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# Calabi-Yau versus quasi-Hamiltonian structures 

Tristan Bozec, ${ }^{*}$ Damien Calaque, Sarah Scherotzke ${ }^{\ddagger}$


#### Abstract

We compare the Calabi-Yau structures defined and studied in [2, 3] with noncommutative analogs of quasi-Hamiltonian and Poisson geometries developed in $[7,8]$.
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## 1 Link between Hochschild and de Rham homologies

### 1.1 Recollection of Ginzburg and Schedler [6]

Fix a field $k$ of characteristic zero. Consider a unital associative $k$-algebra $A$ and fix a complementary subspace $\bar{A}=A / k$ of $k$. Denote by $d: A \rightarrow \bar{A}$ the associated quotient. The dg-algebra $\Omega A$ of noncommutative differential forms is defined by the quotient of $T_{k}(A \oplus \bar{A}[-1])$ by the relations

$$
a \otimes b=a b \quad \text { and } \quad d(a b)=a \otimes d(b)+d(a) \otimes b
$$

for every $a, b \in A$. The differential of $\Omega A$ is the derivation induced by $d$, satisfying $d^{2}=0$. We will systematically use the ${ }^{-}$notation for the quotient by $k$.

[^0]We have a contraction $\iota: \Omega^{\bullet} A \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet-1} A$ defined by

$$
\iota\left(a_{0} d a_{1} \ldots d a_{n}\right)=\sum_{l=1}^{n}(-1)^{(l-1)(n-1)+1}\left[a_{l}, d a_{l+1} \ldots d a_{n} a_{0} d a_{1} \ldots d a_{l-1}\right]
$$

that factors through $\operatorname{DR} A:=\Omega A /[\Omega A, \Omega A]$, a Hochschild differential $b$ on $\Omega A$ defined by

$$
b(\alpha d a)=(-1)^{|\alpha|}[\alpha, a]
$$

and a Karoubi operator on $\Omega A$ given by

$$
\kappa(\alpha d a)=(-1)^{|\alpha|} d a \alpha .
$$

We have a harmonic decomposition $\bar{\Omega} A=P \bar{\Omega} A \oplus P^{\perp} \bar{\Omega}$ where

$$
P \bar{\Omega} A=\operatorname{ker}(1-\kappa)^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad P^{\perp} \bar{\Omega}=\operatorname{ima}(1-\kappa)^{2} .
$$

that gives

$$
\iota=\left.b N\right|_{P} \quad \text { and } \quad B=\left.N d\right|_{P}
$$

where $N$ is the grading operator and $B$ the Connes differential.
Furthermore $b$ and $d$ are invariant under the harmonic decomposition and $\left(P^{\perp} \bar{\Omega}, b\right)$ is acyclic.

We observe that

$$
\overline{D R}:=\bar{\Omega} /[\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\Omega}] \simeq P \bar{\Omega} / P[\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\Omega}] \simeq P \bar{\Omega} / b P \bar{\Omega}
$$

By [6], we have

$$
(P \bar{\Omega}, b)^{\bullet} \simeq \overline{H H}_{\bullet} .
$$

Remark 1.1. We call a $k$-algebra $A 1$-smooth if it has projective dimension one as an $A \otimes A$-module. In particular a 1-smooth algebra is smooth and has projective dimension at most 1. This can be seen as follows: given a projective resolution $\Omega^{1}(A) \rightarrow A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ of $A$-bimodules, tensoring this resolution with $M \otimes_{A}-$ for $M \in \operatorname{Mod}_{A}$ yields a projective resolution of $M$ as $A$-module of length at most 1 .

### 1.2 Computations for $A=k\left[x^{ \pm 1}\right]$

We always mean $(d x) y$ if no brackets appear in $d x y$.
Set $\alpha_{n}=\left(x^{-1} d x\right)^{2 n-1}, \beta_{n}=\kappa\left(\alpha_{n}\right)=\left(d x x^{-1}\right)^{2 n-1} \in \bar{\Omega}^{2 n-1} A$. Then

$$
\kappa\left(\beta_{n}\right)=\kappa\left(-\beta_{n-1} d x d x^{-1}\right)=-d x^{-1} \beta_{n-1} d x=\alpha_{n} .
$$

Hence $\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n} \in P \bar{\Omega} A$ and $\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}=\frac{1}{2}(1-\kappa)^{2}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \in P^{\perp} \bar{\Omega} A$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota \alpha_{n} & =\frac{1}{2}(2 n-1) b\left(\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(2 n-1)\left(\left[\alpha_{n-1} x^{-1} d x x^{-1}, x\right]-\left[\beta_{n-1} d x, x^{-1}\right]\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(2 n-1)\left(x^{-1} \beta_{n-1} d x+\alpha_{n-1} x^{-1} d x-\beta_{n-1} d x x^{-1}-x \alpha_{n-1} x^{-1} d x x^{-1}\right) \\
& =(2 n-1)\left(\left(x^{-1} d x\right)^{2 n-2}-\left(d x x^{-1}\right)^{2 n-2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, $d \alpha_{1}=-\left(x^{-1} d x\right)^{2}$, and if we assume $d \alpha_{n-1}=-\left(x^{-1} d x\right)^{2 n-2}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \alpha_{n} & =d\left(x^{-1} d x\left(x^{-1} d x\right)^{2 n-2}\right) \\
& =d\left(x^{-1} d x\right)\left(x^{-1} d x\right)^{2 n-2}-x^{-1} d x d\left(\left(x^{-1} d x\right)^{2 n-2}\right) \\
& =-x^{-1} d x x^{-1} d x\left(x^{-1} d x\right)^{2 n-2}-x^{-1} d x d^{2} \alpha_{n-1} \\
& =-\left(x^{-1} d x\right)^{2 n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly $d \beta_{n}=\left(d x x^{-1}\right)^{2 n}$ for all $n$. Thus, as $\iota \alpha_{n}=\iota \beta_{n}$,

$$
\iota\left(\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}\right)=2 \iota \alpha_{n}=-2(2 n-1) d\left(\beta_{n-1}+\alpha_{n-1}\right)
$$

As a consequence $(\iota-u d)(\gamma)=0$, where $\gamma_{k}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{k}+\beta_{k}\right) \in P \bar{\Omega}^{2 k-1} k\left[x^{ \pm 1}\right]$ and

$$
\gamma=\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{k!}{(2 k+1)!}(-u)^{k} \gamma_{k+1}
$$

Now, we have the following chain of quasi-isomorphisms, functorial in $A$

$$
\left(\frac{\bar{\Omega} \llbracket u \rrbracket}{\overline{[d \Omega, d \Omega]}}, \iota-u d\right) \xrightarrow{P}(P \bar{\Omega} \llbracket u \rrbracket, \iota-u d) \xrightarrow{N!}(P \bar{\Omega} \llbracket u \rrbracket, b-u B) \longleftrightarrow(\bar{\Omega} \llbracket u \rrbracket, b-u B)
$$

whose homology gives the reduced negative cyclic homology $\overline{\mathrm{HC}}_{\bullet}^{-}$. Through this chain and the isomorphism $\Omega^{n} A \simeq A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes n}, \gamma$ is mapped to

$$
\sum_{k \geq 0} k!u^{k}\left(\left(x^{-1} \otimes x\right)^{\otimes(k+1)}-\left(x \otimes x^{-1}\right)^{\otimes(k+1)}\right)
$$

as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{k+1} & =\left(x^{-1} d x\right)^{2 k+1}=(-1)^{k} x^{-1}\left(d x d x^{-1}\right)^{k} d x, \\
\beta_{k+1} & =\left(d x x^{-1}\right)^{2 k+1}=(-1)^{k+1} x\left(d x^{-1} d x\right)^{k} d x^{-1}, \\
\text { and } \gamma_{k+1} & \in P \bar{\Omega}^{2 k+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

all of which being consistent with $[3,3.1 .1]$. $\qquad$
$\gamma_{n}$ here!

## $2 \quad \mathrm{CY} \Rightarrow$ quasi-bisymplectic in the sense of [8]

### 2.1 Relative setting

Consider a morphism $\Phi: k\left[x^{ \pm 1}\right] \rightarrow A$ where $A$ is smooth and concentrated in degree 0.
Assume that $\Phi\left[\gamma_{1}\right]=0 \in \overline{\mathrm{HH}}^{1} A=H_{1}(\bar{\Omega} A, b)$. The space of lifts of $\Phi\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$ in relative homology is given by elements $\omega_{1} \in \bar{\Omega}^{2} A / b \bar{\Omega}^{3} A$ such that $b \omega_{1}=\Phi\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$. As we also have

$$
\overline{\mathrm{HH}}=\operatorname{ker}(\overline{\mathrm{DR}} \xrightarrow{\iota} \bar{\Omega}),
$$

this space of lifts is also described by elements $\omega_{1} \in \overline{\mathrm{DR}}^{2} A$ such that $\iota \omega_{1}=\Phi\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$, which is condition ( $\mathbb{B} 2$ ) in [8].

Similarly, if $\Phi[\gamma]=0 \in \overline{\mathrm{HC}}_{1}^{-} A$, we have the existence of $\omega_{k} \in \overline{\mathrm{DR}}^{2 k} A$ for all $k$ such that

$$
(\iota-u d)\left(\sum_{k \geq 0} u^{k} \omega_{k+1}\right)=\Phi(\gamma)
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\iota \omega_{1} & =\Phi\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\Phi^{-1} d \Phi+d \Phi \Phi^{-1}\right) \\
\iota \omega_{2}-d \omega_{1} & =-\frac{1}{6} \Phi\left(\gamma_{2}\right) \Rightarrow d \omega_{1}=\frac{1}{6}\left(\Phi^{-1} d \Phi\right)^{3} \quad \bmod [-,-] \\
\iota \omega_{3}-d \omega_{2} & =\frac{2!}{5!} \Phi\left(\gamma_{3}\right) \\
\vdots & & \\
\iota \omega_{k+1}-d \omega_{k} & =(-1)^{k} \frac{k!}{(2 k+1)!} \Phi\left(\gamma_{k+1}\right) & k \geq 1
\end{array}
$$

### 2.2 Non-degeneracy

Set $R=k\left[x^{ \pm 1}\right]$ and write the relative 1-pre-Calabi-Yau structure

$$
A^{\vee}[1] \rightarrow R^{\vee}[1] \otimes_{R^{e}} A^{e} \stackrel{\gamma}{\sim} R \otimes_{R^{e}} A^{e} \rightarrow A
$$

with short resolutions to get the homotopy commuting diagram

where $D A:=\operatorname{Der}\left(A, A^{e}\right) \simeq\left(\Omega^{1} A\right)^{\vee}$. The homotopy $D A \rightarrow \Omega^{1} A$ gives $\iota_{E} \omega_{1}=\left(\Phi^{-1} d \Phi+\right.$ $\left.d \Phi \Phi^{-1}\right) / 2$.

Remark 2.1. If $\omega \in \mathrm{DR}^{2} A$ and $\delta \in D A \simeq\left(\Omega^{1} A\right)^{\vee}$, then $\iota_{\delta}(\omega) \in \Omega^{1} A \hookrightarrow A^{e}$ matches $\left\langle\delta, \iota_{E}(\omega)\right\rangle \in A^{e}$.

Now assume that our Calabi-Yau structure is non-degenerate, that is

$$
A^{\vee}[1] \simeq \operatorname{fib}\left(R^{\vee}[1] \otimes_{R^{e}} A^{e} \stackrel{\gamma}{\simeq} R \otimes_{R^{e}} A^{e} \rightarrow A\right)
$$

In short resolutions, this yields a quasi-isomorphism (between vertical complexes)

$\iota_{E}$ is the $\iota$ of previous sections

## use

Lemma
2.6.3 in

C-BEG
and
smoothness to have the short resolution!
which in particular gives a surjection $D A \rightarrow \Omega^{1} A /\langle d \Phi\rangle$, that is $\left(\mathbb{B}_{3}\right)$ in [8].

### 2.3 Compatibility for the quiver $A_{2}$.

Consider the quiver $A_{2}=(V=\{1,2\}, E=\{e: 1 \rightarrow 2\})$, with orthogonal idempotents $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ satisfying $1=e_{1}+e_{2}$, and set

$$
a_{1}=e_{1}+e^{*} e \text { and } a_{2}=e_{2}+e e^{*}
$$

Let us denote by $A$ the localization $\left(k \overline{A_{2}}\right)_{a_{1}, a_{2}}$. Recall that we have given in [3] a relative 1-Calabi-Yau structure on $\Phi: k\left[x^{ \pm 1}\right] \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$
\Phi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=a_{1}^{-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=a_{2}
$$

In the previous section we proved that this Calabi-Yau structure induces a quasibisymplectic one $\omega_{1} \in \overline{\mathrm{DR}}^{2} A$ on $A$. We want to prove that the double quasi-Poisson bracket compatible with $\omega_{1}$ through $[8$, Theorem 7.1] is the one described in $[8, \S 8.3]$ :

$$
P=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(1+e e^{*}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial e^{*}} \frac{\partial}{\partial e}-\left(1+e^{*} e\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial e} \frac{\partial}{\partial e^{*}}\right) \in(D A /[D A, D A])_{2}
$$

Note that we use the convention regarding concatenation of paths opposite to the one in [7], that is $e=e_{2} e e_{1}$. In [2], one homotopy $\phi\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \sim 0$ is given by $\qquad$

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{*} \otimes e \otimes \Phi+\Phi \otimes e^{*} \otimes e-e^{*} \otimes\right. & \Phi^{-1} \otimes e-\Phi^{-1} \otimes e \otimes e^{*}  \tag{2.2}\\
& \left.+1 \otimes e^{*} \otimes e \Phi-1 \otimes e \Phi \otimes e^{*}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Phi=\Phi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$. It yields an element $\qquad$

$$
\omega_{1}=\frac{1}{4}\left(e^{*} d e d \Phi+\Phi d e^{*} d e-e^{*} d \Phi^{-1} d e-\Phi^{-1} d e d e^{*}+d e^{*} d(e \Phi)-d(e \Phi) d e^{*}\right)
$$

in $\overline{\mathrm{DR}}^{2} A=(\bar{\Omega} A /[\bar{\Omega} A, \bar{\Omega} A])_{2}$. We can heavily simplify this expression working modulo $[\bar{\Omega} A, \bar{\Omega} A]$. First note that (again, $d a b$ stands for (da)b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \Phi & =-a_{1}^{-1}\left(d e^{*} e+e^{*} d e\right) a_{1}^{-1}+d e e^{*}+e d e^{*}=-\Phi\left(d e^{*} e+e^{*} d e\right) \Phi+d e e^{*}+e d e^{*} \\
d \Phi^{-1} & =d e^{*} e+e^{*} d e-a_{2}^{-1}\left(d e e^{*}+e d e^{*}\right) a_{2}^{-1}=d e^{*} e+e^{*} d e-\Phi^{-1}\left(d e e^{*}+e d e^{*}\right) \Phi^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

thus, using $\Phi e \Phi=e$ and $\Phi e^{*} \Phi=e^{*}(\operatorname{cf}[3,(4.3)])$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 \omega_{1}= & \Phi d e^{*} d e-\Phi^{-1} d e d e^{*}+e^{*} d e d \Phi-e^{*} d \Phi^{-1} d e+2 d e^{*} d(e \Phi) \\
= & \Phi d e^{*} d e-\Phi^{-1} d e d e^{*}-e^{*} d e \Phi\left(d e^{*} e+e^{*} d e\right) \Phi \\
& \quad+e^{*} \Phi^{-1}\left(d e e^{*}+e d e^{*}\right) \Phi^{-1} d e+2 d e^{*} d e \Phi-2 d e^{*} e \Phi\left(d e^{*} e+e^{*} d e\right) \Phi \\
= & \Phi d e^{*} d e-\Phi^{-1} d e d e^{*}-e^{*} d e \Phi d e^{*} e \Phi \\
& \underbrace{-e^{*} d e \Phi e^{*} d e \Phi+e^{*} \Phi^{-1} d e e^{*} \Phi^{-1} d e}_{\equiv 0}+e^{*} \Phi^{-1} e d e^{*} \Phi^{-1} d e \\
& \quad+2 d e^{*} d e \Phi-2 \underbrace{d e^{*} e \Phi d e^{*} e \Phi}_{\equiv 0}-2 d e^{*} e \Phi e^{*} d e \Phi \\
\equiv & 3 \Phi d e^{*} d e-\Phi^{-1} d e d e^{*}-e \Phi e^{*} d e \Phi d e^{*}+e^{*} \Phi^{-1} e d e^{*} \Phi^{-1} d e+2 d e^{*} e \Phi e^{*} d e \Phi \\
= & 3 \Phi d e^{*} d e-\Phi^{-1} d e d e^{*}-e e^{*} \Phi_{2}^{-1} d e \Phi d e^{*}+e^{*} e \Phi_{1} d e^{*} \Phi^{-1} d e+2 d e^{*} e e^{*} \Phi_{2}^{-1} d e \Phi \\
= & 3 \Phi d e^{*} d e-\Phi^{-1} d e d e^{*}-d e \Phi d e^{*}+\Phi^{-1} d e \Phi d e^{*} \\
& \quad+d e^{*} \Phi^{-1} d e-\Phi d e^{*} \Phi^{-1} d e-2 d e^{*} d e \Phi+2 d e^{*} \Phi^{-1} d e \Phi \\
\equiv & 2 \Phi d e^{*} d e-2 \Phi^{-1} d e d e^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

which matches the (unproven) formula in [9, Example 2.16].

Remark 2.3 (Sanity check).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota_{E}\left(2 \omega_{1}\right) & =-\left[e^{*}, d e \Phi\right]+\left[e, \Phi d e^{*}\right]+\left[e, d e^{*} \Phi^{-1}\right]-\left[e^{*}, \Phi^{-1} d e\right] \\
& =-e^{*} d e \Phi+d e \Phi e^{*}+e \Phi d e^{*}-\Phi d e^{*} e+e d e^{*} \Phi^{-1}-d e^{*} \Phi^{-1} e-e^{*} \Phi^{-1} d e+\Phi^{-1} d e e^{*} \\
& =-d\left(e^{*} e\right) \Phi+d\left(e e^{*}\right) \Phi^{-1}+\Phi^{-1} d\left(e e^{*}\right)-\Phi d\left(e^{*} e\right) \\
& =\Phi^{-1}\left(-\Phi d\left(e^{*} e\right) \Phi+d\left(e e^{*}\right)\right)+\left(-\Phi d\left(e^{*} e\right) \Phi+d\left(e e^{*}\right)\right) \Phi^{-1} \\
& =\Phi^{-1} d \Phi+d \Phi \Phi^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us prove Yamakawa's statement. Thanks to [8, Proposition 7.4], $P$ and $\omega_{1}$ are compatible if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota\left(\omega_{1}\right) \iota(P)=1-\frac{1}{4} T \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $T(d p)=\left[p, \Phi^{-1} d \Phi-d \Phi \Phi^{-1}\right]$. For $p=e$, the LHS is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota\left(\omega_{1}\right) \iota(P)(d e) & =\frac{1}{2} \iota\left(\omega_{1}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial e^{*}}\left(1+e^{*} e\right)+\left(1+e e^{*}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial e^{*}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left({ }^{\circ} i_{\partial / \partial e^{*}}\left(\omega_{1}\right)\left(1+e^{*} e\right)+\left(1+e e^{*}\right)^{\circ} i_{\partial / \partial e^{*}}\left(\omega_{1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
i_{\delta}(p d q d r)=p \delta(q)^{\prime} \otimes \delta(q)^{\prime \prime} d r-p d q \delta(r)^{\prime} \otimes \delta(r)^{\prime \prime} \in A \otimes \Omega^{1}+\Omega^{1} \otimes A
$$

Note that above we have used, for $\pi, \nu \in A$ and $\delta \in D_{A}$, $\qquad$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{\circ} i_{\pi \delta \nu}(p d q d r) & ={ }^{\circ}\left(p \delta(q)^{\prime} \nu \otimes \pi \delta(q)^{\prime \prime} d r-p d q \delta(r)^{\prime} \nu \otimes \pi \delta(r)^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& =\pi^{\circ} i_{\delta}(p d q d r) \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

since the bimodule structure on $D_{A}$ is induced by the inner one on $A^{e}$. We have:

$$
{ }^{\circ} i_{\partial / \partial e^{*}}\left(2 \omega_{1}\right)={ }^{\circ}\left(\Phi \otimes d e+\Phi^{-1} d e \otimes e_{2}\right)=d e \Phi+\Phi^{-1} d e
$$

thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 \iota\left(\omega_{1}\right) \iota(P)(d a) & =\left(d e \Phi+\Phi^{-1} d e\right)\left(1+e^{*} e\right)+\left(1+e e^{*}\right)\left(d e \Phi+\Phi^{-1} d e\right) \\
& =2 d e+\Phi^{-1} d e \Phi^{-1}+\Phi d e \Phi
\end{aligned}
$$

whereas 4 times the RHS of 2.4 is

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 d e-\left[e, \Phi^{-1} d \Phi-d \Phi \Phi^{-1}\right]= & 4 d e-e \Phi^{-1}\left(-\Phi\left(d e^{*} e+e^{*} d e\right) \Phi+d e e^{*}+e d e^{*}\right) \\
& +e\left(-\Phi\left(d e^{*} e+e^{*} d e\right) \Phi+d e e^{*}+e d e^{*}\right) \Phi^{-1} \\
& +\Phi^{-1}\left(-\Phi\left(d e^{*} e+e^{*} d e\right) \Phi+d e e^{*}+e d e^{*}\right) e \\
& -\left(-\Phi\left(d e^{*} e+e^{*} d e\right) \Phi+d e e^{*}+e d e^{*}\right) \Phi^{-1} e \\
= & 4 d e+e d e^{*} e \Phi+e e^{*} d e \Phi-e \Phi d e^{*} e-e \Phi e^{*} d e \\
& +\Phi^{-1} d e e^{*} e+\Phi^{-1} e d e^{*} e-d e e^{*} \Phi^{-1} e-e d e^{*} \Phi^{-1} e \\
= & 4 d e+e e^{*} d e \Phi-\Phi^{-1} e e^{*} d e+\Phi^{-1} d e e^{*} e-d e e^{*} e \Phi \\
= & 4 d e+\Phi d e \Phi-d e \Phi-d e+\Phi^{-1} d e \\
& +\Phi^{-1} d e \Phi^{-1}-\Phi^{-1} d e-d e+d e \Phi \\
= & 2 d e+\Phi^{-1} d e \Phi^{-1}+\Phi d e \Phi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Computations are similar to prove 2.4 evaluated at $d e^{*}$.

## Hourra.

### 2.4 Double Poisson structures and the 1-Calabi-Yau property

Double Poisson algebras have been introduced by Van den Berg in [8]. Recall
Definition 2.5. - A double Poisson algebra is an algebra $A$ together with a double bracket $\{\{-,-\}\}: A \times A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ satisfying that $\{\{-,-\}\}$ is a derivation in the second argument, satisfies $\{\{u, v\}\}=\tau\{\{v, u\}\}$ for the twist function $\tau: A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A, a \otimes b \mapsto b \otimes a$ and the Jacobi identity.

- We call an algebra $A$ bi-symplectic, if there is a closed form $\omega \in \operatorname{DR}(A)^{2}$ which is non-degenerate, that is $\iota_{\omega}: D_{A} \rightarrow \Omega_{A}$ is an isomorphism of $A$-bimodules.

A double Poisson algebra structure induces a classical Poisson structures on the moduli space of representations of $A$, while a bi-symplectic structure induces a symplectic structure on the moduli space of representations of $A$.

If $A$ is 1 -smooth, the existence of a double Poisson structure is equivalent to the existence of an element $P \in(D A /[D A, D A])_{2}$ such that $\{P, P\}=0$, where $\{-,-\}$ denotes in this context the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket on $D A /[D A, D A]$.

Definition 2.6. [?, 8] Let $P \in(D A /[D A, D A])_{2}$ and $(A, P)$ be a double Poisson algebra. A moment map for the double Poisson algebra is an element $\mu=\left(\mu_{i}\right)_{i} \in \bigoplus e_{i} A e_{i}$ such that $\{P, \mu\}=-E$.

In [8] A3 it is shown that every bi-symplectic form gives rise to non-commutative Hamiltonian structure with Poisson bracket

$$
P_{\omega}:=\left(\iota(\omega)^{-1} \otimes \iota(\omega)^{-1}\right)(\omega) \in(D A /[D A, D A])_{2} .
$$

Definition 2.7. We say that a relative 1-Calabi-Yau structure

$$
\mu: \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} k\left[x_{i}\right] \rightarrow A, x_{i} \mapsto \mu_{i}
$$

given by $\mu(\beta) \stackrel{\omega}{\sim} 0$ with $\beta \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} H H_{1}\left(k\left[x_{i}\right]\right)$ is compatible with a non-commutative Hamiltonian structure $(P, \mu)$ if $P_{\omega}=P$.

Let $Q$ be a finite quiver with $n$ vertices and $\bar{Q}$ the double quiver. Note that $k \bar{Q}$ is 1 -smooth. By Theorem 1.8 of [8], we have a non-commutative Hamiltonian structure on $A:=k \bar{Q}$ given by the double Poisson structure

$$
P=\sum_{a \in Q_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial a^{*}} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \in(D A /[D A, D A])_{2} .
$$

and corresponding moment map $\mu:=\sum_{a \in Q_{1}}\left[a, a^{*}\right]$.
In [?], we have shown that the map $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} k\left[x_{i}\right] \rightarrow k \bar{Q}, x_{i} \mapsto \mu_{i}$ carries a relative 1 Calabi-Yau structure which is induced by the natural 1-Calabi-Yau structure $\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1 \otimes x_{i} \in$ $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} H H_{1}\left(k\left[x_{i}\right]\right)$.

Lemma 2.8. The non-commutative Hamiltonian structure on $k \bar{Q}$ coincides with the relative 1-Calabi-Yau structure on $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} k\left[x_{i}\right] \rightarrow k \bar{Q}$.

Proof. The homotopy of $\mu(1 \otimes x) \sim 0$ defining the relative Calabi-Yau structure on $\mu$ is given by $B\left(\sum_{\alpha \in Q_{1}} \alpha^{*} \otimes \alpha\right)=\sum_{\alpha \in Q_{1}} 1 \otimes \alpha^{*} \otimes \alpha$ which corresponds to $\omega:=\sum_{\alpha \in Q_{1}} d \alpha^{*} d \alpha \in \overline{\mathrm{DR}}^{2} A$. By Proposition 8.1.1. of [4] $\omega$ is a bi-symplectic form on $k \bar{Q}$.

Indeed, it is easy to verify that

$$
P_{\omega}=\sum_{a \in Q_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial a^{*}} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \in(D A /[D A, D A])_{2}
$$

which finishes the proof.
We next investigate the relationship between fusion of double Poisson and bi-symplectic structures and relate them to the compositions of Calabi-Yau cospans. By [8] Proposition 2.6.6 if $(A, P)$ is a double Poisson algebra, then so is $\left(A^{f}, P^{f}\right)$, where $A^{f}$ denotes the fusion algebra. If $(A, P, \mu)$ is a non-commutative Hamiltonian structure, then $\left(A^{f}, P^{f}, \mu^{f}\right)$, where $\mu^{f}=\bigoplus_{i \geq 3} \mu_{i} \oplus \mu_{1}+e_{21} \mu_{2} e_{12}$ is also a non-commutative Hamiltonian structure.
Lemma 2.9. Let $(A, \omega)$ with $\omega \in \operatorname{DR}(A)^{2}$ be a bi-symplectic structure and $A^{f}$ the fusion algebra. Then $\left(A^{f}, \omega^{f}\right)$ is also a bi-symplectic structure and $P_{\omega}^{f}=P_{\omega^{f}}$, that is fusion commutes with the morphism that associates a non-commutative Hamiltonian structure to a bi-symplectic structure.

Proof. By functoriality, $\omega^{f} \in \operatorname{DR}\left(A^{f}\right)^{2}$ is a closed form and $\iota_{\omega^{f}}$ fits into a commutative diagram


As the vertical arrows are surjective and the top horizontal arrow $\iota_{\omega}$ is an isomorphism by the non-degeneracy of $\omega$, it follows that $\iota_{\omega f}$ is also an isomorphism. By the definition

$$
P_{\omega^{f}}=\left(\iota\left(\omega^{f}\right)^{-1} \otimes \iota\left(\omega^{f}\right)^{-1}\right)\left(\omega^{f}\right)=\left(\iota(\omega)^{-1} \otimes \iota(\omega)^{-1}\right)(\omega)^{f}=P_{\omega}^{f}
$$

The moment map associated to $\omega$ is given by the the element $\mu$ which satisfies $\{P, \mu\}=E$. Clearly $\left\{P_{\omega^{f}}, \mu^{f}\right\}=\left\{P_{\omega}^{f}, \mu^{f}\right\}=\left\{P_{\omega}, \mu\right\}^{f}=E^{f}$.

Lemma 2.10. The cospan $k[x] \amalg k[y] \stackrel{f}{\rightarrow} k\langle x, y\rangle \stackrel{g}{\leftarrow} k[z]$ with $g(z)=x+y$ and $f(x)=x$, $f(y)=y$ is a 1 Calabi-Yau cospan.

Proof. We equip $k[x] \amalg k[y]$ and $k[z]$ with their natural Calabi-Yau structure given by $1 \otimes x+1 \otimes y=1 \otimes(x+y) \in H H_{1}(k[x] \amalg k[y])$ and $1 \otimes z \in H H_{1}(k[z])$. Clearly, $f(1 \otimes(x+y))=$ $g(1 \otimes z)$.

Given a relative 1-Calabi-Yau structure $\mu: k[x] \amalg k[y] \rightarrow A$, we can consider the composition of cospans

where $x, y$ is mapped to $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$. This yields a relative Calabi-Yau structure $k[z] \rightarrow B$.

Theorem 2.11. Let $(A, P, \mu)$ be a non-Hamiltonian structure which coincides with the relative 1 Calabi-Yau structure $\mu: k[x] \amalg k[y] \rightarrow A$. The fusion of the non-Hamiltonian structure $(A, P, \mu)$ coincides with the relative 1 Calabi-Yau structure $k[z] \rightarrow A \amalg_{k[x] \amalg k[y]} k\langle x, y\rangle$ given by the composition of cospans above.

Proof. As the non-commutative Hamiltonian structure is compatible with the relative 1 Calabi-Yau structure, we have $P=P_{\omega}$ and $\mu(x)=\mu_{1}$ and $\mu(y)=\mu_{2}$. We have a natural isomorphism $A^{f} \simeq A \amalg_{k[x] \amalg k[y]} k\langle x, y\rangle$ and under this isomorphism $A \rightarrow A \amalg_{k[x] \amalg k[y]} k\langle x, y\rangle$ is equivalent to the morphism $-^{f}: A \rightarrow A^{f}$ and $\mu^{f}: k[z] \rightarrow A^{f}$ coincides with $k[z] \rightarrow$ $A \amalg_{k[x] \amalg k[y]} k\langle x, y\rangle$. Furthermore, since the homotopy between the 1-forms in the cospan $k[x] \amalg k[y] \xrightarrow{f} k\langle x, y\rangle \stackrel{g}{\stackrel{ }{\omega}} k[z]$ is trivial, the zero-homotopy of the composition is given by $\omega^{f}$, where $\omega$ denotes the zero homotopy $\mu(1 \otimes(x+y)) \sim 0$. As $P_{\omega}^{f}=P_{\omega^{f}}$ the fusion nonHamiltonian structure coincides with the relative 1-Calabi-Yau structure of the composition of the cospans.

### 2.5 Calabi-Yau cospans and fusion

The aim of this section is to compare the relative Calabi-Yau structure obtained by the cospan procedure with the double quasi-Poisson structure obtained by the fusion process as in [8] Section 7. Let $A$ be an algebra or dg category with orthogonal idempotents $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}$ or respectively objects denoted $1, \cdots, n$.

Given a 1-relative Calabi-Yau map $\Phi: \coprod_{i=1}^{n} k\left[x_{i}^{ \pm 1}\right] \rightarrow A$ such that $x_{i} \mapsto \Phi_{i}:=\Phi\left(x_{i}\right) \in$ $\left(e_{i} A e_{i}\right)^{*}$, we can compose with the Calabi-Yau cospan from [3]

$$
\coprod_{i=1}^{n} k\left[x_{i}^{ \pm 1}\right] \rightarrow k\left\langle x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, x_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right\rangle \coprod_{i=3}^{n} k\left[x_{i}^{ \pm 1}\right] \leftarrow k\left[z_{1,2}^{ \pm 1}\right] \coprod_{i=3}^{n} k\left[x_{i}^{ \pm 1}\right]
$$

with $z_{1,2} \mapsto x_{1} x_{2}$ and the identity map on the $x_{i}$ for $i \geq 3$. The composition of cospans yields a relative 1-Calabi-Yau structure on

$$
\Phi^{f}: \coprod_{i=3}^{n} k\left[x_{i}^{ \pm 1}\right] \coprod k\left[z_{1,2}^{ \pm 1}\right] \rightarrow A^{f}
$$

where $A^{f}:=A * \amalg_{i=1}^{n} k\left[x_{i}^{ \pm 1}\right] ~ k\left[z_{1,2}^{ \pm 1}\right] \coprod_{i=3}^{n} k\left[x_{i}^{ \pm 1}\right]$. This process fuses the objects respectively vertices denoted 1 and 2. As in [8] we denote by $a^{f}$ the image of $a \in A$ in $A^{f}$ and by $\Phi^{f}: k\left[z^{ \pm 1}\right] \rightarrow A^{f}$ the new moment map. We have $\Phi^{f}\left(x_{i}\right)=\Phi_{i}^{f} \in A^{f}$ for $i \geq 3$ and $\Phi^{f}\left(z_{1,2}\right)=\Phi_{1}^{f} \Phi_{2}^{f} \in A^{f}$.

Recall from [2] Section 3.3. that the homotopy on the cospan

$$
k\left[x^{ \pm 1}\right] \coprod k\left[y^{ \pm 1}\right] \rightarrow k\left\langle x^{ \pm 1}, y^{ \pm 1}\right\rangle \leftarrow k\left[z^{ \pm 1}\right]
$$

is given by $\gamma_{1}:=y^{-1} \otimes x^{-1} \otimes x y-y \otimes y^{-1} x^{-1} \otimes x$, which satisfies

$$
\alpha_{1}(x y)-\left(\alpha_{1}(x)+\alpha_{1}(y)\right)=b\left(\gamma_{1}\right)
$$

We can assume that $n=2$. Let us assume that $\omega_{1}$ and $P$ are compatible in the sense of [8]. We want to show that $\omega_{1}^{f f}$ and $P^{f f}:=P^{f}-E_{1}^{f} E_{2}^{f}$ are also compatible. The composition of cospans yields that $\omega_{1}^{f f}=\beta_{1}^{f}+\Phi^{f}\left(\zeta_{1}\right)=\beta_{1}^{f}+\Phi_{1}^{-1} d \Phi_{1} d \Phi-\Phi_{2} d \Phi^{-1} d \Phi_{1}$

We need to understand the following composition of Calabi-Yau cospans:

where $x, y$ is mapped to $\Phi_{2}, \Phi_{1}$. The homotopy

$$
\zeta_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(y^{-1} \otimes x^{-1} \otimes x y-y \otimes y^{-1} x^{-1} \otimes x\right)
$$

is mapped in $\overline{\mathrm{DR}}^{2} k\left\langle x^{ \pm 1}, y^{ \pm 1}\right\rangle$ to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega & =\frac{1}{4}\left(y^{-1} d x^{-1} d(x y)-y d\left(y^{-1} x^{-1}\right) d x\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left(-y^{-1} x^{-1} d x x^{-1}(x d y+d x y)+d y y^{-1} x^{-1} d x+x^{-1} d x x^{-1} d x\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left(-y^{-1} x^{-1} d x d y-y^{-1} x^{-1} d x x^{-1} d x y+d y y^{-1} x^{-1} d x+x^{-1} d x x^{-1} d x\right) \\
& \equiv-\frac{1}{2} x^{-1} d x d y y^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is mapped to

$$
-\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{1}^{-1} d \Phi_{1} d \Phi_{2} \Phi_{2}^{-1} \in \overline{\mathrm{DR}}^{2} B .
$$

which is precisely the opposite of the corrective term given in [9] following [1].

Assume that we have a finite set $\left\{e_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ of idempotents in $A$, and define the commutative algebra $R=\oplus_{i \in I} k e_{i}$. For any $I$-graded finite dimensional space $V$ define $A_{V}$ by

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{Alg} / R}(A, \operatorname{End}(V))=\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{CommAlg} / k}\left(A_{V}, k\right) .
$$

Thanks to [4, (6.2.2)], setting $X_{V}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(A_{V}\right)$, we have a map

$$
\underline{\operatorname{tr}}: \mathrm{DR}^{\bullet} A \longrightarrow \Omega^{\bullet}\left(X_{V}\right)^{\mathrm{GL}_{V}}
$$

given by $\alpha \mapsto \operatorname{tr}(\hat{\alpha})$ where $\hat{\alpha}$ is induced by the evaluation

$$
A \rightarrow\left(A_{V} \otimes \operatorname{End}(V)\right)^{\mathrm{GL}_{V}} \quad ; \quad a \mapsto \hat{a}
$$

Thanks to [8, Proposition 6.1], there is a quasi-Hamiltonian structure on $\left(X_{V}, \operatorname{tr}\left(\omega_{1}\right), \hat{\Phi}\right)$. Now $\hat{\Phi}: X_{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{V}$ induces a lagrangian structure on $\left[X_{V} / \mathrm{GL}_{V}\right] \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{GL}_{V} / \mathrm{GL}_{V}\right]$.

On the other hand, thanks to [3], the 1-Calabi-Yau structure on $\Phi$ yields a lagrangian struc-
the $\omega_{1}$ and $\Phi$ from
section 2 ture on $\operatorname{Perf}_{A} \rightarrow \operatorname{Perf}_{k\left[x^{ \pm 1}\right]}$, and thus considering substacks on $\left[X_{V} / \mathrm{GL}_{V}\right] \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{GL}_{V} / \mathrm{GL}_{V}\right]$ again.

Theorem 3.1. These two lagrangian structures are identical.

Thanks to the following lemma, it will boil down to comparing 2-forms on $X_{V}$. From now on we write $X$ and $G$ instead of $X_{V}$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{V}$. Consider a $G$-equivariant morphism $\mu: X \rightarrow G$, which induces $[\mu]:[X / G] \rightarrow[G / G]$. Consider the standard 1-shifted symplectic structure on $[G / G]$ given by $\bar{\omega}=\bar{\omega}_{0}+\bar{\omega}_{1}$ where $\bar{\omega}_{0} \in\left(\Omega^{1}(G) \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{*}\right)^{G}$ and $\bar{\omega}_{1} \in \Omega^{3}(G)^{G}$.

Lemma 3.2. The space of homotopies between $[\mu]^{*} \bar{\omega}$ and 0 in $\mathcal{A}^{2, \mathrm{cl}}([X / G], 1)$ is discrete. It is the space of 2-forms $\alpha \in \Omega^{2}(X)^{G}$ such that for every $u \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota_{\vec{u} \alpha} & =\left\langle\mu^{*} \bar{\omega}_{0}, u\right\rangle \\
d_{\mathrm{dR}} \alpha & =\mu^{*} \bar{\omega}_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The bicomplex giving the de Rham complex of $[X / G]$ in weight $\geq 2$ is


We are interested in the space of 2-forms $\alpha \in \Omega^{2}(X)^{G}$ mapped on $\mu^{*} \omega \in \Omega^{3}(X)^{G} \oplus\left(\Omega^{1}(X) \otimes\right.$ $\left.\mathfrak{g}^{*}\right)^{G}$ by $d_{\mathrm{dR}} \oplus \partial$. Now just note that by definition $\partial$ is given by $\langle\partial \alpha, u\rangle=\iota_{\vec{u}} \alpha$ for every $u \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Now consider the composition $\operatorname{Spec}\left(A_{V}\right)=X \rightarrow[X / G] \rightarrow \operatorname{Perf}_{A}$. It is given by an $A-A_{V}$-bimodule $M$ which induces a chain

given by

$$
a_{0} \otimes a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n} \mapsto \operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{a}_{0}\right) d \operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{a}_{1}\right) \ldots d \operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{a}_{n}\right)
$$

that is tr again. Thus the 2-forms match on $X=X_{V}$, and therefore the associated lagrangian structures as well.

Recall that a relative 1-Calabi-Yau morphism of algebras $k\left[x^{ \pm}\right] \rightarrow A$ induces a 1-shifted Lagrangian morphism of stacks $\operatorname{Perf}_{A} \rightarrow \operatorname{Perf}_{k\left[x^{ \pm}\right]}$. Now by [?], a 1-shifted Lagrangian morphism induces a non-degenerate Poisson structure on Perf $_{A}$ via pullback of forms. In our setting, $\left[\operatorname{rep}_{A} / \mathrm{GL}_{n}\right]$ is an open substack of $\operatorname{Perf}_{A}$ and therefore the non-degenerate Poisson form restricts to $\left[\operatorname{rep}_{A} / \mathrm{GL}_{n}\right]$. Equivalently, given a Lagrangian morphism $\mathbf{P e r f}_{A} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Perf}_{k\left[x^{ \pm}\right]}$, we can consider the following commutative diagram

where the vertical arrows are open embeddings and the bottom horizontal morphism inherits a 1-Lagrangian structure from the top morphism. As shown in [], the 1-Calabi-Yau structure on $k\left[x^{ \pm}\right]$given by $\left(x^{-1} \otimes 1+1 \otimes x^{-1}\right) / 2$ induces the classical symplectic structure on $\left[\operatorname{rep}_{n} k\left[x^{ \pm}\right] / \mathrm{GL}_{n}\right] \simeq\left[\mathrm{Gl}_{n} / \mathrm{GL}_{n}\right]$ via restriction from $\operatorname{Perf}_{k\left[x^{ \pm}\right]}$which we can pull back to $\left[\operatorname{rep}_{n} A / \mathrm{GL}_{n}\right]$.

Question: Does the non-degenerate quasi-Poisson form respectively quasi-Hamiltonian structure on $\operatorname{rep}_{n} A$ given in [7] yield the same Poisson structure on $\left[\mathbf{r e p}_{n} A / \mathrm{GL}_{n}\right]$ than the two methods described above?

Here $\mathrm{DR}^{\bullet}$ denotes either the Karoubi-DeRham complex in the non-commutative case and the DeRham complex of derived stacks seen as a functor $\mathrm{DR}^{\bullet}: \mathrm{dSt} \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}_{k}^{\epsilon-g r}$.

Recall that every $B$-point $x: \operatorname{Spec} B \rightarrow \operatorname{Perf}_{A}$ is given by a dg functor $A \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}_{B}^{\text {perf }}$. Applying $\mathrm{HC}^{-}$yields a map $\mathrm{HC}^{-}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}^{-}\left(\operatorname{Mod}_{B}^{\text {perf }}\right) \simeq \mathrm{HC}^{-}(B)$. The DeRham complex of $\operatorname{Perf}_{A}$ can be computed as the $\operatorname{limit} \lim _{x: S p e c} B \rightarrow \operatorname{Perf}_{A} \mathrm{HC}(B)$ and we therefore obtain a natural map $\operatorname{DR}(A) \simeq \mathrm{HC}^{-}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{DR}\left(\operatorname{Perf}_{A}\right)$.

We have a diagram


The vertical arrows on the right are given by the functoriality of $\mathrm{DR}^{\bullet}$ with respect to the natural maps $\operatorname{rep}_{n} A \rightarrow\left[\operatorname{rep}_{n} A / \mathrm{GL}_{n}\right] \rightarrow \operatorname{Perf}_{A}$ of derived stacks. The maps $\operatorname{tr}: \mathrm{HC}^{-} A \simeq$ $\mathrm{DR}^{\bullet}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{DR}^{\bullet}\left(\operatorname{rep}_{n} A\right)$ and $\mathrm{HC}^{-} A \simeq \mathrm{DR}^{\bullet}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{DR}^{\bullet}\left(\left[\operatorname{rep}_{n} A / \mathrm{GL}_{n}\right]\right)$ are given in [7]. To answer our question, we have to show that the diagram above commutes.

We will first show that the outside triangle commutes.
Remark 3.3 (pre-quotient case). Thanks to [4, (6.2.2)], setting $X_{V}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(A_{V}\right)$, we have a map

$$
\mathrm{DR}^{\bullet} A \longrightarrow \Omega^{\bullet}\left(X_{V}\right)^{\mathrm{GL}_{V}}
$$

given by $\alpha \mapsto \operatorname{tr}(\hat{\alpha})$ where $\hat{\alpha}$ is induced by the evaluation

$$
A \rightarrow\left(A_{V} \otimes \operatorname{End}(V)\right)^{\mathrm{GL}_{V}} ; \quad a \mapsto \hat{a}
$$

On the other hand, we have a chain

$$
\mathrm{HC}^{-} A \longrightarrow \mathrm{HC}^{-}\left(\operatorname{Mod}_{A_{V}}^{\text {perf }}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{HC}^{-} A_{V} \underset{\mathrm{HKR}}{\sim} \mathrm{DR}^{\bullet} A_{V}
$$

given by

$$
a_{0} \otimes a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n} \mapsto \operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{a}_{0}\right) d \operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{a}_{1}\right) \ldots d \operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{a}_{n}\right)
$$

Let us clarify the map $\mathrm{DR}^{\bullet} A \simeq \mathrm{HC}^{-} A \longrightarrow \mathrm{DR}\left(\operatorname{Perf}_{A}\right)$. By the above description, we know that for every $B$-point $x: A \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}_{B}^{\text {perf }}$, we have a map $\mathrm{HC}^{-}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}^{-}\left(\operatorname{Mod}_{B}^{\text {perf }}\right) \simeq$ $\mathrm{HC}^{-}(B)$ given by $a_{0} \otimes a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n} \mapsto \operatorname{tr}\left(x a_{0}\right) d \operatorname{tr}\left(x a_{1}\right) \ldots d \operatorname{tr}\left(x a_{n}\right)$. Now the map $\operatorname{rep}_{V}(A) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Perf}_{A}$ corresponds to the $A_{V}$-point $A \longrightarrow A_{V} \otimes \operatorname{End}(V)$.

We get that the square

commutes.

## 4 Simplicial complexes

Now denote by $A(n)$ the category with objects $(a, i), a \in \operatorname{Ob}(A), i=1, \ldots, n$ and morphisms

$$
A(n)((a, i),(b, j))= \begin{cases}A(a, b), & \text { if } i=j  \tag{4.1}\\ A(a, a)^{\sim}, & \text { if } j=i+1 \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

This gives rise to a simplicial object

$$
A(1) \rightleftarrows A(2) \rightleftarrows A(3) \ldots
$$

Let $V=\left(V_{a}\right)_{a \in O b A}$ be a vector-space indexed by the objects of $A$. We denote $\operatorname{rep}_{V} A(m)$ the moduli space which sends every $(a, i)$ to $V_{a}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{rep}_{V} A(m) \simeq \operatorname{rep}_{V} A \times \mathrm{GL}_{V}^{m-1}=X_{V} \times\left(\mathrm{GL}_{V}\right)^{m-1}
$$

Applying the functor $\operatorname{rep}_{V}$ to the simplicial complex yields therefore the simplicial complex

$$
\left[X_{V} / \mathrm{GL}_{V}\right]=\operatorname{colim}\left(X_{V} \rightleftarrows X_{V} \times \mathrm{GL}_{V} \Longleftarrow X_{V} \times \mathrm{GL}_{V} \times \mathrm{GL}_{V} \ldots\right)
$$

Hence, we morphisms of derived stacks

$$
X_{V} \times\left(\mathrm{GL}_{V}\right)^{n-1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Perf}_{A(n)}
$$

that induce a morphism

$$
\left[X_{V} / \mathrm{GL}_{V}\right] \rightarrow \operatorname{colim} \operatorname{Perf}_{A(n)} \simeq \operatorname{Perf}_{A} .
$$

We have natural maps $A(n) \rightarrow A,(a, i) \mapsto a$. This induces a map between the simplicial complex above and the constant simplicial complex in $A$. Applying DR yields a map $\lim _{n} \operatorname{DR}(A(n)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{DR}(A)$ which is an isomorphism. Let us spell out this map $\operatorname{tr}\left(a_{0}\right) d \operatorname{tr}\left(a_{1}\right) \ldots d \operatorname{tr}\left(a_{n}\right) \mapsto$.

We obtain the following commutative diagram


Assume first that our categories in

$$
A=A(1) \xrightarrow[g]{\stackrel{f}{\Longrightarrow}} A(2)=: B
$$

only have one object, so that an isomorphism $f \stackrel{\sim}{\Rightarrow} g$ corresponds to $z \in B^{\times}$satisfying $z f=g z$. On simplicial complexes we have morphisms

induced by $f$ and $g$. Horizontal maps are given by $m_{i}, i=1 \ldots n+1$, the multiplication of the $i$-th and $i+1$-th factors, with the convention $n+2=1$.

Proposition 4.2. The homotopy between $f$ and $g$ induced by $z$

$$
\left(h: A \times \Delta^{1} \rightarrow B\right)=\left(\left(h_{n, j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq n}:\left(A^{\otimes n}\right)^{n} \rightarrow B^{\otimes n+1}\right)_{n \geq 1}
$$

reads

$$
h_{n, j}=f z^{-1} \otimes g^{\otimes j-1} \otimes z \otimes f^{\otimes n-j}
$$

meaning

$$
h_{n, j}\left(a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n}\right)=f\left(a_{1}\right) z^{-1} \otimes g^{\otimes j-1}\left(a_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{j}\right) \otimes z \otimes f^{\otimes n-j}\left(a_{j+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n}\right)
$$

Proof. First note that $m_{1} h_{n, 1}=f^{\otimes n}$ and $m_{n+1} h_{n, n}=g^{\otimes n}$.
Next consider $1 \leq i<j \leq n$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{i} h_{n, j} & =m_{i}\left(f z^{-1} \otimes g^{\otimes j-1} \otimes z \otimes f^{\otimes n-j}\right) \\
& =\left(f z^{-1} \otimes g^{\otimes j-2} \otimes z \otimes f^{\otimes n-j}\right) m_{i} \\
& =h_{n-1, j-1} m_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

the only nontrivial case being $i=1$ where we use $m_{1}\left(f z^{-1} \otimes g\right)=f z^{-1} m_{1}$.
If $1<i=j$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{i} h_{n, i} & =m_{i}\left(f z^{-1} \otimes g^{\otimes i-1} \otimes z \otimes f^{\otimes n-i}\right) \\
& =f z^{-1} \otimes g^{\otimes i-2} \otimes g z \otimes f^{\otimes n-i} \\
& =f z^{-1} \otimes g^{\otimes i-2} \otimes z f \otimes f^{\otimes n-i} \\
& =m_{i} h_{n, i-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, when $i>j+1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{i} h_{n, j} & =m_{i}\left(f z^{-1} \otimes g^{\otimes j-1} \otimes z \otimes f^{\otimes n-j}\right) \\
& =\left(f z^{-1} \otimes g^{\otimes j-1} \otimes z \otimes f^{\otimes n-1-j}\right) m_{i-1} \\
& =h_{n-1, j} m_{i-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

even if $i=n+1$.

Proposition 4.3. Assume that $z f=g z$ and $w g=h w$ for $f, g, h: A \rightarrow B$ and $z, w \in B^{\times}$. Denote by $h^{z}, h^{w}$ and $h^{w z}$ the induced homotopies. The homotopy $H=\left(H_{n}: A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow B^{\otimes n+2}\right)$ between $h^{z}+h^{w}$ and $h^{w z}$ reads

$$
H_{n}=\sum_{\substack{r, s, t \geq 0 \\ r+s+t=n-1}} \pm f z^{-1} w^{-1} \otimes h^{\otimes r} \otimes w \otimes g^{\otimes s} \otimes z \otimes f^{\otimes t}
$$

Proof. Write $H_{r, s, t}=f z^{-1} w^{-1} \otimes h^{\otimes r} \otimes w \otimes g^{\otimes s} \otimes z \otimes f^{\otimes t}$, and $h_{p, q}^{z}=f z^{-1} \otimes g^{\otimes p} \otimes z \otimes f^{\otimes q}$, same with $h^{w}, h^{w z}$. Then note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{1} H_{0, s, t} & =h_{s, t}^{z} \\
m_{n+2} H_{r, s, 0} & =h_{r, s}^{w} \\
m_{r+2} H_{r, 0, t} & =h_{r, t}^{w z}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $i \leq r$,

$$
m_{i} H_{r, s, t}=H_{r-1, s, t} m_{i}
$$

thanks to $m_{1}\left(f z^{-1} w^{-1} \otimes h\right)=f z^{-1} w^{-1} m_{1}$ when $i=1$.

$$
\text { If } i=r+1 \text {, }
$$

$$
m_{i} H_{r, s, t}=m_{i} H_{r-1, s+1, t}
$$

since $h w=w g$.
If $r+3 \leq i \leq r+s+1$,

$$
m_{i} H_{r, s, t}=H_{r, s-1, t} m_{i-1} .
$$

If $i=r+s+2$,

$$
m_{i} H_{r, s, t}=m_{i} H_{r, s-1, t+1}
$$

since $g z=z f$.
If $r+s+4 \leq i \leq n+2$,

$$
m_{i} H_{r, s, t}=H_{r, s, t-1} m_{i-2} .
$$
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