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## Summary

Chromophore attachment of the light-harvesting apparatus represents one of the most important post-translational modifications in photosynthetic cyanobacteria. Extensive pigment diversity of cyanobacteria critically depends on bilin lyases that covalently attach chemically distinct chromophores to phycobiliproteins. However, how bilin lyases catalyze bilin ligation reactions and how some lyases acquire additional isomerase abilities remain elusive at the molecular level. Here we report the crystal structure of a representative bilin lyase-isomerase MpeQ. This structure has revealed a "question-mark" protein architecture that unambiguously establishes the active site conserved among the E/F type bilin lyases. Based on structural, mutational and modeling data, we demonstrate that stereoselectivity of the active site plays a critical role in conferring the isomerase activity of MpeQ. We further advance a tyrosinemediated reaction scheme unifying different types of bilin lyases. These results suggest that the lyase and isomerase actions of bilin lyases arise from two coupled molecular events of distinct origin.

## Introduction

A critical step in biogenesis of the light-harvesting complexes in photosynthetic cyanobacteria involves post-translational modifications that covalently attach bilin pigments to specific cysteine residues in phycobiliproteins (Schluchter et al., 2010). Such reactions are catalyzed by an important family of enzymes called bilin lyases. Phycobiliprotein $\alpha$ and $\beta$ subunits incorporated with 1-3 bilins each are assembled as donut-shaped trimers or hexamers in megadalton phycobilisomes (PBS) where the rods project out from the core to allow light harvesting and directional energy transfer to the reaction centers of photosystems (Glazer, 1989) (Fig. S1A). Bilin lyases are directly responsible for the extensive pigment diversity of the PBS and ubiquity of cyanobacteria on Earth by enabling them to adapt and thrive in different light niches (Flombaum et al., 2013; Grébert et al., 2018; Sanfilippo et al., 2019a).

Among three phylogenetically distinct types of bilin lyases (Bretaudeau et al., 2012; Fairchild et al., 1992; Scheer and Zhao, 2008; Schluchter et al., 2010; Shen et al., 2006, 2008; Zhou et al., 1992), the $S / U$ and $T$ types have the $\beta$-barrel folds while the $E / F$ type adopts an all-helical structure (Kronfel et al., 2013; Overkamp et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 1992, 2014). These enzymes differ in their protein scaffolds and confer different substrate specificities for pigments, acceptor proteins and target residues. In essence, they all catalyze the same chemical reaction resulting in formation of a thioether linkage between the sulfhydryl group of a cysteine residue in phycobiliprotein and the $\mathrm{C} 3^{1}$ atom in the A-ring of a bilin pigment (Scheer and Zhao, 2008; Schirmer et al., 1987) (Fig. S1B). It has been proposed that this reaction involves transient association between the bilin pigment and lyase mediated by a nucleophilic residue such as histidine or cysteine from the lyase via the C10 atom (Stumpe et al., 1993; Tu et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2000). However, the chemical identity of catalytic residue(s) and their roles in the enzymatic action remain elusive (Gasper et al., 2017; Overkamp et al., 2014; Scheer and Zhao, 2008; Tu et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2017, 2000; Zhou et al., 2014). For the E/F type bilin lyases, there has been only one structure solved for heterodimeric CpcE/F (Zhao et al., 2017).

To understand the molecular mechanism underlying the bilin ligation reaction, we investigate a single-chain E/F type bilin lyase MpeQ that incorporates PUB at the Cys83 site of
the phycoerythrin-II $\alpha$-subunit, a phycobiliprotein encoded by the mpeBA operon in marine Synechococcus (Grébert et al., 2021). The newly identified MpeQ is involved in Type IV chromatic acclimation (CA4) process, a widespread phenomenon where marine Synechococcus vary the molar ratio between the blue-light absorbing phycourobilin (PUB) and the green-light absorbing phycoerythrobilin (PEB) in phycobiliproteins depending on the ambient light color (Everroad et al., 2006; Grébert et al., 2018, 2021; Palenik, 2001; Sanfilippo et al., 2019a; Shukla et al., 2012). The CA4 phenomenon is attributed to light-regulated expression of a PEB lyase or lyase-isomerase encoded in a small CA4-conferring genomic island and competing with a constitutively expressed homolog (lyase-isomerase or lyase) encoded in the large genomic region involved in the biosynthesis of phycobilisome rods (Grébert et al., 2021; Mahmoud et al., 2017; Sanfilippo et al., 2016, 2019a; Shukla et al., 2012). Compared to PEB lyases, bilin lyaseisomerases such as MpeQ have acquired an additional ability to isomerize PEB to PUB during the ligation reaction (Blot et al., 2009; Grébert et al., 2021; Shukla et al., 2012) (Fig. S1C). However, the molecular basis for this isomerase action is not known. MpeQ thus offers a superb model system not only for dissecting the molecular mechanism of bilin lyases but also for addressing how these highly homologous enzymes attach different pigments to the same site of phycobiliproteins using the same substrate (Grébert et al., 2021; Humily et al., 2013; Sanfilippo et al., 2019b).

This work reports the crystal structure of MpeQ, a representative bilin lyase-isomerase from the E/F family. This structure has revealed a hitherto unknown "question-mark" architecture and active site geometry that call for a major revision of the current model for the E/F bilin lyases (Zhao et al., 2017). Based on structural analyses, site-directed mutagenesis and enzyme assays, we identify key residues responsible for the lyase and isomerase activities, respectively. We propose a general tyrosine-mediated reaction scheme for bilin lyases in the E/F family and beyond. We further advance a model for the enzyme-substrate complex that elucidates how MpeQ accommodates both the bilin and protein substrates to catalyze the ligation reaction while employing stereoselectivity to confer the isomerase activity. These findings provide a structural framework and mechanistic insights into one of the most important enzymatic reactions in photosynthesis.

## Results

MpeQ adopts a "question-mark" architecture

We have determined the crystal structure of MpeQ at $2.5 \AA$ resolution by the single-wavelength anomalous diffraction (SAD) method using Se-methionine-derivatized crystals (Table 1). In addition to the N-terminal histidine tag, all 398 residues of MpeQ have been accounted for in the electron density map with two protein molecules in an asymmetric unit. The $\alpha$-solenoid structure of MpeQ adopts a "question-mark" scaffold in which a total of $23 \alpha$-helices are supercoiled in a right-handed manner (Fig. 1A; Fig. 1D). The N-terminal (aa. 14-255) and C-terminal (aa. 274-398) domains connected by an extended linker are denoted the E and F domains, respectively, corresponding to the CpcE and CpcF subunits of a prototypical $\mathrm{CpcE} / \mathrm{F}$ bilin lyase from Nostoc sp. PCC 7120 (Zhao et al., 2017). However, the overall architecture of MpeQ starkly contrasts with the crescent-shaped structure proposed for $\mathrm{CpcE} / \mathrm{F}$ (Zhao et al., 2017) (Fig. 2A,B). Interestingly but not surprisingly, a revised $\mathrm{CpcE} / \mathrm{F}$ model based on an alternative arrangement of the E and F subunits presents a heterodimeric structure highly comparable to that of MpeQ (Fig. 1B; Fig. 2). Remarkably, four out of five strictly conserved residues (Tyr76, Pro108, Arg112 and Trp151; numbering in CpcF ) between heterodimeric $\mathrm{CpcE} / \mathrm{F}$ and single-chain $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{F}$ lyases such as MpeQ line up in the tight turns between helices facing an enclosed cavity in the question-mark architecture in contrast to the published $\mathrm{CpcE} / \mathrm{F}$ structure. This suggests that the MpeQ structure, but not the reported structure of $\mathrm{CpcE} / \mathrm{F}$ (Zhao et al., 2017), represents the biological relevant protein framework for bilin lyases in the E/F family.

## Active site and key residues responsible for lyase activity

The E and F domains of MpeQ are roughly perpendicular to each other in terms of the overall helical direction (Fig. 1C). The E domain constitute the "stroke" part of the question mark while the F domain corresponds to the "dot" part (Fig. 1A, Fig. 1D). Besides the linker, the E and F domains are bridged by a long N -terminal extension (aa. 1-13) enclosing a large interior chamber (Fig. 1A, Fig. 3). In the crystal lattice, two molecules of MpeQ dimerize via their $N$-terminal extensions tethered as two anti-parallel $\beta$-strands traversing each other's
chamber (Fig. 1E). The enclosed chamber is open on both sides although one side is notably wider than the other (Fig. 1C). Arg/Lys residues pointing towards the chamber interior form large positively charged surface patches on the ceiling and wall areas (Fig. 3A; Fig. 4A). Along a shallow cleft between the E and F domains, some highly conserved residues as well as those hallmark residues that distinguish the lyases and lyase-isomerases are clustered at the chamber floor constituting a putative active site (Fig. 4B; Fig. S2). This site marked by Tyr318, Lys353 and Glu285 is exposed to the wide side of the chamber (Fig. 3B). In crystal lattice, Tyr318 and Lys353 engage close interactions with the E' domain of a neighboring molecule via an interface with buried surface area of $2426 \AA^{2}$ (PISA) (Fig. S3A). In solution, however, MpeQ is monomeric according to the elution profile of size exclusion chromatography (Fig. S3B), which makes this site fully accessible for substrate binding.

To confirm the active site, we made several single mutations in this cluster (Fig. 4A, B) and examined how they affect the lyase and/or isomerase activities in MpeQ. By co-expressing MpeQ in E. coli with two other plasmids that produce the MpeA and PEB substrates, we measured the normalized enzyme activities by detecting the chromophorylated products, MpeA-PUB or MpeA-PEB, using Zn-fluorescence (Berkelman and Lagarias, 1986) and/or absorption spectra of the purified MpeA (Fig. 4C-E; Fig. 5). The lyase activity was completely abolished in the single mutants of Y318F, Y318A and K353A, with no detectable chromophorylation of MpeA (Fig. 4C; Table S1). The E285A mutant showed significantly reduced lyase activity while the S222A, S224P and W383F variants retained the lyase-isomerase activities with MpeA-PUB produced at varying levels lower than WT (Fig. 4C; Fig. 5). These mutational data corroborate our structural findings, supporting that Tyr318 and Lys353 play essential roles in catalysis and/or substrate binding (Fig. 3B).

We also made single mutants on several Arg residues lining the chamber interior (Fig. 4A). When Arg71, Arg79, Arg135 or Arg143 was individually mutated to Asp, no MpeA chromophorylation was detected (Fig. 5C). Similar results were observed for the R228A and R198A variants (Fig. 4C; Fig. S2; Table S1). The R71A, R79A, R228D, and R198K variants showed low-level production of MpeA-PUB (Fig. 5; Table S1). These results suggest that
although Arg71, Arg79, Arg198 and Arg228 are not directly involved in catalysis, they are likely to act as protein anchors that help stabilize the bilin pigment via interactions with the negatively charged propionates.

## Steric factors underlying isomerase activity

To determine the molecular basis of the isomerase activity, we analyzed a collection of protein sequences of highly homologous $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{F}$ type lyases and their counterpart lyase-isomerases. Specifically, sequence comparisons of the MpeW and MpeY lyases with the MpeQ and MpeZ lyase-isomerases retrieved from 106 marine Synechococcus strains or single-amplified genomes (Dataset S1) highlighted nine residues swapped between the lyases and lyase-isomerases (Fig. S2 and Dataset S2). To examine whether these "swap" residues contribute to the gain of the isomerase function, we individually substituted five such residues at the active site of MpeQ with their counterparts in the PEB lyase MpeW (Fig. S4). All five single mutants (A100T, S224P, V319G, T320A, and Y323Q) largely retained their lyase activities (Fig. 5; Fig. S4). Only the V319G variant produced an additional absorption peak near 550 nm indicating the formation of MpeA-PEB (Fig. S4B). In other words, the Val $\rightarrow$ Gly substitution led to partial loss of the isomerase activity in MpeQ (Fig. 4D, Fig. S4B).

Val319 is located right next to the catalytic Tyr318. We systematically explored possible steric effects on the lyase-isomerase activity by replacing Val319 with Gly, Ala, Leu and Phe, individually (Fig. 4C, 4D). With increasingly bulkier side chains at the position of Val319, these mutants exhibited a striking color tuning effect on the MpeA product (Fig. 5A). The V319G variant with the smallest side chain showed a reddish color indicating a low PUB:PEB ratio in MpeA. The V319A variant with a slightly larger side chain has an orange color suggesting a higher PUB:PEB ratio. With valine or leucine present at the position 319, the yellow-colored sample suggests exclusive formation of MpeA-PUB. Larger side chains at this position appear to interfere with the bilin attachment. As such, the lyase activity of the V319L variant was reduced by about $50 \%$, while the V319F variant barely showed any detectable chromophorylated MpeA (Fig. 4C,D; Fig. 5).

It is not surprising that the single swap mutations (A100T, S224P, T320A and Y323Q) did not directly affect the isomerase function as these sites are further away from the catalytic Tyr318 (Fig. 4B; Fig. S4B). However, when these substitutions were made in combination with V319G, they significantly altered the PUB:PEB ratio in the MpeA products (Fig. 4E). The single mutant V319G exhibited a PUB:PEB ratio of 10:1 while the double mutant V319G/T320A lowered the PUB:PEB ratio to $3: 1$. This ratio was further reduced to $1.5: 1$ in the triple mutant V319G/T320A/Y323Q. Two quintuple mutants (MpeQ5.1: A100T/V319G/T320A/Y323Q/T352A; MpeQ5.2: S224P/V319G/T320A/Y323Q/T352A) evidently switched to make more MpeA-PEB than MpeA-PUB resulting in the PUB:PEB ratios of 1:1.5 and 1:3, respectively (Fig. 4E). It is noteworthy that higher PUB:PEB ratios coincide with bulkier side chains at these swap sites (Fig. 4A), suggesting that the formation of MpeA-PEB requires a larger reaction volume at the active site. To this end, exclusive attachment of PEB to MpeA-Cys83 by MpeW arises from collective steric effects from multiple swap sites beyond Val319 as exemplified by the sequence differences between MpeQ and its counterpart lyase MpeW (Fig. S2 and Fig. S5).

## Tripartite model and reaction mechanism

Identification of the active site allowed us to explore the modes of substrate binding to MpeQ . We first examined structural flexibility of both MpeQ and MpeA using molecular dynamics (MD) simulations (Fig. S6). A 72-ns MD simulation on MpeQ revealed two distinct dispositions of the long N-terminal extension (~aa. 1-15) (Fig. S6A). In a "latch-on" position similar to that in the crystal structure, the N-terminal extension encloses the active site chamber while the "latchoff" position allows better accessibility to the active site. The RMSD distance matrix derived from 100 simulated structures on the trajectory reveals three rigid bodies in the $\alpha$-solenoid structure (aa. 30-97 and 117-237 in the E domain; aa. 250-398 in the F domain), which roughly correspond to the ceiling, wall and floor sections of the chamber, respectively (Fig. S6B; Fig. 3B). The MD trajectory shows close coupling between the wall and floor sections while the ceiling section exhibits higher mobility likely due to its proximity to the flexible N -terminal extension. We also performed the MD simulations on an apo-MpeA model (Swiss-model) (Biasini et al.,
2014), which also reveals two distinct clusters of conformations in the cap-like loop (aa. 63-81) shielding MpeA-Cys83 from the molecular surface (Fig. S6C; Fig. S1B). The "cap-on" conformation resembles a well-folded $\alpha$-subunit while the "cap-off" structure exposes MpeACys83 for docking at the active site of MpeQ.

Based on surface complementarity, protein flexibility and active site geometry, we present a tripartite model in which MpeQ is complexed with the PEB and MpeA substrates (Fig. 6). First of all, the active site in monomeric MpeQ is fully accessible for substrate binding (Fig. 6A). ApoMpeA is expected to approach the active site chamber from the wide side. This docking is likely facilitated by induced fit involving both MpeA and MpeQ (Fig. 6A; Fig. S6). Specifically, the Nterminal extension of MpeQ would adopt a "latch-off" position allowing proper positioning of MpeA-Cys83 at the active site (Fig. 6B). With the bilin binding pocket of MpeA open to the chamber interior, the active site of MpeQ is accessible to the PEB chromophore from the narrow side (Fig. 6C). This model features PEB in its final binding cleft of MpeA (Fig. 6B,C) with its Aring sandwiched between MpeQ-Tyr318 and MpeA-Cys83.

At the active site, the A-ring assumes an extended anti-conformation as in the final product. This disposition presents the $\mathrm{C} 3=\mathrm{C} 3^{1}$ double bond, the target site for ligation, to the hydroxyl group of Tyr318 while its lactam group is positioned to engage direct interactions with Lys353/Glu285 (Fig. 6D; Fig. S7A). This active site geometry fully agrees with the mutagenesis data (Fig. 4C) supporting that Tyr318 is critical for catalyzing the ligation reaction while Lys353/Glu285 are important for conferring specific orientation of the A-ring. In addition, this model places Val319 right next to the $R$-configured C2 atom, which perfectly explains the remarkable steric effects of Val319 on the lyase and isomerase activities (Fig. 4D).

This tripartite model clearly points to distinct chemical origins for the lyase and isomerase actions of MpeQ. To address whether the PEB $\rightarrow$ PUB isomerization occurs before or after bilin ligation to MpeA-Cys83, we performed a simple experiment by co-expressing MpeQ with a PEB-producing plasmid and the MpeA-C83A variant. We reason that since MpeA-C83A is unable to covalently attach the bilin pigment, the ligation reaction cannot complete thereby allowing us to detect the isomerization product PUB if it is formed in the absence of ligation.

We measured absorption spectra from the load, flowthrough and eluted fractions of the affinity chromatography column that was used to separate bulk proteins and free pigments from the His-tagged MpeQ and MpeA (Fig. S8A). Both the load and flowthrough samples showed the absorption band around 560 nm supporting that the PEB substrate was sufficiently available in this co-expression system. While PUB was barely detectable in the bulk samples of load and flowthrough, the eluted fraction exhibits a small yet clear peak at $\sim 495 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Fig. S8A), suggesting that PUB is formed in the absence of ligation to MpeA-Cys83 and remains associated with MpeQ and/or MpeA.

Taken together, we advance a reaction scheme to elucidate a tyrosine-mediated bilin ligation and isomerization catalyzed by MpeQ. In essence, the net reaction catalyzed by a bilin lyase is a nucleophilic addition of the sulfhydryl $(-\mathrm{SH})$ group of cysteine in the phycobiliprotein substrate to the $\mathrm{C} 3=\mathrm{C}^{1}$ double bond of the bilin substrate (Fig. 7). In the final product of MpeQ , a thioether bond is formed between the C3 ${ }^{1}$ atom and the sulfur atom of MpeA-Cys 83 while the $\mathrm{C} 3=\mathrm{C} 3^{1}$ double bond is reduced. Such a nucleophilic addition to alkene requires a catalytic group to polarize the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond. Our structural and mutagenesis data strongly suggests that the hydroxyl group of MpeQ-Tyr318 serves this role. We propose that in the first step of the reaction, Tyr318 activates the bilin substrate resulting in a polarized $\mathrm{C} 3=\mathrm{C}^{1}$ double bond (Fig. 7). This bilin activation step is critical as no lyase activities were detected in the Y318F or Y318A mutants when this hydroxyl group is absent, or in V319F where the catalytic hydroxyl group cannot get close enough to the C3 atom (Fig. 4). In MpeW where the active site poses no major steric hinderance, the bilin activation would proceed to the nucleophilic addition reaction giving rise to the MpeA-PEB product. In MpeQ, on the other hand, the MpeA-PEB formation is not allowed due to incompatibility between the active site residue (Val319) and the chiral centers at the C3 and/or C2 positions in PEB. The addition reaction cannot take place unless such a conflict is resolved in a rearrangement reaction where PEB $\rightarrow$ PUB isomerization effectively shifts the chiral centers from the C2/C3 to C4/C5 positions (Fig. 6; Fig. 7). Selected by evolution, the side chain of Val319 is small enough to permit the catalytic action of Tyr318, yet bulky enough to exclude the PEB attachment. Similar to retinoid isomerase RPE65 (Kiser et al.,
2015), MpeQ exemplifies a general molecular strategy to confer the isomerase activity via stereoselectivity of the active site geometry (Fig. 7).

## Discussion

Prior to this study, no crystal structure has been reported for any bilin lyase-isomerase; and $\mathrm{CpcE} / \mathrm{F}$ (a PCB lyase from Nostoc sp. PCC 7120) was the only structure available for the E/F type bilin lyases (Zhao et al., 2017). However, the interface between CpcE and CpcF proposed by Zhao et al. is not present in the structure of single-chain MpeQ (Fig. 1E; Fig. 2B)(Zhao et al., 2017). In fact, no consistent pattern or interface other than the question-mark arrangement is found between the crystal structures of MpeQ and CpcE/F (Fig. 2D). Therefore, it is unlikely that the crescent-shaped architecture (PDB ID: 5N3U) represents the biological assembly or functional unit of $\mathrm{CpcE} / \mathrm{F}$ despite its high resolution. Because the crystal lattice of $\mathrm{CpcE} / \mathrm{F}$ presents two crystallography-equivalent yet distinct dimeric arrangements (Fig. 2), it is susceptible to misinterpretation of the biologically relevant heterodimer. The single-chain MpeQ, on the other hand, is not prone to such ambiguity especially when all residues have been accounted for in the electron density map. Given the similarities in protein sequence and secondary structure (Fig. 1, Fig. S5), we posit that the E/F family bilin lyases including the single-chain and heterodimeric enzymes share a common structural framework represented by the question-mark architecture MpeQ.

We also note remarkable similarities in the active site geometry between MpeQ and other types of bilin lyases despite their distinct protein scaffolds (Fig. S7A). The catalytic triad of MpeQ is not only found in the revised structure of $\mathrm{CpcE} / \mathrm{F}$ but also in $\mathrm{CpcT} / \mathrm{CpeT}$ (PDB ID: 4O4O, 4O4S, 5HI8) and CpcS/CpeS (PDBID: 3BDR and 4TQ2) (Gasper et al., 2017; Kronfel et al., 2013; Overkamp et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2014). Specifically, they all feature a conserved tyrosine at the rim of a large protein cavity where the phycobiliprotein and bilin substrates are supposed to bind. And CpcF-Tyr76 is perfectly aligned with MpeQ-Tyr318 (Fig. S5; Fig. S7A). Beyond its locality, this conserved active-site tyrosine seems to play a similar role. Just like MpeQ-Y318F, no bilin attachment was detected in the single mutants of CpcF-Y76A
and CpcT-Y65F (Zhao et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2014). We speculate that the proposed tyrosinemediated reaction mechanism also applies to bilin lyases in the T and $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{U}$ clans (Fig. 7).

Regarding the origin of the isomerase activity, we have considered two scenarios. First, the $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{F}$ lyase and isomerase activities are resulted from two distinct enzymatic reactions involving different catalytic residues, and these swap residues simply play a role in tipping off the balance between these two reactions. Second, the isomerase activity is an "add-on" function associated with the lyase reaction catalyzed by Tyr318, which arises from collective steric effects from the swap residues near the active site. The first scenario implies that the catalytic residues responsible for the isomerase activity are near the $C 4=C 5$ double bond of PEB, and they are distinct from Tyr318. However, our current docking model shows that the only residues within a 5-Å radius of the C4=C5 double bond of PEB are MpeQ-Tyr318 (3.5 Å) and MpeA-Cys83 (4.4 Å) (Fig. 6). In addition, it is unlikely that MpeA-Cys83 is directly involved in isomerization (Fig. S8A). On the other hand, a bioinformatic study on isomerases (Martinez Cuesta et al., 2014; Martínez Cuesta et al., 2016) suggested that the isomerase and lyase activities are evolutionarily related due to their similarity in chemistry, which goes along the second scenario. We propose that highly homologous MpeQ and MpeW share the similar reaction mechanism for their lyase actions while the substrate transformation between the activation and ligation steps is directly influenced by the geometry and steric factors of the active site (Fig. 7).

Our mutagenesis data show that the steric factor at the position of Val319 directly influences the isomerase activities (Fig. 4C,D, Fig. S8B,C). Consistently, among the 106 sequences of the MpeQ/W/Y/Z family retrieved from marine Synechococcus strains or SAGs (Grébert et al., 2021; Sanfilippo et al., 2019a; Shukla et al., 2012), all enzymes with the isomerase function have a valine residue at the this position, whereas all lyases have a glycine (Fig. S2 and Dataset S2). This includes MpeZ, a lyase-isomerase shown to be involved in the CA4 strain RS9916 (Sanfilippo et al., 2019a; Shukla et al., 2012), which features Val next to the catalytic Tyr321 while its counterpart PEB lyase MpeY has Gly instead. However, the Val $\rightarrow$ Gly substitution alone is not sufficient (even in combination with some swap sites) to confer exclusive PEB attachment in MpeQ (Fig. 4E). Evidently, a complete switch from MpeQ to a MpeW-like PEB lyase requires contributions from other swaps sites, which collectively confer an active site
spacious enough to accommodate the C2/C3 chiral centers of a non-planar A-ring during the ligation reaction (Fig. 4E; Fig. S2).

It is worth noting that the heterodimeric lyase-isomerase PecE/F lacks tyrosine corresponding to Tyr318. Homology modeling of PecE/F places its signature HC motif right at the space occupied by MpeQ-Tyr318 (SwissModel) (Fig. S7B). In addition, the single mutations in the HC motif abolished the lyase activity of PecE/F (Zhao et al., 2017). It is possible that $\mathrm{PecE} / \mathrm{F}$ employs histidine instead of tyrosine as a catalytic residue for substrate activation (Tu et al., 2009) (Fig. S7B). This exception lends further support to the active site geometry proposed for the $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{F}$ bilin lyases.

The active site geometry as illustrated in our tripartite model not only supports the respective roles of Tyr318 and Val319 but also has other implications. It is plausible that Lys353/Glu285 from the catalytic triad contribute to stabilizing the A-ring in a 5,anticonformation via hydrogen bond interactions with the lactam group (Fig. S7A; Fig. 6D). This feature hints on the hitherto unknown structural basis for the 5, anti-conformation of bilins exclusively found in phycobiliproteins, in contrast to the 5,syn-conformation observed in phytochromes (30,31). In other words, the characteristic 5,anti-conformation arises from substrate selectivity of the bilin lyases. As such, altered protein-chromophore interactions in the K353A and E285A mutants resulted in poor bilin attachment (Fig. 4C). Furthermore, positively charged residues (e.g. Arg79/Arg228) are positioned close to the bilin propionates in the active site chamber (Fig. 6D); such ionic interactions are reminiscent of the bilin binding modes observed in phytochromes and other bilin-binding proteins (Wagner et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2008). Not surprisingly, the R79D and R228D mutants adversely affected the lyase activities of MpeQ (Fig. 5).

Needless to say, further studies are needed to validate the atomic details of the proposed reaction scheme, which are beyond the scope of this work. To this end, our attempts to directly introduce substrates to the MpeQ crystals by soaking have been unsuccessful because the current crystal form blocks any substrate binding to the active site (Fig. 1E, Fig. S3A). MpeQ complexed with pigment alone is also deemed unfeasible for co-crystallization due to the large
size difference between the active site chamber and PEB. We reason that both substrates (MpeA and PEB) are needed to assemble stable complexes for structural studies by crystallography or cryoEM. To accumulate homogeneous species for static studies, the ligation reaction can be arrested by using either an inactive enzyme such as MpeQ-Y318F or a disabled substrate such as MpeA-C83A. For example, MpeQ-Y318F can be used to capture the "pre-activation" state, while MpeA-C83A allows trapping of later "pre-ligation" intermediate(s). It will be intriguing to see whether bilin activation mediated by Tyr318 involves any formation of a tyrosine radical and/or transient covalent intermediates.

Why do some E/F lyases such as $\mathrm{CpcE} / \mathrm{F}$ employ two polypeptide chains while other homologous enzymes such as MpeQ achieve the same function using a single protein chain? Biswas et al. suggested that the $\mathrm{CpcE} / \mathrm{F}$ family evolved after the $\mathrm{CpcS} / \mathrm{U}$ family of lyases because some E/F members have the ability to remove bilins (Biswas et al., 2011). We speculate that $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{F}$ heterodimers have advantages in allowing mix-and-match of different E-like and F-like subunits. It is noteworthy that the gene fusion events seem to occur more frequently in phycoerythrin-containing organisms. For example, RpcG (a PEB lyase-isomerase) is a fusion protein with its N -terminal and C-terminal domains closely related to PecE and PecF, respectively (Blot et al., 2009) while CpeY (a PEB lyase for $\alpha$-phycoerythrin I) appears to result from the fusion of CpcE-like and CpcF-like domains (Biswas et al., 2011). These examples provide clues about how the $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{F}$ clan shifted from the mainly heterodimers to single-chain enzymes, the latter conformation being the rule for all phycoerythrin-related lyases and lyasesisomerases.

Taken together, results from this study have revealed distinct chemical origins for the lyase and isomerase actions of bilin lyase-isomerase in the $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{F}$ family. Our structural and mutagenesis data strongly support that stereoselectivity of the active site geometry plays a critical role in conferring the isomerase activity of MpeQ. We further propose a tyrosinemediated reaction scheme shared among different types of bilin lyases. This work thus presents a structural and mechanistic framework that has advanced the fundamental understanding of critical biochemical reactions underlying the phycobilisome biogenesis.
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## Figure Legends

Figure 1. Protein architecture, topology and crystal packing of MpeQ. A) Ribbon diagram of MpeQ shows an $\alpha$-solenoid "question-mark"-shaped structure with distinct E (aa. 14-255) and F (aa. 274-398) domains. A long N-terminal extension loops back to the F domain as a gate to the large interior chamber. The structure is colored in rainbow from the N -terminus (blue) to C -
terminus (red). B) The revised $\mathrm{CpcE} / \mathrm{F}$ heterodimer (PDBID: 5n3u) shows a protein architecture similar to that of MpeQ. C) A side view of MpeQ relative to panel A shows the wide and narrow openings to the chamber at opposite sides of MpeQ. The openings are about $8.5 \AA$ on the narrow side (between Lys81 and Lys238) and $17 \AA$ on the wide side (between Arg285 and Ile132), respectively. D) Topological diagram of the MpeQ structure is shown in a perspective to facilitate comparisons with $\mathrm{CpcE} / \mathrm{F}$ (Zhao et al 2017). A total of 23 helices shown in circles and rods highlight roughly perpendicular directions of helices in the E and F domains (shaded areas). E) Crystal packing of MpeQ shows two distinct packing interfaces. Interface I (buried surface area of $2426 \AA^{2}$ ) is much larger that interface II (buried surface area of $400 \AA^{2}$ ).

Figure 2. Crystal packing and dimer assemblies. A) Crystal packing of the $\mathrm{CpcE} / \mathrm{F}$ structure shows two possible dimer assemblies of the E (blue) and F (green) domains, namely, the E/F assembly or $E / F^{\prime}$ assembly. In crystallography, $E$ and $F$ are equivalent to $E^{\prime}$ and $F^{\prime}$, respectively.
B) The CpcE/F assembly proposed by Zhao et al. (PNAS, 2017) (top) shows a crescent-shaped protein architecture (bottom). C) The revised $\mathrm{CpcE} / \mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ assembly reveals a question-markshaped protein architecture (bottom). D) Comparisons between single-chain MpeQ (in rainbow) and two $\mathrm{CpcE} / \mathrm{F}$ assemblies ( E in blue; F in green). Magenta spheres mark the conserved residues (Tyr318, Pro349, Lys353 and Trp383: numbering in MpeQ; Tyr76, Pro108, Arg112 and Trp151: numbering in CpcF). See also Fig. S5.

Figure 3. The active site chamber of MpeQ. A) The electrostatic surface viewed from the narrow (left) and wide (right) sides. The chamber interior is largely positively charged where Arg/Lys residues are clustered in the ceiling, wall and floor regions of the chamber. B) The catalytic triad at the floor consists of Tyr318, Lys353 and Glu285 (green spheres) located in the loops between helices in the F domain.

Figure 4. Site-directed mutagenesis in the active site. A) Sites of mutation are highlighted in stick models: arginines (cyan), swap residues (magenta) and catalytic residues (green spheres). B) Conserved (green) and swap (magenta) residues form a surface patch at the chamber floor at the E/F interface. C) Coomassie blue staining (top) and Zinc fluorescence (bottom) of the same SDS-PAGE gel of MpeA obtained via co-expression with MpeQ. D) Normalized absorption
spectra of MpeA obtained from the MpeQ mutants at Val319. E) MpeQ variants carrying one or more swap mutations show varying PEB:PUB ratios. Q2: V319G/T320A; Q3:

V319G/T320A/Y323Q; Q5.1: A100T/V319G/T320A/Y323Q/T352A and Q5.2: S224P/V319G/T320A/Y323Q/T352A. MpeW is the PEB lyase counterpart of MpeQ.

Figure 5. Lyase and lyase-isomerase activities of the MpeQ variants in an E. coli coexpression system. A) The distinct sample color of MpeA co-expressed with MpeQ serves as a good indicator of the lyase and lyase-isomerase activities of MpeQ. The yellow color of MpeAPUB is attributed to the lyase-isomerase activity while the red/orange color of MpeA-PEB is a result of the lyase activity as shown for V319G. B) Normalized absorption spectra of MpeA coexpressed with various single mutants of MpeQ. C) Relative activities of the MpeQ variants. Absorbance at 495 nm normalized by relative band intensities of MpeA (Fig. 4C) is used to quantify the lyase activity. All relative activities are obtained in reference to that of wild type (WT) MpeQ (set to $100 \%$ ).

Figure 6. A docking model for substrates at the active site. A) The active site of MpeQ (green) is accessible from both sides of the chamber. MpeA (gray) approaches the active site from the wide opening while the PEB substrate enters from the narrow side (blue arrow). B) Viewed from the narrow side, the bound bilin (PEB in magenta; PUB in yellow) is docked at the final binding cleft in the MpeA structure (rainbow ribbon diagram). C) Viewed from the wide side, the catalytic Tyr318 is poised to activate the A-ring, which causes a steric clash between PEB and Val319. MpeQ resolves this conflict via PEB $\rightarrow$ PUB isomerization, which presents the ring A to MpeA-C83 for ligation. D) In this front view, PEB is docked with its ring A/B side lying in the cleft between the E and F domains while the propionate groups are stabilized by $\operatorname{Arg} 79$ and Arg228.

Figure 7. Proposed reaction scheme of bilin addition reaction catalyzed by bilin lyase MpeW and lyase-isomerase MpeQ. In the first step of the reaction, the bilin substrate PEB is activated by a catalytic tyrosine in both MpeW and MpeQ resulting in a polarized C3=C3 ${ }^{1}$ double bond. In MpeW, Gly319 next to the catalytic Tyr318 is compatible with the non-planar A-ring conformation of PEB. The activated PEB is thus allowed to proceed to the nucleophilic addition
reaction forming a thioether bond between MpeA-Cys83 (blue) and the A-ring C3 ${ }^{1}$ atom. In MpeQ, the side chain of Val319 renders a steric conflict with the A-ring of PEB. The ligation reaction only proceeds when this conflict is resolved via the PEB $\rightarrow$ PUB isomerization resulting in the MpeA-PUB product.

Table 1. Crystallography Data Collection and Refinement Statistics.

| Structure | MpeQ SeMet | MpeQ SeMet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Space group | C2 | C2221 |
| Cell parameters |  |  |
| $a, b, c$ ( $\AA$ ) | 83.84, 173.25, 114.42 | 136.67, 173.05, 113.7 |
| $\alpha, \beta, \gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90, 126.08, 90 | 90, 90, 90 |
| Residues | 1-398 | 1-398 |
| Chain | A, B | A, B |
| Water |  |  |
| Diffraction data |  |  |
| X-ray source | 21-ID-F, APS | 21-ID-F, APS |
| Methods | Monochromatic 100 K | Monochromatic $100 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| Wavelength (A) | 0.97872 | 0.97872 |
| Resolution ( $\AA$ ) | 56-2.5 (2.54-2.50) | 50-2.90 (2.95-2.90) |
| $R_{\text {merge }}$ | 0.101 (0.605) | 0.082 (0.761) |
| Completeness (\%) |  |  |
| All | 99.5 (99.7) | 99.8 (95.8) |
| Anomalous | 97.2 (84.7) | 99.8 (95.5) |
| Redundancy | 3.7 (3.5) | 3.9 (1.6) |
| $I / \sigma(1)$ | 7.4 (1.3) | 4.7 (1.0) |
| Refinement |  |  |
| Resolution ( A ) | 20-2.50 (2.79-2.50) | 39.3-2.95 (3.01-2.95) |
| $R$ | 0.239 (0.279) | 0.242 (0.361) |
| $R_{\text {free }}$ | 0.284 (0.329) | 0.298 (0.303) |
| RMSD |  |  |
| Bond length ( A ) | 0.009 | 0.008 |
| Bond angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 1.013 | 1.248 |
| Average $B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | 28.63 | 98.9 |
| Ramachandran |  |  |
| Favored (\%) | 96.6 | 96.1 |
| Allowed (\%) | 2.0 | 3.3 |
| Disallowed (\%) | 0.4 | 0.55 |
| PDB entry | 7MC4 | 7 MCH |

## STAR METHODS

## RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

## Lead Contact:

- Xiaojing Yang (xiaojing@uic.edu).


## Material availability

- Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Xiaojing Yang (xiaojing@uic.edu).


## Data and code availability

- The coordinates and structure factor amplitudes of MpeQ in the space group C2 and C2221 have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under the accession numbers 7MC4 and 7 MCH , respectively.
- This paper does not report original code.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.


## EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

The mpeQ and mpeW genes from the CA-B strain Synechococcus A15-62 were cloned into the pCDF-Duet 1 and pET-Duet 1 vectors using the restriction sites SacI/NotI (or BgIII/EcoRV) and NcoI/HindIII, respectively. MpeQ carrying a N-terminal 6xHis affinity tag was over-expressed in E. coli BL21(DE3) by isopropyl $\beta$-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG, 1 mM ) induction followed by overnight shaking at $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## METHOD DETAILS

## Protein purification and site-directed mutagenesis

After cell harvesting and cell lysis, His-tagged MpeQ protein was extracted by $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ affinity chromatography and further purified by anion exchange chromatography (HiTrap Q- HP column). The selenomethionine (SeMet)-derivatized MpeQ protein was prepared according to the standard protocols (Doublié 1997) and purified using the same protocols as for the native MpeQ.

Site-directed mutagenesis was carried out using combined overlapping polymerase Chain Reaction (COE-PCR) as previously described with modifications (Hussain and Chong, 2016). Mutagenic primers were created using the Thermo Fisher Scientific GeneArt primer and construct design tool (https://www.thermofisher.com/order/oligoDesigner/mutagenesis) or NEB primer design tool (http://nebasechanger.neb.com) (Table S2, Table S3). Quintuple mutants were created using the GeneArt Seamless Cloning and Assembly Enzyme Mix (Invitrogen). All mutants of MpeQ were over-expressed and purified using the same protocols as for the wild type (Table S4).

Crystallization, data collection and structure determination
Purified MpeQ protein was crystallized using the hanging drop vapor diffusion method by mixing the protein sample ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) with the crystallization solution ( 2.1 M DL-Malic acid, pH 7.0 ) in 1:1 ratio. Single crystals obtained by macro-seeding were cryoprotected in the mother liquor containing $25 \%$ glycerol for X-ray diffraction experiments. All diffraction images were collected at the Life-Science Consortium Access Team (LS-CAT) beam stations at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory. The diffraction datasets were indexed, integrated and scaled using HKL2000 (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997) and/or xia2 in CCP4 (Winter, 2010).

The crystal structure of SeMet-MpeQ was determined using the single-wavelength anomalous dispersion method. The initial SAD phasing was carried out using the MpeQ dataset of $2.9 \AA$ Åesolution in the space group of C2221 (Shelx97) (Sheldrick, 2008). An initial model was used as a search model to determine the MpeQ structure in the space group C2 by molecular replacement (Phaser) (McCoy et al., 2007). The final model was refined at $2.5 \AA$ resolution with the $R$-factor and free $R$-factor of 0.232 and 0.285 , respectively (Phenix.refine) (Adams et al., 2010). The final model in the space group C222 was refined at 2.95 A resolution with the Rfactor and free $R$-factor of 0.245 and 0.307 , respectively. Model building and molecular graphics were done using Coot (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004) and/or PyMOL(DeLano, 2002).

## Sequence analysis and identification of switch residues

Sequence alignment of 106 bilin lyases and lyase-isomerases in the MpeQWYZ family were aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) (Dataset S1). Each sequence was assigned a lyase or lyaseisomerase function based on the published biochemical data (Grébert et al., 2021; Sanfilippo et al., 2019b; Shukla et al., 2012). Positions identical or differing between lyases and isomerases were identified using Biopython 1.77 (Cock et al., 2009) (Dataset S2). Sequence logo was generated using WebLogo (Crooks, 2004).

## Enzyme activity assays based on a co-expression system

A three-plasmid co-expression system was used to test the lyase activities of MpeQ and MpeW. Specifically, MpeQ or MpeW was co-expressed in E. coli BL21(DE3) cells with MpeA (pCOLA-Duet vector) along with a pACYC-Duet vector carrying heme oxygenase (HO1) and PEB synthase (PebS) genes (Kronfel et al., 2019). His-tagged MpeQ or non-tagged MpeW was then co-purified with MpeA using Co2+-affinity column (Talen). Eluted fractions were then examined by SDS polyacrylamide electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and absorption spectroscopy, and/or fluorescence spectroscopy. The bilin lyase activity was measured by the chromophorylated MpeA detected either by Zn -fluorescence (Berkelman and Lagarias, 1986), absorption spectroscopy (Shimadzu UV-2600 UV-Vis spectrophotometer), and/or fluorescence spectroscopy (Perkin Elmer LS55 fluorescence spectrophotometer). MpeA-PUB and MpeA-PEB have characteristic absorption peaks at 495 nm and 550 nm , respectively, while their
corresponding emission peaks are around 500 nm and 565 nm . The lyase activities of the wild type MpeQ and mutants were quantified and compared by the absorbance readings at 495 nm normalized by the corresponding MpeA band intensities detected by SDS-PAGE (ImageJ). The relative activity of a specific mutant (in percentage) was calculated in comparison to the wild type for which the lyase activity was set to $100 \%$.

## Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations

72-ns MD simulations were performed on the structures of apo-MpeA and MpeQ (PDB ID: 7MC4). The starting structure for apo-MpeA was based on a homology model of MpeA obtained by SwissModel (Biasini et al., 2014) with all the pigments removed. All minimization and MD simulation steps were performed using NAMD (Phillips et al., 2020) with CHARMM36m force field (Huang et al., 2017). The simulation was performed on 32 processors of a Cray T3E parallel supercomputer at University of Illinois Chicago High-Performance
Computing. Frames were collected at 100-ps intervals for the simulation length of 72 ns , giving 720 frames for conformational analysis.

## QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical data in Table 1 for the crystallography data and structural analysis were obtained from the outputs of HKL2000 (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997) and Phenix (Adams et al., 2010).

## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bacterial and virus strains |  | Invitrogen |
| Escherichia coli: DH5 $\alpha$ | CAT\#:18-258-012 |  |
| Escherichia coli: BL21(DE3) | Invitrogen | CAT\#:C600003 |
| Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins | CAT\#: 3211-76-5 |  |
| Selenomethionine | Acros Organics | CAT\#: 635504 |
| TALON resin | Takara | LOT\#: MKBW2323V |
| DL-Malic acid | Sigma Aldrich | Genbank: AGW21721 |
| Synechococcus sp. A1562 MpeQ | This study | Genbank: AGW21717 |
| Synechococcus sp. A1562 MpeW | This study | Genbank: AVH76704 |
| Synechococcus sp. A1562 MpeA | This study |  |
| See Table S2 for more recombinant proteins | This study | CAT\#: A14606 |
| Critical Commercial Assays | Invitrogen |  |
| GeneArt Seamless Cloning and Assembly <br> Enzyme Mix | Deposited data <br> MpeQ crystal structure in space group C2 <br> MpeQ crystal structure in space group C222 |  |
| Recombinant DNA | This paper | This paper |


| pCDF Duet-1 vector | Novagen | CAT\#:71340-3 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| pET Duet-1 vector | Novagen | CAT\#:71146-3 |  |
| Plasmid: A1562 Nus-MpeQ/pET44b | (Grébert et al., 2021) | N/A |  |
| Plasmid: A1562 Nus-MpeW/pET44b | (Grébert et al., 2021) | N/A |  |
| Plasmid: A1562 HT-MpeA/pCOLA | (Grébert et al., 2021) | N/A |  |
| Plasmid: NT-PebS/NT-HOI/pACYC | (Kronfel et al., 2019) | N/A |  |
| Plasmid: A1562 NT-MpeQ/pCDF | This study | N/A |  |
| Plasmid: A1562 HT-MpeQ/pCDF | This study | N/A |  |
| Plasmid: A1562 NT-MpeW/pET | This study | N/A |  |
| See Table S4 for more recombinant DNA |  |  |  |
| Software and algorithms | (Otwinowski \& Minor, <br> 1997) | https://hkl-xray.com/hkl- <br> 2000 |  |
| HKL2000 | (Winter, 2010) | https://www.ccp4.ac.uk/ |  |
| XIA2 (CCP4) | (Sheldrick, 2008) | https://www.ccp4.ac.uk/ |  |
| Shelx97 (CCP4) | (McCoy et al., 2007) | http://www.phenix- <br> online.org/ |  |
| Phaser (Phenix) | (Adams et al., 2010) | http://www.phenix- <br> online.org/ |  |
| Phenix.refine | (Emsley and Cowtan, <br> https://www2.mrc- <br> 2004) <br> Imb.cam.ac.uk/personal <br> /pemsley/coot// |  |  |
| Coot | (DeLano, 2002) | https://pymol.org/2/ |  |
| PyMOL | (Edgar, 2004) | https://www.ebi.ac.uk/T <br> ools/msa/muscle/ |  |
| MUSCLE | (Cock et al., 2009) | https://biopython.org/ |  |
| BioPython | (Crooks, 2004) | http://weblogo.threeplus <br> one.com/ |  |
| WebLOGO | (Biasini et al., 2014) | https://swissmodel.expa <br> sy.org/ |  |
| SwissMODEL | (Phillips et al., 2020) | https://www.ks.uiuc.edu <br> /Research/namd/ |  |
| NAMD |  |  |  |

## SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES AND DATASETS

Table S1. Summary of MpeQ mutants (related to Fig. 4 and Fig. 5).
Table S2. Supplemental STAR methods Oligonucleotides (related to the STAR Methods Method Details).
Table S3. Supplemental STAR methods Recombinant DNAs (related to the STAT Methods Experimental Model and Subject Details).

Table S4. Supplemental STAR methods Recombinant Proteins (related to the STAT Methods Method Details).

Dataset S1. Sequences of members of the MpeQWYZ protein family used to draw Fig. S2. Related to Fig. 4. Genomes or protein sequences were retrieved from the Genbank and single
amplified genomes (SAGs) from the Joint Genome/Institute Integrated microbial genomes \& microbiomes (IMG/M) databases.

Dataset S2. Summary of the residues found in 106 sequences MpeQ/W/Y/Z from marine Synechococcus isolates and single-cell amplified genomes (related to Fig. 4).

## References

Adams, P.D., Afonine, P.V., Bunkóczi, G., Chen, V.B., Davis, I.W., Echols, N., Headd, J.J., Hung, L.-W., Kapral, G.J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W., et al. (2010). PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 213-221.

Berkelman, T.R., and Lagarias, J.C. (1986). Visualization of bilin-linked peptides and proteins in polyacrylamide gels. Anal. Biochem. 156, 194-201.

Biasini, M., Bienert, S., Waterhouse, A., Arnold, K., Studer, G., Schmidt, T., Kiefer, F., Cassarino, T.G., Bertoni, M., Bordoli, L., et al. (2014). SWISS-MODEL: modelling protein tertiary and quaternary structure using evolutionary information. Nucleic Acids Res. 42, W252-W258.

Biswas, A., Boutaghou, M.N., Alvey, R.M., Kronfel, C.M., Cole, R.B., Bryant, D.A., and Schluchter, W.M. (2011). Characterization of the Activities of the CpeY, CpeZ, and CpeS Bilin Lyases in Phycoerythrin Biosynthesis in Fremyella diplosiphon Strain UTEX 481*. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 35509-35521.

Blot, N., Wu, X.-J., Thomas, J.-C., Zhang, J., Garczarek, L., Böhm, S., Tu, J.-M., Zhou, M., Plöscher, M., Eichacker, L., et al. (2009). Phycourobilin in Trichromatic Phycocyanin from Oceanic Cyanobacteria Is Formed Post-translationally by a Phycoerythrobilin Lyase-Isomerase. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 9290-9298.

Bretaudeau, A., Coste, F., Humily, F., Garczarek, L., Le Corguillé, G., Six, C., Ratin, M., Collin, O., Schluchter, W.M., and Partensky, F. (2012). CyanoLyase: a database of phycobilin lyase sequences, motifs and functions. Nucleic Acids Res. 41, D396-D401.

Cock, P.J.A., Antao, T., Chang, J.T., Chapman, B.A., Cox, C.J., Dalke, A., Friedberg, I., Hamelryck, T., Kauff, F., Wilczynski, B., et al. (2009). Biopython: freely available Python tools for computational molecular biology and bioinformatics. Bioinformatics 25,14221423.

Crooks, G.E. (2004). WebLogo: A Sequence Logo Generator. Genome Res. 14, 1188-1190.
DeLano, W.L. (2002). Pymol: An open-source molecular graphics tool. CCP4 Newsletter On Protein Crystallography. 82-92.

Doublié, S. (1997). [29] Preparation of selenomethionyl proteins for phase determination. In Methods in Enzymology, Jr. Charles W. Carter, ed. (Academic Press), pp. 523-530.

Edgar, R.C. (2004). MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 32, 1792-1797.

Emsley, P., and Cowtan, K. (2004). Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 60, 2126-2132.

Everroad, C., Six, C., Partensky, F., Thomas, J.-C., Holtzendorff, J., and Wood, A.M. (2006). Biochemical Bases of Type IV Chromatic Adaptation in Marine Synechococcus spp. J. Bacteriol. 188, 3345-3356.

Fairchild, C.D., ZHAOt, J., ZHOUtI, J., Colson, S.E., BRYANTt, D.A., and Glazer, A.N. (1992). Phycocyanin a-subunit phycocyanobilin Iyase. 89, 7017-7021.

Flombaum, P., Gallegos, J.L., Gordillo, R.A., Rincon, J., Zabala, L.L., Jiao, N., Karl, D.M., Li, W.K.W., Lomas, M.W., Veneziano, D., et al. (2013). Present and future global distributions of the marine Cyanobacteria Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 110, 9824-9829.

Gasper, R., Schwach, J., Hartmann, J., Holtkamp, A., Wiethaus, J., Riedel, N., Hofmann, E., and Frankenberg-Dinkel, N. (2017). Distinct Features of Cyanophage-encoded T-type Phycobiliprotein Lyase ФСреT: THE ROLE OF AUXILIARY METABOLIC GENES. J. Biol. Chem. 292, 3089-3098.

Glazer, A.N. (1989). Light guides. Directional energy transfer in a photosynthetic antenna. J. Biol. Chem. 264, 1-4.

Grébert, T., Doré, H., Partensky, F., Farrant, G.K., Boss, E.S., Picheral, M., Guidi, L., Pesant, S., Scanlan, D.J., Wincker, P., et al. (2018). Light color acclimation is a key process in the global ocean distribution of Synechococcus cyanobacteria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 115, E2010-E2019.

Grébert, T., Nguyen, A.A., Pokhrel, S., Joseph, K.L., Ratin, M., Dufour, L., Chen, B., Haney, A.M., Karty, J.A., Trinidad, J.C., et al. (2021). Molecular bases of an alternative dual-enzyme system for light color acclimation of marine Synechococcus cyanobacteria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 118, e2019715118.

Huang, J., Rauscher, S., Nawrocki, G., Ran, T., Feig, M., de Groot, B.L., Grubmüller, H., and MacKerell, A.D. (2017). CHARMM36m: an improved force field for folded and intrinsically disordered proteins. Nat. Methods 14, 71-73.

Humily, F., Partensky, F., Six, C., Farrant, G.K., Ratin, M., Marie, D., and Garczarek, L. (2013). A Gene Island with Two Possible Configurations Is Involved in Chromatic Acclimation in Marine Synechococcus. PLoS ONE 8, e84459.

Hussain, H., and Chong, N.F.-M. (2016). Combined Overlap Extension PCR Method for Improved Site Directed Mutagenesis. BioMed Res. Int. 2016, 1-7.

Kiser, P.D., Zhang, J., Badiee, M., Li, Q., Shi, W., Sui, X., Golczak, M., Tochtrop, G.P., and Palczewski, K. (2015). Catalytic mechanism of a retinoid isomerase essential for vertebrate vision. Nat. Chem. Biol. 11, 409-415.

Kronfel, C.M., Kuzin, A.P., Forouhar, F., Biswas, A., Su, M., Lew, S., Seetharaman, J., Xiao, R., Everett, J.K., Ma, L.-C., et al. (2013). Structural and Biochemical Characterization of the Bilin Lyase CpcS from Thermosynechococcus elongatus. Biochemistry 52, 8663-8676.

Kronfel, C.M., Biswas, A., Frick, J.P., Gutu, A., Blensdorf, T., Karty, J.A., Kehoe, D.M., and Schluchter, W.M. (2019). The roles of the chaperone-like protein CpeZ and the phycoerythrobilin lyase CpeY in phycoerythrin biogenesis. Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA - Bioenerg. 1860, 549-561.

Mahmoud, R.M., Sanfilippo, J.E., Nguyen, A.A., Strnat, J.A., Partensky, F., Garczarek, L., Abo El Kassem, N., Kehoe, D.M., and Schluchter, W.M. (2017). Adaptation to Blue Light in Marine Synechococcus Requires MpeU, an Enzyme with Similarity to Phycoerythrobilin Lyase Isomerases. Front. Microbiol. 8.

Martinez Cuesta, S., Furnham, N., Rahman, S.A., Sillitoe, I., and Thornton, J.M. (2014). The evolution of enzyme function in the isomerases. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 26, 121130.

Martínez Cuesta, S., Rahman, S.A., and Thornton, J.M. (2016). Exploring the chemistry and evolution of the isomerases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 113, 1796-1801.

McCoy, A.J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W., Adams, P.D., Winn, M.D., Storoni, L.C., and Read, R.J. (2007). Phaser crystallographic software. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 40, 658-674.

Otwinowski, Z., and Minor, W. (1997). [20] Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode. In Methods in Enzymology, Jr. Charles W. Carter, ed. (Academic Press), pp. 307-326.

Overkamp, K.E., Gasper, R., Kock, K., Herrmann, C., Hofmann, E., and FrankenbergDinkel, N. (2014). Insights into the Biosynthesis and Assembly of Cryptophycean Phycobiliproteins. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 26691-26707.

Palenik, B. (2001). Chromatic Adaptation in MarineSynechococcus Strains. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67, 991-994.

Phillips, J.C., Hardy, D.J., Maia, J.D.C., Stone, J.E., Ribeiro, J.V., Bernardi, R.C., Buch, R., Fiorin, G., Hénin, J., Jiang, W., et al. (2020). Scalable molecular dynamics on CPU and GPU architectures with NAMD. J. Chem. Phys. 153, 044130.

Sanfilippo, J.E., Nguyen, A.A., Karty, J.A., Shukla, A., Schluchter, W.M., Garczarek, L., Partensky, F., and Kehoe, D.M. (2016). Self-regulating genomic island encoding tandem regulators confers chromatic acclimation to marine Synechococcus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 113, 6077-6082.

Sanfilippo, J.E., Garczarek, L., Partensky, F., and Kehoe, D.M. (2019a). Chromatic Acclimation in Cyanobacteria: A Diverse and Widespread Process for Optimizing Photosynthesis. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 73, 407-433.

Sanfilippo, J.E., Nguyen, A.A., Garczarek, L., Karty, J.A., Pokhrel, S., Strnat, J.A., Partensky, F., Schluchter, W.M., and Kehoe, D.M. (2019b). Interplay between differentially expressed enzymes contributes to light color acclimation in marine Synechococcus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 116, 6457-6462.

Scheer, H., and Zhao, K.-H. (2008). Biliprotein maturation: the chromophore attachment: Biliprotein chromophore attachment. Mol. Microbiol. 68, 263-276.

Schirmer, T., Bode, W., and Huber, R. (1987). Refined three-dimensional structures of two cyanobacterial C-phycocyanins at 2.1 and 2.5 Å resolution. J. Mol. Biol. 196, 677695.

Schluchter, W.M., Shen, G., Alvey, R.M., Biswas, A., Saunée, N.A., Williams, S.R., Mille, C.A., and Bryant, D.A. (2010). Phycobiliprotein Biosynthesis in Cyanobacteria: Structure and Function of Enzymes Involved in Post-translational Modification. In Recent Advances in Phototrophic Prokaryotes, P.C. Hallenbeck, ed. (New York, NY: Springer New York), pp. 211-228.

Sheldrick, G.M. (2008). A short history of SHELX. Acta Crystallogr. A 64, 112-122.
Shen, G., Saunée, N.A., Williams, S.R., Gallo, E.F., Schluchter, W.M., and Bryant, D.A. (2006). Identification and Characterization of a New Class of Bilin Lyase THE cpcT

## GENE ENCODES A BILIN LYASE RESPONSIBLE FOR ATTACHMENT OF PHYCOCYANOBILIN TO CYS-153 ON THE $\beta$-SUBUNIT OF PHYCOCYANIN IN SYNECHOCOCCUS SP. PCC 7002. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 17768-17778.

Shen, G., Schluchter, W.M., and Bryant, D.A. (2008). Biogenesis of Phycobiliproteins. I. cpcS-I and cpcU mutants of the cyanobacterium Synechococcus sp. PCC 7002 define a heterodimeric phycocyanobilin lyase specific for beta -phycocyanin and allophycocyanin subunits. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 7503-7512.

Shukla, A., Biswas, A., Blot, N., Partensky, F., Karty, J.A., Hammad, L.A., Garczarek, L., Gutu, A., Schluchter, W.M., and Kehoe, D.M. (2012). Phycoerythrin-specific bilin lyaseisomerase controls blue-green chromatic acclimation in marine Synechococcus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 109, 20136-20141.

Stumpe, H., Müller, N., and Grubmayr, K. (1993). The addition of methyl-2mercaptoacetate to phycocyanobilin dimethyl ester: A model reaction for biliprotein biosynthesis? Tetrahedron Lett. 34, 4165-4168.

Tu, J.-M., Zhou, M., Haessner, R., Plöscher, M., Eichacker, L., Scheer, H., and Zhao, K.H. (2009). Toward a Mechanism for Biliprotein Lyases: Revisiting Nucleophilic Addition to Phycocyanobilin. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131, 5399-5401.

Wagner, J.R., Brunzelle, J.S., Forest, K.T., and Vierstra, R.D. (2005). A light-sensing knot revealed by the structure of the chromophore-binding domain of phytochrome. Nature 438, 325-331.

Winter, G. (2010). xia2: an expert system for macromolecular crystallography data reduction. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 43, 186-190.

Yang, X., Stojković, E.A., Kuk, J., and Moffat, K. (2007). Crystal structure of the chromophore binding domain of an unusual bacteriophytochrome, RpBphP3, reveals residues that modulate photoconversion. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 104, 12571-12576.

Yang, X., Kuk, J., and Moffat, K. (2008). Crystal structure of Pseudomonas aeruginosa bacteriophytochrome: Photoconversion and signal transduction. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 105, 14715-14720.

Zhao, C., Höppner, A., Xu, Q.-Z., Gärtner, W., Scheer, H., Zhou, M., and Zhao, K.-H. (2017). Structures and enzymatic mechanisms of phycobiliprotein lyases $\mathrm{CpcE} / \mathrm{F}$ and PecE/F. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 114, 13170-13175.

Zhao, K.-H., Deng, M.-G., Zheng, M., Zhou, M., Parbel, A., Storf, M., Meyer, M., Strohmann, B., and Scheer, H. (2000). Novel activity of a phycobiliprotein lyase: both the attachment of phycocyanobilin and the isomerization to phycoviolobilin are catalyzed by the proteins PecE and PecF encoded by the phycoerythrocyanin operon. FEBS Lett. 469, 9-13.

Zhou, J., Gasparich, G.E., Stirewalt, V.L., de Lorimier, R., and Bryant, D.A. (1992). The cpcE and cpcF genes of Synechococcus sp. PCC 7002. Construction and phenotypic characterization of interposon mutants. J. Biol. Chem. 267, 16138-16145.

Zhou, W., Ding, W.-L., Zeng, X.-L., Dong, L.-L., Zhao, B., Zhou, M., Scheer, H., Zhao, K.-H., and Yang, X. (2014). Structure and Mechanism of the Phycobiliprotein Lyase CpcT. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 26677-26689.

Fig. 1


Fig. 2


Fig. 3


Narrow side
B


Fig. 4


Fig. 5

A


B



C


Fig. 6


Fig. 7


Bilin activation by catalytic Tyr


MpeW



Supporting Information

# Crystal Structure and Molecular Mechanism of an E/F type Bilin Lyase-isomerase 

Indika Kumarapperuma ${ }^{1 \S}$, Kes Lynn Joseph ${ }^{2 \S}$, Cong Wang ${ }^{1}$, Linta M. Biju ${ }^{1}$, Irin P. Tom ${ }^{1}$, Kourtney D. Weaver², Théophile Grébert ${ }^{3}$, Frédéric Partensky ${ }^{3}$, Wendy M. Schluchter ${ }^{2}$, and Xiaojing Yang ${ }^{1 *}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60607, USA<br>${ }^{2}$ Department of Biological Sciences, University of New Orleans, New Orleans, LA 70148, USA<br>${ }^{3}$ Ecology of Marine Plankton (ECOMAP) Team, Station Biologique, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, 29680 Roscoff, France<br>§ Joint first author<br>*Corresponding authors<br>\section*{SI content:}<br>- Materials and Methods<br>- 8 SI figures<br>- 4 SI Tables<br>- 2 SI Datasets






Figure S1. Bilin lyases catalyze bilin attachment to phycobilisome (Related to Fig. 1, Fig. 6-7). A) A schematic structure of light harvesting phycobilisome (PBS). Compared to the phycocyanins ( PC ) and $\mathrm{CpeA} / \mathrm{B}$ dimers, the $\mathrm{MpeA} / \mathrm{B}$ dimers are located on the remote ends of the PBS rods radiating from the PBS core (blue sphere). B) Ribbon diagram of a homology model for the MpeA/B dimer (Swiss-Model, Biasini et al 2014). Distinct attachment sites and the corresponding lyase types are labeled and the bilin pigments are shown in cyan spheres. MpeA-Cys83 is the target site of MpeQ. C) Schematic reactions (left) and products (right) catalyzed by PEB lyases (MpeQ/MpeY) and their counterpart lyase-isomerases (MpeQ/MpeZ) involved on type IV chromatic acclimation.






Figure S2. Sequence logo bases on 106 lyases or lyase-isomerases sequences from the MpeQWYZ family. (Related to Fig. 3-5). Residues are numbered according to equivalent positions in A15-62 MpeQ. Positions with identical residues in all sequences (both lyases and lyase-isomerases) are in green. Residues at the positions that are distinct for lyases and lyase-isomerases are colored in red for residues found only in lyases or yellow for those found only in lyase-isomerases. Residues discussed in the manuscript are highlighted in light blue shade. A complete list of sequences used to generate this figure can be found in SI Appendix, Dataset S1.


Figure S3. MpeQ is monomeric in solution. (Related to Fig. 1, Fig. 3). A) A zoom-in view of interface I highlights close intermolecular interactions between the active site (green) and residues (magenta) from the E' domain of a neighboring molecule (surface rendering). B) Elution profiles of size exclusion chromatography of MpeQ (black) and four marker (gray) proteins show that MpeQ is monomeric in solution. The number above each peak marks the corresponding molecular weight in kilo-Dalton.


Figure S4. Effect of residue swapping on isomerase activity. (Related to Fig. 4-5) A) Locations of selected swap mutation sites shown in the MpeQ structure. B) Normalized absorption spectra of the MpeA product obtained from co-expression in E. coli with different MpeQ variants, each carrying a single mutation. C) Normalized absorption spectra of MpeA co-expressed with the MpeQ variants that carry double mutations.

| MpeQ* | -MAERFDNLVE-GLTEERAMAVILADPDSLERPVDKYMAATRLGASNSEE--SLDVL 53 |
| :---: | :---: |
| MpeW | -MVPSSQRFDNLFS-WMGEQQAIELLSQNVSTLDNPGIKYIAATRLGACSSRD--SLDAL 56 |
| MpeZ* | -MAERFDVLVE-GISEAEALSLLFAKTADVPRPSDRYFAATRLGLCSSGA--TLDAL 53 |
| MpeY | -MAERFDILFE-GLSEEKALELLRTNPEDLSNPVEKYTAATRLAASRSEQ--SLEGL 53 |
| Cpce | MIEPSVEEFPAENGPQLTPELAIANL-----QSSDLSLRYYAAWWLGKYRVKESAAVDAL 55 |
| PecE* | --MTAEPILSPETAIAAL-----SGEDNQIRYYAAWWLGKHNVQA--GFTAL 43 |
| MpeQ* | IQA-A-ELDPEHLFNRITRRKAIDALGRRKSPKALPSLFKALKCSDEAAVINSVEAITKI 111 |
| MpeW | VVA-A-TGDRDNIFDSITRRKSIEALGRRRDLSTLPTIYEAMHSSDEQTIVNSVDTLIHF 114 |
| MpeZ* | IRATY-ELKIDELFDRITRRKAIEALGRRKDAKAVSALVDVLGCTDTEAVINAISALIRI 112 |
| MpeY | IEA-I-QLDPENLFNRITRRKVLEALGRRRDARALPALFTALAFDDETSVINAVDSIAQI 111 |
| CpcE | IAALEDEADRTELGGYPLRRNAARALGKLGNRKAVPGLINCLECPD-FYVREAAAQS--L 112 |
| PecE* | CVALFDERYRIPSGGYPLRRQAARALGLLKNPQAVPALIAALECDEDLRLREAVICS--L 101 |
| MpeQ* | DAPLTEADHEKLLEALKGED-----------I-QKRAVIQAFCRLGVPGV--INSISPL 156 |
| MpeW | GIPLDAQFKSSLLKIIQNGS------------DVLKRVAIQCFTRLEMHEF--NGIIREQ 160 |
| MpeZ* | GWSPSQVEHDQLLRLLDGED------------VTQSRAVIQAFGRLSIKSAASKSRIREL 160 |
| MpeY | GAALNSNQSQKLLCALRGSD------------N-QKRSVIQTHTRLGLAGG--EEEIAAL 156 |
| Cpce | EMLKDKTAAPALIKLLDGGVAQAVQVTGRPHLVQPYEAVLEALGAIGATDA--IPLIQPF 170 |
| PecE* | AAIGDKRAVTPLLNLLQS-----------SQAQPYEALIEALATLQVWSA--RPQIEPF 147 |
| MpeQ* | QDDSNPLVAGAARAYMSKVALQPDGLEVLIPQLVDPIAGRRRSAVIDLGDAGDVTRLEAL 216 |
| MpeW | ESNPNILVNGASIAYSIRVEDDKRKLHILENHLNDLNVIHRRSSVIDIGDAGDPSLLSSI 220 |
| MpeZ* | CDHESALVSGAARSCLSKLYGEKHLMQPLLSQLTDLVAGKRRSAVIDIGDSGDHSLLFDL 220 |
| MpeY | EQEENPLVAGAARAYAARVHGRLDRLVPLIHQLTDSIAGRRRAAVIDLGDAGDVSMLPHL 216 |
| Cpce | LEHPVSRVQCAAARAMYQLTQEPVYGELLVKVLAGNDLNLRRVALGDLGAIGYLAAAEAI 230 |
| PecE* | LQHYSERVQCAAARYMYLLTQESEYIERIVKNLNHDNMYLRWAAVFDLGAVAHQQAVQAI 207 |
| MpeQ* | VTAPVSMSLRARSAFQLVD-----PDKTCQVPEKYA---ELITQLLQ----------DN 257 |
| MpeW | SKAAVSMPLRAKSAFKMTS-----KIK----TKSQR---TLINQMLK-----------DD 257 |
| MpeZ* | VRAPVSMSLRAKSFLQV-D-----EDGHLLKTEKYQ---KLFKRLLL-----------DD 260 |
| MpeY | VTCPVSMPLRAKSAFQLVD-----PEKTGTVPTAHS---DLIIQLLQ----------DD 257 |
| Cpce | ANAKAENSFKLIALKGLLEHQMSAESNALSISDQAIRVMNLMDSLL--------------276 |
| PecE* | LTAQVPNSLKLLNLKRILEAMLNNNSVNHE---KAALLFGAIDDLLIRQL---------- 253 |
| MpeQ* | PQQ-LKLRKEWICDI----EPTEIENNLQHRDEARQYGGASSLMAMPKAERMILINEIKE 312 |
| MpeW | SRH-LYFTQKFDAPN----DLEKVCDFLKHRDEERQYFGAKALFSWPASTVTDAIKTIWD 312 |
| MpeZ* | PLL-LDLDDEWKCTL----NPEDIERNLSHRDEARQYGAAASLMQIDRRECLELIDSMQE 315 |
| MpeY | PAM-LSLQKDWICSS----NLTEIEKNLQHRDEGKQYGGALSLMNMPQELQIETIDQLRS 312 |
| CpcF | -PEKLVKAVQELALAKDVAAIPTLIAVFGYNNPTAAAIASTALVQLGEVAVPQLLTQIDD 73 |
| PecF* | -------MNQ---ASLSVDAITNLIEAFHHHHPAVRSAAVDELIKLGSITVNLLIAAYDD 59 |
| MpeQ* | KLWSDYVTHYYLTAVVGLQG----LEERSDLIRLALAETIPQYTKSRIA------AAWGC 362 |
| MpeW | NHGSDYGVHYQVNCLVSQLG----LKELSYIIKESLLEPAPQYAKSKIA------ATWGC 362 |
| MpeZ* | RLWSDYVTHYYLTCVIGLRA----LHEKSYLVRSALAETTPQYTKSRIA------AAWAC 365 |
| MpeY | RYWSDYGANYLLTAVVGLKN----VEERSDVVRTALAETLPQYAKSRVA------AAWAC 362 |
| CpcF | --Y-NYGARAYSIRTLAAIADPRALDVLIDAAAT---DFAP-SVR-RAAAKGLGNLHWHK 125 |
| PecF* | --SQDQGFQAQIIQVLAQIGDAKALKLLAEVVGTSVANHCQGNVR-RIAARGLGKIASTT 107 |
| MpeQ* | LRLGLVD------QKPLLEELSVSAFWLPLKWTCQRVLKQLS------------------3989 |
| MpeW | LTLGLME------CRSDIENLLLKSTWEPLRWTCAEVLREL---------------------397 |
| MpeZ* | VELQLDD------QLELLYELSNSSPWFPLRWACQQAFAQLVDQQQTSDDLALR------ 413 |
| MpeY | LRLGLTD------QVELLRDIQNTATWIPLKWSCEHALKGLS------------------3983 |
| CpcF | LEFPDNQTAPKKALETLLF-ISQDAEW-SIRYAAIVGLQGLVNIPDLQQPIHTRLKEMLA 183 |
| PecF* | SNTEI----INNAQEKLIWALLTPEDW-GLRYAAAVSLQEIATPKA-----KAALQQAIA 157 |
| CpcF | SDAEKAVRARILLAQSQ 200 |
| PecF* | QETDPVVRSRMAIALS- 173 |

Figure S5. Sequence alignment of six representative $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{F}$ type blin lyases. (Related to Fig. 2-3, Fig. 7). MpeQ/W/Z/Y are single-chain lyases while CpcE/F and PecE/F are heterodimeric lyases. Lyase-isomerases are marked with *. MpeW/Q and MpeY/Z are equivalent pairs from the CA4 strains Synechococcus A15-62 and RS9916, respectively. The sequences of $\mathrm{CpcE} / \mathrm{F}$ and $\mathrm{PecE} / \mathrm{F}$ are from Nostoc sp. 7120 and $M$. laminosus, respectively. Residues highlighted in cyan mark the conserved positions between MpeQ and $\mathrm{CpcE} / \mathrm{F}$.


Figure S6. Conformational flexibility of MpeQ and MpeA. (Related to Fig. 6 and STAR methods). A) Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of MpeQ reveal two clustered conformations in the N -terminal latch region. The "close" conformations (red) correspond to that of the crystal structure while the "open" conformations (green) expose the catalytic Tyr318 (spheres). B) RMSD distance matrix of the simulated MpeQ structures reveals the highly flexible N -terminus along with three rigid frameworks (green triangles). C) MD simulations of MpeA without pigments reveals a flexible segment (aa. 63-82) in two distinct conformations. In the "close" conformation, this "cap" helps shield Cys83 from the molecular surface while the "open" conformation makes Cys83 accessible for the bilin ligation. D) RMSD distance matrix calculated from the simulated MpeA structures shows the flexible region (shaded box) showing large RMSD values while green triangles mark those rigid regions of small RMSD values. High mobility observed in the N -terminus in MpeA is likely due to the absence of $\beta$ subunit in MD simulations.
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Figure S7. Active sites in representative bilin lyases. (Related to Fig. 6). A) The protein architecture (top panel) and active site (bottom panel) in MpeQ, CpcE/F, CpcT and CpeS. The bilin chromophore in MpeQ is shown based on the docking model presented in Fig. 4. B) Superposition of the MpeQ structure (green) and homology model of heterodimer $\mathrm{PecE} / \mathrm{F}$ (blue/cyan) places the HC motif of $\mathrm{PecE} / \mathrm{F}$ right next to the catalytic Tyr318 in MpeQ.


Figure S8. Factors that affect PUB formation in MpeQ and MpeW. (Related to Fig. 6,7). A) To examine how bilin ligation to MpeA affects the PUB formation, we performed a coexpression experiment with MpeQ and MpeA-C83A along the PEB-producing construct (see STAR methods). The absorption spectra of the load (red), flowthrough (FT; green) and eluted peak fraction (blue) from $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ affinity chromatography were used to detect PEB and PUB. A 491-nm peak in the elute suggests the PUB formation in the absence of ligation to MpeA. Spectra between 450 and 700 nm were amplified by $5 x$ fold to highlight the characteristic peaks of PEB and PUB. B) In a co-expression experiment of MpeA with MpeW mutants, PUB formation was detected in the G319V and G319V+V320T mutants of MpeW. C) Fluorescence emission spectra of MpeA-PUB and MpeA-PEB were obtained using excitation at 440 nm and 490 nm , respectively. Both G319V and double mutant G319V+V320T of MpeW exhibited emission signals associated with PUB. The PUB signal is higher in G319V+V320T than that of the single mutant G319V.

Table S1. Summary of MpeQ mutants

|  | MpeA-PUB* | MpeA-PEB | PUB:PEB | Lyase vs. isomerase | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wild Type (WT) | High | not detected | 10:0 | lyase-isomerase |  |
| Y318A or Y318F | not detected | not detected | n/a | abolished/no switch | catalytic |
| K353A | very low | not detected | n/a | abolished/no switch | A-ring interaction |
| E285A | very low | not detected | 0.5:0 | reduced/no switch | A-ring interaction |
| W383F | medium | not detected | 2:0 | reduced/no switch | MpeA binding |
| R71A or R71D | very low | not detected | n/a | abolished/no switch | MpeA binding |
| R79A | very low | not detected | n/a | reduced/no switch | Propionate interaction |
| R79D | not detected | not detected | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | abolished/no switch | Propionate interaction |
| R135D | not detected | not detected | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | abolished/no switch | MpeA binding |
| R143D | very low | not detected | 0.1:0 | reduced/no switch | MpeA binding |
| R198A | very low | not detected | n/a | abolished/no switch | Substrate binding |
| R198K | low | not detected | 3:0 | reduced/no switch | Substrate binding |
| R228A | not detected | not detected | n/a | abolished/no switch | propionate interaction |
| R228D | low | not detected | 0.7:0 | reduced/no switch | propionate interaction |
| R287A | high | not detected |  | reduced/no switch | substrate binding |
| V319G | high | low | 10:1 | high/partial switch | Swap/active site |
| V319A | high | Very low | 10:0.5 | high/partial switch | Swap/active site |
| V319L | medium | not detected | 5:0 | reduced/partial switch | Swap/active site |
| V319F | very low | not detected | n/a | abolished/no switch | Swap/active site |
| A100T | high | not detected | 4:0 | high/no switch | Swap/remote site |
| S222A | high | not detected | 3:0 | reduced/no switch | Swap site |
| S224P | medium | not detected | 6:0 | high/no switch | Swap site |
| T320A | high | not detected | 4:0 | high/no switch | Swap site |
| Y323Q | high | not detected | 4:0 | high/no switch | Swap site |
| Y323L | medium | not detected | 2:0 | high/no switch | Swap site |
| T352A | high | not detected |  | high/no switch | Swap site |
| V319G/T320A | high | low | 3:1 | high/partial switch | Double swap sites |
| V319G/Y323Q | medium | low | 3:1 | medium/partial switch | Double swap sites |
| T320A/Y323Q | very low | not detected | n/a | abolished/no switch | Double swap sites |
| A100T/T352A | high | not detected | 3:0 | high/no switch | Double swap sites |
| V319G/T320A/Y323Q | medium | low | 3:2 | high/partial switch | Triple swap sites |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { V319G/A100T/T320A/ } \\ & \text { Y323Q/T352A (Q5.1) } \end{aligned}$ | medium | medium | 2:3 | medium/switch | Five swap sites |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { V319G/S224P/T320A/ } \\ & \text { Y323Q/T352A (Q5.2) } \end{aligned}$ | medium | high | 1:3 | medium/switch | Five swap sites |

Note: *Compared to WT, High: >75\%; medium: 25-50\%; low: <25\%; very low: <10\%

