

Key findings on bipolar disorders from the longitudinal FondaMental Advanced Center of Expertise-Bipolar Disorder (FACE-BD) cohort

M Leboyer, O Godin, P Llorca, V Aubin, F Bellivier, R Belzeaux, Philippe Courtet, D Costagliola, C Dubertret, M Bailara, et al.

► To cite this version:

M Leboyer, O Godin, P Llorca, V Aubin, F Bellivier, et al.. Key findings on bipolar disorders from the longitudinal FondaMental Advanced Center of Expertise-Bipolar Disorder (FACE-BD) cohort. Journal of Affective Disorders, 2022, 307, pp.149-156. 10.1016/j.jad.2022.03.053 . hal-03623891v2

HAL Id: hal-03623891 https://hal.science/hal-03623891v2

Submitted on 29 Mar 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Key findings on bipolar disorders from the longitudinal FondaMental Advanced Center of Expertise-Bipolar Disorder (FACE-BD) cohort

M Leboyer^{1,2,3}, O Godin^{1,2,3}, PM Llorca^{3,4}, V Aubin^{3,5}, F Bellivier^{3,6,7,8}, R Belzeaux^{3,9}, P Courtet^{3,10}, D Costagliola¹¹, C Dubertret^{3,12}, K M'Bailara^{3,13}, E Haffen^{3,14}, C Henry^{3,15}, H Laouamri³, C Passerieux^{3,16}, A Pelletier^{1,2,3}, M Polosan^{3,17}, P Roux^{3,16}, R Schwan^{3,18}, L Samalin^{3,4}, the FondaMental Advanced Center of Expertise for Bipolar Disorders (FACE-BD) collaborators^{*}, E Olié^{3,10}, Bruno Etain^{3,6,7,8}.

Affiliations

¹ Univ Paris Est Créteil, INSERM U955, IMRB, Translational Neuro-Psychiatry, F-94010 Créteil, France;

²AP-HP, Hôpitaux Universitaires Henri Mondor, Département Médico-Universitaire de Psychiatrie et d'Addictologie (DMU IMPACT), Fédération Hospitalo-Universitaire de Médecine de Précision en Psychiatrie (FHU ADAPT) F-94010, France;

³ Fondation FondaMental, Créteil, France;

⁴CHU Clermont-Ferrand, Department of Psychiatry, University of Clermont Auvergne, UMR 6602 Institut Pascal (IP), Clermont-Ferrand, France ;

⁵Pôle de Psychiatrie, Centre Hospitalier Princesse Grace, Monaco;

⁶ Université de Paris, Paris, France;

⁷AP-HP, Groupe Hospitalo-universitaire AP-HP Nord, DMU Neurosciences, Hôpital Fernand Widal, Département de Psychiatrie et de Médecine Addictologique, Paris, France;

⁸ INSERM UMRS 1144, Paris, France;

⁹Pôle de Psychiatrie, Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Marseille, Marseille, France; INT-UMR7289, CNRS Aix-Marseille Université, Marseille, France;

¹⁰Department of Emergency Psychiatry and Acute Care, CHU Montpellier, IGF, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, INSERM, Montpellier, France;

¹¹Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Institut Pierre Louis d'Épidémiologie et de Santé Publique (IPLESP), Paris, France;

¹²Université de Paris, INSERM UMR1266, AP-HP, Groupe Hospitalo-Universitaire AP-HP Nord, DMU ESPRIT, service de Psychiatrie et Addictologie, Hôpital Louis Mourier, Colombes, France; ¹³Univ. Bordeaux, LabPsy, EA 4139, F-33000 Bordeaux, France; Centre Hospitalier Charles
 Perrens, Pôle 3-4-7, Bordeaux, 121 rue de la Béchade, Bordeaux, France;

¹⁴Département de Psychiatrie Clinique, CIC-1431 INSERM, CHU de Besançon, Besançon,
 France; EA481 Neurosciences, Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté, Besançon, France;

¹⁵Department of Psychiatry, Service Hospitalo-Universitaire, GHU Paris Psychiatrie & Neurosciences, F-75014 Paris, France;

¹⁶Service Hospitalo-Universitaire de psychiatrie d'adulte et d'addictologie, Centre Hospitalier de Versailles, INSERM UMR1018, DisAP-DevPsy-CESP, Université de Versailles Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, Université Paris-Saclay, France;

¹⁷Université Grenoble Alpes, CHU de Grenoble et des Alpes, Grenoble Institut des Neurosciences (GIN) Inserm U 1216, Grenoble, France;

¹⁸Université de Lorraine, Inserm U 1114, Pôle Hospitalo-Universitaire de Psychiatrie d'Adultes et d'Addictologie CPN Laxou, France.

*List of FondaMental Advanced Center of Expertise for Bipolar Disorders (FACE-BD) collaborators:

FACE-BD Clinical Coordinating Center (Fondation FondaMental): B. Etain, E. Olié, M. Leboyer, PM Llorca;

FACE-BD Data Coordinating Center (Fondation FondaMental): V. Barteau, S. Bensalem, O. Godin, H. Laouamri, and K. Souryis;

FACE-BD Clinical Sites and Principal Collaborators in France;

AP-HP, DHU PePSY, Pôle de Psychiatrie et d'Addictologie des Hôpitaux Universitaires H Mondor, Créteil, France: S. Hotier, A. Pelletier, JP. Sanchez, E. Saliou, C. Hebbache, J. Petrucci, L. Wuillaume and E. Bourdin;

APHP, Groupe Hospitalo-universitaire AP-HP Nord, DMU Neurosciences, Département de Psychiatrie et Médecine Addictologie, Hôpital Fernand Widal, Paris, France: F. Bellivier, M. Carminati, B. Etain, V. Hennion, E. Marlinge, J. Meheust, C. Zekri;

Hôpital C. Perrens, Centre Expert Trouble Bipolaire, Service de Psychiatrie Adulte, Pôle 3-47, Bordeaux: A. Desage, S. Gard, K. M'Bailara, I. Minois, J. Sportich and L. Zanouy;
Département d'Urgence et Post Urgence Psychiatrique, CHRU Montpellier, Montpellier,
France: C. Abettan, L. Bardin, A. Cazals, P. Courtet, B. Deffinis, D. Ducasse, M. Gachet, A.
Henrion, E. Martinerie, F. Molière, B. Noisette, E. Olié and G. Tarquini;

Pôle de Psychiatrie, addictologie et pédopsychiatrie, Hôpital Sainte Marguerite, Marseille, France: R. Belzeaux, J. L. Consoloni, F. Groppi, A. Lefrere, L. Lescalier, E. Moreau and N. Viglianese;

Pôle Hospitalo-Universitaire de Psychiatrie du Grand Nancy, Centre Psychothérapique de Nancy, Laxou, France: R. Cohen, G. Gross, R. Schwan, T. Schwitzer, and O. Wajsbrot-Elgrabli;

Service Universitaire de Psychiatrie, CHU de Grenoble et des Alpes, Grenoble, France: T. Bougerol, B. Fredembach, A. Suisse, B. Halili, Z. Gaoua, and M. Polosan

Centre Hospitalier de Versailles, Service Hospitalo-Universitaire de Psychiatrie d'adultes et d'addictologie, Le Chesnay, France: A.S. Cannavo, A. Créa, V. Feuga, A.M. Galliot, N. Kayser, C. Passerieux, and P. Roux;

Service de Psychiatrie, Centre Hospitalier Princesse Grace, Monaco: V. Aubin, I. Cussac, M.A Dupont, J. Loftus, and I. Medecin;

APHP, Groupe Hospitalo-universitaire AP-HP Nord, DMU ESPRIT, Service de psychiatrie et addictologie, Hôpital Louis Mourier, Colombes, France: C. Dubertret, N. Mazer, C. Portalier, C. Scognamiglio, A. Bing.

Service de Psychiatrie de l'adulte B, Centre Expert Trouble Bipolaire, CHU de Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont-Ferrand, France: PM. Llorca, L. Samalin, L., C. Moreau, D. Lacelle, S. Pires, C. Doriat, and O. Blanc.

Corresponding Author: Pr Marion Leboyer, Département Hospitalo-Universitaire de Psychiatrie, Hôpital Albert Chenevier, 40 rue de Mesly, Créteil 94000, France, tel: +331 49 81 30 51,

Email: marion.leboyer@inserm.fr

N word = 4011

Abstract

Background: The FACE-BD cohort is an observational cohort of individuals with bipolar disorders (BD) who benefited from a systematic evaluation with evidence-based treatment recommendations and who were followed-up every year for 3 years in France. The objectives were to describe the lifetime course of BD, associated psychiatric and somatic comorbidities, and cognition profile. This cohort aims to identify clinical/biological signatures of outcomes, trajectories of functioning and transition between clinical stages. This article summarizes 10 years of findings of the FACE-BD cohort.

Method & Results: We included 4422 individuals, all having a baseline assessment, among which 61.2% had at least one follow-up visit at either one, two or three years. A subsample of 1200 individuals had at least one biological sample (serum, plasma, DNA). Assessments include family history of psychiatric disorders, psychiatric diagnosis, current mood symptoms, functioning, hospitalizations, suicidal attempts, physical health, routine blood tests, treatment history, psychological dimensions, medico-economic data and a cognitive assessment. Studies from this cohort illustrate that individuals with BD display multiple coexistent psychiatric associated conditions including sleep disturbances, anxiety disorders, substance use disorders and suicide attempts as well as a high prevalence of metabolic syndrome. During follow-up, we observed a 55% reduction of the number of days of hospitalization and a significant improvement in functioning.

Conclusions: The FACE-BD cohort provides a strong research infrastructure for clinical research in BD and has a unique position among international cohorts because of its comprehensive clinical assessment and sustainable funding from the French Ministry of Health.

Keywords: Bipolar disorders, cohort, longitudinal, course, biomarkers, environment

Introduction

Bipolar disorder (BD) is the 16th leading cause of disability¹ and is associated with a reduction of \sim 10 years of life expectancy compared to the general population. BD has thereby a high impact on health care utilization, societal costs, and public health. Despite this fact, research focused on individuals with BD has consistently been, and remains, underfunded compared to other research fields.

Longitudinal cohorts with repeated assessment of clinical, psychological, cognitive, and functional measures are needed to better understand the complexity of BD and examine the dynamics of the course of the disorder. In 2010, this has led the FondaMental Foundation (www.fondationfondamental.com) to build a health and research infrastructure by setting-up a network of 10 centres of expertise for BD, which are located in the main cities in France (Besancon, Bordeaux, Clermont-Ferrand, Creteil, Grenoble, Marseille, Monaco, Montpellier, Nancy, Paris and its suburban cities). FondaMental is a non-profit foundation, created in 2007 under the auspices of the French Ministry of Research and supported by the Ministry of Health to improve mental health care organization, to foster clinical and fundamental research, as well as to inform and educate the lay public about psychiatric disorders. A pivotal goal was to create and coordinate a network of centers of expertise in BD, which aims to provide extensive and standardized assessments for individuals with BD, including psychiatric, somatic, psychological, cognitive and functional assessments. Such assessments are then utilized to more precisely describe the most prominent difficulties encountered by individuals with BD and to establish a personalized care plan based on proof-of-concept international recommendations¹. The assessment and follow-up data collected on individuals with BD in the FondaMental Foundation centers allowed the set-up of a follow up cohort, named the "FondaMental Advanced Centers of Expertise-Bipolar Disorder (FACE-BD)" cohort. The first individual has been included in 2009 and the FACE-BD cohort was officially launched in 2013.

The main goals of the FACE-BD cohort are to: 1) describe the lifetime course of BD and its psychiatric and somatic comorbidities (baseline data); 2) finely characterize the inter-episode phases for residual mood symptoms, emotion regulation, sleep disturbances, cognitive profile and functioning; 3) identify clinical/biological signatures predictive of outcomes (hospitalizations, relapses, and suicide attempts), of trajectories of functioning and of transition between clinical stages; 4) evaluate the cost effectiveness of implementing this new care organization in France.

This article summarizes 10 years of clinical research using the FACE-BD cohort².

Which individuals are included in the FACE-BD cohort?

FACE-BD is an open, ongoing cohort of individuals with BD who are clinically assessed at inclusion and annually followed-up for at least 3 years. In January 2021, the FACE-BD cohort comprised of 4421 participants, all having had a baseline assessment. Referrals are received from either general practitioner (11.7%), psychiatrists (45.0% private practice – 38.0% public or hospital-based practice), or by self-referral/patient associations (5.3%).

While individuals are assessed at each expert centre at baseline, 6 month and then every year, routine care and treatment prescriptions are still undertaken by the treating psychiatrists (and GPs). Evidence-based recommendations for both pharmacological treatments and non-pharmacological interventions are sent to the psychiatrists and GPs following each visit. Each individual and his/her psychiatrist are encouraged to use a shared-decision approach, and to discuss together which interventions they are willing to implement (in terms of medications and psychosocial interventions). As a whole, 89% of psychiatrists completely or partially followed the pharmacological treatment, 80% of psychiatrists completely or partially followed the recommendations, and 20% did not follow the recommendations. Regarding non-pharmacological treatment, 80% of psychiatrist's disagreement with the recommendations include for instance the patient's refusal, the psychiatrist's disagreement with the recommendations, tolerance issues, or the inability to implement some recommendations (for instance when a recommended psychosocial intervention is not available in the catchment area the individual is living in).

Inclusion criteria require individuals to meet DSM-IV criteria for any BD subtype (I, II, or not otherwise specified, NOS). Exclusion criteria are restricted to ongoing severe mood episode, dementia-related disorders or mental retardation. Most individuals are women (61.8%). DSM-IV subtypes are BD-I (45.4%, n=2006), BD-II (43.3 %, n=1914), BD-NOS (11.3%, n=501). The mean age at inclusion is 40.5 years old (sd=13.0), and 48.8% of individuals are married or in a stable relationship. Most participants (71.0%) have a high school diploma. Unemployment rate at assessment was 21.1%, being significantly higher than the national average (around 8-10%). The demographic, socioeconomic and clinical characteristics of the sample are described in tables 1 and 2.

How often have individuals been followed up?

Individuals are followed-up annually for at least 3 years. Among the 4421 individuals included at baseline, 2496 had at least one follow-up visit at either one, two or three years with a retention rate of 61.2%. The mean duration of follow-up is 26.5 month (sd=17) (median=25). The mean number of assessments is 3 (sd=1.9) (median=3).

Individuals lost at follow-up, compared to those remaining in the cohort, were younger and more frequently diagnosed with BD-NOS, had higher depressive symptoms, lower functioning, and greater levels of comorbidities (tobacco misuse, substance misuse, and sleep disturbances) at initial assessment. Individuals lost at follow-up were also treated at baseline with a higher number of psychiatric medications ³.

What are the measures used in the FACE-BD cohort?

All collected data are entered into a specifically developed, web-based application, "e-Bipolar". Access to e-Bipolar is strictly and carefully regulated, with approval obtained from the French data protection agency (CNIL DR-2011-069). All data collected in each center are anonymized and exported annually into a national database. Table 3 shows the main measures used during the assessment and follow-up.

At baseline, individuals are assessed by a multidisciplinary team, trained for the diagnosis and management of BD, including nurses, psychiatrists, psychologists and neuro-psychologists ². The assessment tools and the generated measures during follow up are described in table 3. Assessments include the following domains:

- Physical examination: weight, height, BMI (Body Mass Index), abdominal perimeter, electrocardiogram, blood pressure, routine blood tests,
- Somatic comorbidities, assessed from a list of 35 possible comorbidities, including neurological, cardiovascular, endocrine, metabolic, skin, urinary tract, liver and digestive disorders, allergic and auto-immune-inflammatory disorders, cancer and chronic infectious disorders,
- Family history of mood disorders, alcohol misuse, dementia and metabolic syndrome,
- Psychiatric diagnosis (SCID, DSM-IV criteria), including BD, anxiety disorders, substance use disorders (SUD), eating disorders,
- Mood symptoms: depressive and manic symptoms (self and observer-rated measures), anxiety symptoms, emotional and behavioral activation/inhibition,
- Life style: sleep disturbances and circadian rhythms, vigilance, diet, physical activity, tobacco dependence,
- Global functioning,
- Suicide ideation and suicidal attempts,
- Treatment history (drug and psycho-social), including plasma levels of anticonvulsants and lithium carbonate, as well as adherence to medication and side effects,

- Psychological dimensions: affect intensity, affective lability, impulsivity, hostility, childhood trauma, childhood ADHD symptoms (at baseline only),
- Cognition: verbal memory, working memory, executive functions, processing speed, attention and reasoning (at baseline and at two years),
- Biological samples: serum, plasma, DNA, RNA, PBMC (for a subsample only).

The following measures of outcomes are systematically recorded during follow-up: mood recurrences and relapses, hospitalizations, suicidal ideation and attempts, functioning, physical health (physical examination and blood routine test), use of health services, sick-leave.

Individuals may also be included in specific research programs based on collaborations between centers and several research teams involved in epidemiology, genetics, immunology, brain-imaging, ecological momentary assessment. These research programs take advantage of the extensive clinical assessment and the generated comprehensive phenotypic assessment.

Key findings and publications

Baseline clinical characteristics

The first description of the cohort was published in 2015 in a sample of 839 participants ⁴. To date, 4421 individuals have been included and their clinical description is consistent with our previously published works. At inclusion, most of individuals (76.2%) were not in an acute mood episode, but were considered as having a sub-syndromal remission. Residual symptoms were prevalent with a mean MADRS score of 10.8 (9.2) and a mean YMRS score of 2.5 (3.8). Impaired functioning was observed in 48% of individuals. Sixty percent of these individuals had sleep disturbances (PSQI score >5) and 50% were overweight or obese.

This clinical description shows that individuals experienced at baseline a wide range of dysfunction across different measures (including mood residual symptoms, sleep disturbances, functional impairment ...), which require screening, treatment and follow-up. We have modelled the links between these measures at baseline (sleep, functioning, affective/mood symptoms, metabolic health). We identified associations between: 1) emotional reactivity, sleep disturbances and functioning⁵; 2) sleep disturbances and childhood trauma⁶; 3) emotional reactivity, cardiometabolic risk, elevated inflammation (C-reactive protein [CRP] and allostatic load) and functioning ⁷⁻¹⁰.

Psychiatric comorbidities and suicide attempts

Psychiatric comorbidities are highly prevalent in BD. Data from the FACE-BD cohort confirmed that individuals have multiple coexisting psychiatric conditions. Around 44% have comorbid anxiety

disorders and 32.8% have comorbid substance misuse, with 25% showing alcohol misuse and 20% showing cannabis misuse.

Cigarette smoking was a very frequent comorbidity (49%) in this cohort, with current smokers more likely to have additional substance use disorders and to be diagnosed with $BD-I^{11}$. Individuals with severe tobacco dependence have a threefold higher incidence of suicide attempts ¹².

Lifetime history of suicide attempts is highly frequent in BD, with 38% of individuals having attempted suicide over their lifetime. Individuals reporting poor sleep quality had more suicide attempts and suicidal ideation than good sleepers ⁶. In depressed participants, baseline clinical features (e.g. depression severity, childhood trauma, global functioning) were more severe in participants with, versus without, suicidal depression ¹³.

All of these psychiatric comorbidities are potentially linked to psychopathological dimensions of vulnerability, including emotional dysregulation and impulsivity/hostility. Both suicide attempts and SUD were linked to impulsivity ¹⁴. We demonstrated that the links between childhood trauma and suicide attempt were mainly mediated by affective intensity/lability while the links between childhood trauma and SUD were mainly mediated by impulsivity/hostility ¹⁵.

In summary, these findings confirmed the high prevalence of psychiatric comorbidities, in particular substance use disorders, as well as suicide attempts in individuals with BD ^{16,17}. We further demonstrate the existence of some dimensional mediators making the links between early life stress and these associated conditions, that has been further replicated¹⁸.

Medical comorbidities

Physical health is a major concern in BD. Physical comorbidities are common, especially metabolic syndrome (MetS), but also a number of other medical conditions, which are suggested to contribute to decreased life expectancy in BD. In this cohort, only 8.4% of individuals with BD presented with no medical disorder, whilst 53.0% had at least two medical comorbidities at baseline. The most frequent medical comorbidities were hypercholesterolemia (49%), hypertriglyceridemia (22%), headache/migraine (20%), allergies (other than asthma) (19.7%), and hypertension (19%).

MetS prevalence is particularly high in individuals with BD (20% in this cohort versus 10% in the French general population). MetS is under-treated with more than 70% of the individuals with BD and MetS receiving no specific treatment ¹⁹. We also estimated the prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) on blood samples using the fatty liver index (FLI), showing a NAFLD prevalence of 28.4% which is two time higher than in the general population in France. NAFLD was independently associated with older age, male gender, sleep disturbances, and current use of atypical antipsychotics or anxiolytics, being overweight and having MetS ²⁰.

Metabolic health was worse in individuals with an evening chronotype who also had higher levels of triglycerides ²¹ and a higher atherogenic index of plasma (the log transformed ratio of triglycerides to HDL-cholesterol). Individuals with a seasonal pattern of recurrences (33% of participants) also

showed higher levels of obesity, fasting glucose, triglycerides and systolic blood pressure ²². Lowgrade of peripheral inflammation, as defined by Hs-CRP>3mg/L, was found in one third of individuals with BD, with CRP elevations being associated with cardiovascular risk factors (specifically perivisceral fat) and with impaired general cognitive functioning ²³.

In summary, findings are consistent with the available literature showing a high prevalence of somatic and cardio-vascular comorbidities in $BD^{24,25}$. Importantly, the network provided new data regarding the NAFLD prevalence in this cohort²⁰, which has not been studied so far in BD and was reported as a high level in this cohort (40% of men and 21% of women).

Psychotropic medications

Management of psychotropic medications is still challenging in BD. This arises from a number of reasons, including prescribers in France having a tendency not to follow current prescribing international guidelines. Also, the response to medications may be suboptimal in many individuals, often attributed to treatment resistance, poor adherence and high levels of side effects. Such factors are likely to reflect the need to improve targeted biological treatment of an individual's pathophysiology, which may vary over the course of neuroprogression and clinical staging.

Of the 4421 individuals within the FACE-BD database, the mean number of psychotropic medications received at inclusion was 2.2 (\pm 1.2). The most frequently prescribed classes of mood stabilizers were anticonvulsants (51%), followed by atypical antipsychotics (39.8%) and lithium carbonate (33.2%). Importantly, a high percentage of participants (39%) were receiving antidepressants at baseline, which contravenes international guidelines.

At inclusion, 47.8% of individuals were poorly adherent to medication ^{26,27}. Residual depressive symptoms and side effects were the main determinants of poor adherence, with gender, a smaller number of previous mood episodes and a shorter duration of BD also contributing to poor adherence ^{26,27}, as does a non-planning impulsivity trait.

In summary, we identified that most individuals were currently treated with medication regimes that may not follow available guidelines for BD. Adherence to medication is still a challenge for many individuals with BD, possible being determined by multiple factors that may offer avenues for targeted interventions. One future challenge for data analysis is to disentangle the different trajectories of medication changes during the follow-up to extract those changes that may predict better outcomes.

Cognition

One of the strengths of the FACE-BD cohort is the comprehensive and systematic cognitive assessment at baseline and at year 2. This assessment is particularly important since a substantial proportion of individuals with BD have cognitive complaints and/or cognitive deficits that may impact many aspects of functioning. In addition, cognitive deficits can be targeted by cognitive and functional remediation as part of the care plan.

The study of a sub-sample of the cohort (based on strict inclusion criteria for analyses, mainly euthymia) have identified cognitive alterations in BD. Using a clustering analysis including six domains of cognition (motor speed, attention, executive functions, verbal memory, working memory, intellectual functioning), four clusters of participants were identified. The four clusters were defined by good performances to all tests (13%); low performances on all tests (29%), with two further groups showing intermediate clusters (57%)²⁸. When using different classification criteria, the prevalence of cognitive impairment ranged from 4% (when at least two impaired cognitive domains were evident) to 66.8% (at least one measure of any cognitive domain <1.5 SD below the normative mean). The method with satisfactory psychometric properties, good convergent and concurrent validity suggested a prevalence of 12.4% for cognitive impairment in euthymic individuals with BD ²⁹. A path analyses modelled the relationships between residual depressive symptoms, six cognitive domains and functioning ³⁰. Only verbal and working memories were associated with poorer functioning. No significant relationship was found between residual depressive symptoms and any cognitive component.

In summary, our results confirm the existence of several distinct cognitive profiles in individuals with $BD^{31,32}$. However, we report a lower prevalence of cognitive impairment as compared to levels observed in previous studies^{33,34}, suggesting that only a subgroup of individuals with BD (12.4% and using stringent criteria for the definition of cognitive impairment and euthymia) would indeed experience a cognitive impairment.

Prediction of outcomes: BD course and trajectories

Follow-up data in the FACE-BD cohort allow the prediction of outcomes (i.e. recurrences, suicide attempts or hospitalizations) and the modelling of trajectories (functioning, adherence or cognition).

We reported results of outcomes after 2 years of follow-up of the first 984 adult patients³. When comparing 1 year before inclusion versus during the follow-up period, we observed significant decreases - above 50% - in the number of mood episodes, the number of hospitalizations and the duration of hospitalizations. The decrease in number of days being hospitalized during follow-up may be explained by a better adherence to medication (see paragraph below about trajectories of adherence), a better adequation with evidence-based medication regime (see section about psychotropic medications), but also by participation (at least for some individuals) to psychoeducation groups that are organized in each centre³⁵. In addition, individuals showed a significant improvement in functioning, this improvement being associated with a reduction of mood symptoms and of active psychiatric comorbidities, an improvement of sleep quality and a better treatment adherence.

Regarding adherence to medication, we identified three distinct trajectories: one trajectory starts poorly and keeps deteriorating (4.8%); one trajectory starts poorly but improves (9%); and the last one

starts well and keeps improving (86.2%). A good tolerance to psychotropic medications, low depressive symptoms, and prescription of anticonvulsants were associated with better adherence 36 .

Regarding suicidality, individuals with high affect lability were more likely to report suicidal ideation during follow-up ³⁷, whilst anhedonia predicted suicide events in the subgroup of participants who were depressed at baseline ³⁸.

As a major episode is typically observed every two years over the course of BD, prediction of mood recurrences is important to tailor the care plan. We studied the time to a first mood recurrence in 630 individuals with BD-I and 505 with BD-II over a 3 years follow-up. The first recurrence of any polarity occurred earlier in BD-II than in BD-I. In BD-I, the time to a first mood recurrence was associated with depressive symptoms, lifetime rapid cycling, global behavioral activation and the number of psychotropic medications at baseline. In BD-II, the time to a first recurrence was associated with a younger age at BD onset and a higher number of lifetime mood episodes ³⁹.

We also identified different trajectories of global functioning during the follow-up. A stable trajectory of impaired functioning was associated with unemployment, depressive symptoms, sleep disturbances, overweight, and childhood maltreatment, as well as higher numbers of prescribed psychotropic medications and of previous hospitalizations ⁴⁰. The longitudinal relationships between cognition and functioning in BD at two years supports a causal model in which cognition moderately predicts, and is causally primary, to functional outcome at the subsequent 1year time-point, whereas psychosocial functioning does not predict later cognitive performance. Subthreshold depressive symptoms concurrently affected functioning at each measurement time-point ⁴¹.

In summary, we confirmed that more than a half of individuals with BD will experience a mood recurrence during the follow-up period⁴² and that functional impairment is frequent in BD, these latter results being consistent with those obtained in cross-sectional studies^{43–45}, but replicated here with a longitudinal design. Importantly, we have further suggested that the time to recurrence was poorly accurately predictable when using clinical variables extracted from the interview. As noted more than a decade ago by Treuer and Tohen⁴⁶, "forecasting the course of BD is challenging and still largely relies on clinical characteristics that together make only a modest contribution to the prediction of recurrences". Conversely, we have shown that, as compared to the prediction of recurrence, the prediction of trajectories of functioning might be accurate and highly informed by the clinical assessment. Future studies are planned to explore what are the main determinants of the reduction observed in terms of hospitalized days, which may partly due to better adequation with evidence-based medication regime, better adherence to medication, and participation to psychoeducation groups that are provided in each centre. As mentioned above, one future challenge for data analysis is to disentangle the different trajectories of medication changes during the follow-up to extract those changes that may predict better outcomes.

Cost analysis

We used a cross-sectional design to estimate the cost of BD per year among individuals in the cohort. Direct health care costs (i.e. full time hospitalization, day care hospitalization, consultations with a psychiatrist, medications) were calculated for each patient (n= 1116). Direct health care costs were ϵ 7565 per patient annually (Laidi et al. submitted). The cost of full-time hospitalization, consultations and medication represented respectively 80%, 13% and 7% of direct healthcare costs. In the subsample of individuals followed-up for two years, a decrease of direct healthcare cost was estimated to be between 30-50%, indicating the cost-effectiveness of this network.

Lessons learned, challenges and future directions

FACE-BD is the first large cohort to be set-up in France with an initial in-depth phenotyping and a long-term follow-up of individuals with BD. It provides specific information on the trajectories of BD in the clinical, cognitive, biological and economic domains. This cohort is based on a national network of Expert Centers, which provide a standardized evaluation and implementation of research projects. The database is centralized, providing a good quality and an easy access for research purpose.

Lessons learned: We demonstrate that the implementation of such a network at the national level is feasible. Each centre has established strong links to local health services and clinicians (psychiatrists but also general practitioners) who provide the first point of contact with health services for most individuals with BD. The centres offer wide access for all individuals with BD with few barriers for referral and no major biases towards treatment-refractory cases. In order 1) to ensure harmonization of clinical assessments and scoring procedures, 2) to provide regular reviews of evidence-based recommendations and clinical guidelines, 3) to set-up an effective implementation of psychosocial interventions (such as group psychoeducation) and 4) to promote research efforts, monthly meetings are organized, at which all centers attend to (including psychiatrists, psychologists, neuropsychologists). Moreover, two-days schools are organized twice a year (spring and autumn) to foster dense and intense collaborations and experience-sharing between centers. Regarding the use of the database, the valorization is organized in several complementary work-packages with one leading center per work-package. As a consequence, the FACE-BD cohort, involving several clinical teams from all over the country, has a positive effect in the development and coordination of clinical research in BD in France.

Challenges: Nevertheless, Expert centers are third line facilities. It has consequences in term of potential recruitment bias and representativeness of the collected sample which has to be taken into account when considering the generalizability of the results. Although supported by funding from the Ministry of Health, the sustainability of the network depends of the support of policy makers and public health priorities. BD may be characterized by a low level of adherence to follow-up, this may

have consequences in terms of attrition rate in the cohort (around 40%) and need a close monitoring. The increase in recruitment rates during previous years may induce issues for the clinicians who need to ensure at the same time both new inclusions and follow-up visits.

Futures directions: Futures directions will include extension of the network with a greater national coverage. The implementation of molecular tools (such as "omics") and brain imaging is also a challenge to be able in the next future to develop new biomarkers that would complement the clinical assessments to better predict outcomes and trajectories and to help moving forward personalized medicine. Finally, recent modifications in French health laws may provide opportunities to link these cohort data with medical administrative database which could allow exhaustive collection of resources use, professional and health status and will be very relevant for medical costs issues.

Access to data of the FACE-BD cohort

Sharing data within the network and as part of collaborations are strong values of our network. A charter describing data access, rules (composition of the submission file, evaluation of the request, and transmission of the data) and publication policies (authorship, acknowledgements) have been established and are available on our web site (www.fondation-fondamental.org).

An external request from academic labs who are not members of the FondaMental network, requires a Confidential Disclosure Agreement (CDA) and a Material Transfer Agreement (MTA) to be signed. For external requests from private companies, a CDA and a partnership agreement have to be signed. If approved by our Scientific Committee, these extractions of data can be used, analyzed and results can be published under the supervision of the Scientific Committee and in association with the network coordinators.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to each individual in the study, all of whom have accepted to dedicate time to the clinical data collection. We thank the multidisciplinary team in each expert center, in particular psychiatrists, (neuro)-psychologists and nurses. We express our gratitude to Hakim Laouamri and his team who are in charge of the development of the data base FACE-BD and of data extraction. We especially thank the Fondation FondaMental, which supported the coordination of the cohort and raised the funds that allowed the cohort to be conducted. We thank the French Ministry of Research for initial funding (RTRS Santé Mental to Fondamental Foundation) and the French Ministry of Health for sustainable funding.

Funding sources: This research was supported by the Foundation FondaMental, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), AP-HP, and by the Investissements d'Avenir program managed by the ANR under reference ANR-11-IDEX-0004-02 and ANR-10-COHO-10-01. This funding source had no role in the study design, data collection, analysis, preparation of the manuscript, or decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Conflict of interest: Authors have nothing to declare.

Contributors:

M. Leboyer, PM Llorca, E. Olie and B. Etain: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Writing - original draft. **All others authors:** Conceptualization, Writing - review & editing. All authors have approved the final article

References:

- 1. Ferrari AJ, Stockings E, Khoo J-P, et al. The prevalence and burden of bipolar disorder: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Bipolar Disord*. 2016 Aug;**18**(5):440–450.
- 2. Henry C, Etain B, Mathieu F, et al. A French network of bipolar expert centres: a model to close the gap between evidence-based medicine and routine practice. *J Affect Disord*. 2011 Jun;**131**(1–3):358–363.
- 3. Henry C, Godin O, Courtet P, et al. Outcomes for bipolar patients assessed in the French expert center network: A 2-year follow-up observational study (FondaMental Advanced Centers of Expertise for Bipolar Disorder [FACE-BD]). *Bipolar Disord*. 2017;**19**(8):651–660.
- 4. Henry C, Etain B, Godin O, et al. Bipolar patients referred to specialized services of care: Not resistant but impaired by sub-syndromal symptoms. Results from the FACE-BD cohort. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2015 Oct;**49**(10):898–905.
- 5. Etain B, Godin O, Boudebesse C, et al. Sleep quality and emotional reactivity cluster in bipolar disorders and impact on functioning. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2017 Sep;45:190–197.
- 6. Aubert E, Jaussent I, Olié E, et al. Effect of early trauma on the sleep quality of euthymic bipolar patients. *J Affect Disord*. 2016 Dec;**206**:261–267.
- 7. Dargél AA, Volant S, Brietzke E, et al. Allostatic load, emotional hyper-reactivity, and functioning in individuals with bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disord*. 2020 Nov;**22**(7):711–721.
- 8. Dargél AA, Volant S, Saha S, et al. Activation Levels, Cardiovascular Risk, and Functional Impairment in Remitted Bipolar Patients: Clinical Relevance of a Dimensional Approach. *Psychother Psychosom.* 2019;**88**(1):45–47.
- 9. Dargél AA, Roussel F, Volant S, et al. Emotional hyper-reactivity and cardiometabolic risk in remitted bipolar patients: a machine learning approach. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2018 Oct;**138**(4):348–359.
- 10. Dargél AA, Godin O, Kapczinski F, Kupfer DJ, Leboyer M. C-reactive protein alterations in bipolar disorder: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2015 Feb;**76**(2):142–150.
- 11. Icick R, Melle I, Etain B, et al. Tobacco smoking and other substance use disorders associated with recurrent suicide attempts in bipolar disorder. *J Affect Disord*. 2019 Sep 1;**256**:348–357.
- 12. Ducasse D, Jaussent I, Guillaume S, et al. Increased risk of suicide attempt in bipolar patients with severe tobacco dependence. *J Affect Disord*. 2015 Sep 1;**183**:113–118.
- 13. Nobile B, Dubois J, Aouizerate B, et al. Characterization of depressed bipolar patients with current suicidal ideation. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2020 Oct 10;4867420963744.
- 14. Kahn J-P, Cohen RF, Etain B, et al. Reconsideration of the factorial structure of the Barratt Impulsiveness Scale (BIS-11): Assessment of impulsivity in a large population of euthymic bipolar patients. *J Affect Disord*. 2019 Jun 15;**253**:203–209.
- 15. Etain B, Lajnef M, Henry C, et al. Childhood trauma, dimensions of psychopathology and the clinical expression of bipolar disorders: A pathway analysis. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2017 Dec;**95**:37–45.

- Messer T, Lammers G, Müller-Siecheneder F, Schmidt R-F, Latifi S. Substance abuse in patients with bipolar disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Res.* 2017 Jul;253:338–350.
- 17. Dong M, Lu L, Zhang L, et al. Prevalence of suicide attempts in bipolar disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci.* 2019 Oct 25;**29**:e63.
- 18. Marwaha S, Briley PM, Perry A, et al. Explaining why childhood abuse is a risk factor for poorer clinical course in bipolar disorder: a path analysis of 923 people with bipolar I disorder. *Psychol Med.* 2020 Oct;**50**(14):2346–2354.
- 19. Godin O, Etain B, Henry C, et al. Metabolic syndrome in a French cohort of patients with bipolar disorder: results from the FACE-BD cohort. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2014 Oct;**75**(10):1078–1085; quiz 1085.
- 20. Godin O, Leboyer M, Belzeaux R, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in a sample of individuals with bipolar disorders: results from the FACE-BD cohort. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2021 Jan;**143**(1):82–91.
- 21. Godin O, Henry C, Leboyer M, et al. Sleep quality, chronotype and metabolic syndrome components in bipolar disorders during the remission period: Results from the FACE-BD cohort. *Chronobiol Int.* 2017;**34**(8):1114–1124.
- 22. Geoffroy PA, Godin O, Mahee D, et al. Seasonal pattern in bipolar disorders and cardio-vascular risk factors: A study from the FACE-BD cohort. *Chronobiol Int.* 2017;**34**(7):845–854.
- 23. Dargél AA, Godin O, Etain B, et al. Emotional reactivity, functioning, and C-reactive protein alterations in remitted bipolar patients: Clinical relevance of a dimensional approach. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2017 Aug;**51**(8):788–798.
- 24. Vancampfort D, Vansteelandt K, Correll CU, et al. Metabolic syndrome and metabolic abnormalities in bipolar disorder: a meta-analysis of prevalence rates and moderators. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2013 Mar 1;**170**(3):265–274.
- 25. Penninx BWJH, Lange SMM. Metabolic syndrome in psychiatric patients: overview, mechanisms, and implications. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci*. 2018;**20**(1):63–73.
- 26. Belzeaux R, Boyer L, Mazzola-Pomietto P, et al. Adherence to medication is associated with non-planning impulsivity in euthymic bipolar disorder patients. *J Affect Disord*. 2015 Sep 15;**184**:60–66.
- 27. Belzeaux R, Correard N, Boyer L, et al. Depressive residual symptoms are associated with lower adherence to medication in bipolar patients without substance use disorder: results from the FACE-BD cohort. *J Affect Disord*. 2013 Dec;**151**(3):1009–1015.
- 28. Roux P, Raust A, Cannavo AS, et al. Cognitive profiles in euthymic patients with bipolar disorders: results from the FACE-BD cohort. *Bipolar Disord*. 2017 Mar;**19**(2):146–153.
- 29. Roux P, Etain B, Cannavo A-S, et al. Prevalence and determinants of cognitive impairment in the euthymic phase of bipolar disorders: results from the FACE-BD cohort. *Psychol Med.* 2019 Feb;**49**(3):519–527.
- 30. Roux P, Raust A, Cannavo A-S, et al. Associations between residual depressive symptoms, cognition, and functioning in patients with euthymic bipolar disorder: results from the FACE-BD cohort. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2017 Dec;**211**(6):381–387.

- Bonnín CM, Martínez-Arán A, Torrent C, et al. Clinical and neurocognitive predictors of functional outcome in bipolar euthymic patients: a long-term, follow-up study. *J Affect Disord*. 2010 Feb;**121**(1–2):156–160.
- 32. Burdick KE, Russo M, Frangou S, et al. Empirical evidence for discrete neurocognitive subgroups in bipolar disorder: clinical implications. *Psychol Med.* 2014 Oct;**44**(14):3083–3096.
- 33. Reichenberg A, Harvey PD, Bowie CR, et al. Neuropsychological function and dysfunction in schizophrenia and psychotic affective disorders. *Schizophr Bull*. 2009 Sep;**35**(5):1022–1029.
- 34. Martino DJ, Strejilevich SA, Marengo E, Ibañez A, Scápola M, Igoa A. Toward the identification of neurocognitive subtypes in euthymic patients with bipolar disorder. *J Affect Disord*. 2014;**167**:118–124.
- 35. Etain B, Scott J, Cochet B, et al. A study of the real-world effectiveness of group psychoeducation for bipolar disorders: Is change in illness perception a key mediator of benefit? *J Affect Disord*. 2018 Feb;**227**:713–720.
- 36. Consoloni J-L, M'Bailara K, Perchec C, et al. Trajectories of medication adherence in patients with Bipolar Disorder along 2 years-follow-up. *J Affect Disord*. 2021 Mar 1;**282**:812–819.
- Ducasse D, Jaussent I, Guillaume S, et al. Affect lability predicts occurrence of suicidal ideation in bipolar patients: a two-year prospective study. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2017 May;135(5):460– 469.
- Ducasse D, Dubois J, Jaussent I, et al. Association between anhedonia and suicidal events in patients with mood disorders: A 3-year prospective study. *Depress Anxiety*. 2021 Jan;38(1):17–27.
- 39. Etain B, Bellivier F, Olié E, et al. Clinical predictors of recurrences in bipolar disorders type 1 and 2: A FACE-BD longitudinal study. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2021 Feb;**134**:129–137.
- 40. Godin O, Leboyer M, Mazroui Y, et al. Trajectories of functioning in bipolar disorders: A longitudinal study in the FondaMental Advanced Centers of Expertise in Bipolar Disorders cohort. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2020 Oct;**54**(10):985–996.
- 41. Ehrminger M, Brunet-Gouet E, Cannavo A-S, et al. Longitudinal relationships between cognition and functioning over 2 years in euthymic patients with bipolar disorder: a cross-lagged panel model approach with the FACE-BD cohort. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2019 Aug 13;1–8.
- 42. Radua J, Grunze H, Amann BL. Meta-Analysis of the Risk of Subsequent Mood Episodes in Bipolar Disorder. *Psychother Psychosom*. 2017;**86**(2):90–98.
- 43. Murru A, Pacchiarotti I, Verdolini N, et al. Modifiable and non-modifiable factors associated with functional impairment during the inter-episodic periods of bipolar disorder. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2018 Dec;**268**(8):749–755.
- 44. Sanchez-Moreno J, Bonnin CM, González-Pinto A, et al. Factors associated with poor functional outcome in bipolar disorder: sociodemographic, clinical, and neurocognitive variables. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2018 Aug;**138**(2):145–154.
- 45. Pinho M, Sehmbi M, Cudney LE, et al. The association between biological rhythms, depression, and functioning in bipolar disorder: a large multi-center study. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2015 May 22;

46. Treuer T, Tohen M. Predicting the course and outcome of bipolar disorder: a review. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2010 Oct;**25**(6):328–333.

N=4421 Demographic characteristics	
Demographic characteristics	
Age at inclusion (years), mean (sd)40.5 (13.0)	
< 30 1077 (24.4)	
30 - 40 1198 (27.1)	
40 - 50 1045 (23.6)	
>50 1101 (24.9)	
Gender n (%)	
Male 1688 (38.2)	
Female 2733 (61.8)	
Socio-economic characteristics	
Education (years), mean (sd) 14.1 (2.9)	
Marital status n (%)	
Maried 1763 (48.8)	
Separated/divorced 423 (11.7)	
Widowed 23 (0.6)	
Single 1405 (38.9)	
Occupational status n (%)	
Unemployed 1875 (52.5)	
Employed 1694 (47.5)	
Baseline clinical factors	
Bipolar subtype n (%)	
Type I 2006 (45.4)	
Type II 1914 (43.3)	
Type NOS 501 (11.3)	
Duration of illness (vears), mean (sd) 16.8 (11.2)	
Age at onset (years), mean (sd) 23.7 (9.6)	
Number of lifetime episodes, mean (sd) 8.9 (9.6)	
Rapid cycling, $n(\%)$ 614 (17.0)	
Lifetime comorbidities	
Anxiety disorders n (%) $1706 (45.1)$	
Substance misuse (alcohol, cannabis, drugs) n (%) 1432 (37.4)	
History of suicide attempt n (%) 1608 (39.0)	
Somatic comorbidities	
Body Mass Index , mean (sd) 25.8 (5.4)	
<25 2101 (51.0)	
25-30 1251 (30.4)	
>30 767 (18.6)	
Sleep quality, mean (sd) 7.3 (3.8)	
Sleep disturbances n (%) $2596 (63.1)$	
Current smokers n (%) 1906 (46 3)	
Childhood maltreatment mean (sd) 43.0 (14.7)	
Pharmacological treatments (based on 2760 individuals)	
Adherence to medication mean (sd) $69(20)$	
Lithium n (%) 1037 (33.1)	
Second generation antipsychotics $n(\%)$ 1269 (40.5)	
First generation antipsychotics n (%) 785 (25 0)	
Antidepressants $n(\%)$ 1221 (38.0)	
Anticonvulsants, n (%) 1569 (50.0)	
Anxiolytics/hypnotics, n (%) 944 (30.1)	

 Table 1: Demographic, socioeconomic and clinical characteristics of the FACE-BD sample at inclusion

	All FACE-BD cohort N=4421		
Mood symptoms			
Depressive symptoms (MADRS), mean (sd)	10.8 (9.2)		
Depressive symptoms (QIDS-16), mean (sd)	10.2 (6.1)		
Manic symptoms (YMRS), mean (sd)	2.5 (3.8)		
Manic symptoms (ASRM), mean (sd)	3.0 (3.6)		
Functioning and quality of life			
Global functioning (FAST), mean (sd)	21.6 (14.7)		
$\leq 20 n (\%)$	2116 (51.6)		
> 20 n (%)	1983 (48.4)		
Global functioning (GAF), mean (sd)	65.7 (14.0)		
Severe (≤ 50) n (%)	607 (15.9)		
Moderate (≤ 60) n (%)	1014 (26.6)		
Mild (≤70) n (%)	943 (24.7)		
No (>70) n (%)	1250 (32.8)		
Quality of life %(EQ5D), mean (sd)	63.5 (21.4)		

 Table 2: Descriptive measures of depression, mania and health-related quality of life at baseline

MADRS: Montgomery and Asberg Depressive Rating scale; QIDS: Quick Inventory of *Depressive* Symptomatology (self-report); YMRS: Young Mania Rating Scale, ASRM: Altman Self-Rating Mania(self-report); GAF: Global Assessment of Functioning scale, FAST: Functioning Assessment Short Test

Table 5. Over view of the assessment package employed by the FACE-DD conor	Table 3: Overv	iew of the assessme	ent package emp	loyed by the	e FACE-BD cohort.
--	----------------	---------------------	-----------------	--------------	-------------------

Phenotypic class	Measures/Process	Timing in the cohort
BD diagnosis and course	DSM-IV criteria, SCID	Baseline
BD diagnostic interview	Structured Clinical Interview for the DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID)	Baseline
BD lifetime characterization	SCID	Baseline
Current symptomatology		
Manic symptoms	Young Mania Rating Scale (YRMS)	Baseline, 6 month, annually
	Altman Mania Rating Scale (AMRS)	Baseline, 6 month, annually
Depressive symptoms	Montgomery Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS)	Baseline, 6 month, annually
	Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Self-Rating (QIDS-SR 16)	Baseline, 6 month, annually
Anxiety	Spielberger Anxiety Scale (STAY-A)	Baseline, 6 month, annually
Multi-dimensional assessment	Multi-dimensional Assessment of Thymic States (MATHYS)	Baseline, 6 month, annually
Functioning and severity of disorder	Clabel Account of Exactioning and	Descline (menth served)
Global lunchoning	Global Assessment of Functioning scale	Baseline, 6 month, annually
Social functioning	Clinical Clobal Improvidence Scale (CCI)	Baseline, 6 month, annually
Development of the filless	Chinical Global Impressions Scale (COI)	Basenne, o monui, annuary
rsychiatric comorbidities and childhood trauma		
Current and past comorbidities	SCID	Baseline 6 month annually
Childhood history of ADHD	Wender Utah Rating Scale (WURS)	Baseline
Childhood history of trauma	Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ)	Baseline
Snicidal hebaviour	elinanood Traunia Questionnane (e1Q)	Dasenne
Personal history of suicide attempts	Suicide Intent Scale (SIS)	Baseline
Characterization of most violent and lethal	Risk Rescue Rating Scale	Baseline
attempt	Risk Resolution Ruling Sould	Busenne
Assessment of suicidal feelings	Measure of suicidal Ideation (ISF)	Baseline, 6 month, annually
Medical burden and risk factors		
Medical comorbidity	e-bipolar [©] (checklist adapted from Pittsburgh medical inventory)	Baseline, 6 month, annually
2	Fageström questionnaire	
Smoker status/nicotine dependence	Weight, height, BMI, blood pressure, waist measurement, ECG	Baseline, 6 month, annually
Physical examination	Liver, thyroid and renal functions, lipids, blood glucose, blood count,	Baseline, 6 month, annually
Biochemistry screen	CRP, serum level of mood stabilizer	Baseline, 6 month, annually
Sleep disturbances and vigilance		
Quality of sleep	Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI)	Baseline, 6 month, annually
Vigilance scale	Epworth Vigilance Scale	Baseline, 6 month, annually
Treatment		
Pharmacological		
Current and past psychotropic agents	e-bipolar© checklist organized by drug classes (adapted from the	Baseline, 6 month, annually
and/or somatic treatment	Theriaque list)	
Adherence	Medication Adherence Rating Scale (MARS) and serum level of mood	Baseline, 6 month, annually
Side effects	disorders	Descline (menth summelles
rsycnosocial interventions	FIIST-IVI	Baseline, o month annually
Inter origodo occoment	e-olpolar@ checklist (CB1, Psychoeducation, IPSR1, etc.)	Baseline, o monui, annually
Emotional reactivity	Affect Intensity Measure (AIM) Affective Lability Scale (ALS)	Baseline
Impulsivity/hostility	Barrat Impulsiveness Scale version 10 (BIS-10) Buss and Durkee	Baseline
inpulsivity/nostinty	Hostility Inventory (BDHI)	Dasenne
Chronotype	Composite Scale of Morningness (CSM) circadian type inventory (CTI)	Baseline
Family history		Bubblind
Psychiatric comorbidities and suicide	History in 1st degree relatives (mood disorders, schizophrenia, alcohol	Baseline
behaviour	and substance misuse, suicide and suicide attempts, and dementia)	
Risk factors for metabolic syndrome	History in 1st degree relatives of diabetes, hypertension, obesity and	
	dyslipidaemia	Baseline
Cognitive functioning		
Premorbid IQ and current level	WAIS-III (Vocabulary and Matrix reasoning)	Baseline, every two years
Verbal episodic memory	California Verbal Learning Test (CVLT)	Baseline, every two years
Working memory	WAIS-III (Digit Span)	Baseline, every two years
Processing speed	WAIS-III (Digit Symbol Substitution and Symbol Search)	Baseline, every two years
Attention	CPT II/CPT III	Baseline, every two years
Executive functions	Verbal Fluency, Stroop, Trail-Making (A and B) Tests	Baseline, every two years