

# Morphological differences along a chronological gradient of urbanisation in an endemic insectivorous bird of New Zealand

Christophe Amiot, Clément Harmange, Weihong Ji

# ► To cite this version:

Christophe Amiot, Clément Harmange, Weihong Ji. Morphological differences along a chronological gradient of urbanisation in an endemic insectivorous bird of New Zealand. Urban Ecosystems, 2022, 10.1007/s11252-021-01156-w. hal-03629372

# HAL Id: hal-03629372 https://hal.science/hal-03629372

Submitted on 4 Apr 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Morphological differences along a chronological gradient of urbanisation in an endemic
2	insectivorous bird of New Zealand
3	
4	
5	Christophe Amiot <sup>1,2,3</sup> *, Clément Harmange <sup>1,2</sup> *, Weihong Ji <sup>3</sup>
6	*Shared authorship
7	
8	<sup>1</sup> LETG-Angers, UMR 6554 CNRS, Université d'Angers, 2 Bd Lavoisier, 49045 Angers France
9	<sup>2</sup> REHABS International Research Laboratory, CNRS-Université Lyon 1-Nelson Mandela
10	University, George Campus, Madiba drive 6531 George, South Africa
11	<sup>3</sup> Institute of Natural and Mathematical Sciences, Massey University, Auckland, New Zealand
12	
13	Corresponding author: <u>christophe.amiot@univ-angers.fr</u>
14	
15	Abstract
16	Urbanisation constitutes one of the most rapid human-induced environmental changes,
17	developing at the expense of natural and semi-natural habitats. It often implies alterations of
18	many abiotic and biotic factors and contributes to create new environmental conditions,
19	including temperature, food resources, competition and predation. Despite increasing
20	empirical evidence of intra-specific divergence in phenotypic traits (e.g., physiological,
21	behavioural or morphological) between urban and rural individuals, such patterns have often
22	remained disconnected from the underlying mechanisms involved. In the current study, we
23	tested for divergence in functional morphological traits that are related to feeding ecology
24	(i.e., bill morphology, body mass and condition) and/or to the locomotory performance in

25 escaping from predators (i.e., wing, tarsus and tail morphology, body mass and condition) 26 along a chronological gradient of urbanisation (old urban, recent urban and rural areas), 27 using the New Zealand fantail, an endemic insectivorous passerine species. We found 28 divergences in phenotypic traits related to bill morphology along the urban-rural gradient: 29 birds inhabiting the old urban area had stubbier bills (i.e., shorter, deeper and wider bills) 30 than those inhabiting the recent urban and rural areas. We did not detect any difference in 31 locomotion-related morphological traits. Our results suggest the urbanisation-induced 32 alteration in food resources may drive morphological divergence in bird populations. We 33 emphasized the need for mechanistic and experimental studies, with a particular focus on 34 resource-based mechanisms, to identify more precisely the morphological responses of 35 urban populations to changes in food composition, and the resulting implications for 36 communities in urban ecosystems.

37 Keywords: bill morphology, insectivorous bird, locomotion-related traits, New Zealand

38 fantail, resource-related traits, urbanisation gradient

39 Introduction

40 Human impact on natural environments has been considerably increasing around the planet, 41 triggering major changes in many ecosystems (Sanderson et al. 2002; Steffen et al. 2004; 42 Venter et al. 2016). The subsequent human-driven disturbances constitute major driving 43 forces leading to ecological and evolutionary phenotypic changes in wildlife populations, at a 44 rate outpacing the changes observed in natural contexts (Hendry et al. 2008; Darimont et al. 45 2009; Gaynor et al. 2018). Urbanisation is one of the most evident human-induced rapid 46 environmental changes, developing at the expense of natural or semi-natural (e.g., rural) 47 areas and wildlife habitats (Marzluff et al. 2001; Steffen et al. 2004; Sih et al. 2011; Gil and 48 Brumm 2014). The urbanisation process contributes to create new environmental conditions 49 (see Kowarik 2011), such as increases of temperatures, human-induced disturbances and 50 alterations, introductions of non-native species, resulting in new patterns of access to 51 resources such as supplementary feeding (Fuller et al. 2008; Galbraith et al. 2015) and of 52 predation pressure (Marzluff et al. 2001; Shochat et al. 2006; Gil and Brumm 2014). Such 53 human-induced alteration of environmental factors and ecological processes put the urban 54 development as one of the main threats to biodiversity (Maxwell et al. 2016). Understanding 55 responses of animals to urbanisation and to the subsequent changes in ecological processes 56 is thus crucial for evolutionary ecology and conservation in urban ecosystems (Chace and 57 Walsh 2006).

58 To date, extensive work has explored the effects of urbanisation on the functional 59 composition of communities, and especially for avian assemblages (Chace and Walsh 2006; 60 Marzluff 2017; Oliveira Hagen et al. 2017; Pithon et al. 2021). However, there is less 61 information about the intra-specific response to urbanisation (Liker et al. 2008; Caizergues et 62 al. 2018), which may be critical in predicting species colonisation or their long-term 63 persistence in urban ecosystems (Sih et al. 2011). Recently, Caizergues et al. (2018) 64 demonstrated the existence of an urban morphotype in the great tit *Parus major*, with urban 65 individuals being smaller (lower body size, shorter wing, tarsus and tail lengths) than their rural conspecifics (see also Liker et al. 2008 for similar patterns in the house sparrow Passer 66 67 domesticus). However, the strength and direction of such differences appear to vary 68 substantially between areas and/or species (Evans et al. 2009; Seress and Liker 2015; Sepp et 69 al. 2018), likely reflecting variation in the local ecological forces involved (Shochat et al. 70 2006). Therefore, studies addressing phenotypic changes in the light of mechanisms that 71 underlie the urbanisation process, which have so far often remained disconnected in the 72 literature (Chace and Walsh 2006; Shochat et al. 2006; Sol et al. 2013; Alberti et al. 2017), are

needed. The current study thus focuses on morphological traits, particularly suitable to
investigate phenotypic responses to urbanisation process (Yeh 2004; Shochat et al. 2006;
Liker et al. 2008), because of the rapid adaptive or plastic changes they may imply (e.g., in
ten generations in Amiot et al. 2007; see also Bitton and Graham 2015).

77 Alterations of food resources and predation pressure are two major forces underlying 78 the urbanisation process based on bottom-up and top-down effects respectively (Shochat 79 2004; Chace and Walsh 2006; Shochat et al. 2006; Chamberlain et al. 2009; Seress and Liker 80 2015). First, urban areas often provide a higher food availability and predictability through 81 supplementary feeding, communal waste and compost (Fuller et al. 2008; Galbraith et al. 82 2015; Seress and Liker 2015). Such increase in anthropogenic food and alteration in food 83 item composition may lead to changes in the diet of birds along rural-urban gradients (e.g., 84 Mennechez and Clergeau 2001; Scott et al. 2015). Feeding ecology is closely related with the 85 bill morphology in birds (Smith and Girman 2000): higher bill depth and width are positively 86 linked to the bill bite force (Herrel et al. 2005) and to the feeding efficiency according to the 87 hardness of food items (Durell et al. 1993; Smith and Girman 2000). Therefore, the 88 urbanisation-induced changes in the diet of birds may constitute a major force expected to 89 trigger changes in bill traits. The higher food availability and predictability in urban areas may 90 also paradoxically contribute to reduce the body mass or condition of urban birds (Shochat 91 2004; Demeyrier et al. 2017; Caizergues et al. 2018) as a result of the non-necessity to 92 constitute fat reserves (Cuthill et al. 2000) and of the higher concentration of competitors 93 resulting in a lower *per capita* amount of food items (Shochat 2004; Seress and Liker 2015).

94 Urban areas also favour the proliferation of predators (increased human-commensal
95 and/or non-native predator species, humans perceived as predators by animals: Frid and Dill
96 2002; Chace and Walsh 2006; Fischer et al. 2012). Predation pressure may thus induce

97 morphological adjustments (Gosler et al. 1995), adaptations (Swaddle and Lockwood 1998), 98 or exert a selection in birds based on the morphological traits that are directly related to the 99 aerodynamics or locomotory performance in escaping from predators (see Møller et al. 100 2009, 2013; van den Hout et al. 2010). For instance, wing shape may affect the take-off angle 101 and would affect the relative risk of predation for birds, while tarsus length would be 102 negatively linked to the relative risk of predation (longer tarsus facilitating the take-off leap) 103 (Swaddle and Lockwood 1998, 2003). High body mass or wing loading also impair flight 104 abilities such as manoeuvrability (Dietz et al. 2007; van den Hout et al. 2010), take-off 105 velocity and angle (Kullberg et al. 1996; Møller 2015), and reduce the flight speed (Burns and 106 Ydenberg 2002; Lindström et al. 2003), thus altering the antipredator response (Gosler et al. 107 1995; Lindström et al. 2003; Møller 2015). Body mass may also be affected in urban areas 108 because of changes in predation pressure through the trade-off starvation-predation risk, 109 which should favour a lower investment in antipredator strategies and a higher investment in 110 energy gain when predation risk relaxes (Gosler et al. 1995; MacLeod et al. 2006).

111 In the current study, we investigated morphological divergence in the New Zealand 112 (NZ) fantail Rhipidura fuliginosa, a bird species recently subjected to urbanisation. This 113 endemic insectivorous species is one of the most common and best-known passerine species 114 of New Zealand. It seems to survive well the urbanisation and is commonly observed in the 115 remnant forest patches of urbanised areas (Robertson 2007). Because of its recent colonisation by European societies (early 19<sup>th</sup> century, Duncan and Young 2000), New 116 117 Zealand offers an interesting context to study the response of birds to rapid novel 118 environmental conditions, such as urbanisation. Particularly, the recent introduction of 119 human-commensal mammalian predators (i.e., mainly ship rats Rattus rattus, domestic and 120 feral cats Felis catus, and common brushtail possums Trichosurus vulpecula, van Heezik et al.

2008; Aguilar and Farnworth 2013) by Europeans, originally absent in New Zealand, offers an
 interesting context to address our questions (see also Chace and Walsh 2006).

123 Based on a synchronic comparison of three areas depicting a chronological gradient 124 of urbanisation (old urban, recent urban and rural areas) in the Auckland region of New 125 Zealand, we (i) tested whether birds living under different degree of urban development 126 show morphological differences, and (2) interpreted the results through a functional and 127 mechanism-orientated standpoint. Since urbanisation was shown to affect the composition 128 of invertebrate communities in New Zealand (see Toft et al. 2019), we may reasonably 129 assume that composition of food items differs along the urbanisation gradient, as well as the 130 predation pressure, as indicated by the daily nest predation rates increasing from rural to 131 urban study areas (Table 1, see also van Heezik et al. 2010). Therefore, we tested the 132 hypothesis that birds differed in morphological traits that are related to feeding ecology (bill 133 morphology, body mass and condition) and/or to the locomotory performance in escaping 134 from predators (i.e., wing, tarsus and tail morphology, body mass and condition) (see Table 135 2). Urban birds were expected to have stubbier bills than their rural conspecifics (Badyaev et 136 al. 2008; Evans et al. 2009) as a result of a putative urbanisation-induced alteration in food 137 resources, and/or longer wings, tail and tarsus that increase escape flight abilities (see Table 138 2), as a result of the putative increased predation pressure. Morphological differences were 139 expected to be particularly pronounced between bird populations inhabiting the most 140 contrasted study areas, i.e., the preserved rural area and the old urban area.

141

142 Material and methods

143 Study areas

144 The study was conducted from 2011 to 2014 in the North Shore district of the Auckland

145 region, New Zealand (36.471°S 174,452°E). The study area is divided in three areas (Fig. 1), 146 depicting a chronological gradient of urbanisation since the early 1900s (old urban, recent 147 urban and rural areas). All these areas have preliminarily undergone a common historical 148 fragmentation of native forest, induced by agricultural development. Thus, they are all 149 composed of isolated remnant patches of mature native broadleaf-podocarp forest. These 150 patches vary from 1.74 to 113.6 ha (Myers 2005). Between-area divergences have emerged 151 with their differential history of urban development, through chronological settlements of 152 built-up areas around the pre-existing patches of native forest, without any further habitat 153 destruction (Myers 2005). Daily human visitation was assessed in each study area as an index 154 of human disturbance. First, for each patch, we calculated the number of people present 155 during the sampling session, divided by the total number of people reported in this patch 156 over all sampling sessions (i.e., at least 10). Then, an index was calculated for each study 157 area, as a mean of the values calculated for each single-patch within the area. Daily nest 158 predation rate (as a proxy of predation risk) was also assessed during the nesting period 159 between 2011 and 2014. Estimations were made using the Mayfield method (Mayfield 160 1961), providing an unbiased estimate for nests found at different developmental stages. 161 Nests were located and monitored from September to late January 2011-2014 to optimize 162 predation rate estimation.

163

164 Old urban area

The *old urban area* has been exposed to urban development since the early 1900s (Auckland Regional Council 2010a). This area is predominantly characterized by dense industrial and residential areas (431-1695 dwelling/km<sup>2</sup>, Grimes et al. 2006). Patches of native forest, associated with open vegetated spaces of short vegetation cover and low density of mature

trees, are part of the urban matrix. In this study area, forest patches were exposed to the higher level of human visitation reported (Table 1). Birds were also exposed to a high predation pressure, as indicated by the high nest predation rate (Table 1). In this area, the main predators were non-native and human-commensal mammals such as domestic and feral cats (Aguilar and Farnworth 2013), ship rats and the common brushtail possum. Native predators (morepork *Ninox novaeseelandiae* and swamp harriers *Circus approximans*) were less present in this area.

176

# 177 Recent urban area

The *recent urban area* differs from the previous one by its recent exposure to urban development (<25 years, Auckland Regional Council 2010a). It is characterized by a mix of recent urban infrastructures (i.e., both residential and industrial) with a lower dwelling density (2-431 dwelling/km<sup>2</sup>, Grimes et al. 2006). Vegetation is characterized by the remnant patches of native forest, associated with open vegetated spaces of mature trees and shrubs. Forest patches were exposed to a lower level of human visitation but have similar nest predation rates compared to the old urban area (Table 1).

185

# 186 Rural area

The *rural area* is part of the Tawharanui Regional Park established in 1973. It is characterized by pastures, patches of mature native forest and restored wetlands. Agricultural activity is mainly oriented toward extensive farming (sheep and beef) for maintenance of open grassland habitats (Auckland Regional Council 2010b). Management is based on wildlife conservation through the restoration of native habitats and integration of biodiversity in farming practices. However, it is an open recreational park with campground, beach access

and walkways in native forest remnants, resulting in intermediate level of human visitation (Table 1). The establishment of predator-exclusion fence in 2004 associated with pest control, has resulted in a lower density of predation from terrestrial mammals (Table 1), though avian predators remain present (e.g., morepork and swamp harrier). This fence has also contributed to reduce the area access for humans to a single road or aquatic access.

198

199 Data collection

200 Birds were captured at multiple sampling sites spread within the forest patches that cover 201 each of the study areas (i.e., old urban, recent urban and rural areas), to ensure that 202 morphological measurements are representative of subpopulations inhabiting each study 203 area (number of sampling sites within the old urban area = 13; recent urban = 10; rural = 9). 204 Captures were conducted using mist-nets, deployed from 6 am to 12 pm, from September to 205 late February during the period 2011-2014. Once captured, each bird was measured and 206 ringed with an alphanumeric metal ring purchased from the New Zealand Department of 207 Conservation. Seven morphological traits were measured. Bill length (from the bill base to 208 the tip), bill width and height (at the base), and tarsus length (length of the tarsometatarsal 209 bone) were measured with a precision of 0.1 mm. Tail length (from the distal end of the 210 uropygial gland to the tip of the largest rectrix), wing chord length (from the carpal joint to 211 the tip of the longest primary feather or feather sheath) were measured with a precision of 212 0.5 mm. Bird body mass was measured with a precision of 0.01 g using a high-precision 213 balance. Bird sex was determined using molecular sexing, following the method of 214 Fridolfsson and Ellegren (1999), from blood samples collected from the brachial vein. A total 215 of 27, 11 and 34 mature individuals were captured and measured respectively in the old 216 urban, recent urban and rural areas.

217

# 218 Index calculation

219 To determine the body condition of birds, we first calculated a synthetic index of body size 220 using the first axis (PCI) of a principal component analysis performed on the wing, tarsus and 221 tail lengths (see Chastel and Kersten 2002; Geslin et al. 2004). The first axis explained 56.27% 222 of the overall variance in body size. Then, a body condition index was calculated as the 223 residual mass from a linear regression performed between body mass and body size index 224 (see Jakob et al. 1996; Chastel and Kersten 2002; Geslin et al. 2004). Finally, we also 225 calculated ratios of bill sizes (as proxies of bill shape) and a ratio of body mass/wing chord as 226 a proxy of wing loading.

227

# 228 Statistical analyses

229 To test for between-area (old urban, recent urban and rural) differences in morphological 230 traits, we used a multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA). A first MANOVA was 231 performed testing for the interacting effects of area and sex on morphological traits. There 232 was no interaction between area and sex on morphological traits (MANOVA;  $F_{2,39} = 0.929$ , p = 233 0.565). Sex was thus excluded from the final design of the MANOVA. Statistical significance of 234 the F-statistic was assessed using a Pillai test. Then, ANOVAs were performed to identify the 235 significant morphological metrics contributing to between-area differences. When needed, 236 Tukey's multiple comparison tests were finally performed to reveal significant differences 237 between modalities (i.e., areas). Since we expected differences in body mass along the 238 urbanisation gradient that needed to be tested (see predictions in introduction), and 239 because some dependent variables already integrate body mass in their calculation (e.g., 240 wing loading, body condition index), body mass was first implemented as a dependent variable rather than as a covariate in the analyses. The potential influence of the body mass of the birds on our results was then assessed as a complementary multivariate analysis of covariance, performed on the morphological traits that have previously showed significant between-area differences (see Online Resource 1). All analyses were performed using R software (R Core Team 2019).

246

247 Results

248 The multivariate analysis of variance showed between-area differences in morphological 249 traits (MANOVA;  $F_{2.57}$  = 2.651, P =0.001). All bill traits (i.e., bill length, depth and width) 250 differed between areas (Fig. 2, Table 3). In the old urban area, birds had shorter (by 16–19%), 251 but deeper (+ 11–13%) and wider (+ 11–17%) bills, than birds inhabiting the recent urban 252 and rural areas (Fig.2a-c, Tables 3, 4). Bill ratios (as proxy of bill shape) also varied between 253 areas (Fig. 2d-e, Table 3). The significantly greater ratios of bill depth / bill length (+ 40%) and 254 bill width / bill length (+ 43-54%) observed in birds inhabiting the old urban area were 255 suggestive of stubbier bill shape in this population, relatively to recent urban and rural 256 populations (Fig. 2d-e, Tables 3, 4). All these differences remained consistent when 257 controlling for the body mass of the birds (Online Resource 1). No between-area difference 258 was detected for the ratio of bill depth / bill width (Fig. 2f, Table 3). Overall, patterns of 259 morphological differences in bill traits remained consistent, with differences observed 260 between the old urban area and the two others (recent urban and rural), while no 261 differences were detected between the recent urban and rural areas (Table 4). Finally, we did 262 not detect any difference in bird condition indices nor in locomotory morphology (i.e., wing 263 chord, tail and tarsus length) (Table 3).

264

#### 265 **Discussion**

We found between-area differences in morphological traits in the insectivorous NZ fantail species, along a gradient of urbanisation. Our results thus support that the anthropogenic process of urbanisation can induce intra-specific phenotypic changes in bird populations. Particularly, we found empirical evidence of divergence in bill size and bill ratios along the urban-rural gradient, while we did not detect any difference in bird condition or locomotionrelated traits (i.e., wing-, tarsus- and tail-related metrics). We discussed these results through a mechanism-orientated and functional approach.

273

### 274 Morphological divergence and resource-based mechanisms

275 We found differences in bill size and ratios along the urbanisation gradient, that remained 276 consistent when controlling for the body mass of the birds (see Online Resource 1). In the old 277 urban area, birds had stubbier bills (i.e., shorter, deeper and wider bills, and higher ratios of 278 bill depth/length and bill width/length) than in the two other areas (i.e., recent urban and 279 rural). These results are consistent with Evans et al. (2009) showing that urban blackbirds 280 Turdus merula, tended to have stubbier bills than rural ones. Given that bill size and shape 281 are closely related to feeding ecology in birds (Smith and Girman 2000; Corbin 2008), such 282 changes in bill traits may result from urbanisation-induced changes in food resources 283 (Shochat 2004; Shochat et al. 2006; Seress and Liker 2015).

Deeper and wider bills are known to favour a greater bite force (Herrel et al. 2005; Badyaev et al. 2008), and could therefore reflect a shift in the between-area composition of the available food items (Durell et al. 1993; Badyaev et al. 2008). Badyaev et al. (2008) showed that urban house finches *Carpodacus mexicanus* mainly feed on harder and larger seeds and adapted towards deeper and wider bills procuring a greater bite force, compared

289 to individuals living in semi-natural areas (Hulscher and Ens 1991; Durell et al. 1993). This 290 hypothesis is also supported by the clear shift reported in the composition of insects 291 communities between old growth forests and suburban areas in New Zealand (Toft et al. 292 2019), but also by a potential urbanisation-induced increase in invertebrate body size (e.g., 293 spiders in Lowe et al. 2014) likely favouring stubbier-billed individuals. Stubbier bills could 294 also be more advantageous in urban areas with a hard ground surface (Cuthill et al. 1992; 295 Evans et al. 2009). Kleindorfer et al. (2006) demonstrated that lowland Darwin's small ground 296 finches Geospiza fuliginosa feeding mainly seeds on the ground by picking and/or chipping 297 had shorter and deeper bills than highland individuals feeding more on insects in short 298 vegetation by gleaning and/or sliding. Disparity in bill morphology in the NZ fantail could, 299 therefore, result from a shift of substrate use and foraging strategies, from hawking, gleaning 300 and flushing in native environments (Gill 1980; Gill et al. 1983), to sallying and picking in 301 urban areas. This hypothesis is supported by Møller (2009) showing that birds persisting in 302 urban areas display high rates of feeding or foraging innovations. Bill divergence may also be 303 explained by temperature differences (thermoregulation hypothesis, see LaBarbera et al. 304 2017), higher in urban than in rural areas (according to the urban heat island effect, see 305 Shochat et al. 2006; Kowarik 2011). However, we are less confident in this hypothesis as an 306 increased urban temperature would have favoured lower bill depth and width (LaBarbera et 307 al. 2017), which contrasts with our results. Since birds were measured over the years 2011-308 2014, morphological traits may have varied over the study period. However, we believe that 309 this did not alter our results and conclusions, since we did not detect any influence of time 310 for most of the morphological trait measurements (Online Resource 2), except for bill depth 311 (though this is not the case for bill ratios) for which a very slight effect was detected (i.e., 312 coefficient = -0.08 mm, while the precision of bill measurements was 0.1 mm).

Although differences in body mass might also be expected as a result of an alteration of food resources (Cuthill et al. 2000; Dulisz et al. 2016; Salleh Hudin et al. 2016; Caizergues et al. 2018), we did not detect any difference in body mass or body condition. This could result from the maintenance of a balanced trade-off in food acquisition-predation risk, consistent with the predicted higher abundance of food resources counterbalanced by the higher level of predation risk observed in urban areas.

319

### 320 Morphology and predation pressure

321 Although differences in locomotory morphology (i.e., wing-, tarsus- and tail-related traits) 322 might have been expected along the urbanisation gradient as a result of alterations in 323 predation risk (Swaddle and Lockwood 1998; Shochat et al. 2006; Møller et al. 2009, 2013), 324 we did not detect any difference between study areas. It does not imply that birds did not 325 respond to the changes in predation risk, but that they may display other regulatory 326 responses such as adjustments in their internal state (i.e., physiological and psychological 327 states, see Nathan et al. 2008) rather than biomechanical adjustments (see van der Veen and 328 Lindström 2000; Møller 2009; Møller and Ibáñez-Álamo 2012; Møller et al. 2013). For 329 instance, Witter et al. (1994) suggested that birds would adjust their decision-making in 330 escape behaviour according to their morphology at a given time (see also Sol et al. 2018). 331 This hypothesis is also supported by the many other behavioural responses reported in New 332 Zealand passerines to predation risk from introduced mammals (e.g., adjustment of parental 333 investment in Massaro et al. 2008; adjustment of nest site selection in Lawrence et al. 2017). 334 Alternatively, the high predation risk detected in the current study for nests in urban areas 335 does not necessarily relate to high predation risk for adults, as nest and adult predation 336 often imply different predator species (Marzluff et al. 2001; Seress and Liker 2015). Adults

could, therefore, be subjected to a relaxed predation pressure in urban areas, thus reducing
 top-down effects (see Shochat 2004; Seress and Liker 2015).

339 Overall, our results are not typical of the urban morphotype described in other bird 340 species, which have generally smaller locomotion-related traits (shorter wing, tarsus and tail 341 lengths) than their rural conspecifics (Liker et al. 2008; Dulisz et al. 2016; Caizergues et al. 342 2018). This could results from a locality-specific process of urbanisation, influencing 343 ecological processes differentially between Europe and New Zealand (see Evans et al. 2009). 344 Indeed, New Zealand context differs by the predation pressure which seems to be higher in 345 urban than rural areas (see also Chace and Walsh 2006). Secondly, native birds are naive 346 about introduced mammalian predators (but also introduced competitors) thus affecting the 347 mechanisms involved in response to predation (see Sih et al. 2010). Thirdly, the urbanisation 348 process of Auckland has occurred by keeping intact the native forest remnant patches in 349 urban areas (see Ramalho and Hobbs 2012 for a review on such urbanisation modes). These 350 remnants forest patches may play a key role (e.g., conservation in indigenous invertebrates, 351 Toft et al. 2019), buffering the effect of urbanisation, thus reducing pressures acting on 352 morphological traits (Chace and Walsh 2006; Kowarik 2011).

353

# 354 Conclusion and perspectives

To conclude, morphological divergence in bill traits between birds inhabiting the old urban area versus the recent urban and rural areas, provides empirical evidence of a morphological response to the urbanisation process, potentially supported by resource-based explanations. The absence of morphological divergence between recent urban (< 25 years) and rural areas may indicate that morphological response is not linearly related with time since urbanisation (see also Evans et al. 2009). It therefore suggests that a time-lag of 25-60 years is necessary

361 to enter in the phase of phenotypic adjustment to urbanisation (see Evans et al. 2010). Such 362 rapid responses in bill morphology to urbanisation, already suggested in Evans et al. (2009). 363 They are also consistent with morphological responses of invasive species to novel 364 environments and local conditions in food resources (Amiot et al. 2007), and could thus be 365 critical for the ecology and evolution of species subjected to rapid environmental changes 366 (Benkman 1993; Møller 2009; Sih et al. 2011). While human-induced alterations of resources 367 may influence interspecific interactions and cause cascading effects on ecological networks 368 (Thompson 1998; Auer and Martin 2013), the observed morphological responses in bill traits 369 may have major implications on trophic interactions and ecological dynamics of 370 communities. Further mechanistic studies, consisting in well-replicated experimental 371 assessment of the urbanisation-induced changes in resource composition, and subsequent 372 effects on the diet and morphology of urban birds, is critically needed. They should 373 contribute to better understand implications of urbanisation processes for ecological 374 communities.

375

#### 376 **References**

377 Aguilar GD, Farnworth MJ (2013) Distribution characteristics of unmanaged cat colonies over 378 a 20 year period in Auckland, New Zealand. Applied Geography 37:160–167 379 Alberti M, Marzluff J, Hunt VM (2017) Urban driven phenotypic changes: empirical 380 observations and theoretical implications for eco-evolutionary feedback. 381 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 372:20160029 382 Amiot C, Lorvelec O, Mandon-Dalger I, et al (2007) Rapid morphological divergence of 383 introduced Red-whiskered Bulbuls Pycnonotus jocosus in contrasting environments. 384 Ibis 149:482-489

- Auckland Regional Council (2010a) A brief history of Auckland's urban form. Auckland, New
   Zealand: Auckland Regional Council.
- Auckland Regional Council (2010b) Regional Parks Management Plan. Auckland, New
   Zealand: Auckland Regional Council.
- 389 Auer SK, Martin TE (2013) Climate change has indirect effects on resource use and overlap
- 390 among coexisting bird species with negative consequences for their reproductive
- 391 success. Global Change Biology 19:411–419. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12062
- 392 Badyaev AV, Young RL, Oh KP, Addison C (2008) Evolution on a local scale: developmental,
- 393 functional, and genetic bases of divergence in bill form and associated changes in
- 394 song structure between adjacent habitats. Evolution: International Journal of Organic
- 395 Evolution 62:1951–1964
- 396 Benkman CW (1993) Adaptation to single resources and the evolution of crossbill (Loxia)
- diversity. Ecological monographs 63:305–325
- 398 Bitton P-P, Graham BA (2015) Change in wing morphology of the European starling during
- 399 and after colonization of North America. Journal of Zoology 295:254–260
- 400 Burns JG, Ydenberg RC (2002) The effects of wing loading and gender on the escape flights of
- 401 least sandpipers (Calidris minutilla) and western sandpipers (Calidris mauri).
- 402 Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 52:128–136
- 403 Caizergues AE, Grégoire A, Charmantier A (2018) Urban versus forest ecotypes are not
- 404 explained by divergent reproductive selection. Proceedings of the Royal Society B:
- 405 Biological Sciences 285:20180261
- 406 Chace JF, Walsh JJ (2006) Urban effects on native avifauna: a review. Landscape and urban
- 407 planning 74:46–69
- 408 Chamberlain DE, Cannon AR, Toms MP, et al (2009) Avian productivity in urban landscapes: a

- 409 review and meta-analysis. Ibis 151:1–18
- 410 Chastel O, Kersten M (2002) Brood size and body condition in the House Sparrow Passer
- 411 domesticus: the influence of brooding behaviour. Ibis 144:284–292.
- 412 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1474-919X.2002.00062.x
- 413 Corbin CE (2008) Foraging ecomorphology within North American flycatchers and a test of
- 414 concordance with southern African species. Journal of Ornithology 149:83–95
- 415 Cuthill IC, Maddocks SA, Weall CV, Jones EKM (2000) Body mass regulation in response to
- 416 changes in feeding predictability and overnight energy expenditure. Behav Ecol
- 417 11:189–195. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/11.2.189
- 418 Cuthill IC, Witter M, Clarke L (1992) The function of bill-wiping. Animal Behaviour 43:103–
- 419 115. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-3472(05)80076-4
- 420 Demeyrier V, Charmantier A, Lambrechts MM, Grégoire A (2017) Disentangling drivers of
- 421 reproductive performance in urban great tits: a food supplementation experiment.
- 422 Journal of Experimental Biology 220:4195–4203
- 423 Dietz MW, Piersma T, Hedenström A, Brugge M (2007) Intraspecific variation in avian pectoral
- 424 muscle mass: constraints on maintaining manoeuvrability with increasing body mass.
- 425 Functional Ecology 21:317–326
- 426 Dulisz B, Nowakowski JJ, Górnik J (2016) Differences in biometry and body condition of the
- 427 House Sparrow (Passer domesticus) in urban and rural population during breeding
- 428 season. Urban Ecosystems 19:1307–1324
- 429 Durell SLVD, Goss-Custard JD, Caldow RWG (1993) Sex-related differences in diet and feeding
- 430 method in the oystercatcher Haematopus ostralegus. Journal of Animal Ecology
- 431 62:205–215
- 432 Evans KL, Gaston KJ, Sharp SP, et al (2009) The effect of urbanisation on avian morphology

433	and latitudinal gradients in body size. Oikos 118:251–259
434	Evans KL, Hatchwell BJ, Parnell M, Gaston KJ (2010) A conceptual framework for the
435	colonisation of urban areas: the blackbird Turdus merula as a case study. Biological
436	Reviews 85:643–667
437	Fischer JD, Cleeton SH, Lyons TP, Miller JR (2012) Urbanization and the Predation Paradox:
438	The Role of Trophic Dynamics in Structuring Vertebrate Communities. BioScience
439	62:809–818. https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2012.62.9.6
440	Frid A, Dill L (2002) Human-caused Disturbance Stimuli as a Form of Predation Risk.
441	Conservation Ecology 6:11–26. https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-00404-060111
442	Fridolfsson A-K, Ellegren H (1999) A simple and universal method for molecular sexing of
443	non-ratite birds. Journal of avian biology 30:116–121
444	Fuller RA, Warren PH, Armsworth PR, et al (2008) Garden bird feeding predicts the structure
445	of urban avian assemblages. Diversity and Distributions 14:131–137.
446	https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2007.00439.x
447	Galbraith JA, Beggs JR, Jones DN, Stanley MC (2015) Supplementary feeding restructures
448	urban bird communities. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
449	112:E2648-E2657
450	Geslin T, Chastel O, Eybert M-C (2004) Sex-specific patterns in body condition and
451	testosterone level changes in a territorial migratory bird: the Bluethroat Luscinia
452	svecica. Ibis 146:632–641
453	Gil D, Brumm H (2014) Avian urban ecology. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
454	Gill BJ (1980) Abundance, feeding, and morphology of passerine birds at Kowhai Bush,
455	Kaikoura, New Zealand. New Zealand journal of zoology 7:235–246
456	Gill BJ, Powlesland RG, Powlesland MH (1983) Laying seasons of three insectivorous song-

- 457 birds at Kowhai Bush, Kaikoura. Notornis 30:81–85
- 458 Gosler AG, Greenwood JJ, Perrins C (1995) Predation risk and the cost of being fat. Nature 459 377:621–623
- 460 Grimes A, Mitchell I, Smith V (2006) Housing supply in the Auckland Region 2000–2005.
- 461 Wellington: Centre for Housing Research Aotearoa New Zealand.
- 462 http://www.hnzc.co.nz/chr/pdfs/housing-supply-in-the-auckland-region-2000–
  463 2005.pdf.
- 464 Herrel A, Podos J, Huber SK, Hendry AP (2005) Bite performance and morphology in a
- 465 population of Darwin's finches: implications for the evolution of beak shape.
- 466 Functional Ecology 19:43–48
- 467 Hulscher JB, Ens BJ (1991) Is the bill of the male Oystercatcher a better tool for attacking
- 468 mussels than the bill of the female? Netherlands Journal of Zoology 42:85–100
- 469 Jakob EM, Marshall SD, Uetz GW (1996) Estimating fitness: a comparison of body condition
- 470 indices. Oikos 77:61–67
- 471 Kleindorfer SM, Chapman TW, Winkler H, Sulloway F (2006) Adaptive divergence in
- 472 contiguous populations of Darwin's small ground finch (Geospiza fuliginosa).
- 473 Evolutionary Ecology Research 8:357–372
- 474 Kowarik I (2011) Novel urban ecosystems, biodiversity, and conservation. Environmental
- 475 Pollution 159:1974–1983. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.02.022
- 476 Kullberg C, Fransson T, Jakobsson S (1996) Impaired predator evasion in fat blackcaps (Sylvia
- 477 atricapilla). Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B: Biological sciences
  478 263:1671–1675
- 479 LaBarbera K, Hayes KR, Marsh KJ, Lacey EA (2017) Complex relationships among
- 480 environmental conditions and bill morphology in a generalist songbird. Evolutionary

481 Ecology 31:707–724

- 482 Land Information New Zealand (2019). https://www.linz.govt.nz. [Accessed 1 May 2020].
- 483 Lawrence C, Paris D, Briskie JV, Massaro M (2017) When the neighbourhood goes bad: can
- 484 endangered black robins adjust nest-site selection in response to the risk of an
- 485 invasive predator? Animal Conservation 20:321–330
- 486 Liker A, Papp Z, Bókony V, Lendvai ÁZ (2008) Lean birds in the city: body size and condition of
- 487 house sparrows along the urbanization gradient. Journal of Animal Ecology 77:789–
- 488 795. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2008.01402.x
- 489 Lindström KM, Van der Veen IT, Legault B-A, Lundström JO (2003) Activity and predator
- 490 escape performance of common greenfinches Carduelis chloris infected with sindbis
- 491 virus. Ardea 91:103–111
- 492 Lowe EC, Wilder SM, Hochuli DF (2014) Urbanisation at multiple scales is associated with
- 493 larger size and higher fecundity of an orb-weaving spider. PLoS One 9:e105480
- 494 MacLeod R, Barnett P, Clark J, Cresswell W (2006) Mass-dependent predation risk as a
- 495 mechanism for house sparrow declines? Biology Letters 2:43–46
- 496 Marzluff JM (2017) A decadal review of urban ornithology and a prospectus for the future.
- 497 Ibis 159:1–13
- Marzluff JM, Bowman R, Donnelly R (2001) Avian ecology and conservation in an urbanizing
   world. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Norwell.
- 500 Massaro M, Starling-Windhof A, Briskie JV, Martin TE (2008) Introduced mammalian
- 501 predators induce behavioural changes in parental care in an endemic New Zealand
  502 bird. PLoS One 3(6):e2331
- 503 Maxwell SL, Fuller RA, Brooks TM, Watson JE (2016) Biodiversity: The ravages of guns, nets
- and bulldozers. Nature 536:143–145

505	Mayfield H (1961) Nesting success calculated from exposure. The Wilson Bulletin 73:255–261
506	Mennechez G, Clergeau P (2001) Settlement of breeding European Starlings in urban areas:
507	Importance of lawns vs. anthropogenic wastes. In: Marzluff JM, Bowman R, Donnelly
508	R (eds) Avian ecology and conservation in an urbanizing world. Kluwer Academic
509	Publishers, Norwell, pp 275–287.
510	Møller AP (2015) Birds. In: Cooper WEJ, Blumstein DT (eds) Escaping from predators: An
511	integrative view of escape decisions and refuge use (pp. 88–112). Cambridge, UK:
512	Cambridge University Press.
513	Møller AP (2009) Successful city dwellers: a comparative study of the ecological
514	characteristics of urban birds in the Western Palearctic. Oecologia 159:849–858
515	Møller AP, Couderc G, Nielsen JT (2009) Viability selection on prey morphology by a
516	generalist predator. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 22:1234–1241.
517	https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2009.01736.x
518	Møller AP, Ibáñez-Álamo JD (2012) Escape behaviour of birds provides evidence of predation
519	being involved in urbanization. Animal Behaviour 84:341–348.
520	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2012.04.030
521	Møller AP, Vágási CI, Pap PL (2013) Risk-taking and the evolution of mechanisms for rapid
522	escape from predators. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 26:1143–1150
523	Myers S (2005) North Shore City Ecological Survey-A Survey of Sites of Ecological Significance
524	in Tamaki and Rodney Ecological Districts. Auckland, New Zealand: Auckland Regional
525	Council.
526	Nathan R, Getz WM, Revilla E, et al (2008) A movement ecology paradigm for unifying
527	organismal movement research. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

528 105:19052-19059

- 529 Oliveira Hagen E, Hagen O, Ibáñez-Álamo JD, et al (2017) Impacts of urban areas and their
- 530 characteristics on avian functional diversity. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 5:1–15

531 Pithon JA, Duflot R, Beaujouan V, et al (2021) Grasslands provide diverse opportunities for

- 532 bird species along an urban-rural gradient. Urban Ecosyst.
- 533 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11252-021-01114-6
- 534 R Core Team (2019) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
- 535 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria
- 536 Ramalho CE, Hobbs RJ (2012) Time for a change: dynamic urban ecology. Trends in ecology &
- 537 evolution 27:179–188
- 538 Robertson CJR (2007) Atlas of bird distribution in New Zealand 1999-2004. The Ornithological
- 539 Society of New Zealand, Wellington, New Zealand.
- 540 Salleh Hudin N, Strubbe D, Teyssier A, et al (2016) Predictable food supplies induce plastic

541 shifts in avian scaled body mass. Behavioral Ecology 27:1833–1840

- 542 Sanderson EW, Jaiteh M, Levy MA, et al (2002) The human footprint and the last of the wild:
- 543 the human footprint is a global map of human influence on the land surface, which
- 544 suggests that human beings are stewards of nature, whether we like it or not.
- 545 BioScience 52:891–904
- 546 Scott P, Duncan P, Green JA (2015) Food preference of the Black-headed Gull Chroicocephalus
- 547 ridibundus differs along a rural–urban gradient. Bird Study 62:56–63.
- 548 https://doi.org/10.1080/00063657.2014.984655
- 549 Sepp T, McGraw KJ, Kaasik A, Giraudeau M (2018) A review of urban impacts on avian life-
- 550 history evolution: Does city living lead to slower pace of life? Global change biology
- 551 24:1452–1469
- 552 Seress G, Liker A (2015) Habitat urbanization and its effects on birds. Acta Zoologica

- 553 Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae 61:373–408
- 554 Shochat E (2004) Credit or debit? Resource input changes population dynamics of city-slicker
- 555 birds. Oikos 106:622–626. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2004.13159.x
- 556 Shochat E, Warren PS, Faeth SH, et al (2006) From patterns to emerging processes in
- 557 mechanistic urban ecology. Trends in ecology & evolution 21:186–191
- 558 Sih A, Bolnick DI, Luttbeg B, et al (2010) Predator–prey naïveté, antipredator behavior, and
- the ecology of predator invasions. Oikos 119:610–621.
- 560 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2009.18039.x
- 561 Sih A, Ferrari MCO, Harris DJ (2011) Evolution and behavioural responses to human-induced
- 562 rapid environmental change. Evolutionary Applications 4:367–387.
- 563 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2010.00166.x
- 564 Smith TB, Girman DJ (2000) Reaching new adaptive peaks: evolution of alternative bill forms
- 565 in an African finch. Adaptive genetic variation in the wild 139–156
- 566 Sol D, Lapiedra O, González-Lagos C (2013) Behavioural adjustments for a life in the city.
- 567 Animal Behaviour 85:1101–1112
- 568 Sol D, Maspons J, Gonzalez-Voyer A, et al (2018) Risk-taking behavior, urbanization and the
- 569 pace of life in birds. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 72:59
- 570 Steffen W, Sanderson RA, Tyson PD, et al (2005) Global change and the earth system: a planet 571 under pressure. Berlin/Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- 572 Swaddle JP, Lockwood R (1998) Morphological adaptations to predation risk in passerines.
- 573 Journal of Avian Biology 29:172–176
- 574 Swaddle JP, Lockwood R (2003) Wingtip shape and flight performance in the European
- 575 Starling Sturnus vulgaris. Ibis 145:457–464. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1474-
- 576 919X.2003.00189.x

577	Thomas AL, Balmford A (1995) How natural selection shapes birds' tails. The American
578	Naturalist 146:848–868
579	Thompson JN (1998) Rapid evolution as an ecological process. Trends in ecology & evolution
580	13:329–332
581	Toft RJ, Ford DE, Sullivan JJ, Stewart GH (2019) Invertebrates of an urban old growth forest
582	are different from forest restoration and garden communities. New Zealand Journal
583	of Ecology 43:1–10
584	van den Hout PJ, Mathot KJ, Maas LRM, Piersma T (2010) Predator escape tactics in birds:
585	linking ecology and aerodynamics. Behav Ecol 21:16–25.
586	https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arp146
587	van der Veen IT, Lindström KM (2000) Escape flights of yellowhammers and greenfinches:
588	more than just physics. Animal Behaviour 59:593–601
589	van Heezik Y, Ludwig K, Whitwell S, McLean IG (2008) Nest survival of birds in an urban
590	environment in New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 32:155–165
591	van Heezik Y, Smyth A, Adams A, Gordon J (2010) Do domestic cats impose an unsustainable
592	harvest on urban bird populations? Biological Conservation 143:121–130
593	Venter O, Sanderson EW, Magrach A, et al (2016) Sixteen years of change in the global
594	terrestrial human footprint and implications for biodiversity conservation. Nat
595	Commun 7:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12558
596	Witter MS, Cuthill IC, Bonser RHC (1994) Experimental investigations of mass-dependent
597	predation risk in the European starling, Sturnus vulgaris. Animal Behaviour 48:201–
598	222. https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.1994.1227
599	Yeh PJ (2004) Rapid Evolution of a Sexually Selected Trait Following Population Establishment
600	in a Novel Habitat. Evolution 58:166–174. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-
	25

# 601 3820.2004.tb01583.x

- 602 Yom-Tov Y, Yom-Tov S, Wright J, et al (2006) Recent changes in body weight and wing length
- among some British passerine birds. Oikos 112:91–101.
- 604 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2006.14183.x

605

**Table 1** Estimates of daily human visitation (proxy of anthropogenic disturbance) and daily

608 nest predation rate on the three study areas (old urban, recent urban and rural areas).

Area	Daily human frequentation	Daily nest predation rate
Old urban	0.436	0.022
Recent urban	0.181	0.021
Rural	0.275	0.014

612	Table 2 Expected divergence in morphological traits as a response to urbanisation, and the
613	underlying mechanisms involved.

Morphological traits	Mechanisms involved	References		
<i>Bill morphology</i> - Bill length - Bill width - Bill depth - Bill ratio	Bill size and shape are proxy of the bill bite force and related to the bird feeding ecology (e.g., diet)	(Durell et al. 1993; Smith and Girman 2000; Herrel et al. 2005)		
<i>Bird condition</i> - Body mass - Body condition index	Availability and predictability of food resources influence the bird body mass/condition. Body mass depends on the predation risk, mediated by the trade-off starvation-predation risk Body mass is negatively related to	(Cuthill et al. 2000; Caizergues et al. 2018) (Gosler et al. 1995; MacLeod et al. 2006) (Witter et al. 1994:		
	flight ability in response to predation risk	Kullberg et al. 1996; Møller 2015)		
<i>Wing</i> - Wing chord - Wing loading	Wing loading is negatively and wing length positively related to escape flight abilities	(Burns and Ydenberg 2002; Yom-Tov et al. 2006)		
<i>Tail</i> - Tail length	Tail length is positively related to escape lift and flight maneuverability	(Thomas and Balmford 1995)		
<i>Tarsus</i> - Tarsus length	Longer tarsus should favour take- off leap and reduce the risk of predation	(Swaddle and Lockwood 1998)		

**Table 3** Analyses of variance testing for between-area (old urban, recent urban and rural areas) differences in morphological traits, and group mean estimates. The measurements of the bill, wing, tail and tarsus are expressed in millimetres. Body mass is expressed in grams. Significant p-values are indicated in bold.

	Old urban (N=27)		Recent urban (N=11)		Rural (N=34)		Area comparison	
Morphological trait	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	F	Р
<u>Bill morphology</u>								
Bill length (L)	9.56	1.73	11.81	0.44	11.42	0.82	22.192	<0.001
Bill depth (D)	2.62	0.26	2.35	0.18	2.32	0.19	6.490	0.004
Bill width(W)	3.66	0.68	3.06	0.36	3.22	0.35	10.819	<0.001
D/L ratio	0.28	0.06	0.20	0.02	0.20	0.02	20.097	<0.001
W/L ratio	0.40	0.11	0.26	0.03	0.28	0.04	24.355	<0.001
D/W ratio	0.71	0.14	0.78	0.11	0.72	0.09	1.471	0.241
Body condition								
Body Mass (Wt)	7.83	0.50	7.72	0.51	7.93	0.71	1.147	0.328
Body condition index	-0.076	0.476	-0.031	0.551	0.071	0.556	0.599	0.554
Locomotory morphology								
Wing chord (Wg)	71.52	2.54	69.54	2.38	71.36	2.91	1.634	0.207
Wt/Wg ratio	0.109	0.006	0.108	0.006	0.110	0.006	0.512	0.603
Tail length	94.11	5.26	93.27	4.29	94.56	6.05	0.009	0.991
Tarsus length	21.30	1.03	21.29	0.99	21.51	0.83	0.644	0.530

**Table 4** Tukey post-hoc multicomparisons testing for significant pairwise differences between each modality (i.e., areas). Significant p-values are indicated in bold.

			Group		
Morphological trait	Contrast	Mean diff.	inf	sup	Р
	Old Urban-Rural	-1.863	-2.62	2.92	<0.001
Bill length (L)	Old Urban-Recent Urban	-2.263	-3.31	-1.22	<0.001
	Rural-Recent Urban	-0.400	-1.42	0.62	0.616
	Old Urban-Rural	0.212	-0.07	0.35	0.001
Bill depth (D)	Old Urban-Recent Urban	0.177	-0.02	0.37	0.083
	Rural-Recent Urban	-0.035	-0.22	0.15	0.898
	Old Urban-Rural	0.437	0.12	0.75	0.004
Bill width (W)	Old Urban-Recent Urban	0.602	0.15	1.05	0.006
	Rural-Recent Urban	0.164	-0.27	0.6	0.637
	Old Urban-Rural	0.073	0.05	0.10	<0.001
D/L ratio	Old Urban-Recent Urban	0.079	0.04	0.12	<0.001
	Rural-Recent Urban	0.006	-0.03	0.04	0.929
	Old Urban-Rural	0.117	0.07	0.16	<0.001
W/L ratio	Old Urban-Recent Urban	0.144	0.08	0.21	<0.001
	Rural-Recent Urban	0.026	-0.04	0.09	0.595
	Old Urban-Rural	-0.012	-0.08	0.06	0.906
D/W ratio	Old Urban-Recent Urban	-0.066	-0.17	0.03	0.266
	Rural-Recent Urban	-0.053	-0.15	0.04	0.394

Figure captions:

**Figure 1** Location and satellite images (Land Information New Zealand 2019) of the three study areas in the the North Shore district of the Auckland region, New Zealand (36.471°S 174,452°E).

**Figure 2** Bill morphology of the New Zealand fantail, along a chronological gradient of urbanisation (old urban, recent urban and rural areas). Bill traits considered are simple morphological traits (i.e., bill length, depth and width) and ratios as proxy of bill shape (D/L: bill depth/length; W/L: bill width/length; D/W: bill depth/width).





3 Rural area



2 Recent urban area



1 Old urban area

Figure 1



Figure 2