8)	0.62	48 (82.8)	0.008	0.07
Cutibacterium acnes	43	6 (14)	5 (11.9)	0.99	40 (93)	< 0.001	< 0.001
Enterobacteriaceae	43	42 (97.7)	41 (95.3)	0.99	34 (79.1)	0.02	< 0.05
Other Gram negative bacteria	21	19 (90.5)	18 (85.7)	0.99	9 (42.9)	0.003	0.01
All species	496	434 (87.5)	413 (83.2)	0.06	399 (80.4)	0.002	0.25

457 CNS: Coagulase Negative Staphylococci

a P-value for comparison between Plus Aerobic/F vials and Agar media

459 b P-value for comparison between Lytic/10 Anaerobic/F vials and Agar media

460 ^c P-value for comparison between Plus Aerobic/F vials and Lytic/10 Anaerobic/F vials

462 Table 2

	Positive detection time (h)					
	Plus Aerobic/F	Lytic/10 Anaerobic/F	. II			
	vials	vials	Agar media	р		
Staphylococcus sp.	15.0 [9.0-25.0]	16.0 [11.0-27.0]	24.0 [24-48]	<0.001		
S. aureus	10.0 [7.0-14.0]	12.0 [9.0-19.0]	24.0 [24-24]	<0.001		
CNS	28.5 [21.0-43.8]	22.0 [18.0-30.0]	48.0 [24-72]	<0.001		
Streptococcus sp.	9.0 [6.0-12.0]	8.0 [5.25-11.0]	24.0 [24-24]	<0.001		
Cutibacterium acnes	240.0 [67.5-246]	126 [99-183.75]	84.0 [24-102]	0.345		
Enterobacteria	9.0 [6.0-12.0]	7.5 [5.0-11.0]	24.0 [24-24]	<0.001		
Other Gram negative bacteria	11.0 [4.75-14.0]	7.0 [5.0-11.5]	24.0 [24-48]	0.001		
All	13.0 [8.0-23.0]	15.0 [9.0-30.0]	24.0 [24-48]	<0.001		

Table 3

Δ		Positive detection time on Agar media							
~		24h	48h	72h	96h	120h	> 144h		
	≤ 24h	244 (61,9%)	39 (9,9%)	14 (3,6%)	3 (0,75%)	4 (1%)	2 (0,5%)		
Positive	>24 - ≤ 48h	10 (2,5%)	43 (10,9%)	6 (1,5%)	2 (0,5%)	/	/		
detection	>48 - ≤ 72h	4 (1%)	2 (0,5%)	16 (4,1%)	. /	/	/		
time in	>72 - ≤ 96h	1 (0,25%)	/	/	1 (0,25%)	/	/		
<u>Aerobic</u>	>96 - ≤ 120h	2 (0,5%)	1 (0,25%)	1	1	/	/		
vials	>120 - ≤ 144	/	/		/	/	/		
	>144h	/		/	/	/	/		

	Positive detection time on Agar media								
В		24h	48h	72h	96h	120h	> 144h		
	≤ 24h	198 (57,1%)	45 (13%)	12 (3,4%)	3 (0,8%)	1 (0,3%)	2 (0,6%)		
Positive	>24 - ≤ 48h	15 (4,3%)	14 (4%)	8 (2,3%)	2 (0,6%)	/	/		
detection	>48 - ≤ 72h	7 (2%)	6 (1,7%)	4 (1,15%)	/	/	/		
time in	>72 - ≤ 96h	9 (2,6%)	/	2 (0,6%)	/	/	/		
Anaerobic	>96 - ≤ 120h	5 (1,4%)	1 (0,3%)	/	/	/	/		
vials	>120 - ≤ 144	3 (0,9%)	1 (0,3%)	/	1 (0,3%)	/	/		
	>144h	4 (1,2%)	3 (0,9%)	/	1 (0,3%)	/	/		

Figure 1.





B



Highlights

- After 10 days of incubation, cases of contamination are most often observed
- Use of a set of two vials is recommended to improve the rapidity of diagnosis
- Positivity rate for anaerobic and aerobic vials was > 80%

Journal Pre-proof

Declaration of interests

 \boxtimes The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

A Jolivet-Gougeon et al.