

# A fractional differential scheme for the effective transport properties of multiscale reactive porous media: Applications to clayey geomaterials

Philippe Cosenza, Richard Giot, Albert Giraud, Stephen Hedan

## ▶ To cite this version:

Philippe Cosenza, Richard Giot, Albert Giraud, Stephen Hedan. A fractional differential scheme for the effective transport properties of multiscale reactive porous media: Applications to clayey geomaterials. International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics, 2021, 45 (14), pp.2130-2154. 10.1002/nag.3259. hal-03631487

## HAL Id: hal-03631487 https://hal.science/hal-03631487

Submitted on 5 Apr 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	A Fractional Differential Scheme for
6	the Effective Transport Properties
7	of Multiscale Reactive Porous Media:
8	<b>Applications to Clayey Geomaterials</b>
9	
10	
11	Philippe COSENZA <sup>(1)*</sup> , Richard GIOT <sup>(1)</sup> , Albert GIRAUD <sup>(2)</sup> , Stephen HEDAN <sup>(1)</sup>
12	(1) University of Poitiers (ENSI Poitiers), CNRS, UMR 7285 IC2MP- HydrASA, Poitiers, France.
13 14 15	(2) University of Lorraine (ENSG), CNRS, CREGU, GeoRessources Laboratory, Vandoeuvre-les- Nancy, France
16	* Corresponding author
17	Philippe COSENZA
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Ecole Nationale Supérieure d'Ingénieurs de Poitiers (exESIP) Université de Poitiers-CNRS 1 rue Marcel Doré, Bat B1 TSA 41105 86073 Poitiers cedex 09 France Email: philippe.cosenza@univ-poitiers.fr
26 27	Intended for publication in International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics

#### 28 Abstract

A new homogenization scheme is proposed to model the effects of surface phenomena 29 30 occurring at the pore fluid/solid interface on the effective transport properties of multiscale and 31 reactive geosystems, e.g., clavey geomaterials. This new scheme is a generalization of the so-32 called differential scheme (DS) used to infer the effective properties of a composite made up of 33 several materials. It is named the fractional differential scheme and is based on two key 34 elements: (i) the concept of realizability of the DS itself and (ii) a fractional integral formulation 35 of the DS for a porous medium considered as a two-component composite. The formulation of 36 the fractional DS introduces two parameters: a cementation exponent m and a fractional order 37  $\alpha$ . The cementation exponent *m* is related to the microstructure of the material. The fractional 38 order  $\alpha$  accounts for the amplitude of the "surface" transport of ions resulting from the physico-39 chemical interactions between hydrated cations and swelling clay minerals. Both parameters m 40 and  $\alpha$  are inverted from two sets of data: electrical conductivity measurements obtained on clayey rocks and effective diffusion coefficient measurements acquired from a Na-41 42 montmorillonite geomaterial. The inversion results demonstrate that the fractional DS model is 43 able to capture the dependence of the cation concentration on the effective transport properties 44 of the clayey materials under study. Our results also show that the fractional order  $\alpha$  can be considered an indirect indicator of the amplitude of physico-chemical interactions between 45 hydrated cations and swelling clay minerals occurring at the pore fluid/solid interface. 46

47

Keywords: differential scheme, fractional integral, clayey geomaterials, transport properties,
electrical conductivity, diffusion coefficient, reactive porous media.

### 51 **1. Introduction**

52 There are different approaches for estimating the effective properties of a composite made up of several materials. Rigorous procedures exist for composites with well-characterized 53 54 or ideal (i.e., periodic) microstructures (e.g., [1]). However, these procedures are not applicable when the underlying microstructure is random or multiscale. In this case, the typical approach 55 is to use one of several "effective medium approximations" (EMAs). Among these 56 57 approximations are the self-consistent approximation (SCA) and the differential scheme (DS), which differ in the way in which they treat the components constituting a symmetric or 58 nonsymmetric basis in the final equations<sup>2</sup>. SCA was developed for polycrystalline 59 60 microstructures or N-component composites where all components are treated equally, with no 61 one material identified as the host to be described. In contrast to SCA, the DS does not treat the 62 components equally. In the simplest case of a two-component composite, one component is taken as the host and the other, considered to be an inclusion, is added incrementally "in a such 63 64 a way that the newly added material is always in dilute approximation with respect to the current effective medium"<sup>3</sup>. Reviews of the relevant studies on these EMAs can be found in Hashin<sup>4</sup>, 65 Berryman<sup>5</sup> and Böhm<sup>1</sup>. We note that SCA is also known as the symmetrical effective medium 66 67 theory, the coherent potential approximation, or simply the effective medium approximation; 68 the DS is known as the unsymmetrical effective medium theory, iterated dilute approximation, self-similar model, or differential effective medium scheme<sup>6-11</sup>. 69

The DS is particularly popular in geophysics because it predicts the empirical Archie relationships for the effective electrical conductivity of rocks<sup>6, 12</sup> and for the effective diffusion coefficient of geomaterials<sup>13-15</sup>. Moreover, the DS approach is widely and successfully used to model the following properties of sedimentary rocks and soils: (1) elastic properties related to seismic velocities<sup>10, 16-19</sup> and (2) dielectric properties for a wide range of frequencies<sup>20-26</sup>. It should be noted that a major part of the geomaterials involved in these studies are often seen as saturated random porous media or simply as two-phase composites composed of a pore solution
and solid grains. More recently, the DS approach has been modified to quantify the effective
properties of a composite material near the percolation thresholds of different physical types<sup>15</sup>,
<sup>27-29</sup>.

80 Despite its ability to model the effective transport properties of porous geomaterials, the 81 DS has not been conceptually designed to account for complex physico-chemical interactions 82 existing in the pore solution in contact with the solid phase, such as cation exchanges, 83 electrostatic attractions/repulsions or surface complexation reactions, among others. A few 84 attempts have been made to overcome this conceptual difficulty and to integrate all these 85 surface effects into DS approaches. In these approaches, all the surface phenomena are brought together to be encapsulated in a "grain" property, sometimes through a theoretical physico-86 chemical approach, e.g., electrical double or triple layer theory<sup>12, 25, 30-31</sup>. This "grain" property, 87 88 which is not a proper interface property, accounts for both the surface conduction and other 89 interactions occurring at the fluid/grain interface and the transport inside the solid grain, which 90 is mostly negligible in practice. We note that all these DS contributions deal with clayey 91 geomaterials that are especially rich in smectite clay minerals containing a substantial excess 92 of fixed negative charges, which must be compensated by dissolved counter cations. The 93 presence of these charged clay minerals and their associated dissolved counter cations originate 94 from the so-called electrical double (or triple) layer existing in these minerals at nanopore scale  $(typically a few nanometers)^{32}$ . 95

Moreover, it should be mentioned that EMAs different from the DS exist, where all the physico-chemical phenomena at the surface can be embedded in an additional interfacial zone, spatially separated from the solid-grain phase and the fluid-pore phase<sup>33-34</sup>. However, as far as we know, these EMAs have not been validated on data acquired from dense porous materials or even from geomaterials.

101 In this paper, a new DS is developed and used to quantify the effects of surface physico-102 chemical phenomena occurring at the pore fluid/solid interface on the effective transport 103 properties of reactive geosystems, e.g., clayey materials. This new scheme, which requires 104 neither a particular "grain" transport property nor an interfacial zone, is based on two key 105 elements: (i) the realizability of the DS itself and (ii) an integral formulation of the DS for two-106 component composites. Regarding the first element, an EMA is called realizable if we can 107 describe a construction process that makes a composite with effective property predicted by the corresponding theory<sup>3, 5, 35</sup>. In the case of the DS, for a two-component composite of materials 108 109 1 and 2, the construction process starts with a matrix of component 1, for instance, and 110 embedded inclusions of phase 2 in dilute concentration. The next stage involves embedding the 111 grains of component 2 that are, in terms of size, an order of magnitude larger than the previous 112 ones, and this process continues until component 2 occupies its assigned volume fraction (e.g., 113 [3,36]). This construction process leads to a multiscale or "fractal-like" microstructure 114 constituted by a set of many inclusions of many orders of magnitude. Note that this textural or microstructural feature is typical of clayey materials<sup>37-41</sup>. 115

116 Regarding the second key element, the integral formulation of the DS for a two-117 component composite allows us to introduce the kernel of the Riemann-Liouville integral with 118 a fractional exponent that is related to the amplitude of additional interactions occurring 119 between the solid inclusions themselves and the pore solution. The amplitude of these additional 120 interactions can be modulated in the construction process previously described, following the 121 sizes of the added inclusions. As explained further, these additional interactions, which can be 122 amplified even for the smallest solid inclusions, i.e., at nanoscale, are interpreted as physico-123 chemical interactions occurring in the considered reactive porous medium.

124 The theoretical foundations of this new DS, hereafter called the fractional DS, are 125 presented in the first section of this paper. In the second part, this fractional DS is used and 126 tested on transport properties data taken from the literature, i.e., electrical conductivity and 127 diffusion coefficient acquired from clavey geomaterials.

#### 128 **2. Theoretical background**

129 2.1 differential scheme: a realizable scheme for multiscale materials

In this section, the theoretical basis of the DS is briefly recalled. Let us consider the simplest case: a two-component composite made of grains or inclusions named phase 2 embedded in a matrix called phase 1. It is well known, e.g., from Hashin<sup>42</sup>, that when this two-component material is subjected to the average gradient  $\overline{G}$  of a state variable (e.g., the voltage gradient/electrical field, concentration gradient, or temperature gradient), the effective conductivity tensor  $K^*$  is expressed as follows:

136 
$$K^* = K_1 + (K_2 - K_1)A\phi_2$$
 (1)

137 where  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are the conductivity tensors of the components that have the volume fractions 138  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ , respectively, and the so-called concentration tensor *A* is defined by:

$$\bar{G}_2 = \frac{1}{V_2} \int_{V_2} G dV = A\bar{G}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

G is the actual gradient throughout  $V_2$ , which is the volume occupied by the included phase within a representative volume range<sup>42-43</sup>. In the case of small concentrations, i.e.,  $\phi_2 <<1$ , in which it is assumed that the inclusions are fully isolated with no interaction with each other, it can be shown that the concentration tensor *A* is only a function of the conductivity tensors of the components and the geometrical parameters of the inclusions *g*:

$$144 \quad \boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{K}_1, \boldsymbol{K}_2, \boldsymbol{g}) \tag{3}$$

145 Note that for inclusions with simple geometries (i.e., spheres, spheroids, and ellipsoids),
146 the tensor *A* can be written in an analytical way<sup>1, 4, 5</sup>.

Following the DS, which is an iterative process, the effective property of the composite is explicitly calculated from an initial material through a series of incremental additions of

149 inclusions or elementary units. In our case where we have a two-component composite, the 150 procedure begins with the initial material 1 corresponding to a conductivity  $K_I$  in a volume V<sub>0</sub>. 151 A small fraction of inclusions 2,  $\delta \phi_2$ , is embedded in matrix 1, and the effective conductivity of 152 this mixture is calculated relative to a dilute suspension of particles 2 in matrix 1 (i.e., equations (1) and (3)). Now, in the volume  $V_0$ , the mixture has a homogenized effective conductivity  $K^*$ 153 154 and constitutes the initial matrix of the next step, during which a new small fraction of 155 inclusions 2,  $\delta \phi_2$ , is embedded. The homogenized effective conductivity **K**\* of this new mixture 156 with a fraction of inclusions 2 ( $\delta \phi_2$ ) is calculated, and the construction process continues until: 157 (1) at each stage, the embedded inclusion 2 is in dilute concentration and (2) the required 158 volume ratio of the inclusions is satisfied.

159 This construction process can be mathematically described by a differential equation. 160 Indeed, since at each step of this construction process, a small concentration of inclusions is 161 added ( $\delta \phi_2 \ll 1$ ) the dilute approximation (equations (1) and (3)) holds:

$$K^{*}(\phi_{2} + \delta\phi_{2}) = K^{*}(\phi_{2}) + (K_{2} - K^{*}(\phi_{2}))A(K^{*}(\phi_{2}), K_{2}, g)\delta\phi_{2}$$
(4)

162

Thus, for the limit 
$$\delta \phi_2 \rightarrow 0$$
, equation (4) yields the following differential equation:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{K}^*}{d\phi_2} = (\mathbf{K}_2 - \mathbf{K}^*)\mathbf{A} \tag{5}$$

## 163 which must be solved with the initial condition:

$$K^*(\phi_2 = 0) = K_1 \tag{6}$$

As shown by McLaughlin<sup>44</sup>,  $\delta \phi_2$  is not the proper equivalent of a small concentration of inclusions in equation (4), but  $\delta \phi_2/(1 - \phi_2)$  is instead. Since the inclusions are assumed to be dispersed randomly in the matrix, the added inclusions for a given step essentially replace matrix material, but they also replace a portion of the previously added inclusions: only a fraction  $\phi_1$  (or  $1 - \phi_2$ ) of  $\delta \phi_2$  contributes to the increase in  $\phi_2$  in the DS construction process. This remark results in the following differential initial value problem <sup>44</sup>:

$$\frac{dK^*}{d\phi_2} = \frac{(K_2 - K^*)}{1 - \phi_2} A, \qquad K^*(\phi_2 = 0) = K_1$$
(7)

To our knowledge, the physical interpretation of the DS construction process and the 170 associated differential initial value problem has been provided by Roscoe<sup>36</sup>. Following 171 172 Roscoe's interpretation, the microgeometries or microstructures of the composites associated 173 with the DS are made up of many inclusions of many orders of magnitude. The main physical 174 idea can be seen in equation (4), which states that the added inclusions at a given step of the construction process "see" an effective medium. If the added inclusions "see" an effective 175 176 medium, then it means that these inclusions are much larger than the current ones. In fact, 177 following this physical interpretation, the construction process can be considered as a filling 178 process that begins with the smallest inclusions and continues in increasing order of magnitude to obtain *in fine* a multiscale or "fractal-like" microstructure. According to many authors<sup>3, 5, 7, 8</sup>. 179 180 the existence of such microgeometry characterizes the DS as a realizable scheme.

As illustration, the previous differential initial value problem (7) can be rewritten for randomly oriented spheroidal inclusions (e.g., ellipsoids of revolution) embedded in an isotropic matrix<sup>11, 45</sup>:

$$\frac{dk^*}{d\phi_2} = \frac{(k_2 - k^*)}{1 - \phi_2} \frac{k^*}{3} \sum_{i=x,y,z} \frac{1}{k_2 L_i + k^* (1 - L_i)}, \qquad k^*(\phi_2 = 0) = k_1$$
(8)

where  $k^*$ ,  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are the overall scalar effective conductivity, the scalar conductivity of component 1 and the scalar conductivity of component 2, respectively. The depolarization factors  $L_i$  of the spheroidal inclusions obey the following equations:

$$L_z = L , \ L_x = L_y = \frac{1}{2}(1 - L) \tag{9}$$

187 The value of *L* is determined by the eccentricity *e* of the spheroid. For prolate spheroids 188 with semiaxes  $(a_x > a_y = a_z)$ , *L* is given by<sup>46</sup>:

$$L = \frac{1 - e^2}{2e^3} \left( ln \frac{1 + e}{1 - e} - 2e \right) \tag{10}$$

189 with

$$e = \sqrt{1 - \frac{a_y^2}{a_z^2}} \tag{11}$$

190 while for oblate spheroids with  $a_x=a_y>a_z$ , *L* becomes:

$$L = \frac{1+e^2}{e^3}(e - tan^{-1}e)$$
(12)

191 with

$$e = \sqrt{\frac{a_y^2}{a_z^2} - 1} \tag{13}$$

192 For spherical inclusions, the depolarization factors are simply given by:

$$L_z = L_x = L_y = \frac{1}{3}$$
(14)

193 Note that the differential initial value problem (7) can also be written for the general
194 anisotropic case, for which the phases are anisotropic materials<sup>47</sup>.

In the following, the porous media of interest are composed of insulating grains, i.e.,  $k_2=0$ , and the electrolyte-filled pores are viewed as matrix 1. In this case, the previous differential initial value problem (8) can be simplified as follows:

$$\frac{dk^*}{d\phi} = m\frac{k^*}{\phi}, \qquad \qquad k^*(\phi = 1) = k_1 = k_w$$
<sup>(15)</sup>

198 where for the sake of lightening notation,  $\phi = \phi_1 = 1 - \phi_2$  is the porosity,  $k_w$  is the water conductivity 199 or the electrolyte conductivity of the pores, and the parameter *m*, often called the "cementation 200 exponent", is given by <sup>45, 48-49</sup>:

$$m = \frac{5 - 3L}{3(1 - L^2)} \tag{16}$$

For spherical grains, it is easy to check that m=1.5 by using equations (14) and (16). The differential initial value problem can also be expressed in the following integral form:

$$\int_{k_{w}}^{k^{*}} \frac{dk}{k} = m \int_{1}^{\phi} \frac{dv}{v} = -m \int_{0}^{\phi_{2}} \frac{d\phi}{1 - \phi}$$
(17)

203 The integration of (17) leads to the so-called Archie relationship:

$$k^* = k_w \phi^m = \frac{k_w}{F} \tag{18}$$

204 where *F*, called the formation factor, is defined by

$$F = \frac{k_w}{k^*} = \phi^{-m} \tag{19}$$

205 or

$$lnF = -m \, ln\phi \tag{20}$$

The term "formation factor" was originally used by Archie because it was approximately constant for any given geological formation. Regarding its physical meaning, the formation factor, and particularly the cementation exponent *m*, are inextricably related to the concept of tortuosity, a term used to define the connectivity of the pore space as it affects transport processes through porous media<sup>50-51</sup>. The cementation exponent *m* intrinsically associated with the concept of the formation factor is clearly a microstructure-dependent parameter of porous media<sup>52-53</sup>.

213 Although Archie's relationship (18) was derived from electrical measurements on a 214 relatively small number of only a few types of sedimentary rocks, it has been successfully used for a wide range of porous media and for diffusion coefficients<sup>13-14</sup>. All these porous media are 215 216 made up of a nonconductive mineral skeleton immersed in a single conductive phase, i.e., a liquid that completely saturates the connected pore space<sup>54</sup>. However, Archie's relationship is 217 218 no longer valid for geomaterials such as clay materials containing nanopores of only a few 219 nanometers in length that are filled with an electrolyte possessing special transport properties. 220 These particular transport properties, existing at nanopore scale, result from complex surface 221 physico-chemical phenomena occurring at the liquid-mineral interface; these include chemical 222 reactions at mineral surface sites, electrostatic interactions between the surface and ions,

wettings, and electrokinetic couplings<sup>55-57</sup>. All these surface physico-chemical phenomena often refer to surface conduction<sup>12, 30, 32, 58</sup> or surface diffusion<sup>59-60</sup>. To account for this surface transport, the previous DS that led to Archie's relationship is modified by introducing concepts from fractional calculus, as explained in the next section.

#### 227 2.2 A fractional differential scheme

We focus on the last case presented in the previous section, i.e., a porous medium viewed as a two-component composite made of nonconductive grains immersed in a single conductive liquid. Following the DS approach, the effective transport property of a such composite is governed by equations (15) or (17), which can be expressed in an incremental way as follows:

$$k_{(i+1)}^{*} = k_{(i)}^{*} \left( 1 - m \frac{\delta \phi_2}{1 - \phi_{2(i)}} \right)$$
<sup>(21)</sup>

where  $k_{(i+1)}^*$  and  $k_{(i)}^*$  are the effective properties at steps (i+1) and (i) in the DS construction process, respectively. The quantity  $\frac{\delta \phi_2}{1-\phi_2(i)}$  is the volumetric amount of grains added in the effective medium of property  $k_{(i)}^*$  to obtain the property at step (i+1).

235 Equation (21) is interesting because it combines two concepts: the differential initial 236 value problem (15) and the construction process underlying the DS approach. In equation (21), 237 the term in brackets on the right-hand side can be considered an "interaction term". At a given 238 step of the construction process, it defines the interactions between the added inclusions and 239 the current ones. Following the DS construction process, the sum of all these interactions 240 ultimately leads to Archie's relationship (18) associated with a given transport mechanism, i.e., a "bulk" transport in the connected conductive/diffuse phase immersing a nonconductive/non-241 242 diffuse multiscale solid skeleton.

243 Consequently, if we want to introduce a new transport mechanism, i.e., one associated 244 with surface conduction or surface physico-chemical phenomena, then the interaction term in 245 (21) has to be modified. The simplest way to modify this term is to introduce a weighted 246 coefficient  $K_{(i)}$  that evolves during the DS construction process:

$$k_{(i+1)}^* = k_{(i)}^* \left( 1 - mK_{(i)} \frac{\delta\phi_2}{1 - \phi_{2(i)}} \right)$$
(22)

Following Roscoe's interpretation of the DS, if we want to introduce new interactions between inclusions at the smallest scale, one may expect high values of  $K_{(i)}$ , i.e., much larger than 1, at the beginning of the filling process, i.e., at small values of (i), for the smallest inclusions. It should be noted that this coefficient could have been introduced upstream in the initial Eshelby problem.

252

The incremental equation (22) can also be reformulated in the following integral form:

$$\int_{k_{W}}^{k^{*}} \frac{dk}{k} = -m \int_{0}^{\phi_{2}} K(u) \frac{du}{1-u}$$
(23)

253 where the kernel K(u) must be defined.

In this work, the following candidate is chosen:

$$K(u) = \frac{\alpha(\phi_2 - u)^{\alpha - 1}}{\phi_2^{\alpha - 1}}$$
(24)

255 This choice is interesting for at least two reasons. First, the numerator  $(\phi_2 - u)^{\alpha - 1}$ 256 allows the introduction of the fractional calculus formalism, i.e., the Riemann-Liouville (RL) 257 fractional integral:

$$\int_{0}^{\phi_{2}} \frac{\alpha(\phi_{2}-u)^{\alpha-1}}{\phi_{2}^{\alpha-1}} \frac{du}{1-u} = \frac{\alpha}{\phi_{2}^{\alpha-1}} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\phi_{2}} \frac{(\phi_{2}-u)^{\alpha-1} du}{1-u} = \frac{\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)}{\phi_{2}^{\alpha-1}} {}_{0}D_{\phi_{2}}^{-\alpha}f(\phi_{2})$$
(25)

where  $\Gamma$  is the gamma function and  $f(\phi_2) = \frac{1}{1-\phi_2}$ . The quantity  ${}_0D_{\phi_2}^{-\alpha}f(\phi_2)$  or  ${}_0D_{\phi_2}^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{1-\phi_2}\right)$  is the RL fractional integral of the function  $1/(1-\phi_2)$  of order  $\alpha$ . Recall that the RL fractional integral of the function  $f(\phi_2)$  is defined by<sup>61</sup>:

$${}_{0}D_{\phi_{2}}^{-\alpha}f(\phi_{2}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\phi_{2}} f(u) (\phi_{2} - u)^{\alpha - 1} du$$
(26)

261 Or, in our case:

$${}_{0}D_{\phi_{2}}^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{1-\phi_{2}}\right) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\phi_{2}} \frac{1}{1-u} \ (\phi_{2}-u)^{\alpha-1} \ du$$
(27)

262 The parameter  $\alpha$ , the order of the RL integral, obeys the inequality  $\alpha \ge 1$ , but this 263 condition can be generalized to Re( $\alpha$ )>0 if the integral in (27) is an improper Riemann integral 264 (Miller and Ross, 1993).

265 The main advantage of this particular choice lies in the parameter  $\alpha$ , which introduces a certain flexibility to the kernel K(u). Note that if  $\alpha > 1$ , the kernel K(u) is a monotonically 266 decreasing function of  $\phi$ , meaning that K(u) is at its maximum at the beginning of the integral 267 path in (23) and thus at the beginning of the DS filling process, when the added inclusions are 268 the smallest. At this stage, parameter  $\alpha$  can be seen as "potentiometer" which controls through 269 270 the kernel K(u) the amplitude of physico-chemical interactions between the added inclusions 271 in the DS filling process. As discussed further through an inverse modeling approach, the 272 fractional order  $\alpha$  can be interpreted as an indirect indicator of the amplitude of physico-273 chemical interactions occurring at the pore fluid/solid interface in clayey geomaterials.

274 Second, the denominator  $\phi_2^{\alpha-1}$  satisfies the following normalization condition:

$$\frac{1}{\phi_2} \int_{0}^{\phi_2} K(u) du = 1$$
(28)

that is easy to check.

276

From (24) and (25), the integral formulation of the DS (23) can be rewritten as follows:

$$ln\left(\frac{k_w}{k^*}\right) = m\frac{\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)}{\phi_2^{\alpha-1}} {}_0D_{\phi_2}^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{1-\phi_2}\right)$$
(29)

277

Or, by introducing the formation factor  $F = k_w/k^*$  and the porosity  $\phi = 1 - \phi_2$ :

$$\ln F = m \frac{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)}{(1-\phi)^{\alpha-1}} {}_{0}D_{1-\phi}^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{\phi}\right)$$
(30)

In contrast with Archie's relationship (20), the formation factor F now depends (i) on 279 the porosity  $\phi$  through the RL fractional integral and (ii) on the fractional order  $\alpha$ . Equation 280 (29) defines a fractional DS of a two-phase composite, i.e., a porous medium made of a 281 connected conductive phase immersing a nonconductive multiscale solid skeleton.

282 Equation (30) can be rewritten in a simpler way by introducing the incomplete beta function  $B_x(a, b)$ : 283

$$B_x(a,b) = \int_0^x u^{a-1} (1-u)^{b-1} du \quad (a,b>0)$$
(31)

284

and by using the following relationship<sup>61</sup>:

$${}_{c}D_{t}^{-\nu}(a-t)^{\lambda} = \frac{(a-t)^{\lambda+\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)}B_{\tau}(\nu;-\lambda-\nu), \qquad \tau = \frac{t-c}{a-c}$$
(32)

285 If we set c=0,  $t=1-\phi$ , a=1,  $\lambda=-1$ ,  $\nu=\alpha$ , equation (32) becomes:

$${}_{0}D_{1-\phi}^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{\phi}\right) = \frac{\phi^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}B_{1-\phi}(\alpha;1-\alpha) \qquad \tau = 1-\phi$$
(33)

286 and thus equation (30) yields

$$\ln F = m \,\alpha \left(\frac{\phi}{1-\phi}\right)^{\alpha-1} B_{1-\phi}(\alpha; 1-\alpha) \tag{34}$$

287 or

$$\ln F = m \,\Psi(\phi, \alpha) \tag{35}$$

288 with

$$\Psi(\phi, \alpha) = \alpha \left(\frac{\phi}{1-\phi}\right)^{\alpha-1} B_{1-\phi}(\alpha; 1-\alpha)$$
(36)

From the definition of the formation factor  $F = k_w/k^*$ , the effective transport property  $k^*$  is 289 290 thus given by:

$$k^* = k_w \ e^{-m\Psi(\phi,\alpha)} \tag{37}$$

- At this stage, three comments can be made. First, equations (34) and (37) are easier to handle than equation (30) because the beta incomplete function has been widely studied in the mathematical literature, especially in statistics. Indeed, the beta incomplete function is available for all Excel-type spreadsheets and thus can be calculated easily without having to write any programs. This means that despite the apparent complexity of equations (34) and (37), the effective conductivity  $k^*$  can be explicitly and directly calculated in a simple way.
- 297 Second, it is easy to check that for  $\alpha = 1$ , equation (34) becomes:

$$\ln F = -m \ln \phi \tag{38}$$

298 (note that  $B_{1-\phi}(1;0) = -ln\phi$ ). Thus, Archie's relationship (20) is obviously retrieved.

299 Third, the interesting asymptotic case  $\alpha >>1$  can also be studied by using the following 300 Newtonian result<sup>61-62</sup>:

$$B_x(a,b) = \int_0^x u^{a-1} (1-u)^{b-1} du = \frac{x^a (1-x)^b}{a} \mathcal{F}(a+b,1;a+1;x))$$
(39)

301 where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the hypergeometric series given by<sup>63</sup>:

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \alpha_3; x) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{\alpha_3} \frac{x}{1!} + \frac{\alpha_1 (\alpha_1 + 1) \alpha_2 (\alpha_2 + 1) x^2}{\alpha_3 (\alpha_3 + 1) 2!} + \frac{\alpha_1 (\alpha_1 + 1) (\alpha_1 + 2) \alpha_2 (\alpha_2 + 1) (\alpha_2 + 2)}{\alpha_3 (\alpha_3 + 1) (\alpha_3 + 2) 3!} \frac{x^3}{3!} + \cdots$$
(40)

302 For 
$$x=1-\phi$$
,  $a=\alpha$ ,  $b=1-\alpha$  and for a large  $\alpha$ , equations (40) and (39) give  
303  $\mathcal{F}(1,1;\alpha+1;1-\phi)\sim 1$  and  $B_{1-\phi}(\alpha,1-\alpha)\sim \frac{\phi^{1-\alpha}(1-\phi)^{\alpha}}{\alpha}$ . Thus, the formation factor in (34) is

304 simply:

$$\ln F \sim m \left(1 - \phi\right) \tag{41}$$

305 or

$$F \sim e^{m (1 - \phi)} \tag{42}$$

Equation (42) provides two results. First, similar to Archie's relationship, for a highporosity medium ( $\phi \sim 1$ ), the formation factor F tends toward unity and therefore,  $k^* \sim k_w$ . Second, for a low-porosity medium ( $\phi \sim 0$ ), F tends toward a finite value  $e^m$  and therefore,  $k^* \sim k_w e^{-m}$ . This unexpected result shows that even if the porous medium is mainly constituted of a nonconductive solid, it is still significantly conductive with a conductivity function of  $k_w$ , that is, equal to  $k_w e^{-m}$ . This physical inconsistency with regard to the initial assumptions highlights that our DS approach should not be used for very low-porosity media associated with large values of  $\alpha$ .

## 314 2.3 Analysis of the sensitivity lnF to the fractional order $\alpha$

315 The fractional DS introduces a new parameter, i.e., the fractional order  $\alpha$ , whose influence on

316 the effective formation factor F, especially on the effective property  $k^*$ , must be investigated.

This sensitivity analysis is conducted by calculating lnF as a function of the parameter a voter a wide range of porosity and cementation exponent values (equation (34), (35), or (36)). The porosity range used here is from 0.05 to 0.4 (i.e., 5-40%) and is representative of a large class of clayey porous media. The cementation exponent *m* ranges between 0.2 and 2.7. These lower and upper limits are taken from Revil et al.<sup>32</sup> and Dashtian et al.<sup>64</sup>.

322 Figure 1 displays the results of this sensitivity analysis for the ranges of both the porosity 323  $\phi$  and the cementation exponent *m*. This plot indicates the following results:

- lnF significantly changes as the value  $\alpha$  values changes incrementally from 1 to 10, regardless of the values of  $\phi$  and m. For  $\alpha$  values greater than 100, lnF is almost constant and tends toward the asymptotic limit  $m(1 - \phi)$ , as established previously (see equation 41).
- The sensitivity of lnF to  $\alpha$  is largest for the smallest porosity values, all things 329 being equal.
- The sensitivity of *lnF* to α is strongly influenced by the cementation exponent
   *m*. There exists almost a full order of magnitude between the *lnF* values of the

332 left vertical scale and those of the right vertical scale. This strong sensitivity is 333 obviously due to the fact that lnF is directly proportional to m, all things being 334 equal (see equation (34), (35), or (36)); there is indeed an order of magnitude 335 between both m limits, i.e., between 0.2 and 2.7.

These results are confirmed by a  $logF-log\phi$  plot, which is usually used in petrophysics to illustrate departures from Archie's relationship (Figure 2). These departures are interpreted as being due to the existence of electrical surface conduction associated with clay minerals, as evidenced from electrical resistivity measurements acquired for a large class of clayey geomaterials<sup>31, 58, 65-66.</sup> Figure 2 also displays the numerical results obtained from an empirical model<sup>55</sup> based on the well-known experimental results obtained from clayey rocks by Waxman <sup>58,67</sup>.

In comparison with Figure 1, Figure 2 provides two additional results. First, it shows that the fractional DS is able to describe, at least qualitatively, the "curvilinear" trend observed in a  $logF-log\phi$  relationship associated with surface conduction. The shape and amplitude of this "curvilinear" trend are clearly controlled by the value of the fractional order  $\alpha$ . Small deviations from the unity value of  $\alpha$ , i.e.,  $\alpha$ =1.2, induce significant deviations from Archie's relationship.

348 Second, the empirical model indicates that surface conduction in clay rocks significantly 349 depends on the amplitude of liquid conductivity. The  $F-\phi$  relationship must reflect this 350 dependence, as the fractional DS cannot do so directly (see equation 34). Thus, this comparison 351 with experimental data strongly suggests that the fractional order  $\alpha$  should itself depend on the 352 liquid conductivity  $k_w$ . In other words, in the theoretical framework of the fractional DS, an 353 additional relationship linking  $\alpha$  and  $k_w$  should be introduced. This observation is not surprising 354 because most surface physico-chemical phenomena occurring at the solution/solid interface, 355 e.g., the electrical double (triple) layer, are controlled by the concentrations of ions in solution 356 (such as salinity), which in turn depend on the solution conductivity (at least the electrical

solution conductivity)<sup>32</sup>. Now, if the fractional order  $\alpha$  is supposed to reflect the amplitude of the interfacial solid-pore interactions, then it must also depend in some way on the concentrations of ions and therefore on the solution conductivity. This dependence is illustrated in the following applications.

## 361 **3. Applications**

## 362 3.1 Clayey geomaterials as multiscale and reactive materials

Data on transport properties, mainly the electrical conductivity and diffusion coefficients of clayey materials, are now compared to predictions obtained from the fractional DS presented in the previous section (i.e., equations (34), (35) and (36)). Here, it is worth remembering that clayey materials are involved in many geoenvironmental issues. These geological materials are studied as natural or engineered barriers for deep geological radioactive waste repositories and form the main geological caps of many hydrocarbon reservoirs.

369 Clavey materials are preferred here for at least two reasons. First, as previously 370 mentioned, clayey soils or rocks often show microstructural patterns that are observed in multiscale materials<sup>37-41, 68</sup>. They exhibit a complex hierarchical organization at microstructural 371 372 levels observed with space scales of several orders of magnitude, typically from nanometers to 373 millimeters<sup>69</sup>. Despite the technical difficulty of acquiring these observations, recent quantitative petrography studies showed that the histograms of nonclay grain sizes<sup>71-72</sup> (Figure 374 3a) and pore sizes<sup>39,72</sup> (Figure 3b) obey, at the first order, a power law for a wide range of sizes 375 376 of heterogeneities, ranging from micrometric size to one tenth of a millimeter. Thus, these 377 results attest to the existence of a multiscale or "fractal-like" system or microstructure 378 composed of a set of many heterogeneities of many sizes, and this system is the main textural 379 signature associated with the DS.

380 Second, clay-rich materials are known to be reactive porous media, i.e., porous media 381 where complex physicochemical interactions between the pore solution and solid-phase occur. 382 This reactivity is mainly due to the existence of smectite clay minerals containing a substantial excess of fixed negative charges that have to be neutralized by dissolved counter cations. The 383 384 presence of these charged clay minerals and their associated dissolved counter cations originate from the so-called electrical double (or triple) layer existing on these minerals at nanopore scale 385  $(typically a few nanometers)^{32}$ . Moreover, the presence of nanopores between the clay minerals 386 387 induces the existence of "bound" water or "interlayer" water with thermodynamic, 388 hydrodynamic and spectroscopic properties that appear close to those of ice<sup>73</sup>. In this interlayer 389 space, these water molecules can organize themselves in the form of (up to three) molecular layers<sup>74</sup>. These adsorbed water molecules are subjected to physicochemical forces of a complex 390 391 nature: electrostatic attraction or repulsion, hydration or "structural" forces of the Derjaguin type<sup>75</sup>. The upscaling of these physicochemical interactions and their associated transport 392 393 processes up to the (sample) scale of the engineer is obviously a challenging issue $^{57}$ .

## 394 *3.2 Electrical conductivity of clay rocks*

The fractional DS is now applied to electrical conductivity data of water-saturated clayey rocks
taken from the database compiled by Waxman and Smits<sup>58</sup>.

In the first stage, five samples from this database are selected to obtain a wide 397 398 distribution of cation exchangeable capacity (CEC) values. CEC is usually expressed in 399 milliequivalents per 100 g of dry material and quantifies the exchangeable cations neutralizing negative charges in porous material<sup>76</sup>. A high CEC value for a given porous medium is 400 401 interpreted as the medium having a high potential for adsorption of hydrated cations at the 402 solution-mineral interface. Consequently, CEC can be considered for use with swelling clayey 403 materials as an indirect measure of their ability to adsorb cations and, more broadly, to 404 electrochemically react with the pore solution since the electrical double (triple) layer is directly 405 linked to counter cations adsorption. The objective of this preliminary selection is to handle a 406 panel of samples representative of a wide range of CEC values and therefore representative of 407 a wide range of reactive materials. Table 1 shows the CEC values, the clay mineral 408 compositions and the porosity values of these five samples. This illustrates that the higher the 409 content of reactive clay minerals (i.e., montmorillonite) is, the higher the measured CEC value.

410 In the second stage, five electrical conductivity measurements acquired at five fluid 411 salinities and measured on each of the five preselected samples are considered (see the data in 412 Figures 4a and 4b). These five fluid salinity concentrations correspond to the lowest values of 413 equilibrium solution conductivity from Waxman and Smits's database, i.e., in the range of 2.085 414 dS.m<sup>-1</sup> up to 28.22 dS.m<sup>-1</sup>. In this low-salinity range, the bulk of the conductivity of a given 415 rock increases sharply and nonlinearly with the solution conductivity, thus violating Archie's 416 relationship (equation 18) (see the data in Figures 4b). This nonlinear increase in conductivity 417 with the increase in the concentration of electrolytes in the low-salinity range is attributed to 418 the so-called surface conduction or surface effects, as explained previously. In contrast, at high 419 electrolyte concentrations, i.e., in the high-salinity domain corresponding to solution conductivity values greater than 28.22 dS.m<sup>-1</sup>, the rock conductivity increases proportionally 420 421 with the solution conductivity, i.e., following 1:1 a ratio, as predicted by Archie's relationship 422 (Figure 4a). In this high-salinity domain, the electrical conduction is purely electrolytic, i.e., 423 controlled by the conductivity of the bulk solution. As mentioned previously, the rock 424 conductivity values in the high-salinity domain are not considered in this application.

425 This selection of electrical data is used to invert the parameters of the fractional DS 426 model, the fractional order  $\alpha$ , and the cementation exponent *m* in the following four cases:

• **Case 1**. Both parameters, the fractional order  $\alpha$  and the cementation exponent *m*, are assumed to be independent of the solution salinity. In other words, they are supposed to be constant for a given rock sample and do not depend on the solution electrical conductivity  $\sigma_w$ . This simplest case is considered as a reference case with regard to the other cases that follow.

432 • Case 2. The cementation exponent *m* is assumed to be independent of the solution
433 salinity, but the fractional order *α* nonlinearly evolves with the solution conductivity,
434 following this simple relationship:

$$\alpha = 1 + \frac{\sigma_w^0}{\sigma_w} \tag{43}$$

435 where the unknown parameter  $\sigma_w^0$  must be inverted. This case is suggested by 436 experimental observations that show that the formation factor and thus the effective 437 conductivity decrease nonlinearly with the solution conductivity<sup>55, 66</sup> (Figure 2). It can 438 be seen from (43) that for the high-salinity limit, if  $\sigma_w \to \infty$ , then  $\alpha \to 1$ , and Archie's 439 relationship is retrieved as expected.

440 • Case 3. The fractional order α is assumed to be independent of the solution salinity,
441 but the cementation exponent *m* nonlinearly evolves with the solution conductivity,
442 following this simple relationship:

$$m = a + b \ln \sigma_w \tag{44}$$

where *a* and *b* are parameters to be inverted. The particular mathematical form of equation (44) is suggested by the increase in rock conductivity as the solution conductivity increases, as indicated in Figure 4b. This nonlinearity (44) is introduced by the fact that the changes in solution conductivity imply some swelling or shrinkage of the reactive clay aggregates, which in turn should significantly modify the electrical tortuosity and rock microstructure. The impact of this swelling or shrinkage of clay aggregates on transport properties is rarely considered in the literature.

450 • Case 4. Both parameters, the fractional order α and the cementation exponent *m*, are
451 assumed to be dependent on the solution salinity, following the relationships in (43)
452 and (44).

453 The unknown parameters involved in these four cases are inverted by means of an optimization scheme based on Monte Carlo sampling<sup>77-78</sup>, and they are applied to the five 454 455 electrical conductivity measurements of each of the five preselected samples. This scheme, 456 considered a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) approach, is a probabilistic technique whose 457 basic principle consists of an oriented random walk exploration of the parameter space to avoid 458 large time-consuming computations. This type of technique is also particularly able to account 459 explicitly for the experimental uncertainties that are assumed to follow a Gaussian distribution 460 (see details in Tarantola<sup>78</sup>).

It should be noted that cases 1 and 2 imply that there are two parameters to be inverted, 461 whereas cases 3 and 4 use three parameters,  $(\alpha, a, b)$  and  $(a, b, \sigma_w^0)$ , respectively. For the 462 objective of carrying out the simplest modeling approach and inverting the same number of 463 464 unknown parameters for all four cases, the smallest number of parameters (two parameters) is 465 considered in the following analysis. Thus, to invert only two parameters for each of the four 466 cases, an additional assumption is introduced at this stage. It is assumed that the cementation 467 exponent value for the selected sample with the highest solution salinity, i.e., 28.22 dS.m<sup>-1</sup>, is equal to  $m_{HS}$ , which is the cementation exponent value calculated at the highest solution 468 469 conductivity (i.e., at the highest salinity) found in the Waxman-Smits database, i.e., 233.5 dS.m<sup>-1</sup> (see the  $m_{HS}$  values in Table 1). In other words, the cementation exponent is assumed to 470 be constant between 28.22 dS.m<sup>-1</sup> and 233.5 dS.m<sup>-1</sup>, i.e., a salinity range for which surface 471 472 conduction does not impact the electrical tortuosity and rock microstructure. From this 473 assumption, equation (44) can be rewritten as follows:

$$m = m_{HS} + b \ln\left(\frac{\sigma_w}{28.22}\right) \tag{45}$$

474 where the solution electrical conductivity  $\sigma_w$  is now expressed in dS.m<sup>-1</sup> and the parameter *b* is 475 the sole parameter to be inverted. The results of the inversion of each pair of parameters for each of the four cases and for the five selected samples are given in Table 2. To compare the quality of the inversions performed for each case, the root-mean-square error (RMSE) is calculated as follows:

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{obs_i - sim_i}{obs_i}\right)^2}$$
(46)

479 where *n* is the number of measurements (here, five for each sample) and  $obs_i$  and  $sim_i$  are the 480 measured and simulated values, respectively, obtained for each measurement *i*. Note that the 481 MCMC optimization scheme is run at least 50 times for each case, and the inverted values 482 yielding the smallest RMSE (minimum RMSE) are retained as results in Table 2.

483 In a general way, the results in Table 2 reveal the following features. First, case 1 shows 484 the highest minimum RMSE values, greater than 46% for all five samples. Moreover, case 1 485 provides inverted values of both parameters,  $\alpha$  and *m*, over wide ranges, illustrating that several 486 values of these parameters that are very different yield similar minimum RMSE values. The 487 poor agreement between the measured and simulated values and the high uncertainties in the 488 inverted parameters are not surprising. Indeed, case 1 predicts a linear relationship between the 489 solution conductivity  $\sigma_w$  and the effective rock conductivity  $\sigma^*$  (see equation 37, where 490 parameters,  $\alpha$  and *m*, are constant), which is not observed experimentally in this salinity range 491 (Figure 4b). These results highlight, as expected, that the relationships between the parameters 492  $\alpha$  and m and between the solution conductivity and salinity are required to fit the Waxman 493 Smits data.

Thus, in comparison with case 1, case 2 noticeably improves the agreement between the measured and simulated values. Table 2 indicates for case 2, the minimum RMSE values are in the range of 14.9-41.6 (%) for all five samples. However, these RMSE values are still high, i.e., greater than 5%; the improvement of fit is significant in case 3. Indeed, case 3 shows minimum RMSE values that are lower than 3.15% for all the samples. This satisfactory agreement is displayed in Figures 4a and 4b. The slight disagreement observed for the highest solution conductivity (at 28.22 dS.m<sup>-1</sup>) in Figure 4b is likely because at this salinity, the amplitudes of surface conduction and the related physico-chemical effects are not high.

In contrast with case 3, case 4, which introduces two nonlinearities through equations (43) and (44), does not significantly improve the agreement between the measured and simulated values. The minimum RMSE values provided by case 4 are between 3.16% and 6.49%, and they are greater than those of case 3, which are all lower than 3.16% (Table 2).

507 If we focus now on the results of case 3, which provides the lowest minimum RMSE 508 values, two comments can be made. First, our inversion results show that the cementation 509 exponent is extremely sensitive to solution conductivity changes (Figure 5). The inverted values 510 of the parameter m for all samples significantly increase with solution conductivity. This 511 sensitivity appears to increase with the sample reactivity. Indeed, it reaches its maximum value 512 for sample WS26, which exhibits the highest content of reactive clay minerals 513 (montmorillonite) and thus the highest CEC value, i.e., 1.47 mequiv.cm<sup>-3</sup> or 0.164 mequiv.g<sup>-1</sup> 514 (Table 1). The cementation exponent of sample WS26 increases from 0.34 to 2.30. This is an 515 increase of 576% with respect to the initial cementation exponent at the lowest solution 516 conductivity, i.e., 2.085 dS.m<sup>-1</sup>. In contrast, this sensitivity to the solution conductivity is minimal for sample WS17, which has the lowest CEC value, i.e., 0.33 mequiv.cm<sup>-3</sup> or 0.02 517 mequiv.g<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). The cementation exponent of sample WS17 increases from 0.90 to 1.85. 518 519 This is an increase of 106% with respect to the initial cementation exponent at the lowest 520 solution conductivity. This increase is five times less than that observed for the WS26 sample. 521 This dependence of the sensitivity of *m* on clay-rock reactivity can be also illustrated in Figure 6 which displays a clear positive correlation between the parameter b in equation (45) and the 522

523 cation exchange capacity. Consequently, it can be suggested here that the cementation exponent 524 should account for the microstructural evolution associated with the swelling/shrinkage of clay 525 minerals or aggregates directly due to salinity changes in clayey rocks. The microstructural 526 evolution may correspond to changes in pore connectivity and tortuosity and, more generally, 527 to the evolution of the geometric pore space of the clayey rock under study.

Second, the fractional order  $\alpha$  is also dependent on the rock reactivity. Figures 6a and 528 529 6b indicate that the increase in the parameter  $\alpha$  is an almost linear function of the cation 530 exchange capacity. The best agreement between empirical and simulated values is obtained with an exponential function exhibiting a regression coefficient of determination  $R^2$  equal to 531 532 0.98 (Figure 7a). It should be noted that an extrapolation of this exponential fit at CEC=0 leads 533 to an  $\alpha$  value of 1.02, which close to 1 as expected for a nonreactive rock. Consequently, the 534 results in Figures 7a and 7b suggest that the fractional order  $\alpha$  could be an interesting indicator of the amplitude of surface conduction in clayey rocks. To confirm this observation and the 535 previous observations, the fractional DS will be applied to diffusion coefficient data of saturated 536 537 clay montmorillonite.

538 However, in order to test the predictive nature of the model, two test cases are performed 539 using two additional samples, named WS21 and WS22 in the Waxman-Smits database. The 540 values of petrophysical properties of these two additional samples are given in Table 3. 541 Parameters  $\alpha$  and m (through b of equation (45)) are calibrated by using the non-linear 542 regressions given in Figures 7a. and 6, respectively (see results in Table 3). Figure 8 which 543 displays the results of these two test cases shows that the model satisfactorily predicts the 544 measured data. The RMSE between simulated values and measured values of samples WS21 545 and WS22 are 9.6 % and 10.6 %, respectively.

546 3.3 Diffusion coefficient of compacted montmorillonite

547 The fractional DS is applied to diffusion coefficient data of compacted water-saturated 548 bentonite acquired from classical through-diffusion tests performed by Glaus et al.<sup>59</sup>. The clay 549 portion of bentonite is composed essentially of montmorillonite, a smectite-type clay mineral.

550 This set of data is preferred for at least four reasons. First, these data were obtained on 551 montmorillonite, i.e., a swelling clay mineral that is extremely reactive to changes in pore liquid 552 chemistry. Second, the effective diffusion coefficient was measured with Na<sup>+</sup> counter cations, 553 which are known to physico-chemically interact with montmorillonite more strongly than other ions<sup>59-60, 79</sup>. In the work of Glaus et al.<sup>59</sup>, their montmorillonite mixture was chemically treated 554 555 with a NaCl solution to remove all soluble salts and to convert the clay into a Na-form, i.e., a 556 Na-montmorillonite. Third, these data were acquired for a very large range of cation 557 concentrations for the solution in equilibrium with the clayey geomaterial, i.e., over three decades from 10<sup>-2</sup> M to 1 M. This equilibrium concentration is hereafter called the "external 558 559 salt concentration". Fourth, this powder was highly compacted to obtain a dry bulk density of 1950 kg.m<sup>-3</sup>, i.e., a porosity of 28%. This state of compaction brings us closer to natural rock, 560 the case encountered in the previous section (3.2). 561

562

$$F = \frac{D_w}{D^*} \tag{47}$$

where  $D^*$  is the effective diffusion coefficient of compacted montmorillonite and  $D_w$  is the selfdiffusion coefficient of bulk liquid water. The coefficient  $D_w$ , always greater than  $D^*$ , is set to 1.33 10<sup>-9</sup> m<sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup> for the cation Na<sup>+ 80</sup>. In contrast with the previous electrical conductivity  $\sigma_w$  of the bulk solution, which increases with salinity, the coefficient  $D_w$  is independent of the external salt concentration<sup>59, 80</sup> and mainly depends on the chemical species under study, which is the cation Na<sup>+</sup> here.

569 Moreover, compared with the effective electrical conductivity, the effective diffusion 570 coefficient of cations in compact clayey geomaterials decreases with increasing external salt

concentration (compare the data in Figure 4a and Figure 9). The classical interpretation of this 571 572 evolution is derived from the existence of a "surface" diffusion (often called "interlayer" 573 diffusion) of counter cations, which are the cations Na<sup>+</sup> here. This diffusion mechanism, which 574 dominates the overall diffusion in compacted Na-montmorillonite, occurs in the "near-surface" 575 water between smectite clay minerals (i.e., montmorillonite) and free pore water, or in other 576 words, in the electrical double (or triple) layer (EDL or ETL), existing at the charged surface 577 of montmorillonite. This near-surface water in the vicinity of these surfaces is dominant in 578 nanopores and contains more counter cations than the bulk solution to compensate for the excess of fixed negative charges on the surface of montmorillonite. Following Glaus et al.<sup>59</sup>, 579 580 this surface diffusion is driven by the local cation concentration gradient existing between this 581 near-surface water and the surrounding bulk water; it is larger at low external salt concentrations 582 and decreases as the external salt concentration increases. In other words, the larger the external 583 salt concentration in the bulk liquid is, the lower the local cation concentration gradients 584 between near surface water and bulk water and finally the lower the amplitude of surface 585 diffusion.

Similarly to the previous section 3.2, diffusion coefficient data from Glaus et al.<sup>59</sup> has been used to invert the parameters of the fractional DS model, the fractional order,  $\alpha$ , and the cementation exponent, *m*, following four cases:

Case 1. Both parameters, the fractional order, *α* and the cementation exponent, *m*, are
 assumed to be independent of the external salt concentration. This simplest case is
 again considered as a reference case with regard to the following others.

• **Case 2.** The cementation exponent, *m* is assumed to be independent of the external salt 593 concentration named here  $C_w$  but the fractional order,  $\alpha$ , nonlinearly evolves with the 594 solution conductivity, following this simple relationship:

$$\alpha = 1 + \frac{C_w^0}{C_w} \tag{48}$$

- 595 where the unknown parameter  $C_w^0$  must be inverted. It can be seen in (48) that for the 596 high-concentration limit, if  $C_w \to \infty$ , then  $\alpha \to 1$ , and Archie's relationship is retrieved 597 as expected.
- **Case 3.** The fractional order  $\alpha$  is assumed to be independent of the external salt 599 concentration  $C_w$ , but the cementation exponent *m* nonlinearly evolves with  $C_w$ , 600 following this relationship:

$$m = a' + b' \ln C_w \tag{49}$$

601 where a' and b' are parameters to be inverted. Similar to the case with electrical conductivity, this nonlinearity (49) is introduced by the fact that the changes in external 602 603 salt concentration imply some swelling or shrinkage of the reactive clay aggregates, 604 which in turn should significantly modify the diffusional tortuosity and rock 605 microstructure. For the electrical conductivity, it is desirable to conduct the simplest 606 modeling approach and to invert the same number of unknown parameters for all cases. 607 Thus, to invert only two parameters for each of the four cases, an additional assumption is again introduced at this stage. It is assumed that the cementation exponent value at 608 609 the highest external salt concentration of our selected data, i.e., 1 M, is equal to  $m_{HS}$ , 610 that is, the cementation exponent value at the highest concentration limit for which 611 Archie's relationship is satisfied (i.e., for  $\alpha$  equal to 1). In this case, the  $m_{HS}$  value is calculated as 2.79 by using equation (19). From this additional assumption, equation 612 613 (49) can be rewritten as follows:

$$m = m_{HS} + b' \ln\left(\frac{C_w}{C_{HS}}\right) \tag{50}$$

614

where b' is a parameter to be inverted and  $C_{HS}$  is equal to 1 M.

- Case 4. Both parameters, the fractional order α and the cementation exponent *m*, are
  assumed to be dependent on the pore solution salinity, following the relationships in
  (48) and (50).
- 618 The pairs of unknown parameters in these four cases have are inverted for the five values 619 of external salt concentrations from Glaus et al.<sup>59</sup> by running the same optimization scheme 620 used in the previous section (3.2).

The results given in Table 4 indicate that case 4 provides the lowest minimum RMSE value, i.e., the best fit between the selected data and the fractional DS model. Although the minimum RMSE value of 8.94% for case 4 is significant, Figure 9 shows a satisfactory agreement between the data and the model. The fractional DS model associated with the nonlinearities in (48) and (50) correctly captures the cation concentration dependence of the effective diffusion coefficient of compacted Na-montmorillonite.

627 Figure 10 displays the inversion results of equations (48) and (50) associated with case 4. Figure 10 shows two features. First, similar to the electrical cementation exponent, our 628 629 inversion results show that the diffusion cementation exponent is sensitive to the external salt 630 concentration. The diffusion cementation exponent nonlinearly increases from almost 0 at a concentration of 10<sup>-2</sup> M up to 2.79 at 1 M. Our interpretation of this increase is similar to that 631 632 provided in section 3.2 for the electrical conductivity. The diffusion cementation exponent 633 appears to account for the microstructural evolution associated with swelling clay minerals or 634 aggregates due to concentration changes in the montmorillonite mixture. These microstructural 635 evolutions can be changes in pore connectivity and tortuosity, likely associated with the 636 swelling/shrinkage and/or aggregation/disaggregation processes of montmorillonite minerals. 637 Second, the fractional exponent  $\alpha$  sharply decreases with increasing salt concentration. The parameter  $\alpha$  decreases from 9.6 at 10<sup>-2</sup> M to 1.9 at 0.1 M, and it finally converges to almost 1 638

at 1 M, which is the highest concentration value. The parameter  $\alpha$  reaches its maximum value at the lowest values of external salt concentrations for which the surface diffusion is maximal.

641 At this stage, it is tempting to compare the inverted values of the parameters m and  $\alpha$ 642 for both transport properties, i.e., the diffusion coefficient and electrical conductivity. However, 643 this exercise is *a priori* difficult for at least three reasons. First, both transport properties do not 644 refer strictly to the same physico-chemical phenomena, e.g., charge carrier movement driven 645 by a gradient of electrical potential for the electrical conductivity and Brownian movement 646 driven by a gradient of chemical potential for the diffusion coefficient. Second, the salt concentration ranges of the two studies are not strictly similar. Glaus et al.<sup>59</sup> used a wide salinity 647 range from 10<sup>-2</sup> M to 1 M, while Waxman and Smits<sup>58</sup> used a salinity range from approximately 648 649 2.10<sup>-2</sup> M to 0.3 M after converting the solution conductivities to NaCl concentrations using the TDS-total dissolved salt method<sup>81</sup>. Third, a clayey rock and a compacted montmorillonite-rich 650 651 geomaterial do not have exactly the same microstructure and clay mineral contents. Contrary to the compacted montmorillonite mixture studied by Glaus et al.<sup>59</sup>, the clayey rocks in the 652 electrical WS database are not pure clayey material and contain a significant amount of nonclay 653 654 minerals, e.g., quartz and calcite, whose proportions may reach a few dozen %. Moreover, the 655 microstructure of clayey rocks results from a complex geological story called diagenesis, which 656 involves the mechanical compaction of sediments as well as geochemical processes, i.e., the 657 precipitation and dissolution of minerals.

However, in Figure 10, if we look at the *m* and  $\alpha$  values in a restricted concentration range ([2.10<sup>-2</sup>-0.3] M), which corresponds to the WS database, two remarks can be made. First, the *m* values for both properties are almost similar, i.e., between 0 and 2 (compare Figure 5 and Figure 8). In a first-order approach, the "electrical" cementation exponent and the "diffusion" cementation exponent respond in the same manner to changes in salt concentrations and thus are sensitive to the same physico-chemical phenomena. Second, the diffusion  $\alpha$  values in Figure

10 are significantly higher than those obtained from the electrical conductivity (compare Figure 664 7a, Figure 7b, and Figure 10 over the restricted range [2.10<sup>-2</sup>-0.3] M). Following our physical 665 definition of the parameter  $\alpha$ , this would mean that the amplitude of the surface transport of 666 667 cations resulting from the interactions between hydrated cations and clay minerals would be much higher in Na-montmorillonite than in the clayey rocks under study. This proposition is 668 669 supported by the mineral composition of the Na-montmorillonite mixture used by Glaus et al., 670 which is much richer in montmorillonite-reactive minerals (more than 98%) than the clayey 671 rocks of the WS database.

672

#### 673 **4. Conclusion**

674 A new DS called the fractional DS is presented in this paper. This semi-analytical 675 homogenization scheme is introduced to quantitatively investigate the effects of surface 676 physico-chemical phenomena occurring at the pore fluid/solid interface on the effective 677 transport properties of reactive geosystems, e.g., clayey materials. This fractional DS, which 678 requires neither a particular "grain" transport property nor an interfacial zone, is based on two 679 key elements: (i) the concept of realizability of the DS itself and (ii) a fractional integral 680 formulation of the DS for a two-component composite. The formulation of the fractional DS 681 introduces two parameters: a cementation exponent m and a fractional order  $\alpha$ . The cementation 682 exponent *m* is constant for any given material and is inextricably related to the microstructure of the material. The fractional order  $\alpha$  accounts for the amplitude of the "surface" transport of 683 684 cations resulting from the physico-chemical interactions between hydrated cations and swelling 685 clay minerals (i.e., smectite minerals, especially montmorillonite).

The fractional DS is applied to data on electrical conductivity (Waxman and Smits<sup>58</sup>)
 and diffusion coefficients (Glaus et al.<sup>59</sup>) acquired from natural clayey rocks and a compacted
 Na-montmorillonite mixture, respectively. These applications show the main following results:

• The fractional DS model is able to correctly capture the dependence of the cation concentration on the effective transport properties of the clayey materials under study if at least one of the parameters (m or  $\alpha$ ) is nonlinearly related to the external salt concentration of the electrolyte in chemical equilibrium with the pore solution of the materials.

Considering both effective transport properties, the inverted values the of 694 695 cementation exponent m nonlinearly increase from almost 0 at the lowest external salt concentration (typically  $10^{-2}$  M) up to values close to 2 at the highest 696 697 salt concentrations. This evolution is interpreted as resulting from changes in pore connectivity and tortuosity and more generally from evolutions of the 698 699 geometric pore space likely associated with the swelling/shrinkage and/or 700 aggregation/disaggregation processes of smectite minerals (i.e., 701 montmorillonite).

• Our inversion results on electrical conductivity data show that the inverted values of the parameter  $\alpha$  are linearly and positively correlated with the cation exchange capacity values of the clayey rocks under study. This suggests that the fractional order  $\alpha$  would be a good indirect indicator of (a) the amplitude of surface conduction in clayey rocks and, more generally, of (b) the physicochemical reactivity of clayey geomaterials.

This fractional DS model is not intended to replace the numerous conventional models used to quantitatively describe the electrical conductivity and diffusion coefficients of clayey geomaterials. However, it aims to propose a very general and semi-analytical homogenization scheme that accounts for the physico-chemical reactions occurring at the pore fluid/solid interface involved in the transport process, i.e., leading to "surface" transport. Thus, the very general characteristics of this approach naturally make it possible to generalize it to other transport properties (e.g., thermal conductivity, hydraulic conductivity) of porous media seen
as two-component composites for which semi-analytical formulations can be expected.

716

Acknowledgments. We thank the research project "ExCiTING" that is funded by the French
National Research Agency (grant agreement ANR-17-CE06-0012). The authors also
acknowledge financial support from the European Union (ERDF) and "Région Nouvelle
Aquitaine".

721

- 723 1- Böhm, H. J. (2004). A short introduction to continuum micromechanics. In *Mechanics*724 *of microstructured materials* (pp. 1-40). Springer, Vienna.
- Pruggeman, D. A. G., Berechnung Verschiederner Physikalischer Konstanten von
  Hetärogenen Substanzen, Ann. Phys., 24, 636–679, 1935.
- 727 3- Norris, A. N. (1985). A differential scheme for the effective moduli of
  728 composites. *Mechanics of materials*, 4(1), 1-16.
- 4- Hashin, Z. (1983). Analysis of Composite Materials—. Journal of Applied
  Mechanics, 50, 481.
- 5- Berryman, J.G., 1995. Mixture theories for rock properties, in Rock Physics and Phase
  Relations: A Handbook of Physical Constants, Th.J. Arhens (Ed.) AGU reference Shelf
  3.
- 6- Sen, P. N., Scala, C., & Cohen, M. H. (1981). A self-similar model for sedimentary
  rocks with application to the dielectric constant of fused glass beads. *Geophysics*, 46(5),
  736 781-795.
- 737 7- Norris, A. N., Callegari, A. J., & Sheng, P. (1985). A generalized differential effective
  738 medium theory. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, *33*(6), 525-543.

- Avellaneda, M. (1987). Iterated homogenization, differential effective medium theory
  and applications. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 40(5), 527-554.
- 9- Hashin, Z. (1988). The differential scheme and its application to cracked
  materials. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, *36*(6), 719-734.
- 10- Le Ravalec, M., & Guéguen, Y. (1996). High-and low-frequency elastic moduli for a
  saturated porous/cracked rock differential self-consistent and poroelastic theories. *Geophysics*, 61(4), 1080-1094.
- 11- Phan-Thien, N., & Pham, D. C. (2000). Differential multiphase models for
  polydispersed spheroidal inclusions: thermal conductivity and effective
  viscosity. *International journal of engineering science*, *38*(1), 73-88.
- 749 12-Bussian, A. E. (1983). Electrical conductance in a porous medium. *Geophysics*, 48(9),
  750 1258-1268.
- 751 13-Shackelford, C. D., & Daniel, D. E. (1991). Diffusion in saturated soil. I:
  752 Background. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, *117*(3), 467-484.
- 14- Van Loon, L. R., Glaus, M. A., & Müller, W. (2007). Anion exclusion effects in
  compacted bentonites: towards a better understanding of anion diffusion. *Applied Geochemistry*, 22(11), 2536-2552.
- Timothy, J. J., & Meschke, G. (2016). A micromechanics model for molecular diffusion
  in materials with complex pore structure. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, 40(5), 686-712.
- 759 16-Sheng, P. (1990). Effective-medium theory of sedimentary rocks. *Physical Review*760 *B*, 41(7), 4507.
- 761 17-Berryman, J. G., Pride, S. R., & Wang, H. F. (2002). A differential scheme for elastic
  762 properties of rocks with dry or saturated cracks. *Geophysical Journal*763 *International*, 151(2), 597-611.

764	18-Markov, M., Levine, V., Mousatov, A., & Kazatchenko, E. (2005). Elastic properties of
765	double-porosity rocks using the differential effective medium model. Geophysical
766	<i>Prospecting</i> , 53(5), 733-754.

- 767 19-David, E. C., & Zimmerman, R. W. (2011). Elastic moduli of solids containing
  768 spheroidal pores. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, *49*(7), 544-560.
- 769 20- Feng, S., & Sen, P. N. (1985). Geometrical model of conductive and dielectric
  770 properties of partially saturated rocks. *Journal of Applied Physics*, *58*(8), 3236-3243.
- 21- De Lima, O. A., & Sharma, M. M. (1992). A generalized Maxwell-Wagner theory for
  membrane polarization in shaly sands. *Geophysics*, *57*(3), 431-440.
- 22- Chelidze, T. L., & Gueguen, Y. (1999). Electrical spectroscopy of porous rocks: A
  review—I. Theoretical models. *Geophysical Journal International*, *137*(1), 1-15.
- 23- Cosenza, P., Camerlynck, C., & Tabbagh, A. (2003). Differential effective medium
  schemes for investigating the relationship between high-frequency relative dielectric
  permittivity and water content of soils. *Water resources research*, *39*(9).
- 24- Cosenza, P., Ghorbani, A., Revil, A., Zamora, M., Schmutz, M., Jougnot, D., & Florsch,
- N. (2008). A physical model of the low-frequency electrical polarization of clay
  rocks. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *113*(B8).
- 25- Leroy, P., & Revil, A. (2009). A mechanistic model for the spectral induced polarization
  of clay materials. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *114*(B10).
- 783 26-Okay, G., Leroy, P., Ghorbani, A., Cosenza, P., Camerlynck, C., Cabrera, J., Florsch N.
- 84 & Revil, A. (2014). Spectral induced polarization of clay-sand mixtures: Experiments
  785 and modeling. *Geophysics*, 79(6), E353-E375.
- 786 27- Markov, M., Levin, V., Mousatov, A., & Kazatchenko, E. (2012). Generalized DEM
  787 model for the effective conductivity of a two-dimensional percolating
  788 medium. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, *58*, 78-84.

789	28-Markov, M., Levin, V., & Markova, I. (2018). Determination of effective
790	electromagnetic parameters of concentrated suspensions of ellipsoidal particles using
791	Generalized Differential Effective Medium approximation. Physica A: Statistical
792	Mechanics and its Applications, 492, 113-122.

- 793 29- Timothy, J. J., & Meschke, G. (2017). Cascade continuum micromechanics model for
  794 the effective permeability of solids with distributed microcracks: Self-similar mean795 field homogenization and image analysis. *Mechanics of Materials*, *104*, 60-72.
- 30- De Lima, O. A., & Sharma, M. M. (1990). A grain conductivity approach to shaly
  sandstones. *Geophysics*, 55(10), 1347-1356.
- 31- Revil, A., Cathles III, L. M., Losh, S., & Nunn, J. A. (1998). Electrical conductivity in
  shaly sands with geophysical applications. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 103(B10), 23925-23936.
- 32- Revil, A., & Glover, P. W. J. (1998). Nature of surface electrical conductivity in natural
  sands, sandstones, and clays. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 25(5), 691-694.
- 803 33- Endres, A. L., & Knight, R. J. (1993). A model for incorporating surface phenomena
  804 into the dielectric response of a heterogeneous medium. *Journal of colloid and interface*805 *science*, *157*(2), 418-425.
- 34- Levin, V., Markov, M., Mousatov, A., Kazatchenko, E., & Pervago, E. (2017). Effective
  electromagnetic properties of microheterogeneous materials with surface
  phenomena. *The European Physical Journal B*, *90*(10), 192.
- 809 35- Milton, G. W. (1985). The coherent potential approximation is a realizable effective
  810 medium scheme. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 99(4), 463-500.
- 811 36-Roscoe, R. (1952). The viscosity of suspensions of rigid spheres. *British journal of*812 *applied physics*, 3(8), 267.

- 813 37- Krohn C. E. (1988). Fractal measurements of sandstones, shales and carbonates. *Journal*814 *of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 93, 3297-3305.
- 815 38- Van Damme, H. (1995), Scale invariance and hydric behaviour of soils and clays, C. R.
  816 Acad. Sci., Ser. IIa Sci. Terre Planètes, 320, 665–681.
- 817 39-Ougier-Simonin, A., Renard, F., Boehm, C., & Vidal-Gilbert, S. (2016).
  818 Microfracturing and microporosity in shales. *Earth-Science Reviews*, *162*, 198-226.
- 40- Ma, L., Fauchille, A. L., Dowey, P. J., Pilz, F. F., Courtois, L., Taylor, K. G., & Lee, P.
- B20 D. (2017). Correlative multi-scale imaging of shales: a review and future
  perspectives. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, 454(1), 175-199.
- 41- Sun, H., Mašín D., Najser J., Neděla V., & Navrátilová E. (2019). Fractal characteristics
  of pore structure of compacted bentonite studied by ESEM and MIP methods. *Acta Geotechnica* (2019): 1-17.
- 42-Hashin, Z. (1968). Assessment of the self-consistent scheme approximation:
  conductivity of particulate composites. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 2(3), 284-300.
- 43- Adessina, A., Barthélémy, J. F., & Fraj, A. B. (2020). Micromechanical model for the
   diffusion properties of materials embedding complex structures. *Mechanics of Materials*, 103404, doi.org/10.1016/j.mechmat.2020.103404.
- 44-McLaughlin, R. (1977). A study of the differential scheme for composite
  materials. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 15(4), 237-244.
- 45- Mendelson, K. S., & Cohen, M. H. (1982). The effect of grain anisotropy on the
  electrical properties of sedimentary rocks. *Geophysics*, 47(2), 257-263.
- 46-Landau, L. D., & Lifshitz, E. M. (1960). Electrodynamics of. *Continuous Media*,
  Oxford, Pergamon Press.

- 47- Giraud, A., Sevostianov, I., Kushch, V. I., Cosenza, P., Prêt, D., Barthélémy, J. F., &
- 837 Trofimov, A. (2019). Effective electrical conductivity of transversely isotropic rocks
  838 with arbitrarily oriented ellipsoidal inclusions. *Mechanics of Materials*, *133*, 174-192.
- 48- Archie, G. E. (1942). The electrical resistivity log as an aid in determining some
  reservoir characteristics. *Transactions of the AIME*, *146*(01), 54-62.
- 49-Sen, P. N. (1984). Grain shape effects on dielectric and electrical properties of
  rocks. *Geophysics*, 49(5), 586-587.
- 843 50- Clennell, M. B. (1997). Tortuosity: a guide through the maze. *Geological Society*,
  844 *London, Special Publications*, 122(1), 299-344.
- 845 51-Glover, P. W. (2010). A generalized Archie's law for n phases. *Geophysics*, 75(6),
  846 E247-E265
- 52- Salem, H. S., & Chilingarian, G. V. (1999). The cementation factor of Archie's equation
  for shaly sandstone reservoirs. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 23(2),
  83-93.
- 53- Han, T., Clennell, M. B., Josh, M., & Pervukhina, M. (2015). Determination of effective
  grain geometry for electrical modeling of sedimentary rocks. *Geophysics*, 80(4), D319D327.
- 853 54- Yonezawa, F., & Cohen, M. H. (1983). Granular effective medium
  854 approximation. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 54(6), 2895-2899.
- 855 55-Worthington, P. F. (1993). The uses and abuses of the Archie equations, 1: The
  856 formation factor-porosity relationship. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, *30*(3), 215-228.
- 56-Tyagi, M., Gimmi, T., & Churakov, S. V. (2013). Multi-scale micro-structure
  generation strategy for up-scaling transport in clays. *Advances in water resources*, *59*,
  181-195.

- 860 57-Rotenberg, B., Marry, V., Salanne, M., Jardat, M., & Turq, P. (2014). Multiscale
  861 modelling of transport in clays from the molecular to the sample scale. *Comptes Rendus*862 *Geoscience*, *346*(11-12), 298-306.
- 863 58- Waxman, M. H., & Smits, L. J. M. (1968). Electrical conductivities in oil-bearing shaly
  864 sands. *Society of Petroleum Engineers Journal*, 8(02), 107-122.
- 865 59- Glaus, M. A., Baeyens, B., Bradbury, M. H., Jakob, A., Van Loon, L. R., & Yaroshchuk,
- A. (2007). Diffusion of <sup>22</sup>Na and <sup>85</sup>Sr in montmorillonite: Evidence of interlayer
  diffusion being the dominant pathway at high compaction. *Environmental science & technology*, *41*(2), 478-485.
- 60- Charlet, L., Alt-Epping, P., Wersin, P., & Gilbert, B. (2017). Diffusive transport and
  reaction in clay rocks: A storage (nuclear waste, CO2, H2), energy (shale gas) and water
  quality issue. *Advances in Water Resources*, *106*, 39-59.
- 872 61-Miller, K. S., & Ross, B. (1993). An introduction to the fractional calculus and
  873 fractional differential equations. Wiley.
- 874 62- Dutka, J. (1981). The incomplete Beta function—a historical profile. *Archive for history*875 *of exact sciences*, vol. 24, 11-29.
- 63- Abramowitz, M., & Stegun, I. A. (1972). Handbook of Mathematical Functions with
  Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables. National Bureau of Standards Applied
  Mathematics Series 55. Tenth Printing.
- 64- Dashtian, H., Yang, Y., & Sahimi, M. (2015). Nonuniversality of the Archie exponent
  due to multifractality of resistivity well logs. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(24), 10655.
- 882 65- Rhoades, J.D., Ratts, P.T.C. & Prather, R.J. 1976. Effects of liquid phase electrical
  883 conductivity, water content and surface conductivity on bulk soil electrical conductivity.
  884 Soil Science Society of America Journal, 40, 651–655.

- 66-Han, T., Best, A. I., Sothcott, J., North, L. J., & MacGregor, L. M. (2015). Relationships
  among low frequency (2 Hz) electrical resistivity, porosity, clay content and
  permeability in reservoir sandstones. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, *112*, 279-289.
- 67- Waxman, M.H. and Thomas, E.C., 1974. Electrical conductivities in oil-bearing shaly
  sands: I. The relation between hydrocarbon saturation and resistivity index. II. The
  temperature coefficient of electrical conductivity. *Society of Petroleum Engineers Journal*/ Soc. Pet. Eng. J., 14: 213-225.
- 68- Cosenza, P., & Korošak, D. (2014). Secondary consolidation of clay as an anomalous
  diffusion process. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, 38(12), 1231-1246.
- 69- Cosenza, P., Fauchille, A. L., Prêt, D., Hedan, S., & Giraud, A. (2019). Statistical
  representative elementary area of shale inferred by micromechanics. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 142, 53-73.
- 70- Robinet, J. C., P. Sardini, D. Coelho, J. C. Parneix, D. Prêt, S. Sammartino, and S.
  Altmann (2012), Effects of mineral distribution at mesoscopic scale on solute diffusion
  in a clay-rich rock: Example of the Callovo-Oxfordian mudstone (Bure, France), *Water Resources Research*, 48, W05554, doi:10.1029/2011WR011352.
- 902 71- Fauchille, A.-L., 2015. Déterminismes microstructuraux et minéralogiques de la fissuration hydrique dans les argilites de Tournemire : apports couplés de la pétrographie
  904 quantitative et de la corrélation d'images numériques. Ph-D Thesis University of
  905 Poitiers, France (in French).
- 906 72- Philipp, T., Amann-Hildenbrand, A., Laurich, B., Desbois, G., Littke, R., & Urai, J. L.
  907 (2017). The effect of microstructural heterogeneity on pore size distribution and
  908 permeability in Opalinus Clay (Mont Terri, Switzerland): insights from an integrated

- 909 study of laboratory fluid flow and pore morphology from BIB-SEM images. *Geological*910 Society, London, Special Publications, 454(1), 85-106.
- 911 73- Mercury, L., Vieillard, P., & Tardy, Y. (2001). Thermodynamics of ice polymorphs and
  912 'ice-like' water in hydrates and hydroxides. *Applied Geochemistry*, *16*(2), 161-181.
- 913 74- Sposito, G. (2008). *The chemistry of soils*. Oxford university press.
- 914 75-Gonçalvès, J., Rousseau-Gueutin, P., De Marsily, G., Cosenza, Ph., & Violette, S.
- 915 (2010). What is the significance of pore pressure in a saturated shale layer? *Water*916 *Resources Research*, 46(4).
- 917 76- Meunier, A. (2005). *Clays*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- 918 77-Mosegaard, K., & Tarantola, A. (2002). Probabilistic approach to inverse
  919 problems. *International Geophysics Series*, 81(A), 237-268.
- 920 78-Tarantola, A. (2005). *Inverse problem theory and methods for model parameter*921 *estimation* (Vol. 89). siam.
- 922 79- Kozaki, T., Fujishima, A., Sato, S., & Ohashi, H. (1998). Self-diffusion of sodium ions
  923 in compacted sodium montmorillonite. *Nuclear Technology*, *121*(1), 63-69.
- 80-Li Y.H., & Gregory, S. (1974). Diffusion of ions in sea water and in deep-sea
  sediments. *Geochimica et cosmochimica acta*, 38(5), 703-714
- 926 81- Santamarina, J. C., Klein, K. A., & Fam, M. A. (2001). Soils and waves. New York: J.

927 Wiley & Sons.

## 929 **Table captions**

Table 1. Ages, compositions of clay fractions ( $<2\mu$ m), values of porosity, the cementation exponent  $m_{HS}$ , cation exchangeable capacities  $Q_v$  (in milliequivalents per cm<sup>3</sup> of pore volume of the rock), and CECs (in milliequivalents per g<sup>-1</sup> of dry solid) of the investigated samples<sup>58</sup>. The cementation exponent  $m_{HS}$  is calculated at the highest pore solution conductivity (i.e., at the highest salinity) at which surface conduction can be considered negligible.

- Table 2. Calculated minimum RMSE values and inverted values of the parameters of the
  fractional DS model for all modeling cases with the five samples from the WaxmanSmits database.
- Table 3. Ages, compositions of clay fractions ( $<2\mu$ m), values of porosity, the cementation exponent  $m_{HS}$ , cation exchangeable capacities  $Q_v$  (in milliequivalents per cm<sup>3</sup> of pore volume of the rock), calibrated values of parameters  $\alpha$  and b (in equation (45)) of the two samples used in test cases.
- Table 4. Calculated minimum RMSE values and inverted values of the parameters of the
  fractional DS model from measurements of the effective diffusion coefficient by Glaus
  et al.<sup>59</sup>.
- 946

## 947 **Figure captions**

- Figure 1. Natural logarithm of the formation factor, lnF, as a function of the fractional exponent  $\alpha$ . The black and gray lines correspond to cementation exponent values of m=2.7 and m=0.2, respectively. The dashed and solid lines correspond to porosity values of  $\phi=0.5$ and  $\phi=0.05$ , respectively.
- Figure 2. Formation factor, *F* as a function of the porosity  $\phi$  on a log-log scale. The black solid line is Archie's relationship with m=2. The black dashed lines correspond to the fractional DS model with m=2 and  $\alpha$  values ranging from 1.2 to 5. The gray dashed lines correspond to the empirical model of Worthington<sup>55</sup> with pore solution conductivity values ranging from 1 S.m<sup>-1</sup> to 20 S.m<sup>-1</sup>.
- 957 Figure 3a. Equivalent grain size distribution (modified from Fauchille<sup>71</sup>).
- 958 Figure 3b. Equivalent pore size distribution (modified from Ougier-Simonin et al.<sup>39</sup>).
- Figure 4a. Measured rock conductivity as a function of solution conductivity. The gray areaindicates the transition zone between the low-salinity domain and the high-salinity

- 961 domain. The fit with the fractional DS model (case 3) and the 1:1 line (dashed line) are962 also shown.
- Figure 4b. Measured rock conductivity as a function of solution conductivity in the low-salinity
  domain. The fit with the fractional DS model (case 3) is also shown.
- Figure 5. Cementation exponent *m* as a function of solution conductivity (equation (45); for the inverted parameters  $\alpha$  and *b* of the DS model for case 3, see Table 2). The dashed lines show the corresponding logarithmic fits.
- Figure 6. Inverted parameter *b* in equation (45) as a function of the cation exchange capacity  $Q_{\nu}$  expressed in meq. cm<sup>-3</sup>. A logarithmic fit is also shown as dashed lines.
- 970 Figure 7a. Inverted parameter  $\alpha$  (model, case 3) as a function of the cation exchange capacity 971  $Q_{\nu}$  expressed in meq. cm<sup>-3</sup>. A linear and an exponential fit are also shown as dashed 972 lines.
- 973 Figure 7b. Inverted parameter  $\alpha$  (model, case 3) as a function of the CEC expressed in 974 meq.g<sup>-1</sup>. A linear and an exponential fit are also shown as dashed lines.
- Figure 8. Test cases. Measured and predicted rock conductivity as a function of solutionconductivity in the low-salinity domain.
- Figure 9. Measured effective coefficient of diffusion as a function of external salt concentration
  from Glaus et al.<sup>59</sup>. The fit with the fractional DS model (case 4) is also shown.
- 979Figure 10. Inverted fractional order  $\alpha$  and inverted cementation exponent m as a function of980external salt concentration.
- 981
- 982
- 983

Sample	Age	Kaolinite	Illite (%)	Montmorillonite
Ĩ	C	(%)		(%)

							$Q_v$	(mequiv.g <sup>-1</sup> )
							(mequiv.cm <sup>-3</sup> )	
WS17	Eocene	100	_	_	14.0	1.85	0.33	0.020
WS19	Albian	20	40	20	25.9	2.0	0.59	0.078
WS23	Lower	8	8	84	24.2	2.2	1.04	0.125
	Tertiary							
WS24	Lower	12	8	80	21.6	2.3	0.81	0.084
	Tertiary							
WS26	Lower	_	_	100	22.9	2.3	1.47	0.164
	Tertiary							

Porosity

(%)

Cementation

exponent

m<sub>HS</sub> (-)

Cation Exchangeable

Capacity

Cation

Exchangeable

Capacity CEC

985Table 1. Ages, compositions of clay fractions (<2 $\mu$ m), values of porosity, the cementation exponent  $m_{HS}$ , cation exchangeable capacities  $Q_v$  (in986milliequivalents per cm<sup>3</sup> of pore volume of the rock), and CECs (in milliequivalents per g<sup>-1</sup> of dry solid) of the five investigated samples<sup>58</sup>.987The cementation exponent  $m_{HS}$  is calculated at the highest pore solution conductivity (i.e., at the highest salinity) at which surface conduction988can be considered negligible.

- 989 990
- 991

Sample	C	ase 1		С	ase 2		C	ase 3		С	ase 4	
	Minimum	Para	meter	Minimum	Parar	neter	Minimum	Para	meter	Minimum	Parar	neter
	RMS Error	α	т	RMS Error	$\sigma_w^0$	т	RMS Error	α	b	RMS Error	$\sigma_w^0$	b
	(%)	(-)	(-)	(%)	(S.m <sup>-1</sup> )	(-)	(%)	(-)	(-)	(%)	(S.m <sup>-1</sup> )	(-)
WS17	46.23	1.00-	1.40-	14.86	8.45-	1.79-	2.23	1.27	0.36	3.54	6.60-	0.13-
		4.72	2.65		8.79	1.80					6.62	0.14
WS19	38.90	1.06-	1.56-	20.44	11.34-	1.87-	2.22	1.31-	0.41	3.16	7.04-	0.26
		8.69	2.66		11.69	1.88		1.32			7.11	
WS23	50.67	1.01-	1.45-	36.00	12.84-	1.74-	2.80	1.86-	0.65	6.05	18.07-	0.52
		4.47	2.52		13.27	1.75		1.87			18.19	
WS24	52.42	1.02-	1.58-	34.19	12.51-	1.94	3.15	1.65	0.62	6.49	13.38-	0.45
		5.34	2.64		12.63						13.53	
WS26	55.69	1.01-	1.40-	41.63	13.98-	1.72	1.43	2.30	0.75	5.24	28.00-	0.65
		5.41	2.30		14.45						28.19	

Table 2. Calculated minimum RMSE values and inverted values of the parameters of the fractional DS model for all modeling cases with the five
 samples from the Waxman-Smits database.

Sample	Age	Kaolinite	Illite (%)	Montmorillonite	Porosity	Cementation	Cation	Calibrated	Calibrated
		(%)		(%)	(%)	exponent m <sub>HS</sub> (-)	Exchangeable Capacity	parameter α	parameter <i>b</i> in equation (45)
							Q <sub>v</sub> (mequiv.cm <sup>-3</sup> )		
WS21	Lower Tertiary	12	8	80	23.8	1.8	0.29	1.20	0.29
WS22	Lower Tertiary	12	8	80	22.5	2.3	0.72	1.53	0.54

997

Table 3. Ages, compositions of clay fractions ( $<2\mu m$ ), values of porosity, the cementation exponent  $m_{HS}$ , cation exchangeable capacities  $Q_v$  (in

999 milliequivalents per cm<sup>3</sup> of pore volume of the rock), calibrated values of parameters  $\alpha$  and b (in equation (45)) of the two samples used in test

1000 cases.

Case 1			Case 2			Ca	ase 3		Case 4			
Minimum Parameter		Minimum	Parameter		Minimum	Parameter		Minimum	Parameter			
RMS Error	α	т	RMS Error	$C_w^0$	т	RMS Error	α	b'	RMS Error	$C_w^0$	b'	
(%)	(-)	(-)	(%)	(M)	(-)	(%)	(-)	(-)	(%)	(M)	(-)	
62.54	7.07-	4.10-	53.09	0.45-	2.96-	17.06	1.10	0.63	8.94	8.59	0.63	
	9.39	4.21		0.46	2.97					10-1		

Table 4. Calculated minimum RMSE values and inverted values of the parameters of the fractional DS model from measurements of the effective
 diffusion coefficient by Glaus et al.<sup>59</sup>.





1012Figure 1 Natural logarithm of the formation factor, lnF, as a function of the fractional exponent1013 $\alpha$ . The black and gray lines correspond to cementation exponent values of m=2.7 and1014m=0.2, respectively. The dashed and solid lines correspond to porosity values of  $\phi=0.5$ 1015and  $\phi=0.05$ , respectively.



1020Figure 2. Formation factor, F as a function of the porosity  $\phi$  on a log-log scale. The black solid1021line is Archie's relationship with m=2. The black dashed lines correspond to the1022fractional DS model with m=2 and  $\alpha$  values ranging from 1.2 to 5. The gray dashed1023lines correspond to the empirical model of Worthington<sup>55</sup> with pore solution1024conductivity values ranging from 1 S.m<sup>-1</sup> to 20 S.m<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure 3a. Equivalent grain size distribution (modified from Fauchille<sup>71</sup>).

Figure 3b. Equivalent pore size distribution (modified from Ougier-

Simonin et al.<sup>39</sup>).



.

Figure 4a. Measured rock conductivity as a function of solution Figure 4b. Measured rock conductivity as a function of solution conductivity. The gray area indicates the transition zone between the low-salinity domain and the high-salinity domain. The fit with the fractional DS model (case 3) and the 1:1 line (dashed line) are also shown.

conductivity in the low-salinity domain. The fit with the fractional DS model (case 3) is also shown.





1030Figure 5. Cementation exponent m as a function of solution conductivity (equation (45); for the1031inverted parameters  $\alpha$  and b of the DS model for case 3, see Table 2). The dashed lines1032show the corresponding logarithmic fits.



1036Figure 6. Inverted parameter b in equation (45) as a function of the cation exchange capacity1037 $Q_v$  expressed in meq. cm<sup>-3</sup>. A logarithmic fit is also shown as dashed lines.





Figure 7b. Inverted parameter  $\alpha$  (model, case 3) as a function of the CEC expressed in meq.g<sup>-1</sup>. A linear and an exponential fit are also shown as dashed lines.



1041 Figure 8. Test cases. Measured and predicted rock conductivity as a function of solution1042 conductivity in the low-salinity domain.







